



Faculty of Science



# Scoping, Functions and Parameter Passing

## Programming Language Design 2021

Fritz Henglein

Based on slides by Andrzej Filinski and Torben Mogensen

February 22nd, 2021



# Today's lecture

- Main topics:
  - Identifiers and scoping
    - Static vs. dynamic scope
  - Functions
    - Closures
  - Parameter passing
    - "Call by X"
    - Lazy evaluation
- We cover only fundamental concepts of scoping and parameter passing for programming languages in this lecture.
- Please carefully study Chapter 6 of *PLDI* to cover all relevant material there, specifically implementation techniques.



# Identifiers

- A programming language typically has several different *classes* of **identifiers**
  - Constants, variables, function names, type names, classes, modules, data constructors, field names, labels, exception names, ...
  - Identifiers may be further classified by types.
- Occurrences of an identifier:
  - *Binding* occurrence; e.g. in local variable or parameter declaration.
  - *Applied* occurrence, an occurrence that
    - either refers statically to a binding occurrence of the same identifier (bound occurrence)
    - or does not have a binding occurrence in the program component to refer to yet (free occurrence)
  - The *same* occurrence may be free within one code fragment, but bound in a larger one.



# Scoping

- Scoping: Rules for finding the binding reference of a bound occurrence
- Example from C:

```
void f(int y) { // 1st binding occurrence of y
    int y = 0; // 2nd binding occurrence of y
    y = y + 1; } // two bound occurrences of y
```

- The two bound occurrences of *y* refer to the second binding occurrence of *y*.
  - The 2nd binding occurrence of *y* has no bound occurrence.
- General *static scoping* principle:
  - Each binding occurrence has a *scope*, a delimited *block* of code containing it. Blocks are nested.
  - A bound occurrence refers to a single binding occurrence in the innermost block that contains both the bound and the binding occurrence.
  - The class and type of the occurrences must match.



# Declarations vs. definitions

- *Declaration* (think “:”): introduces an identifier (binding occurrence), often with some intrinsic properties (e.g. type).
  - Examples: formal function parameters, C function prototype, interface declaration in Java-like languages, etc.
- *Definition* (think “=”): declaration *plus* immutable binding to particular value/meaning for the identifier.
  - Examples: named function definition, let-bound variable in functional PLs, etc.
  - Note: In most imperative languages, local variable declaration is a *definition* that binds the variable to a *pointer* (address of a mutable memory block), *not* the cell content itself.
- Declared identifiers may be bound to values/meanings :
  - Immediately (in a definition)
  - At compile time (in the same compilation unit)
  - At linking time (for modular programs)
  - At run time (e.g., function parameters)
- Declaration versus definition: often confused!



# Simultaneous vs. sequential definitions

- Key question: What is the scope of a definition?

- `let x = 2`

```
in let y = x + 3    // which definition of x?  
    x = 7
```

```
in y                // 5 or 10?
```

- Does scope of definition include (recursive definition) or exclude (nonrecursive definition) the right-hand side?

```
let f(x) = x + 1
```

```
in let f(x) = 2 * f(x) // which definition of f?  
    in f(5)           // 12 or infinite recursion?
```

- Different conventions across languages, sometimes both forms:  
 `let rec f x = ...` vs. `let f x = ... in OCaml`; `let` vs. `letrec` in Scheme.
- Often also within a language for different kinds of identifiers,  
 e.g., variable definitions vs. function definitions in C.



# Static vs. dynamic scoping

- Free variable in function: Variable with free occurrence in function definition.
- *Static* scoping (lexical scoping): Free variable(s) refer to *textually* enclosing declaration(s).
- *Dynamic* scoping: Free variable(s) refer to variable binding(s) *call site*.
  - May refer to different definitions in different calls.
  - May fail to find matching definition at run time.
  - May refer to declaration with wrong type at run time.



# Static vs. dynamic scoping: Example

```
let y = 2
    f(x) = x + y    // y is free in definition of f
    g(y) = f(y)
in g(5)
```

- Static scoping: Look at program text.
  - y in body of f is bound to 2.
  - g(5) is f(5) with y = 2. Result: 7.
- Dynamic scoping: Look at run-time environment at call of f.
  - f(y) is called in the body of g, y is bound to 5.
  - g(5) is f(5) with y = 5. Result: 10.





# Dynamic scoping: Deep binding

- *Environment*: Active variable bindings (definitions) during evaluation. How to implement?
- *Deep binding*: Stack of local environments, one for each scope entered.
  - Push local environment when entering scope.
  - Pop stack when leaving scope.
  - Lookup: Variable looked up in top of the stack (current scope); if not found, in next local environment (enclosing scope), etc.
- Fast entering and leaving of scopes; slow lookup for nonlocal variables.
- Simplified: stack of bindings; lookup: find topmost binding for identifier.



