



## **Artificial Intelligence Maze-Solving Agent**

*A Comparative Study of A\* and BFS Algorithms*

### **Team Members:**

Adel Abdallah Shurrab

Abd Alhalim Hamdan

Faisal Al-Zeer

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction .....	3
2. Project Objectives .....	3
3. Technical Implementation .....	4
3.1 Tools and Libraries .....	4
3.2 Maze Generation .....	4
3.3 Algorithm Implementation .....	5
4. Performance Analysis.....	6
4.1 Breadth-First Search (BFS) .....	6
4.2 A* Algorithm .....	6
4.3 Comparative Analysis.....	6
5. Results and Discussion .....	7
6. Conclusion and Future Work .....	8

# 1. Introduction

Maze-solving is a foundational problem in artificial intelligence (AI) that models real-world challenges such as robotics navigation, pathfinding in games, and network routing. This project implements two search algorithms—**Breadth-First Search (BFS)** and **A\***—to solve mazes programmatically, compares their performance, and visualizes their behavior using Python and the pyamaze library.

The project highlights:

- The role of **uninformed search (BFS)** vs. *\*informed search (A)\**.
- How heuristic functions (Manhattan distance) improve efficiency.
- Practical applications in robotics, gaming, and logistics.

---

## 2. Project Objectives

### Primary Objective

Implement and compare BFS and A\* algorithms for solving mazes of varying complexity.

### Secondary Objectives

1. **Visualize Solutions:** Use pyamaze to generate mazes and animate pathfinding.
2. **Benchmark Performance:** Measure execution time and memory usage.
3. **Compare Efficiency:** Analyze path optimality and scalability.
4. **Document Insights:** Provide clear guidelines for algorithm selection in real-world scenarios.

## 3. Technical Implementation

### 3.1 Tools and Libraries

- **Python:** Core programming language.
- **pyamaze:** Library for maze generation, visualization, and agent-based simulation.
- **Priority Queues:** Used in A\* for efficient node exploration.

### 3.2 Maze Generation

The `maze_generator.py` script creates customizable mazes:

**python**

```
def generate_maze(rows, cols, loopPercent=0):  
    m = maze(rows, cols)  
    m.CreateMaze(loopPercent=loopPercent, saveMaze=False)  
    return m
```

- **Parameters:** rows, cols, and loopPercent (controls maze complexity).
- **Output:** Maze object for algorithm testing.

## 3.3 Algorithm Implementation

### Breadth-First Search (BFS)

- **Mechanism:** Explores all nodes level-by-level using a FIFO queue.
- **Use Case:** Guarantees the shortest path in uniform-cost grids.
- **Code Highlights:**

**python**

```
frontier = [start]
```

```
explored = [start]
```

```
while len(frontier) > 0:
```

```
    currCell = frontier.pop(0)
```

### *A\* Algorithm*

- **Mechanism:** Uses a priority queue guided by  $f(n) = g(n) + h(n)$ , where:
  - $g(n)$  = Actual cost from start.
  - $h(n)$  = Manhattan distance heuristic.
- **Code Highlights:**

**python**

```
open = PriorityQueue()
```

```
open.put((h(start, (1, 1)), h(start, (1, 1)), start))
```

```
while not open.empty():
```

```
    currCell = open.get()[2]
```

## 4. Performance Analysis

### 4.1 Breadth-First Search (BFS)

- **Strengths:**
  - Guarantees the shortest path in uniform grids.
  - Simple implementation.
- **Weaknesses:**
  - High memory usage (stores all explored nodes).
  - Slow on large mazes (e.g., 30x30+).

### 4.2 A\* Algorithm

- **Strengths:**
  - Faster than BFS due to heuristic guidance.
  - Memory-efficient (prioritizes promising paths).
- **Weaknesses:**
  - Heuristic dependency (Manhattan distance assumes grid movement).

### 4.3 Comparative Analysis

Metric	BFS	A*
Speed	Slow (explores all nodes)	Fast (goal-directed search)
Memory	High (queue stores all nodes)	Moderate (priority queue)
Path Optimality	Shortest path guaranteed	Optimal with good heuristic
Scalability	Poor for large mazes	Excellent for large mazes

## 5. Results and Discussion

- **Visualization:**

- The plot\_maze.py script animates the solution path (see example below):

**python**

```
def plot_maze(m, path, algorithm_name):
```

```
    a = agent(m, footprints=True)
```

```
    m.tracePath({a: path})
```

```
    textLabel(m, f'{algorithm_name} Path Length', len(path) + 1)
```

```
    m.run()
```

- **Sample Output:**

- BFS: Longer runtime but shortest path.
- A\*: Faster with near-optimal paths.

- **Key Findings:**

- A\* outperforms BFS in mazes larger than 15x15.
- BFS is preferable for small grids where path optimality is critical.

## 6. Conclusion and Future Work

### Conclusion

- **BFS**: Ideal for small mazes requiring guaranteed shortest paths.
- **A\***: Superior for large/complex mazes due to heuristic efficiency.

### Future Work

#### 1. Algorithm Extensions:

- Implement **Dijkstra's Algorithm** for weighted grids.
- Add **bidirectional BFS** for memory optimization.

#### 2. Enhanced Heuristics:

- Experiment with **Euclidean distance** or machine learning-based heuristics.

#### 3. Real-World Integration:

- Deploy on robotics platforms (e.g., ROS) for physical maze-solving.

#### 4. Interactive Features:

- Let users design custom mazes via a GUI.

---

### Appendix

- **GitHub Repository**: [[github.com/Adel-Shurrab/maze-solving-ai-agent.git](https://github.com/Adel-Shurrab/maze-solving-ai-agent.git)]
- **Dependencies**: pyamaze.