## Foundations of Deep Learning - Homework Assignment #4

Adi Album & Tomer Epshtein

## Part 2: (2)

## Question:

Let  $H = \{h_{\theta} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} : \theta \in \mathbb{R}^p, \|\theta\|_{\infty} \le 0.5\}$  be a hypothesis space corresponding to a neural network with p parameters bounded in [-0.5, 0.5]. For any subset  $\Theta \subseteq \{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^p : \|\theta\|_{\infty} \le 0.5\}$ , denote  $\mathcal{H}_{\Theta} := \{h_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\}$ . Given a loss function  $\ell : \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y} \to [0,1]$  and a training set  $S = \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^m \subseteq \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ , the Radamacher complexity of  $\mathcal{H}_{\Theta}$  is defined to be:

$$R(\ell \circ \mathcal{H}_{\Theta} \circ S) \coloneqq \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{E}_{\xi} \left[ \sup_{v \in \ell \circ \mathcal{H}_{\Theta} \circ S} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \xi_{i} v_{i} \right]$$

Where:

- $\bullet \qquad \xi \text{ is short for } \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \overset{i.i.d}{\sim} \begin{cases} +1, \ w. \ p \ 0.5 \\ -1, \ w. \ p \ 0.5 \end{cases}$
- $\bullet \quad \ell \circ \mathcal{H}_\Theta \circ S = \left\{ \left( \ell \left( y_1, h(x_1) \right), \ell \left( y_2, h(x_2) \right), \dots, \ell \left( y_m, h(x_m) \right) \right) : h \in \mathcal{H}_\Theta \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$

Assume that

$$\mathbb{E}_{S}[R(\ell \circ \mathcal{H}_{\Theta} \circ S)] = Volume(\Theta) := \int_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{p}} 1_{[\theta \in \Theta]} d\theta$$

Assume also that the implicit regularization of optimization leads to solutions with high  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ , i.e., to:  $h_{\widehat{\theta}} \in \mathcal{H} , \widehat{\theta} \in argmax_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^p, \|\theta\|_{\infty} \le 0.5} \|\theta\|_{\infty} \ s. \ t \ \theta \ minimizes \ training \ loss$ 

Derive a generalization bound for  $\mathcal{H}$  that takes advantage of our knowledge on the implicit regularization, i.e. under which learned solutions with high  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  ensure small generalization gap.

## Proof:

Define  $\Theta^{(c)} \coloneqq \left\{ \theta \in \mathbb{R}^p : \frac{1}{2} - c <= \|\theta\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2} \right\}$  for  $c \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be a small positive real value such that for some  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ :  $t\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ . We define a series  $\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \Theta_3, \dots$  by:

- For all  $i \le t$ :  $\Theta_i := \Theta^{(i \cdot \epsilon)}$
- For all i > t:  $\Theta_i := \Theta$

We have  $\Theta_1\subseteq\Theta_2\subseteq\cdots$ . For each  $i\in\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\Theta_i$  defines  $\mathcal{H}_{\Theta_i}$  and this produces a series of subsets:  $\mathcal{H}_{\Theta_1}\subseteq\mathcal{H}_{\Theta_2}\subseteq\cdots$ 

1. For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$ :

$$\mathbb{E}_{S}[R(\ell \circ \mathcal{H}_{\Theta_{k}} \circ S)] = Volume(\Theta_{k}) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{p} - \left(\frac{1}{2} - k\epsilon\right)^{p}$$

 $R(\ell\circ\mathcal{H}_{\Theta_k}\circ S)$  is a non-negative random variable, so by Markov's Inequality:  $\forall a>0$  :

$$\Pr_{S}(R(\ell \circ \mathcal{H}_{\Theta_{k}} \circ S) \geq a) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}_{S}[R(\ell \circ \mathcal{H}_{\Theta_{k}} \circ S)]}{a} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{p} - \left(\frac{1}{2} - k\epsilon\right)^{p}}{a} \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$$

We want to choose a such that (?) holds

I.e.

$$\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p - \left(\frac{1}{2} - k\epsilon\right)^p}{a} \le \frac{\delta}{2}$$

Choose:

$$a = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p - \left(\frac{1}{2} - k\epsilon\right)^p}{\delta/2}$$

So we have

$$\Pr_{S} \left( R \left( \ell \circ \mathcal{H}_{\Theta_{k}} \circ S \right) \ge \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{p} - \left(\frac{1}{2} - k\epsilon\right)^{p}}{\delta/2} \right) \le \frac{\delta}{2}$$

