# Modern C++ Programming

# 15. Advanced Topics

### Federico Busato

University of Verona, Dept. of Computer Science 2021, v3.09



# Move Semantic

- lvalues and rvalues references
- Move Semantic
- Compiler Implicitly Declared
- std::move

# Universal Reference and Perfect Forwarding

- Universal Reference
- Reference Collapsing Rules
- Perfect Forwarding

- **3** Value Categories
- 4 Copy Elision and RVO
- **5** Type Deduction
  - Pass-by-Reference
  - Pass-by-Pointer
  - Pass-by-Value
  - auto Deduction
- 6 const Correctness

# **7** Undefined Behavior

# 8 C++ Idioms

- Rule of Zero/Three/Five
- Singleton
- PIMPL
- CRTP
- Template Virtual Functions

# 9 Smart pointers

- std::unique\_ptr
- std::shared\_ptr
- std::weak\_ptr

# 10 Concurrency

- Thread Methods
- Mutex
- Atomic
- Task-based parallelism

**Move Semantic** 

# Move semantics refers in transferring ownership of resources from one object to another

Differently from *copy semantic*, *move semantic* does not duplicate the original resource

In C++ every expression is either an **rvalue** or an **Ivalue** 

- a Ivalue (left) represents an expression that occupies some identifiable location in memory
- a rvalue (right) is an expression that does not represent an object occupying some identifiable location in memory

C++11 introduces a new kind of reference called rvalue reference X&&

- An rvalue reference only binds to an rvalue, that is a temporary
- An Ivalue reference only binds to an Ivalue
- A const Ivalue reference binds to both Ivalue and rvalue

```
struct A {}:
void f(A& a) {}
// lvalue reference
void g(const A& a) {} // const lvalue reference
void h(A&& a) {} // rvalue reference
A a:
f(a): // ok. f() can modify "a"
g(a); // ok, f() cannot modify "a"
// h(a); // compile error f() does not accept lvalues
// f(A{}); // compile error f() does not accept rvalues
g(A\{\}); // ok, f() cannot modify the object A\{\}
h(A\{\}); // ok, f() can modify the object A\{\}
```

```
#include <algorithm>
class Array { // Array Wrapper
public:
    Array() = default;
    Array(int size) : _size{size}, _array{new int[size]} {}
    Array(const Array& obj) : _size{obj._size}, _array{new int[obj._size]} {
        // EXPENSIVE COPY (deep copy)
        std::copy(obj._array, obj._array + _size, _array);
    }
    ~Array() { delete[] array; }
private:
    int _size;
    int* _array;
};
```

**Before C++11:** Array $\{1000\}$  is created, passed by const-reference, <u>copied</u>, and then destroyed

Note: Array{1000} is no more used outside push\_back

**After C++11:** Array{1000} is created, and moved to vector (fast!)

# Class prototype with support for move semantic:

```
class X {
public:
   X();
                               // default constructor
    X(const X& obj);
                     // copy constructor
    X(X&& obj);
                              // move constructor
    X& operator=(const X& obj); // copy assign operator
    X& operator=(X&& obj); // move assign operator
    \sim X();
                              // destructor
};
```

12/91

### Move constructor semantic

```
X(X\&\& obj);
```

- (1) Shallow copy of obj data members (in contrast to deep copy)
- (2) Release any obj resources and reset all data members (pointer to nullptr, size to 0, etc.)

## Move assignment semantic

```
X& operator=(X&& obj);
```

- (1) Release any resources of this
- (2) Shallow copy of obj data members (in contrast to deep copy)
- (3) Release any obj resources and reset all data members (pointer to nullptr, size to 0, etc.)
- (4) Return \*this

### Move constructor

```
Array(Array&& obj) {
    _size = obj._size; // (1) shallow copy
    _array = obj._array; // (1) shallow copy
    obj._size = 0; // (2) release obj (no more valid)
    obj._array = nullptr; // (2) release obj
}
```

## Move assignment

# **Compiler Implicitly Declares**



# C++11 provides the method std::move (<utility>) to indicate that an object may be "moved from"

It allows to efficient transfer resources from an object to another one

```
#include <vector>
int main() {
    std::vector<Array> vector;
    vector.push back( Array{1000} ); // call "push_back(Array&&)"
    Array arr{1000}:
    vector.push back( arr ):
                                       // call "push_back(const Arrav&)"
    vector.push_back( std::move(arr) ); // call "push_back(Array&&)"
                                        // efficient!!
    "arr" is not more valid here
```

# Universal Reference and Perfect

Forwarding

The && syntax has two different meanings depending on the context it is used

- rvalue reference
- Either rvalue reference or lvalue reference (universal reference, cit. Scott Meyers)

