STAT 479: Homework 3

Due: 11:59PM Feb 29, 2025 by Canvas

1. Variable Elimination in a Bayesian Network

(20 points)

Consider a Bayesian network with the following structure:

$$A \to B \to C$$
, $A \to D \to C$, $A \to E$

with categorical random variables A, B, C, D, E. The joint probability is thus:

$$P(A, B, C, D, E) = P(A)P(B \mid A)P(D \mid A)P(C \mid B, D)P(E \mid A).$$

We want to compute the conditional probability:

$$P(E = e|B = b) = \frac{P(B = b, E = e)}{P(B = b)}$$

which requires computing P(B=b,E=e) and normalizing over e. Let's walk through Variable Elimination to see the most efficient way to answer this query.

- (a) **Incorporating Evidence**: Variable elimination starts by incorporating the evidence B = b in the joint distribution. What is the correct way to modify the joint distribution?
 - A. Keep all factors as is.
 - B. Set B = b in all factors where it appears:

$$P(A)P(B = b|A)P(D|A)P(C|B = b, D)P(E|A)$$

- C. Remove all factors that contain B: P(A)P(D|A)P(C|D)P(E|A)
- D. Sum out B immediately: $\sum_{B} P(A)P(B|A)P(D|A)P(C|B,D)P(E|A)$
- (b) Starting Elimination: After incorporating evidence, we have the factors:

$$f_1(A) = P(A), \quad f_2(A) = P(B = b|A), \quad f_3(A, D) = P(D|A)$$

 $f_4(D, C) = P(C|B = b, D), \quad f_5(A, E) = P(E|A)$

The first variable we eliminate is C, since it only appears in $f_4(D, C)$. What are the remaining factors after summing out C?

- A. $f_1(A), f_2(A), f_3(A, D), f_5(A, E), f_6(D)$
- B. $f_1(A), f_2(A), f_3(A, D), f_5(A, E), f_6(A, D)$
- C. $f_1(A), f_2(A), f_3(A, D), f_5(A, E), f_6(A, C)$
- D. $f_1(A), f_2(A), f_3(A, D), f_5(A, E), f_6(A)$

STAT 479 HW 3

(c) **Eliminating** D first, then A: Now we need to eliminate D and A. Suppose we eliminate D first. What would be the resulting factorization?

- A. $f_1(A), f_2(A), f_7(A), f_5(A, E)$, where $f_7(A) = \sum_D f_3(A, D) f_6(D)$
- B. $f_1(A), f_2(A), f_7(D), f_5(A, E), \text{ where } f_7(D) = \sum_D f_3(A, D) f_6(D)$
- C. $f_1(A), f_2(A), f_7(A, E), f_6(D)$, where $f_7(A, E) = \sum_D f_3(A, D) f_5(A, E)$
- D. $f_1(A), f_2(A), f_3(A, D), f_6(D), f_7(A, E), \text{ where } f_7(A, E) = \sum_D f_3(A, D) f_5(A, E)$
- (d) **Eliminating** A first, then D: Now, instead, suppose we eliminate A before eliminating D. What would be the resulting factorization?
 - A. $f_7(D, E), f_6(D), \text{ where } f_7(D, E) = \sum_A f_1(A) f_2(A) f_3(A, D) f_5(A, E)$
 - B. $f_1(A), f_2(A), f_7(A, D), f_5(A, E), \text{ where } f_7(A, D) = \sum_A f_3(A, D) f_6(D)$
 - C. $f_1(A), f_2(A), f_7(A, E), f_6(D)$, where $f_7(A, E) = \sum_A f_3(A, D) f_5(A, E)$
 - D. $f_1(A), f_2(A), f_3(A, D), f_7(A, E)$, where $f_7(A, E) = \sum_A f_3(A, D) f_5(A, E)$
- (e) Comparing the Orders: Based on your calculations above, which order is more efficient in terms of minimizing the largest intermediate factor?
 - A. Eliminating A before D is always more efficient.
 - B. Eliminating A before eliminating D is more efficient when |D| > |A|.
 - C. Both orders create the same largest intermediate factor in all cases.

Answer:

- (a)
- (b)
- (c)
- (d)
- (e)

2. Newton-Raphson for Poisson GLM

(30 points)

Consider a generalized linear model (GLM) where the response y follows a Poisson distribution in exponential family form $p(y|\eta) = h(y) \exp(\eta T(y) - A(\eta))$, with natural parameter $\eta = \mathbf{x}^T \beta$.

