

MOREAU-YOSIDA APPROXIMATION OF CONDITIONAL MINIMIZATION PROBLEMS AND ITS LIMIT PROPERTIES

P. I. Kogut

UDC 519.21

For a family of conditional minimization problems $\{\langle \inf_{x \in X_\alpha} F^\alpha(x) \rangle, \alpha \in A\}$ we obtain a representation of its variational S -limits in terms of pointwise limits of Moreau-Yosida approximations. Bibliography: 4 titles.

Let (X, τ) be a topological vector space with a countable local base, i.e., it has an invariant metric d_τ that agrees with the τ -topology. Consider in (X, τ) a net of conditional minimization problems,

$$\left\{ \left\langle \inf_{x \in X_\alpha} F^\alpha(x) \right\rangle, \alpha \in A \right\}, \quad (1)$$

and the corresponding net of functions

$$\{F^\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{R}\}_{\alpha \in A}. \quad (2)$$

Here $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is an arbitrary family of subsets of the space (X, τ) and A is a partially ordered set of indices with increasing order. In the sequel, any local optimization problem of the form $\langle \inf_{x \in E} F(x) \rangle$ is understood as an object defined by the pair $\langle F, E \rangle$, whereas we denote by $\inf_{x \in E} F(x)$ the least value of the function $F: X \rightarrow \bar{R}$ on the set E .

It was shown in [1] that the net of problems (1) can be put into correspondence with the so-called lower, \mathcal{P}_s , and upper, \mathcal{P}^s , S -limits that are also conditional optimization problems with the following structure:

$$(\mathcal{P}_s): \left\langle \inf_{x \in (\tau-Ls X_\alpha)} (\tau-li_s F^\alpha)(x) \right\rangle, \quad (\mathcal{P}^s): \left\langle \inf_{x \in (\tau-Li X_\alpha)} (\tau-ls_s F^\alpha)(x) \right\rangle. \quad (3)$$

Here $\tau-Li X_\alpha$ and $\tau-Ls X_\alpha$ are, respectively, the lower and upper topological limit of the net of sets $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ and the function $\tau-li_s F^\alpha: (\tau-Ls X_\alpha) \rightarrow \bar{R}$ is the lower (and $\tau-ls_s F^\alpha: (\tau-Li X_\alpha) \rightarrow \bar{R}$ is the upper) S -limit of the functional net (2).

We say that the problem $\langle \inf_{x \in (\tau-Li X_\alpha)} (\tau-lm_s F^\alpha)(x) \rangle$ is the strong variational S -limit of a family of problems (1) if for every value $x \in (\tau-Li X_\alpha)$ we have

$$\tau-li_s F^\alpha(x) = \tau-ls_s F^\alpha(x) \triangleq \tau-lm_s F^\alpha(x). \quad (4)$$

Correspondingly, the problem $\langle \inf_{x \in (\tau-Lm X_\alpha)} (\tau-lm_s F^\alpha)(x) \rangle$ is called the absolute variational S -limit if condition (4) holds and there exists a topological limit $\tau-Lm X_\alpha$ of the net of sets $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$, i.e.,

$$\tau-Li X_\alpha = \tau-Ls X_\alpha \triangleq \tau-Lm X_\alpha.$$

The aim of this article is to show that variational S -limits of such nets, as well as topological limits of the sets $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$, can be represented in terms of pointwise limits of nets of τ -continuous approximations to functions of the form (2). Assuming that each function $F^\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{R}$ may be undefined outside the corresponding set X_α , let us introduce a natural generalization of the notion of the Moreau-Yosida approximation [2, 3].

Translated from *Obchyslyval'na ta Prykladna Matematyka*, No. 81, 1997, pp. 62–69. Original article submitted March 6, 1997.

Definition 1. For every value of $\alpha \in A$ and constants $\lambda > 0$ and $\beta > 0$, the function $F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha: X \rightarrow \overline{R}$ is called the Moreau–Yosida approximation of the function $F^\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow \overline{R}$ with degree β and index λ if

$$F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x) = \inf_{y \in X_\alpha} \{F_\alpha(y) + \lambda^{-1}d_\tau^\beta(x, y)\} \quad \text{for all } x \in X. \quad (5)$$

We give the main properties of the functions $F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha$ without proof; one can check these properties by using the schemes of proofs of Theorems 9.13 and 9.15 of [4].

