

A generalized notion of cross number and applications to monoids of weighted zero-sum sequences

Wolfgang Schmid, joint with Kamil Merito and Oscar Ordaz

LAGA, Université Paris 8

July 12th, 2023
Rings and Factorizations 2023, Graz

Outline

Zero-sum sequences

Weighted zero-sum sequences

Cross number of a sequence

Arithmetic results for (plus-minus) weighted zero-sum sequences

A notion of cross number for certain C-monoids

Zero-sum sequences

For a (finite) abelian group $(G, +, 0)$ and a sequences S of elements $g_1 \dots g_k$ from G one says that S is a zero-sum sequence if

$$g_1 + \cdots + g_k = 0 \in G$$

Given two zero-sum sequences S and T their concatenation is again a zero-sum sequences. Thus zero-sum sequences form a monoid. One can study the arithmetic of these monoids (Baginski, Chapman, Gao, Geroldinger, Grynkiewicz, Halter-Koch, Zhong, etc).

Usually one identifies sequences that differ only in the ordering of the terms. I.e., sequences are in fact elements of the free *commutative* monoid over G or multisets.

The monoid of zero-sum sequences, aka the block monoid, $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$

Let $(G, +, 0)$ be a (finite) abelian group. Let $G_0 \subset G$. A sequence S over G_0 is an element of $\mathcal{F}(G_0)$ the free abelian monoid with basis G_0 .

Thus a sequence is a (formal, commutative) product

$$S = \prod_{i=1}^l g_i = \prod_{g \in G_0} g^{v_g(S)}.$$

The sequence S is called a *zero-sum sequence* if its *sum*

$$\sigma(S) = \sum_{i=1}^l g_i = \sum_{g \in G_0} v_g(S)g \in G$$

equals 0.

The monoid of zero-sum sequences over G_0 is defined as

$$\mathcal{B}(G_0) = \{S \in \mathcal{F}(G_0) : \sigma(S) = 0\}.$$

Study the arithmetic: sets of lengths

A monoid H (commutative, cancellative), for example the multiplicative monoid of a domain, is called *atomic* if each non-zero element a is the product (of finitely many) irreducible elements.

If

$$a = a_1 \dots a_n$$

with irreducible a_i , then n is called a length of a .

$$L(a) = \{n : n \text{ is a length}\}.$$

For a invertible set $L(a) = \{0\}$.

The *system of sets of lengths* is

$$\mathcal{L}(H) = \{L(a) : a \in H\}.$$

In general, sets of lengths can be infinite. Yet often they are *finite*. The property is called BF (bounded factorization). We only discuss BF.

If all sets of lengths are singletons, the structure is called half-factorial (Zaks, 1976).

Applications of monoids of zero-sum sequences

Various monoids and domains of interest admit a transfer-homomorphism to monoids of zero-sum sequences (or other auxiliary monoids).

Let H and \mathcal{B} be monoids. A monoid homomorphism $\Theta : H \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is called a transfer homomorphism when it has the following two properties:

T1 $\mathcal{B} = \Theta(H)\mathcal{B}^\times$ and $\Theta^{-1}(\mathcal{B}^\times) = H^\times$.

T2 If $u \in H$ and $b, c \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\Theta(u) = bc$, then there exist $v, w \in H$ such that $u = vw$, $\Theta(v) \simeq b$ and $\Theta(w) \simeq c$.

They preserve sets of lengths.

Sets of lengths via block monoids

For a Krull monoid H sets of lengths just depend on the class group $\mathcal{C}(H) = G$ and the set G_0 of classes containing primes (the distribution of prime v -ideals).

More precisely, there exists a monoid epimorphism (the block homomorphism)

$$\beta : H \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G_0)$$

such that

$$\mathsf{L}_H(a) = \mathsf{L}_{\mathcal{B}(G_0)}(\beta(a))$$

for each $a \in H$.

More specifically, $\beta(a) = [p_1] \dots [p_k]$ where $\phi(a) = p_1 \dots p_k$ (essentially unique!).

A classical special case from number theory

Let K be a number field with class group G . There is a transfer homomorphism β from \mathcal{O}_K^* to $\mathcal{B}(G)$, the monoid of zero-sum sequences over the class group of K .

More specifically, $\beta(a) = [p_1] \dots [p_k]$ where $(a) = p_1 \dots p_k$ is the factorization into prime ideals (essentially unique!).

Weighted zero-sum sequences

Let $(G, +, 0)$ be a (finite) abelian group. Let $G_0 \subset G$. Let Ω be “a set of weights.” Let $S = \prod_{i=1}^l g_i$ be a sequence.
Then any elements of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^l \omega_i g_i$$

with $\omega_i \in \Omega$ is called an Ω -weighted sum of S .

