#### CS311H: Discrete Mathematics

#### Mathematical Induction

Instructor: Ișil Dillig

## Introduction to Mathematical Induction

- ▶ Many mathematical theorems assert that a property holds for all natural numbers, odd positive integers, etc.
- ► Mathematical induction: very important proof technique for proving such universally quantified statements
- ▶ Induction will come up over and over again in other classes:
  - ▶ algorithms, programming languages, automata theory, . . .

## Analogy



- Suppose we have an infinite ladder, and we know two things:
  - 1. We can reach the first rung of the ladder
  - 2. If we reach a particular rung, then we can also reach the next rung
- From these two facts, can we conclude we can reach every step of the infinite ladder?
- ► Answer is yes, and mathematical induction allows us to make arguments like this

Mathematical Induction

- ▶ Used to prove statements of the form  $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . P(x)
- ► An inductive proof has two steps:
  - 1. Base case: Prove that P(1) is true
  - 2. Inductive step: Prove  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .  $P(n) \to P(n+1)$
- ▶ Induction says if you can prove (1) and (2), you can conclude:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^+. P(x)$$

# Inductive Hypothesis

▶ In the inductive step, need to show:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+. P(n) \to P(n+1)$$

- lacktriangle To prove this, we assume P(n) holds, and based on this assumption, prove P(n+1)
- lacktriangle The assumption that P(n) holds is called the inductive hypothesis

Example 1

▶ Prove the following statement by induction:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \sum_{i=1}^n i = \frac{(n)(n+1)}{2}$$

- Base case: n=1. In this case,  $\sum_{i=1}^{1} i=1$  and  $\frac{(1)(1+1)}{2}=1$ ; thus, the base case holds.
- ▶ Inductive step: By the inductive hypothesis, we assume P(k):

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} i = \frac{(k)(k+1)}{2}$$

Now, we want to show P(k+1):

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$$

## Example 1, cont.

► First, observe:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i = \sum_{i=1}^{k} i + (k+1)$$

▶ By the inductive hypothesis,  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} i = \frac{(k)(k+1)}{2}$ ; thus:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i = \frac{(k)(k+1)}{2} + (k+1)$$

► Rewrite left hand side as:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i = \frac{k^2 + 3k + 2}{2} = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$$

► Since we proved both base case and inductive step, property holds.

H: Discrete Mathematics Mathematical Induction

#### Example 2

▶ Prove the following statement for all non-negative integers *n*:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} 2^{i} = 2^{n+1} - 1$$

▶ Left as "do-it-at-home exercise" with solution!

▶ Since need to show for all  $n \ge 0$  , base case is P(0), not P(1)!

▶ Base case (n = 0):  $2^0 = 1 = 2^1 - 1$ 

► Inductive step:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} 2^i = \sum_{i=0}^k 2^i + 2^{k+1}$$

Instructor: Isil Dilli

H: Discrete Mathematics Mathematical Induction

Example 2, cont.

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} 2^i = \sum_{i=0}^k 2^i + 2^{k+1}$$

▶ By the inductive hypothesis, we have:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} 2^i = 2^{k+1} - 1$$

► Therefore:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} 2^i = 2^{k+1} - 1 + 2^{k+1}$$

► Rewrite as:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} 2^i = 2 \cdot 2^{k+1} - 1 + = \frac{2^{k+2}}{2^k} - \frac{1}{2^k}$$

Instructor: Işıl Dilli

CS311H: Discrete Mathematics Mathematical Induction

### Example 3

 $\blacktriangleright$  Prove that  $2^n < n!$  for all integers  $n \geq 4$ 

 $\blacktriangleright$ 

 $\blacktriangleright$ 

•

Instructor: Ișil Dillig,

CS311H: Discrete Mathematics Mathematical Induction

# Example 4

 $lackbox{ Prove that } 3 \mid (n^3-n) \text{ for all positive integers } n.$ 

•

•

•

•

The Horse Paradox

► Easy to make subtle errors when trying to prove things by induction — pay attention to details!

► Consider the statement: All horses have the same color

What is wrong with the following bogus proof of this statement?

ightharpoonup P(n): A collection of <math>n horses have the same color

▶ Base case: P(1) ✓

Instructor: Ișil Dillig,

CS311H: Discrete Mathematics Mathematical Induction

Instructor: Işıl Dillig

CS311H: Discrete Mathematics Mathematical Induction

# Bogus Proof, cont.

- ▶ Induction: Assume P(k); prove P(k+1)
- ▶ Consider a collection of k+1 horses:  $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_{k+1}$
- ▶ By IH,  $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_k$  have the same color; let this color be c
- ▶ By IH,  $h_2, \ldots, h_{k+1}$  have same color; call this color c'
- ▶ Since  $h_2$  has color c and c', we have c = c'
- ▶ Thus,  $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_{k+1}$  also have same color
- ▶ What's the fallacy?

Instructor: Isil Dill

S311H: Discrete Mathematics Mathematical Induction

### Strengthening the Inductive Hypothesis

- $\blacktriangleright$  Suppose we want to prove  $\forall x\in\mathbb{Z}^+.P(x)$  , but proof doesn't go through
- **Common trick**: Prove a stronger property Q(x)
- ▶ If  $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^+.Q(x) \to P(x)$  and  $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^+.Q(x)$  is provable, this implies  $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^+.P(x)$
- ► In many situations, strengthening inductive hypothesis allows proof to go through!

Instructor: Ișil Dilli

5311H: Discrete Mathematics Mathematical Induction

### Example

- ▶ Prove the following theorem: "For all  $n \ge 1$ , the sum of the first n odd numbers is a perfect square."
- ▶ We want to prove  $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^+.P(x)$  where:

$$P(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2i - 1 = k^2$$
 for some integer k

► Try to prove this using induction...

