#### CS311H: Discrete Mathematics

#### Structural Induction

Instructor: Ișil Dillig

#### Structural Induction

- ▶ Last time, we talked about recursively defined structures like sets and strings
- ► Stuctural induction is a technique that allows us to apply induction on recursive definitions even if there is no integer
- ▶ Structural induction is also no more powerful than regular induction, but can make proofs much easier

## Structural Induction Overview

- ► Suppose we have:
  - lacktriangle a recursively defined structure S
  - ightharpoonup a property P we'd like to prove about S
- ► Structural induction works as follows:
  - 1. Base case: Prove  ${\cal P}$  about base case in recursive definition
  - 2. Inductive step: Assuming  ${\cal P}$  holds for sub-structures used in the recursive step of the definition, show that  ${\cal P}$  holds for the recursively constructed structure.

## Example 1

- ► Consider the following recursively defined set *S*:
  - 1.  $a \in S$
  - 2. If  $x \in S$ , then  $(x) \in S$
- ightharpoonup Prove by structural induction that every element in S contains an equal number of right and left parantheses.
- ▶ Base case: a has 0 left and 0 right parantheses
- ▶ Inductive step: By the inductive hypothesis, *x* has equal number, say n, of right and left parantheses.
- ▶ Thus, (x) has n+1 left and n+1 right parantheses.

## Example 2

- lacktriangle Consider the set S defined recursively as follows:
  - ▶ Base case:  $3 \in S$
  - ▶ Recursive step: If  $x \in S$  and  $y \in S$ , then  $x + y \in S$
- lacktriangleright Prove S is set of all positive integers that are multiples of 3
- $\,\blacktriangleright\,$  Let A be the set of all positive integers divisible by 3
- $lackbox{ We want to show that } A=S$
- lacktriangle To do this, we need to prove  $S\subseteq A$  and  $A\subseteq S$

#### Proof, Part I

Consider the set S defined recursively as follows:  $3 \in S$  and if  $x \in S$  and  $y \in S$ , then  $x + y \in S$ 

- ▶ Let's first prove  $S \subseteq A$ , i.e., any element in S is divisible by 3
- ► Base case:
- ► Inductive step:

#### Proof, Part II

- $\blacktriangleright$  Next, need to show S includes all positive multiples of 3
- ▶ Therefore, need to prove that  $3n \in S$  for all n > 1
- ▶ We'll prove this by induction on *n*:
  - ► Base case (n=1):
  - ► Inductive hypothesis:
  - Need to show:

#### Proving Correctness of Reverse

- $\blacktriangleright$  Earlier, we defined a reverse(w) function for length of strings:
  - ▶ Base case: reverse( $\epsilon$ ) =  $\epsilon$
  - ▶ Recursive step: reverse(wa) =  $a \cdot \text{reverse}(w)$  where  $w \in \Sigma^*$ and  $a\in \Sigma$
- ▶ Prove  $\forall y, x \in \Sigma^*$ . reverse(xy) = reverse(y) · reverse(x)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Let P(y) be the property

$$\forall x \in \Sigma^*$$
. reverse $(xy)$  = reverse $(y)$  · reverse $(x)$ 

▶ We'll prove by structural induction that  $\forall y \in \Sigma^*$ . P(y) holds

Proof of Correctness of Reverse, cont.

$$P(y): \forall x \in \Sigma^*. \text{ reverse}(xy) = \text{reverse}(y) \cdot \text{reverse}(x)$$

- ► Base case:
- ► Need to show:
- ▶ What is reverse( $x \cdot \epsilon$ )?
- ▶ What is reverse( $\epsilon$ ) · reverse(x)?
- ightharpoonup Thus, P(y) holds for base case

#### Proof of Correctness of Reverse, cont.

$$P(y): \forall x \in \Sigma^*. \text{ reverse}(xy) = \text{reverse}(y) \cdot \text{reverse}(x)$$

- ▶ Inductive step: y = za where  $z \in \Sigma^*$  and  $a \in \Sigma$
- ► Want to show:
- ightharpoonup reverse(xza) =
- ▶ By the inductive hypothesis, reverse(xz) =
- ▶ Thus,  $a \cdot \text{reverse}(xz) = a \cdot \text{reverse}(z) \cdot \text{reverse}(x)$
- ▶ By definition,  $a \cdot \text{reverse}(z) =$
- ▶ Hence,  $reverse(xza) = reverse(za) \cdot reverse(x)$

## One More Reverse Example

- ▶ Prove that reverse(reverse(s)) = s
- ▶ We'll prove this by structural induction
- ▶ But need previous lemma for the proof to go through!
- ► Base case:
- ► Need to show:
- ightharpoonup reverse( $\epsilon$ )) = reverse( $\epsilon$ ) =  $\epsilon$

#### One More Reverse Example, cont.

- ▶ Inductive step: s = wa where  $w \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $a \in \Sigma$
- ► Want to show:
- Using definition of reverse:

 $reverse(reverse(wa)) = reverse(a \cdot reverse(w))$ 

Using previous lemma,

 $\mathrm{reverse}(a \cdot \mathrm{reverse}(w)) =$ 

- ightharpoonup By inductive hypothesis, reverse(reverse(w)) =
- ightharpoonup Using definition of reverse, reverse(a) =
- ▶ Thus,  $reverse(a \cdot reverse(w)) = wa$

#### Structural vs. Strong Induction

- ▶ Structural induction may look different from other forms of induction, but it is an implicit form of strong induction
- ightharpoonup Intuition: We can define an integer k that represents how many times we need to use the recursive step in the definition
- ightharpoonup For base case, k=0; if we use recursive step once, k=1 etc.
- ▶ In inductive step, assume P(i) for  $0 \le i \le k$  and prove P(k+1)
- ▶ Hence, structural induction is just strong induction, but you don't have to make this argument in every proof!