Propositions 1. Let (X, d_τ) be a metric space. Then for any function $F^\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow \overline{R}$, its Moreau–Yosida approximation $F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha: X \rightarrow \overline{R}$, for $\lambda > 0$ and $\beta > 0$, is the greatest function from $Q: X \rightarrow \overline{R}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) $Q(x) \leq F^\alpha(x)$ for all $x \in X_\alpha$;
- (b) Q is Hölder continuous with degree β and factor λ^{-1} , i.e., for all $x, y \in X$, we have

$$Q(x) \leq Q(y) + \lambda^{-1}d_\tau^\beta(x, y).$$

Proposition 2. Let (X, d_τ) be a metric space and $F^\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be an arbitrary nonnegative function. Let x_0 be any point of X such that $F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x_0) \leq M$, where $M \geq 0$, $\lambda > 0$, and $\beta > 0$. Then there exists a constant $c = c(M, \lambda, \beta, r)$ such that

$$F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x) - F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(y) \leq c \cdot d_\tau(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ that satisfy the conditions $d_\tau(x, x_0) \leq r$, $d_\tau(y, x_0) \leq r$.

The following results reflect the possibility of a unique representation of lower semicontinuous functions $F^\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow \overline{R}$ in terms of their Moreau–Yosida approximations.

Lemma 1. Let (X, τ) be a metrizable topological space and $F^\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be an arbitrary function satisfying the condition $F^\alpha \neq \infty$. Then, for all $\beta > 0$, we have

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x) = \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x) = \text{sc}^- F^\alpha(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha, \quad (6)$$

where $\text{sc}^- F^\alpha: \text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a lower semicontinuous regularization of the function $F^\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ and $\text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha$ is the closure of its domain.

Proof. Using identity (5), we have for all $y \in X_\alpha$

$$F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x) \leq F_\alpha(y) + \lambda^{-1}d_\tau^\beta(x, y).$$

By setting $x = y$, we get

$$F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x) \leq F^\alpha(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X_\alpha. \quad (7)$$

Since this identity holds for all $\lambda > 0$, we get, by passing in (17) to a τ -lower semicontinuous regularization,

$$\text{sc}^- \sup_{\lambda > 0} F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x) \leq \text{sc}^- F^\alpha(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha.$$

The functions $F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha$ are τ -continuous, hence the function $\sup_{\lambda > 0} F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x)$ is τ -lower semicontinuous. Consequently, we get from the above that $\sup_{\lambda > 0} F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x) \leq \text{sc}^- F^\alpha(x)$ for all $x \in \text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha$. Let us prove the converse inequality, i.e., that

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x) \geq \text{sc}^- F^\alpha(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha. \quad (8)$$

If $\sup_{\lambda>0} F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x) = +\infty$ at a chosen point $x \in \text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha$, then relation (18) is obvious. Let $\sup_{\lambda>0} F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x) < +\infty$. Since the function F^α is bounded on X_α from below, for every $\lambda > 0$ one can find an element $x_\lambda \in X_\alpha$ such that $F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x) \leq F^\alpha(x_\lambda) + \lambda^{-1}d_\tau^\beta(x, x_\lambda) \leq F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x) + \lambda$. Hence,

$$F^\alpha(x_\lambda) + \lambda^{-1}d_\tau^\beta(x, x_\lambda) \leq \sup_{\lambda>0} F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x) + \lambda, \quad (9)$$

and thus $d_\tau^\beta(x, x_\lambda) \leq \lambda \sup_{\lambda>0} F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x) + \lambda^2$, i.e., $d_\tau(x, x_\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

