

# MATH20410 (W25): Analysis in $\mathbb{R}^n$ II (accelerated)

Lecturer: Joe Jackson

Notes by: Aden Chen

Friday 28<sup>th</sup> February, 2025

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Single-Variable Differential Calculus</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Multivariable Differential Calculus</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Integration</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Curves</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>The Riemann-Stieltjes Integral</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Sequences and Series of Functions</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Function Spaces</b>	<b>39</b>

# 1 Single-Variable Differential Calculus

In this chapter, we consider mainly functions of the form  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $I$  is an interval, e.g.,  $(a, b)$ ,  $[a, b]$ ,  $(a, \infty)$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ . This is the function we have in mind unless otherwise stated.

**Definition 1.1** (Differentiability). We say  $f$  is **differentiable** at  $x \in I$  if the limit

$$f'(x) := \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + h) - f(x)}{h}$$

exists. In this case, we call  $f'(x)$  the derivative of  $f$  at  $x$ . Moreover:

- We say that  $f$  is **differentiable** if  $f'(x)$  exists for each  $x \in I$ .
- We say  $f$  is **continuously differentiable** ( $f \in C^1$ ) if  $f' : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous.

*Example 1.2.*

- $f(x) = |x|$ . Differentiable on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .
- $f(x) = \begin{cases} x \sin \frac{1}{x}, & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}$ . Continuous but not differentiable at 0.
- $f(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 \sin \frac{1}{x}, & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}$ . Differentiable everywhere (in particular at 0), but  $f \notin C^1$ .

**Proposition 1.3** (Rules for computing derivatives).

- (i) *Linearity.*  $(af + bg)' = af' + bg'$  (if  $f'$  and  $g'$  exist, such requirements are hereafter omitted).
- (ii) *Product rule.*  $(fg)' = f'g + fg'$ .
- (iii) *Quotient rule.*  $(f/g)' = (f'g - fg')/g^2$ .<sup>1</sup>
- (iv) *Chain rule.*  $(f \circ g)' = (f' \circ g) \cdot g'$ .

<sup>1</sup>Low dhigh minus high dlow. Not Haidilao...

**Proof.** We prove the quotient rule; the remaining are left as exercises. Starting from the definition

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)'(x) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \frac{\frac{f}{g}(t) - \frac{f}{g}(x)}{t - x} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \frac{\frac{f(t)}{g(t)} + \frac{f(x)}{g(t)} - \frac{f(x)}{g(t)} + \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}}{t - x}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\frac{\frac{f(x)}{g(t)} + \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}}{t - x} = \frac{f(x)}{g(x)g(t)} \frac{g(x) - g(t)}{t - x}$$

and we have

$$\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)'(x) = \frac{f'(x)}{g(x)} - \frac{f(x)g'(x)}{g^2(x)}$$

□

**Theorem 1.4.** *If  $f$  is differentiable at  $x$  then  $f$  is continuous at  $x$ .*

**Proof.** Note that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow x} f(t) - f(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x} (t - x) = f'(x) \cdot 0 = 0.$$

□

## 1.1 The Mean Value Theorem

**Lemma 1.5.** *Suppose  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has a local maximum or minimum at  $x \in (a, b)$ . If  $f'(x)$  exists, then  $f'(x) = 0$ .*

**Proof.** From the definition of the derivative, consider the limits from the left and right; one is non-positive and the other is non-negative. □

**Theorem 1.6** (Rolle's Theorem). *Suppose  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$ , differentiable on  $(a, b)$ , and such that  $f(a) = f(b)$ . Then there exists  $x \in (a, b)$  such that  $f'(x) = 0$ .*

**Proof.** Consider the global maximum or minimum (exist since  $f$  is a continuous function defined on a compact set) and apply the previous lemma. (If both the maximum and minimum is at  $a$  or  $b$ ,  $f$  is constant.) □

**Theorem 1.7** (Mean Value Theorem). *Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$  and differentiable on  $(a, b)$ . Then there exists  $x \in (a, b)$  such that  $f(b) - f(a) = f'(x)(b - a)$ .*

**Proof.** Apply Rolle's to  $\tilde{f} = f - [f(b) - f(a)] \cdot \frac{x-a}{b-a}$ . □

**Theorem 1.8.** *Let  $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable.*

(a) *if  $f' = 0$ , then  $f$  is constant.*

(b) *if  $f' \geq 0$ , then  $f$  is increasing.*

(c) *if  $f' \leq 0$ , then  $f$  is decreasing.*

**Proof.** Apply the mean value theorem. □

**Theorem 1.9** (The Intermediate Value Property of Derivatives). *Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable<sup>2</sup> and suppose  $f'(a) < \lambda < f'(b)$ . Then there exists  $x \in (a, b)$  such that  $f'(x) = \lambda$ .* <sup>2</sup> $f$  need not be  $C^1$ !

**Proof** (*à la Pugh*). Slide a small secant of length so small that the slope around  $a$  and  $b$  is separated also by  $\lambda$ . By continuity of the slope, there exists a secant between  $a$  and  $b$  with slope  $\lambda$ . Apply the mean value theorem to this slope. □

**Proof** (*à la Joe/Rudin*). We start with  $\lambda = 0$ . Then  $f'(a), f'(b) \neq 0$  and the global min/max of  $f$  cannot be at the endpoints. At the global extrema we have the desired result. When  $\lambda \neq 0$ , consider  $\tilde{f} := f - \lambda x$ . □

*Example 1.10.* Consider

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 \sin(1/x), & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}.$$

We have

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2x \sin(1/x) = \cos(1/x), & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases},$$

which has the intermediate value property.

**Theorem 1.11** (Generalized Mean Value Theorem). *Let  $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous on  $[a, b]$  and differentiable on  $(a, b)$ . Then there exists  $x \in (a, b)$  such that*

$$(f(a) - f(b))g'(x) = (g(a) - g(b))f'(x).$$

*Remark 1.12.* When the above is not zero,

$$\frac{f(a) - f(b)}{g(a) - g(b)} = \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)}.$$

**Proof.** Define

$$h(t) := (f(b) - f(a))g(t) - (g(b) - g(a))f(t).$$

Note that

$$h(a) = f(b)g(a) - f(a)g(b) = h(b)$$

and apply Rolle's. □

## 1.2 L'Hôpital's Rule

**Theorem 1.13** (L'Hôpital's Rule, a particular case). *Let  $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous on  $[a, b]$  and differentiable on  $(a, b)$ . If  $g(x) \neq 0$  in a neighborhood of  $a$  and  $f(x) = g(x) = 0$ , then*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)},$$

*if the last limit exists.*

**Proof.** Consider some small  $\delta > 0$ . The generalized MVT gives some  $x \in (a, a+\delta)$  such that

$$\frac{f(a+\delta)}{g(a+\delta)} = \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} \approx \lim_{t \rightarrow a} \frac{f'(t)}{g'(t)},$$

where the last approximation follows from the existence of the limit. Note that as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ ,  $x \rightarrow a$ , and the approximation error shrinks to 0. □

Refer to Rudin or something for the general case.

## 1.3 Higher Derivatives

If  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable, then we can define the second derivative  $f'' := (f')'$  if  $f'$  is differentiable. Higher derivatives can be defined similarly. We usually write  $f^{(n)}$  for the  $n$ -th derivative of  $f$ .

*Example 1.14.*  $L(x) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0)$  is a (first order) linear approximation of  $f$  at  $x_0$ . How good is this approximation? A first answer is

$$f(x) = L(x) + o(|x - x_0|),$$

since we have as  $x \rightarrow x_0$  that

$$\frac{f(x) - L(x)}{x - x_0} = \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} - f'(x_0) \rightarrow 0.$$

But can we say even more about the quality of the approximation? – Yes, if  $f$  is twice differentiable.