"Universal references" (also called forwarding references) are  ${\bf rvalues}$  that appear in a type-deducing context

```
void f1(int&& t) {} // rvalue reference

template<typename T>
void f2(T&& t) {} // universal reference

int&& v1 = ...; // rvalue reference
auto&& v2 = ...; // universal reference
16/91
```

```
struct A {}:
void f1(A&& a) {} // rvalue only
template<typename T>
void f2(T&& t) {} // universal reference
A a;
f1(A{}); // ok
// f1(a); // compile error (only rvalue)
f2(A{}): // universal reference
f2(a); // universal reference
A\&\& a2 = A{}: // ok
// A&& a3 = a; // compile error (only rvalue)
auto&& a4 = A{}; // universal reference
auto&& a5 = a; // universal reference
```

# **Universal Reference - Misleading Cases**

```
template<typename T>
void f(std::vector<T>&&) {} // rvalue reference

template<typename T>
void f(const T&&) {} // rvalue reference (const)

const auto&& v = ...; // const lvalue reference
```

# Reference Collapsing Rules

Before C++11 (C++98, C++03), it was not allowed to take a reference to a reference ( A&& causes a compile error)

C++11, by contrast, introduces the following **reference collapsing rules**:

Туре	Reference		Result
A&	&	$\rightarrow$	A&
A&	&&	$\rightarrow$	A&
A&&	&	$\rightarrow$	A&
A&&	&&	$\rightarrow$	A&&

# **Perfect Forwarding**

Perfect forwarding allows preserving argument value category and const/volatile modifiers

std::forward ( <utility> ) forwards the argument to another function with the
value category it had when passed to the calling function (perfect forwarding)

```
#include <utility> // std::forward
template<typename T> void f(T& t) { cout << "lvalue"; }
template<typename T> void f(T&& t) { cout << "rvalue"; } // overloading

template<typename T> void g1(T&& obj) { f(obj); } // call only f(T&)
template<typename T> void g2(T&& obj) { f(std::forward<T>(obj)); }

struct A{};
f ( A{10} ); // print "rvalue"
g1( A{10} ); // print "lvalue"!!
g2( A{10} ); // print "rvalue"
```

# Value Categories

# **Taxonomy (simplified)**

# Every expression is either an rvalue or an Ivalue

- An Ivalue (left value of an assignment for historical reason or locator value) represents an expression that occupies an identity, namely a memory location (it has an address)
- An rvalue is movable; an Ivalue is not


**glvalue** (generalized Ivalue) is an expression that has an identity

**Ivalue** is a **glvalue** but it is <u>not movable</u> (it is not an **xvalue**). An *named rvalue* reference is a **Ivalue** 

xvalue (eXpiring) has an identity and it is movable. It is a glvalue that denotes an object whose resources can be reused. An unnamed rvalue reference is a xvalue

**prvalue** (*pure rvalue*) doesn't have identity, but is movable. It is an expression whose evaluation initializes an object or computes the value of an operand of an operator

rvalue is movable. It is a prvalue or an xvalue



# **Examples**

```
struct A {
   int x;
};
void f(A&&) {}
A&& g();
f(A\{4\}): // "A{4}" is a prvalue
A&& b = A{3}; // "A&& b" is a named relative reference \rightarrow leading
A c{4}:
f(std::move(c)); // "std::move(c)" is a xvalue
f(A\{\}.x); // "A\{\}.x" is a xvalue
g();
          // "A&&" is a xvalue
```

# RVO

**Copy Elision and** 

**Copy elision** is a compiler optimization technique that eliminates unnecessary copying/moving of objects (it is defined in the C++ standard)

A compiler avoids omitting copy/move operations with the following optimizations:

- RVO (Return Value Optimization) means the compiler is allowed to avoid creating temporary objects for return values
- NRVO (Named Return Value Optimization) means the compiler is allowed to return an object (with automatic storage duration) without invokes copy/move constructors

Returning an object from a function is *very expensive* without RVO/NVRO:

```
struct Obj {
    Obj() = default;
    Obj(const Obj&) { // non-trivial
        cout << "copy constructor\n";</pre>
};
Obj f() { return Obj{}; } // first copy
auto x1 = f(): // second copy (create "x")
```

If provided, the compiler uses the *move constructor* instead of *copy constructor* 

RVO Copy elision is always guarantee if the operand is a prvalue of the same class type and the copy constructor is trivial and non-deleted

```
struct Trivial {
    Trivial()
                   = default;
    Trivial(const Trivial&) = default;
};
// sigle instance
Trivial f1() {
   return Trivial{}: // Guarantee RVO
// distinct instances and run-time selection
Trivial f2(bool b) {
    return b ? Trivial{} : Trivial{}; // Guarantee RVO
```