Example, cont.

▶ Let's use a stronger predicate:

$$Q(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2i - 1 = n^2$$

- ▶ Clearly  $Q(n) \rightarrow P(n)$
- ▶ Now, prove  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+.Q(n)$  using induction!

Instructor: Isil Dillie

CS311H: Discrete Mathematics Mathematical Induction

Instructor: Ișil Dillig

CS311H: Discrete Mathematics Mathematical Induction

16/27

# Strong Induction

- ► Slight variation on the inductive proof technique is strong
- ▶ Regular and strong induction only differ in the inductive step
- ▶ Regular induction: assume P(k) holds and prove P(k+1)
- ▶ Strong induction: assume P(1), P(2), ..., P(k); prove P(k+1)
- Strong induction can be viewed as standard induction with strengthened inductive hypothesis!

Motivation for Strong Induction

- Prove that if n is an integer greater than 1, then it is either a prime or can be written as the product of primes.
- $\,\blacktriangleright\,$  Let's first try to prove the property using regular induction.
- ▶ Base case (n=2): Since 2 is a prime number, P(2) holds.
- ▶ Inductive step: Assume *k* is either a prime or the product of primes.
- ▶ But this doesn't really help us prove the property about k + 1!
- ▶ Claim is proven much easier using strong induction!

Instructor: Ișil Dillig

S311H: Discrete Mathematics Mathematical Induction

Instruc

CS311H: Discrete Mathematics Mathematical Induction

18/27

## **Proof Using Strong Induction**

Prove that if n is an integer greater than 1, then it is either a prime or can be written as the product of primes.

- ▶ Base case: same as before.
- ▶ Inductive step: Assume each of 2, 3, ..., k is either prime or product of primes.
- lacktriangle Now, we want to prove the same thing about k+1
- ▶ Two cases: k is either (i) prime or (ii) composite
- ▶ If it is prime, property holds.

Instructor: Isil Dill

S311H: Discrete Mathematics Mathematical Induction

Proof, cont.

- ▶ If composite, k+1 can be written as pq where  $2 \ge p, q \ge k$
- ightharpoonup By the IH, p,q are either primes or product of primes.
- lacktriangle Thus, k+1 can also be written as product of primes
- Observe: Much easier to prove this property using strong induction!

Instructor: Ișil Dilli

311H: Discrete Mathematics Mathematical Induction

20/27

#### A Word about Base Cases

- ▶ In all examples so far, we had only one base case
  - ▶ i.e., only proved the base case for one integer
- ▶ In some inductive proofs, there may be multiple base cases
  - ▶ i.e., prove base case for the first k numbers
- $\,\blacktriangleright\,$  In the latter case, inductive step only needs to consider numbers greater than k

Example

- ightharpoonup Prove that every integer  $n\geq 12$  can be written as n=4a+5b for some non-negative integers a,b.
- ightharpoonup Proof by strong induction on n and consider 4 base cases
- ▶ Base case 1 (n=12):  $12 = 3 \cdot 4 + 0 \cdot 5$
- ▶ Base case 2 (n=13):  $13 = 2 \cdot 4 + 1 \cdot 5$
- ▶ Base case 3 (n=14):  $14 = 1 \cdot 4 + 2 \cdot 5$
- ▶ Base case 4 (n=15):  $15 = 0 \cdot 4 + 3 \cdot 5$

Instructor: Isl Dillie

CS311H: Discrete Mathematics Mathematical Induction

Instructor: Ișil Dillig

CS311H: Discrete Mathematics Mathematical Induction

22/27

# Example, cont.

Prove that every integer  $n\geq 12$  can be written as n=4a+5b for some non-negative integers a,b.

- ▶ Inductive hypothesis: Suppose every  $12 \le i \le k$  can be written as i = 4a + 5b.
- ▶ Inductive step: We want to show k+1 can also be written this way for  $k+1 \geq 16$
- ▶ Observe: k + 1 = (k 3) + 4
- $\blacktriangleright$  By the inductive hypothesis, k-3=4a+5b for some a,b because  $k-3\geq 12$
- ▶ But then, k+1 can be written as 4(a+1)+5b

Instructor: Işıl Dillig

S311H: Discrete Mathematics Mathematical Induction

00.000

Another Example

 $\blacktriangleright$  For  $n\geq 1$  , prove there exist natural numbers a,b such that:

$$5^n = a^2 + b^2$$

 $\qquad \qquad \mathbf{Insight:} \ \, 5^{k+1} = 5^2 \cdot 5^{k-1}$ 

tor: Ipil Dillig, CS311H: Discrete Mathematics Mathematical Induction

te Mathematics Mathematical Induction 24/27

## Matchstick Example

- ▶ The Matchstick game: There are two piles with same number of matches initially
- ▶ Two players take turns removing any positive number of matches from one of the two piles
- ▶ Player who removes the last match wins the game
- ▶ Prove: Second player always has a winning strategy.

### Matchstick Proof

- ightharpoonup P(n): Player 2 has winning strategy if initially n matches in each pile
- ► Base case:
- ▶ Induction: Assume  $\forall j.1 \leq j \leq k \rightarrow P(j)$ ; show P(k+1)
- ► Inductive hypothesis:
- lacktriangle Prove Player 2 wins if each pile contains k+1 matches

Matchstick Proof, cont.

- ▶ Case 1: Player 1 takes k+1 matches from one of the piles.
- ▶ What is winning strategy for player 2
- ightharpoonup Case 2: Player 1 takes r matches from one pile, where  $1 \le r \le k$
- ightharpoonup Now, player 2 takes r matches from other pile
- ▶ Now, the inductive hypothesis applies ⇒ player 2 has winning strategy for rest of the game