**Proposition 1.15** (First-order Taylor's Theorem). *Suppose  $f'$  exists and is continuous on  $[a, b]$  and  $f''$  exists on  $(a, b)$ . Let  $x_0, x \in [a, b]$  with  $x_0 \neq x$ . Then*

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0) + \frac{1}{2}f''(y)(x - x_0)^2,$$

where  $y$  is between  $x_0$  and  $x$ . In particular, we have

$$|f(x) - f(x_0) - f'(x_0)(x - x_0)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{y \in (a, b)} |f''(y)| \cdot |x - x_0|^2.$$

**Proof.** Find  $M$  such that we have

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0) + \frac{M}{2}(x - x_0)^2.$$

We need only find  $y$  such that  $M = f''(y)$ . Define

$$g(t) := f(t) - f(x_0) - f'(x_0)(t - x_0) - \frac{M}{2}(t - x_0)^2.$$

Note that  $g''(t) = f''(t) - M$ , so we need only find a point at which  $g''$  vanishes. Since  $g(x_0) = g(x) = 0$ , by the MVT there exists  $y'$  between  $x_0$  and  $x$  such that  $g'(y') = 0$ . Observe that  $g'(x_0) = 0$ , and so by the MVT again, there exists  $y$  between  $x_0$  and  $y'$  (and by extension between  $x_0$  and  $x$ ) such that  $g''(y) = 0$ .  $\square$

The more general story: given  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $x_0 \in [a, b]$ , we may define

$$P_0(x) := f(x_0),$$

$$P_1(x) := f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0),$$

$$P_2(x) := f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0) + \frac{1}{2}f''(x_0)(x - x_0)^2,$$

$\vdots$

$$P_n(x) := \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(x_0)}{k!} (x - x_0)^k,$$

when the corresponding derivatives exist. Note that  $P_n(x)$  is the unique degree  $n$  polynomial such that  $P_n^{(k)}(x_0) = f^{(k)}(x_0)$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Theorem 1.16** (Taylor's Theorem). *Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be such that*

- $f^{(k)}$  exists on  $[a, b]$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ; and
- $f^{(n+1)}$  exists on  $(a, b)$ .

*Then, for any  $x_0, x \in [a, b]$  with  $x_0 \neq x$ , there exists  $y$  between  $x_0$  and  $x$  such that*

$$f(x) = P_n(x) + \frac{f^{(n+1)}(y)}{(n+1)!} (x - x_0)^{n+1}.$$

*for some  $y$  between  $x_0$  and  $x$ .*

We proof the case  $n = 2$ , the same idea can be used to prove the general case.

**Proof.** Define

$$g(t) = f(t) - P_2(t) - \frac{M}{6} (t - x_0)^3.$$

Since  $g''' = f''' - M$ , we need only find  $y$  such that  $g'''(y) = 0$ . Note that  $g(x_0) = g(x) = 0$ , and so by the MVT there exists  $y'$  between  $x_0$  and  $x$  such that  $g'(y') = 0$ . Next, note that  $g'(x_0) = 0$ , and so by the MVT there exists  $y''$  between  $x_0$  and  $y'$  such that  $g''(y'') = 0$ . Finally, note that  $g''(x_0) = 0$ , and so by the MVT there exists  $y$  between  $x_0$  and  $y''$  such that  $g'''(y) = 0$ .  $\square$



## 2 Multivariable Differential Calculus

Some remainders about  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

- $\mathbb{R}^n = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a vector space, with canonical basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ .
- $\mathbb{R}^n$  comes with an inner product  $\langle x, y \rangle = x \cdot y = \sum x_i y_i$ , a norm  $|x| = \sqrt{x \cdot x} = (\sum x_i y_i)^{1/2}$ , and a metric  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ .

### 2.1 Higher Dimensional Codomains

Consider a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \supset I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Definition 2.1.**  $f$  is differentiable at  $x$  if the limit

$$f'(x) := \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x}$$

exists.

*Remark 2.2.* We may write  $f(t) = (f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t))$ , and  $f'(x) = (f'_1(x), \dots, f'_n(x))$ , since a sequence  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  converges if and only if each of its components converges.

**Theorem 2.3.** *We have the following analog of the MVT:*

$$|f(b) - f(a)| \leq |f'(t)| \cdot |b - a|.$$

*for some  $t$  between  $a$  and  $b$ .*

**Proof.** Assume  $a < b$ . Define

$$h(t) := \langle f(b) - f(a), f(t) \rangle.$$

The MVT gives

$$\begin{aligned} h(b) - h(a) &= h'(t)(b - a) = \langle f(b) - f(a), f'(t) \rangle (b - a) \\ &\leq (b - a) |f(b) - f(a)| |f'(t)|, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Noting that

$$h(b) - h(a) = |f(b) - f(a)|^2,$$

we have the desired result. □

## 2.2 Higher Dimensional Domain

We next consider functions  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is open.

**Definition 2.4** (Partial Derivatives).

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) = D_i f(x) := \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + h e_i) - f(x)}{h}.$$

**Definition 2.5** (Directional Derivatives). Fix  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

$$= D_u f(x) := \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + hu) - f(x)}{h}.$$

### 2.2.1 The Derivative

Intuition: A function is differentiable if a first-order Taylor expansion holds. That is, if  $f$  is “well-approximated” by a linear function.

**Definition 2.6.** We denote the set of all linear maps from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  as  $L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ .

**Definition 2.7** (The Derivative). A function  $f$  is differentiable at  $x$  if there exists a linear map  $T \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + h) - f(x) - T(h)}{|h|} = 0.$$

In this case we write  $Df(x) = T$ . In other words,  $f(x + h) = f(x) + Df(x)(h) + o(|h|)$ .

*Remark 2.8.*

- If  $f$  is differentiable, then

$$Df : U \longrightarrow L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}).$$

- It is easy to check that  $Df$  is well defined, that is, there is at most one  $T$  such that the limit holds.

We may think of the linear map  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$T(u) = \langle u, v \rangle, \tag{1}$$

where  $v := (Te_1, \dots, Te_n)$ .

**Definition 2.9** (The Gradient). If  $f$  is differentiable at  $x$ , we define  $\nabla f(x) = v$ , where  $v$  satisfies (1). In other words,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x) - \langle \nabla f(x), h \rangle}{|h|} = 0.$$

**Theorem 2.10.** If  $f$  is differentiable at  $x$ , then  $D_u f(x)$  exists for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $D_u f(x) = Df(x)u = \langle \nabla f(x), u \rangle$ .

**Proof.** Note that as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(x+tu) - f(x)}{t} - Df(x)u \right| &= \left| \frac{f(x+tu) - f(x) - Df(x)(tu)}{t} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{f(x+tu) - f(x) - Df(x)(tu)}{|tu|} \right| \cdot |u| \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

*Remark 2.11.* In particular we have  $D_i f(x) = D_{e_i} f(x) = Df(x)e_i = \langle \nabla f(x), e_i \rangle$ . In other words, if  $f$  is differentiable, then  $\nabla f(x) = (D_1 f, \dots, D_n f)$ .

*Remark 2.12.*

- Differentiability holds if and only if the gradient exists.
- Differentiability implies the existence of directional derivatives, which then implies the existence of partial derivatives. The converse implications are not true.

*Example 2.13.* Consider

$$f(x_1, x_2) := \begin{cases} \frac{x_1 x_2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2}, & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}.$$

It is easy to see that  $D_1 f(0) = D_2 f(0) = 0$  but  $D_{(1,1)} f(0)$  does not exist. Indeed,  $f$  is not even continuous on the line  $t(1, 1)$ .

*Example 2.14.* Consider

$$f(x_1, x_2) := \begin{cases} \frac{x_1^3}{x_1^2 + x_2^2}, & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Note that

$$D_u f(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t^3 u_1^3}{t^2(u_1^2 + u_2^2)} \cdot \frac{1}{t} = \frac{u_1^3}{u_1^2 + u_2^2}.$$

However,  $Df(0)$  cannot exist, since the above mapping is not linear.

