STAT24410 NOTES

ADEN CHEN

Contents

- Last update: Wednesday 20th November, 2024.
- See here for the most recent version of this document.

1. PROBABILITY

1.1. **The Cumulative Distribution Function.**

Proposition 1.1. *Properties of the CDF:*
- *Nondecreasing.*
- *Right continuous.*
- $\lim_{x \to -\infty} F(x) = 0$, $\lim_{x \to \infty} F(x) = 1$.

Definition 1.2. The **generalized inverse distribution function** is defined as
$$F^-(x) := \inf\{u : x \le F(u)\}.$$

Proposition 1.3. *Let F be the CDF of X. If F is continuous and strictly increasing, then $Y := F(X) \sim \text{Uniform}[0, 1]$.*

Proof. For any $y \in [0, 1]$,
$$\mathbb{P}(F(X) \le y) = F(F^{-1}(y)) = y.$$

$\square$

Proposition 1.4. *Let $U \sim \text{Uniform}[0, 1]$ and F be the CDF of X. Then $F^{-1}(U) \sim F$.*

Proof. For any $x \in [0, 1]$,
$$\mathbb{P}(F^{-1}(U) \le x) = \mathbb{P}(U \le F(x)) = F(x).$$

$\square$

Remark 1.5. This is useful for simulation.

1.2. **Transformations.** For $Y := h(X)$, if h is one-to-one and differentiable, then
$$f_Y(y) = f_X(h^{-1}(y)) \cdot \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}h^{-1}(y)}{\mathrm{d}y} \right|.$$

1.3. **Expectation.** For an random variable X. We define
$$X^+ = \max\{X, 0\}, \quad X^- = \max\{-X, 0\}.$$
Note that $X \equiv X^+ - X^-$.

Since X^+ is nonnegative, we may define
$$\mathbb{E}(X^+) := \int_0^\infty x \, \mathrm{d}F(x)$$
in the Riemann–Stieltjes sense, and similarly $\mathbb{E}(X^-)$.

Definition 1.6. X has expected value if at least one of $\mathbb{E}(X^+)$ and $\mathbb{E}(X^-)$ is finite, and when it does we define
$$\mathbb{E}(X) := \mathbb{E}(X^+) - \mathbb{E}(X^-).$$

Definition 1.7. We say Y **stochastically dominates** X, $Y \succeq X$, if for each t we have $\mathbb{P}(X > t) \leq \mathbb{P}(Y > t)$.

Proposition 1.8. *Properties of $\mathbb{E}$:*

- *Linearity.*
- *If*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x| f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x < \infty$$

  then

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

- *If X is stochastically dominated by Y then $\mathbb{E}(X) \leq \mathbb{E}(Y)$.*
- *If X and Y are independent, then $\mathbb{E}(XY) = \mathbb{E}(X)\,\mathbb{E}(Y)$.*
- *(Hille) $\mathbb{E}$ commutes with closed (in particular, continuous) linear operators.*

Definition 1.9. The **variance** of X is defined as

$$\mathrm{Var}(X) := \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}(X))^2]$$

Proposition 1.10. *Properties of Var:*

- $\mathrm{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}(X^2) - (\mathbb{E}(X))^2.$
- $\mathrm{Var}(cX) = c^2 \, \mathrm{Var}(X).$
- *If X and Y are independent, then $\mathrm{Var}(X + Y) = \mathrm{Var}(X) + \mathrm{Var}(Y)$.*

Proposition 1.11. *If $X \geq 0$ and there exists an at most countable subset $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ of isolated points such that F_X is continuously differentiable on $[0, \infty) \setminus S$, then*

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \sum_{x \in S} x \mathbb{P}(X = x) + \int_0^\infty x F_X'(x) \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

1.4. Probability Inequalities.

Theorem 1.12 (Markov's Inequality)**.** *If $X \geq 0$ and $c > 0$, then*

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq c) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}(X)}{c}.$$

(Equality is attained when $\mathbb{P}(X = 0 \text{ or } X = c) = 1$.)

Proof. Construct

$$Y := c \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{x \geq c\}}(X).$$

Then $Y \leq X$ and

$$\mathbb{E}(Y) = c \cdot \mathbb{P}(X \geq c) \leq \mathbb{E}(X).$$

$\square$

Theorem 1.13 (Chebychev's Inequality). *If $c > 0$, then for any μ we have*

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \mu| \geq c) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[(X - \mu)^2]}{c^2}.$$

Proof. Apply Markov's inequality to $(X - \mu)^2$. $\qquad\square$

Theorem 1.14 (Chernoff's Inequality). *If $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t > 0$, then*

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq c) \leq e^{-tc} \mathbb{E}(e^{tX}), \quad \mathbb{P}(X \leq c) \leq e^{tc} \mathbb{E}(e^{-tX}).$$

Proof. Apply Markov's inequality to e^{tX} and e^{-tX}. $\qquad\square$

Theorem 1.15 (Weak Law of Large Numbers). *Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ be iid with finite expectation μ and variance σ^2. Then as n goes to infinity, $\overline{X}_n \xrightarrow{\text{p}} \mu$. That is,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\overline{X}_n - \mu\right| > \epsilon\right] \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Note that $\mathbb{E}(\overline{X}_n) = \mu$ and $\text{Var}(\overline{X}_n) = \sigma^2/n$. Chebyshev's gives

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\overline{X}_n - \mu\right| > \epsilon\right) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{n \cdot \epsilon^2} \longrightarrow 0.$$

$\qquad\square$

Proposition 1.16 (Large Deviations). *Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ be iid with finite expectation μ and variance σ^2. Let $c > \mu$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}(\overline{X}_n > c) = -\sup_t [tc - \kappa(t)],$$

where $\kappa(t) = \log \mathbb{E}(e^{tX})$.

We do not yet have the tools to prove that this is the limit, but we can use Chernoff's inequality to obtain an upper bound:

Proof. From Chernoff's inequality, for any t we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\overline{X}_n \geq c) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sum X_i \geq c \cdot n\right) \leq e^{-tnc} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{t(\sum Xi)}\right] = e^{-tnc + n\kappa(t)},$$

where $\kappa(t) = \log \mathbb{E}(e^{tX})$. Thus we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}(\overline{X}_n \geq c) \leq -\sup_t [tc - \kappa(t)].$$

$\qquad\square$

Remark 1.17.
- $\mathbb{E}[e^{tX}]$ is the **moment generating function**.
- $\kappa(t)$ is the **cumulant generating function**.
- $\sup_t [tc - \kappa(t)]$ is the **Legendre transform**.