Since in a metrizable space (X, τ) , the τ -closure of the set X_α coincides with its d_τ -closure, it follows from the above that $x_\lambda \rightarrow x$, where $x \in \text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha$. Since $\sup_{\lambda>0} F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x) + \lambda \geq F^\alpha(x_\lambda)$, the relation (8) is obtained by passing to limit as $\lambda \downarrow 0$. This proves that the identity $\sup_{\lambda>0} F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x) = \text{sc}^- F^\alpha(x)$ holds on the set $\text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha$. Note that the mapping $\lambda \rightarrow F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x)$ is monotone increasing for every fixed value of x . Hence, $\sup_{\lambda>0} F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x) = \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x)$. \square

Corollary 1. *Let X_α be a subset of a metrizable topological space (X, τ) and $F^\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be an arbitrary bounded function. Then for any $\beta > 0$, we have $\text{Dom}(\sup_{\lambda>0} F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha) = \text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha$, where $\text{Dom}(f) = \{x \in X: f(x) < +\infty\}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1, $\sup_{\lambda>0} F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x) = \text{sc}^- F^\alpha(x)$ for all $x \in \text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha$. Since the function $F^\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is bounded, its τ -lower semicontinuous regularization $\text{sc}^- F^\alpha(x)$ is also continuous. Consequently,

$$\sup_{\lambda>0} F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x) < +\infty$$

for all $x \in \text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha$, and hence, $\text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha \subseteq \text{Dom}(\sup_{\lambda>0} F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha)$. Consider an arbitrary element x such that $x \notin \text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha$. The function F^α is nonnegative, hence for every value of $\lambda > 0$ there exists an element $x_\lambda \in X_\alpha$ such that $F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x) \leq F^\alpha(x_\lambda) + \lambda^{-1}d_\tau^\beta(x, x_\lambda) \leq F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x) + \lambda$. Consequently, $F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x) \geq \lambda^{-1}d_\tau^\beta(x, x_\lambda) - \lambda$. Since $\liminf_{\lambda \downarrow 0} d_\tau^\beta(x, x_\lambda) > 0$, we find that $\sup_{\lambda>0} F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x) = +\infty$. Hence, for the chosen element x , we have the inclusion $x \notin \text{Dom}(\sup_{\lambda>0} F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha)$. Thus the equality $\text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha = \text{Dom}(\sup_{\lambda>0} F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha)$ is proved. \square

The following result shows that it is possible to represent S -limits of nets of nonnegative functions in terms of the corresponding Moreau–Yosida approximations.

Theorem 1. *Let (X, τ) be a metrizable topological space, $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be a family of its τ -open subsets such that $\tau\text{-Li } X_\alpha \neq \emptyset$, and $\{F^\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow [0, +\infty]\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be an arbitrary net of functions each of which admits a continuation to the τ -closure of the corresponding subset X_α . Then for every value of $\beta > 0$, we have*

$$(\tau\text{-}li_s F^\alpha)(x) = \sup_{\lambda>0} \liminf_{\alpha \in A} F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x), \quad (10)$$

$$(\tau\text{-}ls_s F^\alpha)(x) = \sup_{\lambda>0} \limsup_{\alpha \in A} F_{\lambda,\beta}^\alpha(x). \quad (11)$$

We prove only identity (10), since the proof of the second relation is analogous. Let x be an arbitrary element from $\tau\text{-}Ls X_\alpha$. Introduce the following notation:

$$F_s(x) = (\tau\text{-}li_s F^\alpha)(x), \quad H_s(x) = \sup_{\lambda>0} \liminf_{\alpha \in A} \inf_{y \in X_\alpha} \{F_\alpha(y) + \lambda^{-1}d_\tau^\beta(x, y)\}.$$

Let a number $t \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $t < F_s(x)$. Using properties of S -limits, we see that the function $F_s(x)$ is the lower S -limit of the net

$$\{F^\alpha: \text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha \rightarrow [0, +\infty]\}_{\alpha \in A}.$$

Let $\mathcal{N}_\tau(x)$ be a filter of all τ -open neighborhoods of the point x . Therefore, one can find a neighborhood $U \in \mathcal{N}_\tau(x)$ such that

$$t < \liminf_{\substack{\alpha \in A \\ \text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha \cap U \neq \emptyset}} \inf_{y \in \text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha \cap U} F^\alpha(y).$$