**Theorem 2.15.** *If the partial derivatives  $D_1 f, \dots, D_n f$  exist and are continuous (in a neighborhood of  $x$ ), then  $f$  is differentiable at  $x$ .*

**Proof.** Fix arbitrary  $x \in E$  and define  $Ah = \sum D_i f(x) h_i$ . We write  $\omega_k := \sum_{i=1}^k h_i e_i$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\omega_0 := x$ . Note that  $\omega_n = h$ . By the MVT we can find  $\delta_k$  between 0 and  $h_k$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x+h) - f(x) - Ah &= \sum_{k=1}^n f(x+\omega_k) - f(x+\omega_{k-1}) - D_k f(x) h_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n h_k [D_k(x+\omega_k + \delta_k e_i) - D_k f(x)], \end{aligned}$$

which by continuity of  $D_i$  is sublinear. □

## 2.3 Extension to Functions with Higher Dimensional Codomains

Immediate.

We have

$$Df(x) \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m), \quad \mathbb{R}^n \ni h \mapsto Df(x)h \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m),$$

and

$$Df : \mathcal{U} \mapsto L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m).$$

Note that we may identify  $T \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$  with a unique matrix  $A = [Te_1, \dots, Te_n]$  such that we have  $Th = Ah$  for each  $h$ .

**Definition 2.16.** If  $f$  is differentiable at  $x$ , we can define  $[Df(x)] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  to be the unique matrix such that

$$Df(x)h = [Df(x)]h.$$

This is called the **Jacobian matrix**, and its determinant is called the **Jacobian**. More generally, for  $T \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ , we use  $[T]$  to denote the corresponding matrix.

**Theorem 2.17.** *If  $Df(x)$  exists, so do  $D_i f_j$ , and we have*

$$[Df(x)] = [D_i f_j] = [\nabla f_1(x) \dots \nabla f_m(x)]^\top.$$

It suffices to prove the following stronger proposition:

**Proposition 2.18.** *The function  $f$  is differentiable at  $x$  if and only if each  $f_i$  is differentiable at  $x$ . In this case,*

$$Df(x)h = (Df_1 h, \dots, Df_m(x)h) = (\langle \nabla f_1(x), h \rangle, \dots, \langle \nabla f_m(x), h \rangle) = [Df(x)]h.$$

**Proof.** Suppose  $f_i$  is differentiable. Define  $T \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$  by the formula

$$Th = (Df_1 h, \dots, Df_m(x)h).$$

Note that

$$\frac{|f(x+h) - f(x) - Th|}{|h|} = \left( \sum \frac{|f_i(x+h) - f_i(x) - Df_i(x)h|^2}{|h|} \right)^{1/2} \rightarrow 0.$$

The other direction is left as an exercise.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.19.** *If  $D_j f_i$  all exist and are continuous in a neighborhood of  $x$ , then  $f$  is differentiable at  $x$ .*

## 2.4 The Chain Rule

Consider

$$\mathbb{R}^n \supset \mathcal{U} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{R}^m \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}^k.$$

**Theorem 2.20 (Chain Rule).** *If  $g$  is differentiable at  $x$  and  $f$  is differentiable at  $g(x)$ , then  $f \circ g$  is differentiable at  $x$  and*

$$D(f \circ g)(x) = Df(g(x)) \circ Dg(x).$$

A formal calculation:<sup>3</sup> We have

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ g(x+h) &= f \circ g(x) + Df(g(x))(g(x+h) - g(x)) + o(g(x+h) - g(x)) \\ &= f \circ g(x) + Df(g(x))(Dg(x)h + o(|h|)) + o(|h|) \\ &= f \circ g(x) + Df(g(x))(Dg(x)h) + o(|h|). \end{aligned}$$

<sup>3</sup>In math, “formal calculation” often means calculation that is “systematic but without rigorous justification.”

**Proof.** For small  $h \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , we write

$$g(x + h) = g(x) + Bh + R_g,$$

where  $B = Dg(x)$  and  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} R_g/h = 0$ . Similarly, we write

$$f \circ g(x + h) = f(g(x) + Bh + R_g) = f \circ g(x) + ABh + AR_g + R_f,$$

where  $A = Df(g(x))$  and  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} R_f/(Bh + R_g) \rightarrow 0$ . It remains to note that the last two terms are sublinear.  $\square$

## 2.5 Continuity of the Derivative

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \supset \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , where  $\mathcal{U}$  is open. Recall that if  $f$  is differentiable, we have defined

- $\mathcal{U} \ni x \rightarrow Df(x) \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ .
- $\mathcal{U} \ni x \rightarrow [Df(x)] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ .
- $\mathcal{U} \ni x \rightarrow D_j f_i(x) \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Definition 2.21.** For  $T \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ , we define the operator norm

$$\|T\| = \sup_{|v|=1} |Tv| = \sup_{|v| \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|Tv|}{|v|}.$$

This gives rise to the standard norm induced metric: for  $T, S \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ , we have

$$d(T, S) = \|T - S\|.$$

**Definition 2.22.** For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , we define the operator norm  $\|A\|_{\text{op}} = \sup_{|v|} |Av|$ . Thus  $\|T\| = \|[A]\|_{\text{op}}$ .

**Definition 2.23.** For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , we define the Frobenius norm  $\|A\|_F = \left( \sum_{i,j} A_{ij}^2 \right)^{1/2}$ .

**Proposition 2.24.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

- $x \mapsto Df(x)$  is continuous (wrt  $d$ ).
- $x \mapsto [Df(x)]$  is continuous (wrt  $d_{\text{op}}$ ).
- $x \mapsto [Df(x)]$  is continuous (wrt  $d_F$ ).
- Each  $x \mapsto D_j f_i(x)$  is continuous.

**Definition 2.25.** The function  $f$  is  $C^1$  if the above equivalent conditions hold.

## 2.6 The Inverse Function Theorem

**Theorem 2.26** (The Inverse Function Theorem). *Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \supset E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be  $C^1$ , where  $E$  is open. Suppose  $x_0 \in E$  and  $Df(x_0)$  is invertible. Then there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  such that  $f$  is a bijection from  $U$  to  $V := f(U)$ , and  $f^{-1} : V \rightarrow U$  is  $C^1$  with derivative  $D(f^{-1}(y)) = [Df(f^{-1}(y))]^{-1}$ .*

*Remark 2.27.*

- Thus if the first order Taylor expansion is invertible, then  $f$  is invertible locally.
- Consider the identities

$$x = f^{-1}(f(x)), \quad y = f(f^{-1}(y)).$$

Differentiating

$$I = Df^{-1}(f(x)) \circ Df(x), \quad I = Df(f^{-1}(y)) \circ Df^{-1}(y).$$

This shows that  $D(f^{-1}(y))$  and  $Df(f^{-1}(y))$  are inverses of each other, provided that the functions are differentiable.

- Remember the one-dimensional case! We have that  $(f^{-1})' = 1/f'$ :

**Proof** (Inverse Function Theorem,  $n = 1$ ). Let  $Df(x_0) \in L(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  be invertible. Then  $f'(x_0) \neq 0$ , say  $f'(x_0) > 0$  without loss of generality. By continuity of  $f'$ , there exists an open interval  $U$  containing  $x_0$  such that  $f' > 0$  on  $U$ . Thus  $f$  is strictly increasing and thus one-to-one on  $U$ . It is easy to verify that  $V := f(U) = (f(a), f(b))$ , so  $V$  is open.

Next, we show that  $f^{-1}$  is continuous. For that, consider sequence  $y_k \rightarrow y$ . We seek to show that  $f^{-1}(y_k) \rightarrow f^{-1}(y)$ . Equivalently, given  $f(x_k) \rightarrow f(x)$ , we show  $x_k \rightarrow x$ . To that end, suppose not. Then, without loss of generality, there exists infinitely many  $x_k$  such that  $x_k > x + \epsilon$  for some  $\epsilon$ . Thus  $f(x_k) > f(x + \epsilon) > f(x)$ , a contradiction.