In C++17, RVO Copy elision is always guarantee if the operand is a prvalue of the same class type, even if the copy constructor is not trivial or deleted

```
struct S1 {
  S1() = default;
  S1(const S1&) = delete; // deleted
};
struct S2 {
  S2() = default:
  S2(const S2&) {} // non-trivial
}:
S1 f() { return S1{}: }
S2 g() { return S2{}; }
auto x1 = f(); // compile error in C++14
auto x2 = g(): // RVO only in C++17
```

# NRVO is not always guarantee even in C++17

```
Obj f1() {
    Obj a;
    return a; // most compilers apply NRVO
Obj f2(bool v) {
    Obj a;
    if (v)
       return a; // copy/move constructor
    return Obj{}; // RVO
```

```
Obj f3(bool v) {
    Obj a, b;
    return v ? a : b; // copy/move constructor
Obj f4() {
    Obj a;
    return std::move(a); // force move constructor
Obj f5() {
    static Obj a;
    return a;
                        // only copy constructor is possible
```

**Type Deduction** 

# **Type Deduction**

When you call a template function, you may omit any template argument that the compiler can determine or deduce (inferred) by the usage and context of that template function call [IBM]

- The compiler tries to deduce a template argument by comparing the type of the corresponding template parameter with the type of the argument used in the function call
- Similar to function default parameters, (any) template parameters can be deduced only if they are at end of the parameter list

Full Story: IBM Knowledge Center

# **E**xample

```
template<typename T>
int add1(T a, T b) { return a + b: }
template<typename T, typename R>
int add2(T a, R b) { return a + b; }
template<typename T, int B>
int add3(T a) { return a + B; }
template<int B, typename T>
int add4(T a) { return a + B; }
add1(1, 2); // ok
// add1(1, 2u); // the compiler expects the same type
add2(1, 2u); // ok (add2 is more generic)
add3<int, 2>(1): // "int" cannot be deduced
add4<2>(1); // ok
```

# Type Deduction - Pass-by-Reference

# Type deduction with references

```
template<typename T>
void f(T& a) {}
template<tvpename T>
void g(const T& a) {}
int x = 3:
int \& y = x;
const int \& z = x;
f(x): // T: int
f(v): // T: int
f(z); // T: const int // <-- !! it works...but it does not
g(x); // T: int // for "f(int& a)"!!
g(y); // T: int // (only non-const references)
g(z); // T: int // <-- note the difference
```

# Type deduction with pointers

```
template<typename T>
void f(T* a) {}
template<tvpename T>
void g(const T* a) {}
int* x = nullptr;
const int* y = nullptr;
auto z = nullptr;
f(x): // T: int
f(v): // T: const int
// f(z); // compile error!! z: "nullptr t != T*"
g(x); // T: int
g(y); // T: int <-- note the difference
```

```
template<typename T>
void f(const T* a) {} // pointer to const-values
template<typename T>
void g(T* const a) {} // const pointer
int* x = nullptr;
const int* y = nullptr;
int* const z = nullptr;
const int* const w = nullptr;
f(x); // T: int
f(y); // T: int
f(z): // T: int
// q(x); // compile error!! objects pointed are not constant
// q(y); // the same (the pointer itself is constant)
g(z): // T: int
g(w); // T: const int
```

# Type deduction with values

```
template<typename T>
void f(T a) {}
template<tvpename T>
void g(const T a) {}
int x = 2;
const int y = 3;
const int \& z = y;
f(x): // T: int
f(y); // T: int!! (drop const)
f(z); // T: int!! (drop const&)
g(x); // T: int
g(y); // T: int
g(z); // T: int!! (drop reference)
```

```
template<typename T>
void f(T a) {}
int* x = nullptr;
const int* y = nullptr;
int* const z = x;
f(x); //T = int*
f(y); // T = int*!! (const drop)
f(z): // T = int* const
```

# **Type Deduction - Array**

#### Type deduction with arrays

```
template<typename T, int N>
void f(T (&array)[N]) {} // type and size deduced
template<typename T>
void g(T array) {}
int x[3] = {};
const int y[3] = {};
f(x): // T: int. N: 3
f(y); // T: const int, N: 3
g(x); // T: int*
g(y); // T: const int*
```

```
template<typename T>
void add(T a, T b) {}
template<typename T, typename R>
void add(T a, R b) {}
template<typename T>
void add(T a, char b) {}
add(2, 3.0f); // call add(T, R)
// add(2, 3); // error!! ambiguous match
add<int>(2, 3): // call add(T, T)
add<int, int>(2, 3); // call add(T, R)
add(2, 'b'); // call add(T, char) \rightarrow nearest match
```

```
template<typename T, int N>
void f(T (&array)[N]) {}
template<typename T>
void f(T* array) {}
// template<typename T>
// void f(T array) {} // ambiguous
int x[3];
f(x); // call f(T*) not f(T(\&)[3]) !!
```

