

Vector subspace:

Theorem-1: The necessary and sufficient conditions for a non empty subset ω of $V(F)$ to be a subspace are that :

- $\alpha \in \omega, \beta \in \omega \Rightarrow \alpha - \beta \in \omega.$
- $a \in F, \alpha \in \omega \Rightarrow a\alpha \in \omega.$

Proof: Suppose ω is a subspace of $V(F)$. Then if

$$\beta \in \omega \Rightarrow -\beta \in \omega.$$

$$\alpha \in \omega, -\beta \in \omega \Rightarrow \alpha - \beta \in \omega.$$

$$a \in F, \alpha \in \omega \Rightarrow a\alpha \in \omega.$$

Conversely, suppose ω is a subset of V and

- $\alpha \in \omega, \beta \in \omega \Rightarrow \alpha - \beta \in \omega.$

- $a \in F, \alpha \in \omega \Rightarrow a\alpha \in \omega.$

We have to show that ω is a subspace.

$$\alpha \in \omega, \alpha \in \omega \Rightarrow \alpha - \alpha \in \omega$$

$0 \in \omega$. (identity exists)

$$0 \in \omega, \lambda \in \omega \Rightarrow 0 - \lambda \in \omega$$

$-\lambda \in \omega$ (inverse exists)

$$\alpha \in \omega, \beta \in \omega \Rightarrow \alpha + \beta \in \omega.$$

(closure property satisfied)

vector addition is always associative & commutative

Hence $(\omega, +)$ is an abelian group.

From ii) ω is closed under multiplication.

V is a vectorspace and ω is a subset of V all the other properties holds good.

Hence ω is a subspace of vectorspace $V(F)$.

Theorem-2: The necessary and sufficient condition for a non-empty subset ω of V of a vectorspace $V(F)$ to be a subspace of V is

$$a, b \in F, \alpha, \beta \in \omega \Rightarrow a\alpha + b\beta \in \omega.$$

Proof: Suppose ω is a subspace of $V(F)$ then ω is closed under vector addition and multiplication.

$$a \in F, \alpha \in \omega \Rightarrow a\alpha \in \omega.$$

$$b \in F, \beta \in \omega \Rightarrow b\beta \in \omega.$$

$$a \in F, b \in F, \alpha \in \omega, \beta \in \omega \Rightarrow a\alpha + b\beta \in \omega.$$

Conversely, suppose ω is a subset of $V(F)$ and given.

$$a, b \in F, \alpha, \beta \in \omega \Rightarrow a\alpha + b\beta \in \omega.$$

$$\text{Put } a=0, b=0 \Rightarrow 0\alpha + 0\beta = 0(\alpha) + 0(\beta) \in \omega.$$

$0 \in \omega$ (Identity)

$$\text{Put } a=-1, b=0 \Rightarrow -1(\alpha) + 0(\beta) \in \omega.$$

$-\alpha \in \omega$ (Inverse)

$$\text{Put } a=1, b=1 \Rightarrow \alpha + \beta \in \omega. \text{ (closed)}$$

Further put $a=1, b=0 \Rightarrow a\alpha \in \omega$. (closed multiplication)

Since $\omega \subseteq V$ other properties hold.

$\therefore \omega$ is a vectorspace and a subspace of $V(F)$.

Algebra of subspaces:

Theorem-1: The intersection of any two subspaces of a vectorspace is a subspace.

Proof: Let $\alpha, \beta \in \omega_1 \cap \omega_2 \Rightarrow \alpha, \beta \in \omega_1 \text{ & } \alpha, \beta \in \omega_2$.

Since ω_1 & ω_2 are subspaces of V , we have

$$a, b \in F, \alpha, \beta \in \omega_1 \cap \omega_2 \Rightarrow a\alpha + b\beta \in \omega_1.$$

$$a, b \in F, \alpha, \beta \in \omega_1 \cap \omega_2 \Rightarrow a\alpha + b\beta \in \omega_2.$$

$$\Rightarrow a\alpha + b\beta \in \omega_1 \cap \omega_2 \Rightarrow \omega_1 \cap \omega_2 \text{ is a subspace.}$$

Theorem-2: The intersection of an arbitrary collection of subspaces of a vectorspace is also a subspace.