Finally, we show that  $f^{-1}$  is differentiable. Write  $x := f^{-1}(y)$  and  $f^{-1}(y+h) = x+k$ , that is, define  $k := f^{-1}(y+h) - f^{-1}(y)$ . We have then that  $h = f(x+k) - f(x)$ . Then as  $h \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} k = 0$ , by the continuity of  $f^{-1}$ , and so

$$\frac{f^{-1}(y+h) - f^{-1}(y)}{h} = \frac{k}{f(x+k) - f(x)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{f'(x)}.$$

□

Before the general proof, we need the following result:

**Theorem 2.28** (Contraction Mapping). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space. Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow X$  be a **contraction**, that is, there exists  $c < 1$  such that*

$$d(\phi(x), \phi(y)) \leq cd(x, y).$$

*Then, there is a unique fixed point of  $\phi$ .*

**Proof.** Pick any  $x_0 \in X$ . Define  $x_n := \phi(x_{n-1})$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Note that

$$\phi(x_n, x_{n-1}) \leq c^n \phi(x_1, x_0).$$

Thus, for  $n > m$ , we have

$$d(x_n, x_m) \leq \sum_{k=m+1}^n d(x_k, x_{k-1}) \leq d(x_1, x_0) \sum_{k=m+1}^n c^{k-1}.$$

Since  $\sum c^j$  is a converging series, the last term tends to 0 and so  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy. Then, setting  $x = \lim x_n$ , we have

$$\phi(x) = \lim \phi(x_n) = \lim x_{n+1} = x.$$

Uniqueness follows from the contraction property.  $\square$

We may now proceed with the general proof of the Inverse Function Theorem. We recall first the result:

**Theorem 2.29** (The Inverse Function Theorem). *Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \supset E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be  $C^1$ , where  $E$  is open. Suppose  $x_0 \in E$  and  $Df(x_0)$  is invertible. Then there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  such that  $f$  is a bijection from  $U$  to  $V := f(U)$ , and  $f^{-1} : V \rightarrow U$  is  $C^1$  with derivative  $D(f^{-1}(y)) = [Df(f^{-1}(y))]^{-1}$ .*

**Proof** (Inverse Function Theorem, the General Case).

**Step 1: Local Invertibility.** Choose  $\delta$  small enough that

- $\|Df(x)^{-1}\|$  is bounded in  $B_\delta(x_0)$ .<sup>4</sup>
- $\|Df(x) - Df(x')\|$  is “really small” if  $x, x' \in B_\delta(x_0)$ .

<sup>4</sup>Here, we used the fact that inversion is a continuous operation.



We check that  $f$  is injective on  $U := B_\delta(x)$ . Note that  $f(x) = y$  if and only if  $Df(x_0)^{-1}(y - f(x)) = 0$ , which is equivalent to  $x$  being a fixed point of the function

$$\phi_y(x) := x + Df(x_0)^{-1}(y - f(x)).$$

Thus, to prove injectivity, we need only show that  $\phi_y$  is a contraction. Observe that

$$D\phi_y(x) = I - Df(x_0)^{-1}Df(x) = Df(x_0)^{-1} [Df(x_0) - Df(x)].$$

Then,

$$\|D\phi_y(x)\| \leq \|Df(x_0)^{-1}\| \|Df(x_0) - Df(x)\|$$

can be made arbitrarily small, and in particular smaller than  $1/2$ , by choosing  $\delta$  small enough. The function  $\phi_y$  is then a contraction. While the image of  $\phi_y$  may not be a subset of its domain  $U$  (and so Banach contraction does not apply), the same argument in the proof of the Banach contraction theorem shows that  $\phi_y$  has at most one fixed point, if any, in  $U$ . Injectivity of  $f$  in  $U$  thus follows.

Set  $V := f(U)$ . Note that  $f^{-1}$  is well defined on  $V$ .

**Step 2:  $V$  is open.** Fix  $f(x_0) \in V$ . Pick  $r > 0$  such that  $B_r(x_0) \subset U$ . Note that

$$|x - x_0| \leq \|Df(x_0)^{-1}\| |f(x) - f(x_0)|.$$

Thus for  $y = f(x)$  within  $r/2\|Df(x_0)^{-1}\|$  of  $f(x_0)$ , we have  $x \in U$  and so  $y \in V$ .

**Step 3:  $f^{-1}$  is continuous (Lipschitz).** Recall that  $\phi_y(x)$  is a contraction in  $x$  with Lipschitz constant  $1/2$ , and note that it is also Lipschitz in  $y$ , with Lipschitz constant say  $C$ . From

$$x - x' = \phi_y(x) - \phi_{y'}(x') = \phi_y(x) - \phi_y(x') + \phi_y(x') - \phi_{y'}(x')$$

we thus know

$$|x - x'| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x - x'| + C|y - y'|.$$

Then,

$$|f^{-1}(y) - f^{-1}(y')| = |x - x'| \leq 2C|y - y'|$$

and  $f^{-1}$  is Lipschitz.

**Step 4: The formula for  $Df^{-1}$ .** Write  $y = f(x)$ . Set  $h = f^{-1}(y+k) - f^{-1}(y)$ . Note that  $f^{-1}(y+k) = x+h$  and so  $k = f(x+h) - f(x)$ . We have then that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|f^{-1}(y+k) - f^{-1}(y) - Df(x)^{-1}k|}{|k|} \\ &= \frac{|h - Df(x)^{-1}(f(x+h) - f(x))|}{|f(x+h) - f(x)|} \\ &\leq \frac{\|Df(x)^{-1}\| \|Df(x)h - f(x+h) + f(x)\|}{|h|} \cdot \frac{|h|}{|f(x+h) - f(x)|}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the first term tends to 0 and the second is bounded. We have established then that  $Df^{-1}(y) = Df(x)^{-1}$  is continuous. It remains to note that as a composition of continuous functions,  $Df^{-1}$  is continuous.  $\square$

## 2.7 The Implicit Function Theorem

*Example 2.30.* Consider function  $f$  and the equation  $f(x, y) = 0$ . What does it mean to “solve for  $x$ ”? We seek a function  $g$  such that  $f(g(y), y) = 0$ .

We will deal with the more general case of  $f : \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \supset E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $f$  is differentiable at  $(x, y)$ , then  $Df(x, y) \in L(\mathbb{R}^{n+m}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . For  $(h, k) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ , then  $Df(x, y)(h, k) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Write  $D_x f(x, y)h = Df(x, y)(h, 0)$  and  $D_y f(x, y)k = Df(x, y)(0, k)$ . Note that  $D_x f \in (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $D_y f \in (\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m)$ .

**Theorem 2.31** (Implicit Function Theorem). *Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \supset E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose  $f$  is  $C^1$  in a neighborhood of some point  $(x_0, y_0)$  such that  $f(x_0, y_0) = 0$ . If  $D_x f(x_0, y_0)$  is invertible, then there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  and a neighborhood  $V$  of  $y_0$  such that for each  $y \in V$ , there exist a unique  $x$  such that  $f(x, y) = 0$ . Moreover, the function  $g$  such that  $f(g(y), y) = 0$  is  $C^1$ , with  $Dg(y) = -D_x f(g(y), y)^{-1} D_y f(g(y), y)$ .*

*Remark 2.32.*

- Consider the linear map  $f(x, y) = A_x x + A_y y$ . The condition  $f(x, y) = 0$  is equivalent to  $A_x x = -A_y y$ . If  $A_x$  is invertible, then we have  $g(y) = -A_x^{-1} A_y y$ .
- If  $h(y) := f(g(y), y) = 0$ , then  $Dh(y) = D_x f(g(y), y) Dg(y) + D_y f(g(y), y) = 0$ , giving  $Dg = -(D_x f)^{-1} D_y f$ .

- Remember the case of  $n = 1$ : when the partial derivative in the direction of  $x$  is nonzero, we can solve for  $x$  locally.

**Proof.** Define  $F : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  by  $F(x, y) = (f(x, y), y)$ . The Jacobian matrix of  $F$  at  $(x_0, y_0)$  is

$$[DF(x_0, y_0)] = \begin{bmatrix} D_x f(x_0, y_0) & D_y f(x_0, y_0) \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}.$$

It turns out that

$$\det DF(x_0, y_0) = \det D_x f(x_0, y_0) \det I - \det 0 \det D_y f(x_0, y_0) = \det D_x f(x_0, y_0) \neq 0.$$

By the Inverse Function Theorem, then,  $F$  is invertible in a neighborhood of  $(x_0, y_0)$ .

