Team Name: KEY

Team Number: KEY

## Section A (69 points)

2. <u>B</u>

3. <u>A</u> 4. <u>B</u> 5. <u>A</u>

6. <u>D</u>

7. <u>B</u>

8. <u>A</u>

9. <u>B</u>

10. <u>A</u> 11. <u>D</u>

12. <u>B</u>

13. <u>D</u>

14. <u>B</u>

15. <u>C</u>

16. <u>D</u> 17. <u>B</u>

18. <u>A</u>

19. <u>C</u>

20. <u>B</u>

21. \_\_\_A\_\_

22. <u>B</u>

23. \_\_\_D\_\_

24. D

25. <u>B</u>

26. <u>C</u>

27. <u>A</u>

28. <u>B</u>

29. \_\_\_A\_\_\_

30. <u>B</u>

31. \_\_\_D\_\_

32. \_\_B\_\_

33. <u>C</u> 34. <u>A</u> 35. <u>C</u>

36. \_\_\_A\_\_\_

37. <u>A</u>

38. <u>C</u>

39. <u>D</u> 40. <u>A</u> 41. <u>C</u>

42. B

43. \_\_\_C\_\_

44. \_\_\_A\_\_

45. <u>A</u> 46. <u>B</u> 47. <u>B</u>

48. B

49. <u>B</u>

50. <u>B</u>

51. <u>C</u> 52. <u>D</u> 53. <u>A</u>

54. B

55. \_\_\_A\_\_\_

56. \_\_A\_\_

57. <u>D</u> 58. <u>A</u> 59. <u>A</u>

60. \_\_\_B\_\_\_

61. <u>A</u>

62. <u>C</u> 63. <u>C</u> 64. <u>C</u> 65. <u>B</u>

66. <u>D</u>

## Team Number: KEY

## Section B (60 points)

2. <u>A</u> 3. <u>C</u> 4. <u>D</u> 5. <u>B</u> 6. <u>A</u>

7. <u>C</u> 8. <u>B</u> 9. <u>D</u> 10. <u>A</u> 11. <u>C</u> 12. <u>A</u>

13. <u>B</u>

14. <u>B, D</u>

15. <u>B, C</u> 16. <u>B</u> 17. <u>C</u>

18. <u>A</u>

19. \_\_\_A\_\_

20. <u>B</u>

21. <u>B</u>

22. \_\_\_D\_\_

23. \_\_\_A\_\_

24. <u>B</u>

25. <u>D</u>

26. <u>A</u> 27. <u>D</u> 28. <u>B</u>

29. <u>E</u>

30. <u>A</u>

Team Number: KEY

## Section C (72 points)

1. (a) <u>8</u> AU

Team Name: KEY

- (b)  $2.3 \times 10^5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$
- (c) 0.5
- 2. (a) It explained that the viewing angle of the AGN would result in a different observation.
  - (b) At the center of the AGN is a supermassive black hole which accretes matter from an accretion disk surrounding it. Further out is a large dust torus which obscures the SMBH, absorbing its radiation and radiating it out in infrared. Perpendicular to the dust torus is a jet which shoots out relativistic particles accelerated by synchrotron radiation.
  - (c)  $\underline{535} \in [450, 650]$  pc
- 3. (a) \_\_\_A\_\_
  - (b) A (1 pt). The linear regression is easy to spot, so we're left between A and C. We note the differing behavior at x = 0. For a power regression, we first notice that b < 0, as the function levels out as t increases. The equation is thus  $M = at^{-|b|} + c$ , which clearly goes to infinity as  $t \to 0$ . For the exponential though, we have  $M = ae^{b \cdot 0} + c = a + c$ . Most crucially, the slope near t = 0 is always finite, and so the graph with the steeper slope there must be the power regression. 2 points for having the right idea with the explanation.
  - (c) The linear regression only has two parameters, whereas the power and exponential ones have three.

    Thus, the linear regression has fewer degrees of freedom, and thus would have more difficulty fitting a given set of data.
- 4. (a) <u>C</u>
  - (b) <u>24.3</u> Mpc
  - (c) \_\_A\_\_
- 5. (a)  $3.9 \times 10^{-4} \text{ R}_{\odot}$ 
  - (b) As mass increases, gravity eventually dominates over neutron degeneracy pressure. The pressure required to support the object would result in a violation of the Pauli Exclusion Principle.
  - (c) The centrifugal force assists neutron degeneracy in countering gravity.
- 6. (a) The cosmic censorship hypothesis essentially states that singularities must always be cloaked by event horizons, while the "no hair" theorem states that the only three observable properties of black holes are mass, charge, and spin (angular momentum).
  - (b) <u>Disk-shaped</u>; outward pressure due to centrifugal force of rotation exceeds inward gravitational pull; something falling in would need to add enough angular momentum.
- 7. (a) <u>500</u> Mpc
  - (b) <u>500</u> Mpc
  - (c) 500 Mpc
  - (d) 1000 Mpc