

# Section 9: Calling Conventions, Cache and TLB, Demand Paging

CS162

March 14, 2016

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Calling Conventions and Argument Passing</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Calling Conventions . . . . .	2
1.2	Argument Passing . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Vocabulary</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Problems</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1	Caching . . . . .	4
3.2	Demand Paging . . . . .	5
3.3	Virtual Memory . . . . .	6

# 1 Calling Conventions and Argument Passing

## 1.1 Calling Conventions

Sketch the stack frame of `helper` before it returns.

```
void helper(char* str, int len) {
    char word[len];
    strncpy(word, str, len);
    printf("%s", word);
    return;
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    char* str = "Hello World!";
    helper(str, 13);
}
```

## 1.2 Argument Passing

Fill out the following code to copy integer arguments onto the stack. You can change the ESP by modifying `if_>esp`.

```
void populate_stack_args(int argc, uint32_t *argv, struct intr_frame *if_) {
    // The stack pointer is available in if_>esp (which has the type uint32_t*)
    int i;

    for (_____ ) {

        if_>esp = _____;

        _____ = argv[i];
    }
}
```

## 2 Vocabulary

- **Calling Conventions** - Describe the interface of called code. Define the order in which atomic parameters are allocated, how parameters are passed, which registers the callee must preserve for the caller, how the stack is prepared and restored, etc.
- **%esp, %ebp** - In a stack frame (on x86 architecture), the %esp register holds the address of the last thing on the stack. The %esp pointer gets moved as things get added or removed from the stack. The %ebp register stores the position of the beginning of the current stack frame.
- **Compulsory Miss** The miss that occurs on the first reference to a block. There's essentially nothing that you can do about this type of miss, but over the course of time, compulsory misses become insignificant compared to all the other memory accesses that occur.
- **Capacity Miss** This miss occurs when the cache can't contain all the blocks that the program accesses. Usually the solution to capacity misses is to increase the cache size.
- **Conflict Miss** Conflict misses occur when multiple memory locations are mapped to the same cache location. In order to prevent conflict misses, you should either increase the cache size or increase the associativity of the cache.
- **Coherence Miss** Coherence misses are caused by external processors or I/O devices that updates what's in memory.
- **Working set** The subset of the address space that a process uses as it executes. Generally we can say that as the cache hit rate increases, more of the working set is being added to the cache.

### 3 Problems

#### 3.1 Caching

An up-and-coming big data startup has just hired you to help design their new memory system for a byte addressable system. Suppose the virtual and physical memory address space is 32 bits with a 4KB page size.

First, you create 1) a direct mapped cache and 2) a fully associative cache of the same size that replaces the least recently used pages when the cache is full. You run a few tests and realize that the fully associative cache performs much worse than the direct mapped cache. What's a possible access pattern that could cause this to happen?

Instead, your boss tells you to build a 8KB 2-way set associative cache with 64 byte cache blocks. How would you split a given virtual address into its tag, index, and offset numbers?

You finish building the cache, and you want to show your boss that there was a significant increase in average read time. Suppose your system uses a two level page table to translate virtual addresses and your system uses the cache for the translation tables and data. Each memory access takes 50ns, the cache lookup time is 5ns, and your cache hit rate is 90%. What is the average time to read a location from memory?

### 3.2 Demand Paging

Your boss has been so impressed with your work designing the caching that he has asked for your advice on designing a TLB to use for this system. Suppose you know that there will only be 4 process running at the same time, each with a maximum size of 512MB and a working set size of 256KB. Assuming the same system as the previous problem (32 bit virtual and physical address space, 4KB page size), what is the minimum amount of TLB entries that your system would need to support to be able to map the working set size for one process? What happens if you have more entries? What about less?

Suppose you run some benchmarks on the system and you see that the system is utilizing over 99% of its paging disk IO capacity, but only 10% of its CPU. What is a combination of the of disk space and memory size that can cause this to occur? Assume you have TLB entries equal to the answer from the previous part.

Out of increasing the size of the TLB, adding more disk space, and adding more memory, which one would lead to the largest performance increase and why?

### 3.3 Virtual Memory

`vmstat` is a Linux performance debugging tool that provides information about **virtual memory** on your system. When you run it, the output looks like this:

```
$ vmstat 1
procs -----memory----- ---swap-- -----io----- -system-- -----cpu-----
 r  b    swpd    free    buff  cache   si   so    bi    bo    in   cs us sy id wa st
 1  0        0 174184 1007372 96316   49  642  3095   678  123  128  0  1 99  0  0
 0  0        0 174240 1007372 96316    0    0    0    0   48  88  0  0 100  0  0
 0  0        0 174240 1007372 96316    0    0    0    0   33  75  0  0 100  0  0
 0  0        0 174240 1007372 96316    0    0    0    0   32  73  0  0 100  0  0
```

The 1 means “recompute the stats every 1 second and print them out”. The first line contains the average values since boot time, while the second line contains the averages of the last second (current averages). Here’s a reference for what each one of the columns means.