Definition 1.18. A sequence of random variables X_n **converges in distribution** to X, $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathscr{D}} X$, if their cdfs converge pointwise to the cdf of X. That is, if

$$F_{X_n}(x) \longrightarrow F_X(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Definition 1.19. The **moment generating function** of X is

$$M_X : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow [0, \infty]$$
$$t \longmapsto \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}].$$

Proposition 1.20. *Properties of the moment generating function:*

- $\mathbb{E}[X^n] = M_X^{(n)}(0)$ *when Fubini grants so.*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{tX}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tX)^n}{n!}\right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n \, \mathbb{E}(X^n)}{n!}.$$

- $M_{cX}(t) = M_X(ct)$.
- *If X and Y are independent, then*

$$M_{X+Y}(t) = M_X(t) + M_Y(t).$$

- *If $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ are iid, then*

$$M_{\sum X_i} = \prod M_{X_i}.$$

- $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathscr{D}} X$ *if and only if $M_{X_n} \to M_X$ in a neighborhood of 0.*

Theorem 1.21 (Central Limit Theorem)**.** *If $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ are iid, $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = \mu$, and $\text{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2$, then*

$$\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{\mathscr{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2).$$

The following proof works only when we have enough regularity; it is meant to provide a certain intuition (the general proof needs complex analysis):

Proof. We assume $\mu = 0$ and consider the mgf.

$$M_{\sum X_i/\sqrt{n}}(t) = M_{\sum X_i}\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = \left[M_{X_i}\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right]^n.$$

We obtain an approximation though Taylor:

$$M_X\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \approx M_X(0) + \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}M_X'(0) + \frac{t^2}{n}M_X''(0)$$

Noting that $M_X'(0) = \mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ and $M_X''(0) = \mathbb{E}[X^2] = \sigma^2$, we have

$$M_{\sum X_i/\sqrt{n}}(t) \approx \left[1 + \frac{t^2\sigma^2}{n}\right]^n \longrightarrow e^{t^2\sigma^2}.$$

The last term is precisely the mgf of $N(0, \sigma^2)$. $\square$

2. Joint Distribution

2.1. **Random Vectors and Joint Distributions.**

Proposition 2.1.

- $$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{x_n} f(x) \, dx.$$

- *If F is continuous and differentiable, then X has density*
$$f(X) = \frac{\partial^n F(x)}{\partial x_1 \ldots \partial x_n}.$$

- *If $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ are independent, then*
$$F_X(x) = F_{X_1}(x_1) \ldots F_{X_n}(x_n).$$

- *If F is differentiable, then*
$$f_X(x) = f_{X_1}(x_1) \ldots f_{X_n}(x_n),$$
and conversely!

- *If $X = (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)$ has density f_X, then X_I has density*
$$f_I(x_I) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-|I|}} f\left(x_I, x_{S_n \setminus I}\right) \, dx_{S_n \setminus I},$$
where $S_n := \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ are all the indices. Think "integrating out" the other variables.

2.2. **Transformations.**

Definition 2.2. The **Jacobian** of $g : G \to H \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, where G and H are open, is given by
$$Jg(y) := \det\left[\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial y_j}\right].$$

Proposition 2.3. *If $X : \Omega \to H \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $h : H \to G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, where H and G are open, are such that h is one-to-one and differentiable and $h^{-1} : G \to H$ is differentiable. Then $Y := h(X)$ has density*
$$f_Y(y) = \begin{cases} f_X(h^{-1}(y)) \cdot |Jh^{-1}(y)|, & y \in G \\ 0, & y \notin G. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.4. The Gamma function is given by
$$\Gamma(\lambda) := \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{\lambda-1} \, dx.$$

Proposition 2.5. *Properties:*
- $\Gamma(1) = 1$.

- $\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$.
- $\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x)$.
- $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$ *for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

2.3. Conditional distribution. The continuous case:

Definition 2.6. We define the **conditional density** as

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y) := \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_Y(y)},$$

2.4. Covariance and Correlation.

Definition 2.7. The **covariance** of random variables X and Y is

$$\mathrm{Cov}(X,Y) = \mathbb{E}\left((X - \mu_X) \cdot (Y - \mu_Y)\right).$$

Their **correlation** is given by

$$\mathrm{Corr}(X,Y) = \frac{\mathrm{Cov}(X,Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}.$$

Proposition 2.8. *Properties:*
- $\mathrm{Var}(a + bX) = b^2 \mathrm{Var}(X)$.
- $\mathrm{Cov}(a + bX, c + dY) = bd\, \mathrm{Cov}(X,Y)$.
- $\mathrm{Var}(X + Y) = \mathrm{Var}(X) + \mathrm{Var}(Y) + 2\,\mathrm{Cov}(X,Y)$.
- *If X and Y are independent, then $\mathrm{Cov}(X,Y) = 0$. But the converse is not true. For example, if $Z \sim N(0,1)$, and S and T are random signs (1 or −1), then $\mathrm{Cov}(SZ, TZ) = 0$.*

Theorem 2.9.
- *If (X,Y) has density f, then $X|Y$ has density*

$$\frac{f(x,y)}{f_Y(y)}.$$

- *If (X,Y) has a pmf, then $X|Y$ is discrete with pmf*

$$\frac{p(x,y)}{p_Y(y)}.$$

Note that $E(X|Y = y)$ is a number, and $\mathbb{E}(X|Y)$ is a random variable.

Proposition 2.10.
- (i) *If X and Y are independent, then we have $\mathbb{E}(X|Y) = \mathbb{E}(X)$ with probability 1.*
- (ii) *Law of total expectation / Tower law: $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|Y]] = \mathbb{E}[X]$.*
- (iii) *With probability 1 we have the following:*

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X)h(Y)|Y] = h(Y)\,\mathbb{E}(g(X)|Y), \quad \mathbb{E}[X|T(Y)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|T(Y)]|Y].$$

(iv) Law of total variations: we have

$$\text{Var}(Y) = \mathbb{E}[\text{Var}(Y|X)] + \text{Var}[\mathbb{E}(Y|X)],$$

where

$$\text{Var}(Y|X) := \mathbb{E}(Y^2|X) - (\mathbb{E}(Y|X))^2.$$

2.5. **Rejection Sampling.** If for some constant c we have

$$h(x) \geq c \cdot f(x), \quad \forall x,$$

then we can obtain a sample from distribution with density f using samples from distribution with density h using **rejection sampling**:

(1) Sample Y from g and U from Uniform$(0, 1)$, with Y and U independent.
(2) Set $X := Y$ if

$$U \leq \frac{c \cdot f(Y)}{h(Y)}$$

and return to (1) otherwise.

