# 2020-11-18 Custom Search Tools Sync

Wednesday, November 18, 2020 10:02 AM

#### Host

John Hashimoto

### **Attendees**

John

Denise

Zach

Sam

**Brian** 

Nick

Kelson

Megan

Marci

#### **Meeting Notes**

- Several different search tools on VA.gov:
  - Resources & Support
  - o Find a Form
  - Yellow Ribbon Program Schools
  - GI Bill Comparison Tool
- It's not search.gov, but will that play a part at some point down the line?
  - If it is, there are a LOT of trade-offs. Very "basic" search we can't search tags, search custom fields, etc.

## Yellow Ribbon

- o High-level of how Yellow Ribbon works
  - ★ We have the schema per John
    - Yellow Ribbon doesn't use Lighthouse API
      - □ School data GI Bill Comparison Tool basically an extension of that
      - □ Using the same data source as the GI Bill va.gov/gids we don't have credentials. Platform may have staging credentials https://dsva.slack.com/archives/C011K1VSTC3/p1605712378021100? thread ts=1605712368.021000&cid=C011K1VSTC3
      - □ People upload CSV docs that are manipulated into a database
      - ☐ There's a whole separate repo for GIDS; Kelson worked with it
      - ☐ Kelson build a different method to get only schools enrolled in Yellow Ribbon
      - ☐ Generally owned by a different set of contractors
      - ★□ Booz Allen team we may need to connect with them; let John know if I need help connecting
        - ☐ Yellow Ribbon won't be integrated into the GI Bill anytime soon. The question of whether the separate search will still be needed.
        - ☐ Jen gave us some info about getting additional metadata ("approved" school abbreviations)
      - ★□ Maintaining a years' worth of data
        - ◆ Follow up with Jen
          - ♦ What month does the update occur?
          - ♦ Who does those updates?
          - ♦ How do we preserve the previous year's data?
            - Nick thinks they just clone a page in Drupal

# Who are the stakeholders 🜟 🗆 Kelson did a diagram that is in the Yellow Ribbon deck - look at that for reference. ☐ This was a long-standing project; trying to understand their process, bottlenecks, etc. were the real pain points for Nick and Kelson. ☐ Building the API into the GI Bill system was a no-brainer ★□ Booz Allen was their go-to resource; they are in that repo every day 🜟 🗆 Exploring the repo will be the first step when we are ready to start on this. □ Comparison tool - team determined there wasn't enough value to do this per Jen. Something may have been built out for it ages ago. There were some comps done per John Find a VA Form Question - Zach What is the engineering process for looping in Jennifer Strickland and the crew? ☐ Jen Strickland - you can maybe ask her to code review your work; there isn't an easy way for her to see it before you merge it. ☐ If it's high-risk, you can do a feature flag and let her look at it in staging. Once it's merged and live, the engineer runs the Axe checks locally at every state change Once it's merged, ping Jen Strickland on your ticket and ask her to validate the ticket and confirm that it was done right ☐ If there's still something wrong, she'll let you know. Otherwise she will close it. Per John, they do a design review with design comps for Jen to review. They will weigh in on things that need to be considered ☐ Engineering generally doesn't question the design Search experience We always knew there would need to be iterations on the search results What are some of the tweaks Nick things would be ideal to improve the relevancy? And how much flexibility is there to improve? This is Jen Lee's forte since she had the vision for how it would improve over time □ Speculation recently to use Search.gov - there could be some kind of integration. Drawback would be that we wouldn't have the fancy links like downloadable □ Weighted search results have also been in the backlog for a while, but the method of weighting is not currently stored anyway. In theory there should be a field in Drupal to indicate that certain popular forms should appear first. That also creates a dependency on CMS There are a substantial number of additional fields that comes back in the JSON response that are not currently being used. This will would be a big win that would be relatively easy to implement ☐ Example - if you do a search for "change", it also brings up the word 🜟 🗆 We need to know exactly the conditions are for the current search - where does that logic currently reside? ☐ There is a search channel Lighthouse API is intended for one more than one consumer - mobile applications and other applications. Each has its own token Marci would need to coordinate with Lighthouse API if we need to make any changes - Nick posted in a slack channel https://dsva.slack.com/archives/C011K1VSTC3/p1605712378021100?

thread ts=1605712368.021000&cid=C011K1VSTC3