Let the number $\lambda > 0$ be such that $d_\tau^\beta(x, y) > t \cdot \lambda$ for all $y \in \text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha \setminus U$. Then

$$t < \liminf_{\substack{\alpha \in A \\ \text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha \cap U \neq \emptyset}} \inf_{y \in \text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha \cap U} (F_\alpha(y) + \lambda^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y)) \liminf_{\substack{\alpha \in A \\ \text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha \cap U \neq \emptyset}} \inf_{y \in \text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha} (F_\alpha(y) + \lambda^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y)). \quad (12)$$

Consider those values of $\alpha \in A' \subset A$ for which $\text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha \cap U = \emptyset$. It is clear that for these α , the chosen point $x \in \tau\text{-}Ls X_\alpha$ does not belong to the set X_α , and hence,

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \inf_{y \in \text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha} \{F_\alpha(y) + \lambda^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y)\} = +\infty$$

for all $\alpha \in A$. Thus, there exists $\lambda^0 > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{\substack{\alpha \in A \\ \text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha \cap U \neq \emptyset}} \inf_{y \in \text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha} \{F_\alpha(y) + \lambda^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y)\} = \liminf_{\alpha \in A} \inf_{y \in \text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha} \{F_\alpha(y) + \lambda^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y)\}$$

for all $0 < \lambda < \lambda^0$. Since

$$\liminf_{\alpha \in A} \inf_{y \in \text{cl}_\tau X_\alpha} \{F_\alpha(y) + \lambda^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y)\} \leq \liminf_{\alpha \in A} \inf_{y \in X_\alpha} \{F_\alpha(y) + \lambda^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y)\} = \liminf_{\alpha \in A} F_{\lambda, \beta}^\alpha(x),$$

using (12) we get that $t < \liminf_{\alpha \in A} F_{\lambda, \beta}^\alpha(x) \leq H_s(x)$. Since the latter relation holds for all $t < F_s(x)$, the inequality

$$F_s(x) \leq H_s(x) \quad (13)$$

is proved.

Let us now prove an inequality converse to (13). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be an arbitrary fixed number. Since the metric d_τ is continuous on X , for a chosen point x there exists a neighborhood $U \in \mathcal{N}_\tau(x)$ such that $d_\tau^\beta(x, y) < \varepsilon$ for all $y \in U$. Hence, for every $\alpha \in A$ satisfying $X_\alpha \cap U \neq \emptyset$, we can write

$$\inf_{y \in X_\alpha} \{F_\alpha(y) + \lambda^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y)\} \leq \inf_{y \in X_\alpha \cap U} \{F_\alpha(y) + \lambda^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y)\} \leq \inf_{y \in X_\alpha \cap U} F_\alpha(y) + \varepsilon.$$

Then

$$\liminf_{\alpha \in A} F_{\lambda, \beta}^\alpha(x) \leq \liminf_{\substack{\alpha \in A \\ X_\alpha \cap U \neq \emptyset}} \inf_{y \in X_\alpha \cap U} F_\alpha(y) \leq F_s(x) + \varepsilon.$$

This inequality holds for any $\lambda > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, so that we get

$$H_s(x) \leq F_s(x). \quad (14)$$

Thus, since the point $x \in \tau\text{-}Ls X_\alpha$ was chosen arbitrarily, relations (13)–(14) yield equality (10).

The following theorem gives an analytical representation for topological limits of an arbitrary net of subsets of a metrizable topological space.

Theorem 2. Let (X, τ) be a metrizable topological space, $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be a family of its subsets, and

$$\{F^\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow [0, +\infty)\}_{\alpha \in A}$$

be an arbitrary equibounded (i.e., uniformly bounded) net of functions. Then

$$\tau\text{-}Li X_\alpha = \text{Dom} \left(\sup_{\lambda > 0} \limsup_{\alpha \in A} F_{\lambda, \beta}^\alpha \right), \quad (15)$$

$$\tau\text{-}Ls X_\alpha = \text{Dom} \left(\sup_{\lambda > 0} \liminf_{\alpha \in A} F_{\lambda, \beta}^\alpha \right), \quad (16)$$

where $\beta > 0$ is an arbitrary constant and $\text{Dom}(f)$ is an effective set of functions $f: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$.