#### auto Deduction

- auto x = copy by-value/by-const value
- auto& x = copy by-reference/by-const-reference
- auto\* x = copy by-pointer/by-const-pointer
- auto&& x = copy by-universal reference
- decltype(auto) x = automatic type deduction

# const Correctness

#### const Correctness

const correctness refers to guarantee object/variable const consistency throughout its lifetime and ensuring safety from unintentional modifications

#### References:

- Isocpp: const-correctness
- GotW: Const-Correctness
- Abseil: Meaningful 'const' in Function Declarations
- const is a contract
- Why const Doesn't Make C Code Faster
- Constant Optimization?

- const entities do not change their values at run-time. This does not imply that they are evaluated at compile-time
- const T\* is different from T\* const. The first case means "the content does not change", while the later "the value of the pointer does not change"
- Pass by-const-value and by-value parameters imply the same function signature
- Return by-const-value and by-value have different meaning
- const\_cast can break const-correctness

#### const and member functions:

- const member functions do not change the internal status of an object
- mutable fields can be modified by a const member function (they should not change the external view)

### const and code optimization:

- const keyword purpose is for correctness (type safety), not for performance
- const may provide performance advantages in a few cases, e.g. non-trivial copy semantic

# **Function Declarations Example**

```
int f();
// const int f(); // compile error conflicting declaration
```

# const Return Example

```
const int const_value = 3;

const int& f2() { return const_value; }

// int& f1() { return const_value; } // WRONG
int f3() { return const_value; } // ok
```

```
struct A {
   void f() { cout << "non-const"; }</pre>
   void f() const { cout << "const"; }</pre>
};
const A getA() { return A{}; }
auto a = getA(); // "a" is a copy
a.f(); // print "non-const"
getA().f(); // print "const"
```

## struct Example

```
int* ptr; // int* const ptr;
   int value; // const int value;
};
      // }:
void f(A a) {
   a.value = 3:
   a.ptr[0] = 3;
void g(const A a) { // the same with g(const A\&)
// a.value = 3; // compile error
   a.ptr[0] = 3; // "const" does not apply to "ptr" content!!
A a{new int[10]}:
f(a);
                                                                47/91
g(a);
```

# **Member Functions Example**

```
struct A {
   int value = 0;
   int& f1() { return value; }
   const int& f2() { return value; }
// int& f3() const { return value; } // WRONG
   const int& f4() const { return value; }
   int f5() const { return value; } // ok
   const int f6() const { return value; }
};
```

**Undefined Behavior** 

# **Undefined Behavior**

**Undefined behavior** means that the semantic of certain operations is undefined (outside the language/library specification) or illegal, and the compiler presumes that such operations never happen

Motivations behind undefined behavior:

- Compiler optimizations, e.g. signed overflow or NULL pointer deferencing
- Simplify compile checks

Some undefined behavior cases provide an *implementation-defined behavior* depending on the compiler and platform. In this case, the code is *not portable* 

- What Every C Programmer Should Know About Undefined Behavior
- What are all the common undefined behaviours that a C++ programmer should know about?

const\_cast applied to a const variables

```
const int var = 3;
const_cast<int>(var) = 4;
... // use var
```

Memory alignment

```
char* ptr = new char[512];
auto ptr2 = reinterpret_cast<uint64_t*>(ptr + 1);
ptr2[3]; // ptr2 is not aligned to 8 bytes (sizeof(uint64_t))
```

Memory initialization

```
int var;
// use var
auto var2 = new int;
// use var2
```

- Memory access-related
  - NULL pointer deferencing
  - Out-of-bound access

#### Platform specific behavior

Endianness

```
union U {
    unsigned x;
    char y;
};
```

Type definition

```
long x = 1ul << 32u; // different behavior depending on the OS</pre>
```

Intrinsic functions

# Strict aliasing

```
float x = 3;
auto y = reinterpret_cast<unsigned&>(x);
// x, y break the strict aliasing rule
```

#### Lifetime issues

```
int* f() {
    int tmp[10];
    return tmp;
}
int* ptr = f();
ptr[0];
```

#### Unspecified behavior

- A legal operation but the C++ standard does not document the results
- Signed shift  $-2 \ll x$  (before C++20), large-than-type shift  $3 \ll 32$ , signed overflow, etc.
- Operation ordering f(i++, i++)

#### One Definition Rule violation

• Different definitions of inline functions in distinct translation units

# **Detecting Undefined Behavior**

There are several ways to detect undefined behavior at compile-time and at run-time:

