

Keep Learning
Retake the assignment in **7h 33m**

grade 92.3%

TO PASS 80% or higher

Final Exam

LATEST SUBMISSION GRADE 92.3%

1.	Let (E,D) be an authenticated encryption system built by combining a CPA-secure symmetric cipher and a MAC. The system is combined with an error-correction code to correct random transmission errors. In what order should encryption and error correction be applied? The order does not matter — neither one can correct errors. The order does not matter — either one is fine. Encrypt and then apply the error correction code. Apply the error correction code and then encrypt the result. Correct That is correct. The error correction code will do its best to correct random errors after which the MAC in the ciphertext will be checked to ensure no other errors remains.	1/1 point
2.	Let X be a uniform random variable over the set $\{0,1\}^n$. Let Y be an arbitrary random variable over the set $\{0,1\}^n$ (not necessarily uniform) that is independent of X . Define the random variable $Z=X\oplus Y$. What is the probability that Z equals 0^n ? 1/2^n 2/2^n $1-(1/2^n)$ $1/n^2$ 	1/1 point
	Correct The probability is $1/2^n$. To see why, observe that whatever Y is, the probability that $Z=X\oplus Y=0^n \text{ is the same as the probability that } X=Y \text{ which is }$ exactly $1/2^n$ because X is uniform.	
3.	Suppose (E_1,D_1) is a symmetric cipher that uses 128 bit keys to encrypt 1024 bit messages. Suppose (E_2,D_2) is a symmetric cipher that uses 128 bit keys to encrypt 128 bit messages. The encryption algorithms E_1 and E_2 are deterministic and do not use nonces. Which of the following statements is true? $(E_2,D_2) \text{ can be one-time semantically secure and perfectly secure.}$ $\text{Ves, for example } (E_2,D_2) \text{ can be the one time pad.}$ $(E_2,D_2) \text{ can be perfectly secure.}$ $(E_1,D_1) \text{ can be perfectly secure.}$	0 / 1 point
	(E_1, D_2) can be semantically secure under a chosen plaintext attack	

4.	Which of the following statements regarding CBC and counter mode is correct?	1/1 point
	Both counter mode and CBC mode can operate	
	just using a PRF.	
	CBC mode encryption requires a block	
	cipher (PRP), but counter mode encryption only needs a PRF.	
	ounter mode encryption requires a block	
	cipher (PRP), but CBC mode encryption only needs a PRF.	
	Both counter mode and CBC mode require a block	
	cipher (PRP).	
	✓ Correct	
	Yes, CBC needs to invert the PRP for decryption, while	
	counter mode only needs to evaluate the PRF in the forward direction	
	for both encryption and decryption. Therefore, a PRF is	
	sufficient for counter mode.	
5.	Let $G:X o X^2$ be a secure PRG where $X=\{0,1\}^{256}$.	1 / 1 point
	We let $G(k)[0]$ denote	
	the left half of the output and $G(k)[1]$ denote the right half.	
	Which of the following statements is true?	
	$\bigcap F(k,m) = G(k)[0] \oplus m$ is a secure PRF with key space and message	
	space X .	
	lacksquare F(k,m) = G(k)[m] is a secure PRF with key space X and message	
	space $m \in \{0,1\}$.	
	space $m \in \{0,1\}$. $ F(k,m) = G(m)[0] \oplus k \text{ is a secure PRF with key space and message} $	
	space X . $ F(k,m) = m \oplus k \text{ is a secure PRF with key space and message space } X. $	
	$F(k,m)=m\oplus k$ is a secure FRF with key space and message space X .	
	✓ Correct	
	Yes, since the output of $G(k)$ is indistinguishable from	
	random, the left and right halves are indistinguishable from random	
	independent values.	
6.	Let $\left(E,D\right)$ be a nonce-based symmetric encryption system (i.e. algorithm	1/1 point
	${\cal E}$ takes as input a key, a message, and a nonce, and similarly the	
	decryption algorithm takes a nonce as one of its inputs). The system	
	provides chosen plaintext security (CPA-security) as long as the nonce	
	never repeats. Suppose a single encryption key is used to encrypt	
	2^{32} messages and the nonces are generated independently at random for each	
	encryption, how long should the nonce be to ensure that it never repeats	
	with high probability?	
	128 bits	
	○ 64 bits	
	16 bits	
	32 bits	
	✓ Correct Yes, the probability of repetition after 2 ³² samples ✓ Correct Yes, the probability of repetition after 2 ³² samples ✓ Correct Yes, the probability of repetition after 2 ³² samples ✓ Correct Yes, the probability of repetition after 2 ³² samples ✓ Correct Yes, the probability of repetition after 2 ³² samples ✓ Correct Yes, the probability of repetition after 2 ³² samples ✓ Correct Yes, the probability of repetition after 2 ³² samples ✓ Correct Yes, the probability of repetition after 2 ³² samples Yes, the probability of repetition after 2 ³² samples Yes, the probability of repetition after 2 ³² samples Yes, the probability of repetition after 2 ³² samples Yes, the probability of repetition after 2 ³² samples Yes, the probability of repetition after 2 ³² samples Yes, the probability of repetition after 2 ³² samples Yes, the probability of repetition after 2 ³² samples Yes, the probability of repetition after 2 ³² samples Yes, the probability of the probability of repetition after 2 ³² samples Yes, the probability of the prob	
	is negligible.	

