VIRGINIA COMMONWEALTH UNIVERSITY

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS & MODELING

A1b: INDIAN PREMIER LEAGUE PLAYER DATA ANALYSIS USING PYTHON AND R

ADARSH BHARATHWAJ V01107513

Date of Submission: 18/06/2024

CONTENTS

Content:	Page no:
INTRODUCTION	3
OBJECTIVE	3
BUSINESS SIGNIFICANC	3-4
RESULTS AND INTERPRETATIONS	4-14

INDIAN PREMIER LEAGUE PLAYER DATA ANALYSIS USING PYTHON AND R

INTRODUCTION

The Indian Premier League (IPL), also known as the TATA IPL for sponsorship reasons, is a men's Twenty20 (T20) cricket league held annually in India. Founded by the BCCI (the Board of Control for Cricket in India) in 2007, the league features ten state or city-based franchise teams. It is one of the most celebrated cricket leagues globally, known for its blend of high-octane matches, international player participation, and significant commercial investment. The IPL has come a long way since its first season. According to a Forbes report in 2022, the average value of the IPL franchises shows an annualized growth rate of 24 percent, up from \$67 million in 2009 (of 8 teams) to \$1.04 billion in 2022 (of 10 teams).

OBJECTIVES

- a) Arrange the data IPL round-wise and batsman, ball, runs, and wickets per player per match.

 Indicate the top three run-getters and tow three wicket-takers in each IPL round.
- b) Fit the most appropriate distribution for runs scored and wickets taken by the top three batsmen and bowlers in the lost three IPL tournaments. Rename the districts as well as the sector, viz. rural and urban.
- c) Fit the most appropriate distribution for runs scored and wickets taken by the player allotted to you.

BUSINESS SIGNIFICANCE

Understanding the dynamics of the IPL is crucial for several stakeholders, including team owners, sponsors, broadcasters, and analysts, the datasets used in the analysis collectively offer a comprehensive overview of player financials and in-game performance metrics, which are essential for strategic decision-making and operational efficiency within the IPL ecosystem.

- Salary Dataset Analysis: By analyzing the dataset, we can provide detailed insights into player valuations, budget allocations, and salary cap usage. This enables teams to make informed decisions about player retention, trading, and new acquisitions, ensuring a balanced and competitive squad while maintaining financial discipline.
- Match Strategy Optimization: Detailed performance analytics help in identifying patterns and trends that can be crucial for match strategy. For instance, understanding

- player strengths and weaknesses against specific bowlers or in certain match situations can lead to more effective game plans and on-field tactics.
- Emerging Talent Spotting: With comprehensive performance data, it becomes easier to spot emerging talents who may not be highly paid yet but show significant potential. This is invaluable for scouting and nurturing the next generation of IPL stars.
- Comparative Performance Analysis: Comparing players across different seasons and formats helps in assessing their consistency and adaptability, providing a holistic view of their potential contributions to the team.
- Enhanced Fan Experience: Sharing insightful and engaging player and team statistics with fans can enhance their viewing experience and deepen their connection with the IPL. Interactive data visualizations and player performance dashboards can be incorporated into apps and websites.

The IPL can continue to refine its competitive edge over other popular franchise cricket tournaments such as the Big Bash from Australia, The Pakistan Super league and The Caribbean Premier League, maximize financial efficiency, and enhance the overall experience for players, teams, and fans alike.

RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION

a) Arrange the data IPL round-wise and batsman, ball, runs, and wickets per

player per match. Indicate the top three run-getters and tow three wicket-takers in each IPL round.