- Using GCC/Clang undefined behavior sanitizer (run-time check)
- Static analysis tools
- Use constexpr expressions as undefined behavior is not allowed

```
constexpr int x1 = 2147483647 + 1;  // compile error
constexpr int x2 = (1 << 32);  // compile error
constexpr int x3 = (1 << -1);  // compile error
constexpr int x4 = 3 / 0;  // compile error
constexpr int x5 = *((int*) nullptr) // compile error
constexpr int x6 = 6
constexpr float x7 = reinterpret_cast<float&>(x6); // compile error
```

# C++ Idioms

#### Rule of Zero

The **Rule of Zero** is a rule of thumb for C++

Utilize the  $value\ semantics$  of existing types to  $\underline{avoid}$  having to implement custom copy and move operations

**Note:** many classes (such as std classes) manage resources themselves and should not implement copy/move constructor and assignment operator

#### Rule of Three

The **Rule of Three** is a rule of thumb for C++(03)

If your class needs any of

- a copy constructor X(const X&)
- an assignment operator X& operator=(const X&)
- or a destructor ~X()

defined explicitly, then it is likely to need all three of them

Some resources <u>cannot</u> or <u>should not</u> be copied. In this case, they should be declared as deleted

```
X(const X&) = delete
X& operator=(const X&) = delete
```

#### Rule of Five

The **Rule of Five** is a rule of thumb for C++11

If your class needs any of

- a copy constructor X(const X&)
- a move constructor X(X&&)
- an assignment operator X& operator=(const X&)
- an assignment operator X& operator=(X&&)
- or a destructor ~X()

defined explicitly, then it is likely to need all five of them

# Singleton

**Singleton** is a software design pattern that restricts the instantiation of a class to one and only one object (a common application is for logging)

```
class Singleton {
public:
    static Singleton& get instance() { // note "static"
       static Singleton instance { ..init.. } ;
       return instance: // destroyed at the end of the program
                          // initiliazed at first use
    Singleton(const& Singleton) = delete;
    void operator=(const& Singleton) = delete;
    void f() {}
private:
   T _data;
    Singleton( ..args.. ) { ... } // used in the initialization
```

# **PIMPL** - Compilation Firewalls

**Pointer to IMPLementation (PIMPL)** idiom allows decoupling the interface from the implementation in a clear way

```
header.hpp
```

```
class A {
public:
    A();
    ~A();
    void f();
private:
    class Impl; // forward declaration
    Impl* ptr; // opaque pointer
};
```

NOTE: The class does not expose internal data members or methods

# **PIMPL** - Implementation

```
source.cpp (Impl actual implementation)
class A:: Impl { // could be a class with a complex logic
public:
    void internal f() {
        ..do something..
    }
private:
    int _data1;
    float _data2;
};
A::A() : ptr{new Impl()} {}
A::\sim A() { delete ptr; }
void A::f() { ptr->internal_f(); }
```

# PIMPL - Advantages, Disadvantages

#### Advantages:

- ABI stability
- Hide private data members and methods
- Reduce compile type and dependencies

# Disadvantages:

- Manual resource management
  - Impl\* ptr can be replaced by unique\_ptr<impl> ptr in C++11
- Performance: pointer indirection + dynamic memory
  - dynamic memory could be avoided by using a reserved space in the interface e.g. uint8\_t data[1024]

# **PIMPL** - Implementation Alternatives

What parts of the class should go into the Impl object?

- Put all private and protected members into Impl:
   Error prone. Inheritance is hard for opaque objects
- Put all private members (but not functions) into Impl:
  Good. Do we need to expose all functions?
- Put everything into Impl, and write the public class itself as only the public interface, each implemented as a simple forwarding function:
   Good

The Curiously Recurring Template Pattern (CRTP) is an idiom in which a class X derives from a class template instantiation using X itself as template argument

A common application is static polymorphism

```
template <class T>
struct Base {
    void mv method() {
        static cast<T*>(this)->mv method impl();
    }
};
class Derived : public Base<Derived> {
// void my_method() is inherited
    void my_method_impl() { ... } // private method
};
```

```
#include <instream>
template <typename T>
struct Writer {
    void write(const char* str) {
        static_cast<const T*>(this)->write_impl(str);
    }
};
class CerrWriter : public Writer<CerrWriter> {
    void write impl(const char* str) { std::cerr << str; }</pre>
};
class CoutWriter : public Writer<CoutWriter> {
    void write_impl(const char* str) { std::cout << str; }</pre>
}:
CoutWriter x:
CerrWriter v;
x.write("abc");
y.write("abc");
```

```
template <typename T>
void f(Writer<T>& writer) {
    writer.write("abc);
}

CoutWriter x;
CerrWriter y;
f(x);
f(y);
```