# Dynamic scoping: Shallow binding

- *Environment*: Active variable bindings (definitions) during evaluation. How to implement?
- *Shallow binding*: Current environment for active bindings, plus stack of hidden bindings.
  - When entering scope, for each new binding
    - push current binding for same variable to hidden bindings and
    - update current environment with new binding.
  - When leaving scope, restore previous bindings in current environment by popping them from hidden bindings.
  - Lookup: Look variable up in current environment.
- Fast lookup for all variables. Slow entering and leaving of scopes with many definitions.



# Static scoping: Nonnested functions

- Consider definitions:

```
int y;  
int f(int x) { int t = x + 1; return t * y; }  
int m(int z) { y = 10; return f(2*y) + z; }
```

- At run time, allocate new *activation record* for f:
  - Space for *result* (will be assigned 210).
  - Space for *parameters* (x, initialized to 20 in m).
  - *Code pointer (return address)* to code point in caller to jump to when returning, "return ... + z;")
  - *Frame pointer (dynamic link)* to caller's activation record (containing, e.g, z)
  - Space for *local variables* (t, will be assigned 21).
- y is global var at fixed address, so not part of record.
- Activation records normally allocated on a *stack* with *stack pointer* pointing to first available memory cell.



# Nested (local) functions

- Function defined in body of another function.
  - Declaration invisible outside outer function.
  - May have free variables that reference declarations in outer function (static scoping).
- Language without nested functions: C.
- Languages with nested functions: C with local functions (e.g., gcc), Haskell, Python, Java, etc.
- Example:

```
int m(int z) {  
    int y = 10;  
    int f(int x) {int t = x+1; return t * y;}  
    return f(2*y) + z;  
}
```

- Body of `f` also needs access to the value of *stack*-allocated `y` from the outer scope.



# Nested (local) functions: Implementation

- Make free variables extra, implicit parameters of  $f$ .
  - If  $f$  can modify free variables, should be passed by reference (see later).
- Pass stack activation record (frame) of  $m$  as extra argument to  $f$  (*static link*).
  - Chain or record of links for deeper nesting (Algol-like language)
  - Works only if inner function is called before outer function returns.
- Function closures: function pointer plus heap-allocated record with bindings to free identifiers
  - Works also after outer function has returned.
- $\lambda$ -lifting: Move nested function definitions to top-level.
- Defunctionalisation: Remove function pointers of  $\lambda$ -lifted code.



# Nested (local) functions: Example

Nested, mutually recursive functions  $f$ ,  $g$ ,  $h$ .

```
fun f x =  
  let val a = x + 1  
      fun g y =  
        let val b = x - 1  
            fun h z = if z = 0 then b + x else f b + a  
        in h y + h b end  
  in g a + 3 end
```

- $f$  is closed (has no free variables).
- $g$  has free variables  $x$ ,  $a$ .
- $h$  has free variables  $x$ ,  $a$ ,  $b$ .



## $\lambda$ -lifting

Transform non-closed functions into closed functions by adding parameters for their free variables.

```
fun f x =  
  let val a = x + 1  
    fun g y =  
      let val b = x - 1  
        fun h z = if z = 0 then b + x else f b + a  
          in h y + h b end  
      in g a + 3 end
```

$\lambda$ -lifted:

```
fun f'      x = let val a = x + 1 in g' x a a + 3 end  
fun g' x a  y = let val b = x - 1 in h' x a b y + h' x a b b  
fun h' x a b z = if z = 0 then b + x else f' b + a
```



# Closure form

$\lambda$ -lifted:

```
fun f'      x = let val a = x + 1 in g' x a a + 3 end
fun g' x a  y = let val b = x - 1 in h' x a b y + h' x a b b
fun h' x a b z = if z = 0 then b + x else f' b + a
```

Closure form: grouping parameters into implicit ( $\lambda$ -lifted) and explicit (given):

```
fun f' ()      x = let val a = x+1 in g' (x, a) a + 3 end
fun g' (x, a)  y = let val b = x-1 in h' (x, a, b) y + h' (x, a, b) b
fun h' (x, a, b) z = if z = 0 then b + x else f' () b + a
```

All functions are closed and fully applied (no partial applications).

- Can be implemented as function pointers
- Arguments are passed by parameter passing method as given in programming language (see below)
- Closure form: Exactly 2 parameters.





# Higher-order functions (first-class functions)

**Combinator (closed function):** Function with no free variable occurrences, typically implemented as *function pointer* (address of code of its body).