Remark 2.11.

- Think sampling on the area under f (as a subset of the area under g).
- Rejection sampling can also be used if

$$f(x) = \frac{g(x)}{N},$$

where N is an unknown constant (e.g., an integral with numerical approximations but no closed form solutions). We need only find h such that

$$h(x) \geq cN \cdot g(x).$$

Think

$$h(x) \gg g(x).$$

3. Point Estimates

Example 3.1. Modeling lifetime $T : \Omega \to [0, \infty)$.

Definition 3.2.

- The **survival** function is defined as
$$S : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, 1]$$
$$x \longmapsto \mathbb{P}(T > x) = 1 - F_Y(x).$$

- The **failure rate** function is defined as
$$h(x) := \frac{f(x)}{S(x)}.$$

Remark 3.3.
$$\mathbb{P}(T \le x + \Delta x | T > x) = \frac{\mathbb{P}[x < T \le x + \Delta x]}{\mathbb{P}[T > x]} = \frac{F(x + \Delta x) - F(x)}{S(x)} \approx \Delta x \cdot \frac{f(x)}{S(x)} = \Delta x \cdot h(x).$$

Think of an increasing failure rate as "aging."

Given h we can recover f:
$$h(x) = \frac{f(x)}{1 - F(x)} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \log(1 - F(x)).$$

So,
$$\log(1 - F(x)) = -\int_0^x h(t) \mathrm{d}t + C.$$

Since $F(0) = 0$ we know $C = 0$. We have
$$s(x) = \exp\left(-\int_0^x h\right)$$

and
$$f(x) = h(x) \exp\left(-\int_0^x h\right).$$

Example 3.4.

- If $h(x) = \lambda$ is a constant function, we have $T \sim$ Exponential(λ):
$$f(x) = \lambda \exp\left(-\int_0^x \lambda \mathrm{d}t\right) = \lambda \exp(-\lambda x), \quad \forall x > 0.$$

- If $h(x) = \alpha + \beta x$ with $\alpha, \beta > 0$, then T follows the Gompertz distribution.
- If $h(x) = \lambda \beta x^{\beta-1}$, then T follows the Weibull distribution.

3.1. **Estimating parameters.** We next assume $T_1, T_2, \ldots \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Exponential}(\lambda)$ and estimate λ.

Remark 3.5. Metrics to evaluate an estimator:
- Bias: $\mathbb{E}(\hat{\lambda}) - \lambda$.
- Variance: $\text{Var}[\hat{\lambda}]$.
- Mean Squared Error: $\text{MSE}[\hat{\lambda}] = \mathbb{E}[(\hat{\lambda} - \lambda)^2] = \text{Bias}^2 + \text{Variance}$.

Definition 3.6. An estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$ of θ is said to be **consistent** if

$$\hat{\theta}_n \overset{\text{p}}{\longrightarrow} \theta.$$

That is, if for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta| > \epsilon) = 0.$$

3.1.1. *Asymptotic Estimation.*

Definition 3.7 (Method of Moments). Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} F$ with n parameters. To estimate the parameters, we equate n (usually the first n) theoretical moments to the n corresponding sample moments:

$$\mathbb{E}[X^k] = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i^k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Example 3.8. Consider $T_n \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Exponential}(\lambda)$.
- Since $\mathbb{E}(\overline{T}_n) = 1/\lambda$, we may use $\hat{\lambda} := 1/\overline{T}_n$ as an estimator for λ.
- Since

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum T_i^2/n\right] = \frac{2}{\lambda^2},$$

we may also use

$$\hat{\lambda}_2 = \sqrt{\frac{2n}{\sum T_i^2}}$$

as an estimator.

Example 3.9.

- Consider $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Uniform}[0, \theta]$. We have $\mathbb{E}[X] = \theta/2$.
$$\hat{\theta} := 2\hat{X}.$$

- Consider $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$. We have $\mathbb{E}[X] = \alpha/\beta$ and $\mathbb{E}[X^2] = \alpha/\beta^2 + (\alpha/\beta)^2$. Thus we solve

$$\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{\hat{\beta}} = \overline{X}, \quad \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{\hat{\beta}^2} + \frac{\hat{\alpha}^2}{\hat{\beta}^2} = \frac{\sum X_i^2}{n}.$$

The following theorems help us characterize these estimators.

Theorem 3.10 (Continuous Mapping Theorem)**.**

    (i) if $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{p}} X$ and g is continuous, then $g(X_n) \xrightarrow{\text{p}} g(X)$.

    (ii) If $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathscr{D}} X$ and g is continuous, then $g(X_n) \xrightarrow{\mathscr{D}} g(X)$.

Lemma 3.11 (Slutsky)**.** *If $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathscr{D}} X$ and $Y_n \xrightarrow{\text{p}} c$, where c is a constant, then*

$$X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{\mathscr{D}} X + c, \quad X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{\mathscr{D}} cX.$$

Theorem 3.12 (Delta Method)**.** *If X_n is such that*

$$\sqrt{n}(X_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{\mathscr{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

and g is continuously differentiable, then

$$\sqrt{n}(g(X_n) - g(\theta)) \xrightarrow{\mathscr{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 [g'(\theta)]^2).$$

Remark 3.13. Intuition: We can write

$$\sqrt{n}(g(X_n) - g(\theta)) = g'(\tilde{\theta}_n)\sqrt{n}(X_n - \theta), \quad \tilde{\theta}_n \in (x_n, \theta).$$

We know that $g'(\tilde{\theta}_n) \xrightarrow{\text{p}} g'(\theta)$ and $\sqrt{n}(X_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{\mathscr{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$, so Slutsky's gives the desired result.

We can now characterize estimators obtained from the method of moments:

Proposition 3.14.

    • *Non-uniqueness: we can obtain multiple estimators.*

    • *Consistency: Law of Large Numbers gives*

$$\overline{X} \xrightarrow{\text{p}} \mathbb{E}[X],$$

    and the continuous mapping theorem then gives consistency (under certain conditions).

