

# Power monoids and a conjecture by Bienvenu and Geroldinger

Salvatore Tringali

School of Mathematical Sciences, Hebei Normal University

based on joint work with Weihao Yan<sup>(1)</sup>

Conference on Rings and Factorizations 2023

DMSC, University of Graz (AT), July 12, 2023

---

<sup>(1)</sup>Weihao defended his bachelor's thesis in mathematics on May 18, 2023.

## 1. Power monoids

## 2. A new wave of questions

## 3. References

# What is... a power monoid?

Throughout,  $M$  is a multiplicative[ly written] monoid and we denote by  $M^\times$  its **group of units** (note that  $M$  need not be commutative, cancellative, etc.)

The **large power monoid** (LPM) of  $M$  is the (multiplicative) monoid  $\mathcal{P}(M)$  obtained by endowing the *non-empty* subsets of  $M$  with the **setwise product**

$$(X, Y) \mapsto XY := \{xy : x \in X, y \in Y\}.$$

Each of the following is a submonoid of  $\mathcal{P}(M)$ :

- $\mathcal{P}_\times(M) := \{X \in \mathcal{P}(M) : X \cap M^\times \neq \emptyset\}$ , the **restricted LPM** of  $M$ .
- $\mathcal{P}_1(M) := \{X \in \mathcal{P}(M) : 1_M \in X\}$ , the **reduced LPM** of  $M$ .
- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(M) := \{X \in \mathcal{P}(M) : |X| < \infty\}$ , the **finitary power monoid** (FPM) of  $M$ .
- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}, \times}(M) := \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(M) \cap \mathcal{P}_\times(M)$ , the **restricted FPM** of  $M$ .
- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}, 1}(M) := \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(M) \cap \mathcal{P}_1(M)$ , the **reduced FPM** of  $M$ .

Altogether, these structures will be referred to as **power monoids**<sup>(2)</sup> (PMs).

---

<sup>(2)</sup>The definition of  $\mathcal{P}(\cdot)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\cdot)$  does even make sense for semigroups (see Slide 4).

# Older literature and origins

$\mathcal{P}(M)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(M)$  have been considered by semigroup theorists and computer scientists since the late 1960s and quite intensively in the 1980s-1990s<sup>(3)</sup>.

They were first *explicitly* studied by T. Tamura & J. Shafer<sup>(4)</sup> (in the more general context of *semigroups*) in 1967, though the definition of these structures is already *implicit* to the early work on additive combinatorics<sup>(5)</sup>.

Since then, there has been continuous interest in properties of  $M$  that [do not] ascend to  $\mathcal{P}(M)$  or  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(M)$ . Tamura & Shafer were especially interested in:

## The Isomorphism Problem (for Power Semigroups)

Assume the large power semigroup of a semigroup  $S$  is (semigroup-)isomorphic to the one of a semigroup  $T$ . Is it true that  $S$  is isomorphic to  $T$ ?

For *infinite* semigroups, the problem was quickly answered in the negative<sup>(6)</sup>, but remains open for *finite* semigroups.

<sup>(3)</sup>See J. Almeida, Semigroup Forum **64** (2002), 159–179 (a must-read survey).

<sup>(4)</sup>See *Power semigroups*, Mathematica Japonicae **12** (1967), 25–32.

<sup>(5)</sup>At least starting with the work of A.L. Cauchy in his famous 1813 paper containing a proof of what is now known as the Cauchy-Davenport inequality.

<sup>(6)</sup>See E. M. Mogiljanskaja, Semigroup Forum **6** (1973), 330–333.

# Recent literature and popularization

$\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(M)$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},\times}(M)$ , and  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(M)$  were rediscovered by Y. Fan and T. in 2018 and further studied in a series of subsequent papers:

- Fan & T., J. Algebra **512** (2018), 252–294.
- Antoniou & T., Pacific J. Math. **312** (2021), No. 2, 279–308.
- Sect. 4.2 in T., J. Algebra **602** (July 2022), 352–380.
- pp. 101–102 in Geroldinger & Khadam, Ark. Mat. **60** (2022), 67–106.
- Bienvenu & Geroldinger, Israel J. Math., to appear (arXiv:2205.00982).
- Example 4.5(3) and Remark 5.5 in Cossu & T., J. Algebra **630** (Sep 2023), 128–161.
- T. & Yan, three manuscripts (soon on arXiv).

PMs are also the subject of a CrowdMath project recently launched by F. Gotti:

<https://artofproblemsolving.com/polymath/mitprimes2023>

Most of these papers focus on the arithmetic of PMs (and related structures), and especially on questions concerning the possibility (or impossibility) of factoring a set into a (finite) product of *irreducibles*<sup>(7)</sup> (see also Slide 10).

