

PARATRACE - SNEAKY TRACEROUTING

*Traceroute* is one of those old and useful commands. I am sure we have all used it to see exactly where a packet is going and how long it takes to get there. After all that is what it is designed for. You can find it on linux-style boxes as *traceroute*, even windows machines have a version, called *tracert*. But these days most firewalls block these packets. You see, an attacker can use the information gathered from the *traceroute* output to create a map of your network layout, to try to identify where your firewalls and routers are situated, and even more. It is for these reasons that firewall administrators began blocking *traceroute*. And there it would have ended if not for Dan Kaminsky of [DoxPara Research](#) and his brilliant tool *paratrace* - or *parasitic traceroute*. *Paratrace* is a tool which looks for a suitable and established connection to the target host, it then manipulates the packets in that connection in order to perform some *traceroute* functionality.

The environment

The test network I am using is quite simple..

Host A	Firewall	Host B
192.168.10.80	192.168.10.13 / 10.0.0.11	10.0.0.50

Lets start with a fully open firewall..

<pre>traceroute 10.0.0.50 traceroute to 10.0.0.50 (10.0.0.50), 30 hops max, 38 byte packets  1  192.168.10.13 (192.168.10.13)  0.339 ms  0.270 ms  0.277 ms  2  10.0.0.50 (10.0.0.50)  0.696 ms  0.640 ms  0.617 ms</pre>
<pre>traceroute 192.168.10.80 traceroute to 192.168.10.80 (192.168.10.80), 30 hops max, 40 byte packets  1  10.0.0.11 (10.0.0.11)  3.880 ms  0.666 ms  0.621 ms  2  192.168.10.80 (192.168.10.80)  0.850 ms  0.758 ms  0.731 ms</pre>

Thus you can see both hosts can perform a *traceroute* to the other.

Not so trusting

Now lets be a lot more paranoid, we will change the firewall rules so that only TCP traffic destined for port 22 (*ssh*) is allowed through, and then lets try again..

Host A	<pre>traceroute 10.0.0.50 -m 5 traceroute to 10.0.0.50 (10.0.0.50), 5 hops max, 38 byte packets  1  * * *  2  * * *  3  * * *  4  * * *  5  * * *</pre>
	<pre>ping 10.0.0.50 -c 10 PING 10.0.0.50 (10.0.0.50) 56(84) bytes of data.  --- 10.0.0.50 ping statistics --- 10 packets transmitted, 0 received, 100% packet loss, time 9012ms</pre>
Host B	<pre>traceroute 192.168.10.80 -m 5 traceroute to 192.168.10.80 (192.168.10.80), 5 hops max, 40 byte packets  1  * * *  2  * * *  3  * * *  4  * * *  5  * * *</pre>
	<pre>ping 192.168.10.80 -c 10 PING 192.168.10.80 (192.168.10.80): 56 data bytes  --- 192.168.10.80 ping statistics --- 10 packets transmitted, 0 packets received, 100% packet loss</pre>

Here we can see that we are not going to get anything through. But what if the sneaky person at *Host A* still didn't

want to call it quits?

### Getting sneaky

The first thing the attacker will want to try to find is an open TCP port (any port will do) and in our setup he can use *ssh*. So he tries..

```
ssh bob@10.0.0.50
bob@10.0.0.50's password:
```

