Modern C++ Programming

18. Advanced Topics II

Federico Busato

Table of Context

1 Undefined Behavior

■ Detecting Undefined Behavior

2 Error Handing

- C++ Exceptions
- Defining Custom Exceptions
- noexcept Keyword
- Memory Allocation Issues
- Alternative Error Handling Approaches

Table of Context

3 C++ Idioms

- Rule of Zero/Three/Five
- Singleton
- PIMPL
- CRTP
- Template Virtual Functions

Table of Context

4 Smart pointers

- std::unique_ptr
- std::shared_ptr
- std::weak_ptr

5 Concurrency

- Thread Methods
- Mutex
- Atomic
- Task-based parallelism

Undefined Behavior

Undefined Behavior Overview

Undefined behavior means that the semantic of certain operations is

- undefined/unspecified behavior: outside the language/library specification, two or more choices
- *illegal*, and the compiler presumes that such operations never happen

Motivations behind undefined behavior:

- Compiler optimizations, e.g. signed overflow or NULL pointer deferencing
- Simplify compile checks

Some undefined behavior cases provide an *implementation-defined behavior* depending on the compiler and platform. In this case, the code is *not portable*

- What Every C Programmer Should Know About Undefined Behavior, Chris Lattner
- $\hfill \blacksquare$ What are all the common undefined behaviours that a C++ programmer should know

const_cast applied to a const variables

```
const int var = 3;
const_cast<int>(var) = 4;
... // use var
```

Memory alignment

```
char* ptr = new char[512];
auto ptr2 = reinterpret_cast<uint64_t*>(ptr + 1);
ptr2[3]; // ptr2 is not aligned to 8 bytes (sizeof(uint64_t))
```

Memory initialization

```
int var;  // undefined value
auto var2 = new int; // undefined value
```

Memory access-related

- NULL pointer deferencing
- Out-of-bound access: the code could crash or not depending on the platform/compiler

Platform specific behavior

Endianness

```
union U {
    unsigned x;
    char y;
};
```

Type definition

```
long x = 1ul \ll 32u; // different behavior depending on the OS
```

Intrinsic functions

Strict aliasing

```
float x = 3;
auto y = reinterpret_cast<unsigned&>(x);
// x, y break the strict aliasing rule
```

Lifetime issues

```
int* f() {
    int tmp[10];
    return tmp;
}
int* ptr = f();
ptr[0];
```

Operations unspecified behavior

- A legal operation but the C++ standard does not document the result
- Signed shift $-2 \ll x$ (before C++20), large-than-type shift $3u \ll 32$, etc.
- Arithmetic operation ordering f(i++, i++)
- Function evaluation ordering

```
auto x = f() + g(); // C++ doesn't ensure that <math>f() is evaluated before g()
```

Signed overflow

```
for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)</pre>
```

if N is INT_MAX , the last iteration is undefined behavior. The compiler can assume that the loop is finite and enable important optimizations, as opposite to unsigned (wrap around)

- One Definition Rule violation
 - Different definitions of inline functions in distinct translation units

Missing return statement

```
int f(float x) {
   int y = x * 2;
}
```

Dangling reference

```
iint n = 1;
const int& r = std::max(n-1, n+1); // dagling
// GCC 13 experimental -Wdangling-reference (enabled by -Wall)
```

Detecting Undefined Behavior

There are several ways to detect undefined behavior at compile-time and at run-time:

- Using GCC/Clang undefined behavior sanitizer (run-time check)
- Static analysis tools
- Use constexpr expressions as undefined behavior is not allowed

```
constexpr int x1 = 2147483647 + 1;  // compile error
constexpr int x2 = (1 << 32);  // compile error
constexpr int x3 = (1 << -1);  // compile error
constexpr int x4 = 3 / 0;  // compile error
constexpr int x5 = *((int*) nullptr) // compile error
constexpr int x6 = 6
constexpr float x7 = reinterpret_cast<float&>(x6); // compile error
```

Error Handing

Recoverable Error Handing

Recoverable Conditions that are not under the control of the program. They indicates "exceptional" run-time conditions. e.g. file not found, bad allocation, wrong user input, etc.