---

<sup>(7)</sup>In a multiplicative monoid  $H$ , an element  $a$  is **irreducible** if  $a$  is a non-unit and  $a \neq xy$  for all non-units  $x, y \in H$  such that  $HxH \neq HaH \neq HyH$ .

1) PMs are a leading example in the ongoing development of a *unifying theory of factorization*, with monoids & irreducibles replaced by **premons** & **irreds** (...):

- T., J. Algebra **602** (July 2022), 352–380.
- Cossu & T., Israel J. Math., to appear (arXiv:2108.12379).
- Cossu & T., J. Algebra **630** (Sep 2023), 128–161.
- T., Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., to appear (arXiv:2209.05238).
- [Preprints] Cossu & T. (arXiv:2301.09961), Casabella, García-Sánchez, & D’Anna (arXiv:2302.09647), and Ajran & F. Gotti (arXiv:2305.00413).

2) PMs are a natural algebraic framework for arithmetic combinatorics:

- **Sárközy’s conjecture**<sup>(8)</sup>. For all but finitely many primes  $p$ , the set  $\mathcal{Q}_p \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$  of quadratic residues mod  $p$  is an atom in the FPM of the additive group of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .
- **Inverse Goldbach conjecture**<sup>(9)</sup>. Every set of integers that differ from the set of (positive rational) primes by finitely many elements is an atom in the LPM of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ .

3) The monoid of non-empty (2-sided) ideals of  $M$  is a submonoid of  $\mathcal{P}(M)$ .

4) PMs play a key role in the study of formal languages and automata<sup>(10)</sup>.

---

<sup>(8)</sup>Conjecture 1.6 in A. Sárközy, Acta Arith. **155** (2012), No. 1, 41–51.

<sup>(9)</sup>See C. Elsholtz, Mathematika **48** (2001), Nos. 1–2, 151–158.

<sup>(10)</sup>See (the refs in) K. Auinger and B. Steinberg, Theoret. Comput. Sci. **341** (2005), 1–21.

# A zoo of wild beasts



$\mathcal{P}(M)$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{\times}(M)$ , and  $\mathcal{P}_1(M)$  are rather complicated objects — their “finitary analogues” are much tamer, although  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(M)$  can still be a real headache.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \{1_M\} & \hookrightarrow & M^{\times} & \hookrightarrow & M \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(M) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},\times}(M) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(M) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{P}_1(M) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_{\times}(M) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{P}(M)
 \end{array}$$

In the above diagram, a “hooked arrow”  $P \hookrightarrow Q$  means the inclusion map from  $P$  to  $Q$  and a “tailed arrow”  $P \rightarrowtail Q$  means the embedding  $P \rightarrow Q: x \mapsto \{x\}$ .

**Fact 1.** If  $M$  is cancellative, then  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(M)$  is **divisor-closed**<sup>(11)</sup> in  $\mathcal{P}(M)$ .

**Fact 2.** If  $M$  is Dedekind-finite, then (i)  $\mathcal{P}_{\times}(M)$  is divisor-closed in  $\mathcal{P}(M)$ , and so is  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},\times}(M)$  in  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(M)$ ; (ii)  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(M)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},\times}(M)$  have *essentially* the same factorizations into irreducibles, and so also do  $\mathcal{P}_1(M)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\times}(M)$ .

<sup>(11)</sup>A submonoid  $K$  of a monoid  $H$  is **divisor-closed** if “ $x \in H$  and  $y \in K \cap HxH$ ”  $\Rightarrow x \in K$ .

# Going nuts with a hard nut

The facts mentioned on the previous slide suggest that, at least for a *cancellative* (and hence Dedekind-finite)  $M$ , there is much about  $\mathcal{P}(M)$  and other PMs that we can understand from the study of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(M)$ . In addition:

**Proposition 3.2(iii) in [Antoniou & T., 2019]**

$\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(N)$  is divisor-closed in  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(M)$  for every submonoid  $N$  of  $M$ .

So, we can understand many properties of PMs by looking at corresponding properties of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(M)$  when  $M$  is **monogenic** (i.e., generated by one element).

It is thus natural<sup>(12)</sup> to focus on the reduced FPMs of  $(\mathbb{N}, +)$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, +)$ , herein denoted by  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{N})$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ , resp., and written additively:

- The arithmetic of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{N})$  is the object of Sect. 4 in [Fan & T., 2018].
- The arithmetic of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$  for an *odd* modulus  $n$  is the object of Sect. 5 in [Antoniou & T., 2019] (see also Sect. 4.2 in [T., 2022]).
- Bienvenu & Geroldinger have addressed algebraic and (sort of) analytic properties of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{N})$  and closely related structures (see Slide 11).