Straight away he sees that he can access the *ssh* service. Now lets pause and take a look at what a normal *ssh* TCP connection setup looks like..

```
The first section here is the connection setup all TCP connections use, the SYN, SYN-
ACK, ACK

19:21:44.377382 192.168.10.80.33562 > 10.0.0.50.ssh: S [tcp sum ok]
2808026547:2808026547(0) win 5840 <mss 1460,sackOK,timestamp 33701443 0,nop,wscale 0>
(DF) (ttl 63, id 11248, len 60)
19:21:44.377382 10.0.0.50.ssh > 192.168.10.80.33562: S [tcp sum ok]
3451264356:3451264356(0) ack
19:21:44.377382 192.168.10.80.33562 > 10.0.0.50.ssh: . [tcp sum ok] ack 1 win 5840
<nop,nop,timestamp 33701443 35624172> (DF) (ttl 63, id 11249, len 52)
2808026548 win 32120 <mss 1460,sackOK,timestamp 35624172
33701443,nop,wscale 0> (DF) (ttl 64, id 41656, len 60)

Then the normal connection carries on. As you can see, the timestamps, ttl's, id's, etc
are all normal and expected.

19:21:44.387382 10.0.0.50.ssh > 192.168.10.80.33562: P [tcp sum ok] 1:24(23) ack 1 win
32120 <nop,nop,timestamp 35624173 33701443> (DF) (ttl 64, id 41657, len 75)
19:21:44.387382 192.168.10.80.33562 > 10.0.0.50.ssh: . [tcp sum ok] ack 24 win 5840
<nop,nop,timestamp 33701444 35624173> (DF) (ttl 63, id 11250, len 52)
19:21:44.387382 192.168.10.80.33562 > 10.0.0.50.ssh: P [tcp sum ok] 1:25(24) ack 24 win
5840 <nop,nop,timestamp 33701444 35624173> (DF) (ttl 63, id 11251, len 76)
19:21:44.387382 10.0.0.50.ssh > 192.168.10.80.33562: . [tcp sum ok] ack 25 win 32120
<nop,nop,timestamp 35624173 33701444> (DF) (ttl 64, id 41658, len 52)
19:21:44.387382 192.168.10.80.33562 > 10.0.0.50.ssh: P 25:569(544) ack 24 win 5840
<nop,nop,timestamp 33701444 35624173> (DF) (ttl 63, id 11252, len 596)
19:21:44.397382 10.0.0.50.ssh > 192.168.10.80.33562: . [tcp sum ok] ack 569 win 32120
<nop,nop,timestamp 35624174 33701444> (DF) (ttl 64, id 41659, len 52)
19:21:44.407382 10.0.0.50.ssh > 192.168.10.80.33562: P 24:632(608) ack 569 win 32120
<nop,nop,timestamp 35624175 33701444> (DF) (ttl 64, id 41660, len 660)
19:21:44.407382 192.168.10.80.33562 > 10.0.0.50.ssh: P [tcp sum ok] 569:593(24) ack 632
win 6688 <nop,nop,timestamp 33701446 35624175> (DF) (ttl 63, id 11253, len 76)
19:21:44.427382 10.0.0.50.ssh > 192.168.10.80.33562: . [tcp sum ok] ack 593 win 32120
<nop,nop,timestamp 35624177 33701446> (DF) (ttl 64, id 41661, len 52)
19:21:44.497382 10.0.0.50.ssh > 192.168.10.80.33562: P 632:1056(424) ack 593 win 32120
<nop,nop,timestamp 35624184 33701446> (DF) (ttl 64, id 41662, len 476)
19:21:44.527382 192.168.10.80.33562 > 10.0.0.50.ssh: P 593:1009(416) ack 1056 win 7904
<nop,nop,timestamp 33701457 35624184> (DF) (ttl 63, id 11254, len 468)
19:21:44.537382 10.0.0.50.ssh > 192.168.10.80.33562: . [tcp sum ok] ack 1009 win 32120
<nop,nop,timestamp 35624188 33701457> (DF) (ttl 64, id 41663, len 52)
19:21:45.947382 10.0.0.50.ssh > 192.168.10.80.33562: P 1056:1792(736) ack 1009 win
32120 <nop,nop,timestamp 35624328 33701457> (DF) (ttl 64, id 41664, len 788)
19:21:45.967382 192.168.10.80.33562 > 10.0.0.50.ssh: P [tcp sum ok] 1009:1025(16) ack
1792 win 9568 <nop,nop,timestamp 33701602 35624328> (DF) (ttl 63, id 11255, len 68)
19:21:45.987382 10.0.0.50.ssh > 192.168.10.80.33562: . [tcp sum ok] ack 1025 win 32120
<nop,nop,timestamp 35624333 33701602> (DF) (ttl 64, id 41665, len 52)
19:21:45.987382 192.168.10.80.33562 > 10.0.0.50.ssh: P 1025:1073(48) ack 1792 win 9568
<nop,nop,timestamp 33701604 35624333> (DF) (ttl 63, id 11256, len 100)
19:21:45.987382 10.0.0.50.ssh > 192.168.10.80.33562: P 1792:1840(48) ack 1073 win 32120
<nop,nop,timestamp 35624333 33701604> (DF) (ttl 64, id 41666, len 100)
```

So our attacker now knows that he (or she) has a way in. First thing they do is setup the *paratrace* listener..

```
paratrace -v -b1k 10.0.0.50
```

This tells *paratrace* to be verbose (*-v*), not to use too much bandwidth (*-b1k*) and to target 10.0.0.50. The attacker

then tries to connect to the *ssh* service again. Now before we look at what *paratrace* tells us, lets take look at the traffic..

