Housing ABM, December 2015

January 27, 2016

Contents

Chapter 1

Model Description

1.1 Introduction

The model consists of houses that are bought and sold by households on a housing market; households take out mortgages from a bank which is regulated by a central bank. Owner-occupiers can also choose to buy and sell properties as buy-to-let investments. These are offered on a rental market and rented by households who decide not to buy. Households that cannot afford to rent or buy are put into social housing.

Houses have no intrinsic properties other than a single 'quality', which acts as a proxy for size, location, condition etc. Quality bands are assigned so that there are roughly the same number of houses in each band; at present there are 48 bands. The model is time-stepping with a step of one month.

A note on equations: the meaning of each variable in an equation will be given directly after the equation. If two equations use the same symbol and the symbols have differing definitions, then there is no implied connection between the two occurrences of the symbol.

1.2 Household lifecycle

Households enter the model, age, and exit. The total 'birth' rate of households is held constant[1]. Upon birth, households are endowed with an age drawn from a beta distribution in order to give a finite support and to ensure a minimum age for leaving home[2], a value representing their income percentile (drawn from

---

[1] Based on a target population of 10,000 households and a per capita birth rate of 0.0102 per year, calculated against the flux of first time buyers (CML, 2015)

[2] The distribution has α and β equal to 2, shifted and scaled to have a support from 14.5 to 44.5 years (ONS, 2009) This does not account for new households created by di-

a uniform distribution) and wealth[3] but no existing housing. 8% of households that are above the 50th percentile of income are given a buy-to-let 'gene' which gives them the desire to enter the buy-to-let market[4].

The age of a household represents the age of the 'household reference person' (HRP) (a concept that exists in many household surveys). As a household ages, its income percentile remains fixed but its actual income changes to reflect the income distribution over households of that age (ONS, 2013)[5]. Income is bounded by a lower limit of £5,900 (the current level of income support for a married couple).

1.2.1 Death and Inheritance

Each month, each household has a probability of 'death' given by

$$P_{die} = Ae^{ka} \tag{1.1}$$

where a is age and k and A are constants[6]. This exponential mortality rate is seen in data for individuals. In the case of households, marriage is also a cause of death; this is not accounted for in the model.

On exit, all of a household's financial and housing wealth is given to another, randomly chosen, household. If the deceased household had any houses on any market, they are taken off the market. Any tenants living in the houses are evicted. If the household was renting, the rental contract is terminated (this isn't realistic). Outstanding mortgages are written off (this isn't realistic).

Upon inheriting a house, renters and the socially housed will immediately move into it, an owner-occupier will immediately sell it and a buy-to-let investor will decide whether to sell it or add it to their portfolio according to the decision rule in section 1.4.7.

The lifecycle was primarily included to provide a flux of first time buyers, who behave differently and are treated differently by banks, but including it allows many other dynamics to be investigated.

---

vorce/separation.

[3]Given by ω in equation 1.3

[4]Combining number of private rental houses (ONS, 2011) with the distribution of number of houses owned by BTL investors (ARLA, 2014) gives 4% of population are BTL investors. We assume that BTL investors are more likely to be in the higher income bracket, this is not calibrated.

[5]The STATA script to extract the distribution from the raw data, and the subsequent analysis can be found in the repo at `calibration/IncomeDistribution.xlsx`.

[6]$A = 7.576e - 9$ and $k = 0.148$. This ensures that the oldest households are around 100 years old and the population is 10,000 for the given total birth rate.

1.3 Simulation initialisation

In order to initialise a simulation with a realistic assignment of houses and mortgages to households, the model goes through a 'spin-up' period before any simulation. The spin-up period begins with no households and no houses. Households are born, age and die as described in section 1.2. Under these conditions, the model population will naturally grow until the total death rate (given by the integral over age of mortality rate times population) equals the total birth rate (which is held constant).