#### Procs

r: The number of runnable processes (running or waiting for run time).

b: The number of processes in uninterruptible sleep.

#### Memory

swpd: the amount of virtual memory used.

free: the amount of idle memory.

buff: the amount of memory used as buffers.

cache: the amount of memory used as cache.

inact: the amount of inactive memory. (-a option)

active: the amount of active memory. (-a option)

#### Swap

si: Amount of memory swapped in from disk (/s).

so: Amount of memory swapped to disk (/s).

#### IO

bi: Blocks received from a block device (blocks/s).

bo: Blocks sent to a block device (blocks/s).

#### System

in: The number of interrupts per second, including the clock.

cs: The number of context switches per second.

#### CPU

These are percentages of total CPU time.

us: Time spent running non-kernel code. (user time, including nice time)

sy: Time spent running kernel code. (system time)

id: Time spent idle. Prior to Linux 2.5.41, this includes IO-wait time.

wa: Time spent waiting for IO. Prior to Linux 2.5.41, included in idle.

st: Time stolen from a virtual machine. Prior to Linux 2.6.11, unknown.

Take a look at these 3 programs (A, B, C).

```
char *buffer[4 * (1L << 20)];
int A(int in) {
    // "in" is a file descriptor for a file on disk
    while (read(in, buffer, sizeof(buffer)) > 0);
}

int B() {
    size_t size = 5 * (1L << 30);
    int *x = malloc(size);
    memset(x, 0xCC, size);
}

sem_t sema;
pthread_t thread;
void *foo() { while (1) sem_wait(&sema); }
int C() {
    pthread_create(&thread, NULL, foo, NULL);
    while (1) sem_post(&sema);
}
```

I ran these 3 programs one at a time, but in a random order. What order did I run them in? Can you tell where (in the vmstat output) one program stopped and another started? Explain.

```
procs -----memory----- ---swap-- -----io----- -system-- -----cpu-----
r  b   swpd   free   buff  cache   si   so    bi    bo    in   cs us sy id wa st
0  0  684688  25216 1822136  60860   75  748  3645   779  146  296  1  1 98  0  0
1  0  684688  25268 1822136  60868    0    0    0    0 18150 735898  6 44 51  0  0
1  0  684688  25268 1822136  60868    0    0    0    0 61864 1270088  6 77 17  0  0
1  0  684688  25268 1822136  60868    0    0    0    0 59497 1102825  8 71 21  0  0
1  0  684688  25268 1822136  60868    0    0    0    0 94619 766431 11 79 10  0  0
0  0  684688  25612 1822136  60868    0    0    0    0 13605 237430  2 13 85  0  0
0  0  684688  25612 1822136  60868    0    0    0    0   61  115  0  1 100  0  0
3  0  694520  18544   3212  45040   64 11036   264 11144 2647 2339  5 51 43  0  0
4  1 1285828  20560   128    580   88 592440 14248 592440 18289 2171  3 58 36  4  0
3  0 1866176  21492   128   2132    0 578404  8972 578404 47646 1691  2 70 28  1  0
3  0 2350636  17820   136   2640    0 487732 11708 487788 17404 1881  1 58 39  1  0
2  0 2771016  22168   544   4360 2072 417272 15372 417272 17460 2192  2 57 39  3  0
0  0 697036 1922160   560   9712 1516 418224 16508 418228 47747 2616  0 64 30  6  0
0  0 697032 1921696   564  10096   28    0   288    0   77  148  0  0 100  0  0
1  0 696980 878128 1037720  11272  412    0 1038840    0 11128 14854  1 25 54 21  0
1  0 696980  21732 1895476   9348    0    0 1286460    0 13610 18224  0 31 46 22  0
0  2 696980  20992 1896496   9072    0    0 1297536   20 13745 19164  0 36 43 21  1
1  1 696980  20228 1897784   8648    0    0 1283324   32 13659 18931  0 36 41 23  0
1  1 696960  21048 1897404   8716   48    0 1215152    0 12601 17672  0 34 45 21  0
0  0 696952  23048 1899112   9004    8    0 470112    0 5100 7073  0 13 81  6  0
0  0 696952  23048 1899112   9004    0    0    0    0   45   89  0  0 100  0  0
```

If you have extra available physical memory, Linux will use it to cache files on disk for performance benefits. This disk cache may also include parts of the swapfile. Why would caching the swapfile be better than paging-in those pages immediately?

If I remove the line “`memset(x, 0xCC, size);`” from program B, I notice that the **vmstat** output does not have a spike in swap (si and so) nor in io (bi and bo). My system doesn’t have enough physical memory for a 5GB array. Yet, the array is not swapped out to disk. Where does the array go? Why did the **memset** make a difference?

Program B has a 5GB array, but the whole thing just contains 0xCCCCCCCC. Based on this observation, can you think of a way to reduce program B’s memory footprint without changing any of program B’s code? (What can the kernel do to save memory?)