

Second Lab Session: introduction to Monte Carlo methods

UE Computational Statistics

moi

Attention

Remember to put your name in the header of the document.

In this session, we will

- simulate random numbers by inverting the cumulative distribution function,
- reduce the variance of a Monte Carlo estimator by using different methods,
- compare different methods with confidence intervals for the mean.

```
import numpy as np
import scipy
import scipy.stats as stats
import pandas as pd
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns
```

Part 1: Inverting the cumulative distribution function

1.1 The Weibull distribution

The Weibull distribution $\text{Wei}(\lambda, k)$ is a continuous probability distribution with two parameters:

- $\lambda > 0$ is the scale parameter,
- $k > 0$ is the shape parameter.

It is often to model the lifetime of objects, that is to say time to failure. In this context, the value of k is critical: if $k < 1$, the failure risk decreases over time, if $k = 1$, the failure risk is constant over time, and if $k > 1$, the failure risk increases over time.

Its probability density function is given, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, by

$$w(x|\lambda, k) = \frac{k}{\lambda} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^{k-1} \exp\left(-\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^k\right) \mathbf{1}\{x \geq 0\}.$$

Exercise:

1. Plot the probability density functions of the Weibull distribution with $\lambda = 1$ and $k = 0.5, 1, 2, 5, \dots$ in the same graph. According to you, what is happening when k increases?
2. Show that, when $X \sim \text{Wei}(\lambda, k)$, then $X/\lambda \sim \text{Wei}(1, k)$
3. Compute the cumulative distribution function $W(x|\lambda, k)$ of the Weibull distribution explicitly.
4. Compute the quantile function $W^{-1}(p|\lambda, k)$ of the Weibull distribution explicitly.
5. Show that, the expected value of $X \sim \text{Wei}(\lambda, k)$ is

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \lambda \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right), \quad \text{where } \Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} \exp(-t) dt.$$

Actually $\Gamma(x)$ is Euler's gamma function. It is implemented in the `scipy.special` module.

1.2 Basic Monte Carlo simulations

Exercise:

1. Write a function `inverse_cdf` that takes as input (1) p a probability, (2) λ and k the parameters of the Weibull distribution and returns the quantile.
2. Simule a large sample x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N of size $N = 1,000$ from the Weibull distribution with $\lambda = 1$ and $k = 2$ using the inverse method.
3. Plot

$$\bar{x}_n = \frac{x_0 + \dots + x_{n-1}}{n}$$

as a function of n for $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Add an horizontal line at the expected value of X , using the formula of the previous exercise. What is your conclusion?

1.3 Confidence intervals

An asymptotic confidence interval for the mean of a random variable X is given by

$$\bar{x}_n \pm z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sqrt{n}},$$

where $z_{1-\alpha/2}$ is the quantile of order $1 - \alpha/2$ of the standard normal distribution, and σ is the standard deviation of X . If σ is not available in closed form (which is often the case), we can use the empirical standard deviation

$$\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (x_i - \bar{x}_n)^2}.$$

In fact, there is a high chance that, when we resort to Monte Carlo to compute $\mathbb{E}(X)$ numerically, $\mathbb{V}(X)$ is also unknown.

In the case of the Weibull distribution, we can compute the variance of X explicitly. Indeed, we have

$$\mathbb{V}(X) = \lambda^2 \left(\Gamma \left(1 + \frac{2}{k} \right) - \Gamma^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{k} \right) \right).$$

Exercise: 1. Using the previous simulation, compute the empirical standard deviation of x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} and both bounds of the asymptotic confidence intervals around \bar{x}_n , for $n = 2, \dots, N/2$. Add the confidence intervals to the previous plot. What is your conclusion?

Part 2: Reducing the variance

2.1 Antithetic variates

Assume that Q is the quantile function of a distribution with CDF F . Assume that Q is an increasing function over $(0; 1)$. If U is a random variable uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$, then $Q(U)$ is distributed according to F . This is the principle of the inverse method. On the other hand, $1 - U$ is also uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$. Therefore, $Q(1 - U)$ is also distributed according to F . Using both $Q(U)$ and $Q(1 - U)$ is the principle of the antithetic variates method.

Exercise:

1. Compare $X = Q(U)$, $Y = Q(1 - U)$ and $Q(1/2)$.
2. Look at Chebyshev's sum inequality and show that X and Y are negatively correlated.
3. Show that $Z = (X + Y)/2$ has the same expected value than X , but a smaller variance than X and Y .

2.2 Antithetic variates applied to the Weibull distribution

Exercise: Apply the antithetic variates method to the Weibull distribution with $\lambda = 1$ and $k = 2$. Compare the asymptotic confidence intervals given by the sample of X and the sample of Z , with graphs. What is your conclusion?

2.3 Control variates

Assume that X is a random variable and Y is a random variable such that $\mathbb{E}(Y)$ is known. The control variates method consists in estimating $\mathbb{E}(X)$ by

$$\bar{x}_n - \beta(\bar{y}_n - \mathbb{E}(Y)),$$

where \bar{x}_n and \bar{y}_n are the sample means of X and Y respectively, and β is the solution of

$$\beta = \frac{\mathbb{V}(X, Y)}{\mathbb{V}(Y)}.$$

Often, the value of β is unknown. But we can estimate it by

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (x_i - \bar{x}_n)(y_i - \bar{y}_n)}{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (y_i - \bar{y}_n)^2}$$

and use it in the control variates method.

Exercise:

1. Compute the variance of

$$Z_n = \bar{X}_n - \lambda(\bar{Y}_n - \mathbb{E}(Y))$$

when $(X_0, Y_0), \dots, (X_{n-1}, Y_{n-1})$ are i.i.d. samples of a joint distribution whose components can be correlated.

2. Show that the variance is minimal for $\lambda = \beta$
3. What is happening when X and Y are independent? Is the method useful?

2.4 Control variates applied to the Weibull distribution

Exercise:

1. Prove that, when $k = 1$, $\text{Wei}(\lambda, 1)$ is the exponential distribution with parameter λ .
2. The expected value of the exponential distribution is explicit and easy to compute. Compute it explicitly.
3. Draw a large sample of $(Q(U|\lambda, k), Q(U|\lambda, 1))$ and apply the control variates method to estimate the expected value of $\text{Wei}(\lambda, k)$.
4. Compare the asymptotic confidence intervals given by the sample of X and the sample of Z , with graphs. What is your conclusion?
5. Can we combine the antithetic variates and the control variates methods?