Proof. Since the net of functions,

$$\{F^\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow [0, +\infty)\}_{\alpha \in A}$$

is equibounded, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $F^\alpha(x) \leq c$ for all $x \in X_\alpha$ and for all $\alpha \in A$. Thus the lower and upper S -limits of the net will also be bounded functions. Hence, using (10)–(11) we get

$$\tau-Li X_\alpha \subseteq \text{Dom} \left(\sup_{\lambda > 0} \limsup_{\alpha \in A} F_{\lambda, \beta}^\alpha \right), \quad (17)$$

$$\tau-Ls X_\alpha \subseteq \text{Dom} \left(\sup_{\lambda > 0} \liminf_{\alpha \in A} F_{\lambda, \beta}^\alpha \right). \quad (18)$$

Therefore, in order to prove (15), it is sufficient to show that for all $x \in X \setminus \tau-Li X_\alpha$, the following condition holds:

$$x \notin \text{Dom} \left(\sup_{\lambda > 0} \liminf_{\alpha \in A} F_{\lambda, \beta}^\alpha \right). \quad (19)$$

Let x be an arbitrary element of $X \setminus \tau-Li X_\alpha$. Then for every neighborhood $U \in \mathcal{N}_\tau(x)$ there exists a subnet $\{Y_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Lambda}$ of the net $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ such that $U \cap Y_\gamma = \emptyset$ for all $\gamma \in \Lambda$. Since the functions F^α are nonnegative and bounded, for every fixed $\lambda > 0$ there exist elements $x_\lambda^\alpha \in X_\alpha$ satisfying the relation $F_{\lambda, \beta}^\alpha(x) \leq F^\alpha(x_\lambda^\alpha) + \lambda^{-1}d_\tau^\beta(x, x_\lambda^\alpha) \leq F_{\lambda, \beta}^\alpha(x) + \lambda$, whence we find that

$$F_{\lambda, \beta}^\alpha(x) \geq \lambda^{-1}d_\tau^\beta(x, x_\lambda^\alpha) - \lambda. \quad (20)$$

Let $\{y_\lambda^\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Lambda}$ be a subnet of the net $\{x_\lambda^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ corresponding to the choice of $\{Y_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Lambda}$. Then (20) implies the obvious inequality

$$\limsup_{\alpha \in A} F_{\lambda, \beta}^\alpha(x) \geq \lambda^{-1} \limsup_{\alpha \in A} d_\tau^\beta(x, x_\lambda^\alpha) - \lambda \geq \lambda^{-1} \limsup_{\gamma \in \Lambda} d_\tau^\beta(x, y_\lambda^\gamma) - \lambda.$$

Since $U \cap Y_\gamma = \emptyset$, one can find a constant $c > 0$ such that $d_\tau^\beta(y, y_\lambda^\gamma) \geq c$ for all $y \in U$ and $\gamma \in \Lambda$. Consequently,

$$\limsup_{\alpha \in A} F_{\lambda, \beta}^\alpha(x) \geq \lambda^{-1}c - \lambda,$$

whence we find that $\sup_{\lambda > 0} \limsup_{\alpha \in A} F_{\lambda, \beta}^\alpha(x) = +\infty$, i.e., inclusion (19) holds. Thus, inequality (15) is proved.