What do we take as set of weights?

1. Subset of the integers, or of $\{0, 1, \dots, \exp(G) - 1\}$.
2. Subset of the endomorphisms of $\text{End}(G)$ (more general).
3. One can also generalize further for example subset of $\text{hom}(G, G')$ for some other groups G' .

Let $\sigma_\Omega(S)$ denote the set of all elements that are an Ω -weighted sum of S .

We say that S is a Ω -weighted zero-sum sequence.

Note: The sequences is not ‘weighted’, the sum is.

Weighted zero-sum sequences, II

There are plenty of papers on weighted zero-sum constants (Adhikari and many others).

Davenport constant with weights: What is the smallest integer ℓ such that each sequence S over G of length ℓ has a subsequence that is an Ω -weighted zero-sum sequence.

Erdős–Ginzburg–Ziv constant with weights: What is the smallest integer ℓ such that each sequence S over G of length ℓ has a subsequence of length $\exp(G)$ that is an Ω -weighted zero-sum sequence.

Etc.

The purpose of this talk is to talk about something else though namely the *monoid* of Ω -weighted zero-sum sequences over G_0 , which is defined as

$$\mathcal{B}_\Omega(G_0) = \{S \in \mathcal{F}(G_0) : \sigma_\Omega(S) \ni 0\}.$$

Recap: the monoid of Ω -weighted zero-sum sequences

$$\mathcal{B}_\Omega(G) = \{S \in \mathcal{F}(G) : 0 \in \sigma_\Omega(S)\} \subset \mathcal{F}(G)$$

be the set of all sequences that have zero as a Ω -weighted sum.

$\mathcal{B}_\Omega(G)$ is a submonoid of $\mathcal{F}(G)$.

Moreover $\mathcal{B}(G) \subset \mathcal{B}_\Omega(G)$.

Factorizations in monoids of norms

Let K denote a Galois number field. Let \mathcal{O}_K denote its ring of algebraic integers.

Let $N : \mathcal{O}_K^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ denote the absolute norm.

Then $N(\mathcal{O}_K^*)$ is a submonoid of (\mathbb{N}^*, \cdot) . We want to study the arithmetic of that monoid.

Again, one wants to use uniqueness of factorization into prime ideals. A complication is that different prime ideals can have the same norm. To treat this problem one needs ‘weighted’ zero-sum sequences (initially noted by Halter-Koch).

Factorizations in monoids of norms, II

Theorem (Boukheche, Merito, Ordaz, S.)

Let K be a Galois number field with Galois group Γ and class group G . There is a transfer homomorphism from $N(\mathcal{O}_K^)$, the monoid of absolute norms of non-zero algebraic integers of K , to $\mathcal{B}_\Gamma(G)$, the monoid of Γ -weighted zero-sum sequences over the class group of K .*

Recall that the Galois group acts on the class group; thus it makes sense to talk about Γ -weighted zero-sum sequences over the class group of K .

Further developed by Geroldinger, Halter-Koch, Zhong.

Length of a sequence

For a sequences S of elements $g_1 \dots g_k$ from G one says that the length of S is k , denoted $|S|$.

It is a monoid homomorphism from $\mathcal{F}(G)$ to \mathbb{N}_0 .

This is a simple but useful invariant of the sequence.

- ▶ For example if $S \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ then obviously $\max L(S) \leq |S|$.
- ▶ If S does not contain 0, then even $\max L(S) \leq |S|/2$.

The cross number of a sequence

For a sequences S of elements $g_1 \dots g_k$ from G one says that the cross number of S is

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{\text{ord } g_i}$$

denoted $k(S)$.

It is a monoid homomorphism from $\mathcal{F}(G)$ to $\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$.

Introduced by Skula, Śliwa, Zaks independently (1976).

Theorem

For a subset $G_0 \subset G$ one has that $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ is half-factorial if and only if $k(A) = 1$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}(G_0))$.

Early contribution by Krause (who introduced the name cross number).

Proof

Suppose all atoms have cross number 1.

If $S = A_1 \dots A_k = U_1 \dots U_l$ with atoms A_i, U_j , then $k(S) = k$ and $k(S) = l$, so $k = l$.

Conversely assume there is some $A = g_1 \dots g_r$ with $k(A) \neq 1$.

We have

$$A^{\exp(G)} = \prod_{i=1}^r (g_i^{\text{ord } g_i})^{\exp(G)/\text{ord } g_i}$$

On the right this is a factorization of length $\exp(G)k(A) \neq \exp(G)$.

Observation: For an atom A one has $\{\exp(G), \exp(G)k(A)\} \subset L(A^{\exp(G)})$.