During spin-up, houses are put on the sale market by a 'construction sector' whenever the household to house ratio falls below a fixed value[7]. New houses will be put onto the house sale market at a price based on the ONS house price index data tables for 2013. If unsold, the price will be reduced at a rate of 5% per month.

We typically allow the model to spin-up for around 200 years.

1.4 Households

1.4.1 A month in the life...

In each one-month time-step, each household:

1. ages by 1 month and possibly dies, leaving an inheritance

2. receives its gross employment income and pays income tax and national insurance according to UK tax law for a single person in the 2014/15 tax year (this needs changing to account for probability of household status given income and age, to account for married couples and multiple adult occupancy).

3. makes mortgage and/or rental payments and collects any rent due

4. consumes

5. if in social housing or at end of tenancy decides whether to try to rent/buy a new house

6. if a buy-to-let investor decides whether to buy more investment properties

7. decides whether to sell any owned houses

8. rethinks the offer price of any house currently on the rental/sale market or takes the house off the market.

---

[7]household to privately-owned house ratio is 1.22 (ONS, 2011)

1.4.2 Expected House-price growth

A household's expectation for annual house price growth, $\bar{g}$, is equal to the last year's growth in the quarterly HPI. So

$$\bar{g} = \frac{h_0 + h_1 + h_2}{h_{12} + h_{13} + h_{14}} - 1 \tag{1.2}$$

where h_t is the monthly house price index t months ago.

1.4.3 Household consumption

Households have a fixed, subsistence-consumption set at the married couple's monthly lower earnings limit for UK income support (£5,900). After this is subtracted from income, the household's discretionary consumption is calculated in the following way: Suppose the household has a 'minimum acceptable liquid wealth' defined as

$$\ln(\omega) = 4.07 \ln(i) - 33.1 + \varepsilon \tag{1.3}$$

where i is gross annual income and ε is a Gaussian noise term. Now define household consumption over the month as

$$E = 0.5 \max(b - \omega, 0) \tag{1.4}$$

where b is the household's liquid wealth (after receiving this month's employment and rental income, paying tax, rent, mortgage and subsistence-consumption).

This formula ensures that the aggregate (liquid) wealth distribution fits ONS (2012), while ensuring that households with higher income consume more. Since both income and wealth distributions are approximately log-normal (ONS, 2012 and Belfield et.al. 2014), equation 1.3 can be understood as a transformation from a log-normal income distribution to a log-normal wealth distribution.

This consumption equation has the effect of making the actual wealth of a household relax exponentially towards a value just above the minimum acceptable value, ω. The rate of this relaxation is quite aggressive, effectively making actual wealth a noisy function of income. This needs to be improved.

1.4.4 Decisions while in "social housing"

All agents are born into "social housing". Although we refer to this as social housing, this also represents homelessness, living with parents while looking for a house or living in temporary accommodation (e.g.hotel, staying with friends) while between houses.

Agents never choose to be in "social housing", but are put there if they fail to secure any other form of housing at a given time. If they find themselves in social housing they will always consider renting or buying and will bid on the

appropriate market. When in social housing, no rental payments are deducted from income, this is a very simple form of housing benefit.

Decision to rent or buy a home

If an agent is in need of a new home (if in social housing, at the end of a rental contract or directly after the sale of a house), they need to decide between renting and buying. To do this they first decide on a price they would pay to buy a house, p, calculated as the minimum of the desired house price according to section 1.4.6 and the maximum mortgage the bank is willing to finance. They then check the current market prices to see what quality of house they can expect to get for this price. The probability of deciding to buy is then given by

$$P_{buy} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-K_{rb}(C_r(1+C_R)-(m-\bar{g}p))}} \tag{1.5}$$

where K_{rb} is a constant giving sensitivity to cost, C_r is the current market annual rent on a house of the same quality they would expect to buy, C_R is a constant, representing the intrinsic desire to own rather than rent (i.e. the psychological cost of renting), m is the expected annual mortgage payment and $\bar{g}$ is the expected annual house price growth as defined in section 1.4.2.