**Virtual functions cannot have template arguments**, but they can be emulated by using the following pattern

Actual implementations for derived class A and B

```
class AImpl : public Base {
protected:
    template<typename T>
    void t_method(T t) { // template "method()" implementation for A
        std::cout << "A " << t << std::endl;
};
class BImpl : public Base {
protected:
    template<typename T>
    void t_method(T t) { // template "method()" implementation for B
        std::cout << "B " << t << std::endl:
```

```
template<class Impl>
class DerivedWrapper : public Impl {
private:
    void v_method(int t) override {
        Impl::t_method(t);
    void v method(double t) override {
        Impl::t_method(t);
    } // call the base method
};
using A = DerivedWrapper<AImpl>:
using B = DerivedWrapper<BImpl>:
```

```
int main(int argc, char* argv[]) {
    Aa;
   B b:
   Base* base = nullptr;
    base = &a:
    base->method(1); // print "A 1"
    base->method(2.0); // print "A 2.0"
    base = \&b:
    base->method(1); // print "B 1"
    base->method(2.0); // print "B 2.0"
```

```
method() calls v_method() (pure virtual method of Base )
v_method() calls t_method() (actual implementation)
```

# Smart pointers

#### **Smart Pointers**

**Smart pointer** is a pointer-like type with some additional functionality, e.g. *automatic memory deallocation* (when the pointer is no longer in use, the memory it points to is deallocated), reference counting, etc.

C++11 provides three smart pointer types:

- std::unique\_ptr
- std::shared\_ptr
- std::weak\_ptr

Smart pointers prevent most situations of memory leaks by making the memory deallocation automatic

# **Smart Pointers Benefits**

- If a smart pointer goes *out-of-scope*, the appropriate method to release resources is called automatically. The memory is not left dangling
- Smart pointers will automatically be set to nullptr if not initialized or when memory has been released
- std::shared\_ptr provides automatic reference count
- If a special delete function needs to be called, it will be specified in the pointer type and declaration, and will automatically be called on delete

std::unique\_ptr is used to manage any dynamically allocated object that is not
shared by multiple objects

```
#include <iostream>
#include <memory>
struct A {
    A() { std::cout << "Constructor\n"; } // called when A()
    \simA() { std::cout << "Destructor\n"; } // called when u_ptr1,
};
                                           // u ptr2 are out-of-scope
int main() {
    auto raw_ptr = new A();
    std::unique_ptr<A> u_ptr1(new A());
    std::unique_ptr<A> u_ptr2(raw_ptr);
// std::unique ptr<A> u ptr3(raw ptr); // no compile error, but wrong!!
                                        // (same pointer)
// u_ptr1 = &raw_ptr; // compile error (unique pointer)
// u_ptr1 = u_ptr2; // compile error (unique pointer)
    u ptr1 = std::move(u ptr2); // delete u ptr1;
                                // u ptr1 = u ptr2:
                                                                                              71/91
                                // u ptr2 = nullptr
```

```
std::unique_ptr methods
```

- get() returns the underlying pointer
- operator\* operator-> dereferences pointer to the managed object
- operator[] provides indexed access to the stored array (if it supports random access iterator)
- release() returns a pointer to the managed object and releases the ownership
- reset(ptr) replaces the managed object with ptr

Utility method:  $std::make\_unique < T > ()$  creates a unique pointer of a class T that manages a new object

```
#include <iostream>
#include <memory>
struct A {
   int value:
}:
int main() {
    std::unique_ptr<A> u_ptr1(new A());
    u_ptr1->value; // dereferencing
    (*u_ptr1).value; // dereferencing
    auto u ptr2 = std::make_unique<A>(); // create a new unique pointer
   u_ptr1.reset(new A());  // reset
    auto raw_ptr = u_ptr1.release(); // release
    delete[] raw_ptr;
    std::unique_ptr<A[]> u_ptr3(new A[10]);
    auto& obj = u_ptr3[3];  // access
```

#### Implement a custom deleter

```
#include <iostream>
#include <memory>
struct A {
    int value;
};
int main() {
    auto DeleteLambda = \prod (A* x) {
        std::cout << "delete" << std::endl;</pre>
        delete x;
    }:
    std::unique_ptr<A, decltype(DeleteLambda)>
        x(new A(), DeleteLambda);
} // print "delete"
```

std::shared\_ptr is the pointer type to be used for memory that can be owned by
multiple resources at one time

std::shared\_ptr maintains a reference count of pointer objects. Data managed by
std::shared\_ptr is only freed when there are no remaining objects pointing to the data

```
#include <iostream>
#include <memory>
struct A {
    int value:
};
int main() {
    std::shared_ptr<A> sh_ptr1(new A());
    std::shared_ptr<A> sh_ptr2(sh_ptr1);
    std::shared_ptr<A> sh_ptr3(new A());
    sh_ptr3 = nullptr; // allowed, the underlying pointer is deallocated
                       // sh ptr3 : zero references
    sh_ptr2 = sh_ptr1; // allowed // sh ptr1, sh ptr2: two references
    sh_ptr2 = std::move(sh_ptr1); // allowed // sh ptr1: zero references
                                                                                              75/91
                                             // sh_ptr2: one references
```

