

--Question Starting--

1. In a hypothetical tribe, the chiefship position becomes vacant. The tribe is considering a change from their traditional hereditary succession to an elective system, aiming to enhance leadership effectiveness and community involvement. Given the tribe's history of internal conflicts and power struggles under the hereditary system, which change in the succession system could potentially reduce these conflicts?

- (1) Maintaining a hereditary system but incorporating democratic elements such as advisory councils.
- (2) Shifting entirely to an elective system where all adult tribe members can vote for their leader.
- (3) Implementing a rotational chiefship among different families to ensure power distribution.
- (4) Creating a council of elders who select the chief from candidates within the ruling family.

Answer Key: 2

Solution:

? Option 2 (Correct): Moving to an elective system could democratize the leadership selection process, potentially reducing power struggles by giving all members a voice, thus addressing the root cause of the conflicts.

? Option 1 (Incorrect): While incorporating democratic elements can help, it does not change the fundamental nature of the hereditary system, which might still lead to conflicts.

? Option 3 (Incorrect): Although rotation among families can distribute power, it doesn't necessarily solve the issue of conflicts arising from lack of broader community involvement in the selection process.

? Option 4 (Incorrect): This option still limits the choice to the ruling family, which may not address the underlying issues of internal conflicts and power struggles.

Hence, Option (2) is the right answer.

--Question Starting--

2. During a family estate division, one sibling proposes switching from the traditional partible inheritance system to an impartible one, arguing this could prevent the fragmentation of the family estate. Considering the potential impacts on family relations and estate management, what could be a critical analysis of this proposal?

- (1) Partible inheritance promotes equality among siblings but may lead to inefficient management.
- (2) Impartible inheritance could lead to disputes among siblings not chosen to inherit the primary asset.
- (3) Switching to impartible inheritance can enhance estate management by maintaining it as a single unit.
- (4) Keeping a partible system but introducing usage rights for certain assets to manage fragmentation.

Answer Key: 3

Solution:

? Option 3 (Correct): Impartible inheritance keeps the estate intact and potentially improves management by avoiding division into smaller, less viable parts.

? Option 1 (Incorrect): While promoting equality, partible inheritance doesn't necessarily prevent inefficient management since each sibling may still manage their share effectively.

? Option 2 (Incorrect): Although impartible inheritance can cause disputes, this does not address the critical analysis of preventing estate fragmentation.

? Option 4 (Incorrect): Usage rights introduce complexity and do not address the fundamental issue of estate fragmentation as effectively as the impartible system.

Hence, Option (3) is the right answer.

--Question Starting--

3. In the matrilineal societies of the Khasi and Garo, where the mother's brother plays a significant role, how does this familial structure influence the authority dynamics within the larger community?

- (1) It enhances the status of women by centering lineage and inheritance through the female line.
- (2) The mother's brother acts as a mentor and guardian, reinforcing maternal authority in household and community decisions.
- (3) Matrilineal authority centralizes power within the elder females, potentially marginalizing the role of males.
- (4) It creates a dual authority system where the biological father's influence is balanced with the maternal uncle's roles.

Answer Key: 1

Solution:

? Option 1 (Correct): This structure inherently boosts the status and power of women, making the maternal line crucial in lineage and inheritance, which enhances their authority both in the household and the community.

? Option 2 (Incorrect): While the mother's brother has significant influence, this option does not directly address how matrilineal authority influences broader community dynamics.

? Option 3 (Incorrect): Although power is centralized in elder females, the role of males, specifically the mother's brother, is not marginalized but rather integral.

? Option 4 (Incorrect): The question of balancing authority between the father and the mother's brother does not directly relate to the influence of matrilineal authority on community dynamics.

Hence, Option (1) is the right answer.