The common ways for handling recoverable errors are:

Exceptions Robust but slower and requires more resources **Error values** Fast but difficult to handle in complex programs

- Modern C++ best practices for exceptions and error handling
- Back to Basics: Exceptions CppCon2020
- ISO C++ FAQ: Exceptions and Error Handling
- Zero-overhead deterministic exceptions: Throwing values
- C++ exceptions are becoming more and more problematic

C++ Exceptions - Advantages


C++ Exceptions provide a well-defined mechanism to detect errors passing the information up the call stack

- Exceptions cannot be ignored. Unhandled exceptions stop program execution(call std::terminate())
- Intermediate functions are not forced to handle them. They don't have to coordinate with other layers and, for this reason, they provide good composability
- Throwing an exception acts like a return statement destroying all objects in the current scope
- An exception enables a clean separation between the code that detects the error and the code that handles the error
 - Exceptions work well with object-oriented semantic (constructor)

- Code readability: Using exception can involve more code than the functionality itself
- Code comprehension: Exception control flow is invisible and it is not explicit in the function signature
- Performance: Extreme performance overhead in the failure case (violate the zero-overhead principle)
- Dynamic behavior: throw requires dynamic allocation and catch requires
 RTTI. It is not suited for real-time, safety-critical, or embedded systems
- Code bloat: Exceptions could increase executable size by 5-15% (or more*)

^{*}Binary size and exceptions

$C++ \ Exceptions - \ Disadvantages$



C++ Exception Basics

C++ provides three keywords for exception handling:

throw Throws an exception

try Code block containing potential throwing expressions

catch Code block for handling the exception

```
void f() { throw 3; }

int main() {
    try {
       f();
    } catch (int x) {
       cout << x; // print "3"
    }
}</pre>
```

std Exceptions

throw can throw everything such as integers, pointers, objects, etc. The standard way consists in using the std library exceptions <stdexcept>

```
#include <stdexcept>
void f(bool b) {
    if (b)
        throw std::runtime_error("runtime error");
    throw std::logic error("logic error");
int main() {
    try {
        f(false):
    } catch (const std::runtime_error& e) {
        cout << e.what();</pre>
    } catch (const std::exception& e) {
        cout << e.what(); // print: "logic error"</pre>
```

Exception Capture

NOTE: C++, differently from other programming languages, does not require explicit dynamic allocation with the keyword new for throwing an exception. The compiler implicitly generates the appropriate code to construct and clean up the exception object. Dynamically allocated objects require a delete call

The right way to capture an exception is by const -reference. Capturing by-value is also possible but, it involves useless copy for non-trivial exception objects

catch(...) can be used to capture any thrown exception

```
int main() {
    try {
        throw "runtime error"; // throw const char*
    } catch (...) {
        cout << "exception"; // print "exception"
    }
}</pre>
```

Exception Propagation

Exceptions are automatically propagated along the call stack. The user can also control how they are propagated

```
int main() {
    try {
          ...
    } catch (const std::runtime_error& e) {
          throw e; // propagate a copy of the exception
    } catch (const std::exception& e) {
          throw; // propagate the exception
    }
}
```

Defining Custom Exceptions

```
#include <exception> // to not confuse with <stdexcept>
struct MyException : public std::exception {
    const char* what() const noexcept override { // could be also "constexpr"
        return "C++ Exception";
};
int main() {
    trv {
        throw MyException();
    } catch (const std::exception& e) {
        cout << e.what(); // print "C++ Exception"</pre>
```

noexcept Keyword

```
C++03 allows listing the exceptions that a function might directly or indirectly throw, e.g. void f() throw(int, const char*) {
```

C++11 deprecates throw and introduces the noexcept keyword

If a noexcept function throw an exception, the runtime calls std::terminate()
noexcept should be used when throwing an exception is impossible or unacceptable.
It is also useful when the function contains code outside user control, e.g. std functions/objects

Function-try-block

Exception handlers can be defined around the body of a function

```
void f() try {
    ... // do something
} catch (const std::runtime_error& e) {
    cout << e.what();
} catch (...) { // other exception
    ...
}</pre>
```