As per usual the first three packets are for the normal 3-way handshake. Paratrace looks for this and waits for this to finish before starting work.
19:19:48.877382 192.168.10.80.33561 > 10.0.0.50.ssh: S [tcp sum ok] 2692203339:2692203339(0) win 5840 <mss 1460,sack0K,timestamp 33689895 0,nop,wscale 0> (DF) (ttl 63, id 53868, len 60) 19:19:48.877382 10.0.0.50.ssh > 192.168.10.80.33561: S [tcp sum ok] 3331681981:3331681981(0) ack 2692203340 win 32120 <mss 1460,sack0K,timestamp 35612623 33689895,nop,wscale 0> (DF) (ttl 64, id 41634, len 60) 19:19:48.877382 192.168.10.80.33561 > 10.0.0.50.ssh: . [tcp sum ok] ack 1 win 5840 <nop,nop,timestamp 33689896 35612623> (DF) (ttl 63, id 53869, len 52)
Now lets take a look. Everything seems normal, except for a couple of packets where the ttl and the id flags have been changed. Paratrace uses these probes to perform it's traceroute functionality. The incrementing counters are used the same way a normal traceroute uses the ttl flags.
19:19:48.877382 10.0.0.50.ssh > 192.168.10.80.33561: P [tcp sum ok] 1:24(23) ack 1 win 32120 <nop,nop,timestamp 35612624 33689896> (DF) (ttl 64, id 41635, len 75) 19:19:48.877382 192.168.10.80.33561 > 10.0.0.50.ssh: . [tcp sum ok] ack 24 win 5840 <nop,nop,timestamp 33689896 35612624> (DF) (ttl 63, id 53870, len 52) 19:19:48.877382 192.168.10.80.33561 > 10.0.0.50.ssh: P [tcp sum ok] 1:25(24) ack 24 win 5840 <nop,nop,timestamp 33689896 35612624> (DF) (ttl 63, id 53871, len 76) 19:19:48.887382 10.0.0.50.ssh > 192.168.10.80.33561: . [tcp sum ok] ack 25 win 32120 <nop,nop,timestamp 35612624 33689896> (DF) (ttl 64, id 41636, len 52) 19:19:48.887382 192.168.10.80.33561 > 10.0.0.50.ssh: P 25:569(544) ack 24 win 5840 <nop,nop,timestamp 33689896 35612624> (DF) (ttl 63, id 53872, len 596) 19:19:48.887382 10.0.0.50.ssh > 192.168.10.80.33561: . [tcp sum ok] ack 569 win 32120 <nop,nop,timestamp 35612625 33689896> (DF) (ttl 64, id 41637, len 52) 19:19:48.907382 10.0.0.50.ssh > 192.168.10.80.33561: P 24:632(608) ack 569 win 32120 <nop,nop,timestamp 35612626 33689896> (DF) (ttl 64, id 41638, len 660) 19:19:48.907382 192.168.10.80.33561 > 10.0.0.50.ssh: P [tcp sum ok] 569:593(24) ack 632 win 6688 <nop,nop,timestamp 33689899 35612626> (DF) (ttl 63, id 53873, len 76) 19:19:48.917382 10.0.0.50.ssh > 192.168.10.80.33561: . [tcp sum ok] ack 593 win 32120 <nop,nop,timestamp 35612628 33689899> (DF) (ttl 64, id 41639, len 52) 19:19:48.977382 192.168.10.80.33561 > 10.0.0.50.ssh: . [tcp sum ok] ack 24 win 32120 <nop,nop,timestamp 35612624 33689896> (DF) <b>(ttl 1, id 2, len 52)</b> 19:19:48.977382 10.0.0.50.ssh > 192.168.10.80.33561: . [tcp sum ok] ack 593 win 32120 <nop,nop,timestamp 35612633 35612624> (DF) (ttl 64, id 41640, len 52) 19:19:48.997382 10.0.0.50.ssh > 192.168.10.80.33561: P 632:1056(424) ack 593 win 32120 <nop,nop,timestamp 35612636 35612624> (DF) (ttl 64, id 41641, len 476) 19:19:49.027382 192.168.10.80.33561 > 10.0.0.50.ssh: P 593:1009(416) ack 1056 win 7904 <nop,nop,timestamp 33689910 35612636> (DF) (ttl 63, id 53874, len 468) 19:19:49.027382 10.0.0.50.ssh > 192.168.10.80.33561: . [tcp sum ok] ack 593 win 32120 <nop,nop,timestamp 35612638 35612624> (DF) (ttl 64, id 41642, len 52) 19:19:49.057382 192.168.10.80.33561 > 10.0.0.50.ssh: . [tcp sum ok] ack 24 win 32120 <nop,nop,timestamp 35612624 33689896> (DF) <b>(ttl 2, id 3, len 52)</b> 19:19:49.057382 10.0.0.50.ssh > 192.168.10.80.33561: . [tcp sum ok] ack 593 win 32120 <nop,nop,timestamp 35612641 35612624> (DF) (ttl 64, id 41643, len 52) 19:19:49.137382 192.168.10.80.33561 > 10.0.0.50.ssh: . [tcp sum ok] ack 24 win 32120 <nop,nop,timestamp 35612624 33689896> (DF) <b>(ttl 3, id 4, len 52)</b> 19:19:49.137382 10.0.0.50.ssh > 192.168.10.80.33561: . [tcp sum ok] ack 593 win 32120 <nop,nop,timestamp 35612649 35612624> (DF) (ttl 64, id 41644, len 52) 19:19:49.197382 10.0.0.50.ssh > 192.168.10.80.33561: P 632:1056(424) ack 593 win 32120 <nop,nop,timestamp 35612656 35612624> (DF) (ttl 64, id 41645, len 476) 19:19:49.197382 192.168.10.80.33561 > 10.0.0.50.ssh: . [tcp sum ok] ack 1056 win 7904 <nop,nop,timestamp 33689928 35612656,nop,nop,sack sack 1 {632:1056} > (DF) (ttl 63, id 53875, len 64) 19:19:49.217382 192.168.10.80.33561 > 10.0.0.50.ssh: . [tcp sum ok] ack 24 win 32120 <nop,nop,timestamp 35612624 33689896> (DF) <b>(ttl 4, id 5, len 52)</b>