Proof: Let $\{\omega_\lambda : \lambda \in X\}$ be an arbitrary collection of subspaces of V . We have to show $\cap \{\omega_\lambda : \lambda \in X\}$ is a subspace of V .

$$\text{Let } \alpha, \beta \in \cap \{\omega_\lambda : \lambda \in X\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha, \beta \in \omega_\lambda \text{ for each } \lambda \in X.$$

Since ω_λ is a subspace, for $a, b \in F$ we have

$$a\alpha + b\beta \in \omega_\lambda \text{ for each } \lambda \in X.$$

$$a\alpha + b\beta \in \cap \{\omega_\lambda : \lambda \in X\}.$$

Hence $\cap \{\omega_\lambda : \lambda \in X\}$ is a subspace of V .

Theorem-3: The union of 2 subspaces of a vectorspace is not necessarily a subspace.

Proof: Let ω_1, ω_2 be 2 subspaces of $V(F)$.

$$\text{Let } \omega_1 = \{(a_1, a_2, 0) : a_1, a_2 \in F\},$$

$$\omega_2 = \{(a_1, 0, a_3) : a_1, a_3 \in F\}$$

So $\omega_1 \cup \omega_2$ is a set of all 3-tuples formed in the form $(a_1, a_2, 0)$ & $(a_1, 0, a_3)$.

Now let $\alpha = (1, 2, 0), \beta = (3, 0, 5)$ of $\omega_1 \cup \omega_2$.
for $a=1, b=2$,

$$a\alpha + b\beta = 1(1, 2, 0) + 2(3, 0, 5)$$

$$= (7, 2, 10) \notin \omega_1 \cup \omega_2$$

which may not be

Thus if $\alpha \in \omega_1 \cup \omega_2$ & $\beta \in \omega_1 \cup \omega_2$ then $a\alpha + b\beta \in \omega_1 \cup \omega_2$ need

Theorem 4: The union of 2 subspaces of a vectorspace is a subspace iff one is contained in the other.

Proof: Let ~~both~~ w_1, w_2 be 2 subspaces of $V(F)$.

Suppose $w_1 \subseteq w_2$ or $w_2 \subseteq w_1$, then we have to show that $w_1 \cup w_2$ is a subspace of V .

$w_1 \cup w_2 = w_2$ if $w_1 \subseteq w_2 \Rightarrow w_1 \cup w_2$ is a subspace.

$w_1 \cup w_2 = w_1$ if $w_2 \subseteq w_1 \Rightarrow w_1 \cup w_2$ is a subspace.

Conversely, let $w_1 \cup w_2$ is a subspace of V , we have to prove that $w_1 \subseteq w_2$ or $w_2 \subseteq w_1$.

Let w_1 is not a subset of w_2 and w_2 is not a subset of w_1 .

There exists an α in w_1 which is not present in w_2 & there exists a β in w_2 which is not in w_1 .

~~But~~ we have $\alpha \in w_1 \cup w_2$ & $\beta \in w_1 \cup w_2$.

since $w_1 \cup w_2$ is a subspace,

$a, b \in F, \alpha, \beta \in w_1 \cup w_2 \Rightarrow a\alpha + b\beta \in w_1 \cup w_2$.

Taking $a=1, b=1$.

$\alpha + \beta \in w_1 \cup w_2$.

Let $\alpha + \beta \in w_1$. WKT $\alpha \in w_1$,

$\Rightarrow (\alpha + \beta) + (-\alpha) \in w_1$,

$\beta \in w_1$, which is a contradiction.

let $\alpha + \beta \in w_2$, WKT $\beta \in w_2$.

$(\alpha + \beta) + (-\beta) \in w_2$.

$\alpha \in w_2$ which is a contradiction.

Hence $w_1 \subseteq w_2$ or $w_2 \subseteq w_1$.

Linear sum of 2 subspaces:

Theorem-1: The linear sum of 2 subspaces of a vectorspace is also a subspace.

Proof: Let ω_1 & ω_2 be 2 subspaces of $V(F)$. We have to show that $\omega_1 + \omega_2$ is a subspace of $V(F)$.

Let α, β be any 2 arbitrary elements of $\omega_1 + \omega_2$.

$$\alpha, \beta \in \omega_1 + \omega_2.$$

$$\text{So } \alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \text{ & } \beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \text{ where } \alpha_1, \beta_1 \in \omega_1 \text{ &} \\ \alpha_2, \beta_2 \in \omega_2.$$

$$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in V \Rightarrow \omega_1 + \omega_2 \subseteq V.$$

ω_1 is a subspace.

