# Collaboration Cycle Synthesis: Custom Tracks

**January 19, 2021** 

# Introduction

Problem Statement | Goals | Hypothesis

### **Introduction** | Problem Statement

Our current Collaboration Cycle is not scalable, is one-size-fits-all and difficult for VFS teams to use.

## **Introduction** | Hypothesis

If we create tracks for the VSP Collaboration Cycle based on project/team characteristics, then VFS teams will encounter less barriers to launch and VSP teams will be able to provide appropriate levels of support across all VFS teams as additional projects/teams come onto the platform.

## **Introduction** | Discovery Goals

- Find out how we can make the cycle scalable
- Try to address the VFS team pain points
- Make the process easier for VFS teams
- Simplify the process for VSP and VFS teams

# Discovery

Pain Points | User Research

#### **VA.gov** | Collaboration Cycle Synthesis: Custom Tracks

## **Pain Points**

| Pain Point   | Persona  | Severity | Will be Improved by the Solution Approach |
|--|----------|----------|---|
| Process is complex, confusing and frustrating                                | VFS      | High     | Х   |
| VSP feedback is not cohesive, consistent or actionable                       | VFS      | High     | X   |
| The platform's role isn't clear  | VSP,VFS  | High     | X   |
| Developers/Engineers aren't getting early feedback                           | VFS      | High     | Х   |
| It's unclear what the expectations are of VFS teams for the Staging Review   | VSP, VFS | High     | x   |
| Small changes are hard to move through the Collaboration Cycle               | VFS, VSP | High     | Х   |
| VFS teams are unclear what projects require Collaboration Cycle              | VFS      | High     | x   |
| It's confusing to understand what artifacts are needed when                  | VFS      | High     | Х   |
| Process feels waterfall-ish (and makes it hard to time review appropriately) | VFS, VSP | High     | x   |
|  |          |          |   |

### Methodology

- Create a list of questions to ask to determine which touchpoints are necessary
- Pilot questions with upcoming project kickoffs
  - 2 Project kickoffs
- Custom Tracks Flow Chart December 10, 2020

#### Findings

- The custom track the questions produced was not a close match to what the custom track the team recommended
- Some questions about design were too similar
- Question about "size of project" is ambiguous
- We were not sure if asking questions around the touch points was correct
- People seemed to struggle with understanding some questions
- We don't want to give people an "out" from using the Collaboration Cycle
- Mural board with notes from pilot questions

### Methodology

- Remove duplicate questions
- Center the questions around artifacts instead of touch points
- Rewrite unclear questions
- Pilot questions with upcoming project kickoffs
  - 2 Project kickoffs
- Custom Tracks Flow Chart January 5, 2021

#### Findings

- The custom track the questions produced was almost an exact match to the custom track the team recommended
- This was much better than Solution v1
- The question about new vs iteration needs to be more clear
- We still need to provide some examples to help with clarity of some questions
- Mural board with notes from pilot questions

### Methodology

- Clarified analytics questions
- Removed the contact center question (we realized previous questions were answering that for us)
- Combined Project Kickoff and Design Intent
- Added analytics question to track 5
- This solution will be part of our release plan
- Custom Tracks Flow Chart January 18, 2021

# Next Steps

## **Next Steps**

- Finalize what will be in the release
- Plan our documentation updates
- Establish a way to measure our KPIs

## **Next Steps**

#### Key Performance Indicators

- 50% of initiatives have leaner paths through the Collaboration Cycle\*
  - we do not want this to encourage us to put people on leaner paths unnecessarily
- 75% favorability of teams via Zoom survey at Staging Review

# Summary

Summary | Appendix

## **Summary**

The biggest obstacles to a successful Collaboration Cycle are **scalability** and **ease of use** for both VFS and VSP teams. The biggest pain points preventing are **one-size-fits all** and **too many meetings**.

In order to support the Collaboration Cycle we need to **make it scalable** and allow for **custom tracks**.

To do this we will need to ask questions to VFS teams that will create the custom tracks without our help.

## **Summary** | Appendix

- <u>Mural board of Collaboration Cycle Solutions</u>
- Mural board with notes from pilot questions
- Custom Tracks Flow Chart December 10, 2020
- Custom Tracks Flow Chart January 5, 2021
- Custom Tracks Flow Chart January 18, 2021
- Ideation Workshop

**VA.gov** | Collaboration Cycle Synthesis: Custom Tracks