Or by viewing complement:

$$\Pr_{S}\left(R\left(\ell\circ\mathcal{H}_{\Theta_{k}}\circ S\right)<\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{p}-\left(\frac{1}{2}-k\epsilon\right)^{p}}{\delta/2}\right)>1-\frac{\delta}{2}$$

2. By proposition proved in class,

 $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \delta \in (0,1) \text{ w.p.} \geq 1 - \frac{\delta}{2} \text{ over } S \sim D^m$ :

$$h \in \mathcal{H}_k : L_D(h) - L_S(h) \le 2R(\ell \circ \mathcal{H}_k \circ S) + \sqrt{\frac{2 \cdot \ln\left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3}k^2\frac{2}{\delta}\right)}{m}}$$

Let  $\delta \in (0,1)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\Pr\left(\forall h \in \mathcal{H}_k : L_D(h) - L_S(h) \leq 2R(\ell \circ \mathcal{H}_k \circ S) + \sqrt{\frac{2 \cdot ln\left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3} k^2 \frac{2}{\delta}\right)}{m}}\right) \geq 1 - \frac{\delta}{2}$$

3. Reminder: For any two probabilistic events A and B we have

$$Pr(A \cap B) \ge Pr(A) + Pr(B) - 1$$

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Let's look at:

$$\Pr\left(\left(\forall h \in \mathcal{H}_k : L_D(h) - L_S(h) \leq 2R(\ell \circ \mathcal{H}_k \circ S) + \sqrt{\frac{2 \cdot ln\left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3}k^2\frac{2}{\delta}\right)}{m}}\right) \cap \left(R\left(\ell \circ \mathcal{H}_{\Theta_k} \circ S\right) < \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p - \left(\frac{1}{2} - k\epsilon\right)^p}{\delta/2}\right)\right)$$

On the one hand:

$$\Pr\left(\left(\forall h \in \mathcal{H}_k : L_D(h) - L_S(h) \leq 2R(\ell \circ \mathcal{H}_k \circ S) + \sqrt{\frac{2 \cdot ln\left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3}k^2\frac{2}{\delta}\right)}{m}}\right) \cap \left(R(\ell \circ \mathcal{H}_{\Theta_k} \circ S) < \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p - \left(\frac{1}{2} - k\epsilon\right)^p}{\delta/2}\right)\right) \stackrel{(3)}{\geq} \\ \geq \Pr\left(\forall h \in \mathcal{H}_k : L_D(h) - L_S(h) \leq 2R(\ell \circ \mathcal{H}_k \circ S) + \sqrt{\frac{2 \cdot ln\left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3}k^2\frac{2}{\delta}\right)}{m}}\right) + \Pr\left(R(\ell \circ \mathcal{H}_{\Theta_k} \circ S) < \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p - \left(\frac{1}{2} - k\epsilon\right)^p}{\delta/2}\right) - 1 \stackrel{(1)\&(2)}{\geq} \\ \geq \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{2}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{2}\right) - 1 = 1 - \delta$$

• On the other hand:

$$\Pr\left(\left(\forall h \in \mathcal{H}_k : L_D(h) - L_S(h) \leq 2R(\ell \circ \mathcal{H}_k \circ S) + \sqrt{\frac{2 \cdot ln\left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3}k^2\frac{2}{\delta}\right)}{m}}\right) \cap \left(R(\ell \circ \mathcal{H}_{\Theta_k} \circ S) < \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p - \left(\frac{1}{2} - k\epsilon\right)^p}{\delta/2}\right)\right) \leq \\ \leq \Pr\left(\forall h \in \mathcal{H}_k : L_D(h) - L_S(h) < \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p - \left(\frac{1}{2} - k\epsilon\right)^p}{\delta/4} + \sqrt{\frac{2 \cdot ln\left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3}k^2\frac{2}{\delta}\right)}{m}}\right)$$

Bringing it all together, we have:

 $\forall \delta \in (0,1), \forall \epsilon > 0 \ and \ k \in \mathbb{N} \ such that \ k\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}, \text{ w.p.} \geq 1 - \delta$ 

$$h \in \mathcal{H}_{\Theta_k}: L_D(h) - L_S(h) < \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p - \left(\frac{1}{2} - k\epsilon\right)^p}{\delta/4} + \sqrt{\frac{2 \cdot ln\left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3} k^2 \frac{2}{\delta}\right)}{m}}$$

Therefor, solutions with high  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  are hypothesis'  $h \in \mathcal{H}_k$  with small k, yielding small generalization gaps.