(a) **Exponential Family Form**: Derive the forms of T(y), $A(\eta)$, and E[y] for the Poisson distribution $P(y|\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^y e^{-\lambda}}{y!}$ when $\eta = \mathbf{x}^T \beta$. Select the correct expression:

A.
$$T(y) = y$$
, $A(\eta) = e^{\eta}$, $E[y] = e^{\mathbf{x}^T \beta}$

B.
$$T(y) = e^y$$
, $A(\eta) = \eta$, $E[y] = \mathbf{x}^T \beta$

C.
$$T(y) = y$$
, $A(\eta) = \eta^2$, $E[y] = 2\mathbf{x}^T \beta$

D.
$$T(y) = \ln y$$
, $A(\eta) = e^{-\eta}$, $E[y] = e^{-\mathbf{x}^T \beta}$

(b) **Log-Likelihood**: For a dataset $\{(\mathbf{x}_j, y_j)\}_{j=1}^n$ with $y_j \sim \text{Poisson}(e^{\mathbf{x}_j^T \beta})$, write the log-likelihood $\ell(\beta)$. Select the correct expression:

A.
$$\ell(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} [y_j \mathbf{x}_j^T \beta - e^{\mathbf{x}_j^T \beta} - \ln(y_j!)]$$

B.
$$\ell(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} [y_j e^{\mathbf{x}_j^T \beta} - \mathbf{x}_j^T \beta - y_j^2]$$

C.
$$\ell(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} [\ln(y_j) - e^{\mathbf{x}_j^T \beta} + \mathbf{x}_j^T \beta]$$

D.
$$\ell(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} [y_j - \ln(e^{\mathbf{x}_j^T \beta}) + \ln(y_j!)]$$

(c) **Gradient**: Compute the gradient $\nabla \ell(\beta)$ of the log-likelihood. Select the correct expression:

A.
$$\nabla \ell(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (y_j - e^{\mathbf{x}_j^T \beta}) \mathbf{x}_j$$

B.
$$\nabla \ell(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (e^{\mathbf{x}_{j}^{T}\beta} - y_{j})\mathbf{x}_{j}$$

C.
$$\nabla \ell(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_j \mathbf{x}_j e^{\mathbf{x}_j^T \beta}$$

D.
$$\nabla \ell(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_j / e^{\mathbf{x}_j^T \beta}$$

(d) Newton-Raphson Update: Given the Hessian $\mathbf{H} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{\mathbf{x}_{j}^{T} \beta} \mathbf{x}_{j} \mathbf{x}_{j}^{T}$, derive the Newton-Raphson update rule for β . Select the correct expression:

A.
$$\beta^{(t+1)} = \beta^{(t)} - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{\mathbf{x}_{j}^{T} \beta^{(t)}} \mathbf{x}_{j} \mathbf{x}_{j}^{T}\right)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (y_{j} - e^{\mathbf{x}_{j}^{T} \beta^{(t)}}) \mathbf{x}_{j}$$

B.
$$\beta^{(t+1)} = \beta^{(t)} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_j \mathbf{x}_j \mathbf{x}_j^T\right)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{\mathbf{x}_j^T \beta^{(t)}} \mathbf{x}_j$$

C.
$$\beta^{(t+1)} = \beta^{(t)} - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{j} \mathbf{x}_{j}^{T}\right)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{j} \mathbf{x}_{j}$$

D.
$$\beta^{(t+1)} = \beta^{(t)} + e^{\mathbf{x}_j^T \beta^{(t)}} \sum_{j=1}^n (y_j - \mathbf{x}_j^T \beta^{(t)}) \mathbf{x}_j$$

Answer:

STAT 479 HW 3

- (a)
- (c)
- (d)

3. MLE for Bayesian Network

(20 points)

Consider a simple Bayesian network $A \to B$ with binary variables $A, B \in \{0, 1\}$ and joint distribution P(A,B) = P(A)P(B|A). You have a complete dataset of n observations, where $n_{a,b}$ denotes the number of times (A = a, B = b) occurs.

Let
$$\theta_1 = P(A = 1), \theta_2 = P(B = 1 \mid A = 0), \theta_3 = P(B = 1 \mid A = 1).$$

- (a) **Log-Likelihood**: Write the log-likelihood $\ell(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$. Select the correct expression:
 - A. $\ell(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) = n_{0.0} \ln(1 \theta_1) + n_{0.1} \ln(1 \theta_1)\theta_2 + n_{1.0} \ln \theta_1 (1 \theta_3) + n_{0.0} \ln \theta_2 (1 \theta_3) + n_{0.0} \ln \theta_1 (1 \theta_3) + n_{0.0} \ln \theta_2 (1 \theta_3) + n_{0.0} \ln \theta_2 (1 \theta_3) + n_{0.0} \ln \theta_2 (1 \theta_3) + n_{0.0} \ln \theta_3 (1 \theta_3) + n$ $n_{1,1} \ln \theta_1 \theta_3$
 - B. $\ell(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) = n_{0,0} \ln[(1 \theta_1)(1 \theta_2)] + n_{0,1} \ln[(1 \theta_1)\theta_2] + n_{1,0} \ln[\theta_1(1 \theta_1)\theta_2]$ $|\theta_3| + n_{1,1} \ln[\theta_1 \theta_3]$
 - C. $\ell(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) = n \ln \theta_1 + n_{0,1} \ln \theta_2 + n_{1,1} \ln \theta_3$
 - D. $\ell(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) = n_{0.0} \ln \theta_1 + n_{0.1} \ln \theta_2 + n_{1.0} \ln \theta_3$
- (b) MLE for P(B=1|A=0): Derive the MLE for P(B=1|A=0) by maximizing the log-likelihood. Select the correct estimator:
 - A. $\hat{\theta}_{2,MLE} = \frac{n_{0,1}}{n_{0,0} + n_{0,1}}$
 - B. $\hat{\theta}_{2,MLE} = \frac{n_{0,1}}{n}$