Let us now prove relation (16). Let x be an arbitrary element of $X \setminus \tau-Ls X_\alpha$. Then there exists a neighborhood $U \in \mathcal{N}_\tau(x)$ and $\mu \in A$ such that $U \cap X_\alpha = \emptyset$ for all $\alpha \geq \mu$. Thus it follows from (20) that $\liminf_{\alpha \in A} F_{\lambda, \beta}^\alpha(x) \geq \lambda^{-1} \liminf_{\alpha \in A} d_\tau^\beta(x, x_\lambda^\alpha) - \lambda$, where elements of the net $\{x_\lambda^\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ satisfy the condition $x_\lambda^\alpha \in X_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in A$. Since $U \cap X_\alpha = \emptyset$ for all $\alpha \geq \mu$, one can find a constant $c > 0$ such that $d_\tau(y, x_\lambda^\alpha) \geq c$ for all $y \in U$ and $\alpha \geq \mu$. Thus, $\liminf_{\alpha \in A} F_{\lambda, \beta}^\alpha(x) \geq \lambda^{-1}c - \lambda$, whence we have the obvious relation

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} \liminf_{\alpha \in A} F_{\lambda, \beta}^\alpha(x) = +\infty.$$

Thus, for all elements $x \notin X \setminus \tau-Ls X_\alpha$, the condition $x \notin \text{Dom}(\sup_{\lambda > 0} \liminf_{\alpha \in A} F_{\lambda, \beta}^\alpha)$ holds. Consequently, using inclusion (18), we get identity (16). \square

Remark 1. As follows from the above theorem, relations (15)–(16) hold for an arbitrary equibounded net of functions

$$\{F^\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow [0, +\infty)\}_{\alpha \in A}.$$

Therefore, in order to find the lower and upper topological limits of an arbitrary family of subspaces $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of a metrizable topological space, one can use the following representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau-Li X_\alpha &= \text{Dom} \left(\sup_{\lambda > 0} \limsup_{\alpha \in A} \inf_{y \in X_\alpha} (c + \lambda^{-1}d_\tau^\beta(x, y)) \right), \\ \tau-Ls X_\alpha &= \text{Dom} \left(\sup_{\lambda > 0} \liminf_{\alpha \in A} \inf_{y \in X_\alpha} (c + \lambda^{-1}d_\tau^\beta(x, y)) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where $c > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ are arbitrary constants.

Thus, a net of sets $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ has a topological limit if for an arbitrary $\lambda > 0$ and some $c > 0$ and $\beta > 0$, the sequence

$$\left\{ \inf_{y \in X_\alpha} (c + \lambda^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y)) \right\}_{\alpha \in A}$$

of real numbers has a limit at every point of X and there exists at least one point $x \in X$ such that

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} \lim_{\alpha \in A} \inf_{y \in X_\alpha} (c + \lambda^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y)) < +\infty.$$

In this case, the topological limit can be represented as

$$\tau-Lm X_\alpha = \text{Dom} \left(\sup_{\lambda > 0} \lim_{\alpha \in A} \inf_{y \in X_\alpha} (c + \lambda^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y)) \right).$$

Remark 2. According to the above results, the following representations hold for the lower and upper variational S -limits of a net of minimization problems (1) in a metrizable space (X, τ) :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_s: \left\langle \inf_{x \in (\tau-Ls X_\alpha)} F_s(x) \right\rangle \\ = \left\langle \inf_{\sup_{\lambda > 0} \lim_{\alpha \in A} \inf_{y \in X_\alpha} (c + \lambda^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y))} \left(\sup_{\lambda > 0} \lim_{\alpha \in A} \inf_{y \in X_\alpha} \{F_\alpha(y) + \lambda^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y)\} \right) \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}^s: \left\langle \inf_{x \in (\tau-Li X_\alpha)} F^s(x) \right\rangle \\ = \left\langle \inf_{\sup_{\lambda > 0} \limsup_{\alpha \in A} \inf_{y \in X_\alpha} (c + \lambda^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y))} \left(\sup_{\lambda > 0} \limsup_{\alpha \in A} \inf_{y \in X_\alpha} \{F_\alpha(y) + \lambda^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y)\} \right) \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $c > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ are arbitrary constants.

Theorem 3. Let (X, τ) be a metrizable topological space,

$$\{F^\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow [0, +\infty)\}_{\alpha \in A}$$

be an equibounded net of functions, and $F: E \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a lower semicontinuous function with the nonempty domain

$$E = \text{Dom} \left(\sup_{\lambda > 0} \limsup_{\alpha \in A} \inf_{y \in X_\alpha} (c + \lambda^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y)) \right)$$

(here $c > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ are certain constants). Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) $\langle \inf_{x \in E} F(x) \rangle$ is a strong variational S -limit of the net of conditional minimization problems (1);
- (b) for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x \in E$, the following relation holds:

$$\inf_{y \in E} (F(y) + \lambda_j^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y)) = \lim_{\alpha \in A} \inf_{y \in X_\alpha} (F^\alpha(y) + \lambda_j^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y)),$$

where $\{\lambda_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a monotone decreasing sequence of positive numbers.

