

# Assignment 1

*Adham Khaled, Juan Manuel Medina, Antonio Ortega, Isabella Skandorff & Andreas Vincent*

*May 8, 2017*

```
library("ggplot2")
library("cowplot")
library("reshape2")
theme_set(theme_bw())
```

## Load the dataset:

- Gene name
- mRNA molecule length (base pairs)
- Genome length
- Exon count

```
df <- read.table("gene_lengths_v2.txt", header = T)
```

```
##      name mrna_length genome_length exon_count
## 1  PP8961      2596      2596           1
## 2 FLJ00038      794      2615           6
## 3  OR4F5       918       918           1
## 4  OR4F3       937       937           1
## 5  OR4F16      937       937           1
## 6  SAMD11     2555     18842          14
```

## Question 1

```
#### Full distribution
upper_x <- 150
p <- ggplot() +
  geom_polygon(data = data.frame(x = c(0, 0, 20, 20),
                                y = c(0, 1500, 1500, 0)),
              mapping = aes(x = x, y = y), fill = "grey", alpha = 0.5) +

  geom_histogram(data = df,
                 mapping = aes(x = exon_count, fill = -..count..),
                 breaks = seq(from = 0, to = upper_x, by = 1)) +
  scale_x_continuous(name = "Exon count per gene",
                    breaks = seq(0, upper_x, 30),
                    limits = c(0, upper_x)) +
  scale_y_continuous(name = "Number of genes",
                    breaks = seq(0, 1500, 100),
                    limits = c(0, 1500)) +
  guides(fill = FALSE)

#### First 20 bins
upper_x <- 20
q <- ggplot(data = subset(df, exon_count <= upper_x),
```

```

    mapping = aes(x = exon_count)) +
  geom_histogram(binwidth = 1, mapping = aes(
    fill = -..count..) +


  scale_x_continuous(breaks = seq(0, 20, 1)) +
  scale_y_continuous(limits = c(0, 1500),
    breaks = seq(0, 1500, 100)) +

  labs(x = "Exon count", y = "") +
  guides(fill = FALSE) +

  geom_hline(yintercept = max(table(df$exon_count)),
    linetype = "dashed") +
  geom_text(mapping = aes(x = which.max(table(df$exon_count)), y = max(table(df$exon_count))),
    label = max(table(df$exon_count)), vjust = -.3)

plot_grid(p, q, nrow = 1, ncol = 2, rel_widths = c(1, 2), labels = "AUTO")

```



**Figure 1.** **A** Histogram showing the distribution of the exon counts. Even though most of the genes contain less than 60 exons, as many as 150 may be found in some of them. **B** Detail for genes with max. 20 exons. The mode can be visualized at 3-5 exons per gene (max found at 4). The number of exons per gene decreases steadily beyond it.

The majority of genes tend to be formed by a relatively low number of exons (Figure 1). 1424 are formed by 4 exons.

## Question 2

```

df$intron_length <- df$genome_length - df$mrna_length
head(df)

```

##	name	mrna_length	genome_length	exon_count	intron_length
## 1	PP8961	2596	2596	1	0
## 2	FLJ00038	794	2615	6	1821
## 3	OR4F5	918	918	1	0
## 4	OR4F3	937	937	1	0

## 5	OR4F16	937	937	1	0
## 6	SAMD11	2555	18842	14	16287

Basically, the total length of introns for each gene is obtained by subtracting the length of the mRNA (the exon length in this case) from the entire genome length.

### Question 3

On the histograms, the number of bins should be exactly the same, and the x-axis should have the same scale. Comment the plot – are exons larger than introns or vice versa?

```
df2 <- subset(x = melt(data.frame(mrna_length = df$mrna_length,
                                intron_length = df$intron_length)),
             subset = value != 0)
```

## No id variables; using all as measure variables

```
A.1 <- ggplot(data = df2,
             mapping = aes(x = value / 1e6, fill = variable)) +
  geom_histogram(bins = 100, position = "dodge") +
  scale_fill_discrete(name = "",
                    breaks = c("mrna_length", "intron_length"),
                    labels = c("Exon", "Intron")) +
  theme(legend.direction = "horizontal") +
  labs(x = "", y = "Count") +
  guides(fill = guide_legend(override.aes = list(size = 1)))
mylegend <- get_legend(A.1)

B.1 <- ggplot(data = df2,
             mapping = aes(y = value / 1e6, x = factor(1), fill = variable)) +
  geom_boxplot(lwd = 0.25, outlier.size = .5) +
  facet_grid(variable ~ .) +
  theme(strip.text.y = element_blank(),
        axis.text.y = element_blank(),
        axis.ticks.y = element_blank()) +
  guides(fill = FALSE) +
  ylab("Base pair length (Mb)") +
  xlab("") +
  coord_flip()

A.2 <- ggplot(data = df2,
             mapping = aes(x = log10(value), fill = variable)) +
  geom_histogram(bins = 100, position = "dodge") +
  scale_x_continuous(limits = c(1, 7),
                    breaks = seq(1, 7, 1)) +
  labs(x = "", y = "Count") +
  theme(legend.position = "none")


B.2 <- ggplot(data = df2,
             mapping = aes(y = log10(value), x = factor(1), fill = variable)) +
  geom_boxplot(lwd = 0.25, outlier.size = .5) +
  scale_y_continuous(limits = c(1, 7),
                    breaks = seq(1, 7, 1)) +
```

```

facet_grid(variable ~ .) +
  theme(strip.text.y = element_blank(),
        axis.text.y = element_blank(),
        axis.ticks.y = element_blank()) +
  guides(fill = FALSE) +
  labs(x = "") +
  ylab("log10 (Base pair length)") +
  coord_flip()

plot_grid(plot_grid(cols_list[[1]], cols_list[[2]], ncol = 2, labels = "AUTO"),
          mylegend, nrow = 2, rel_heights = c(1, 0.1))