The new operator automatically throws an exception (std::bad_alloc) if it cannot
allocate the memory

delete never throws an exception (unrecoverable error)

```
int main() {
   int* ptr = nullptr;
   try {
      ptr = new int[1000];
   }
   catch (const std::bad_alloc& e) {
      cout << "bad allocation: " << e.what();
   }
   delete[] ptr;
}</pre>
```

C++ also provides an overload of the **new** operator with non-throwing memory allocation

```
#include <new> // std::nothrow

int main() {
    int* ptr = new (std::nothrow) int[1000];
    if (ptr == nullptr)
        cout << "bad allocation";
}</pre>
```

Throwing exceptions in *constructors* is fine while it is not allowed in *destructors*

```
struct A {
    A() { new int[10]: }
    \simA() { throw -2; }
};
int main() {
    try {
       A a; // could throw "bad_alloc"
            // "a" is out-of-scope -> throw 2
    } catch (...) {
            // two exceptions at the same time
    }
```

Destructors should be marked noexcept

```
struct A {
    int* ptr1, *ptr2;
    A() {
        ptr1 = new int[10];
        ptr2 = new int[10]; // if bad_alloc here, ptr1 is lost
};
struct A {
    std::unique_ptr<int> ptr1, ptr2;
```

```
std::unique_ptr<int> ptr1, ptr2;

A() {
    ptr1 = std::make_unique<int[]>(10);
    ptr2 = std::make_unique<int[]>(10); // if bad_alloc here,
}    // ptr1 is deallocated
};
```

- Global state, e.g. errno
 - Easily forget to check for failures
 - Error propagation using if statements and early return is manual
 - No compiler optimizations due to global state
- Simple error code, e.g. int, enum, etc.
 - Easily forget to check for failures (workaround [[nodiscard]])
 - Error propagation using if statements and early return is manual
 - Potential error propagation through different contexts and losing initial error information
 - Constructor errors cannot be handled

- std::error_code , standardized error code
 - Easily forget to check for failures (workaround [[nodiscard]])
 - Error propagation using if statements and early return is manual
 - Code bloating for adding new enumerators (see Your own error code)
 - Constructor errors cannot be handled
- Supporting libraries, e.g. Boost Outcome, STX, etc.
 - Require external dependencies
 - Constructor errors cannot be handled in a direct way
 - Extra logic for managing return values

C++ Idioms

Rule of Zero

The **Rule of Zero** is a rule of thumb for C++

Utilize the $value\ semantics$ of existing types to \underline{avoid} having to implement custom copy and move operations

Note: many classes (such as std classes) manage resources themselves and should not implement copy/move constructor and assignment operator

Rule of Three

The **Rule of Three** is a rule of thumb for C++(03)

If your class needs any of

- a copy constructor X(const X&)
- an assignment operator X& operator=(const X&)
- or a destructor ~X()

defined explicitly, then it is likely to need all three of them

Some resources <u>cannot</u> or <u>should not</u> be copied. In this case, they should be declared as deleted

```
X(const X&) = delete
X& operator=(const X&) = delete
```

Rule of Five

The **Rule of Five** is a rule of thumb for C++11

If your class needs any of

- a copy constructor X(const X&)
- a move constructor X(X&&)
- an assignment operator X& operator=(const X&)
- an assignment operator X& operator=(X&&)
- or a destructor ~X()

defined explicitly, then it is likely to need all five of them

Singleton

Singleton is a software design pattern that restricts the instantiation of a class to one and only one object (a common application is for logging)

```
class Singleton {
public:
    static Singleton& get instance() { // note "static"
       static Singleton instance { ..init.. } ;
       return instance: // destroyed at the end of the program
                          // initiliazed at first use
    Singleton(const& Singleton) = delete;
    void operator=(const& Singleton) = delete;
    void f() {}
private:
   T _data;
    Singleton( ..args.. ) { ... } // used in the initialization
```