**First-order function:** Function that neither accepts functions as arguments nor returns them

**Higher-order function:** Function that is not a first-order function

Challenge: Passing *non-closed* functions as argument/result to/from higher-order function.



# Higher-order functions

- In general, a function value is represented as a (*function*) *closure* at run time:
  - 1 A code pointer (like in C)
    - Or just a code *index* for a *dispatcher* function containing all function bodies (*defunctionalisation*)
  - 2 Environment for free variables in function definition.
- “Downwards funarg”: allow only passing closure to another function
  - Examples: Algol 60, Pascal, ...
  - Static link: Pointer to activation record with bindings for free variables. on the stack.
- “Upwards funarg”: also allow returning from another function
  - Scheme, ML, ...
  - Activation records referenced by a closure may have been deallocated before closure is invoked.
    - Must heap allocate (at least some) free variables on heap
  - Allows closures to be stored in general data structures: *first-class functions*.



# Closures as partial function applications

- *Curried function*: Function that can be applied to one argument at a time:  $f \times y = x + y$  (instead of  $f(x, y) = x + y$ ).
- *Partial application*: Result of applying curried function to one argument at a time, e.g.  $f \ 5 \ 8$ .
- *Function closure*: Closed curried function of two arguments, applied to first argument, e.g.  $f \ 5$ . Represented at run time as a pair consisting of  $f$  (function pointer) and argument 5, the value of its first argument.



# Closure conversion for higher-order functions

Example of higher-order function:

```
f (x, p) = p x + p 1
g a = let fun h c = a + c
      in (f (a, h) + f (17, g), h)
```

Note:  $h$  is not closed.

After  $\lambda$ -lifting:

```
f'    (x, p) = p x + p 1
g'    a      = (f' (a, h' a) + f' (17, g')), h' a)
h' a c      = a + c
```

Note:  $h' a$  is a partial application.



# Closure conversion for higher-order functions

After  $\lambda$ -lifting:

$$f' \quad (x, p) = p \ x + p \ 1$$

$$g' \quad a \quad = (f' \ (a, h' \ a) + f' \ (17, g'), h' \ a)$$

$$h' \ a \ c \quad = a + c$$

After conversion of partial applications to closure form:

$$f' \ () \ (x, p) = p \ x + p \ 1$$

$$g' \ () \ a \quad = (f' \ () \ (a, h' \ a) + f' \ () \ (17, g' \ ()), h' \ a)$$

$$h' \ a \ c \quad = a + c$$

Note:

- All functions have two arguments, one for implicit parameter(s), one for explicit parameter(s).
- All data passed are first-order values or function closures.



# Defunctionalisation

- Goal: Implement higher-order functions without function pointers, using first-order values only
- Idea: Instead of passing a function pointer pass the *name* of the function.
  - Replace function pointer  $f$  in a function closure by a unique constructor  $F$
  - Define evaluation function `eval` such that
$$\text{eval } (F \ x) \ y = f \ x \ y$$



# Defunctionalisation: Example

Starting point: Closure-converted program.

$$f'() (x, p) = p\ x + p\ 1$$

$$g'() a = (f'() (a, h' a) + f'() (17, g'())), h' a)$$

$$h' a\ c = a + c$$

Step 1: Define function `eval` that maps constructors to the top-level functions such that

$$\text{eval } (F()) (x, p) = f'() (x, p)$$

$$\text{eval } (G()) a = g'() a$$

$$\text{eval } (H\ a)\ c = h' a\ c$$

We get

$$\text{eval } (F()) (x, p) = p\ x + p\ 1$$

$$\text{eval } (G()) a = (f'() (a, h' a) + f'() (17, g'())), h' a)$$

$$\text{eval } (H\ a)\ c = a + c$$


## Defunctionalisation: Example

```
eval (F ()) (x, p) = p x + p 1
eval (G ()) a      = (f'() (a, h' a) + f'() (17, g'()), h' a)
eval (H a)  c      = a + c
```

Step 2: Replace function pointers in closure *constructions* by their names

```
eval (F ()) (x, p) = p x + p 1
eval (G ()) a      = (F () (a, H a) + F () (17, G ()), H a)
eval (H a)  c      = a + c
```

and add the missing eval at closure *applications*:

```
eval (F ()) (x, p) = eval p x + eval p 1
eval (G ()) a      = (eval (F ()) (a, H a) +
                      eval (F ()) (17, G ()), H a)
eval (H a)  c      = a + c
```





# Defunctionalisation: Example

Defunctionalised code:

```
eval (F ()) (x, p) = eval p x + eval p 1
eval (G ()) a      = (eval (F ()) (a, H a) +
                      eval (F ()) (17, G ()), H a)
eval (H a) c       = a + c
```

- Only one function, which is first order: `eval`.
  - `eval` is called `dispatch` in lecture notes.
- No function closures, no function pointers.
- Requires whole program analysis: Find all top-level functions that can be passed to another function.
- `eval` can be statically typed with generalized algebraic data types, but not ordinary data types.