So what you ask, it's just four packets, so what. Well lets see what information *paratrace* gave our attacker..

paratrace -v -b1k 10.0.0.50
Stat ====IP_Address== Port== Hops ==Time== =====Details=====
Waiting to detect attachable TCP connection to host/net: 10.0.0.50
10.0.0.50:22/32 1-5
UP: 10.0.0.50:22 [01] 1.375s
SENT: 10.0.0.50:22 [00] 0.000s
Got 94 on eth0:

```
IP: i=192.168.10.13->192.168.10.80 v=4 hl=5 s=192 id=8849 o=0 ttl=255 pay=60
ICMP: IP: i=192.168.10.80->10.0.0.50 v=4 hl=5 s=0 id=1 o=64 ttl=1 pay=32
ICMP: TCP: p=33561->22, s/a=2692203364
001 = 192.168.10.13|22 [01] 1.385s( 192.168.10.80 -> 10.0.0.50 )
SENT: 10.0.0.50:22 [00] 0.079s
SENT: 10.0.0.50:22 [00] 0.159s
SENT: 10.0.0.50:22 [00] 0.239s
SENT: 10.0.0.50:22 [00] 0.319s
```

There you go, our attacker has the same information he would normally get from traceroute, and with this they can once more begin to start mapping out your network.

### *Last Words*

*Paratrace* is one of those tools that teaches us security professionals two very important lessons..

1. There are always new methods being found to bypass our defenses. Never be complacent.
2. Just a firewall is not enough. Defense in depth is a must.

Take a look at the tool, play around with it and get to know it. Remember, have fun and learn.