    • *Asymptotic normality: the Delta Method gives normality (under certain conditions).*

3.1.2. *Estimators for Smaller n.* We can obtain the exact distribution of $\overline{T}_n$. Since

$$T_i \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Exponential}(\lambda) = \text{Gamma}(1, \lambda),$$

we know by the properties of gamma distributions that

$$\sum T_i \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \lambda).$$

Again by properties of gamma distributions, we know that the estimator $\hat{\lambda}_1 := 1/\overline{T}_n$ is biased for small n:

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\lambda}_1] = n \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{\sum T_i}\right] = \frac{n\lambda}{n-1}.$$

The estimator

$$\hat{\lambda}_3 := \frac{n-1}{n}\hat{\lambda}_1,$$

then, is unbiased and has smaller variance.

Remark 3.15. This is a rare case. Oftentimes, we have instead a tread off between bias and variance.

3.2. **Maximum Likelihood Estimator.** The above may be summed up as the following steps:

- Estimators
- Evaluations
- Distribution for estimators (which allows for the construction of probabilistic statements)

Maximum Likelihood estimator accomplishes all the above in a streamlined fashion.

Definition 3.16. Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} F_\theta$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is a parameter for the distribution. Let $f(x, \theta)$[1] be the density or pmf of F_θ. The **Likelihood** of θ given observations $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ is

$$L(\theta) = L_n(\theta) := \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(X_i, \theta).$$

The **maximum likelihood estimator** is the value at which L obtains its maximum:

$$\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}_n := \arg\max_{\theta} L(\theta).$$

Remark 3.17. It is often easier to work with the **log likelihood**:

$$\ell(\theta) = \ell_n(\theta) := \log L(\theta).$$

Remark 3.18.

- Might be non-unique. Consider $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim}$ Uniform$(\theta, \theta+1)$.
- Might not exist. Consider $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ iid with density

$$f(x, \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-x^2/2} + \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}\right].$$

Think $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ with probability $1/2$ and $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ with probability $1/2$. The likelihood function is unbounded:

$$\max_{\mu,\sigma^2} L(\mu, \sigma^2) \geq \max_{\sigma} L(X_1, \sigma^2) \geq \frac{1}{2^n}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}\right]\prod_{k=1}^{n} e^{-X_1^2/2}.$$

---

[1]Some also write $f_\theta(x)$ or $f(x|\theta)$.

3.3. **Likelihood Theory.**

Definition 3.19. The **score function** is defined as

$$\dot{\ell}_n(\theta) := \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ell_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}(x_i, \theta)}{f(x_i, \theta)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f'(x_i, \theta)}{f(x_i, \theta)}.$$

Remark 3.20. We find the MLE by setting the score function to 0.

Proposition 3.21. *If $f(x, \theta)$ has common support, that is, if $\{x : f(x, \theta) > 0\}$ does not depend on θ, then*

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}\left[\frac{L_n(\theta)}{L_n(\theta_0)}\right] = 1.$$

Equivalently,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\ell_n(\theta) - \ell_n(\theta_0)\right)\right] = 1.$$

Proposition 3.22. *If the density functions are smooth, then*
  (a) $\mathbb{E}_\theta\left[\dot{\ell}_n(\theta)\right] = 0$.
  (b) $-\mathbb{E}_\theta\left[\ddot{\ell}_n(\theta)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\dot{\ell}_n(\theta)^2\right]$.

Definition 3.23 (**Fisher Information**).

$$I(\theta) := \mathbb{E}_\theta[\dot{\ell}(\theta)^2] = \mathbb{E}_\theta[-\ddot{\ell}(\theta)].$$

That is,

$$I(\theta) := \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(X, \theta)\right)^2\right] = -\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(X, \theta)\right],$$

where the expectation is taken with respect to $X \sim f(x, \theta)$.

Remark 3.24. Intuitively, the more variation there is in the density functions $f(x, \theta)$ as we vary θ, the more information we can get from data. Fisher information measures the variation in density functions by looking at its derivative.

Theorem 3.25 (Cramér–Rao Inequality). *Let $T(X_n)$ be any unbiased estimator for $g(\theta)$. Then,*

$$\mathrm{Var}[T(X_n)] \geq \frac{[g'(\theta)]^2}{nI(\theta)}.$$

Remark 3.26. The Cramér–Rao lower bound is attained if and only if

$$\mathrm{Corr}(\hat{\theta}(X), \dot{\ell}(X)) = 1.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, this is equivalent to $\hat{\theta}(X)$ and $\dot{\ell}(X)$ being linearly related random variables. That is,

$$\dot{\ell}(\theta) = \alpha(\theta)\hat{\theta}(X) + \beta(\theta)$$

for functions α and β that do not depend on X.

Proposition 3.27. *Under the regularity conditions in the Cramér–Rao inequality, there exists an unbiased estimator $\hat{\theta}(X)$ of θ whose variance attains the Cramér–Rao lower bound if and only if the score can be expressed in the form*

$$\dot{\ell}(\theta) = I(\theta) \left\{ \hat{\theta}(X) - \theta \right\},$$

or, equivalently, if and only if the function

$$\frac{\dot{\ell}(\theta)}{I(\theta)} + \theta$$

does not depend on θ.

Theorem 3.28 (Fisher). *Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} f(x|\theta_0)$, with f satisfying certain smoothness conditions. As $n \to \infty$, we have*

$$\sqrt{nI(\theta_0)} \cdot (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \overset{\mathscr{D}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

and

$$\sqrt{nI(\hat{\theta})} \cdot (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \overset{\mathscr{D}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

Remark 3.29. One may also think

$$\hat{\theta} \approx \mathcal{N}\left(\theta_0, \frac{1}{nI(\theta_0)}\right).$$

Proposition 3.30. *Assumptions:*
- *Common support.*
- *Smoothness of densities.*
- *Distinct densities: if $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$ then $f(x, \theta_1) \neq f(x, \theta_2)$.*

Properties of maximal likelihood estimators under the above assumptions:
- *consistency,*
- *asymptotic normality,*
- *has known and optimal asymptotic variance (**efficiency**). That is, it attains the Cramér–Rao bound.*
- *Invariance in the following sense:*

Theorem 3.31. *If $\hat{\theta}_n$ is an MLE of θ, then $\hat{\tau}_n := g(\hat{\theta}_n)$ is an MLE of $g(\theta)$.*