1.4.5 Decisions as a renter

If a household decides to rent, they will bid 0.3 times their income for rent[8]. Upon entering a rental contract, they will live in the rented house and pay rent until the end of the contract (unless evicted by the executors of the will of a deceased landlord). At the end of the contract, they will reconsider whether to rent or buy as described in section 1.4.4 and re-enter the appropriate market.

1.4.6 Decisions as a Homeowner

Bidding for a home

If a household decides to buy a home, it will bid on the house sale market. The desired amount of the bid is given by

$$p = \frac{\sigma i e^{N(0,\epsilon)}}{1 - A\bar{g}} \tag{1.6}$$

where i is income, $\bar{g}$ is expected house price growth, $N()$ is Gaussian noise, σ, A and ϵ are parameters. This formula is exactly equivalent to the one used in Axtell et.al. (2014) with different parameter values.

---

[8]An empirical pdf of income against rent was derived from Zoopla data but I haven't got around to putting this into the model. The pdf is in the Github repo at `code/pdfRentalPrice.hd5` and the script used to create it is at `code/RentalPrice.py`

We can make one possible intuitive interpretation of this equation by multiplying left and right by $Bt - BtA\bar{g}$ and noting that pBt represents the transaction costs of buying the house plus the maintenance costs and mortgage interest payments over a period t, $pt\bar{g}$ is the expected capital appreciation of the house over time t and AB represents the household's rate of conversion between illiquid housing wealth and liquid wealth. The household is then choosing to bid an amount such that the overall cost of the house over the period t is some (noisy) fraction, $B\sigma$, of their income over the same period. This equation could be improved by adding equations for transaction and maintenance costs, calculating mortgage interest payments from interest rate and LTV and integrating over some time horizon, possibly with future discounting.

The actual amount bid is the closest amount possible to the desired bid, after accounting for any bank-decided constraints on mortgages available to the agent.

Downpayment on a new home

On buying a house, the minimum downpayment on the house is imposed by the mortgage lender during the mortgage pre-approval process (where applicable) but the household may choose to make a larger downpayment. If the household has liquid wealth of 1.25 times the price of the house, they will pay outright (this ensures there are roughly the right number of cash buyers). Otherwise they will choose the ith percentile from a log-normal distribution calibrated against emprical distributions of LTV among newly issued mortgages (Bank of England, 2015), where i is their income percentile. The parameters of the log-normal distributions are different for first time buyers (FTB) and owner-occupiers (OO) in line with data in Bank of England (2015).

Decision to sell a home

The probability that an agent will sell their home is given by

$$p = c(1 + a(0.05 - n_h) + b(0.03 - i)) \tag{1.7}$$

where a, b and c are constants, n_h is the number of houses per capita currently on the market and i is the mortgage interest rate (expressed as percent/100)[9]. This is a fudge to prevent unrealistic build up of housing stock on the market and unrealistic fluctuations in interest rates.

While a household's house is for-sale, they will not attempt to look for another home. Once their house is sold they will be made temporarily homeless and only then will they bid for a new home. This needs to be addressed.

---

[9]$c = 0.007575$, $a = 4$ and $b = 5$. a and b are fudge factors. c is calibrated against average house sales, British housing survey 2008.

Sale price decision

Houses are offered on the market at a price, q, given by

$$\ln q = C + \ln(\bar{p}) - D \ln\left(\frac{\bar{d}+1}{31}\right) + \varepsilon \tag{1.8}$$

where $C = 0.095$, $\bar{p}$ is the average sold-price of houses of this quality, $\bar{d}$ is the average days on the market for all house qualities, D is a tunable parameter (currently set to 0), and $\varepsilon = N(0, 0.01^2)$. Please see Axtell et.al. (2014) in the Github repo for motivation for this equation.

