

Data Structures Assignment 3

Aditi Srivastava

April 2023

1 Question 2

FULL CODE SUBMITTED ON GITHUB

1) Implementing insertion sort:

```
void insertionSort (int arr[], int n){
    for (int k = 1; k < n; k++){
        int key = arr[k];
        int p;
        for (p = k - 1; p >= 0 && arr[p] > key; p--){
            arr[p + 1] = arr[p];
        }
        arr[p + 1] = key;
    }
}
```

Figure 1: Insertion Sort C-Code

2) Calculating time complexity of Insertion sort:

Worst Case Scenario:

When $k=arr[1]$, $p=arr[0]$: in the worst case scenario, 1 comparison and shift is made.

In the next iteration, when $k=2$, in the worst case, $p=1$ and then $p=0$: 2 comparisons and 2 shifts are made and so on.

In the end, when $k=arr[n-1]$ in an n term array, $n-1$ comparisons and shifts will be made in the worst case scenario.

So,

$$(n - 1) + (n - 2) + + 2 + 1$$

$$= (n-1)(n)/2 = O(n^2)$$

Best Case Scenario:

No more than 1 comparison made for all n elements, hence, time complexity is $O(n)$. 0 Shifts will be made.

3) Time Complexity of Bubble Sort:

Time Complexity: Bubble sort's time complexity is similar to that of insert

```
void swap(int *xp, int *yp){
    int temp;
    temp = *xp; *xp = *yp; *yp = temp;
}

void bubbleSort(int arr[], int n){
    int i, j, flag, comparisonCnt = 0, swapCnt = 0;
    for (i = 0; i < n - 1; i++) {
        flag = 0;
        for (j = 0; j < n - i - 1; j++) {
            comparisonCnt++;
            if (arr[j] > arr[j + 1]) {
                swap(&arr[j], &arr[j + 1]);
                flag = 1;
                swapCnt++;
            }
        }
        if (flag == 0) break;
    }
    printf("comp %d, swap %d\n", comparisonCnt, swapCnt);
}
```

Figure 2: Bubble sort, as seen in class slides

sort as adjacent elements are iteratively swapped till largest terms are bubbled near the end of the array.

Worst Case Scenario:

For the largest number, the worst case scenario would be that it is the first element of the array, hence, n-1 swaps.

Second largest number, n-2 swaps.

So on,

$$(n-1) + (n-2) + \dots + 2 + 1.$$

$$= (n)(n-1)/2 = O(n^2)$$

Best Case Scenario:

No more than 1 comparison needs to be made for all n elements, hence, time complexity is $O(n)$. 0 Swaps will be made.

4) Experimental Data:

Running bubble sort and insertion sort through same array, we note that in the case of a small array, comparison and swap count of insertion sort are lower than bubble sort. Instances of outputs are attached.

2 Question 3

FULL CODE SUBMITTED ON GITHUB

Time complexity of Merge Sort:

Worst Case Scenario:

To reduce arrays with n elements to arrays with 1 element by splitting, x number of splits need to take place, where $2^{\text{power}x} = n$. This implies $x = \log(n)$. However, during the re-merging phase, n elements need to be sorted and merged again, resulting in a time complexity of **$O(n \log n)$** .

Best Case Scenario:

Even if the array is completely sorted, merge sort will still split the entire array and then re-merge all the elements. The complexity will always be **$O(n \log n)$** .

Time Complexity of Heap Sort:

For heap sort, both the best-case and worst-case scenarios have a time complexity of **$O(n \log n)$** . This is because regardless of whether the input is already sorted or in a random order, heap sort always requires $O(n \log n)$ time to sort n elements.

In a heap, the height of the binary tree is $\log(n)$ for n elements. The process of moving elements downward in the heap takes $\log n$ time for each element: This results in time complexity of **$O(n \log n)$** since there are n elements that are making this journey sequentially.

Time Complexity of Quick Sort:

Worst Case Scenario:

Smallest or largest element could end up as the pivot, this would lead to n calls for the n 'th element, $n-1$ for $n-1$ 'th and so on, leading to the previously noted **$O(n\text{-squared})$** time complexity.

Best Case Scenario:

Similar to heap and merge, **$O(n \log n)$** will be time complexity as the tree has $\log n$ levels and at all levels of recursion, n elements are involved, leading to O

$(n \log n)$.

Experimental Data: Instances of outputs are attached.