# Scoping and first-class functions: Summary

- Binding and applied occurrences of variables
- Static scoping vs. dynamic scoping: How and when free variables in functions are bound
- Nested function: Statically scoped function with free variables.
- Function closure: Closed function (function pointer) plus (heap-allocated) bindings for its free variables
  - Optimizations: No free variables (function pointer only); downargs only (bindings on stack okay); bindings by deep binding, shallow binding or combination thereof; bindings split into those on stack, those on heap; etc.
  - General model: Function closure = closed curried function of two arguments applied to one argument.
- Defunctionalisation: Replacing function pointers by function names (constructors).



# Parameter passing methods

- How are argument values passed from caller of procedure to callee? And how are results returned?
- Minimal solution: through shared global variables.
  - Sometimes only possibility (COBOL PERFORM, BASIC GOSUB)
  - Or may be used as supplement to other methods
- Great variability across programming languages.
  - Boils down to relatively few underlying concepts
  - Often several forms available in single language; programmer selects most appropriate for each purpose.
- Fundamental distinction: are arguments to function evaluated by *caller* (proactively) or *callee* (only when used)?
  - Eager evaluation versus “lazy” evaluation.
  - Will look at each in turn.



## Eager approach: Call by value

- Function definition header includes list of (*formal*) *parameters*, possibly typed.
- At call site, *actual parameters* (aka. *arguments*) may be general expressions of appropriate types
- Arguments evaluated, and formal parameters bound/initialized to their values, before execution of callee body.
  - In language with side effects, order of arguments may matter.
  - Depends on language whether evaluation order specified.
- Not immediately possible to pass results back.
  - Even if argument is a mutable variable, assignments to formal parameter in body will not modify argument.
  - But if *address* of mutable object/variable (i.e., pointer) is passed by value, callee can still modify *content* of passed memory.
- In general, need to be careful about deep vs. shallow copy (e.g., C passes structs by value, but arrays by pointer)



# Call by value–result

- Aka. copy-in/copy-out, call-by-value-return.
  - Special case: Call by copy out, for uninitialized actual parameters
- Actual parameter must be a variable (more generally: l-value, e.g., `x[i]`), not a general expression.
  - But identity of variable still determined eagerly by caller, e.g., in `p(x[i+1])`, index expression `i+1` evaluated *before* the call.
- Values copied from actual to formal parameters before procedure body is executed, and from formals to actuals when returning.
- In general, order of writebacks may matter.
  - Language may require that actual parameters are *distinct* variables.
  - But this is hard to enforce statically, e.g., `p(x[i], x[j])`.



# Call by reference

- Syntax looks like call by value–result (actual parameter must be an l-value)
  - But semantics corresponds to passing *address* of actual parameter by value, and making all reads/writes of formal parameter indirect.
- For two distinct purposes
  - Avoiding copying of large values
  - Allowing bidirectional data transfer
  - Not always evident which is intended!
- Assignments to formal parameter *immediately* reflected in actual parameter.
  - Makes observable difference if same actual parameter variable used for two distinct formal parameters (or is also globally accessible).



## Call by reference, continued

- Fortran: Only call-by-reference, but allows actual parameter to be general expression, e.g.,  $X+3$ .
- Nominal semantics: caller creates *anonymous* local variable for actual parameter, initializes to value of expression.
  - So any assignments to parameter in callee have no net effect.
- Natural “optimization”: if argument is a constant (literal), just pass address of constant in program code.
  - Often preferable to allocating space for extra variable and generating code for initializing it
  - With  $\sim 2^{16}$ -bytes address spaces, every word counts!
- Also: if same constant used multiple times in program, just keep a single copy (“literal pool”)
  - What could possibly go wrong...?
- Cf. “Hacker purity test” (ca. 1989):
  - 0015 Ever change the value of 4?
  - 0016 ... Unintentionally?
  - 0017 ... In a language other than Fortran?



# Lazy approaches: call by text/macro expansion

- Argument expressions passed verbatim to callee without any interpretation by caller.
- Found in, e.g.,  $\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}/\text{L}_{\text{A}}\text{T}_{\text{E}}\text{X}$ , Lisp `flambda`, many scripting languages (Bash, Tcl, ...)
- Requires interpretation (or even string parsing) at run time.
  - Effectively forces dynamic scope.
  - Poorly suited for compilation, or even high-performance interpretation.
  - Unpredictable semantics, error prone, extremely insecure (injection attacks, cross-site scripting attacks, passing arguments that arbitrarily update local variables).