```



**Figure 2. Distribution of intron and exons lengths in linear (A) and log10 (B) scale.** *As can be seen in the histograms (top) and the boxplots (bottom), the median of the introns is one order of magnitude bigger than the median of the exons.*

The histograms and box-plots in Figure 2.B are presented with a logarithmic scale in x-axis in order to clearly appreciate the differences between the two subsets. They show that while most of exons (red) tend to have shorter lengths -with a peak around 2 kB-, the introns (blue) have a more right-tailed distribution, with generally longer lengths, covering an extremely wide span.

## Question 4

As we are comparing two data subsets that are not following a normal distribution we have chosen to perform a Wilcoxon test to investigate if there is a significant difference in the lengths of the introns and exons of the genes of our data set.

Our null hypothesis is that there is no difference in the U-statistic of the exons and introns lengths. In contrast, our alternative hypothesis is that there is no difference in the U-statistic of the exons and introns lengths.

$H_0$  : Intron length distribution = Exon length distribution

$H_1$  : Intron length distribution  $\neq$  Exon length distribution

```
wilcox.test(df$mrna_length, df$intron_length, alternative = "two.sided")
```

```
##  
## Wilcoxon rank sum test with continuity correction  
##  
## data: df$mrna_length and df$intron_length  
## W = 58458000, p-value < 2.2e-16  
## alternative hypothesis: true location shift is not equal to 0
```


The Wilcoxon test returned a p-value of  $< 2.2e-16$ . As it is below the significance threshold of 0.05, we can reject the null hypothesis and accept the alternative hypothesis. This significant difference between the U-statistic of the exons and introns lengths leads us to conclude that introns lengths are significantly longer than exon lengths.

## Question 5

In order to determine whether total exons length is more correlated to the total intron length than the number of exons, we have calculated the Pearsons' correlation coefficient for both cases.

```
r1 <- round(cor(df$mrna_length, df$intron_length, method = "pearson"), digits = 2)  
r2 <- round(cor(df$mrna_length, df$exon_count, method = "pearson"), digits = 2)
```

```
par(mfrow = c(1,2))  
model_1 <- lm(df$intron_length / 1e6 ~ df$mrna_length)  
plot(df$mrna_length, df$intron_length / 1e6, pch = 19, xlab = "mRNA length", ylab = "Introns Length (Mb)",  
abline(model_1, col = "blue")  
text(x = 30000, y = 1.5, labels = paste("r2 = ", r1, sep = ""))  
  
model_2 <- lm(df$exon_count ~ df$mrna_length)  
plot(df$mrna_length, df$exon_count, pch = 19, xlab = "mRNA length", ylab = "Exon count")  
abline(model_2, col = "blue")  
text(x = 30000, y = 150, labels = paste("r2 = ", r2, sep = ""))
```



**Figure 3** Scatterplot of the studied variables showing regression lines and Pearson correlation coefficient. The coefficient sign (+/-) and range (from -1 to +1) indicates the direction of monotonicity and degree of linearity of the two variables under question, respectively.

Based on the correlation scores of 0.35 for exon VS intron length and 0.64 for exon length VS number of exons and the scatter plots, it can be concluded that whereas there is a positive correlation in both cases, they are not very strong. It seems that the exon's length is more correlated with the number of exons than with the length of the introns of the genes belonging our data set.

## Question 6

```
print(df[which.max(df$mrna_length), c(1,2,4)], row.names = FALSE)
```

```
##   name mrna_length exon_count
## MUC16      43815         84
```

As can be seen above, the gene that has the longest total exon length is MUC16, with a length of 43815 base pairs and 84 exons.

## Question 7

```
count_genes <- function( df, x1 = 0, x2 = max(df$mrna_length))
{
  total.mrna <- length(df$name)
  mrna.interval <- sum(df$mrna_length >= x1 & df$mrna_length <= x2)
  mrna.fraction = mrna.interval / total.mrna
  return ( mrna.fraction * 100)
}
```

Test this function with the mRNA lengths using the the five settings below:

- Using the default of x1 and x2;
- Using the default of x2 and set x1=10000;
- x1=1000 and x2=10000;

- x1=100 and x2=1000;
- x1=0 and x2=100.

Results:

```
x1 <- c(0, 1e4, 1e3, 100, 0)
x2 <- c(max(df$mrna_length), max(df$mrna_length), 1e4, 1e3, 100)
sapply(1:5, function(x) count_genes(df, x1[x], x2[x]))

## [1] 100.000000  1.130402 87.349235 11.541998  0.000000
```