3.4. **Jensen Inequality.**

Theorem 3.32. *If $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex and X is a random variable such that $\mathbb{E}|X| < \infty$, then*

$$f(\mathbb{E}\,X) \leq \mathbb{E}\,f(X).$$

Proof. From the convexity of f we know $f(x) \geq f(y) + f'(y)(x - y)$ for any x and y. Setting $y = \mu =: \mathbb{E} X$ gives

$$f(X) \geq f(\mu) + f'(\mu)(X - \mu), \quad \forall x, y.$$

Taking expectation on both sides gives the desired result. □

3.4.1. *Applications of Jensen Inequality.*
- If f is concave, then $f(\mathbb{E} X) \geq \mathbb{E} f(X)$.
- The convex function $x \mapsto x^2$ and the concave function $x \mapsto \log x$ give two special cases:

$$(\mathbb{E} X)^2 \leq \mathbb{E} X^2, \quad \log \mathbb{E} X \geq \mathbb{E} \log X.$$

- If $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n > 0$ and $p_i \geq 0$ such that $\sum p_i = 1$, then

$$\prod x_i^{p_i} \leq \sum p_i x_i.$$

Remark 3.33. When $p_i = 1/n$, this result reduces to the geometric mean-arithmetic mean inequality.

Proof. Let X be a discrete variable such that $\mathbb{P}(X = x_i) = p_i$. Then

$$\sum p_i \log x_i = \mathbb{E} \log X \leq \log \mathbb{E} X \leq \sum p_i x_i.$$

Taking exp on both sides gives the desired result. □

- **Hölder's inequality**: If $X, Y \geq 0$ are random variables and $p, q > 0$ are such that $1/p + 1/q = 1$, then

$$\mathbb{E}(XY) \leq (\mathbb{E} X^p)^{1/p} \cdot (\mathbb{E} Y^q)^{1/q}.$$

Proof. If $\mathbb{E} X^p = \mathbb{E} X^q = 1$, then

$$XY = (X^p)^{1/p}(Y^q)^{1/q} \leq \frac{1}{p} X^p + \frac{1}{q} X^q,$$

where the last inequality follows from the previous result. Taking expectation on both sides then gives $\mathbb{E}[XY] \leq \mathbb{E} X^p \mathbb{E} Y^q$.

For the general case, normalize by setting

$$\tilde{X} := \frac{X}{(\mathbb{E} X)^{1/p}}, \quad \tilde{Y} := \frac{Y}{(\mathbb{E} Y)^{1/q}}.$$

□

- **Cauchy Inequality**: Taking $p = q = 2$ in Hölder gives

$$\mathbb{E}|XY| \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E} X^2} \sqrt{\mathbb{E} Y^2}.$$

- The consistency of likelihood.

3.5. **Multivariate Normal.**

Definition 3.34. The random vector $X = (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k)$ is said to follow a **multivariate normal distribution** if for each $a \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $a^\intercal x$ is normal. We write

- $\mu = \mathbb{E} X \in \mathbb{R}^k$.
- $\Sigma = \mathrm{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}\left[(X - \mu)(X - \mu)^\intercal\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{2k}$.

Proposition 3.35.

- *If Σ is positive definite, then X has density*

$$f(X) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{k/2} \det(\Sigma)} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(X - \mu)^\intercal \Sigma^{-1}(X - \mu)\right).$$

- *If (X_1, X_2) is bivariate normal and $\mathrm{Cov}(X_1, X_2) = 0$, then X_1 and X_2 are independent.*
- *If $U \sim N_k(\mu, \Sigma)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^p$, and B is a $p \times k$ matrix, then*

$$V = a + BU \sim N_p(a + B\mu, B\Sigma B^\intercal).$$

4. Confidence Intervals

Definition 4.1. Suppose $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} F_\theta$. Confidence intervals (CIs) are probabilistic statements on data of the form

$$\mathbb{P}_\theta \left[A(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \le \theta \le B(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \right] = \alpha.$$

The interval

$$[A(X_1, \ldots, X_n), B(X_1, \ldots, X_n)]$$

is called a $\alpha \cdot 100\%$ **confidence interval**.

Remark 4.2. We are typically interested in $\alpha = 0.95$ or $\alpha = 0.99$.

Remark 4.3.
- The probabilistic statement concerns the interval ends, not θ, which is fixed. The interval ends are random variables.
- Interpretation (frequentest): the long run frequency of the CI covering θ is α.

Definition 4.4. The α quantile of $X \sim F$, q_α, is such that

$$\mathbb{P}[X \le q_\alpha] = \alpha.$$

Example 4.5. Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim}$ Exponential$(\lambda) =$ Gamma$(1, \lambda)$. Then $\sum X_i \sim$ Gamma(n, λ) and $\lambda \sum X_i \sim$ Gamma$(n, 1)$. Note that the distribution of $\lambda \sum X_i$ does not depend on λ. We then have

$$\left[\frac{q_{0.025}}{\sum X_i}, \frac{q_{0.975}}{\sum X_i} \right],$$

where q refers to the quantile of Gamma$(n, 1)$, is a 95% CI.

Definition 4.6. Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} F_\theta$. The function

$$g(X_1, \ldots, X_n, \theta)$$

is called a **pivot** if its distribution does not depend on θ.

Remark 4.7. One may use the distribution of the pivot $g(X_1, \ldots, X_n, \theta) \sim F^*$ to build CIs. Let L and U be the $(1 - \alpha)/2$ and $1 - (1 - \alpha)/2$ quantiles for F^*. Then

$$\alpha = \mathbb{P}\left[L \le g(X_1, \ldots, X_n, \theta) \le U \right] = \mathbb{P}\left[\theta \in S(X_1, \ldots, X_n, L, U) \right]$$

for some set S. If S is an interval, it is a CI.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Let*

$$\overline{X_n} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \right), \quad S^2 := \frac{1}{n-1} \sum (X_i - \overline{X})^2.$$

Then

$$\sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{S} \sim t_{n-1}, \quad (n-1)\frac{S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{n-1}.$$

Remark 4.9. Thus $\sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{\overline{X}-\mu}{S}$ is a pivot estimator for μ and $(n-1)\frac{S^2}{\sigma^2}$ is a pivot estimator for σ.