# Call by name

- Sanitized version of call by text.
  - Statically scoped.
  - Capture avoiding substitution.
- Relatively easy to specify formally by Algol “copy rule”:
  - 1 Replace formal parameters in procedure body with actual parameters
    - Respecting syntactic structure and types, cf. `let p(x)=2*x in p(3+y)`
    - Performing capture-avoiding substitution (as in logic,  $\lambda$ -calculus, etc)
  - 2 Replace procedure call with above-modified procedure body

```
procedure p(x, r); integer x, r;  
  begin integer y; y := x+2; r := x*y end;  
integer y;  
...  
p(y-3, y)  "="  
begin integer y1; y1:= (y-3)+2; y := (y-3)*y1 end
```



## Call by name, continued

- Copy rule ( $\beta$ -equality) easy to explain, used for inlining in optimizing compilers at compile time.
- How to implement at run time?
- Parameter expression passed as (compiled) parameterless function (“thunk”), to be invoked by callee when value needed.
  - Plus a “setter” procedure for assignments to parameter.
  - For non-variable actual parameters, setter signals runtime error.
    - Cf. Fortran behavior above.
    - Not enough information to detect problem at compile time.
- Default parameter passing mechanism in Algol 60:
  - Call by value formally defined in terms of declaring extra local variable, initialized to value of parameter passed by name.
  - In practice, has also call by value (value  $k$ ; integer  $k$ ).
- Call by name with mutable variables allows some nasty code.
  - E.g., “Jensen’s Device”:  $p(x[i])$  where callee may modify  $i$ .



# Call by need

- In a purely functional language (no side effects), evaluating an expression twice always yields the same result.
  - Where a “result” may also be a runtime error (e.g., division by zero) or nontermination.
- Observation: for called-by-name parameter, can *cache* any successful result of first evaluation, and just return that on all subsequent evaluations. No setter required.
- Normally implemented as destructive update: thunk contains mutable cell.
  - But update is completely transparent to programmer: observable behavior is still like call by name, only faster.
- Known as “call by need”; best of both worlds:
  - If formal parameter never used, actual never evaluated (like for call by name).
  - If formal parameter used multiple times, actual only evaluated once (like for call by value).



# General lazy evaluation

- Can generalize from parameter passing to definitions in general, also for data structures:

```
let xs = [1+2, 3+4, 5+6]
    x = head (tail xs)
in  x*x
```

- Returns 49, but only evaluates 3+4, and only once.
- In general, evaluation is *graph reduction* of expression DAG
  - Graphs may even have cycles, in case of recursion.



# Properties of parameter passing methods

- Let  $f$  be function with body  $E = \dots x \dots y \dots$  containing free occurrences of  $x, y$ :  $f(x, y) = E[x, y]$
- Substitution: Write  $E[u/x, v/y]$  for  $E$  where all free occurrences of  $x$  and  $y$  are replaced by  $u$  and  $v$ , respectively.
  - Substitution must be *capture avoiding*: No free variable in  $u$  or  $v$  must become bound.

Properties:

Call by reference:  $f(u, v) \cong E[u/x, v/y]$

Call by value:  $f(e_1, e_2) \cong x := e_1, y := e_2; E[x, y]$

Call by value-return:  $f(u, v) \cong x := u, y := v; r := E[x, y]; u := x, v := y; r$

Call by name:  $f(e_1, e_2) \cong E[e_1/x, e_2/y]$

Call by need:  $f(e_1, e_2) \cong E[e_1/x, e_2/y]$

Operationally, replace  $e_i$  by its value  $v_i$  if and when the value of  $e_i$  is *needed the first time*.



# Parameter passing methods: Summary

- Call by value: Evaluate argument expression and bind or assign its value to formal parameter in function.
- Call by name: Substitute (capture-avoiding) argument expression for formal parameter in function.
  - Call by need: Optimized version of call by name; if value of expression is always the same (no side effects).
  - Not applicable to Algol: Has side effects (Jensen's device).
- Call by reference: Alias (l-value of) argument expression with formal parameter in function; they are the same variable.
  - Cannot rely on distinct identifiers in function to be distinct variables.
- Call by value-result: Evaluate (l-value of) argument expression and assign it to formal parameter in function; and value of formal parameter back to argument expression at end.
  - Similar to call by reference, but with no aliasing of formal parameters during evaluation of function body.