<sup>(12)</sup>When  $M$  is cancellative, there are no other monogenic submonoids (up to iso).



1. Power monoids

**2. A new wave of questions**

3. References

# Factorizations and length sets

To sum up, recent work on PMs has brought new life to the subject, a major problem being the following conjecture:

## Sect. 5 of [Fan & T., 2018]

If  $M$  is linearly orderable<sup>a</sup>, then every non-empty *finite* subset  $L$  of  $\mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$  is the **length set** (LS) of a set  $X \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(M)$ , i.e.,  $L$  is the set of all and only the integers  $k \geq 0$  such that  $X$  is a product of  $k$  atoms<sup>b</sup> of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(M)$ .

<sup>a</sup>Namely, there is a total order  $\preceq$  on  $M$  s.t. if  $x \prec y$  then  $uxv \prec uyv$  for all  $u, v \in H$ .

<sup>b</sup>Here, an **atom** is a non-unit that does not factor as a product of two non-units.

As noted in [Fan & T., 2018], the conjecture boils down to the case  $M = (\mathbb{N}, +)$ , and what is known to date amounts to the following:

## Propositions 4.8–4.10 in [Fan & T., 2018]

For every integer  $n \geq 2$ , each of the sets  $\{n\}$ ,  $\{2, n\}$ , and  $\llbracket 2, n \rrbracket$  can be realized as the length set of a set in the reduced FPM of  $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ .

**Problem 1:**  $L$  is a length set of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{N})$  iff so is  $L + k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . (Easy in the FPM of  $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ , see Theorem 1.2.3 in [Bienvenu & Geroldinger, 202?].)

# The Bienvenu–Geroldinger conjecture

True or not, the conjecture has motivated new questions.

Most notably, let  $S$  be a **numerical monoid**, i.e., a submonoid of  $(\mathbb{N}, +)$  s.t.  $\mathbb{N} \setminus S$  is finite. Bienvenu & Geroldinger have

- obtained quantitative results on the “density” of the atoms of the reduced FPM of  $S$ , herein denoted by  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(S)$  and written additively;
- started a foray into the ideal theory of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(S)$ , with emphasis on prime ideals.

Moreover, they have formulated (and proved special cases of) the following:

## Bienvenu–Geroldinger conjecture

The reduced FPM of a numerical monoid  $S_1$  is isomorphic (shortly,  $\simeq$ ) to the reduced FPM of a numerical monoid  $S_2$  iff  $S_1 = S_2$ .

It is worth noting that:

- i) The Bienvenu–Geroldinger conjecture is ultimately asking to show that, in a certain class of multiplicative monoids,  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(H) \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(K)$  iff  $H \simeq K$ , as it is folklore that two numerical monoids are isomorphic iff they are equal<sup>(13)</sup>.
- ii) The unrestricted conjecture is false — if  $H$  is an idempotent (multiplicative) monoid with two elements, then  $H \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(H) \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \not\simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, +)$ .

<sup>(13)</sup>See, e.g., Theorem 3 in J. C. Higgins, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 1 (1969), 115–125.

The Bienvenu–Geroldinger conjecture was recently settled by Weihao Yan and myself in a 4-page note. *In hindsight*, the proof is rather simple — the most “advanced technology” we use is a classic<sup>(14)</sup>:

## Nathanson’s Thm (or Fundamental Thm of Additive Combinatorics)

Given  $A \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{N})$  with  $\gcd A = 1$ , there exist  $b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $B \subseteq \llbracket 0, b-2 \rrbracket$ , and  $C \subseteq \llbracket 0, c-2 \rrbracket$  s.t.  $kA = B \cup \llbracket b, ka-c \rrbracket \cup (ka-C)$  for all large  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $a := \max A$  and  $kA := A + \cdots + A$  ( $k$  times).

The proof breaks down to the following steps:

- 1) Show by Nathanson’s theorem that, given  $A \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{N})$ , we have  $(k+1)A = kA + B$  for all large  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $B \subseteq A$  with  $\{0, \max A\} \subseteq B$ .
- 2) Use 1) to prove that, if  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are numerical monoids and  $\phi: \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(S_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(S_2)$  is an iso, then  $\phi$  sends 2-element sets to 2-element sets.
- 3) Use 2) to show that, if  $\phi(\{0, a_1\}) = \{0, b_1\}$  and  $\phi(\{0, a_2\}) = \{0, b_2\}$  for some  $a_1, a_2 \in S_1$ , then  $\phi(\{0, a_1 + a_2\}) = \{0, b_1 + b_2\}$ .
- 4) Use 3) to conclude that, if  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are numerical monoids and  $\phi$  is an iso  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(S_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(S_2)$ , then the fnc  $\Phi: S_1 \rightarrow S_2: a \mapsto \max \phi(\{0, a\})$  is also an iso.