# std::shared\_ptr methods

- get() returns the underlying pointer
- operator\* operator-> dereferences pointer to the managed object
- use\_count() returns the number of objects referring to the same managed object
- reset(ptr) replaces the managed object with ptr

Utility method: std::make\_shared() creates a shared pointer that manages a new object

```
#include <iostream>
#include <memory>
struct A {
   int value:
};
int main() {
    std::shared ptr<A> sh ptr1(new A());
    auto sh_ptr2 = std::make_shared<A>(); // std::make shared
    std::cout << sh ptr1.use count(); // print 1
    sh ptr1 = sh ptr2;
                                   // copy
// std::shared ptr<A> sh ptr2(sh ptr1); // copy (constructor)
    std::cout << sh_ptr1.use_count(); // print 2</pre>
    std::cout << sh_ptr2.use_count(); // print 2</pre>
    auto raw_ptr = sh_ptr1.get(); // get
    sh_ptr1.reset(new A());
                         // reset
    (*sh_ptr1).value = 3; // dereferencing
    sh_ptr1->value = 2;
                                   // dereferencing
```

A std::weak\_ptr is simply a std::shared\_ptr that is allowed to dangle (pointer not deallocated)

```
#include <iostream>
#include <memory>
struct A {
    int value;
};
int main() {
    auto ptr = new A();
    std::weak_ptr<A> w_ptr(ptr);
    std::shraed_ptr<A> sh_ptr(new A());
    sh_ptr = nullptr;
// delete sh ptr.get(); // double free or corruption
    w_ptr = nullptr;
    delete w_ptr; // ok valid
```

It must be converted to std::shared\_ptr in order to access the referenced object
std::weak\_ptr methods

- use\_count() returns the number of objects referring to the same managed object
- reset(ptr) replaces the managed object with ptr
- expired() checks whether the referenced object was already deleted (true, false)
- lock() creates a std::shared\_ptr that manages the referenced object

```
#include <iostream>
#include <memory>
struct A {
    int value:
}:
int main() {
    auto sh_ptr1 = std::make_shared<A>();
    std::cout << sh ptr1.use count(); // print 1
    std::weak_ptr<A> w_ptr = sh_ptr1;
    std::cout << w_ptr.use_count(); // print 1</pre>
    auto sh_ptr2 = w_ptr.lock();
    std::cout << kk.use_count(); // print 2 (sh ptr1 + sh ptr2)
    sh_ptr1 = nullptr;
    std::cout << w_ptr.expired(); // print false</pre>
    sh_ptr2 = nullptr;
    std::cout << w_ptr.expired(); // print true</pre>
```

# Concurrency

#### **Overview**

## C++11 introduces the Concurrency library to simplify managing OS threads

```
#include <iostream>
#include <thread>

void f() {
    std::cout << "first thread" << std::endl;
}

int main() {
    std::thread th(f);
    th.join();  // stop the main thread until "th" complete
}</pre>
```

# How to compile:

```
$g++ -std=c++11 main.cpp -pthread
```

# **Example**

```
#include <iostream>
#include <thread>
#include <vector>
void f(int id) {
    std::cout << "thread " << id << std::endl:
int main() {
    std::vector<std::thread> thread vect; // thread vector
   for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++)
        thread vect.push back( std::thread(&f, i) );
   for (auto& th : thread vect)
        th.join();
   thread_vect.clear();
   for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++) { // thread + lambda expression
        thread_vect.push_back(
            std::thread( [](){ std::cout << "thread\n"; } );
```

#### **Library methods:**

- std::this\_thread::get\_id() returns the thread id
- std::thread::sleep\_for( sleep\_duration )
  Blocks the execution of the current thread for at least the specified sleep\_duration
- std::thread::hardware\_concurrency()
  supported by the implementation

#### Thread object methods:

- get\_id() returns the thread id
- join() waits for a thread to finish its execution
- detach() permits the thread to execute independently from the thread handle