Code:

```
top_run_getters = player_runs.groupby('Season').apply(lambda x: x.nlargest(3,
    'runs_scored')).reset_index(drop=True)
bottom_wicket_takers = player_wickets.groupby('Season').apply(lambda x:
    x.nlargest(3, 'wicket_confirmation')).reset_index(drop=True)
print("Top Three Run Getters:")
print(top_run_getters)
print("Top Three Wicket Takers:")
print(bottom_wicket_takers)
```

Result:

Top Three Run Getters:

	Season	Striker runs	_scored
0	2007/08	SE Marsh	616
1	2007/08	G Gambhir	534
2	2007/08	ST Jayasuriya	514
3	2009	ML Hayden	572
4	2009	AC Gilchrist	495
5	2009 A	AB de Villiers	465
6	2009/10	SR Tendulkar	618
7	2009/10	JH Kallis	572
8	2009/10	SK Raina	528
42	2022	JC Buttler	863
43	2022	KL Rahul	616
44	2022	Q de Kock	508
45	2023	Shubman Gill	890
46	2023	F du Plessis	730
47	2023	DP Conway	672
48	3 2024	RD Gaikwad	509
49	2024	V Kohli	500
50	2024	B Sai Sudharsan	418

Top Three Wicket Takers:

	Season	Bowler wicket_confirmation	
0	2007/08	Sohail Tanvir	24
1	2007/08	IK Pathan	20
2	2007/08	JA Morkel	20
3	2009	RP Singh	26
4	2009	A Kumble	22
5	2009	A Nehra	22
6	2009/10	PP Ojha	22
7	2009/10	A Mishra	20
8	2009/10	Harbhajan Singh	20
39	2021	HV Patel	35
40	2021	Avesh Khan	27
41	2021	JJ Bumrah	22
42	2022	YS Chahal	29
43	2022	PWH de Silva	27
44	2022	K Rabada	23
45	2023	MM Sharma	31
46	2023	Mohammed Shami	28

```
      47
      2023
      Rashid Khan
      28

      48
      2024
      HV Patel
      19

      49
      2024
      Mukesh Kumar
      15

      50
      2024
      Arshdeep Singh
      14
```

Interpretation: The data shows the top three players in terms of runs scored for each cricket season from 2007/08 to 2024, and similarly for the top three bowlers in terms of wickets taken for each cricket season from 2007/08 to 2024. It can be observed that over the various iterations of IPI there have been varying totals of runs scored across different seasons, with some seasons showing notably high totals (e.g., 2023 and 2022). While speaking about the bowlers. There is a range of wickets taken by different bowlers across seasons, with some seasons having higher wicket counts than others. Players like JC Buttler, Shubman Gill, HV Patel, and YS Chahal appear multiple times across different seasons, indicating their consistent performance

b) Fit the most appropriate distribution for runs scored and wickets taken by the top three batsmen and bowlers in the lost three IPL tournaments.

Finding the right distribution allows for accurate parameter estimation. Parameters like mean, variance, and shape parameters of the distribution can provide insights into the data's underlying characteristics.

Code:

```
import scipy.stats as st
def get best distribution(data):
    dist names = ['alpha', 'beta', 'betaprime', 'burr12', 'crystalball',
                   'dgamma', 'dweibull', 'erlang', 'exponnorm', 'f', 'fatiguelife',
                   'gamma', 'gengamma', 'gumbel_l', 'johnsonsb', 'kappa4',
                   'lognorm', 'nct', 'norm', 'norminvgauss', 'powernorm', 'rice',
                   'recipinvgauss','t','trapz','truncnorm']
    dist results = []
    params = \{\}
    for dist name in dist names:
        dist = getattr(st, dist name)
        param = dist.fit(data)
        params[dist name] = param
        # Applying the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test
        D, p = st.kstest(data, dist name, args=param)
        print("p value for "+dist name+" = "+str(p))
        dist results.append((dist name, p))
    # select the best fitted distribution
    best dist, best p = (max(dist results, key=lambda item: item[1]))
    \# store the name of the best \overline{\text{fit}} and its p value
    print("\nBest fitting distribution: "+str(best dist))
    print("Best p value: "+ str(best p))
    print("Parameters for the best \overline{\text{fit}}: "+ str(params[best dist]))
    return best dist, best p, params[best dist]
```

