Content:

- 1. Web scraping
- 2. Creating json file in specified format
- 3. Consolidated json files into single dataset
- 4. Preprocessing text data
- 5. Bag of Words CountVectorizer
- 6. Balancing uneven distribution of classes
- 7. Keras model
- 8. Grid search CV
- 9. Transfer learning
- 10. Tests on GE
- 11. Observations
- 1. Web scraping [<u>1</u>]:
 - a. Queried the facebook earnings call website to obtain HTML
 - b. Used Beautiful soup python package to parse HTML content
 - c. Obtained element information of page

[bs4.element.Doctype, bs4.element.NavigableString, bs4.element.Tag, bs4.element.NavigableString]

- d. Obtained tags
- e. Obtained paragraphs
- 2. Creating json file in specified format [2]:
 - a. Created a list of numbers for each paragraph
 - b. Created json from this dictionary of numbers and parahs
 - c. Labelled the sentiments of paragraphs manually
- Consolidated json files into single dataset [3]:
 - a. Collected all 12 ison files
 - b. Ran a loop to create dictionary
 - c. Converted dictionary to dataframe
- 4. Preprocessing text data [4]:
 - a. Implemented NLTK package to remove:
 - i. Stop words the, is, are
 - ii. Stemmer to remove inflicted and similar words to roots like, likes, respons, responsive

- iii. Non-alphabetic characters -!,?
- iv. Changed to lower case
- b. Tokenized
- 5. Bag of Words CountVectorizer [5]:
 - a. Sklearn's CountVectorizer package to create a Bag of Words
 - b. Includes maximum 2000 features
 - c. Minimum number of occurance of a word to be included in Bag is 3
 - d. Maximum frequency is 0.6
 - e. Stop words from English language
 - f. Total number of words contained = 1649
 - g. Created a Logistic Regression model
- 6. Balancing uneven distribution of classes [<u>a</u>, <u>b</u>, <u>c</u>, <u>d</u>, <u>e</u>, <u>f</u>, <u>g</u>]:
 - a. Oversampled: RandomOverSampler Increased the proportion of negative and positive classes to match that of positive class on the training dataset
 - b. Downsampled: RandomUnderSampler Decreased the proportion of neutral class to match that of positive and negative classes on the training dataset
 - c. NearMiss1 Downsampling with 1 nearest neighbour [ref]
 - d. NearMiss2 Downsampling with 2 nearest neighbour
 - e. NearMiss3 Downsampling with 3 nearest neighbour
 - f. SMOTE Synthetic minority over sampling technique increase negative and positive class for nearest neighbours to match neutral class
 - g. Results -

Model	f1-score	accuracy
ROS	49	62
Original	52	65
RUS	52	58
Smote	48	59
NearMiss1	45	48
NearMiss2	42	44
NearMiss3	46	52

- 7. Keras model [<u>7</u>]:
 - a. Input size of bag of words 1649, F1 score = 0.48

- 8. Grid search CV [a, b]:
 - a. batch_size = [10, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100] and epochs = [2,5,10, 20], **f1 score = 0.49**, Best: **0.692949** using {'batch_size': **80**, 'epochs': **5**}
 - b. optimizer = ['SGD', 'RMSprop', 'Adagrad', 'Adadelta', 'Adam', 'Adamax', 'Nadam'], f1 score = 0.52, Best: 0.687642 using {'optimizer': 'Adagrad'}
- 9. Transfer learning [i , ii , iii , iv , v]:
 - a. Created a bag of words with max features of 2000 on the IMDB dataset (25000)
 - b. Created bag of words of financial dataset and predicted on the keras model
 - c. Created a bag of words with max features of 2000 on the IMDB dataset (5000)
 - d. Created a bag of words with max features of 2000 on the IMDB dataset (88585 all words)
 - e. Max features 5000
- 10. Tests on GE [<u>a</u> , <u>b</u>]:
 - a. Trained on financial dataset with BoW keras model and tested on GE dataset
 - b. Learned on IMDB dataset, tested on whole GE dataset

11. Observations:

- a. Transfer learning gives very bad results 0.16 f1 score be it on GE or Finance dataset
- b. Adagrad optimizer gives best performance with batch size of 80 and 5 epochs
- c. Balancing does not impact the results a lot, they are very similar to unbalanced results