



Risk Assessment and Mitigation Pan

Ris	k Assessment and Mitigation Pan	1
	Purpose	2
	Risk Assessment Table	2
	High-Risk Areas and Prioritized Actions	3
	Residual Risks	4
	Summary of Key Mitigations	5

Purpose

This document identifies potential risks in the booking system, evaluates their severity, proposes mitigation strategies, and highlights residual risks. It serves as a foundational guide to secure the application while balancing feasibility and scope.

Risk Assessment Table

Risk	Severity	Mitigation	Residual Risk
	(L/M/H)		
Injection	High	Use parameterized queries,	Minimal with proper
Attacks		sanitize inputs, and implement	sanitization.
(SQL/NoSQL)		input validation at all entry	
		points.	
Cross-Site	High	Escape user-generated content	Some residual risk
Scripting (XSS)		in the UI, implement CSP, and	with new XSS
		use libraries like DOMPurify.	techniques.
Broken	High	Use JWT with short expiration,	Token theft or session
Authentication		implement MFA, and secure	hijacking remains a
		token storage in HttpOnly	minor residual risk.
		cookies.	
Session	Medium	Use secure cookies, implement	Residual risk if a user's
Hijacking		session timeout, and enforce	device is
		HTTPS for all communications.	compromised.
Sensitive Data	High	Enforce TLS 1.2 or higher, use	Minimal if encryption
Exposure		strong password hashing	and access controls
		(bcrypt), and store sensitive data	are strictly followed.
		securely.	
Insecure	Medium	Validate and sanitize all	Residual risk with
Deserialization		serialized data, and avoid	third-party library use.
		insecure serialization formats.	
Lack of Access	High	Implement RBAC, validate	Residual risk due to
Control		permissions on all backend	potential
		endpoints, and audit logs for	misconfiguration.
		unauthorized access.	
Insufficient	Medium	Implement centralized logging	Potential gaps in real-
Logging and		with tools like Winston, monitor	time response for rare
Monitoring		critical events, and set up alerts.	incidents.
Denial-of-	Medium	Rate-limit API endpoints, use	Some residual risk
Service (DoS)		CAPTCHAs, and employ WAF	from large-scale
		rules for known attack patterns.	botnets.

Supply Chain	Medium	Regularly update dependencies,	Residual risk with
Attacks		verify integrity with hashes, and	newly discovered
		monitor security advisories.	vulnerabilities in
			dependencies.

High-Risk Areas and Prioritized Actions

1. Injection Attacks:

- a. Action: Prioritize input sanitization and the use of ORM libraries (e.g., Mongoose for MongoDB).
- b. **Justification**: Injection attacks can compromise the database and sensitive user information.

2. Broken Authentication:

- a. **Action**: Implement MFA, secure JWT handling, and enforce strong password policies.
- b. **Justification**: Authentication vulnerabilities could allow attackers to impersonate users or admins.

3. Sensitive Data Exposure:

- a. **Action**: Enforce HTTPS, use strong encryption for stored passwords (bcrypt), and sanitize logs to avoid leaking sensitive data.
- b. Justification: Protects user and application data from interception or leaks.

4. Access Control:

- a. **Action**: Implement RBAC and validate permissions on sensitive routes (e.g., /api/rooms, /api/bookings).
- b. Justification: Prevent unauthorized access to critical resources.

5. Cross-Site Scripting (XSS):

- a. **Action**: Escape and sanitize all user-generated content before rendering in the UI.
- b. Justification: Prevent attackers from injecting malicious scripts.

Residual Risks

1. Advanced Injection Techniques:

- a. Even with input sanitization, new or unknown injection techniques may emerge.
- b. Threat Actor: Skilled attackers using automated tools.
- c. Mitigation: Regularly update frameworks and monitor security advisories.

2. Token Theft or Session Hijacking:

- a. Despite HttpOnly cookies and HTTPS, attackers might exploit user-side vulnerabilities.
- b. Threat Actor: Users at public Wi-Fi hotspots or phishing campaigns.
- c. **Mitigation**: Encourage users to log out after use and avoid insecure networks.

3. Denial-of-Service (DoS):

- a. Large-scale botnets could overwhelm the server despite rate-limiting.
- b. **Threat Actor**: Script kiddies, competitors, or students experimenting with DoS tools.
- c. **Mitigation**: Consider cloud-based solutions (e.g., AWS WAF) for additional scalability.

4. Compromised Dependencies:

- a. New CVEs in third-party libraries might go undetected until exploited.
- b. Threat Actor: Hackers exploiting known CVEs in Node.js packages.
- c. Mitigation: Automate dependency checks with tools like npm audit.

5. Potential Internal Threats:

- a. Students or users with legitimate access might attempt to bypass restrictions or exploit vulnerabilities.
- b. **Mitigation**: Audit logs frequently and consider IP whitelisting for admin access.

Summary of Key Mitigations

- Address high-risk areas such as authentication and input validation first.
- Regularly monitor and patch vulnerabilities in dependencies and frameworks.
- Enforce access controls and RBAC to minimize unauthorized access.
- Integrate logging and alerting to detect and respond to potential breaches quickly.
- Educate end-users about safe practices, especially when using public networks or sharing credentials.

- End -