

Q2. a.

ANSWER

x>8

EXPLANATION

Depending on the value of x, there can be three different values for taking Action 1:

If x<-8, then Action 1 results in -8;

If $-8 \le x \le 11$ then Action 1 results in x;

If x > 11 then Action 1 results in 11.

Action 2 always results in a utility of 8

Hence Action 1 is optimal for Player 1 if x>8

b.

ANSWER

x>9

EXPLANATION

Action 2 gives Player 1 a utility of 10, so the average of x and 11 must be greater than 10. $(x+11)/2>10\to x>9$

C.

ANSWER

No

EXPLANATION

The minimax value can never be strictly greater than the expectimax value for the same tree because in minimax Player 2 always chooses the worst possible move for Player 1, while in expectimax, those same nodes average that value with other higher values. Thus, the utility at a node under expectimax is always at least as high as the utility of the same node under minimax.

3.1 Transition Matrin:

For action a:			for action b
I	IL	111	I I I
I 0.2	0.8	Ô	I 0.9 0 0.1
IL 0.8	0.2	0	IO 0.9 0.1
迎 0	0	0	D 0 0 0
		10	·

Optimal Polizi : if in 1 do b J. Intriction: Manimire Revoired it in 2 do a

3.3
$$V_0^{\text{I}} = -1$$
 $V_1^{\text{I}} = -1 + \max\left(\left((0.9)(-1) + (0.1)(-1)\right), \left((0.9)(-1) + (0.1)(0)\right) = -1.9$
 $V_0^{\text{II}} = -2$ $V_2^{\text{II}} = -2 + \max\left(\left((0.8)(-1) + (0.1)(-1)\right), \left((0.9)(-2) + (0.1)(0)\right)\right) = -3.2$

```
3.4 + Initial Policy Eval [b, b]
           V^{I} = -1 + 0.9 V^{I} + 0.1 V^{II} \Rightarrow V^{I} = -10
           V^{II} = -2 + 0.9 V^{II} + 0.1 V^{III} \implies V^{II} = -20
            VI = 0
     > Evaluation
          Can be done with argman (ETXV) or [R+ ETV]
        "R+ ST. (V)
            VI= -19 (for action a) < -10 (for action b) [Don't change policy]
          Nº = -14 (for actiona) > -20 (for actions) [Change policy to b]
       · argman (ETXV)
               T_{VI} = \underset{\text{argman }}{\text{argman }} \{ (0.9)^*(-10) + (0.1)(0), (0.8)^*(-20) + (0.2)(-10) \} 
= \underset{\text{argman }}{\text{argman }} \{ -\frac{9}{9}, -\frac{18}{3} = b_{2} 
T_{VII} = \underset{\text{argman }}{\text{argman }} \{ 0.9^*(-10)^{\frac{1}{9}} + (0.1)(0), (0.8)^{\frac{1}{9}}(-10) + (0.2)(-20) \}
                       = argman ( -18, -12) = a_
     -> Poling Eval [b, a]
                  V^{I} = -1 + 0.9 V^{I} + 0.1 V^{II} = -10
                    V^{II} = -2 + 0.8 V^{I} + 0.2 V^{II} V^{II} = -10/0.8 = -12.5
                   V#=D
      - Evaluation
                                                                                                  [No Change
           · R+ ZT(1)
                 V^{T} = -1 + (0.P)(-12.5) + (0.2)(-10) = -13 (for actiona) < -10 (for action b)
                 VI = -2+(0.9)(-125)+(0.1)(0) = -13.25 (for action b) < -12.5 [for action a]
          * argman (\(\xi\tau\tau\tau)\)

\[
\begin{argman}{c}
\tau\tau = \argman \left\{ (0.8)(-12.5) + (02)(-10), (0.9)(-10) + (0.1)(0)\right\} \\
&= \argman \left\{ -12^2, -9\right\} = \bar{ba}
                                                                                                [No Change]
                   TVI = argman { (0.8) (-10) + (0.2) (-12.5), (0.4) (-12.5) + (0.1) (0) } = {
                          = aymun (-10.5, -11.25) = a/
                    No Change in Policy Terminate
```

3.6. Policy evaluation equations become unsolvable

$$V(1) = -1 + 0.8*V(2) + 0.2*V(1)$$

$$V(2) = -2 + 0.8*V(1) + 0.2*V(2)$$

Discounting helps in making these equations solvable and choice of the discount factor determines the policy. If a small discount factor is chosen then the future plays a negligible role as the agent gets greedy and will probably choose action b in state 2.

Q4. a.

- T(A,south,C) = 1
 The action south is taken twice from state A, and both times results in state C.
 2/2 = 1
- T(B,east,C) = 1
 The action east is taken twice from state B, and both times results in state C.
 2/2=1
- T(C,south,E) = 0.75
 The action south is taken four times from state C, and results in state E three times.³/₄=0.75
- T(C,south,D) = 0.25
 The action south is taken four times from state C, and results in state D one time.
 1/4 = 0.25

$$V(A) = 8$$
, $V(B) = -2$, $V(C) = 4$, $V(D) = -10$, $V(E) = 10$

EXPLANATION

The estimated value of $\hat{V}^{\pi}(s)$ is equal to the average value achieved starting from that state.