PIMPL - Compilation Firewalls

Pointer to IMPLementation (PIMPL) idiom allows decoupling the interface from the implementation in a clear way

```
header.hpp
```

```
class A {
public:
    A();
    ~A();
    void f();
private:
    class Impl; // forward declaration
    Impl* ptr; // opaque pointer
};
```

NOTE: The class does not expose internal data members or methods

PIMPL - Implementation

```
source.cpp (Impl actual implementation)
class A:: Impl { // could be a class with a complex logic
public:
    void internal f() {
        ..do something..
    }
private:
    int _data1;
    float _data2;
};
A::A() : ptr{new Impl()} {}
A::\sim A() { delete ptr; }
void A::f() { ptr->internal_f(); }
```

PIMPL - Advantages, Disadvantages

Advantages:

- ABI stability
- Hide private data members and methods
- Reduce compile type and dependencies

Disadvantages:

- Manual resource management
 - Impl* ptr can be replaced by unique_ptr<impl> ptr in C++11
- Performance: pointer indirection + dynamic memory
 - dynamic memory could be avoided by using a reserved space in the interface e.g. uint8_t data[1024]

PIMPL - Implementation Alternatives

What parts of the class should go into the Impl object?

- Put all private and protected members into Impl: **Error prone**. Inheritance is hard for opaque objects
- Put all private members (but not functions) into Impl: **Good**. Do we need to expose all functions?
- Put everything into Impl, and write the public class itself as only the public interface, each implemented as a simple forwarding function:

Good

The Curiously Recurring Template Pattern (CRTP) is an idiom in which a class X derives from a class template instantiation using X itself as template argument

A common application is static polymorphism

```
template <class T>
struct Base {
    void mv method() {
        static cast<T*>(this)->mv method impl();
    }
};
class Derived : public Base<Derived> {
// void my_method() is inherited
    void my_method_impl() { ... } // private method
};
```

```
#include <instream>
template <typename T>
struct Writer {
    void write(const char* str) {
        static_cast<const T*>(this)->write_impl(str);
    }
};
class CerrWriter : public Writer<CerrWriter> {
    void write impl(const char* str) { std::cerr << str; }</pre>
};
class CoutWriter : public Writer<CoutWriter> {
    void write_impl(const char* str) { std::cout << str; }</pre>
}:
CoutWriter x:
CerrWriter v;
x.write("abc");
y.write("abc");
```

```
template <typename T>
void f(Writer<T>& writer) {
    writer.write("abc);
}

CoutWriter x;
CerrWriter y;
f(x);
f(y);
```

Virtual functions cannot have template arguments, but they can be emulated by using the following pattern

```
class Base {
public:
    template<typename T>
    void method(T t) {
        v_method(t); // call the actual implementation
    }
protected:
    virtual void v_method(int t) = 0; // v_method is valid only
    virtual void v_method(double t) = 0; // for "int" and "double"
};
```

Actual implementations for derived class A and B

```
class AImpl : public Base {
protected:
    template<typename T>
    void t_method(T t) { // template "method()" implementation for A
        std::cout << "A " << t << std::endl;
};
class BImpl : public Base {
protected:
    template<typename T>
    void t_method(T t) { // template "method()" implementation for B
        std::cout << "B " << t << std::endl;
```

```
template<class Impl>
class DerivedWrapper : public Impl {
private:
    void v_method(int t) override {
        Impl::t_method(t);
    void v method(double t) override {
        Impl::t_method(t);
    } // call the base method
};
using A = DerivedWrapper<AImpl>:
using B = DerivedWrapper<BImpl>:
```

```
int main(int argc, char* argv[]) {
    Aa;
   B b:
   Base* base = nullptr;
    base = &a:
    base->method(1); // print "A 1"
    base->method(2.0); // print "A 2.0"
    base = \&b:
    base->method(1); // print "B 1"
    base->method(2.0); // print "B 2.0"
```

```
method() calls v_method() (pure virtual method of Base )
v_method() calls t_method() (actual implementation)
```

Smart pointers

Smart Pointers

Smart pointer is a pointer-like type with some additional functionality, e.g. *automatic memory deallocation* (when the pointer is no longer in use, the memory it points to is deallocated), reference counting, etc.

