

Power monoids and a conjecture by Bienvenu and Geroldinger

Salvatore Tringali

School of Mathematical Sciences, Hebei Normal University

based on joint work with Weihao Yan⁽¹⁾

Conference on Rings and Factorizations 2023

DMSC, University of Graz (AT), July 12, 2023

⁽¹⁾Weihao defended his bachelor's thesis in mathematics on May 18, 2023.



Outline

1. Power monoids

2. A new wave of questions

3. References



What is... a power monoid?

Throughout, M is a multiplicative[ly written] monoid and we denote by M^\times its **group of units** (note that M need not be commutative, cancellative, etc.)

The **large power monoid** (LPM) of M is the (multiplicative) monoid $\mathcal{P}(M)$ obtained by endowing the *non-empty* subsets of M with the **setwise product**

$$(X, Y) \mapsto XY := \{xy : x \in X, y \in Y\}.$$

Each of the following is a submonoid of $\mathcal{P}(M)$:

- $\mathcal{P}_X(M) := \{X \in \mathcal{P}(M) : X \cap M^\times \neq \emptyset\}$, the **restricted LPM** of M .
- $\mathcal{P}_1(M) := \{X \in \mathcal{P}(M) : 1_M \in X\}$, the **reduced LPM** of M .
- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(M) := \{X \in \mathcal{P}(M) : |X| < \infty\}$, the **finitary power monoid** (FPM) of M .
- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}, \times}(M) := \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(M) \cap \mathcal{P}_X(M)$, the **restricted FPM** of M .
- $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}, 1}(M) := \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(M) \cap \mathcal{P}_1(M)$, the **reduced FPM** of M .

Altogether, these structures will be referred to as **power monoids⁽²⁾** (PMs).

⁽²⁾The definition of $\mathcal{P}(\cdot)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\cdot)$ does even make sense for semigroups (see Slide 4).



Older literature and origins

$\mathcal{P}(M)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(M)$ have been considered by semigroup theorists and computer scientists since the late 1960s and quite intensively in the 1980s-1990s⁽³⁾.

They were first *explicitly* studied by T. Tamura & J. Shafer⁽⁴⁾ (in the more general context of *semigroups*) in 1967, though the definition of these structures is already *implicit* to the early work on additive combinatorics⁽⁵⁾.

Since then, there has been continuous interest in properties of M that [do not] ascend to $\mathcal{P}(M)$ or $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(M)$. Tamura & Shafer were especially interested in:

The Isomorphism Problem (for Power Semigroups)

Assume the large power semigroup of a semigroup S is (semigroup-)isomorphic to the one of a semigroup T . Is it true that S is isomorphic to T ?

For *infinite* semigroups, the problem was quickly answered in the negative⁽⁶⁾, but remains open for *finite* semigroups.

⁽³⁾See J. Almeida, *Semigroup Forum* **64** (2002), 159–179 (a must-read survey).

⁽⁴⁾See *Power semigroups*, *Mathematica Japonicae* **12** (1967), 25–32.

⁽⁵⁾At least starting with the work of A.L. Cauchy in his famous 1813 paper containing a proof of what is now known as the Cauchy-Davenport inequality.

⁽⁶⁾See E. M. Mogiljanskaja, *Semigroup Forum* **6** (1973), 330–333.



Recent literature and popularization

$\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(M)$, $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},\times}(M)$, and $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(M)$ were rediscovered by Y. Fan and T. in 2018 and further studied in a series of subsequent papers:

- Fan & T., J. Algebra **512** (2018), 252–294.
- Antoniou & T., Pacific J. Math. **312** (2021), No. 2, 279–308.
- Sect. 4.2 in T., J. Algebra **602** (July 2022), 352–380.
- pp. 101–102 in Geroldinger & Khadim, Ark. Mat. **60** (2022), 67–106.
- Bienvenu & Geroldinger, Israel J. Math., to appear (arXiv:2205.00982).
- Example 4.5(3) and Remark 5.5 in Cossu & T., J. Algebra **630** (Sep 2023), 128–161.
- T. & Yan, three manuscripts (soon on arXiv).

PMs are also the subject of a CrowdMath project recently launched by F. Gotti:

<https://artofproblemsolving.com/polymath/mitprimes2023>

Most of these papers focus on the arithmetic of PMs (and related structures), and especially on questions concerning the possibility (or impossibility) of factoring a set into a (finite) product of *irreducibles*⁽⁷⁾ (see also Slide 10).

