

Computer Systems - Solutions to Problems 1–4

Problem 1: Processes, IPC, and Signals

Part 1 (4 points)

1. **Steady state:** A process is “stuck” but not terminated:
 - Blocked on I/O or a resource forever,
 - Running a function (like `g()`) that never returns.
2. **Zombie process:** A process has exited but is not yet `wait`-reaped by its parent. It disappears once the parent (or `init`) calls `wait()`.
- 3.

```
wp = wait(&status),  wp = 1083,  WIFEXITED(status) = 1,  WEXITSTATUS(status) = 101.
```

Then process 1000 is the *parent* of process 1083. Process 1083’s final call was effectively `exit(101)`.

4. **Pipes:**
 - `read()` after the last writer closes yields 0 (EOF).
 - `write()` when no readers exist raises `SIGPIPE` or returns `EPIPE`.

Part 2 (6 points): Process Tree Sketch

A parent creates three pipes and three children.

- **Child `i=0`** eventually reads from `fd[0]`, forks subchildren, blocks in `wait` or ends up stuck in `g(0,5)`.
- **Child `i=1`** writes a value to child 0, reads from `fd[1]`, forks subchildren, finally calls `g(1,5)`.
- **Child `i=2`** is killed by the parent (`SIGKILL`) and then reaped.

IPC: Child 1 sends an integer to child 0 via `fd[0]`, parent writes to `fd[2]` but kills child 2 before it can read, and parent reaps child 2. All surviving processes remain in functions like `g()` or `wait()`, forming a permanent steady state.

Problem 2: Processes and Pipes

Part 1 (4 points): Explanation

This program creates four children in a loop (`i=0..3`). Each child:

1. Uses a pipe to exchange data,
2. Forks subchildren based on how many bytes are read,
3. Ends by calling `f(...)` (which never returns).

The parent then sends `SIGUSR1` to the child `i=2`, causing it to exit immediately; the parent reaps that child's status. Meanwhile, children `i=0,1,3` eventually block in `wait()` for their own subchildren (or call `f(...)` themselves). The parent also gets stuck in its second `wait()`, since no other child exits.

Part 2 (3 points): Final Process Tree

- **Parent:** Created children `i=0..3`. Reaps only child 2, then blocks in `wait()`.
- **Child `i=2`:** Receives `SIGUSR1` early, calls `exit()`, then is reaped.
- **Child `i=0`:** Reads some bytes, forks subchildren (each stuck in `f()`), and itself ends up blocked in `wait()` or in `f(0,5)`.
- **Child `i=1`:** Similar behavior: reads bytes, forks, blocked waiting on subchildren, or calls `f(1,5)`.
- **Child `i=3`:** Reads bytes, forks subchildren, then blocks in `wait()`.

IPC:

- Child 1 writes a value to child 0,
- The parent writes to child 2's pipe but kills it with `SIGKILL`,
- Parent also writes data for child 3 to read.

All remaining processes are stuck in either `wait()` or a never-returning `f()`.

Problem 3: Processes and Pipes

Part 1 (4 points): Explanation

A loop creates four children (`i=0..3`), each associated with a pipe. The parent writes a PID into each pipe (or closes the pipe, causing the child to read zero). Each child:

1. Reads a `pid_t` from its pipe,
2. If that read value is positive, sends `SIGUSR1` to the indicated process,
3. Calls `f(i, pid[i])` (which never returns).

The parent also sets up a signal handler for `SIGUSR1` that calls `f(0, -3)`. Hence, any child receiving `SIGUSR1` will jump into that handler and remain stuck. The parent tries a `wait(NULL)` but never reaps any child (none exit), so it remains blocked or otherwise stuck forever.

Part 2 (3 points): Final Process Tree

- **Parent:** Stuck at `wait(NULL)`; no child ever exits.
- **Child 0:** Possibly gets `SIGUSR1` from Child 1, then runs `handler` \rightarrow `f(0, -3)` forever.
- **Child 1:** After reading Child 0's PID, does `kill(pid[0], SIGUSR1)`, then calls `f(1, pid[0])`.
- **Child 2, Child 3:** Typically read zero from their pipes (EOF), do not send signals, and remain in `f(2,0)` or `f(3,0)`.

Because `f()` never returns, all processes remain active and blocked in their respective states, forming a permanent steady state.

Problem 4: Processes and Pipes

Code and Behavior:

1. The parent forks a child, then writes two chunks of data to the pipe:
 - 8 bytes initially (`2*sizeof(int)`),
 - Another 8 bytes afterward (`r1` is 8).
2. The child reads data in three calls:
 - 2 bytes first (`r3 = 2`),
 - 4 bytes second (`r5 = 4`),
 - 3 bytes third (`r6 = 3`).
3. After writing, the parent does `waitpid(..., WNOHANG)`: if the child has not exited, it returns 0.

Values of `r1`, `r2`, `r3`, `r5`, `r6`, `r7` and Orphans/Zombies:

- $r1 = 8, \quad r2 = 8, \quad r3 = 2, \quad r5 = 4, \quad r6 = 3, \quad r7 = 0$ (child not exited yet).
- The child does a final `sleep(20)` unless line D is removed, in which case it may exit first.
 - If the child outlives the parent, it becomes an orphan (no zombies remain).
 - If line D is removed, the child can finish early and be reaped by the parent (`r7 > 0`), meaning no orphan at the end.

Summary of Cases:

- **No lines removed (Q1):** `r1=8, r2=8, r3=2, r5=4, r6=3, r7=0`, one orphan (the child), no zombies.
- **Removing A, B, or C (Q2–Q4):** Same read/write sizes and `r7=0`; child still outlives the parent, becoming an orphan.
- **Removing D (Q5):** Child exits faster, so typically `r7 = childPID > 0`; no orphan remains.