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1, \beta_1 \in \omega_1 \Rightarrow a\alpha_1 + b\beta_1 \in \omega_1,$$

ω_2 is a subspace.

$$a\alpha_2 + b\beta_2 \in \omega_2 \Rightarrow a\alpha_2 + b\beta_2 \in \omega_2.$$

$$(a\alpha_1 + b\beta_1) + (a\alpha_2 + b\beta_2) \in (\omega_1 + \omega_2)$$

$$a(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + b(\beta_1 + \beta_2) \in \omega_1 + \omega_2.$$

$$a\alpha + b\beta \in \omega_1 + \omega_2.$$

$\Rightarrow \omega_1 + \omega_2$ is a subspace.

Direct sum of vectorspaces:

Theorem-1: The necessary and sufficient condition for a vectorspace V to be the direct sum of two subspaces ω_1 & ω_2 are:

i) $V = \omega_1 + \omega_2$.

ii) $\omega_1 \cap \omega_2 = \{0\}$.

Defn.

Linear combination of vectors.

Theorem-1: The linear span $L(S)$ of a non-empty subset S of a vector space $V(F)$ is the smallest subspace of V containing S .

Proof: We have $L(S) = \{a_1\alpha_1 + a_2\alpha_2 + \dots + a_n\alpha_n : a_i \in F\}$

For any $\alpha \in S$, $\alpha \in L(S)$ then, $\alpha = 1 \cdot \alpha$

$\Rightarrow \alpha \in L(S)$.

$\Rightarrow S \subseteq L(S)$

Now to show $L(S)$ is a subspace,

let α, β be any 2 arbitrary elements of $L(S)$

then $\alpha = a_1\alpha_1 + a_2\alpha_2 + \dots + a_n\alpha_n$ for $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in F$ & $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in S$

& $\beta = b_1\beta_1 + b_2\beta_2 + \dots + b_m\beta_m$ for $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \in F$ & $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m \in S$

For all $a, b \in F$.

$$\begin{aligned} a\alpha + b\beta &= a(a_1\alpha_1 + a_2\alpha_2 + \dots + a_n\alpha_n) + b(b_1\beta_1 + b_2\beta_2 + \dots + b_m\beta_m) \\ &= aa_1\alpha_1 + aa_2\alpha_2 + \dots + aa_n\alpha_n + bb_1\beta_1 + bb_2\beta_2 + \dots + bb_m\beta_m \\ &= (aa_1)\alpha_1 + (aa_2)\alpha_2 + \dots + (aa_n)\alpha_n + (bb_1)\beta_1 + (bb_2)\beta_2 + \dots + (bb_m)\beta_m \end{aligned}$$

$a\alpha + b\beta$ is a linear combination of elements of S .

$a\alpha + b\beta \in L(S)$. $\Rightarrow L(S)$ is a subspace of V .

Let w is a subspace of V containing S . Let

~~$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m$~~ $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_t \in S \subset w$ & w being a subspace, then

$$a_1\alpha_1 + a_2\alpha_2 + \dots + a_t\alpha_t \in w \quad \forall a_i \in F$$

This implies that w contains all linear combinations of S , therefore $L(S) \subseteq w$.

Theorem-2: If S, T are two subsets of a vector space V then

- i) $S \subseteq T \Rightarrow L(S) \subseteq L(T)$
- ii) $L(S \cup T) = L(S) + L(T)$
- iii) $L[L(S)] = L(S)$

Proof: $\lambda \in L(S) \Rightarrow \lambda = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_nx_n$, $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in S$

i) $S \subseteq T$ so $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in T \Rightarrow \lambda \in L(T)$.

