

# ECON20210 (S25): The Elements of Economic Analysis III Honors

Lecturer: Kotaro Yoshida

Notes by: Aden Chen

Thursday 27<sup>th</sup> March, 2025

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Measurement . . . . .	3
1.2	Growth Rate . . . . .	4
1.3	Consumer Price Index . . . . .	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Economic Growth</b>	<b>6</b>

# 1 Introduction

We will view the neoclassical growth model as a benchmark.

## 1.1 Measurement

GDP captures the total amount of production, incomes, or expenditures. More formally, GDP is the dollar amount of “final” goods and services produced per unit of time. It is a flow.

Heuristically, we have:

- GDP captures how well the local economy is doing.
- GNP captures how well the nationals in a country are doing.
- Nominal and real GDP:
  - Nominal GDP values goods and services at current prices.  $Y_t^n = \sum_i P_{i,t} Q_{i,t}$ .
  - Real GDP values goods and services at **constant** prices.  $Y_t^r = \sum_i P_{i,0} Q_{i,t}$ , where  $P_{i,0}$  is the price of good  $i$  in the base year.
  - The GDP deflator is the ratio of nominal to real GDP.  $P_t = Y_t^n / Y_t^r$ . This is the Paasche index.

As an measurement of expenditure, we have

$$Y = C + I + G + EX - IM,$$

where

- $C$  is consumption purchases by households ( $\sim 70\%$ ),
- $I$  is investment (purchases of new capital goods by businesses,  $\sim 15\%$ ),
- $G$  is government spending,
- $NX = EX - IM$  is net exports (what foreigners purchase net of what we buy from them,  $\sim -5\%$ ).

As an measurement of income, we have

$$Y = wL + \pi + rK + T,$$

where

- $wL$  is wage and compensations to workers  $\sim 66\%$ ,
- $\pi$  are corporate profits,  $rK$  are compensations to capital owners. ( $\pi + rK$  take up  $\sim 35\%$ ),
- $T$  are taxes.

As an measurement of output, we may think

$$Y = f(A, K, L, X),$$

where

- $A$  is technology,  $K$  is capital,  $L$  is labor,  $X$  are other factors.

## 1.2 Growth Rate

Discrete growth rate is

$$\gamma = \frac{Y_{t+1} - Y_t}{Y_t}, \quad Y_{t+1} = (1 + \gamma)Y_t.$$

If  $Y$  is exponentially growing, we have by using the approximation  $\log(1 + \gamma) \approx \gamma$  that

$$\log Y_{t+1} - \log Y_t \approx \gamma.$$

## 1.3 Consumer Price Index

Fix basket in base year  $Q_0$  and trace the cost of the basket in year  $t$ :

$$X_t := \sum_i P_{i,t} Q_{i,0}.$$

The price index in year  $t$  is then defined as

$$P_t := \frac{X_t}{X_0} = \sum_i \frac{\sum_j P_{j,0} Q_{j,0}}{\sum_j P_{j,0} Q_{j,0}} \frac{P_{i,t}}{P_{i,0}},$$

a weighted average of the individual inflation rates.

- This is called the Laspeyres index.
- The weights  $\sum P_{i,0} Q_{i,0} / \sum_j P_{j,0} Q_{j,0}$  are the expenditure shares of the relevant goods in the base year basket.
- If we fix instead the quantities to that in the current year, we get the GDP deflator, or the Paasche index.
- We are ignoring the possibility of substitution between goods, or new goods being introduced, or old goods being made better. Thus:

**Proposition 1.1.** *The numerator of the Laspeyres index is a Taylor approximation to  $C(u_0, P_t)$ . The denominator of the Paasche index is a Taylor approximation to  $C(u_t, P_0)$ . The Laspeyres index overestimates the “true” inflation rate, while the Paasche index underestimates it.*

The “**true**” **inflation rate** should measure the cost of achieving the same level of utility as in the base year. Thus it is

$$X_t = \frac{C(u_0, P_t)}{C(u_0, P_0)},$$

where  $C(u, P)$  is the Hicksian cost function. The Laspeyres index is then the Slutsky approximation to the true inflation rate, where  $C(u_0, P_0)$  is approximated by  $P_t \cdot x(u_0, P_0)$ .

**Proof.** It is clear from the above that this is an over approximation of  $C(u_0, P_t) = P_t \cdot x(u_0, P_t)$ .

Alternative, note that  $C$  is concave in  $P$ , and  $P_t \cdot x(u_0, P_0)$  is a first order Taylor approximation to  $C$  starting at  $P_0$ :

$$C(u_0, P_t)|_{P_t=P_0} \approx C(u_0, P_0) + \sum \frac{\partial C}{\partial P_i} \Big|_{P_t=P_0} (P_t - P_0).$$

By Shephard’s lemma we have  $\partial C(u_0, P_0)/\partial P_{i,0} = Q_{i,0}(u_0, P_0)$  and so

$$C(u_0, P_t) \approx C(u_0, P_0) + \sum Q_{i,0}(P_{i,t} - P_{i,0}) = \sum P_{i,t} Q_{i,0}.$$

□

The Fisher ideal index is a middle ground:

**Definition 1.2.**

$$\left( \frac{\sum_i P_{i,t} Q_{i,0}}{\sum_i P_{i,0} Q_{i,0}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{\sum_i P_{i,t} Q_{i,t}}{\sum_i P_{i,0} Q_{i,t}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Note that this geometric average is equivalent to the arithmetic average of the net inflation rates. (To see this, take log on both sides).

**Definition 1.3.** The **chain price index** is calculated as

$$\frac{P_{t+k}}{P_t} = \frac{P_{t+1}}{P_t} \cdots \frac{P_{t+k}}{P_{t+k-1}}.$$

**Definition 1.4.**

$$\text{Unemployment rate} = \frac{\text{Unemployment}}{\text{Labor Force}}.$$

$$\text{Labor force participation rate} = \frac{\text{Labor Force}}{\text{Adult Population}}.$$

## 2 Economic Growth

Kaldor Facts:

- (i) The share of labor incomes and the share of capital incomes in the national income have been roughly constant:

$$\frac{wN}{Y} \approx \frac{2}{3}; \quad \frac{r^k K}{Y} \approx \frac{1}{3}.$$

- (ii) Capital per worker  $K/N$  and output per worker  $Y/N$  rise steadily at the same rate (around  $2 \sim 2\%$ ).
- (iii) Capital output ratio  $K/Y$  remains constant. (Implied by the previous point.)
- (iv) The rate of return on capital  $r^k$  is roughly constant. (Implied by the points 1 and 3.)

Kotaro facts:

- “conditional catch-up”: poor countries grow faster than rich countries, conditional on institution (e.g., OECD countries, US states).