Result:

```
vear: 2024 Batsman: RD Gaikwad
p value for alpha = 2.599259711013304e-20
p value for beta = 0.02041902689492403
p value for betaprime = 0.019503763598668566
p value for burr12 = 0.46882020698395865
p value for crystalball = 0.24953646987270484
p value for dgamma = 0.1570743843120962
p value for dweibull = 0.20046582403736823
p value for erlang = 1.893799588395604e-06
p value for exponnorm = 0.4644304230917985
p value for f = 1.3560920695663998e-07
p value for fatiguelife = 1.304427037367869e-14
p value for gamma = 0.005830868576003678
p value for gengamma = 0.015331622187826577
p value for gumbel_l = 0.05546236480086586
p value for johnsonsb = 4.646964117947127e-13
p value for kappa4 = 0.006363220770325362
p value for lognorm = 1.1719355665219537e-16
p value for nct = 0.5881570496217807
p value for norm = 0.24953651809309751
p value for norminvgauss = 0.5538573365184996
p value for powernorm = 0.1788753268739086
p value for rice = 0.18287532184336575
p value for recipinvgauss = 0.06459275668874309
p value for t = 0.2494021485911212
p value for trapz = 7.476391685388162e-13
p value for truncnorm = 0.24173236832621992
Best fitting distribution: nct
Best p value: 0.5881570496217807
Parameters for the best fit: (5.718048022849898, 9.399490726283615, -54.25277343780452, 8.497060689079994)
```

Interpretation:

The code extracts the top batsmen from the dataset for the last three years. For each top batsman, it calls <code>get_best_distribution</code> with their run data to find the best-fitting distribution across various types of distribution. In one example; RD Gaikwad in 2024 had the best fit distribution with the <code>nct</code> (non-central t-distribution) and had a high p-value of <code>0.581837064728107</code>, indicating a reasonably good fit.

```
list_top_bowler_last_three_year = {}
for i in total_wicket_each_year["year"].unique()[:3]:
    list_top_bowler_last_three_year[i] =
total_wicket_each_year[total_wicket_each_year.year ==
i][:3]["Bowler"].unique().tolist()
list_top_bowler_last_three_year
import warnings
```

Result:

```
year: 2024 Bowler: HV Patel
p value for alpha = 0.0002993252328930706
p value for beta = 2.777571908776589e-19
p value for betaprime = 1.7052883875145053e-30
p value for burr12 = 5.427998338605459e-15
p value for crystalball = 1.1109118198587684e-05
p value for dgamma = 4.375428528574276e-05
p value for dweibull = 1.8553295107771936e-05
p value for erlang = 5.473635282991912e-24
p value for exponnorm = 0.0002813279943461815
p value for f = 1.9012983291282487e-09
p value for fatiguelife = 1.9734428958773156e-05
p value for gamma = 1.470787431589663e-16
p value for gengamma = 1.4345058849022962e-16
p value for gumbel_1 = 4.541523588271283e-05
p value for johnsonsb = 2.827201329331457e-51
p value for kappa4 = 9.177530010006471e-23
p value for lognorm = 5.2162358572043325e-22
p value for nct = 0.0001960277304576293
p value for norm = 1.1109124960635979e-05
p value for norminvgauss = 3.811196478020768e-05
p value for powernorm = 3.2186417463058256e-05
p value for rice = 3.354567282896991e-05
p value for recipinygauss = 5.05058721389515e-12
p value for t = 9.451105792399515e-05
p value for trapz = 1.0447243016629734e-51
p value for truncnorm = 0.0002182292327632623
Best fitting distribution: alpha
Best p value: 0.0002993252328930706
Parameters for the best fit: (5.200800514990576, -4.106246473111661, 27.580368990504883)
```

<u>Interpretation</u>: The code refers to the function which is created get_best_distribution and then for each top batsman, it calls get_best_distribution with their run data to find the best-fitting distribution. Among one of the various distribution calculated for each of the top 3 wicket takers in the last three seasons of the IPL, The alpha distribution fits HV Patel's performance data for the year 2024 the best among the tested distributions. The relatively low p-value of 0.002099352328397306 suggests the fit might not be perfect, but it is the best among the options. The code effectively determines the best-fitting statistical distributions for performance data of cricketers. For HV Patel, the alpha distribution is the best fit, while for RD Gaikwad, the nct distribution fits best. The parameters provided can be used to further analyze or simulate the cricketers' performances.

c) Fit the most appropriate distribution for runs scored and wickets taken by the

player allotted to you.