By the construction of  $F$ , there then exists  $G$  such that  $(G(x, y), y) = F^{-1}(x, y)$ .

Define then  $g(y) := G(0, y)$ . We have

$$f(g(y), y) = f(G(0, y), y) = f(F^{-1}(0, y)) = 0.$$

□

*Remark 2.33* (Using the Implicit Function Theorem). Consider the function  $f : \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $f(a, b) = 0$ . Suppose we want to solve the equation  $f(x, y) = 0$  for  $x$  in terms of  $y$ . This may be thought of as solving a system of  $n$  equations in  $n$  unknowns. We seek to find  $g : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $f(g(y), y) = 0$ .

By the Implicit Function Theorem, such  $g$  exists if  $D_x f(a, b)$  is invertible (and  $f \in C^1$ ). Intuition: if the Jacobian of  $f$  is invertible, then we change the output of  $f$  to set  $f = 0$  no matter how  $y$  is changed.

*Example 2.34.* Consider  $f : \mathbb{R}^{2+3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  with

$$f_1 := 2e^{x_1} + x_2 y_1 - 4y_2 + 3, \quad f_2 = x_2 \cos(x_1) - 6x_1 + 2y_1 - y_3.$$

Set  $a = (0, 1)$  and  $b = (3, 2, 7)$ . Note that we have  $f(a, b) = 0$ . We have

$$D_x f(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} 2e^{x_1} & y_1 \\ -x_2 \sin(x_1) & \cos(x_1) \end{bmatrix}.$$

At  $(a, b)$ ,

$$\det D_x f(a, b) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ -6 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 20 \neq 0.$$

Then

$$Dg(b) = -[D_x f(a, b)]^{-1} [D_y f(x, b)] = \begin{bmatrix} 1/4 & 1/5 & -3/20 \\ -1/2 & 6/5 & 1/10 \end{bmatrix},$$

using which we can compute the first order approximation of  $g$ .

## 2.8 Higher Partial Derivatives

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Note that  $D_i f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 2.35.** Suppose  $D_i f$  exists. Define  $D_{ji} f(x) = D_j[D_i f](x)$  if the latter exists.

**Definition 2.36.** The function  $f$  is  $C^2$  if all  $D_{ji} f$  exist and are continuous.

**Theorem 2.37** (Clairaut's Theorem). *If  $f$  is  $C^2$ , then  $D_{ji} f = D_{ij} f$ .*

**Proof** ( $n = 2$ ). By the MVT, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{12} f(x, y) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{D_2(x + h, y) - D_2(x, y)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + h, y + k) - f(x + h, y) - f(x, y + k) + f(x, y)}{hk} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} D_{21} f(t, s), \end{aligned}$$

where  $t$  is between  $x$  and  $x + h$  and  $s$  is between  $y$  and  $y + k$ . □

## 2.9 Higher Derivatives: An Informal Discussion

Recall that

$$f(x + h) = f(x) + Df(x)h + o(h).$$

The “total” second order derivative of  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  should thus satisfy

$$f(x + h) = f(x) + Df(x)h + \frac{1}{2}D^2 f(x)(h, h) + o(h^2).$$

Consider then  $\gamma(t) = x + tv$  and  $f \circ \gamma$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} (f \circ \gamma)''(0) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \sum D_i f(x + tv) v_i \right] \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \sum \langle \nabla D_i f(x + tv) v_i, v \rangle \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i,j} D_{ij} f(x) v_i v_j = v^\top D^2 f(x) v, \end{aligned}$$

where  $D^2 f(x)$  is the Hessian. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} D^2 f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (h, k) &\longmapsto h^\top \text{Hess}(f)(x)k. \end{aligned}$$

### 3 Integration

Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be bounded. The goal is to define  $\int_a^b f(x) \, dx$  if it exists.

**Definition 3.1.** A **Partition**  $P$  of  $[a, b]$  is a collection of points  $x_0, \dots, x_n$  such that  $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$ . We say  $P^*$  is a **refinement** of  $P$  if  $P \subset P^*$ . We say  $P_1 \vee P_2 := P_1 \cup P_2$  is the **common refinement** of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . Denote as  $\Pi(a, b)$  the set of partitions of  $[a, b]$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Given  $P \in \Pi(a, b)$ , we define the **upper sum** and **lower sum** of  $f$  with respect to  $P$  by

- $U(P, f) := \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sup_{x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_i} f(x) \right) (x_i - x_{i-1})$ .
- $L(P, f) := \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \inf_{x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_i} f(x) \right) (x_i - x_{i-1})$ .

We define

$$\overline{\int_a^b} f(x) \, dx := \inf_{P \in \Pi(a, b)} U(P, f), \quad \underline{\int_a^b} f(x) \, dx := \sup_{P \in \Pi(a, b)} L(P, f).$$

**Definition 3.3.**  $f$  is Riemann integrable if

$$\overline{\int_a^b} f(x) \, dx = \underline{\int_a^b} f(x) \, dx$$

and in this case, we define

$$\int_a^b f(x) \, dx := \overline{\int_a^b} f(x) \, dx = \underline{\int_a^b} f(x) \, dx.$$

*Example 3.4.* Let  $f := \int_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** If  $P^*$  is a refinement of  $P$ , then  $U(P, f) \geq U(P^*, f)$  and  $L(P, f) \leq L(P^*, f)$ .

**Corollary 3.6.**

$$\underline{\int_a^b} f(x) \, dx \leq \overline{\int_a^b} f(x) \, dx.$$

**Proof.** Consider for each  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  their common refinement to obtain

$$L(P_1, f) \leq L(P_1 \vee P_2, f) \leq U(P_1 \vee P_2, f) \leq U(P_2, f).$$

□

**Proposition 3.7.** *The following are equivalent:*

- $f$  is Riemann integrable.
- For all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a partition  $P \in \Pi(a, b)$  such that  $U(P, f) - L(P, f) < \epsilon$ .

**Proof.** For the forward direction, fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $P_1, P_2$  such that

$$U(P_1, f) < \int_a^b f \, dx + \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad L(P_2, f) > \int_a^b f \, dx - \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Consider the common refinement  $P_1 \vee P_2$ . We have

$$U(P_1 \vee P_2, f) \leq U(P_1, f) < \int_a^b f \, dx + \frac{\epsilon}{2} < L(P_2, f) + \epsilon < L(P_1 \vee P_2, f) + \epsilon.$$

For the reverse direction, note that

$$\overline{\int_a^b f(x) \, dx} \leq U(P, f) < L(P, f) + \epsilon \leq \underline{\int_a^b f(x) \, dx} + \epsilon.$$

Thus sending  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  gives

$$\overline{\int_a^b f(x) \, dx} = \underline{\int_a^b f(x) \, dx}.$$

□

*Example 3.8.* Let  $f := \mathbb{1}_{>1/2}$  be defined on  $[0, 1]$ . For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , pick

$$P = \left\{ 0, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}, 1 \right\}.$$

### 3.1 What functions are Riemann integrable?

- continuous
- continuous, except for finitely many points,
- monotone.

*Notation 3.9.* Notation: given  $P \in \Pi(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ , we define

- $\Delta x_i := x_i - x_{i-1}$ ,
- $M_i := \sup_{x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_i} f(x)$ ,
- $m_i := \inf_{x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_i} f(x)$ .

We may then write

$$U(P, f) = \sum M_i \Delta x_i, \quad L(P, f) = \sum m_i \Delta x_i, \quad U(P, f) - L(P, f) = \sum (M_i - m_i) \Delta x_i.$$

**Proposition 3.10.** *If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, then  $f$  is Riemann integrable.*

**Proof.** Note that  $f$  is uniformly continuous. □

**Corollary 3.11.** *If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous except for finitely many points, then  $f$  is Riemann integrable.*

**Proof (Sketch).** Use continuity to handle “most” of the  $(M_i - m_i) \Delta x_i$  and use the fact that  $\Delta x_i$  is small for the otherwise. □

**Proposition 3.12.** *If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is monotone, then  $f$  is Riemann integrable.*

**Proof.** Suppose without loss of generality that  $f$  is increasing. Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $P$  such that  $\Delta x_i < \epsilon$  for each  $i$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} U(P, f) - L(P, f) &= \sum (M_i - m_i) \Delta x_i \\ &\leq \sum \epsilon [f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})] = \epsilon [f(b) - f(a)]. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 3.13.** *If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is integrable,  $f([a, b]) \subset [c, d]$ , and  $\phi : [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, then  $h = \phi \circ f$  is integrable.*

**Proof.** Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $\delta > 0$  such that

- $|x - y| < \delta$  implies  $|\phi(x) - \phi(y)| < \epsilon$ ,
- $\delta < \epsilon$ .