If a house remains on the market from the previous time-step, with a 6% probability its price is reduced. Records of price reductions on Zoopla showed a very good fit to a Gaussian in the log domain, so the model reduces according to

$$p' = p\left(1 - e^{N(\mu,\sigma)}\right) \tag{1.9}$$

where $N(\mu, \sigma)$ is a draw from a Gaussian distribution. [10]. If the price drops below the amount needed to pay the mortgage on the house, it is withdrawn from the market.

1.4.7 Buy-To-Let Investor's decisions

BtL heterogeneity

BtL investors are, with a tunable probability, randomly assigned to be either 'fundamentalist' or 'trend follower'. The only difference between the two is the value of the 'capital gain coefficient' c_g, which is used in some of the decision processes below.

Buy-to-let rental offers

A BTL investor will put a house on the rental market whenever a rental contract ends, or when a new buy-to-let house is bought or inherited that doesn't already have a tenant.

BTL investors offer houses on the rental market at a monthly rental given by:

$$\ln q = C + \ln(\bar{p}) - D \ln\left(\frac{\bar{d}+1}{31}\right) + \varepsilon \tag{1.10}$$

---

[10]Calibrated against Zoopla data. The Python code used to extract the Gaussian fit can be found in the repo under `calibration/code/SaleReprice.py`, and the output of the script is at `calibration/HouseRepriceAnalysis.html`

where $C = 0.01$, $\bar{p}$ is the average monthly rent of houses of this quality, $\bar{d}$ is the average days on the market for all house qualities, $D = 0.02$ is a tunable parameter, and $\varepsilon = N(0, 0.05^2)$. This is of the same form as used for the sale price in equation 1.8.

If a house on the rental market does not get filled, the price is multiplied by 0.95 each month.

The length of a rental agreement is chosen randomly from 12 to 24 months with uniform probability (ARLA, 2014).

Decision to sell BTL property

Buy-to-let investors will consider selling their investment properties at the end of each tenancy agreement, and will re-consider each month until another tenant moves in. The decision to sell is based on the 'effective yield' on the house, which is defined as

$$y_e = \frac{2(c_g \bar{g} p + (1 - c_g)r) - m}{e} \tag{1.11}$$

where c_g is the investor's capital gain coefficient $\bar{g}$ is expected annual house price appreciation, r is current annual rental income from the house, m is the current annual mortgage payment and e is the maximum of the current equity in the house and 1 pence.

The probability of deciding to keep the house is then given by

$$P(keep) = \frac{1}{(1 + e^{ky_e + c})^\gamma} \tag{1.12}$$

where k is a scaling constant, c represents transaction costs and stickiness, and γ deals with the fact that the decision to keep or sell is made every month in the model, whereas in reality this decision may be made less frequently.

If an investor decides to sell, the house will be taken off the rental market and put on the sale market at the price given in section 1.4.6.

This monthly re-considering means that as a house remains unoccupied on the rental-market it becomes more and more likely to be sold. This is desirable but we may want to consider more carefully the time evolution of an investor's propensity to sell.

Decision to buy BTL property

Buy-to-let investors decide to add houses to their current portfolio based on the 'expected yield' on property investments, which is defined as

$$\bar{y} = 2l(c_g \bar{g} + (1 - c_g)\bar{r}) - \frac{m}{d} \tag{1.13}$$

where c_g is the investor's capital gain coefficient, $\bar{g}$ is expected annual house price appreciation, $\bar{r}$ is an exponential average of the gross annual rental yield (rent/house price) on newly issued rental contracts, l is the leverage (house price over downpayment) of the largest mortgage available to the investor, m is the associated annual mortgage payment and d is the minimum downpayment.

The probability of deciding not to buy any house is then given by

$$P(\overline{buy}) = \frac{1}{(1 + e^{k\bar{y}+c})^{\gamma}} \tag{1.14}$$

where k is a scaling constant, c represents transaction costs and stickiness, and γ deals with the fact that the decision to buy or not is made every month in the model, whereas in reality this decision may be made less frequently.