Remark 4.10. We may use the central limit theorem and the above results to obtain approximate CIs for large samples. Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} F$ with $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mu$ and $\text{Var}[X] = \sigma^2$. The central limit theorem gives

$$\sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{\sigma} \approx \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

Thus

$$\left[\overline{X} - q_{\alpha/2}\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}, \overline{X} + q_{\alpha/2}\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \right],$$

where q is the quantiles on $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ contains μ with probability α. We can approximate σ using S to obtain the following CI:

$$\left[\overline{X} - q_{\alpha-2}\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}, \overline{X} + q_{\alpha/2}\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \right].$$

Note that we used two approximations: central limit theorem and using S to approximate σ.

Remark 4.11. For a MLE $\hat{\theta}$, we can use the following two results to construct approximate CIs:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \approx \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1}{I(\theta)}\right), \sqrt{nI(\theta)}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \approx \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

Remark 4.12. The above cases fail, however, if either the distribution of the pivot or the variance of the estimators is unknown.

5. The Bootstrap

Definition 5.1. Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} F$. The **empirical distribution function (edf)**, $\hat{F}_n$, is the CDF that puts probability $1/n$ at each X_i.

$$\hat{F}_n(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum \mathbb{1}_{\{X_i \le x\}}.$$

Remark 5.2. Note that $\mathbb{1}_{\{X_i \le x\}} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(F(x))$. This gives the following properties:

Proposition 5.3.
- $\hat{F}(x)$ *is an unbiased estimator for* $F(x)$*:*
$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{F}(x)] = F(x).$$

- $\hat{F}(x)$ *has variance:*
$$\text{Var}(\hat{F}(x)) = \frac{F(x)(1 - F(x))}{n}.$$

- *By the law of large numbers,*
$$\hat{F}(x) \overset{\text{p}}{\longrightarrow} F(x).$$

Moreover, $\hat{F}_n(x) \to F(x)$ *uniformly. That is:*

Theorem 5.4 (Glivenko-Cantelli)**.** *If* $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} F$*, then as* $n \to \infty$ *we have*
$$\sup_x \left| \hat{F}_n(x) - F(x) \right| \longrightarrow 0.$$

Remark 5.5. For variable $\theta := T(F)$, we can thus construct estimator $\hat{T} := T(\hat{F})$.

Example 5.6. For $T = \int x \, dF(x)$, θ is the mean. For $T = \int (x - \mu)^2 \, dF(x)$, θ is the variance. For $T = F^{-1}(1/2)$, θ is the median.

Remark 5.7. Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} F$ and $T_n := g(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$. We want to find $\text{Var}(T_n)$. If it is possible to sample from F, then we may repeated the following procedure
- Take repeated samples of size n.
- Calculate T_n for each sample.

to obtain k samples of T_n, $T_{n,1}, \ldots, T_{n,k}$. We may use
$$\frac{1}{k} \sum \left(T_{n,j} - \overline{T}_n \right)^2$$
as an estimator for the variance of T_n, $\text{Var}_F(T_n)$.

Remark 5.8. If we cannot directly sample from F, we may use $\hat{F}$ as an approximation. That is, given a sample of size n, we sample repeatedly with replacement k samples also of size n from the given sample, and calculate the statistic of interest for each sample to estimate the distribution of T_n. This procedure is called **bootstrapping**, and each sample is called a **bootstrap sample**.

6. Hypothesis Testing

We want to test whether a set of given data is generated by a certain data generating model.

The idea: we use a certain distance between the ecdf and the theoretical cdf in the density space as a test statistic.

Example 6.1. Given $X_i \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} F$, we want to test if F is the cdf of a normal distribution. Test statistic:

- **Kolmogorov–Smirnov**: $S := \sup_x \left| F(x) - \hat{F}(x) \right|$.
- Quantiles: e.g., compare $Q_3 - Q_1$ with $X_{(\lfloor 3N/4 \rfloor)} - X_{(\lfloor N/4 \rfloor)}$.
- **Shapiro-wilk**:

$$W := \frac{\left(\sum a_i x_{(i)} \right)^2}{\sum (x - \bar{x})^2}.$$

6.1. **Hypothesis Testing for Parametric Models.** Let $X_i \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} F_\theta$ with $\theta \in \Omega$. The **null hypothesis**:

$$H_0 : \theta \in \Omega_0 \subset \Omega.$$

The **alternative hypothesis**:

$$H_A : \theta \in \Omega_1.$$

We often have $\Omega_1 = \Omega \setminus \Omega_0$.

Remark 6.2. Note a certain asymmetry: we usually know a lot more about H_0 (the "status quo") than H_1.

Definition 6.3. Let S be the set of all possible values for $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$. The values for which we do not reject H_0, S_0, is called the **acceptance region**. The values for which we reject H_0, S_1, is called the **rejection region**. Note that we require $S = S_0 \cup S_1$.

Definition 6.4. $T = T(X)$ is called a **test statistic** if

$$S_1 = \{x : T(x) \in R_1\}$$

for some $R_1 \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 6.5. A **type I error**, or a false positive, is the rejection of the null hypothesis when it is actually true. A **type II error**, or a false negative, is the failure to reject a null hypothesis that is actually false.

Definition 6.6. The function

$$\pi : \Omega \longrightarrow [0, 1], \quad \pi(\theta) := \mathbb{P}_\theta(x \in S_1)$$

is called the **power function**.

Remark 6.7. Note we can represent type I errors as $\pi(\theta)$ with $\theta \in \Omega_0$; and type II errors as $1 - \pi(\theta)$ with $\theta \in \Omega_1$. Ideally, we want π to be small on Ω_0 and large on Ω_1. We often find S_1 such that π is low on Ω_0 and hope for the best for Ω_1.

Definition 6.8. The **size** of the test is $\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} \pi(\theta)$.

Definition 6.9. A test is a **level α test** if it has size $\leq \alpha$.

Remark 6.10. For convenience of calculating size, we often want either simple H_0 such that $\theta = \theta_0$, or the power function to be constant on Ω_0.

Example 6.11. Let $X_i \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} F$ such that $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mu$ with known variance $\mathrm{Var}[X_i] = \sigma^2$. Let

$$H_0 : \mu = \mu_0, \quad H_A : \mu > \mu_0.$$

Under H_0, the CLT gives

$$T(X) := \sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{\sigma} \approx \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

Then, we may set the rejection region by picking c such that

$$\mathbb{P}_\mu \left(\{ T(X) \geq c \} \right) = \alpha.$$

Example 6.12. Same set up as above, with

$$H_0 : \mu = \mu_0, \quad H_A : \mu \neq \mu_0.$$

We may set

$$S_1 := \{ X : |T(X)| > c_2 \}$$

to be such that $\mathbb{P}_\mu(X \in S_1) \approx \alpha$.

