practical_exercise_2, Methods 3, 2021, autumn semester

Astrid

22 sep 2021

Assignment 1: Using mixed effects modelling to model hierarchical data

In this assignment we will be investigating the *politeness* dataset of Winter and Grawunder (2012) and apply basic methods of multilevel modelling.

Dataset

The dataset has been shared on GitHub, so make sure that the csv-file is on your current path. Otherwise you can supply the full path.

politeness <- read.csv('politeness.csv') ## read in data</pre>

Exercises and objectives

The objectives of the exercises of this assignment are:

- 1) Learning to recognize hierarchical structures within datasets and describing them
- 2) Creating simple multilevel models and assessing their fitness
- 3) Write up a report about the findings of the study

REMEMBER: In your report, make sure to include code that can reproduce the answers requested in the exercises below

REMEMBER: This assignment will be part of your final portfolio

Exercise 1 - describing the dataset and making some initial plots

- 1) Describe the dataset, such that someone who happened upon this dataset could understand the variables and what they contain
 - i. Also consider whether any of the variables in *politeness* should be encoded as factors or have the factor encoding removed. Hint: ?factor
- 2) Create a new data frame that just contains the subject F1 and run two linear models; one that expresses f0mn as dependent on scenario as an integer; and one that expresses f0mn as dependent on scenario encoded as a factor
 - i. Include the model matrices, X from the General Linear Model, for these two models in your report and describe the different interpretations of scenario that these entail
 - ii. Which coding of *scenario*, as a factor or not, is more fitting?
- 3) Make a plot that includes a subplot for each subject that has *scenario* on the x-axis and f0mn on the y-axis and where points are colour coded according to attitude
 - i. Describe the differences between subjects

Exercise 2 - comparison of models

For this part, make sure to have lme4 installed.

You can install it using install.packages("lme4") and load it using library(lme4)

lmer is used for multilevel modelling

```
mixed.model <- lmer(formula=..., data=...)
example.formula <- formula(dep.variable ~ first.level.variable + (1 | second.level.variable))</pre>
```

- 1) Build four models and do some comparisons
 - i. a single level model that models f0mn as dependent on gender
 - ii. a two-level model that adds a second level on top of i. where unique intercepts are modelled for each *scenario*
 - iii. a two-level model that only has subject as an intercept
 - iv. a two-level model that models intercepts for both scenario and subject
 - v. which of the models has the lowest residual standard deviation, also compare the Akaike Information Criterion AIC?
 - vi. which of the second-level effects explains the most variance?
- 2) Why is our single-level model bad?
 - i. create a new data frame that has three variables, subject, gender and f0mn, where f0mn is the average of all responses of each subject, i.e. averaging across attitude and $scenario_$
 - ii. build a single-level model that models f0mn as dependent on gender using this new dataset
 - iii. make Quantile-Quantile plots, comparing theoretical quantiles to the sample quantiles) using qqnorm and qqline for the new single-level model and compare it to the old single-level model (from 1).i). Which model's residuals (ϵ) fulfil the assumptions of the General Linear Model better?)
 - iv. Also make a quantile-quantile plot for the residuals of the multilevel model with two intercepts. Does it look alright?
- 3) Plotting the two-intercepts model
 - i. Create a plot for each subject, (similar to part 3 in Exercise 1), this time also indicating the fitted value for each of the subjects for each for the scenarios (hint use fixef to get the "grand effects" for each gender and ranef to get the subject- and scenario-specific effects)

Exercise 3 - now with attitude

- 1) Carry on with the model with the two unique intercepts fitted (scenario and subject).
 - i. now build a model that has attitude as a main effect besides gender
 - ii. make a separate model that besides the main effects of attitude and gender also include their interaction
 - iii. describe what the interaction term in the model says about Korean men's pitch when they are polite relative to Korean women's pitch when they are polite (you don't have to judge whether it is interesting)
- 2) Compare the three models (1. gender as a main effect; 2. gender and attitude as main effects; 3. gender and attitude as main effects and the interaction between them. For all three models model unique intercepts for *subject* and *scenario*) using residual variance, residual standard deviation and AIC.
- 3) Choose the model that you think describe the data the best and write a short report on the main findings based on this model. At least include the following:
- i. describe what the dataset consists of
- ii. what can you conclude about the effect of gender and attitude on pitch (if anything)?
- iii. motivate why you would include separate intercepts for subjects and scenarios (if you think they should be included)

- iv. describe the variance components of the second level (if any)
- v. include a Quantile-Quantile plot of your chosen model