Upon buying an investment property, the property is immediately put onto the rental market.

Downpayment

The decision on how much downpayment to make on a newly purchased house is made in the same way as described in section 1.4.6 except that BTL investors will choose downpayment from a Gaussian distribution (bounded at the lower end by zero) rather than a log-normal, this is calibrated against confidential BoE data[11].

1.4.8 Bankruptcy

If a household's liquid wealth goes negative, they are given a cash injection to raise their liquid wealth to 1 pound. Households make no directed attempt to avoid bankruptcy and will not decide to sell housing wealth in response to dwindling liquid wealth.

1.5 Banks

There is a single bank in the model which represents the mortgage lending sector in the aggregate.

1.5.1 Mortgage approval

Loans to owner occupiers

All loans to owner-occupiers are 25 year, fixed interest repayment mortgages. The bank will approve a mortgage to a (potential) owner-occupier as long as it

---

[11]I was never told where this data came from

conforms to LTV, LTI and affordability constraints. The affordability constraint ensures that a household has enough total income to pay all its mortgages. Subject to meeting those criteria, all demand is met in any period. The maximum principal loan amount, then, is calculated as

$$q = \min\left(\frac{b\theta}{1-\theta}, I\psi, i\phi\frac{1-(1+r_{stress})^{-N}}{r_{stress}}\right) \qquad (1.15)$$

The constraints are described in the following table.

Constraint	Description
$\frac{b\theta}{1-\theta}$	LTV constraint. b is the household's bank balance (assuming all bank balance is used as downpayment), θ is the maximum loan to value ratio.
$I\psi$	LTI constraint. I is household gross income and ψ is the maximum loan to income ratio
$i\phi\frac{1-(1+r_{stress})^{-N}}{r_{stress}}$	Affordability test given a monthly payment equal to the share $\phi = 0.5$ of the household's disposable income available for mortgage payments. i is a household's disposable income, r_{stress} is the fixed monthly interest rate based on a stress scenario and N is the number of monthly payments to pay off the mortgage.

In the case of LTI and LTV constraints, the central bank allows a certain proportion of loans to owner-occupiers to be unregulated. The bank has its own, higher LTI and LTV ratio limits which it applies to unregulated loans, and it will apply these limits to a loan whenever the approval of the loan would not cause the bank to exceed the maximum proportion set by the central bank.

Loans to buy-to-let investors

Mortgages to buy to let investors are interest only, fixed interest. There are no LTI or affordability constraints. Instead, there is a central-bank imposed Interest Coverage Ratio limit. The ICR limit imposes the constraint that

$$q < \frac{b}{1 - \frac{\bar{y}}{\xi I}} \qquad (1.16)$$

where $\bar{y}$ is the exponential average of the gross annual rental yield on new tenancy agreements (i.e. gross annual rental income over house price), ξ is the ICR and I is a stressed mortgage interest rate of 5%.

Central bank regulation of LTV on loans to BTL investors is configurable. At present there is no central bank regulation of LTV for BTL investors, so the bank sets its own LTV limit.

1.5.2 Interest rates

Mortgage interest rate spread, r, is calculated each month according to

$$r_{t+1} = r_t + k(S_t - T) \tag{1.17}$$

where k is a constant, S_t is the total supply of credit in month t and T is an exogenous constant target monthly supply[12].

1.6 Housing markets

1.6.1 Market price information

Households make use of market price information when making their decisions. For both the rental and sale markets, two types of information are available: the house price index and the market price of a house of a given quality.

The house price index for a given month is defined as the average transaction price divided by the average reference price over the set of all completed transactions for that month. The reference price of a house is the price of a house of that quality according to the ONS house price data tables 2013. This is an approximation to Nationwide's mix adjustment methodology. Since the houses in the model have only one property, namely quality, the hedonic regression can be reduced to a regression on $p = \chi p_r$ where p is the price of a house now, χ is the house price index and P_r is the reference price, which can be thought of as a measure of quality.