Sets of lengths

Recall: sets of lengths

If

$$a = a_1 \dots a_n$$

with irreducible a_i , then n is called a length of a .

$$\mathcal{L}(a) = \{n : n \text{ is a length}\}.$$

For a invertible set $\mathcal{L}(a) = \{0\}$.

The *system of sets of lengths* is

$$\mathcal{L}(H) = \{\mathcal{L}(a) : a \in H\}.$$

If each set is a singleton we say the monoid is half-factorial.
Otherwise $\mathcal{L}(H)$ contains arbitrarily large sets.

Sets of lengths, II

For $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $\Delta(A)$ the set of (successive) distances of A , that is the set of all $d \in \mathbb{N}$ for which there exists $\ell \in A$ such that $A \cap [\ell, \ell + d] = \{\ell, \ell + d\}$. Clearly, $\Delta(A) \subseteq \{d\}$ if and only if A is an arithmetical progression with difference d .

For a monoid H we set $\Delta(H) = \bigcup_{a \in H} \Delta(L(a))$ the set of distances,

and $\Delta^*(H) = \{\min \Delta(H') : H' \subset H \text{ divisor-closed, and not HF}\}$ the set of minimal distances.

Introduced by Gao and Geroldinger (2000).

A fundamental lemma

It is known that $\min \Delta(H) = \gcd \Delta(H)$. (Geroldinger)

Lemma

Let G_0 be a subset of a finite abelian group.

$$\min \Delta(\mathcal{B}(G_0)) \mid \gcd\{\exp(G)(k(A) - 1) : A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}(G_0))\}$$

Also true for non abelian groups (Geroldinger, Grynkiewicz, Oh, Zhong, 2022)

A few arithmetic results for weighted zero-sum sequences

We present some similar results with weights.

The (ir-)reducible elements of $\mathcal{B}_\Omega(G)$

A non-empty/non-invertible $S \in \mathcal{B}_\Omega(G)$ is reducible if there are two non-empty elements $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{B}_\Omega(G)$ such that $S = S_1 S_2$. That is, S can be decomposed into two non-empty Ω -weighted zero-sum sequences S_1 and S_2 .

That is, $S = S_1 S_2$ with $0 \in \sigma_\Omega(S_1)$ and $0 \in \sigma_\Omega(S_2)$.

Note: Contrary to the case without weights, it does not suffice that there exist some proper divisor S_1 of S with $0 \in \sigma_\Omega(S_1)$, because $0 + a = 0$ implies $a = 0$, but $0 \in A_1$ and $0 \in A_1 + A_2$ does not imply $0 \in A_2$.

We denote by $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}_\Omega(G))$ the set of irreducible Ω -weighted zero-sum sequences.

These monoids are usually not Krull, but are C-monoids (see later).

A direct consequence of the previous considerations

It is not hard to see that minimal weighted zero-sum sequences cannot get arbitrarily long. Thus the monoid is finitely generated. As $\mathcal{B}_\Omega(G)$ is finitely generated, various arithmetical finiteness results hold.

A few consequences of finitely generated

Let G be a finite abelian group and let $G_0 \subseteq G$. Let $\Omega \subseteq \text{End}(G)$ be a set of weights. Let $H = \mathcal{B}_\Omega(G_0)$.

1. We have that $\Delta(H)$ is finite.
2. There is some $M \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that each set of lengths L of H with $|L| \geq 2$ is an almost arithmetical multiprogression with bound M and difference $d \in \Delta^*(H)$, that is,
$$L = y + (L_1 \cup L^* \cup L_2) \subset y + \mathcal{D} + d\mathbb{Z} \text{ with } y \in \mathbb{N}_\vee,$$

$$\{0, d\} \subset \mathcal{D} \subset [0, d], L_1, -L_2 \subset [1, M], \min L^* = 0 \text{ and}$$
$$L^* = [0, \max L^*] \cap \mathcal{D} + d\mathbb{Z}.$$

Minimal distances for $\mathcal{B}_\pm(G)$

We saw that sets of lengths are AAMPs. We might want to understand their differences. To this end one needs to study minimal distances Δ^* .

What are the divisor-closed submonoids?

These are, as without weights, $\mathcal{B}_\pm(G_0)$ for $G_0 \subset G$.
(Geroldinger, Halter-Koch, Zhong)

A result for groups of odd order

Theorem (Merito, Ordaz, S.)

If $|G|$ odd then $\max \Delta^*(\mathcal{B}_\pm(G)) = \exp(G) - 2$.

For comparison $\max \Delta^*(\mathcal{B}(G)) = \max\{\exp(G) - 2, r(G) - 1\}$ (Geroldinger, Zhong), but that's much harder to prove.

In the case of groups of even order $\max \Delta^*(\mathcal{B}_\pm(G))$ can exceed $\max \Delta^*(\mathcal{B}(G))$, and can be as large as (the conjectured) $\max \Delta(\mathcal{B}(G))$.