	$\ensuremath{ igselsuremath{ igselsuremath{ iggr (E,D)}}$ provides chosen-ciphertext security.	
	Correct yes, we showed this in class.	
	$\begin{tabular}{ll} \Box & {\it Given} \ c = E(k,m) \ {\it for some secret} \ k,m, \end{tabular}$	
	the attacker cannot find k^\prime, m^\prime such that $c = E(k^\prime, m^\prime)$.	
11.	Which of the following statements is true about the basic Diffie-Hellman	1/1 point
	key-exchange protocol.	
	As with RSA, the protocol only provides	
	eavesdropping security in the group \mathbb{Z}_N^\star where N is an	
	RSA modulus.	
	☑ The basic protocol enables key exchange secure against	
	eavesdropping, but is insecure against active adversaries that can	
	inject and modify messages.	
	✓ Correct	
	yes, Diffie-Hellman is secure against eavesdropping,	
	but is insecure against man in the middle attacks.	
	The protocol can be converted to a public-key	
	encryption system called the ElGamal public-key system.	
	✓ Correct yes, that is correct.	
	☐ The protocol is based on the concept of a trapdoor	
	function.	
12		
12.	Suppose $n+1$ parties, call them B,A_1,\dots,A_n , wish to setup	1/1 point
12.	Suppose $n+1$ parties, call them B,A_1,\dots,A_n , wish to setup a shared group key. They want a protocol so that at the end	1/1 point
12.	Suppose $n+1$ parties, call them B,A_1,\dots,A_n , wish to setup	1/1 point
12.	Suppose $n+1$ parties, call them B,A_1,\dots,A_n , wish to setup a shared group key. They want a protocol so that at the end of the protocol they all have a common secret key k , but an eavesdropper	1/1 point
12.	Suppose $n+1$ parties, call them B,A_1,\dots,A_n , wish to setup a shared group key. They want a protocol so that at the end of the protocol they all have a common secret key k , but an eavesdropper who sees the entire conversation cannot determine k . The parties	1 / 1 point
12.	Suppose $n+1$ parties, call them B,A_1,\dots,A_n , wish to setup a shared group key. They want a protocol so that at the end of the protocol they all have a common secret key k , but an eavesdropper who sees the entire conversation cannot determine k . The parties agree on the following protocol that runs in a group G of prime order q with generator g :	1 / 1 point
12.	Suppose $n+1$ parties, call them B,A_1,\dots,A_n , wish to setup a shared group key. They want a protocol so that at the end of the protocol they all have a common secret key k , but an eavesdropper who sees the entire conversation cannot determine k . The parties agree on the following protocol that runs in a group G of prime order q with generator g : • for $i=1,\dots,n$ party A_i chooses a random a_i in $\{1,\dots,q\}$ and sends to Party B the quantity $X_i \leftarrow g^{a_i}$. • Party B generates a random b in $\{1,\dots,q\}$ and for $i=1,\dots,n$ responds to Party A_i with the	1 / 1 point
12.	Suppose $n+1$ parties, call them B,A_1,\dots,A_n , wish to setup a shared group key. They want a protocol so that at the end of the protocol they all have a common secret key k , but an eavesdropper who sees the entire conversation cannot determine k . The parties agree on the following protocol that runs in a group G of prime order q with generator g : • for $i=1,\dots,n$ party A_i chooses a random a_i in $\{1,\dots,q\}$ and sends to Party B the quantity $X_i \leftarrow g^{a_i}$. • Party B generates a random b in $\{1,\dots,q\}$ and for $i=1,\dots,n$ responds to Party A_i with the messages $Y_i \leftarrow X_i^b$.	1/1 point
112.	Suppose $n+1$ parties, call them B,A_1,\dots,A_n , wish to setup a shared group key. They want a protocol so that at the end of the protocol they all have a common secret key k , but an eavesdropper who sees the entire conversation cannot determine k . The parties agree on the following protocol that runs in a group G of prime order q with generator g : • for $i=1,\dots,n$ party A_i chooses a random a_i in $\{1,\dots,q\}$ and sends to Party B the quantity $X_i \leftarrow g^{a_i}$. • Party B generates a random b in $\{1,\dots,q\}$ and for $i=1,\dots,n$ responds to Party A_i with the messages $Y_i \leftarrow X_i^b$. The final group key should be g^b . Clearly Party B can compute	1/1 point