The market price given quality is calculated as a moving exponential average of completed transactions involving houses of the given quality. Because the number of transactions per month may be quite small in the simulation (due to scaling down of the population) some quality bands may have very few transactions which leads to unrealistic distributions of price with quality. Analysis of house price distribution data over time shows that the shape of the distribution stays the same (almost log-normal). When the simulated population is large the model naturally reproduces this.

To deal with scaled-down populations, however, at the end of every month the market price given quality is transformed according to

$$p_q' = Dp_q + (1 - D)hp_r(q)$$

where D is a constant, p_q is the market price of quality q, h is the house price index for this month and $p_r(q)$ is the reference price of houses of that quality. This effectively relaxes the distribution of house prices to the shape (but not the level) of that in the 2013 data tables. This is a quick and dirty fix. Initial

---

[12]calibrated against BoE historic data on credit supply and interest rate spread, please ask Marc Hinterschweiger for more details.

experiments using just HPI, and using a regression of the form $p_q = \chi p_{qr} + c$, led to unrealistically unstable prices. BoE has historical data on real house-price distributions which could be used to back out a low-dimensional family of distribution functions which could then be used as the basis for a regression.

1.6.2 House sales clearing

Clearing proceeds as follows: Home-buyers are matched to the best quality house they can afford and BtL investors are matched to the best gross yield house they can afford. Where a given offered house is matched with more than one bidder, the price is 'bid up' by multiplying by 1.0075^k where k is chosen at random from a geometric distribution such that

$$p(k) = (1 - e^{-7b/30})^{k-1} e^{-7b/30}$$

where b is the number of bids received in the timestep. The house is then offered to a randomly chosen bid that can still afford to buy. This approximates the outcome that would be achieved if the bids came in on random days in the simulated month; if a bid is followed by another bid within 7 days, the new bid 'bids up' the price by 0.75%, the first bid that is not bid up within 7 days gets the house.

Failed bids then get to bid again. This re-bidding carries on up to the smaller of $N/1000$ and $1 + n/5000000$ times, where N is the population and n is the total number of orders on the market.

1.6.3 Rental clearing

Rental clearing proceeds in the same way as house-sales-clearing, but without yield-driven BtL bidders.

1.7 Central bank

The central bank sets LTV, LTI, interest cover ratio (ICR) and affordability policies. Policies can be of three different types:

1. Strict limits, e.g. a hard LTV limit of 90% for all households (though the limit may differ between types of agents, such as first-time buyers or owner-occupiers);

2. 'Soft' limits, e.g. an LTI cap of 3.5 on new mortgage lending, but allowing for 15% of new mortgages above this limit;

3. State-contingent policies, e.g. an LTV limit of 85% if credit growth over a certain time is above a certain threshold; otherwise no limit.

Chapter 2

Context

The previous chapter describes a snapshot of a model in the middle of being developed. The snapshot presented is of the model as used for the Bank of England 2015 BTL policy experiment. This chapter is intended to place the snapshot within its historical context and explain the intended future trajectories of the model.

2.1 Microcalibration

The first month at the BoE was spent improving the model in response to conversations with BoE staff and micro-calibrating against data available at the BoE.

A lifecycle was added in order to provide a flux of first time buyers, interest rates were endogenised, base rate and spread was distinguished, calculation of core indicators was added to diagnostics, a central bank was added and allowed to constrain LTV and LTI for a given proportion of mortgages.

The housing 'core indicators' are a set of aggregate measures used by the BoE to quantify the state of the housing market. The ability of the model to simulate all the core indicators is one of the advantages of the model over any other model in the BoE.

At this point, the lifecycle allowed a dynamic, out-of-equilibrium age distribution to be specified (as opposed to the equilibrium distribution in the model description above). This was done by calculating the birth rate during spin-up so that the model population passes through a user-specified age distribution at the end of spin-up. The code to do this is still in the model and in fact the spin-up is currently implemented by simply specifying the equilibrium age distribution as the target. An age distribution calibrated against LCFS 2012 is also available in the model, but is currently unused.