Choose  $P$  such that  $U(P, f) - L(P, f) < \delta^2$ . We have then that

$$\begin{aligned} U(P, h) - L(P, h) &= \sum (M_i^h - m_i^h) \Delta x_i \\ &= \sum_{i: M_i^f - m_i^f < \delta} (M_i^h - m_i^h) \Delta x_i + \sum_{i: M_i^f - m_i^f \geq \delta} (M_i^h - m_i^h) \Delta x_i. \end{aligned}$$

For the first term, note that if  $M_i^f - m_i^f < \delta$  then  $M_i^h - m_i^h < \epsilon$ . For the second term, note that

$$\delta \sum_{i: M_i^f - m_i^f \geq \delta} \Delta x_i \leq \sum_{i: M_i^f - m_i^f \geq \delta} (M_i^f - m_i^f) \Delta x_i \leq \delta^2 < \delta \epsilon,$$

from which it follows that

$$\sum_{i: M_i^f - m_i^f \geq \delta} (M_i^h - m_i^h) \Delta x_i \leq (d' - c') \epsilon,$$

where  $d'$  and  $c'$  are chosen such that  $\phi([c, d]) \subset [c', d']$ . Finally,

$$U(P, h) - L(P, h) \leq \epsilon(b - a) + \epsilon(d' - c').$$

□

**Proposition 3.14.**

- (i) *The set of integrable functions is a vector space, and integration is a linear map.*
- (ii) *If  $a < b < c$  and  $f$  is integrable on  $[a, c]$  then*

$$\int_a^c f(x) \, dx = \int_a^b f(x) \, dx + \int_b^c f(x) \, dx.$$

- (iii) *If  $f \leq g$  then*

$$\int_a^b f(x) \, dx \leq \int_a^b g(x) \, dx.$$



$$(iv) \left| \int_a^b f \, dx \right| \leq \int_a^b |f| \, dx \leq (b-a) \sup|f|.$$

(v) If  $f$  and  $g$  are integrable, then  $fg$  is integrable.

**Theorem 3.15** (The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus). *Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable. Suppose  $f' : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Riemann integrable. Then*

$$f(b) - f(a) = \int_a^b f'(x) \, dx.$$

**Proof.** Take any partition  $P$ . The mean value theorem gives

$$f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1}) = f'(\xi_i) \Delta x_i$$

for some  $\xi_i \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ . Summing over  $i$ , we have  $f(b) - f(a) = \sum f'(\xi_i) \Delta x_i$ . Noting that

$$L(P, f') \leq \sum f'(\xi_i) \Delta x_i \leq U(P, f')$$

we complete the proof by taking inf and sup over  $P$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.16** (The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus 2). *Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be Riemann integrable. Define  $F(x) = \int_a^x f(t) \, dt$ . Then*

- $F$  is continuous
- if  $f$  is continuous at  $x$ , then  $F$  is differentiable at  $x$  and  $F'(x) = f(x)$ .

**Proof.** For  $x < y$ , we have

$$|F(x) - F(y)| = \left| \int_x^y f(t) \, dt \right| \leq \int_x^y |f(t)| \, dt \leq (y-x) \sup|f|.$$

Since  $f$ , being integrable, is bounded, we have from the above that  $F$  is Lipschitz and thus continuous.

For the second result, note that for  $h > 0$  we have

$$\frac{F(x+h) - F(x)}{h} = \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} f(t) \, dt.$$

Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|x - t| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(t)| < \epsilon.$$

If  $0 < h < \delta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{F(x+h) - F(x)}{h} - f(x) \right| &= \frac{1}{h} \left| \int_x^{x+h} f(t) - f(x) \, dt \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} |f(t) - f(x)| \, dt \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

## 3.2 Inequalities

**Definition 3.17.** Given  $1 < p < \infty$ , define

$$\|f\|_p = \left( \int_a^b |f|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

### 3.2.1 Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality

**Theorem 3.18** (Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality). *If  $f$  and  $g$  are Riemann integrable, then  $\left| \int_a^b fg \, dx \right| \leq \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2$ .*

**Proof.** For any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , we claim that

$$ab \leq \frac{a^2}{2\epsilon} + \frac{\epsilon b^2}{2}.$$

To see this, note merely that

$$\frac{a^2}{\epsilon} + \epsilon b^2 - 2ab = \left( \frac{a}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} - \sqrt{\epsilon} b \right)^2 \geq 0.$$

This then gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_a^b fg \, dx \right| &\leq \int_a^b |fg| \, dx \leq \int_a^b \left( \frac{f^2}{2\epsilon} + \frac{\epsilon g^2}{2} \right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \|f\|_2^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \|g\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $\epsilon = \|f\|_2 / \|g\|_2$  gives the desired result.

□

We can use this result to control the size of  $|f(x) - f(y)|$ .

**Corollary 3.19.**

$$\left| \int_a^b f \, dx \right| \leq \sqrt{b-a} \|f\|_2.$$

**Proof.** Take  $g = 1$  and note that  $\|1\|_2 = \sqrt{b-a}$ . □

**Theorem 3.20.** *If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable and  $f' : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is integrable, then*

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \|f'\|_2 |x - y|^{1/2}.$$

*That is,  $f$  is Hölder continuous with Hölder constant  $1/2$ .*

**Proof.** By the previous result,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = \left| \int_x^y f' \, dt \right| \leq |x - y|^{1/2} \|f'\|_2.$$

□

### 3.2.2 Hölder's Inequality

**Theorem 3.21** (Hölder's Inequality). *If  $f$  and  $g$  are integrable and  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ , then*

$$\left| \int_a^b f g \, dx \right| \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$$

**Proof.** Homework. □

We can again use this result to control the size of  $|f(x) - f(y)|$ .

**Corollary 3.22.** *If  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ , then*

$$\left| \int_a^b f \, dx \right| \leq \|f\|_p |b - a|^{1/q}.$$

**Theorem 3.23.** *If  $f'$  is integrable and  $p, q$  are conjugate exponents, then*

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \|f'\|_p |x - y|^{1/q}.$$

**Proof.** We have

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = \left| \int_a^b f' \, dt \right| = \|f'\|_p |x - y|^{1/q}.$$

□

*Remark 3.24.* Taking a really large  $p$  (and thus a  $q$  close to one) gives a result similar to that given by the MVT. Then  $\|f'\| \approx f'(\xi)$ , where  $\xi$  is given by the MVT.

### 3.2.3 Jensen's Inequality

**Theorem 3.25** (Jensen's Inequality). *Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be integrable and  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be convex (and hence continuous). Then*

$$\phi\left(\int_0^1 f \, dx\right) \leq \int_0^1 \phi(f(x)) \, dx.$$

Intuition: if  $\sum \lambda_i = 1$ , we have

$$\phi\left(\sum x_i \lambda_i\right) \leq \sum \lambda_i \phi(x_i)$$

## 4 Curves

What does it mean to integrate a map  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ? We set

$$\int_a^b f(t) \, dt := \left( \int_a^b f_1(t) \, dt, \dots, \int_a^b f_n(t) \, dt \right) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

**Theorem 4.1.** *If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is integrable, then*

$$\left| \int_a^b f(t) \, dt \right| \leq \int_a^b |f(t)| \, dt.$$

**Proof.** Write  $y := \int_a^b f \, dt \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} |y|^2 &= \sum y_i \left( \int_a^b f_i \, dt \right) = \int_a^b \sum y_i f_i \, dt \\ &\leq \int_a^b |y| |f| \, dt = |y| \int_a^b |f| \, dt, \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality comes from Cauchy-Schwarz. Dividing by  $|y|$  gives the desired result.  $\square$

**Definition 4.2.** A curve in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a continuous function  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$

What is the length of a curve?