⁽⁷⁾In a multiplicative monoid H , an element a is **irreducible** if a is a non-unit and $a \neq xy$ for all non-units $x, y \in H$ such that $HxH = HaH = HyH$.



Why caring?

1) PMs are a leading example in the ongoing development of a *unifying theory of factorization*, with monoids & irreducibles replaced by **premons** & **irreds** (...):

- T., J. Algebra **602** (July 2022), 352–380.
- Cossu & T., Israel J. Math., to appear (arXiv:2108.12379).
- Cossu & T., J. Algebra **630** (Sep 2023), 128–161.
- T., Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., to appear (arXiv:2209.05238).
- [Preprints] Cossu & T. (arXiv:2301.09961), Casabella, García-Sánchez, & D'Anna (arXiv:2302.09647), and Ajran & F. Gotti (arXiv:2305.00413).

2) PMs are a natural algebraic framework for arithmetic combinatorics:

- **Sárközy's conjecture⁽⁸⁾**. For all but finitely many primes p , the set $\mathcal{Q}_p \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$ of quadratic residues mod p is an atom in the FPM of the additive group of \mathbb{F}_p .
- **Inverse Goldbach conjecture⁽⁹⁾**. Every set of integers that differ from the set of (positive rational) primes by finitely many elements is an atom in the LPM of $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$.

3) The monoid of non-empty (2-sided) ideals of M is a submonoid of $\mathcal{P}(M)$.

4) PMs play a key role in the study of formal languages and automata⁽¹⁰⁾.

⁽⁸⁾Conjecture 1.6 in A. Sárközy, Acta Arith. **155** (2012), No. 1, 41–51.

⁽⁹⁾See C. Elsholtz, Mathematika **48** (2001), Nos. 1–2, 151–158.

⁽¹⁰⁾See (the refs in) K. Auinger and B. Steinberg, Theoret. Comput. Sci. **341** (2005), 1–21.



A zoo of wild beasts

$\mathcal{P}(M)$, $\mathcal{P}_\times(M)$, and $\mathcal{P}_1(M)$ are rather complicated objects — their “finitary analogues” are much tamer, although $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(M)$ can still be a real headache.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \{1_M\} & \xhookrightarrow{\quad} & M^\times & \xhookrightarrow{\quad} & M \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(M) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},\times}(M) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(M) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{P}_1(M) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_\times(M) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{P}(M)
 \end{array}$$

In the above diagram, a “hooked arrow” $P \hookrightarrow Q$ means the inclusion map from P to Q and a “tailed arrow” $P \rightarrowtail Q$ means the embedding $P \rightarrow Q: x \mapsto \{x\}$.

Fact 1. If M is cancellative, then $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(M)$ is divisor-closed⁽¹¹⁾ in $\mathcal{P}(M)$.

Fact 2. If M is Dedekind-finite, then (i) $\mathcal{P}_\times(M)$ is divisor-closed in $\mathcal{P}(M)$, and so is $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},\times}(M)$ in $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(M)$; (ii) $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(M)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},\times}(M)$ have essentially the same factorizations into irreducibles, and so also do $\mathcal{P}_1(M)$ and $\mathcal{P}_\times(M)$.

⁽¹¹⁾A submonoid K of a monoid H is divisor-closed if “ $x \in H$ and $y \in K \cap HxH \Rightarrow x \in K$.



Going nuts with a hard nut

The facts mentioned on the previous slide suggest that, at least for a cancellative (and hence Dedekind-finite) M , there is much about $\mathcal{P}(M)$ and other PMs that we can understand from the study of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(M)$. In addition:

Proposition 3.2(iii) in [Antoniou & T., 2019]

$\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(N)$ is divisor-closed in $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(M)$ for every submonoid N of M .

So, we can understand many properties of PMs by looking at corresponding properties of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(M)$ when M is monogenic (i.e., generated by one element).

It is thus natural⁽¹²⁾ to focus on the reduced FPMs of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ and $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, +)$, herein denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{N})$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, resp., and written additively:

- The arithmetic of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{N})$ is the object of Sect. 4 in [Fan & T., 2018].
- The arithmetic of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ for an odd modulus n is the object of Sect. 5 in [Antoniou & T., 2019] (see also Sect. 4.2 in [T., 2022]).
- Bienvenu & Geroldinger have addressed algebraic and (sort of) analytic properties of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{N})$ and closely related structures (see Slide 11).