We also got data about initial offer prices as a function of final sale price from Zoopla, the code to calculate these stats is at `calibration/code/InitialSalePrice.py` and the output is at `calibration/InitialSalePriceMarkup<DATE>.html`. However, we never got time to use this in the model.

2.2 First policy expt

In order to better align the model to our own research interests, I re-wrote the whole model from scratch so that it had a class-hierarchy of contracts and was fully asynchronous and event-driven. The asynchronous nature allowed me to completely re-design the way the markets worked so that they were much more realistic. One important improvement was that there could now be competition between BTL and OO on the house sale market. This model is now on the BankOfEngland branch of the github repo.

The event-driven model was running too slowly to be used for experiments and there was no time to investigate why, so I had to revert to the old, synchronous model (as described in the previous chapter) and update the market clearing mechanism to roughly approximate the behaviour of the asynchronous market I had developed. To perform the experiment, we also added a central-bank imposed ICR constraint policy, interest only mortgages for BTL investors, no LTI or affordability constraints for BTL investors and improved diagnostics, recording and general usability.

In response to comments at BoE about there being too many parameters, I re-designed the decision rules to appeal to an internal utility function, effectively allowing households to compare housing wealth to liquid wealth. Unfortunately, there was no time to calibrate the utility function to the data, so I had to revert to the old decision rules. The utility-function code remains in the github repo history and in commented out sections of the current code.

The micro calibrations from the previous section were put back into the model and much time was spent macro-calibrating to fit the model to the core indicators. In the absence of time to build optimising software to macro-calibrate, this was done by manually tweaking the parameters. Fitting the model to HPI time-series was done by running the model for many years until the HPI happened to hit the right time-series.

In order to match the time-series in this way, I also had to replace the lifecycle (which had a non-static household age distribution) with a lifecycle with a static age distribution (the one described here). I chose to hold the birth and death rates at their calibrated values and allow the population to reach its static distribution. For future experiments, if we need a static population distribution, it is important to have an unrealistic death rate and a realistic age distribution as otherwise we mess up the wealth and income distributions.

2.3   Future direction

After the policy experiment I decided that in order to be accepted as a policy tool the model had to be calibrated and validated against time series data, and needs to be able to produce time series projections over around a 6 month time scale.

To this end I started work on adapting weather forecasting data assimilation techniques for use with ABM, with a view to incorporating the utility-based behaviour into the event-driven model and properly calibrating it against all the datasets that we have used.

Bibliography

[1] ARLA, **2014**: The ARLA Review and Index for Residential Investment, second quarter 2014. Association of Residential Letting Agents, Warwick.

[2] Axtell et.al., **2014**: An Agent-Based Model of the Housing Market Bubble in Metropolitan Washington, D.C. available on the `housingPrivate` Github repo at `doc/AxtellEA14.pdf`.

[3] Bank of England, **2015**: Product Sales Database, bank confidential.

[4] Belfield, C. et.al. **2014**: Living Standards, Poverty and Inequality in the UK: 2014, Institute of Fiscal Studies, London.

[5] CML, **2015**: Regulated Mortgage Survey, Council of Mortgage Lenders

[6] ONS, **2009**: The changing living arrangements of young adults in the UK, Population Trends, winter 2009, Office for National Statistics, Newport.

[7] ONS, **2011**: Social Trends: Housing 2011, Office for National Statistics, Newport.

[8] ONS, **2012**: Wealth in Great Britain 2010/2012, table 5.8, Office for National Statistics, Newport.

[9] ONS, **2013**, Living Costs and Food Survey, Office for National Statistics, Newport.

[10] ONS, **2014**: House Price Index Reference Tables - annual tables 20 to 39, table 34, Office for National Statistics, Newport.