Axar Patel, All Rounder, Delhi Capitals

Axar Patel is an Indian cricketer known for his all-round capabilities, particularly his left-arm orthodox spin bowling and left-handed batting. He has been a significant player in the Indian Premier League (IPL), contributing both with the ball and bat. Axar Patel's primary role in the IPL has been as a bowler. His left-arm spin is highly effective in controlling the run flow and picking up crucial wickets. While Axar Patel is primarily known for his bowling, he is also a handy lower-order batsman. His batting skills add depth to the batting lineup, allowing his team to have a reliable option to score quick runs in the final overs.

Code:

```
# Initialize the dictionary to store top bowlers for each of the last three years
axar patel bowl = { }
# Loop through the unique years in the dataset, limited to the last three years
for i in total_wicket_each_year["year"].unique()[:3]:
    # Filter the dataset to include only records for Axar Patel in the current year
    axar_patel_data = total_wicket_each_year[(total_wicket_each_year["year"] == i)
& (total_wicket_each_year["Bowler"] == "AR Patel")]
    # Get the unique list of years where Axar Patel appears in the filtered dataset
    axar_patel_bowl[i] = axar_patel_data["Bowler"].unique().tolist()
# Print the dictionary to verify the results
print(axar patel bowl)
import warnings
warnings.filterwarnings('ignore')
# Group by Bowler and Match id, then sum the wickets
wickets = ipl bbbc.groupby(['Bowler', 'Match
id'])[['wicket confirmation']].sum().reset index()
# Loop through the dictionary to process Axar Patel's data for each year
for year, bowlers in axar_patel_bowl.items():
    for bowler in bowlers:
        if bowler == "AR Patel":
           print("****************")
           print("year:", year, " Bowler:", bowler)
           get best distribution(wickets[wickets["Bowler"] ==
```

```
bowler]["wicket confirmation"])
               print("\n\n")
Result:
*********
year: 2024 Bowler: AR Patel
p value for alpha = 9.940012950298595e-19
p value for beta = 1.73089555773241e-31
p value for betaprime = 6.890602231402487e-28
p value for burr12 = 5.648773934180763e-20
p value for crystalball = 1.212835491802816e-07
p value for dgamma = 1.1945105340885417e-10
p value for dweibull = 4.834475183349857e-09
p value for erlang = 3.7936798489477985e-39
p value for exponnorm = 6.847790425577837e-20
p value for f = 5.648773934180763e-20
p value for fatiguelife = 6.574170250938941e-36
p value for gamma = 6.040604015697529e-29
p value for gengamma = 2.1676360523609773e-25
p value for gumbel_l = 1.2777338489201446e-08
p value for johnsonsb = 5.648773936049154e-20
p value for kappa4 = 4.002495349263793e-32
p value for lognorm = 2.021269059381295e-21
p value for nct = 2.4980624812823503e-11
p value for norm = 1.2128358700898914e-07
p value for norminy gauss = 0.0
p value for powernorm = 1.0293563251350029e-10
p value for rice = 1.0187539089487595e-10
p value for recipinvgauss = 2.906057975984643e-33
p value for t = 1.2246811911143535e-07
p value for trapz = 2.660180784883639e-76
p value for truncnorm = 5.651069592111697e-20
Best fitting distribution: t
Best p value: 1.2246811911143535e-07
Parameters for the best fit: (566.4804912469504, 0.8886439252542147, 0.8544360334114212)
```

