3-orbital LDA Hamiltonian

O. K. Andersen, T. Saha-Dasgupta, O.Jepsen

Max-Planck Institut für Festkörperforschung, D-70569 Begin 22 Jan 2004, Last revision: February 20, 2004

I. ORBITALS

The single-particle Wannier basis consists of the following three Bloch-summed in-plane orbitals:

$$\begin{array}{ll} |d,\mathbf{k}\rangle & \equiv & \sum_{\mathbf{T}} \left| \operatorname{Cu} x^2 - y^2 \right\rangle \exp\left(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{T}\right) \\ |x,\mathbf{k}\rangle & \equiv & \sum_{\mathbf{T}} \left| \operatorname{O}_{\mathbf{a}} x \right\rangle i^{-1} \exp\left(ik_x/2\right) \exp\left(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{T}\right) \\ |y,\mathbf{k}\rangle & \equiv & \sum_{\mathbf{T}} \left| \operatorname{O}_{\mathbf{b}} y \right\rangle i^{-1} \exp\left(ik_y/2\right) \exp\left(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{T}\right) \end{array}$$

Here, the phases have been chosen in such a way that the Hamiltonian and its eigenvectors $[c_{d,j}(\mathbf{k}), c_{s,j}(\mathbf{k}),c_{zy,j}(\mathbf{k})]$ are real. Moreover, in the Bloch sums, we have omitted the usual prefactor $N^{-1/2}$ so that the Bloch orbitals are normalized to one over the primitive cell, rather than over the crystal with N cells. Accordingly, we take $\sum_{\mathbf{k}}$ to mean the average, rather than the sum, over the Brillouin zone. In the following we set a = b = 1.

II. PARAMETERS OF THE 3-ORBITAL MODEL IN THE LDA

We have obtained a 3-orbital LDA Hamiltonian (electron energies) for La₂CuO₄, Tl₂Ba₂CuO₆, and HgBa₂CuO₄ from numerical LDA-NMTO Wannier-like orbitals (N=0, $\epsilon_0=\varepsilon_F$). Its parameters obtained by Fourier transformation of the downfolded and symmetrically orthonormalized 3-orbital NMTO Hamiltonian are given in the tables. Here, $\chi'\mathbf{R}'$ is the hopping integral from orbital $\chi(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R})$ to orbital $\chi'(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}')$. Numerical energies are in meV.

| | ϵ_d | $\epsilon_d - \epsilon_p$ | | $d(110) \\ d(000)$ |
|----|--------------|---------------------------|------|--------------------|
| La | | 431 | -102 | 34 |
| Tl | | 415 | -91 | 30 |
| Hg | | 444 | -84 | 27 |

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline & y(0\frac{1}{2}0) & y(1\frac{1}{2}0) & y(1\frac{3}{2}0) & y(1\frac{5}{2}0) & y(\frac{1}{2}11) & y(0\frac{1}{2}1) \\ & x(-\frac{1}{2}00) & x(-\frac{1}{2}00) & x(-\frac{1}{2}00) & x(-\frac{1}{2}00) & x(-\frac{1}{2}00) \\ & & \text{bct} & \text{sc} \\ \hline \text{La} & 154 & -26 & 18 & -4 & 34 \\ \hline \text{Tl} & 377 & -15 & 15 & 0 \\ \hline \text{Hg} & 401 & -11 & 24 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

III. THE 3-ORBITAL TIGHT-BINDING LDA HAMILTONIAN

With the on-site energies and hopping integrals given above, i.e. the Fourier components of $H(\mathbf{k})$,