Proof. Note that, by Remark 1, the set E coincides with the lower topological limit $\tau-Li X_\alpha$. Let us prove the implication (a) \Rightarrow (b). Suppose condition (a) holds. Then the function $F: E \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is the S -limit of the net of functions $\{F^\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow [0, +\infty)\}_{\alpha \in A}$. Since the S -limit is stable with respect to τ -continuous perturbations (see, for example, [4] Proposition 6.20), for fixed values of $\lambda_j > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ the function $F(y) + \lambda_j^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y)$ is the S -limit of the net

$$\{F^\alpha(y) + \lambda_j^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y)\}_{\alpha \in A}; \quad (22)$$

here $y \in E$. On the other hand, net (22) is τ -equicoercive for every value of $x \in X$. Consequently, condition (b) is a direct consequence of Theorem 4 of [1]. Thus, the implication (a) \Rightarrow (b) is proved.

Let us prove the converse. Suppose that condition (b) holds. By Theorem 1, the relation

$$(\tau\text{-}li_s F^\alpha)(x) = (\tau\text{-}ls_s F^\alpha)(x) = \sup_{j \in N} \inf_{y \in (\tau\text{-}Li X_\alpha)} (F(y) + \lambda_j^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y))$$

holds on the set $\tau\text{-}Li X_\alpha$. By the conditions of the theorem, the function $F: (\tau\text{-}Li X_\alpha) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is τ -lower semicontinuous. Hence, according to Lemma 1, we can write

$$F(x) = \sup_{j \in N} \inf_{y \in (\tau\text{-}Li X_\alpha)} (F(y) + \lambda_j^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y)).$$

Therefore, for all $x \in (\tau\text{-}Li X_\alpha)$ the relation

$$F(x) = (\tau\text{-}li_s F^\alpha)(x) = (\tau\text{-}ls_s F^\alpha)(x)$$

holds.

Thus the function F is the S -limit of the net

$$\{F^\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow [0, +\infty)\}_{\alpha \in A},$$

whence $\langle \inf_{x \in E} F(x) \rangle$ is the strong variational S -limit of the family of optimization problems (1). This proves the converse implication, (b) \Rightarrow (a). \square

Corollary. *If the set E in the theorem can be represented as*

$$E = \text{Dom} \left(\sup_{\lambda > 0} \lim_{\alpha \in A} \inf_{y \in X_\alpha} (c + \lambda^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y)) \right),$$

then, by Remark 1, the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) *for a net of conditional optimization problems (1), there exists an absolute variational S -limit, and it can be represented as $\langle \inf_{x \in E} F(x) \rangle$;*
- (b) *for every $j \in N$ and all $x \in E$, the following relation holds:*

$$\inf_{y \in E} (F(y) + \lambda_j^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y)) = \lim_{\alpha \in A} \inf_{y \in X_\alpha} (F^\alpha(y) + \lambda_j^{-1} d_\tau^\beta(x, y)),$$

where $\{\lambda_j\}_{j \in N}$ is a decreasing to zero monotone sequence of positive numbers.

REFERENCES

1. P. I. Kogut, "Variational S -convergence of minimization problems. Part I. Definitions and main properties," *Probl. Upravlen. Inf.*, No. 5, 29–43 (1996).
2. J. J. Moreau, "Proximite et dualite dans un espace hilbertien," *Bull. Soc. Mat. France*, **93**, 273–299 (1965).
3. R. T. Rockafellar, "Characterization of the subdifferentials of convex functions," *Pacific J. Math.*, **17**, 497–510 (1966).
4. G. Dal Maso, *Introduction to Gamma-Convergence*, Birkhauser, Boston (1993).