Interpretation: After running the code for Axar Patel's performance with the ball in terms of wickets, the results of fitting various statistical distributions to the performance of bowler AR Patel for the years 2022, 2023, and 2024. The p-values for each distribution indicate the goodness of fit, with higher p-values suggesting a better fit. The best fitting distribution is the t-distribution has been consistent across all three years: 1.2246811911143535 ×10⁻⁷. Since the p-value is very low, this indicates that none of the distributions tested provide a good fit to the data. This suggests that AR Patel's performance data might not conform well to standard statistical distributions, or there may be outliers or a peculiar data structure that these distributions cannot capture.

```
Code:
# Initialize the dictionary to store top bowlers for each of the last three years
axar_patel_bat = {}
# Loop through the unique years in the dataset, limited to the last three years
for i in total_run_each_year["year"].unique()[:3]:
```

```
# Filter the dataset to include only records for Axar Patel in the current year
    axar patel data1 = total run each year[(total run each year["year"] == i) &
(total run each year["Striker"] == "AR Patel")]
    # Get the unique list of years where Axar Patel appears in the filtered dataset
    axar patel bat[i] = axar patel data1["Striker"].unique().tolist()
# Print the dictionary to verify the results
print(axar patel bat)
import warnings
warnings.filterwarnings('ignore')
# Group by Batsman and Match id, then sum the wickets
wickets = ipl bbbc.groupby(['Striker', 'Match
id'])[['runs scored']].sum().reset index()
# Loop through the dictionary to process Axar Patel's data for each year
for year, strikers in axar patel bat.items():
    for striker in strikers:
         if striker == "AR Patel":
             print("***********************")
             print("year:", year, "batsman:", striker)
             get best distribution(runs[runs["Striker"] ==striker]["runs scored"])
             print("\n\n")
Result:
********
vear: 2024 batsman: AR Patel
p value for alpha = 1.4283049006330874e-19
p value for beta = 0.08501064188004714
p value for betaprime = 9.200747163367085e-11
p value for burr12 = 0.4240145784486461
p value for crystalball = 0.029775015720014397
p value for dgamma = 0.008447321543132325
p value for dweibull = 0.0067651510035502405
p value for erlang = 0.0012434310409705773
p value for exponnorm = 0.44275294718405667
p value for f = 1.0828276463613638e-16
p value for fatiguelife = 0.22678195858041206
p value for gamma = 0.01941581626513733
p value for gengamma = 2.3537360809311073e-06
p value for gumbel l = 4.928627051090389e-06
p value for johnsonsb = 0.2513706078100967
p value for kappa4 = 2.0042162915949264e-21
p value for lognorm = 1.3560827213335702e-29
p value for nct = 0.3161622541605552
p value for norm = 0.029775039515882007
p value for norminygauss = 0.38491885655137925
p value for powernorm = 0.011133425872538627
p value for rice = 0.011334555411159908
p value for recipinvgauss = 0.0786826137997505
p value for t = 0.022362973623296645
p value for trapz = 1.5833056939085992e-52
p value for truncnorm = 0.07692749313709646
Best fitting distribution: exponnorm
Best p value: 0.44275294718405667
Parameters for the best fit: (2821.2456145120113, -0.014686214458803193, 0.005082734964125436)
```

```
********
vear: 2023 batsman: AR Patel
p value for alpha = 1.4283049006330874e-19
p value for beta = 0.08501064188004714
p value for betaprime = 9.200747163367085e-11
p value for burr12 = 0.4240145784486461
p value for crystalball = 0.029775015720014397
p value for dgamma = 0.008447321543132325
p value for dweibull = 0.0067651510035502405
p value for erlang = 0.0012434310409705773
p value for exponnorm = 0.44275294718405667
p value for f = 1.0828276463613638e-16
p value for fatiguelife = 0.22678195858041206
p value for gamma = 0.01941581626513733
p value for gengamma = 2.3537360809311073e-06
p value for gumbel 1 = 4.928627051090389e-06
p value for johnsonsb = 0.2513706078100967
p value for kappa4 = 2.0042162915949264e-21
p value for lognorm = 1.3560827213335702e-29
p value for nct = 0.3161622541605552
p value for norm = 0.029775039515882007
p value for norminygauss = 0.38491885655137925
p value for powernorm = 0.011133425872538627
p value for rice = 0.011334555411159908
p value for recipinvgauss = 0.0786826137997505
p value for t = 0.022362973623296645
p value for trapz = 1.5833056939085992e-52
p value for truncnorm = 0.07692749313709646
```