**Definition 4.3.** Given a partition  $P$  of  $[a, b]$ , set

$$\Lambda(P, \gamma) := \sum |\gamma(x_i) - \gamma(x_{i-1})|$$

We define the length of  $\gamma$  by

$$\Lambda(\gamma) := \sup_{P \in \Pi(a,b)} \Lambda(P, \gamma).$$

How can we compute  $\Lambda(\gamma)$ ?

$$\Lambda(P, \gamma) = \sum |\gamma(x_i) - \gamma(x_{i-1})| \approx \sum |\gamma'(x_i)| \Delta x_i \approx \int_a^b |\gamma'(x)| \, dx.$$

**Theorem 4.4.** *Suppose  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is  $C^1$ . Then  $\Lambda(\gamma) = \int_a^b |\gamma'(t)| \, dt$ .*

*Remark 4.5.* We will repeatedly use FTC to obtain  $\int_x^y \gamma'(t) dt = \gamma(y) - \gamma(x)$ .

**Proof.** We prove first that  $\Lambda(\gamma) \leq \int_a^b |\gamma'(t)| dt$ . Fix  $P$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(P, \gamma) &= \sum |\gamma(x_i) - \gamma(x_{i-1})| = \sum \left| \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \gamma'(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \sum \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} |\gamma'(t)| dt = \int_a^b |\gamma'(t)| dt. \end{aligned}$$

We take sup over  $P$ .

It remains to prove that  $\Lambda(\gamma) \geq \int_a^b |\gamma'(t)| dt$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $\delta > 0$  small enough so that  $|t - s| < \delta$  implies  $|\gamma'(t) - \gamma'(s)| < \epsilon$ . Then choose  $P$  such that  $\Delta x_i < \delta$  and  $L(P, |\gamma'|) > \int_a^b |\gamma'(t)| dt - \epsilon$ . We now have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(x_i) - \gamma(x_{i-1}) &= \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \gamma' dt = \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \gamma'(x_{i-1}) dt + \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} (\gamma'(t) - \gamma'(x_{i-1})) dt \\ &= \gamma'(x_{i-1})\Delta x_i + \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} (\gamma'(t) - \gamma'(x_{i-1})) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$|\gamma'(x_{i-1})|\Delta x_i \leq |\gamma(x_i) - \gamma(x_{i-1})| + \epsilon\Delta x_i.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} L(P, |\gamma'|) &\leq \sum |\gamma'(x_{i-1})|\Delta x_i \\ &\leq \Lambda(P, \gamma) + \epsilon(b - a) \leq \Lambda(\gamma) + \epsilon(b - a). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_a^b |\gamma'| dt \leq L(P, |\gamma'|) + \epsilon \leq \Lambda(\gamma) + \epsilon(b - a) + \epsilon.$$

□

*Example 4.6.* Curve with infinite length: the Koch snowflake.

## 5 The Riemann-Stieltjes Integral

Let  $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be monotone increasing. We are assigning a “size” or “weight” of  $\alpha(x_i) - \alpha(x_{i-1})$  to the interval  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ .

**Definition 5.1.** Given a partition  $P$ , we define the upper sum and upper integral as

$$U(P, f, \alpha) := \sum M_i^f \Delta\alpha_i, \quad \overline{\int_a^b} f \, d\alpha := \inf_{P \in \Pi(a, b)} U(P, f, \alpha).$$

where

$$\Delta\alpha_i := \alpha(x_i) - \alpha(x_{i-1}).$$

The lower sum and lower integral are defined equivalently. We say  $f$  is integrable with respect to  $\alpha$  and write  $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$  if the upper and lower integrals are equal, and in this case we define  $\int_a^b f \, d\alpha$  to be this common value.

*Example 5.2.* Consider the interval  $[0, 1]$  and the function  $\alpha(x) = \mathbb{1}(x > 1/2)$ . We have

$$U(P, f, \alpha) = \sum M_i^f \Delta\alpha_i = M_{i^*}^f \Delta\alpha_{i^*} = M_{i^*}^f$$

where  $1/2 \in (x_{i^*-1}, x_{i^*}]$ . Then  $\int_a^b f \, d\alpha = f(1/2)$  if  $f$  is continuous.

**Theorem 5.3.** *The following are equivalent:*

- $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ .
- For all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $P \in \Pi(a, b)$  such that  $U(P, f) - L(P, f) < \epsilon$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** *If  $f$  is continuous, then  $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$  for any  $\alpha$ .*

**Proof.** Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Find  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|x - y| < \delta$  implies  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$  and choose  $P$  such that  $\Delta\alpha_i < \delta$ . We have then that

$$\begin{aligned} U(P, f, \alpha) - L(P, f, \alpha) &= \sum (M_i - m_i) \Delta\alpha_i \\ &< \sum \epsilon \Delta\alpha_i < \epsilon [\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)]. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 5.5.** *If  $\alpha$  is continuous and  $f$  is monotone, then  $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose without loss of generality that  $f$  is increasing. Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $P$  such that  $\Delta\alpha_i < \epsilon$  for each  $i$ . We have

$$U(P, f, \alpha) - L(P, f, \alpha) = \sum [f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})] \Delta\alpha_i \leq \epsilon \sum [f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})] \leq \epsilon [f(b) - f(a)].$$

□

**Proposition 5.6.**

- $f \mapsto \int f \, d\alpha$  is linear. In particular,  $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$  is a vector space.
- $f_1 \leq f_2$  implies  $\int_a^b f_1 \, d\alpha \leq \int_a^b f_2 \, d\alpha$ .
- $\left| \int_a^b f \, d\alpha \right| \leq \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} |f(x)| [\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)]$ .
- $\alpha \mapsto \int f \, d\alpha$  is linear.
- $\left| \int_a^b f \, d\alpha \right| \leq \int_a^b |f| \, d\alpha$ .
- If  $f, g \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ , then  $fg \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ .

Suppose  $\alpha$  is smooth (and in particular  $\alpha'$  exists). We have

$$\sum f(y_i) \Delta\alpha_i \approx \sum f(y_i) \alpha'(y_i) \Delta x_i.$$

This suggests that if  $\alpha$  is differentiable, then

$$\int_a^b f \, d\alpha = \int_a^b f \alpha' \, dx.$$

This is in fact true by the following result:

**Theorem 5.7.** *If  $\alpha$  is differentiable and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$  if and only if  $f\alpha' \in \mathcal{R}$ , in which case we have*

$$\int_a^b f \, d\alpha = \int_a^b f \alpha' \, dx.$$

We prove the following stronger lemma:



**Lemma 5.8.** *If  $\alpha' \in \mathbb{R}$ , then for any bounded  $f$ , we have*

$$\overline{\int_a^b f \, d\alpha} = \overline{\int_a^b f \alpha' \, dx}$$

*and similarly for the lower integrals.*

**Proof.** Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $P_0$  be any partition such that

$$\sum (M_i^{\alpha'} - m_i^{\alpha'}) \Delta x_i = U(P_0, \alpha') - L(P_0, \alpha') < \epsilon$$

Now let  $y_i \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ . We have

$$\sum f(y_i) \Delta \alpha_i = \sum f(y_i) \alpha'(z_i) \Delta x_i,$$

where  $z_i \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum f(y_i) \Delta \alpha_i - \sum f(y_i) \alpha'(y_i) \Delta x_i \right| &\leq \sum |f(y_i)| \cdot |\alpha'(y_i) - \alpha'(z_i)| \cdot \Delta x_i \\ &\leq \max |f| \sum (M_i^{\alpha'} - m_i^{\alpha'}) \Delta x_i \\ &\leq \max |f| \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$U(P_0, f, \alpha) = \sup_{y_i} \sum f(y_i) \Delta \alpha_i, \quad U(P_0, f, \alpha') = \sup_{y_i} \sum f(y_i) \alpha'(y_i) \Delta x_i.$$

Thus,

$$|U(P_0, f, \alpha) - U(P_0, f, \alpha')| \leq \max |f| \epsilon,$$

where we used the fact that  $|f(t) - g(t)| < \epsilon$  for all  $t$  implies  $|\sup f(t) - \sup g(t)| < \epsilon$ . Next, since refinements does not increase upper sums, we have

$$\overline{\int_a^b f \, d\alpha} = \inf_{P \in \Pi(a,b)} U(P, f, \alpha) = \inf_{P \in \Pi(a,b)} U(P \vee P_0, f, \alpha),$$

and similarly,

$$\int_a^b f \alpha' \, dx = \inf_{P \in \Pi(a,b)} U(P, f, \alpha').$$

Thus, using the estimate above, we have

$$\left| \overline{\int_a^b f \, d\alpha} - \int_a^b f \alpha' \, dx \right| \leq \max |f| \epsilon.$$

□

*Example 5.9.* Consider  $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\alpha(x) := \begin{cases} x & x \leq 1/2 \\ x + 2 & x > 1/2 \end{cases}.$$

Using linearity of the Riemann-Stieltjes integral in  $\alpha$ , we have  $\int_0^a f \, d\alpha = 2f(1/2) + \int_0^1 f \, dx$ .