12.	Suppose $n+1$ parties, call them B,A_1,\dots,A_n , wish to setup a shared group key. They want a protocol so that at the end of the protocol they all have a common secret key k , but an eavesdropper who sees the entire conversation cannot determine k . The parties agree on the following protocol that runs in a group G of prime order q with generator g : • for $i=1,\dots,n$ party A_i chooses a random a_i in $\{1,\dots,q\}$ and sends to Party B the quantity $X_i \leftarrow g^{a_i}$. • Party B generates a random b in $\{1,\dots,q\}$ and for $i=1,\dots,n$ responds to Party A_i with the messages $Y_i \leftarrow X_i^b$.	1/1 point
12.	Suppose $n+1$ parties, call them B,A_1,\ldots,A_n , wish to setup a shared group key. They want a protocol so that at the end of the protocol they all have a common secret key k , but an eavesdropper who sees the entire conversation cannot determine k . The parties agree on the following protocol that runs in a group G of prime order q with generator g : • for $i=1,\ldots,n$ party A_i chooses a random a_i in $\{1,\ldots,q\}$ and sends to Party B the quantity $X_i \leftarrow g^{a_i}$. • Party B generates a random b in $\{1,\ldots,q\}$ and for $i=1,\ldots,n$ responds to Party A_i with the messages $Y_i \leftarrow X_i^b$. The final group key should be g^b . Clearly Party B can compute this group key. How would each Party A_i compute this group key?	1/1 point
12.	Suppose $n+1$ parties, call them B,A_1,\ldots,A_n , wish to setup a shared group key. They want a protocol so that at the end of the protocol they all have a common secret key k , but an eavesdropper who sees the entire conversation cannot determine k . The parties agree on the following protocol that runs in a group G of prime order q with generator g : • for $i=1,\ldots,n$ party A_i chooses a random a_i in $\{1,\ldots,q\}$ and sends to Party B the quantity $X_i \leftarrow g^{a_i}$. • Party B generates a random b in $\{1,\ldots,q\}$ and for $i=1,\ldots,n$ responds to Party A_i with the messages $Y_i \leftarrow X_i^b$. The final group key should be g^b . Clearly Party B can compute this group key. How would each Party A_i compute this group key? • Party A_i computes g^b as $Y_i^{a_i}$	1/1 point
12.	Suppose $n+1$ parties, call them B,A_1,\ldots,A_n , wish to setup a shared group key. They want a protocol so that at the end of the protocol they all have a common secret key k , but an eavesdropper who sees the entire conversation cannot determine k . The parties agree on the following protocol that runs in a group G of prime order q with generator g : • for $i=1,\ldots,n$ party A_i chooses a random a_i in $\{1,\ldots,q\}$ and sends to Party B the quantity $X_i \leftarrow g^{a_i}$. • Party B generates a random b in $\{1,\ldots,q\}$ and for $i=1,\ldots,n$ responds to Party A_i with the messages $Y_i \leftarrow X_i^b$. The final group key should be g^b . Clearly Party B can compute this group key. How would each Party A_i compute this group key? • Party A_i computes g^b as $Y_i^{a_i}$. • Party A_i computes g^b as $Y_i^{a_i}$.	1/1 point
12.	Suppose $n+1$ parties, call them B,A_1,\ldots,A_n , wish to setup a shared group key. They want a protocol so that at the end of the protocol they all have a common secret key k , but an eavesdropper who sees the entire conversation cannot determine k . The parties agree on the following protocol that runs in a group G of prime order q with generator g : • for $i=1,\ldots,n$ party A_i chooses a random a_i in $\{1,\ldots,q\}$ and sends to Party B the quantity $X_i \leftarrow g^{a_i}$. • Party B generates a random b in $\{1,\ldots,q\}$ and for $i=1,\ldots,n$ responds to Party A_i with the messages $Y_i \leftarrow X_i^b$. The final group key should be g^b . Clearly Party B can compute this group key. How would each Party A_i compute this group key? • Party A_i computes g^b as $Y_i^{a_i}$ • Party A_i computes g^b as $Y_i^{a_i}$	1/1 point