Remark 6.13. If σ is unknown, we may use the fact that under H_0,

$$\sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{S} \sim t_{n-1}.$$

6.2. p-value.

Definition 6.14. The p-**value** is the smallest level α for which we reject H_0 with the observed data.

Proposition 6.15. *If under H_0, $T \sim F$, then $p = \mathbb{P}(T \geq T_{obs})$. Moreover, $F(p) \sim \mathrm{Uniform}[0, 1]$.*

7. LIKELIHOOD RATIO TEST

Let H_0 and H_1 be simple hypotheses (that is, are of the form $\theta = \theta_i$). We may define the test statistic using the Likelihood ratio

$$LR(X) := \frac{L(\theta_0|X)}{L(\theta|X)} = \frac{\prod f(X_i|\theta_0)}{\prod f(X_i|\theta_1)}$$

and the rejection region as

$$S_1 := \{X : LR(X) \le c\}.$$

We know that this test is the most powerful test (with fixed level) with the following result:

Theorem 7.1 (Neyman-Pearson Lemma). *With LR and S_1 as above, if the type I and type II errors are α and β, then any other test with α type I error has a type II error larger than β.*

More generally, we have the following:

Definition 7.2. For $H_0 : \theta \in \Omega_0$ and $H_A : \theta \in \Omega_1$, we can define the **likelihood ratio** as follows:

$$\Lambda(X) := \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega_0} L(\theta|X)}{\sup_{\theta \in \Omega} L(\theta|X)}.$$

Theorem 7.3. *If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ is open and Ω_0 is obtained by fixing k coordinates of θ and if the assumptions of the MLE hold, then under H_0 we have*

$$-2 \log \Lambda(X) \xrightarrow{\mathscr{D}} \chi_k^2.$$

Remark 7.4. More generally,

$$-2 \log \Lambda(X) \xrightarrow{\mathscr{D}} \chi_{\dim H_A - \dim H_0}^2.$$

The below proof is meant to provide a certain intuition. It deals only with the case $p = k = 1$.

Proof. Let $p = k = 1$ and $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$. Let $\hat{\theta}$ be the MLE. We have

$$\Lambda(X) = \frac{L(\theta_0|X)}{L(\hat{\theta}|X)}$$

and thus

$$-2 \log \Lambda(X) = 2(\ell(\hat{\theta}) - \ell(\theta_0)).$$

Taylor gives

$$\ell(\theta_0) \approx \ell(\hat{\theta}) + \dot{\ell}(\hat{\theta})(\theta_0 - \hat{\theta}) + \frac{1}{2}\ddot{\ell}(\hat{\theta})(\theta_0 - \hat{\theta})^2.$$

Under certain regularities we have $\dot{\ell}(\hat{\theta}) = 0$. Thus rearranging gives

$$2\left[\ell(\hat{\theta}) - \ell(\theta_0)\right] = \left[\sqrt{n}\,(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)\right]^2 \left[-\frac{1}{n}\ddot{\ell}(\hat{\theta})\right].$$

We complete the proof by noting that under H_0, by Fisher's theorem we have $\sqrt{(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)} \xrightarrow{\mathscr{D}} \mathcal{N}(0, 1/I(\theta_0))$, and by the law of large numbers we have $-1/n \cdot \ddot{\ell}(\hat{\theta}) \xrightarrow{\text{p}} I(\theta_0)$. □

7.1. **Hypothesis Testing and Confidence Intervals.** Let $X_i \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} F_\theta$ and $A(\theta_0)$ be the acceptance region for a test with $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ at level α. We have that

Proposition 7.5. *Let* $S(X) = \{\theta_0, X \in A(\theta_0)\}$ *be the set of parameters rejected by data X. Then* $S(X)$ *is a* $1 - \alpha$ *confidence set.*

Proof.
$$P_\theta(\theta \in S(X)) = \mathbb{P}_\theta(X \in A(\theta)) \geq 1 - \alpha.$$

□

The converse of the above theorem is also true:

Proposition 7.6. *Let* $S(X)$ *be a* $1 - \alpha$ *confidence set and define*
$$A(\theta_0) := \{X : \theta_0 \in S(X)\}.$$

Then $A(\theta_0)$ *is the acceptance region of a level* α *test of* $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ *and* $H_A : \theta \neq \theta_0$.

Proof.
$$\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}(X \notin A(\theta_0)) = \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}(\theta_0 \notin S(X)) \leq \alpha.$$

□

8. Multiple Testing

	Not rejected	rejected	
H_0 true	U	V	m_0
H_A true	T	S	$m - m_0$
	$m - R$	R	m

- R is the number of discoveries, V the number of false discoveries.
- We want U and S to be large, and V and T to be small.

The error rates can be measured by the following:

- Family-wise error rate (FWER): $P[V > 0]$.
- Per-family error rate (PFER): $\mathbb{E}\,V$.
- False discovery rate (FDR): $\mathbb{E}[V/R]$.

We discuss first the case of controlling FWER:

Proposition 8.1. *For m independent tests, to obtain $\mathbb{P}[V] < \alpha$ for some $\alpha > 0$, we may reject when $p < \gamma$ for*

$$\gamma := 1 - (1 - \alpha)^{1/m}.$$

*This is the **Sidak** correction.*

Proof. Noting that under H_0 we have $p \sim \text{Uniform}[0, 1]$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}[V > 0] = \mathbb{P}[p_{(1)} < \gamma] = 1 - \mathbb{P}[p_{(1)} \geq \gamma] = 1 - (1 - \gamma)^m.$$

For

$$\gamma := 1 - (1 - \alpha)^{1/m},$$

we get $1 - (1 - \gamma)^m < \alpha$.   □

Remark 8.2. Using the approximation $\exp x \approx 1 + x$ for small x, we have

$$1 - (1 - \alpha)^{1/m} \approx 1 - e^{-\alpha/m} \approx 1 - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{m}\right) = \frac{\alpha}{m}.$$

Thus we may also set $\gamma := \alpha/m$. This is the **Bonferroni** correction.