# General Induction and Well-Ordered Sets

- ► Inductive proofs can be used for any well-ordered set
- ▶ A set S is well-ordered iff:
  - 1. Can define a total order  $\leq$  between elements of S ( $a \leq b$  or  $b \leq a$ , and  $\leq$  is symmetric and transitive)
  - 2. Every subset of S has a least element according to this total
- **Example:**  $(\mathbb{Z}^+, \leq)$  is well-ordered set with least element 1

#### Generalized Induction

- ► Can use induction to prove properties of any well-ordered set:
  - ▶ Base case: Prove property about least element in set
  - ▶ Inductive step: To prove P(e), assume P(e') for all e' < e
- ▶ Mathematical induction is just a special case of this

Ordered Pairs of Natural Numbers

- ▶ Consider the set  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , pairs of non-negative integers
- ▶ Let's define the following order <u></u>don this set:

$$(x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2)$$
 if  $\begin{cases} x_1 < x_2 \\ \text{or } x_1 = x_2 \land y_1 \leq y_2 \end{cases}$ 

- ► This is an example of lexicographic order, which is a kind of total order
- $\blacktriangleright$  Therefore,  $(\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N},\preceq)$  is a well-ordered set
- Question: What is the least element of this set?

## Generalized Induction Example

▶ Suppose that  $a_{m,n}$  is defined recursively for  $(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\begin{array}{lcl} a_{0,0} & = & 0 \\ a_{m,n} & = & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} a_{m-1,n}+1 & \text{if } n=0 \text{ and } m>0 \\ a_{m,n-1}+n & \text{if } n>0 \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

- ▶ Show that  $a_{m,n} = m + n(n+1)/2$
- ▶ Proof is by induction on (m, n) where  $(m, n) \in (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, \preceq)$
- ► Base case:
- ▶ By recursive definition,  $a_{0.0} = 0$
- $0+0\cdot 1/2=0$ ; thus, base case holds.

Inductive Step

Show  $a_{m,n} = m + n(n+1)/2$  for:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} a_{0,0} & = & 0 \\ \\ a_{m,n} & = & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} a_{m-1,n}+1 & \text{if } n=0 \text{ and } m>0 \\ \\ a_{m,n-1}+n & \text{if } n>0 \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

▶ Inductive hypothesis: For all  $(0,0) \le (i,j) < (k_1,k_2)$ :

$$a_{i,j} = i + \frac{j(j+1)}{2}$$

► Want to show:

### Example, cont.

Show  $a_{m,n} = m + n(n+1)/2$  for:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} a_{0,0} & = & 0 \\ \\ a_{m,n} & = & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} a_{m-1,n}+1 & \text{if } n=0 \text{ and } m>0 \\ \\ a_{m,n-1}+n & \text{if } n>0 \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

- ► Since recursive step of definition has two cases, we need to do proof by cases:
  - Case 1:  $k_2 = 0$ ,  $k_1 > 0$
  - Case 2:  $k_2 > 0$

Instructor: Isil Dillii

S311H: Discrete Mathematics Structural Induction

#### Example, cont.

Show  $a_{m,n} = m + n(n+1)/2$  for:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} a_{0,0} & = & 0 \\ \\ a_{m,n} & = & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} a_{m-1,n}+1 & \text{if } n=0 \text{ and } m>0 \\ \\ a_{m,n-1}+n & \text{if } n>0 \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

- ▶ Case 1:  $k_2 = 0, k_1 > 0$ . Then,  $a_{k_1,k_2} = a_{k_1-1,k_2} + 1$
- ▶ Since  $(k_1 1, k_2) < (k_1, k_2)$ , inductive hypothesis applies.
- ▶ By the IH, we know:

$$a_{k_1-1,k_2} = k_1 - 1 + \frac{k_2(k_2+1)}{2}$$

▶ But then  $a_{k_1,k_2} = a_{k_1-1,k_2} + 1 = k_1 + \frac{k_2(k_2+1)}{2}$ 

Instructor: Ișil Dilli

S311H: Discrete Mathematics Structural Induction

Example, cont.

Show  $a_{m,n} = m + n(n+1)/2$  for:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} a_{0,0} & = & 0 \\ a_{m,n} & = & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} a_{m-1,n}+1 & \text{if } n=0 \text{ and } m>0 \\ a_{m,n-1}+n & \text{if } n>0 \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

- ▶ Case 2:  $k_2 > 0$ . Then,  $a_{k_1,k_2} = a_{k_1,k_2-1} + k_2$
- ▶ Since  $(k_1, k_2 1) < (k_1, k_2)$ , inductive hypothesis applies.
- ▶ By the IH, we know:  $a_{k_1,k_2-1} =$
- ▶ But then  $a_{k_1,k_2} = k_1 + \frac{k_2(k_2-1)}{2} + k_2$
- $a_{k_1,k_2} = k_1 + \frac{k_2^2 k_2 + 2k_2}{2} = k_1 + \frac{k_2(k_2 + 1)}{2}$

Instructor: Ișil Dillig

CS311H: Discrete Mathematics Structural Induction

Another Example

 $\blacktriangleright$  Consider the function  $\mathbb{Z}^- \to \mathbb{Z}^-$  defined recursively as follows:

$$f(-1) = -1$$
  
 $f(n) = f(n+1) + n$  for  $n < -1$ 

▶ Prove that:

$$f(n) = -\frac{|n| \cdot (|n|+1)}{2}$$

▶ Hint: Consider  $(\mathbb{Z}^-, \preceq)$  where  $a \preceq b$  iff  $|b| \leq |a|$ 

Instructor: Ișil Dillig

CS311H: Discrete Mathematics Structural Induction