 - C. $\hat{\theta}_{2,MLE} = \frac{n_{0,0} + n_{0,1}}{n}$ D. $\hat{\theta}_{2,MLE} = \frac{n_{1,1}}{n_{1,0} + n_{1,1}}$

Answer:

- (a)
- (b)

STAT 479 HW 3

4. Sharding a Bayesian Network

(20 points)

Consider a Bayesian network with structure $X_1 \to X_2 \to X_3$, $X_1 \to X_4$, $X_2 \to X_4$, and joint distribution $P(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = P(X_1)P(X_2|X_1)P(X_3|X_2)P(X_4|X_1, X_2)$.

- (a) **Sharding Variable**: Determine which set of variables, when conditioned on, shards the BN into two conditionally independent subgraphs, one containing X_1 and the other X_3 . Select the correct minimal set:
 - A. $\{X_2\}$
 - B. $\{X_1, X_2\}$
 - C. $\{X_2, X_4\}$
 - D. $\{X_4\}$

Hint: Use d-separation to find a minimal set that blocks all paths between X_1 and X_3 .

- (b) Using Sharding to Simplify Computation: Using the minimal sharding set from part (a), how can we compute $P(X_4)$ in a way that takes advantage of conditional independence?
 - A. Compute $P(X_4)$ in two independent steps: first sum over X_1 , then over X_2 .
 - B. Compute $P(X_4)$ in one step by marginalizing over X_1 and X_2 together.
 - C. Compute $P(X_4)$ by conditioning on X_3 , then summing over X_1, X_2 .
 - D. Compute $P(X_4)$ by first marginalizing X_3 , then summing over X_1, X_2 .
- (c) Efficiency of Distributed Computation: Suppose we distribute inference across separate computing units, where one unit handles (X_1, X_4) and another handles (X_3) . Which of the following best describes how sharding reduces computational complexity?
 - A. It allows us to compute $P(X_4)$ and $P(X_3)$ independently, reducing redundant summations.
 - B. It changes the factorization structure to remove dependencies between all variables.
 - C. It eliminates the need for marginalization when computing any query.
 - D. It makes all variables independent, allowing direct computation of individual probabilities.

- (a)
- (b)
- (c)

STAT 479 HW 3

5. Mid-Semester Feedback

(10 points)

We're one-third of the way through Stat 479! Your feedback will help improve the course.

- (a) Course Pacing: How do you feel about the pace of the course so far?
 - A. The pace is much too fast, and I struggle to keep up with the content.
 - B. The pace is slightly too fast, but I can manage with extra effort.
 - C. The pace is about right, balancing challenge and understanding.
 - D. The pace is too slow, and I'd prefer more challenging material sooner.
- (b) Lecture Clarity: How clear are the lectures' explanations and examples?
 - A. Lectures are very unclear, and I often leave confused.
 - B. Lectures are somewhat unclear, needing more examples or simpler explanations.
 - C. Lectures are mostly clear, with minor areas for improvement.
 - D. Lectures are very clear, and I grasp the material well from them.
- (c) **Assignment Difficulty**: How do you find the difficulty of the homework assignments?
 - A. Assignments are far too difficult, requiring excessive time or external help.
 - B. Assignments are challenging but manageable with effort and course resources.
 - C. Assignments are appropriately difficult, aligning well with lecture content.
 - D. Assignments are too easy, and I'd like more complex problems.
- (d) **Resource Usefulness**: How useful are the course resources (e.g., slides, notes, textbooks, office hours) in supporting your learning?
 - A. Resources are not useful, and I rarely rely on them.
 - B. Resources are somewhat useful, but I need more or better options.
 - C. Resources are generally useful, meeting most of my needs.
 - D. Resources are highly useful, significantly aiding my understanding.
- (e) **Time on Homework**: On average, how much time do you spend per week on homework assignments for this course?
 - A. 0-5 hours
 - B. 6-10 hours
 - C. 11-15 hours
 - D. 16 + hours
- (f) **Time on Readings**: On average, how much time do you spend per week on assigned readings or supplemental materials for this course?

STAT 479 HW 3

	A 0.11		
	A. 0-1 hours		
	B. 2-3 hours		
	C. 4-5 hours		
	D. 6+ hours		
(g)	Time on Lecture	Review: On average, how much time do you spend	per
	week reviewing lectur	re notes or recordings outside of class?	
	A 0-1 hours		

A. 0-1 hours

B. 2-3 hours

C. 4-5 hours

D. 6+ hours

(h) Open Feedback: In 3-5 sentences, provide any additional feedback about your experience in the course so far. What's working well, and what could be improved for the second half of the semester?

Answer:						
(a)						
(b)						
(c)						
(d)						
(e)						
(f)						
(g)						
(h)						