$$H(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{bmatrix} 2^{x\left(\frac{1}{2}00\right)} \\ 2^{x\left(\frac{1}{2}00\right)} \\ +2^{x\left(\frac{3}{2}00\right)} \cos k_x + 4^{x\left(\frac{1}{2}10\right)} \cos k_y \\ +4^{x\left(\frac{3}{2}10\right)} \cos k_x \cos k_y \\ +2^{x\left(\frac{3}{2}00\right)} \cos 2k_x + 4^{x\left(\frac{1}{2}20\right)} \cos 2k_y \end{bmatrix} \sin \frac{k_x}{2} \\ +2^{x\left(\frac{3}{2}00\right)} \cos k_x \cos k_y \\ +2^{x\left(\frac{3}{2}00\right)} \cos 2k_x + 4^{x\left(\frac{1}{2}20\right)} \cos 2k_y \end{bmatrix} \cos k_y \\ +4^{x\left(\frac{3}{2}10\right)} \cos k_x \cos k_y \\ +2^{x\left(\frac{1}{2}00\right)} \cos k_x + 2^{x\left(\frac{1}{2}10\right)} \cos k_y \\ +4^{x\left(\frac{1}{2}10\right)} \cos k_x \cos k_y \\ +4^{x\left(\frac{1}{2}00\right)} \cos k_x \cos k_y \\ +2^{x\left(\frac{1}{2}00\right)} \cos k_x \cos k_y \\ +4^{x\left(\frac{1}{2}10\right)} \cos k_x \cos k_y \\ +4^{x\left(\frac{3}{2}10\right)} \cos k_x \cos k_y \cos 2k_x + 4^{x\left(\frac{1}{2}20\right)} \cos k_x \cos 2k_y \\ +4^{x\left(\frac{3}{2}10\right)} \cos k_y \cos 2k_x + 4^{x\left(\frac{1}{2}20\right)} \cos k_x \cos 2k_y \\ +4^{x\left(\frac{3}{2}20\right)} \cos k_y \cos 2k_x \cos 2k_y \\ +4^{x\left(\frac{3}{2}20\right)} \cos k_x \cos 2k_y , \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4^{y\left(\frac{1}{2}0\right)} \\ +2^{x\left(\frac{1}{2}00\right)} \\ +2^{x\left(\frac{1}{2}00\right)} \\ +2^{x\left(\frac{1}{2}00\right)} \cos k_x \cos k_y \\ +2^{x\left(\frac{1}{2}00\right)} \cos k_x \cos$$

with

$$\epsilon_d(\mathbf{k}) = \epsilon_d + 2_{d(000)}^{d(100)} (\cos k_x + \cos k_y) + 4_{d(000)}^{d(110)} \cos k_x \cos k_y$$

and where we still need to add small hoppings.

IV. MODEL HAMILTONIAN

Hamiltonian for electrons:

$$H_{im\sigma,i'm'\sigma'} = H_{i\neq i'}^{LDA} + \delta_{i,i'} \left(\varepsilon_{ep} n_{ep} + \varepsilon_{ed} n_{ed} + U n_{ed\uparrow} n_{ed\downarrow} \right)$$

For U (and J) constrained LDA-LMTO calculations give:

| | s _{Cu} Boh rradii | U_d eV | J_d eV | n_{hd} | $\epsilon_{hp} - \epsilon_{hd}$ eV |
|----------|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------|----------|------------------------------------|
| La | 2.50 | 9.37 | ٠, | 0.479 | 2.68 |
| Tl Hg | $2.50 \\ 2.50$ | 9.53 9.46 | $0.95 \\ 0.95$ | 000 | $2.59 \\ 2.58$ |

Here the radii, s, of the Cu spheres have been chosen such that the number of Cu d holes is (approximately) the same as in the 3-orbital model, namely

$$n_{hd} = 2\sum_{k} \theta \left(\varepsilon_{3k} - \varepsilon_{F}\right) \left| u_{3k,d} \right|^{2},$$

where 3 denotes the antibonding pd band. In the last column, we give the size of the pd-gap:

$$\epsilon_{hp} - \epsilon_{hd} = \epsilon_{ed} - \epsilon_{ep} + U = \epsilon_{ed}^{LDA} \left(n_{ed} \right) - \epsilon_{ep}^{LDA} + U \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} n_{ed} \right) = \frac{1}{2} U n_{hd} + \left[\epsilon_{ed} - \epsilon_{ep} \right]^{LDA},$$

where $\left[\epsilon_{ed} - \epsilon_{ep}\right]^{LDA}$ is $\epsilon_d - \epsilon_p$ in the LDA table.

V. INTERPRETATION OF THE LDA BANDS: FIRST-NEAREST-NEIGHBOR 6-ORBITAL MODEL (S Π MODEL)

For interpretation of the accurate numerical results, we have used the 1st-nearest-neighbor 6-orbital model down-folded analytically to an orthonormal 3-orbital Hamiltonian. The results of this analytical axial-plus- $p\pi$ -orbital $(s\pi)$ model are given below. In the first rows, d is the interatomic distance.

