## **Customer Insights Rollup**

Q2 2020

VSP Service Design Team: Naomi Marcussen, James Chasia // June 2020



#### 1. WHAT WE DID

### We talked to people from

- 10 VFS teams
- 7 practice areas
- 4 organizations

### We generated customer insights by

- Conducting user journey workshops w/ FE & BE engineers.
- Conducting directed interviews on the Collaboration Cycle.
- Tracking customer support requests.
- Doing a discovery sprint on the VFS developer experience.

# Pain Point Themes For VFS Engineers

# Interfacing with external services is a barrier for many teams

- "Systems we integrate with are not super dependable, often have performance issues and take a while to come back. We end up doing more workarounds because of this."
- Back-end Engineer #1, User Journey workshop April 2020
- "There is not a clear way to understand external services what they are for and what they can do."
- Back-end Engineer #3, User Journey workshop April 2020
- "Understanding conventions (what's the vets api way of doing things?) lots of implementations, no recommended way."
- Back-end Engineer #3, User Journey workshop April 2020

remove barriers for engineers interfacing with external services?

# Insufficient documentation has left engineers to find answers on their own

- "Our [Form Builder] documentation falls off at the more advanced stuff."
- FE Engineer #2, User journey workshop April 2020
- "I'm asking in public channels how do we pull data for forms."
- FE Engineer #6, User journey workshop April 2020
- "I do control F in platform support [to find answers to my questions]."
- BE Engineer #3, User journey workshop April 2020
- "Can someone point me to a VA.gov guide on linting rules for javascript?"
- Engineer, #vfs-frontend Slack April 2020
- "For peer reviews, I usually hit up [specific engineers] to review. I feel like I should be asking in the FE channel but those guys don't have context on what I've been working on. But I should give everyone a chance to review the code."
- BE Engineer #5, User journey workshop April 2020

offer engineers more self-service ways to get their questions answered?

## The process of testing and releasing products is complex

- "Backend merge process is so painful. Has cost me days [of productivity] so far."
- BE Engineer #7, Developer Experience Needs Discovery Sprint
- "Biggest problem is that we don't have parity between environments. Just b/c something works on staging doesn't mean it will work on prod. Can't do the same type of testing b/c of accounts and data."
- BE Engineer #1, User Journey Workshop
- "The VA itself doesn't have test users for all services. So you have to rely on veterans. Hard to rely on a few people for testing."
- BE Engineer #1, User Journey Workshop
- "Load tests (and other backend automated checks) take way too long to run, and contribute to Master merge conflict issue significantly."
- BE Engineer #1, Developer Experience Needs Discovery Sprint

make testing and releasing simpler?

## **Collaboration Cycle Research**

## VFS teams value VSP ensuring quality

"Helps us build better products. Invaluable input and catching things we may not have been looking for. It's like having a bigger team of clever people. The intention is right - ensuring we delivering quality and gets a lot of more people involved in the process."

- Product Manager #2, Collaboration Cycle V1 Research
- "For me, I think a lot of good stuff has come out of it."
- Designer #2, Collaboration Cycle V1 Research
- "Get a lot from 508 spot-checks."
- Product Manager #1, Collaboration Cycle V1 Research
- "I personally feel that the process is overall beneficial."
- Product Manager #4, Collaboration Cycle V1 Survey

#### **Process is better than before**

- "I much prefer knowing what's expected. Much more clarity than before"
- Product Manager #2, Collaboration Cycle V1 Research
- "The new process is more meetings, but less of a big dog-and-pony show and this is much more conversational and I like that."
- Designer #1, Collaboration Cycle V1 Research
- "Our tech lead thinks VSP has been reasonable in removing unnecessary steps. Feels like a lot more meetings but there are short, concise."
- Designer #1, Collaboration Cycle V1 Research
- "Before designers were getting random feedback from different people."
- Designer #2, Collaboration Cycle V1 Research

### **QA and Accessibility Collaboration Points**

- "Think QA was done better before. Seems like it's not called out in the same way."
- Product Manager #2, Collaboration Cycle V1 Research
- "Wasn't clear who needed to write Testrail test cases."
- Product Manager #2, Collaboration Cycle V1 Research
- "QA is so disconnected from the cycle. People don't know what to do w/ QA"
- Designer #2, Collaboration Cycle V1 Research
- "Did we prematurely ask for a 508 spot check? Did we ask for accessibility too soon?"
- DEPO #1, Collaboration Cycle V1 Research

integrate QA and Accessibility/508 more effectively throughout the process?

## Feedback that is not cohesive or actionable causes confusion

- "The reviewers don't always align. There'll be conflicting feedback in the tickets."
- Designer #2, Collaboration Cycle V1 Research
- "There is a missing linkage between cycle and value to vets. If we see that we can prioritize appropriately and communicate to stakeholders."
- DEPO #1, Collaboration Cycle V1 Research
- "I have come away from feedback sessions overwhelmed due to all of the voices in a short 30 minute period."
- Designer #3, Collaboration Cycle V1 Survey
- "My team feels exhausted and intimidated by it. It feels like all of vsp is management with so many voices and rules that it stifles our inspiration."
- Anonymous #1, Collaboration Cycle V1 Survey

improve the quality of VFS products by offering clear guidelines and feedback?

### **Process burden**

- "My DEPO has concerns about how this can impact time of deliver. Feels process and meeting heavy. Not agile. Do more asynchronously."
- Product Manager #2, Collaboration Cycle V1 Research
- "Hard to do rapid iteration, making small changes, getting feedback. Scheduling of meetings feels the most burdensome"
- DEPO #1, Collaboration Cycle V1 Research
- "[The experience] feels like a one-size-fits-all that doesn't in fact fit all situations or product types."
- Product Manager #3, Collaboration Cycle V1 Survey
- "It's really confusing and we inevitably miss something which frustrates VSP and makes our team feel bad"
- Anonymous #1, Collaboration Cycle V1 Survey
- "I've really struggled with it because it seems inflexible, confusing, and not supportive."
- Anonymous #2, , Collaboration Cycle V1 Survey

create a Collaboration Cycle that can fit into teams agile development?

## **Customer Support Issues**

### Most common types per VSP team:

	Ops	BE Tools	FE Tools	Content & IA	Analytics
1st	Socks	Architecture	Other	Request	GTM Implementation
2nd	PR review	Data Request	Forms System	Question	Contact Center Tier 3 Issue
3rd	Deployment	Local Environment & Metrics	Local environment		GTM Bug

make the most common customer support requests self-service?

## **Feedback by Theme**

**External Services** 

read comments

**Engineering Documentation** 

read comments

**Collab Cycle Process** 

read comments

**Accessibility & QA** 

read comments

**Reviewer Feedback** 

read comments

Infrastructure

read comments

### **Next Steps**

- Reach out throughout the week with questions or schedule time with us to further discuss pain points.
- Tell us what you want to research for your prioritized Q3 initiatives.



## Thank you.