Part 3 Implicit Regularization / linear regression Ovestion 1

Prove the following proposition.

## Proposition:

With the notations and setting established in class suppose we minimize  $L_S(w)$  by initializing  $w^{(o)} = \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and producing iterates  $w^{(a)}, w^{(a)}, \dots$  Via iterative algorithm in which every update  $w^{(a+1)} - w^{(a)} = span \in \mathcal{T}^c_{(x_i,y_i)}(w) : i \in [m], w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

Assume convergence to global min with zero loss.

Then the sub-optimality of the obtained norm (i.e. the extent to which it is larger than min norm a cross all global optima) is  $\leq ||P_{\perp}a||$  where  $|P_{\perp}:|R^d| \Rightarrow |R^d|$  stands for projection onto the optimal complement of span  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m$ .

Proof

Denote by  $W^* = \arg \min_{W \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ global min}} \text{the solution}$   $L_s(w) = 0$ 

With the min norm across all global optima.

## Lemma 1

The solveion under the sections in the proposition is

$$\widetilde{W} = \alpha + \chi (\chi^T \chi)^{-1} (y - \chi^T q)$$

With the markings above and as far as lemma 1 is true we have to prove that

11 W 11 4 11 W 11 + 11 Pra11

in order to finish the question.

Proof of lemma 1 Fire, we'll prove this for every cell we as spanty 3,-1 by induction. base: Wo= a = a + Fo.xi & a+ Span fxising Step: Suppose we at stan (xi3; 1. Well prove that well a a span (xi) wto a + span (xi3i=1 => W= a + frixi for (ai) scalars Note that for any ietm and well Te (W,Xi, Yi) = (X; W-Y; ) · Xi This inlies that for every to/N Weil-Wt & Stan (xi) = 1 ( Since we know that we -we stan ( TE(xi, yi) (W) [iccn] well!) => WEHA - (a+ = x:xi) = = = BIX; => w+1 = a+ = (41+81)X1 => W+1 & a + stan {Xi} = Hence we get for every LEN WE = a + Man[x,3,4]. Since the subspace at span [xisi=1 is topologically clusted W= lim WE & a + span{xi};=1 =>  $\widetilde{\omega} = \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i x_i$  for some scalars  $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^{n}$ =>  $\widetilde{\omega}=\alpha+xr$  for  $r=\begin{pmatrix} r_1\\ r_2\\ r_3\end{pmatrix}$ (continue of the proof next page)

```
We've abe waaxt.
 Since the convergence is to slobal min with acro lass we get
 x7 (a+xv)=9
          -> x a + x x x + = 9
           => XTX+ < U-XTa
rank(xxx=m =5 }= (xxx)^ (y-xxa)
            => W= a+xxxx11 (y-x1a)
 95 required.
                                        of clan
 Now, remember that at class we proved that the solvern
  w = X(X-X)-14 is the one with minimal norm.
  Thus we have to show that
      11 a+ x 8 x x 1 ( ( x x a) 1) = 11 W (1 = 11 W x 11 + 11 /2 a) = 11 x (x x x) 1 y 11 + 11 /2 a 11
  Well show that:
 11 (c+ x & x) 1 (b + x a) 11 = 11 9 + x x x 1 1 y - x x x) 1x a 11
     1 ingequilty
      a= Pia+Pia
where praespansizing and Pra is the projection or described in the gyestion.
      Notice that Ly definition <xi, Pa>= 0 Vi => x Pa= (0)
      thus:
     (E) 11x x xx) 19/1+11 P2 Q+ P11 Q- x(x xx) 1x 1/1 a/16
     Puaesian (xi3m, thus Pua = X.b for some b= (51)
      (=) 11 x(x x) 1 4 11 P1 9+ Xb - X (X x 1 1 X X b 11
      = 11 × x x) 19H+ 11P2a11
 Which means that the subopenality of the obtained norm = 11/2011
  as required.
```