C++11 provides three smart pointer types:

- std::unique_ptr
- std::shared_ptr
- std::weak_ptr

Smart pointers prevent most situations of memory leaks by making the memory deallocation automatic

Smart Pointers Benefits

- If a smart pointer goes *out-of-scope*, the appropriate method to release resources is called automatically. The memory is not left dangling
- Smart pointers will automatically be set to nullptr if not initialized or when memory has been released
- std::shared_ptr provides automatic reference count
- If a special delete function needs to be called, it will be specified in the pointer type and declaration, and will automatically be called on delete

std::unique_ptr is used to manage any dynamically allocated object that is not
shared by multiple objects

```
#include <iostream>
#include <memory>
struct A {
   A() { std::cout << "Constructor\n"; } // called when A()
    \simA() { std::cout << "Destructor\n"; } // called when u_ptr1,
};
                                         // u ptr2 are out-of-scope
int main() {
    auto
              raw ptr = new A():
    std::unique_ptr<A> u_ptr1(new A());
    std::unique_ptr<A> u_ptr2(raw_ptr);
// std::unique_ptr<A> u_ptr3(raw_ptr); // no compile error, but wronq!! (not unique)
// u_ptr1 = raw_ptr;
                       // compile error (not unique)
// u ptr1 = u ptr2:
                            // compile error (not unique)
   u_ptr1 = std::move(u_ptr2); // delete u_ptr1;
                              // u ptr1 = u ptr2:
                              // u ptr2 = nullptr
```

std::unique_ptr methods

- get() returns the underlying pointer
- operator* operator-> dereferences pointer to the managed object
- operator[] provides indexed access to the stored array (if it supports random access iterator)
- release() returns a pointer to the managed object and releases the ownership
- reset(ptr) replaces the managed object with ptr

Utility method: $std::make_unique < T > ()$ creates a unique pointer to a class T that manages a new object

```
#include <iostream>
#include <memory>
struct A {
   int value:
}:
int main() {
    std::unique_ptr<A> u_ptr1(new A());
    u_ptr1->value; // dereferencing
    (*u_ptr1).value; // dereferencing
    auto u ptr2 = std::make_unique<A>(); // create a new unique pointer
   u_ptr1.reset(new A());  // reset
    auto raw_ptr = u_ptr1.release(); // release
    delete[] raw_ptr;
    std::unique_ptr<A[]> u_ptr3(new A[10]);
    auto& obj = u_ptr3[3];  // access
```

Implement a custom deleter

```
#include <iostream>
#include <memory>
struct A {
    int value;
};
int main() {
    auto DeleteLambda = \prod (A* x) {
        std::cout << "delete" << std::endl;</pre>
        delete x;
    }:
    std::unique_ptr<A, decltype(DeleteLambda)>
        x(new A(), DeleteLambda);
} // print "delete"
```

std::shared_ptr is the pointer type to be used for memory that can be owned by
multiple resources at one time

std::shared_ptr maintains a reference count of pointer objects. Data managed by
std::shared_ptr is only freed when there are no remaining objects pointing to the data

```
#include <iostream>
#include <memory>
struct A {
    int value:
};
int main() {
    std::shared_ptr<A> sh_ptr1(new A());
    std::shared_ptr<A> sh_ptr2(sh_ptr1);
    std::shared_ptr<A> sh_ptr3(new A());
    sh_ptr3 = nullptr; // allowed, the underlying pointer is deallocated
                       // sh ptr3 : zero references
    sh_ptr2 = sh_ptr1; // allowed. sh_ptr1, sh_ptr2: two references
    sh_ptr2 = std::move(sh_ptr1); // allowed // sh ptr1: zero references
                                                                                              48/64
                                             // sh_ptr2: one references
```

std::shared_ptr methods

- get() returns the underlying pointer
- operator* operator-> dereferences pointer to the managed object
- use_count() returns the number of objects referring to the same managed object
- reset(ptr) replaces the managed object with ptr