⁽¹²⁾When M is cancellative, there are no other monogenic submonoids (up to iso).



Outline

1. Power monoids

2. A new wave of questions

3. References



Factorizations and length sets

To sum up, recent work on PMs has brought new life to the subject, a major problem being the following conjecture:

Sect. 5 of [Fan & T., 2018]

If M is linearly orderable^a, then every non-empty *finite* subset L of $\mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ is the **length set** (LS) of a set $X \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(M)$, i.e., L is the set of all and only the integers $k \geq 0$ such that X is a product of k atoms^b of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(M)$.

^aNamely, there is a total order \preceq on M s.t. if $x \prec y$ then $uxv \prec uqv$ for all $u, v \in H$.

^bHere, an **atom** is a non-unit that does not factor as a product of two non-units.

As noted in [Fan & T., 2018], the conjecture boils down to the case $M = (\mathbb{N}, +)$, and what is known to date amounts to the following:

Propositions 4.8–4.10 in [Fan & T., 2018]

For every integer $n \geq 2$, each of the sets $\{n\}$, $\{2, n\}$, and $\llbracket 2, n \rrbracket$ can be realized as the length set of a set in the reduced FPM of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$.

Problem 1: L is a length set of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{N})$ iff so is $L + k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. (Easy in the FPM of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$, see Theorem 1.2.3 in [Bienvenu & Geroldinger, 202?].)



The Bienvenu–Geroldinger conjecture

True or not, the conjecture has motivated new questions.

Most notably, let S be a **numerical monoid**, i.e., a submonoid of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ s.t. $\mathbb{N} \setminus S$ is finite. Bienvenu & Geroldinger have

- obtained quantitative results on the “density” of the atoms of the reduced FPM of S , herein denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(S)$ and written additively;
- started a foray into the ideal theory of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(S)$, with emphasis on prime ideals.

Moreover, they have formulated (and proved special cases of) the following:

Bienvenu–Geroldinger conjecture

The reduced FPM of a numerical monoid S_1 is isomorphic (shortly, \simeq) to the reduced FPM of a numerical monoid S_2 iff $S_1 = S_2$.

It is worth noting that:

- i) The Bienvenu–Geroldinger conjecture is ultimately asking to show that, in a certain class of multiplicative monoids, $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(H) \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(K)$ iff $H \simeq K$, as it is folklore that two numerical monoids are isomorphic iff they are equal⁽¹³⁾.
- ii) The unrestricted conjecture is false — if H is an idempotent (multiplicative) monoid with two elements, then $H \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(H) \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \not\simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, +)$.

⁽¹³⁾See, e.g., Theorem 3 in J. C. Higgins, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 1 (1969), 115–125.



Sketch of proof

The Bienvenu–Geroldinger conjecture was recently settled by Weihao Yan and myself in a 4-page note. *In hindsight*, the proof is rather simple — the most “advanced technology” we use is a classic⁽¹⁴⁾:

Nathanson's Thm (or Fundamental Thm of Additive Combinatorics)

Given $A \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{N})$ with $\gcd A = 1$, there exist $b, c \in \mathbb{N}$, $B \subseteq \llbracket 0, b - 2 \rrbracket$, and $C \subseteq \llbracket 0, c - 2 \rrbracket$ s.t. $kA = B \cup \llbracket b, ka - c \rrbracket \cup (ka - C)$ for all large $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $a := \max A$ and $kA := A + \cdots + A$ (k times).

The proof breaks down to the following steps:

- 1) Show by Nathanson's theorem that, given $A \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(\mathbb{N})$, we have $(k+1)A = kA + B$ for all large $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $B \subseteq A$ with $\{0, \max A\} \subseteq B$.
- 2) Use 1) to prove that, if S_1 and S_2 are numerical monoids and $\phi: \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(S_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(S_2)$ is an iso, then ϕ sends 2-element sets to 2-element sets.
- 3) Use 2) to show that, if $\phi(\{0, a_1\}) = \{0, b_1\}$ and $\phi(\{0, a_2\}) = \{0, b_2\}$ for some $a_1, a_2 \in S_1$, then $\phi(\{0, a_1 + a_2\}) = \{0, b_1 + b_2\}$.
- 4) Use 3) to conclude that, if S_1 and S_2 are numerical monoids and ϕ is an iso $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(S_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(S_2)$, then the fnc $\Phi: S_1 \rightarrow S_2: a \mapsto \max \phi(\{0, a\})$ is also an iso.