Thus $\lambda \in L(S) \Rightarrow \lambda \in L(T)$

$L(S) \subseteq L(T)$ if $S \subseteq T$.

ii) $S \subseteq S \cup T$ & $T \subseteq S \cup T$.

$$L(S) \subseteq L(S \cup T)$$

$$L(T) \subseteq L(S \cup T)$$

$$L(S) + L(T) \subseteq L(S \cup T).$$

Let λ be an arbitrary element of $L(S \cup T)$ then λ is linear combination of elements of $S \cup T$. Some of λ is and some of λ is in T .

$$\lambda \in L(S) + L(T)$$

$$L(S \cup T) \subseteq L(S) + L(T)$$

$$\text{so } L(S \cup T) = L(S) + L(T).$$

iii) $S \subseteq L(S) \Rightarrow L(S) \subseteq L[L(S)].$

Let λ be any arbitrary element of $L[L(S)]$ then λ is LC of elements of $L(S)$.

$$\lambda = b_1\beta_1 + b_2\beta_2 + \dots + b_n\beta_n.$$

where β_i is LC of elements of S .

$$\beta_1 = a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1m}x_m.$$

$$\beta_2 = a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2m}x_m$$

On substituting B values to λ we get λ is a linear combination of elements of $S \Rightarrow \lambda \in L(S)$.

$L[L(S)] \subseteq L(S)$

$$\Rightarrow L[L(S)] = L(S).$$

Theorem-3: The linear sum of 2 subspaces w_1 & w_2 of a vectorspace $V(F)$ is generated by their union. $w_1 + w_2 = L(w_1, w_2)$.

Proof: WKT, linear sum of 2 subspaces is also a subspace and linear span of a subset of a vector space is also a subspace.

$\Rightarrow w_1 + w_2$ & $L(w_1, w_2)$ are subspaces of $V(F)$.

Let α be an arbitrary element of $w_1 + w_2$.

$$\alpha \in w_1 + w_2.$$

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \text{ for } \alpha_1 \in w_1, \alpha_2 \in w_2.$$

Since $\alpha_1 \in w_1$ & $\alpha_2 \in w_2 \Rightarrow \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \in w_1 + w_2$.

Also $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1 \cdot \alpha_1 + 1 \cdot \alpha_2 \Rightarrow \alpha$ is a linear combination of elements of w_1, w_2 .

$$\Rightarrow \alpha \in L(w_1, w_2).$$

$$L(w_1 + w_2) \supseteq L(w_1, w_2)$$

But $L(w_1, w_2)$ is the smallest subspace of V containing w_1, w_2 . Since w_1, w_2 is a subspace containing w_1, w_2 .

To deduce $L(w_1, w_2) \subseteq w_1 + w_2$.

$$w_1 + w_2 = L(w_1, w_2)$$

Finite dimension vector space

Theorem-II: If $S = \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n\}$ is a basis of vector space $V(F)$ then each element of V is uniquely expressible as a LC of elements of S .

Proof: Since S is a basis of $V(F)$ then by definition of basis, each element of V is a linear combination of elements of S . So we should show the uniqueness. Let there be 2 different sets $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} \& \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ of scalars corresponding to an element $\lambda \in V$ such that

$$\lambda = a_1 d_1 + a_2 d_2 + \dots + a_n d_n$$

$$\& \lambda = b_1 d_1 + b_2 d_2 + \dots + b_n d_n.$$

$$a_1 d_1 + a_2 d_2 + \dots + a_n d_n = b_1 d_1 + b_2 d_2 + \dots + b_n d_n$$

$$(a_1 - b_1) d_1 + (a_2 - b_2) d_2 + \dots + (a_n - b_n) d_n = 0.$$

$S = \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n\}$ is LID

$$a_1 - b_1 = 0, a_2 - b_2 = 0, \dots, a_n - b_n = 0.$$

$$a_1 = b_1, a_2 = b_2, \dots, a_n = b_n.$$

Dimension of subspace of a vectorspace

Theorem-I: Let S be a linearly independent subset of a vectorspace V . Suppose β is a vector in V which is not in the subspace spanned by S . Then the set obtained by adjoining β to S is linearly independent.

Proof: Let $S = \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n\}$ be a LID subset of V . We shall show that $S_1 = \{\beta, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n\}$ is also LID where $\beta \in V$ but not in the subspace of V spanned by S .

Since $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$

$a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_nx_n + b\beta = 0$. where $a_i \neq 0$.

This is possible only if $b=0$.

if $b \neq 0$,

$$\beta = \left(\frac{a_1}{b}\right)x_1 + \left(\frac{-a_2}{b}\right)x_2 + \dots + \left(\frac{-a_n}{b}\right)x_n$$

β is a linear combination of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n .

β is in the subspace of V spanned by x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n .
which is contradiction to β not in the subspace of
 V spanned by x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n .

$\therefore b=0 \Rightarrow$ Set S_1 is also linearly independent.