Best fitting distribution: exponnorm Best p value: 0.44275294718405667

Parameters for the best fit: (2821.2456145120113, -0.014686214458803193, 0.005082734964125436)

```
vear: 2022 batsman: AR Patel
p value for alpha = 1.4283049006330874e-19
p value for beta = 0.08501064188004714
p value for betaprime = 9.200747163367085e-11
p value for burr12 = 0.4240145784486461
p value for crystalball = 0.029775015720014397
p value for dgamma = 0.008447321543132325
p value for dweibull = 0.0067651510035502405
p value for erlang = 0.0012434310409705773
p value for exponnorm = 0.44275294718405667
p value for f = 1.0828276463613638e-16
p value for fatiguelife = 0.22678195858041206
p value for gamma = 0.01941581626513733
p value for gengamma = 2.3537360809311073e-06
p value for gumbel_l = 4.928627051090389e-06
p value for johnsonsb = 0.2513706078100967
p value for kappa4 = 2.0042162915949264e-21
p value for lognorm = 1.3560827213335702e-29
p value for nct = 0.3161622541605552
p value for norm = 0.029775039515882007
p value for norminygauss = 0.38491885655137925
p value for powernorm = 0.011133425872538627
p value for rice = 0.011334555411159908
p value for recipinygauss = 0.0786826137997505
p value for t = 0.022362973623296645
p value for trapz = 1.5833056939085992e-52
```

p value for truncnorm = 0.07692749313709646

Best fitting distribution: exponnorm Best p value: 0.44275294718405667

Parameters for the best fit: (2821.2456145120113, -0.014686214458803193, 0.005082734964125436)

Interpretation:

The provided data contains the results of fitting various statistical distributions to the batting performance of AR Patel for the years 2022, 2023, and 2024. For each of the years 2022, 2023, and 2024, the best fitting distribution is the Exponentially Modified Normal (exponnorm) distribution. The highest p-value obtained for the exponnorm distribution is consistent across all three years: 0.4427. This indicates that the exponnorm distribution provides a relatively good fit to the data compared to other distributions. The stability of the best fit parameters across the three years suggests that AR Patel's batting performance, in terms of the distribution of his batting metrics, has remained stable. This implies consistent performance levels or similar types of outcomes over these years.

d) Find the relationship between a player's performance and the salary he gets in your data.

```
Code:
R2024 =total run each year[total run each year['year']==2024]
from fuzzywuzzy import process
# Convert to DataFrame
df_salary = ipl_salary.copy()
df_runs = R2024.copy()
# Function to match names
def match names runs(name, names list):
  match, score = process.extractOne(name, names_list)
  return match if score >= 87 else None
# Create a new column in df salary with matched names from df runs
df_salary['Matched_Player'] = df_salary['Player'].apply(lambda x: match_names_runs(x,
df_runs['Striker'].tolist()))
# Merge the DataFrames on the matched names
df merged runs = pd.merge(df salary, df runs, left on='Matched Player', right on='Striker')
# Calculate the correlation
correlation = df_merged_runs['Rs'].corr(df_merged_runs['runs_scored'])
```

print("Correlation between Salary and Runs:", correlation)

Calculate the correlation
correlation = df_merged_wickets['Rs'].corr(df_merged_wickets['wicket_confirmation'])
print("Correlation between Salary and Wickets:", correlation)

Result:

Correlation between Salary and Runs: 0.3349654749323617 Correlation between Salary and Wickets: 0.2127466075152879

Interpretation:

To combine player salary data with their performance data (runs scored) for the year 2024, we had to use fuzzy string matching which uses Levenshtein Distance to calculate the differences between sequences, to match player names between the two datasets. Uses the fuzzywuzzy library to match player names from the salary data to the player names in the run data. Since the accuracy of the fuzzy score or threshold limit would directly impact the correlation, I have kept a high score of 87 to ensure there are no soft matches in the merged data frame. The value of 0.3349 indicates a moderate positive correlation between salary and runs scored. While not a very strong correlation, it suggests that, generally, players who earn higher salaries tend to score more runs, whereas the value of 0.21274 indicates a weak positive correlation between salary and wickets taken. This suggests that there is some positive relationship between higher salaries and the number of wickets taken, but it is relatively weak. The moderate correlation between salary and runs scored suggests that salaries may be more reflective of batting performance compared to bowling performance, as indicated by the weaker correlation between salary and wickets taken. However, in both cases, the correlations are not strong, indicating that many factors influence player performance beyond just their salaries.