Utility method: std::make_shared() creates a shared pointer that manages a new object

```
#include <iostream>
#include <memory>
struct A {
   int value:
};
int main() {
    std::shared ptr<A> sh ptr1(new A());
    auto sh_ptr2 = std::make_shared<A>(); // std::make shared
    std::cout << sh ptr1.use count(); // print 1
    sh ptr1 = sh ptr2;
                                   // copy
// std::shared ptr<A> sh ptr2(sh ptr1); // copy (constructor)
    std::cout << sh_ptr1.use_count(); // print 2</pre>
    std::cout << sh_ptr2.use_count(); // print 2</pre>
    auto raw_ptr = sh_ptr1.get(); // get
    sh_ptr1.reset(new A());
                         // reset
    (*sh_ptr1).value = 3; // dereferencing
    sh_ptr1->value = 2;
                                   // dereferencing
```

A std::weak_ptr is simply a std::shared_ptr that is allowed to dangle (pointer
not deallocated)

```
#include <memory>
std::shared_ptr<int> sh_ptr(new int);
std::weak_ptr<int> w_ptr = sh_ptr;

sh_ptr = nullptr;
cout << w_ptr.expired(); // print 'true'</pre>
```

lt must be converted to std::shared_ptr in order to access the referenced object
std::weak_ptr methods

- use_count() returns the number of objects referring to the same managed object
- reset(ptr) replaces the managed object with ptr
- expired() checks whether the referenced object was already deleted (true, false)
- lock() creates a std::shared_ptr that manages the referenced object

```
#include <memory>
auto sh ptr1 = std::make shared<int>();
cout << sh ptr1.use count(); // print 1</pre>
std::weak_ptr<int> w_ptr = sh_ptr1;
cout << w_ptr.use_count(); // print 1</pre>
auto sh_ptr2 = w_ptr.lock();
cout << w ptr.use count(); // print 2 (sh ptr1 + sh ptr2)</pre>
sh_ptr1 = nullptr;
cout << w_ptr.expired(); // print false</pre>
sh ptr2 = nullptr;
cout << w_ptr.expired(); // print true</pre>
```

Concurrency

Overview

C++11 introduces the Concurrency library to simplify managing OS threads

```
#include <iostream>
#include <thread>

void f() {
    std::cout << "first thread" << std::endl;
}

int main() {
    std::thread th(f);
    th.join();  // stop the main thread until "th" complete
}</pre>
```

How to compile:

```
$g++ -std=c++11 main.cpp -pthread
```

Example

```
#include <iostream>
#include <thread>
#include <vector>
void f(int id) {
    std::cout << "thread " << id << std::endl:
int main() {
    std::vector<std::thread> thread vect; // thread vector
   for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++)
        thread vect.push back( std::thread(&f, i) );
   for (auto& th : thread vect)
        th.join();
   thread_vect.clear();
   for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++) { // thread + lambda expression
        thread_vect.push_back(
            std::thread( [](){ std::cout << "thread\n"; } );
```

Library methods:

- std::this_thread::get_id() returns the thread id
- std::thread::sleep_for(sleep_duration)
 Blocks the execution of the current thread for at least the specified sleep_duration
- std::thread::hardware_concurrency()
 supported by the implementation

Thread object methods:

- get_id() returns the thread id
- join() waits for a thread to finish its execution
- detach() permits the thread to execute independently from the thread handle

Thread Methods

```
#include <chrono> // the following program should (not deterministic)
#include <iostream> // produces the output:
#include <thread> // child thread exit
                    // main thread exit
int main() {
    using namespace std::chrono_literals;
    std::cout << std::this_thread::get_id();</pre>
    std::cout << std::thread::hardware_concurrency(); // e.g. print 6</pre>
    auto lambda = []() {
        std::this_thread::sleep_for(1s); // t2
        std::cout << "child thread exit\n";</pre>
    }:
    std::thread child(lambda):
    child.detach(); // without detach(), child must join() the
                    // main thread (run-time error otherwise)
    std::this_thread::sleep_for(2s); // t1
    std::cout << "main thread exit\n";</pre>
// if t1 < t2 the should program prints:
```