	Suppose $n+1$ parties, call them B,A_1,\ldots,A_n , wish to setup a shared group key. They want a protocol so that at the end of the protocol they all have a common secret key k , but an eavesdropper who sees the entire conversation cannot determine k . The parties agree on the following protocol that runs in a group G of prime order q with generator g : • for $i=1,\ldots,n$ party A_i chooses a random a_i in $\{1,\ldots,q\}$ and sends to Party B the quantity $X_i \leftarrow g^{a_i}$. • Party B generates a random b in $\{1,\ldots,q\}$ and for $i=1,\ldots,n$ responds to Party A_i with the messages $Y_i \leftarrow X_i^b$. The final group key should be g^b . Clearly Party B can compute this group key. How would each Party A_i compute this group key? • Party A_i computes g^b as $Y_i^{a_i}$ • Party A_i computes g^b as Y_i^{-1/a_i} • Party A_i computes g^b as Y_i^{-1/a_i} • Party A_i computes g^b as Y_i^{-1/a_i}	1/1 point
	Suppose $n+1$ parties, call them B,A_1,\ldots,A_n , wish to setup a shared group key. They want a protocol so that at the end of the protocol they all have a common secret key k , but an eavesdropper who sees the entire conversation cannot determine k . The parties agree on the following protocol that runs in a group G of prime order q with generator g : • for $i=1,\ldots,n$ party A_i chooses a random a_i in $\{1,\ldots,q\}$ and sends to Party B the quantity $X_i \leftarrow g^{a_i}$. • Party B generates a random b in $\{1,\ldots,q\}$ and for $i=1,\ldots,n$ responds to Party A_i with the messages $Y_i \leftarrow X_b^i$. The final group key should be g^b . Clearly Party B can compute this group key. How would each Party A_i compute this group key? Party A_i computes g^b as $Y_i^{a_i}$ Party A_i computes g^b as $Y_i^{a_i}$ Party A_i computes g^b as $Y_i^{a_i}$ Party A_i computes g^b as Y_i^{-1/a_i} Correct Yes, $Y_i^{1/a_i} = g^{(ba_i)/a_i} = g^b$.	
	Suppose $n+1$ parties, call them B,A_1,\ldots,A_n , wish to setup a shared group key. They want a protocol so that at the end of the protocol they all have a common secret key k , but an eavesdropper who sees the entire conversation cannot determine k . The parties agree on the following protocol that runs in a group G of prime order q with generator g : • for $i=1,\ldots,n$ party A_i chooses a random a_i in $\{1,\ldots,q\}$ and sends to Party B the quantity $X_i \leftarrow g^{a_i}$. • Party B generates a random b in $\{1,\ldots,q\}$ and for $i=1,\ldots,n$ responds to Party A_i with the messages $Y_i \leftarrow X_i^b$. The final group key should be g^b . Clearly Party B can compute this group key. How would each Party A_i compute this group key? Party A_i computes g^b as $Y_i^{a_i}$ Party A_i computes g^b as Y_i^{1/a_i} Recall that the RSA trapdoor permutation is defined in the group	
	Suppose $n+1$ parties, call them B,A_1,\ldots,A_n , wish to setup a shared group key. They want a protocol so that at the end of the protocol they all have a common secret key k , but an eavesdropper who sees the entire conversation cannot determine k . The parties agree on the following protocol that runs in a group G of prime order q with generator g : • for $i=1,\ldots,n$ party A_i chooses a random a_i in $\{1,\ldots,q\}$ and sends to Party B the quantity $X_i \leftarrow g^{a_i}$. • Party B generates a random b in $\{1,\ldots,q\}$ and for $i=1,\ldots,n$ responds to Party A_i with the messages $Y_i \leftarrow X_i^b$. The final group key should be g^b . Clearly Party B can compute this group key. How would each Party A_i compute this group key? • Party A_i computes g^b as Y_i^{-1/a_i} • Party A_i computes g^b as	

composite $N. \, \mbox{Show}$ that in that case anyone can compute the private

 $\mbox{key}\left(N,d\right)$ from the public $\mbox{key}\left(N,e\right)$ by computing:

- $\bigcirc \ d \leftarrow e^{-1} \ (\text{mod} \ p+1).$
- $\bigcirc \ d \leftarrow -e \ (\bmod \ p).$
- $\bigcirc \ d \leftarrow e^{-1} \ (\bmod \ p).$



yes, that is correct.