## 6 Sequences and Series of Functions

Let  $X$  be an arbitrary set and consider functions  $f_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

### Definition 6.1.

- We say  $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise if for any  $x \in X$  we have  $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- We say  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly if  $\sup_{x \in X} |f_n(x) - f(x)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Note that pointwise convergence does not imply uniform convergence:

*Example 6.2.* Let

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in [n, n+1) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

It is easy to see that  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  pointwise but not uniformly. Note also that  $\int f_n = 1$  for each  $n$ , but  $\int f = 0$ . The mass escapes to infinity.

*Example 6.3.* Let  $X = [0, 1]$ . Let  $f_n$  be piecewise affine that is 0 on  $[0, 1 - 1/n]$  and 1 at 1. We have  $f_n \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_{\{1\}}$  pointwise.

*Question 6.4.* How does uniform convergence interact with

- continuity (if  $X$  is a metric space),
- integration (if  $X = [a, b]$ ),
- differentiation (if  $X = [a, b]$ ).

**Theorem 6.5.** *If  $(X, d)$  is a metric space,  $f_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, and  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly, then  $f$  is continuous.*

**Proof.** Fix  $x \in X$ . For  $y \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(y)| &\leq |f_n(x) - f_n(y)| + |f_n(x) - f(x)| + |f_n(y) - f(y)| \\ &\leq |f_n(x) - f_n(y)| + 2 \sup_z |f(z) - f_n(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and pick  $n$  such that  $2 \sup_z |f(z) - f_n(z)| < \epsilon/2$ . Then choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d(x, y) < \delta$  implies

$$|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Now, if  $d(x, y) < \delta$ , then

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

□

**Theorem 6.6.** Suppose  $X = [a, b]$  and  $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is monotone. If  $f_n \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$  and  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly, then  $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$  and

$$\int_a^b f \, d\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f_n \, d\alpha.$$

**Proof.** We compare first  $U(P, f, \alpha)$  with  $U(P, f_n, \alpha)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} U(P, f, \alpha) - U(P, f_n, \alpha) &= \sum (M_i^f - M_i^{f_n}) \Delta \alpha_i \\ &\leq \sum \left| \sup_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x) - f_n(x) \right| \Delta \alpha_i \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x) - f_n(x)| \sum \Delta \alpha_i, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that  $M_i^f - M_i^{f_n} \leq \sup_x |f(x) - f_n(x)|$ . Taking an inf over  $P$  gives

$$\left| \overline{\int_a^b f \, d\alpha} - \overline{\int_a^b f_n \, d\alpha} \right| \leq \sup_x |f(x) - f_n(x)| [\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)].$$

Then, sending  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\overline{\int_a^b f \, d\alpha} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\int_a^b f_n \, d\alpha} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f_n \, d\alpha.$$

A similar proof shows that the lower integrals converge as well. □

**Theorem 6.7.** Suppose  $f_n : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable on  $[a, b]$  and

- for some  $x_0 \in [a, b]$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_0) = y_0$ ,
- $f'_n \rightarrow g$  uniformly for some  $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Then, there exists a function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly and  $f' = g$ .

**Lemma 6.8.** Suppose that  $f_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is uniformly Cauchy, that is, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N$  such that for  $m, n > N$ ,

$$\sup_{x \in X} |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \epsilon.$$

Then there exists some  $f$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly.

**Proof** (of Theorem 6.7). We have

$$f_n(x) - f_m(x) = f_n(x_0) - f_m(x_0) + (f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)) - (f_m(x) - f_m(x_0)).$$

Applying the MVT to  $x \mapsto f_n(x) - f_m(x)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(x) - f_m(x) &= f_n(x_0) - f_m(x_0) + (x - x_0)(f'_n(y) - f'_m(y)) \\ &\leq |f_n(x_0) - f_m(x_0)| + (b - a) \sup_{y \in [a, b]} |f'_n(y) - f'_m(y)|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f_n$  is uniformly Cauchy and, by Lemma, there exists some  $f$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly.

It remains to show that  $f' = g$ . Fix  $x \in [a, b]$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{h} [f(x+h) - f(x)] \\ &= \frac{1}{h} [f_n(x+h) - f_n(x) + [f(x+h) - f(x)] - [f_n(x+h) - f_n(x)]] \\ &= f'_n(x) + \frac{1}{h} \{ [f_n(x+h) - f_n(x)] - f'_n(x) + [f(x+h) - f(x)] - [f_n(x+h) - f_n(x)] \} \\ &= g(x) + [f'_n(x) - g(x)] \\ &\quad + \left[ \frac{1}{h} [f_n(x+h) - f_n(x)] - f'_n(x) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{h} \{ [f(x+h) - f(x)] - [f_n(x+h) - f_n(x)] \}. \end{aligned}$$

We show the last three terms tend to 0. The first of them tends to 0 by uniform convergence of  $f'_n$ . The second tends to 0 when  $h$  is small. For the last term, note

that it is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h} \{ [f_m(x+h) - f_m(x)] - [f_n(x_h) - f_n(x)] \} \\
&= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} [f'_m(y) - f'_n(y)] \\
&\leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in [a,b]} |f'_m(y) - f'_n(y)| \\
&= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in [a,b]} |f'_m(y) - g(y)| + |g(y) - f'_n(y)| \\
&= \sup_{y \in [a,b]} |g(y) - f'_n(y)| = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the MVT in the first equality. Note that the first and the third term tends to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , independent of  $h$ , while the second term tends to 0 as  $h \rightarrow 0$  for fixed  $n$ . Thus we need only choose large  $n$  and then small  $h$ .  $\square$

*Remark 6.9.* We have that uniform convergence preserves continuity and integrability, but not differentiability. For a counterexample, consider  $f(x) := |x|$  and its mollification.

## 7 Function Spaces

Fix a metric  $(X, d)$  (often require  $X$  to be compact).

**Definition 7.1.**

- $C(X) = \{\text{continuous and bounded function } f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}.$
- For  $f, g \in C(X)$ , define

$$d_\infty(f, g) := \sup_{x \in X} |f(x) - g(x)|.$$

*Remark 7.2.*

- $(C(X), d_\infty)$  is a complete metric space, since uniform limit of continuous functions is continuous.
- $d_\infty(f_n, f) \rightarrow 0$  if and only if  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly. That is, the metric  $d_\infty$  induces uniform convergence.
- $(C(X), d_\infty)$  is separable if  $X$  is compact.
- Compact subsets are equibounded and equicontinuous.‘

**Proposition 7.3.**  $C([0, 1])$  is separable.

**Proof.** Consider the set of piecewise affine functions such that for some  $0 = q_0 < q_1 < \dots < q_n = 1$ , where  $q_i \in \mathbb{Q}$  we have  $f(q_i) \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $f$  is affine on  $[q_{i-1}, q_i]$ . Prove that this is dense in the set of all piecewise affine functions, which is in turn dense in  $C([0, 1])$ .  $\square$