Parameters Passing

std::cref) to avoid wrong behaviors

Parameters passing by-value or by-pointer to a thread function works in the same way of a standard function. Pass-by-reference requires a special wrapper (std:ref ,

```
#include <iostream>
#include <thread>
void f(int& a, const int& b) {
   a = 7:
    const cast<int\&>(b) = 8:
int main() {
   int a = 1, b = 2;
    std::thread th1(f, a, b):
                                                  // wrona!!!
    std::cout << a << ", " << b << std::endl;
                                                 // print 1, 2!!
    std::thread th2(f. std::ref(a), std::cref(b)): // correct
    std::cout << a << ", " << b << std::endl; // print 7, 8!!
   th1.join(); th2.join();
```

The following code produces (in general) a value < 1000:

```
#include <chrono>
#include <iostream>
#include <thread>
#include <vector>
void f(int& value) {
   for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
        value++:
        std::this thread::sleep for(std::chrono::milliseconds(10));
int main() {
    int value = 0:
    std::vector<std::thread> th_vect;
   for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++)
        th_vect.push_back( std::thread(f, std::ref(value)) );
   for (auto& it : th vect)
       it.join();
    std::cout << value;
```

C++11 provide the mutex class as synchronization primitive to protect shared data from being simultaneously accessed by multiple threads

mutex methods:

- lock() locks the *mutex*, blocks if the *mutex* is not available
- try_lock() tries to lock the mutex, returns if the mutex is not available
- unlock() unlocks the mutex

More advanced mutex can be found here: en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/thread

C++ includes three mutex wrappers to provide safe copyable/movable objects:

- lock_guard (C++11) implements a strictly scope-based mutex ownership wrapper
- unique_lock (C++11) implements movable mutex ownership wrapper
- shared_lock (C++14) implements movable shared mutex ownership wrapper

```
#include <thread> // iostream, vector, chrono
void f(int& value, std::mutex& m) {
   for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
        m.lock():
        value++; // other threads must wait
        m.unlock();
        std::this_thread::sleep_for(std::chrono::milliseconds(10));
int main() {
    std::mutex m:
    int value = 0;
    std::vector<std::thread> th vect:
   for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++)
        th_vect.push_back( std::thread(f, std::ref(value), std::ref(m)) );
   for (auto% it : th_vect)
       it.join();
    std::cout << value;
```

Atomic

 $\mathtt{std::atomic}$ (C++11) template class defines an atomic type that are implemented with lock-free operations (much faster than locks)

```
#include <atomic> // chrono, iostream, thread, vector
void f(std::atomic<int>& value) {
   for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
        value++:
        std::this thread::sleep for(std::chrono::milliseconds(10));
int main() {
    std::atomic<int> value(0):
    std::vector<std::thread> th_vect;
   for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++)
        th vect.push back( std::thread(f, std::ref(value)) );
    for (auto& it : th_vect)
        it.join();
    std::cout << value; // print 1000
```

The future library provides facilities to obtain values that are returned and to catch exceptions that are thrown by *asynchronous* tasks

```
Asynchronous call: std::future async(function, args...)
runs a function asynchronously (potentially in a new thread)
and returns a std::future object that will hold the result
```

std::future methods:

- T get() returns the result
- wait() waits for the result to become available

async() can be called with two launch policies for a task executed:

- std::launch::async a new thread is launched to execute the task asynchronously
- std::launch::deferred the task is executed on the calling thread the first time its result is requested (lazy evaluation)

```
#include <future> // numeric, algorithm, vector, iostream
template <typename RandomIt>
int parallel sum(RandomIt beg, RandomIt end) {
   auto len = end - beg;
   if (len < 1000) // base case
      return std::accumulate(beg, end, 0);
   RandomIt mid = beg + len / 2;
   auto handle = std::async(std::launch::async, // right side
                         parallel sum<RandomIt>, mid, end);
   // left + right
   return sum + handle.get();
int main() {
   std::vector<int> v(10000, 1); // init all to 1
   std::cout << "The sum is " << parallel sum(v.begin(), v.end());
```