## **Checklist for evaluating Senior Projects poster's completeness**

## Content

1.	Uses informative title, headings, University Logo	2
2.	Provides motivation	2
3.	Identifies problem or gap in knowledge	2
4.	States objectives / research questions	2
5.	Describes approach or methods; states key assumptions	2
6.	Presents results and conclusions	2
7.	Focuses on key points, not extraneous details	2
8.	Uses logical pattern of organization	2
9.	Explains figures/tables and labels them appropriately	2
10.	Eliminates or defines jargon/acronyms	2
11.	Uses correct grammar, spelling, and punctuation	2
12.	Cites references	2
13.	Acknowledges support	2
14.	Includes contact information	2
15.	Includes information about author's and project Advisers	2
Visual Display		
1.	Appropriate number of words and images (not overloaded)	2
2.	Font sizes can be read easily from 4 - 6 ft. away	2
3.	Figures focus on critical elements; not excessive in detail	2
4.	Chart junk is minimal	2
5.	Comparisons make analysis clear and easy to evaluate	2
6.	Backgrounds do not interfere with text or diagrams	2
7.	Adequate white space makes organization of information clear	2
8.	Images are high quality and relevant to purpose	2
9.	Color choices are attractive and easy to view	2
10.	Formatting conventions are applied consistently	2
		 50

Committee for Senior Projects
Department of Computer Science

Curtsy: Tracy Volz, PhD Rice University tmvolz@rice.edu