To control FDR (and the PFER), we use the following result:

Algorithm 8.3 (Benjamini Hochberg procedure, 1985)**.**

- *Sort all p-values in ascending order.* $p_{(1)} \leq \cdots \leq p_{(m)}$.
- *Find the largest j such that*

$$p_{(j)} \leq \frac{\alpha j}{m}.$$

  • *Reject the tests with the j smallest p-values.*

Proof. Let $N \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ be the indices of the tests when H_0 is true. Note that $|N| = m_0$. Define

$$\alpha_r := \frac{\alpha r}{m}, \quad \forall r = 1, 2, \ldots, m.$$

Note that α_R is the p-value threshold. We have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{V}{R}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{R}\sum_{k \in N} \mathbb{1}_{\{p_k \leq \alpha_R\}}\right] = \sum_{k \in N}\sum_{r=1}^{m}\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{P}\left[p_r \leq \alpha_R, R = r\right].$$

Now, define R_k to be the number of false discoveries when doing the BH prodcedure at α with the kth p-value p_k replaced by 0. Note that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[p_k \leq \alpha_R, R = r\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[p_k \leq \alpha_r, R_k = r\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[p_k \leq \alpha_r\right] \cdot \mathbb{P}\left[R_k = r\right].$$

We thus have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{V}{R}\right] = \sum_{k \in N}\sum_{r=1}^{m}\frac{1}{r}\alpha_r \cdot \mathbb{P}[R_k = r]$$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{m}\sum_{k \in N}\sum_{r=1}^{R}\mathbb{P}[R_k = r]$$

$$= \frac{\alpha m_0}{m} \leq \alpha.$$

Note that this is a very conservative estimate if $m_0 \ll m$. □

If, on the other hand, we want to find the FDR for the rejection region $[0, \gamma]$, we may note that

$$\frac{V}{R} \approx \frac{m_0 \cdot \gamma}{R}.$$

Thus we need only estimate m_0. To do so we note that for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\# \text{ of } p\text{-values } > \lambda \approx m_0(1 - \lambda).$$

Note however that there is a bias-variance trade-off: for small λ this estimator is more biases, since it might include p-values generated by H_A; for large λ, on the other hand, fewer tests will have p-values larger than λ, and the estimator has more noise.

9. Bayesian Statistic

Recall Bayes' formula:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[A|B\right] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[B|A]\mathbb{P}[A]}{\mathbb{P}[B]}$$

and its generalization: If $H_1, \ldots, H_k$ is a partition of the sample space Ω and D is an event with $\mathbb{P}[D] > 0$, then

$$P[H_i|D] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[H_i]\mathbb{P}[D|H_i]}{\sum_j \mathbb{P}[H_j]\mathbb{P}[D|H_j]}.$$

We call

- $\mathbb{P}[H_i]$ the **prior**, probabilities
- $\mathbb{P}[D|H_i]$ the **likelihood**,
- $\mathbb{P}[H_i|D]$ the **posterior** probabilities.

In hypothesis testing, we view θ as a random variable. Given a prior $f(\theta)$ and a model for data $f(X|\theta)$, we can then obtain the posterior $f(\theta|X)$ by

$$f(\theta|X) := \frac{f(X|\theta)f(\theta)}{f(X)},$$

where $f(X)$ is defined as

$$f(X) := \int f(X|\theta)f(\theta)\,\mathrm{d}\theta$$

so that $f(\theta|X)$ is a valid density function.

APPENDIX A: COMMON DISTRIBUTIONS

Distribution	Support	PMF	Mean	Variance
Binomial(n, p)	$\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$	$\binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x}$	np	$np(1-p)$
Geometric(p)	$\{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$	$(1-p)^{x-1}p$	$\dfrac{1}{p}$	$\dfrac{1-p}{p^2}$
Poisson(λ)	$\{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$	$\dfrac{\lambda^x e^{-\lambda}}{x!}$	λ	λ

TABLE 1. Key Properties of Discrete Distributions

Distribution	Support	PDF	Mean	Variance
Uniform(a, b)	$[a, b]$	$\dfrac{1}{b-a}$	$\dfrac{a+b}{2}$	$\dfrac{(b-a)^2}{12}$
$\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$	$(-\infty, \infty)$	$\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$	μ	σ^2
Exponential(λ)	$[0, \infty)$	$\lambda e^{-\lambda x}$	$\dfrac{1}{\lambda}$	$\dfrac{1}{\lambda^2}$
Gamma(α, β)	$(0, \infty)$	$\dfrac{\beta^\alpha x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$	$\dfrac{\alpha}{\beta}$	$\dfrac{\alpha}{\beta^2}$
Beta(α, β)	$(0, 1)$	$\dfrac{x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1}}{B(\alpha, \beta)}$	$\dfrac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}$	$\dfrac{\alpha\beta}{(\alpha+\beta)^2(\alpha+\beta+1)}$

TABLE 2. Key Properties of Continuous Distributions

9.1. **Properties of the uniform distribution.**

Proposition 9.1. *Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$.*
- $\mathbb{E}[S^2] = \sigma^2$.
- $\overline{X}$ *and* S^2 *are independent.*

9.2. **Properties of the exponential distribution.**

Proposition 9.2.

(i) *The "memoryless" property:*

$$\mathbb{P}(T \le x + y | T > x) = \mathbb{P}(T \le y).$$

(ii) Exponential(λ) = Gamma$(1, \lambda)$.

9.3. **Properties of the gamma distribution.**

Proposition 9.3.

(i) *If $X_i \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Gamma}(\alpha_i, \beta)$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, then*

$$\sum X_i \sim \text{Gamma}\left(\sum \alpha_i, \beta\right).$$

(ii) *If $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\alpha > 1$, then*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[1/X\right] = \frac{\beta}{\alpha - 1}.$$

(iii) *If $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$, then*

$$\beta X \sim \text{Gamma}\left(\alpha, 1\right).$$

Proof.

(i) Note that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{tX_i}\right] = \left(1 - \frac{t}{\beta}\right)^{-\alpha_i}, \quad \forall t < \beta.$$

We then have

$$M_{\sum X_i}(t) = \prod M_{X_i}(t) = \left(1 - \frac{t}{\beta}\right)^{-\sum \alpha_i}.$$

(ii) We have

$$\mathbb{E}[1/X] = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x} \cdot \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x} \, \mathrm{d}x,$$

which we can integrate by reducing to the Γ function.

$\square$