Teaching Threads

Entire Assignment due 2019-02-20 23:59 Graded files:

par_reduce.c

Content

reduce()
par_reduce()
Testing your code

Learning Objectives

The learning objectives for Teaching Threads are:

- Introduction to pthreads
- Introduction to concurrency
- · Introduction to synchronization

reduce()

reduce

(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fold_(higher-

In functional programming, there is an operation called order function to apply to the elements of that list, and an initial value (or base case). The function takes two inputs and returns a value. It is first applied to the base case and the first element, and from then on, the function is repeatedly applied to the cumulative result and the next element. reduce then returns the "reduced" value of the entire list. Depending on which direction this is applied, this is sometimes called a *left-fold* or *right-fold*. In this problem, the functions are associative, so it does not matter.

Here's a concrete example. Say we have the input list [1, 2, 3], a callback function int add(int elem1, int elem2) which takes two numbers and returns their sum, and a base case of 0. If we call reduce, the resulting value would be add(add(0, 1), 2), 3) = 6.

In C code, it looks something like this (you can find this in reduce.c):

Notice that this is basically a for-loop on the list. There are no fancy algorithms we can use to improve runtime, since the callback function is essentially a black box. So, how can we make it faster? Parallelism to the rescue!

2019/5/13 Teaching Threads

par_reduce()

Since we guarantee that all the given functions are commutative and associative, reduce becomes embarrassingly parallel

(https://en.www.inipedrian.eag.swthaitEmbarrassingly_parallel)

- it is easy to divide the problem into subproblems, and
- · none of the subproblems depend on each other.

Given an input list [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6] and add(), we can meet the requirements for "embarrassingly parallel" by doing the following with 2 threads:

- Thread 1: evaluate reduce([1, 2, 3])
- Thread 2: evaluate reduce([4, 5, 6])
- Thread 1: write reduce([1, 2, 3]) into index 0 of the new list
- Thread 2: write reduce([4, 5, 6]) into index 1 of the new list
- Join the threads
- Main thread: evaluate reduce(new_list) = reduce([6, 15])

Finally, we should have our answer of 21. Notice that the size of the list in the last call in the main thread is exactly the number of threads used, because we have one result from each thread. In this case, the final list is of size 2.

Also, note that none of these subproblems depend on each other to evaluate due to our guarantees on the associative property, so they can safely be done concurrently.

Now, it would be unfortunate if someone had to manually figure out the assignment of jobs to each thread every time some aspect of the problem changed, such as the size of the input list, the callback function, the number of threads, or the base case. To alleviate that, we are providing our users with a nice <code>par_reduce()</code> function that takes in an input list, callback function, base case, and the number of threads (not including the main thread). The end goal is that <code>par_reduce()</code> does exactly what <code>reduce()</code> does (in the case that the given functions are associative) except in <code>parallel</code>, with multiple threads. To the user, it's the same old <code>reduce()</code> function—just faster!

For this lab, you are responsible for implementing <code>par_reduce()</code> in <code>par_reduce.c</code>.

Some food for thought:

- What does int pthread_create(pthread_t *thread, const pthread_attr_t *attr, void *
 (*start_routine) (void *), void *arg); do?
 - o What are start_routine and (h₹tps://linux.die.net/man/3/arg)
- What information does each thread need to know in order to do its job?
- How would you divide the problem among your threads?
- What does int pthread_join(pthread_t thread, void **retval); do?
 - o Whatis retval?
- How can you make sure to always spawn the least number of threads?
 - You have an example above where the length of the list is greater than the number of threads, what about the opposite scenario?

Testing your code

We have provided a makefile that will compile your code along with our framework. We recommend that you read through main.c so that you understand how we are calling your par_reduce in par_reduce.c.

```
make
```

After running (ht, typosu: ¢a/ni i unxthetiex.eoeuta/balena/s/foolboxev):

```
./par_reduce <reducer_name> <list_len> <num_threads>
```

- <reducer_name> is one of the following: "add", "mult", or "slow".
 - o "add" adds every element with a base case of 0.

2019/5/13 Teaching Threads

- o "mult" multiplies every element with a base case of 1.
- o "slow" doesn't perform any computation, but sleeps a small amount each time it is called. You may notice that add and multiply might get slower the more threads you use—this is because starting new threads takes time, and sometimes the extra time needed to spawn new threads exceeds the time saved in computation, especially for extremely quick ones like addition. So, we've given you a "slow" reducer that will let you test to make sure that running with n threads will take around 1/n time.
- len> is the number of elements in the list of random integers we'll pass to your par_reduce.
- <num_threads> is the number of threads to use.

You can also run make debug and run the debugging executable with the same arguments:

./par_reduce-debug <reducer_name> <list_len> <num_threads>

2019/5/13 Teaching Threads