Problem 2. Generalize the result to a larger class of monoids.

⁽¹⁴⁾See M. B. Nathanson, Amer. Math. Monthly **79** (1972), No. 9, 1010–1012.



Looking for extensions

Let a **Puiseux monoid** H be a submonoid of $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, +)$. We denote the reduced FPM of H by $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(H)$, write it additively, and say that H is a **rational** Puiseux monoid if H is made of (non-negative) rational numbers⁽¹⁵⁾.

Nathanson's theorem has a natural extension to (non-empty, finite) sets of rationals, so the proof outlined on the previous slide can be adapted to show:

Theorem 1.

$\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(H) \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},0}(K)$, for rational Puiseux monoids H and K , iff $H \simeq K$.

However, no analogue of Nathanson's theorem is available for (finite) sets of real numbers, and the question arises whether rationality is really necessary.

Definition 2.

The monoid M is **positively orderable** if there is a total order \preceq on M such that (i) $1_M \preceq x$ for each $x \in M$ and (ii) $x \prec y$ implies $uxv \prec uqv$ for all $u, v \in M$.

Puiseux monoids are positively orderable, and so is every submonoid of the non-negative cone of a totally orderable group.

⁽¹⁵⁾Rational Puiseux monoids have been intensively studied by F. Gotti since 2018. They are indeed much older, but Felix' work has brought many new ideas to the topic.



A generalization

Proposition 1.

The monoid M is torsion-free iff so is its reduced power monoid.

Proof.

Assume M is torsion-free, let X be a set in $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(M)$ with $X \neq \{1_H\}$, and suppose for a contradiction that $X^m = X^n$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m < n$. Then (by induction) $X^m = X^{nk-m(k-1)} \supseteq X^k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$. So, considering that $|X| \geq 2$ and picking $x \in X \setminus \{1_M\}$, we find $|X^m| \geq |X^k| \geq |\{1_M, x\}^k| = k + 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ (absurd).

If, on the other hand, there are $x \in M \setminus \{1_M\}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ with $m < n$ s.t. $x^m = x^n$, then $\{1_M, x\}^n = \{1_M, \dots, x^n\} = \{1_M, \dots, x^{n-1}\} = \{1_M, x\}^{n-1}$. ■

Proposition 2.

Let H and K be (multiplicative) monoids with H torsion-free, and ϕ be an iso $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(K)$. Then $|\phi(X)| = |X|$ for all $X \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(H)$ s.t. $|X| \leq 3$.

Theorem 3.

Let H and K be commutative monoids and assume K is positively orderable. Then $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(H) \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin},1}(K)$ iff $H \simeq K$.



Outline

1. Power monoids

2. A new wave of questions

3. References



Bibliography

- A. A. Antoniou & S. Tringali, *On the Arithmetic of Power Monoids and Sumsets in Cyclic Groups*, Pacific J. Math. **312** (2021), No. 2, 279–308 ([arXiv:1804.10913](https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.10913)).
- P.-Y. Bienvenu and A. Geroldinger, *On algebraic properties of power monoids of numerical monoids*, Israel J. Math., to appear (<https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.00982>).
- L. Cossu & S. Tringali, *Abstract Factorization Theorems with Applications to Idempotent Factorizations*, to appear in Israel J. Math. ([arXiv:2108.12379](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.12379)).
- L. Cossu & S. Tringali, *Factorization under local finiteness conditions*, J. Algebra **630** (Sep 2023), 128–161 ([arXiv:2208.05869](https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.05869)).
- L. Cossu & S. Tringali, *On the finiteness of certain factorization invariants*, preprint (under review, [arXiv:2301.09961](https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.09961)).
- Y. Fan & S. Tringali, *Power monoids: A bridge between Factorization Theory and Arithmetic Combinatorics*, J. Algebra **512** (2018), 252–294.
- S. Tringali, *An abstract factorization theorem and some applications*, J. Algebra **602** (July 2022), 352–380 ([arXiv:2102.01598](https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.01598)).
- S. Tringali, *A characterization of atomicity*, to appear in Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. ([arXiv:2209.05238](https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.05238)).
- S. Tringali and W. Yan, *A conjecture by Bienvenu and Geroldinger on power monoids*, manuscript (available upon request)
- S. Tringali and W. Yan, *PMs and their automorphisms*, manuscript (available upon request).
- S. Tringali and W. Yan, *A conjecture by Bienvenu and Geroldinger on power monoids, II*, manuscript (available upon request).