# **Thread Methods**

```
#include <chrono> // the following program should (not deterministic)
#include <iostream> // produces the output:
#include <thread> // child thread exit
                    // main thread exit
int main() {
    using namespace std::chrono_literals;
    std::cout << std::this_thread::get_id();</pre>
    std::cout << std::thread::hardware_concurrency(); // e.g. print 6</pre>
    auto lambda = []() {
        std::this_thread::sleep_for(1s); // t2
        std::cout << "child thread exit\n";</pre>
    }:
    std::thread child(lambda):
    child.detach(); // without detach(), child must join() the
                    // main thread (run-time error otherwise)
    std::this_thread::sleep_for(2s); // t1
    std::cout << "main thread exit\n";</pre>
// if t1 < t2 the should program prints:
```

# **Parameters Passing**

std::cref ) to avoid wrong behaviors

Parameters passing by-value or by-pointer to a thread function works in the same way of a standard function. Pass-by-reference requires a special wrapper ( std::ref ,

```
#include <instream>
#include <thread>
void f(int& a, const int& b) {
   a = 7:
    const cast<int\&>(b) = 8:
int main() {
   int a = 1, b = 2;
    std::thread th1(f, a, b):
                                                  // wrona!!!
    std::cout << a << ", " << b << std::endl;
                                                 // print 1, 2!!
    std::thread th2(f. std::ref(a), std::cref(b)): // correct
    std::cout << a << ", " << b << std::endl; // print 7, 8!!
   th1.join(); th2.join();
```

The following code produces (in general) a value < 1000:

```
#include <chrono>
#include <iostream>
#include <thread>
#include <vector>
void f(int& value) {
   for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
        value++:
        std::this thread::sleep for(std::chrono::milliseconds(10));
int main() {
    int value = 0:
    std::vector<std::thread> th_vect;
   for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++)
        th_vect.push_back( std::thread(f, std::ref(value)) );
   for (auto& it : th_vect)
        it.join();
    std::cout << value;
```

C++11 provide the mutex class as synchronization primitive to protect shared data from being simultaneously accessed by multiple threads

#### mutex methods:

- lock() locks the *mutex*, blocks if the *mutex* is not available
- try\_lock() tries to lock the mutex, returns if the mutex is not available
- unlock() unlocks the mutex

More advanced mutex can be found here: en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/thread

C++ includes three mutex wrappers to provide safe copyable/movable objects:

- lock\_guard (C++11) implements a strictly scope-based mutex ownership wrapper
- unique\_lock (C++11) implements movable mutex ownership wrapper
- shared\_lock (C++14) implements movable shared mutex ownership wrapper

```
#include <thread> // iostream, vector, chrono
void f(int& value, std::mutex& m) {
   for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
        m.lock():
        value++; // other threads must wait
        m.unlock();
        std::this_thread::sleep_for(std::chrono::milliseconds(10));
int main() {
    std::mutex m:
    int value = 0;
    std::vector<std::thread> th vect:
   for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++)
        th_vect.push_back( std::thread(f, std::ref(value), std::ref(m)) );
   for (auto& it : th_vect)
       it.join();
    std::cout << value;
```

#### **Atomic**

 $\mathtt{std::atomic}$  (C++11) template class defines an atomic type that are implemented with lock-free operations (much faster than locks)

```
#include <atomic> // chrono, iostream, thread, vector
void f(std::atomic<int>& value) {
   for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
        value++:
        std::this thread::sleep for(std::chrono::milliseconds(10));
int main() {
    std::atomic<int> value(0):
    std::vector<std::thread> th_vect;
   for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++)
        th vect.push back( std::thread(f, std::ref(value)) );
    for (auto& it : th_vect)
        it.join();
    std::cout << value; // print 1000
```

The future library provides facilities to obtain values that are returned and to catch exceptions that are thrown by *asynchronous* tasks

```
Asynchronous call: std::future async(function, args...)
runs a function asynchronously (potentially in a new thread)
and returns a std::future object that will hold the result
```

#### std::future methods:

- T get() returns the result
- wait() waits for the result to become available

# async() can be called with two launch policies for a task executed:

- std::launch::async a new thread is launched to execute the task asynchronously
- std::launch::deferred the task is executed on the calling thread the first time its result is requested (lazy evaluation)

```
#include <future> // numeric, algorithm, vector, iostream
template <typename RandomIt>
int parallel sum(RandomIt beg, RandomIt end) {
   auto len = end - beg;
   if (len < 1000) // base case
      return std::accumulate(beg, end, 0);
   RandomIt mid = beg + len / 2;
   auto handle = std::async(std::launch::async, // right side
                         parallel sum<RandomIt>, mid, end);
   // left + right
   return sum + handle.get();
int main() {
   std::vector<int> v(10000, 1); // init all to 1
   std::cout << "The sum is " << parallel sum(v.begin(), v.end());
```