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline & \epsilon_d & \epsilon_p - \epsilon_d & \frac{d(100)}{d(000)} & \frac{d(110)}{d(000)} \\ d & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1.41 \\ s\pi & \varepsilon_d & \varepsilon_p - \varepsilon_d + 4\tau \left[\varepsilon_p\right] - 2t \left[\varepsilon_p\right] + 12\dot{\tau} \left(3\tau - t\right) - 2\dot{t} \left(6\tau - 5t\right) & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline & y(0\frac{1}{2}0) & y(1\frac{1}{2}0) & y(1\frac{3}{2}0) & y(1\frac{5}{2}0) & y(\frac{1}{2}11) & y(0\frac{1}{2}1) \\ & x(-\frac{1}{2}00) & x(-\frac{1}{2}00) & x(-\frac{1}{2}00) & x(-\frac{1}{2}00) & x(-\frac{1}{2}00) \\ & & & \text{bct} & \text{sc} \\ d & 0.71 & 1.58 & 2.12 & 2.92 & 2.25 & 2.55 \\ s\pi & t\left[\varepsilon_p\right] + 2\left(\dot{\tau}t + \dot{t}\tau - 2\dot{t}t\right) & 2\dot{t}t & -2\left(\dot{\tau}t + \dot{t}\tau\right) & 0 \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline & x\left(\frac{3}{2}00\right) & x\left(\frac{1}{2}20\right) & x\left(\frac{3}{2}10\right) & x\left(\frac{3}{2}20\right) & x\left(\frac{1}{2}20\right) & x\left(\frac{5}{2}00\right) \\ & x\left(-\frac{1}{2}00\right) & x\left(-\frac{1}{2}00\right) & x\left(-\frac{1}{2}00\right) & x\left(\frac{1}{2}00\right) & x\left(\frac{1}{2}00\right) & x\left(\frac{1}{2}00\right) \\ & d & 2 & 2.24 & 2.24 & 2.53 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ & s\pi & 2\dot{\tau}\left(3\tau-t\right)-\dot{t}\left(2\tau-t\right) & -4\dot{\tau}\tau & \dot{\tau}\left(4\tau-t\right)-\dot{t}\tau & \dot{\tau}\tau & 6\dot{\tau}\tau & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

The parameters describing the downfolded s and π orbitals, together with rough estimates of their values, are:

$$t \equiv \frac{t_{sp}^2}{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_F} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{r}{1 - 2r} \left(\varepsilon_F - \varepsilon_p \right) \sim \begin{bmatrix} 0.15 \\ 0.4 \end{bmatrix} \text{ eV for } \frac{\text{La}}{\text{Tl and Hg}},$$

$$\tau \equiv \frac{t_{p\pi}^2}{\varepsilon_F - \varepsilon_\pi}$$

$$\dot{t} = \left(\frac{t_{sp}}{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_F} \right)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\tau} = -\left(\frac{t_{p\pi}}{\varepsilon_F - \varepsilon_\pi} \right)^2,$$

$$t \left[\varepsilon_p \right] \equiv t + \dot{t} \left(\varepsilon_p - \varepsilon_F \right) = \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon_F - \varepsilon_p}{\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_F} \right) t < t \quad \text{and} \quad \tau \left[\varepsilon_p \right] \equiv \tau + \dot{\tau} \left(\varepsilon_p - \varepsilon_F \right) = \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon_F - \varepsilon_p}{\varepsilon_F - \varepsilon_\pi} \right) \tau \sim 2\tau$$

$$\tau \approx \frac{1}{2} \tau \left[\varepsilon_p \right] \sim 0.1 \text{ eV}, \quad \dot{\tau} \approx \frac{\tau}{\varepsilon_p - \varepsilon_F} \sim \begin{bmatrix} -0.05 \\ -0.10 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } \text{Tl and Hg}$$

$$t_{pd} \sim 1.1 \text{ eV}.$$

By comparison with the numerical NMTO results given in the previous section, we realize that also O 3d and Ba and La 5d orbitals not taken into account in the $s\pi$ model, do play a minor role, in particular by providing direct dd hopping.