A simple lemma

Lemma

Let $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}_\pm(G))$ and $A \neq 0$. Then $\{2, |A|\} \subset L(A^2)$.

Proof: Let $A = g_1 \dots g_k$. Then

$$A^2 = g_1^2 \cdot g_2^2 \dots g_k^2$$

is a factorization as $0 = (+1)g_i + (-1)g_i$.

Another simple lemma

Lemma

Assume that the order of g is odd, then $g^{\text{ord}(g)} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}_\pm(G))$.

Proof: While g^2 is an atom we cannot factor $g^{\text{ord}(g)}$ into copies of g^2 ,

since $\text{ord}(g)$ is odd.

Basically the same situation as for the (numerical) semigroup $\langle 2, \text{ord}(g) \rangle$.

Somewhat stronger version of the result

Theorem

Let G be a finite abelian group exponent n and let $H = \mathcal{B}_\pm(G)$. Assume that $n \geq 3$ is odd. Let $D_1 = \{d - 2 : d \mid n, d \geq 3\}$ and let $D_2 = \{d' : d : d \in D_1\}$. Then $D_1 \subseteq \Delta^*(H) \subseteq D_2$. In particular, $\max \Delta^*(H) = n - 2$.

A consequence

Corollary

Let p be a prime such that $p - 2$ is prime. Then for $G = C_p^r$ one has $\Delta^(\mathcal{B}_\pm(G)) = \{1, p - 2\}$. In particular, for $p = 3$ one has $\Delta^*(\mathcal{B}_\pm(G)) = \{1\}$.*

Note that these results allow quite directly to characterize some (most) of those groups via sets of lengths.

Note for $p = 2$ one has $\Delta^*(\mathcal{B}_\pm(G)) = \{1, 2, \dots, r - 1\}$.

What about the case of even exponent?

Basic construction

Lemma

Let G be a finite abelian group and let e_1, \dots, e_r be independent elements of even order, say $\text{ord}(e_i) = 2m_i$.

Assume that $m_1 + \dots + m_r \geq 2$. Let $e_0 = m_1 e_1 + \dots + m_r e_r$,

$G_0 = \{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ and $H = \mathcal{B}_\pm(G_0)$. Then

$\Delta(H) = \{m_1 + \dots + m_r - 1\}$ and $c(H) = m_1 + \dots + m_r + 1$.

Proof: $A = e_0 e_1^{m_1} \dots e_r^{m_r}$ is an atom. The only other atoms are e_i^2 . So $L(A^2) = \{2, |A|\}$.

What about the case of even exponent? II

Note that $m_1 + \cdots + m_r - 1$ can significantly exceed $\exp(G) - 2$ and $r(G)$.

Various results can be obtained but they are not really ready, and I did not yet talk about a generalized cross number at all!

A notion of cross number for certain C-monoids

Let H be a finitely generated and reduced submonoid of a free monoid $\mathcal{F}(P)$ such that for every $p \in P$ there is an $a \in H$ such that $v_p(a) > 0$ and such that for every $a \in \mathcal{F}(P)$ there is an $n_a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a^{n_a} \in H$. By a result of Cziszter, Domokos and Geroldinger this means that H is a *C-monoid*.

Since P is finite there is an e such that p^e in H for each $p \in P$, for example we can take the least common multiples of the n_p as defined above.

For $p \in P$ let $m_{e,p} \in L_H(p^e)$ and let $\bar{m}_e = (m_{e,p})_{p \in P}$.

Let $k_{\bar{m}_e} : \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}, +)$ be the monoid homomorphism obtained by extension of $k_{\bar{m}_e}(p) = m_{e,p}/e$ for each $p \in P$.

The basic use-case

Lemma

Let H be a monoid as specified above, then with the notations introduced above the following holds. For each $a \in \mathcal{A}(H)$ we have $\{e, ek_{\bar{m}_e}(a)\} \subset L_H(a^e)$; moreover, we have

$$\min \Delta(H) \mid \gcd\{e(k_{\bar{m}_e}(a) - 1) : a \in \mathcal{A}(H)\}.$$

What does this mean for $\mathcal{B}_\pm(G)$?

For $\mathcal{B}_\pm(G)$ we can take $e = 2$. Then $m_{e,g} = 1$ for $g \neq 0$ and $m_{e,0} = 2$.

Thus the cross number of a sequences S not containing 0 is just $|S|/2$, and

$$|A| - 2 = e(k(A) - 1)$$

A generalized notion of cross number and applications to monoids of weighted zero-sum sequences

Wolfgang Schmid, joint with Kamil Merito and Oscar Ordaz

LAGA, Université Paris 8

July 12th, 2023
Rings and Factorizations 2023, Graz