 $\hat{V}^{\pi}(A)$: Episodes 1 and 4 start from state A and both result in a utility of 8. $rac{8+8}{2}=8$

 $\hat{V}^\pi(B)$: Episodes 2 and 3 start from state B. Episode 2 results in -12, while episode 3 results in 8. $\frac{8-12}{2}=-2$

 $\hat{V}^\pi(C)$: State C is visited in every episode. The remaining rewards from C in episodes 1, 3, and 4 total 9, while the remaining rewards in episode 2 total -11. $\frac{9+9+9-11}{4}=4$

 $\hat{V}^{\pi}(D)$: State D is only visited in episode 2 and has a remaining utility of -10.

 $\hat{V}^\pi(E)$: State E is visited in episodes 1, 3, and 4 and has a remaining utility of 10 in each state. $\frac{10+10+10}{3}=10$

Q5.

5.1

M = 0.6

The clockwise action was taken 5 times from A, and went to B 3 times.

N=0

The transition (A,clockwise,B) happened 3 times and had reward 0 every time.

0 = 0.4

The clockwise action was taken 5 times from A, and went to C 2 times.

P=-10

Both of the occurrences of (A,clockwise,C) had reward -10.

Q(A, clockwise) = -4.984

EXPLANATION

$$V_k(B) = max(Q_k(B, clockwise), Q_k(B, counterclockwise)) = -3.76$$

$$V_k(C) = max(Q_k(C, clockwise), Q_k(C, counterclockwise)) = 0.72$$

$$Q(A, clockwise) = T(A, clockwise, B) \times (R(A, clockwise, B) + \gamma V_k(B)) +$$

$$T(A, clockwise, C) \times (R(A, clockwise, C) + \gamma V_k(C))$$

$$= .6 \times (0 + .5 \times -3.76) + .4 \times (-10 + .5 \times .72) = -4.984$$

Q(A, counterclockwise) = -5.336

EXPLANATION

$$Q(A, counterclockwise) = T(A, counterclockwise, B) \times (R(A, counterclockwise, B) + \gamma V_k(B)) +$$

$$T(A, counterclockwise, C) \times (R(A, counterclockwise, C) + \gamma V_k(C))$$

$$= .4 \times (0 + .5 \times -3.76) + .6 \times (-8 + .5 \times .72) = -5.336$$

Q(B, clockwise) = -4.024

EXPLANATION

$$V_k(A) = max(Q_k(A, clockwise), Q_k(A, counterclockwise)) = -4.24$$

$$Q(B, clockwise) = T(B, clockwise, A) \times (R(B, clockwise, A) + \gamma V_k(A)) + Q(B, clockwise)$$

$$T(B, clockwise, C) \times (R(B, clockwise, C) + \gamma V_k(C))$$

$$= .8 \times (-3 + .5 \times -4.24) + .2 \times (0 + .5 \times .72) = -4.024$$

Q(B, counterclockwise) = -9.624

EXPLANATION

$$Q(B, counterclockwise) = T(B, counterclockwise, A) \times (R(B, counterclockwise, A) + \gamma V_k(A)) +$$

$$T(B, counterclockwise, C) \times (R(B, counterclockwise, C) + \gamma V_k(C))$$

$$= .8 \times (-10 + .5 \times -4.24) + .2 \times (0 + .5 \times .72) = -9.624$$

Q(C, clockwise) = 0.376

EXPLANATION

$$Q(C, clockwise) = T(C, clockwise, A) \times (R(C, clockwise, A) + \gamma V_k(A)) +$$

$$T(C, clockwise, B) \times (R(C, clockwise, B) + \gamma V_k(B))$$

$$= .6 \times (0 + .5 \times -4.24) + .4 \times (6 + .5 \times -3.76) = .376$$

Q(C, counterclockwise) =-8.328

EXPLANATION

$$Q(C, counterclockwise) = T(C, counterclockwise, A) \times (R(C, counterclockwise, A) + \gamma V_k(A)) +$$

$$T(C, counterclockwise, B) \times (R(C, counterclockwise, B) + \gamma V_k(B))$$

$$= .2 \times (0 + .5 \times -4.24) + .8 \times (-8 + .5 \times -3.76) = -8.328$$

Q6.

EXPLANATION

The only value that gets updated is $\hat{V}^{\pi}(B)$, because the only transition observed starts in state B.

$$\hat{V}^{\pi}(A) = 1$$

$$\hat{V}^{\pi}(B) = .5 * 2 + .5 * (-2 + 8) = 4$$

$$\hat{V}^{\pi}(C) = 8$$

$$\hat{V}^{\pi}(D) = 10$$

$$\hat{V}^{\pi}(E) = 10$$

Q7.

EXPLANATION

For each s,a,s^\prime,r transition sample, you update the Q value function as follows:

$$Q(s, a) = (1 - \alpha) * Q(s, a) + \alpha * (R(s, a, s') + \gamma * max_{a'}Q(s', a'))$$

First we update $Q(A, counterclockwise) = .5 \times 3.153 + .5 \times (8 + .5 \times 2.73) = 6.259$

Then we update $Q(C,counterclockwise) = .5 \times 2.133 + .5 \times (0 + .5 \times 6.259) \approx 2.631$

Note that we use the updated value of Q(A,counterclockwise) in the second update.

Because there are only two samples, the other four values stay the same.