**Problem 2.** Generalize the result to a larger class of monoids.

<sup>(14)</sup>See M. B. Nathanson, Amer. Math. Monthly **79** (1972), No. 9, 1010–1012.

# Looking for extensions

Let a **Puiseux monoid**  $H$  be a submonoid of  $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, +)$ . We denote the reduced FPM of  $H$  by  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(H)$ , write it additively, and say that  $H$  is a **rational** Puiseux monoid if  $H$  is made of (non-negative) rational numbers<sup>(15)</sup>.

Nathanson's theorem has a natural extension to (non-empty, finite) sets of rationals, so the proof outlined on the previous slide can be adapted to show:

## Theorem 1.

$\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(H) \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(K)$ , for rational Puiseux monoids  $H$  and  $K$ , iff  $H \simeq K$ .

However, no analogue of Nathanson's theorem is available for (finite) sets of real numbers, and the question arises whether rationality is really necessary.

## Definition 2.

The monoid  $M$  is **positively orderable** if there is a total order  $\preceq$  on  $M$  such that (i)  $1_M \preceq x$  for each  $x \in M$  and (ii)  $x \prec y$  implies  $uxv \prec uyv$  for all  $u, v \in M$ .

Puiseux monoids are positively orderable, and so is every submonoid of the non-negative cone of a totally orderable group.

<sup>(15)</sup>Rational Puiseux monoids have been intensively studied by F. Gotti since 2018. They are indeed much older, but Felix' work has brought many new ideas to the topic.

# A generalization

## Proposition 1.

The monoid  $M$  is torsion-free iff so is its reduced power monoid.

### Proof.

Assume  $M$  is torsion-free, let  $X$  be a set in  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(M)$  with  $X \neq \{1_H\}$ , and suppose for a contradiction that  $X^m = X^n$  for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m < n$ . Then (by induction)  $X^m = X^{n-k-m(k-1)} \supseteq X^k$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . So, considering that  $|X| \geq 2$  and picking  $x \in X \setminus \{1_M\}$ , we find  $|X^m| \geq |X^k| \geq |\{1_M, x\}^k| = k+1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$  (absurd).

If, on the other hand, there are  $x \in M \setminus \{1_M\}$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  with  $m < n$  s.t.  $x^m = x^n$ , then  $\{1_M, x\}^n = \{1_M, \dots, x^n\} = \{1_M, \dots, x^{n-1}\} = \{1_M, x\}^{n-1}$ . ■

## Proposition 2.

Let  $H$  and  $K$  be (multiplicative) monoids with  $H$  torsion-free, and  $\phi$  be an iso  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(K)$ . Then  $|\phi(X)| = |X|$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(H)$  s.t.  $|X| \leq 3$ .

## Theorem 3.

Let  $H$  and  $K$  be commutative monoids and assume  $K$  is positively orderable. Then  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(H) \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(K)$  iff  $H \simeq K$ .

1. Power monoids

2. A new wave of questions

**3. References**

# Bibliography

- A. A. Antoniou & S. Tringali, *On the Arithmetic of Power Monoids and Sumsets in Cyclic Groups*, Pacific J. Math. **312** (2021), No. 2, 279–308 (arXiv:1804.10913).
- P.-Y. Bienvenu and A. Geroldinger, *On algebraic properties of power monoids of numerical monoids*, Israel J. Math., to appear (<https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.00982>).
- L. Cossu & S. Tringali, *Abstract Factorization Theorems with Applications to Idempotent Factorizations*, to appear in Israel J. Math. (arXiv:2108.12379).
- L. Cossu & S. Tringali, *Factorization under local finiteness conditions*, J. Algebra **630** (Sep 2023), 128–161 (arXiv:2208.05869).
- L. Cossu & S. Tringali, *On the finiteness of certain factorization invariants*, preprint (under review, arXiv:2301.09961).
- Y. Fan & S. Tringali, *Power monoids: A bridge between Factorization Theory and Arithmetic Combinatorics*, J. Algebra **512** (2018), 252–294.
- S. Tringali, *An abstract factorization theorem and some applications*, J. Algebra **602** (July 2022), 352–380 (arXiv:2102.01598).
- S. Tringali, *A characterization of atomicity*, to appear in Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. (arXiv:2209.05238).
- S. Tringali and W. Yan, *A conjecture by Bienvenu and Geroldinger on power monoids*, manuscript (available upon request)
- S. Tringali and W. Yan, *PMs and their automorphisms*, manuscript (available upon request).
- S. Tringali and W. Yan, *A conjecture by Bienvenu and Geroldinger on power monoids, II*, manuscript (available upon request).