

Theme 2 - Automated Resume Relevance Check System

Problem Statement

At **Innomatics Research Labs**, resume evaluation is currently **manual**, **inconsistent**, **and time-consuming**. Every week, the placement team across Hyderabad, Bangalore, Pune, and Delhi NCR receives **18–20 job requirements**, with each posting attracting **thousands of applications**.

Currently, recruiters and mentors manually review resumes, matching them against job descriptions (JD). This leads to:

- Delays in shortlisting candidates.
- **Inconsistent judgments**, as evaluators may interpret role requirements differently.
- **High workload** for placement staff, reducing their ability to focus on interview prep and student guidance.

With hiring companies expecting **fast and high-quality shortlists**, there is a pressing need for an **automated system** that can scale, be consistent, and provide actionable feedback to students.

Objective

The Automated Resume Relevance Check System will:

- 1. Automate resume evaluation against job requirements at scale.
- 2. Generate a Relevance Score (0-100) for each resume per job role.
- 3. Highlight gaps such as missing skills, certifications, or projects.
- 4. Provide a fit verdict (High / Medium / Low suitability) to recruiters.
- 5. Offer personalized improvement feedback to students.
- 6. Store evaluations in a web-based dashboard accessible to the placement team.

This system should be robust, scalable, and flexible enough to handle **thousands of resumes** weekly.

Sample Data

Click here to download sample data.

Proposed Solution

We propose building an **Al-powered resume evaluation engine** that combines **rule-based checks** with **LLM-based semantic understanding**.



The system will:

- Accept resumes (PDF/DOCX) uploaded by students.
- Accept **job descriptions** uploaded by the placement team.
- Use **text extraction + embeddings** to compare resume content with job descriptions.
- Run hybrid scoring:
 - Hard match (keywords, skills, education)
 - Soft match (semantic fit via embeddings + LLM reasoning)
- Output a Relevance Score, Missing Elements, and Verdict.
- Store results for the placement team in a searchable web application dashboard.

This approach ensures both **speed (hard checks)** and **contextual understanding (LLM-powered checks)**.

Workflow

- 1. Job Requirement Upload Placement team uploads job description (JD).
- **2. Resume Upload** Students upload resumes while applying.
- 3. Resume Parsing
 - Extract raw text from PDF/DOCX.
 - Standardize formats (remove headers/footers, normalize sections).

4. JD Parsing

• Extract role title, must-have skills, good-to-have skills, qualifications.

5. Relevance Analysis

- Step 1: Hard Match keyword & skill check (exact and fuzzy matches).
- Step 2: Semantic Match embedding similarity between resume and JD using LLMs.
- Step 3: Scoring & Verdict Weighted scoring formula for final score.

6. Output Generation

- Relevance Score (0–100).
- Missing Skills/Projects/Certifications.
- Verdict (High / Medium / Low suitability).
- Suggestions for student improvement.

7. Storage & Access

- Results stored in the database.
- The placement team can search/filter resumes by job role, score, and location.

8. Web Application

Placement team dashboard: upload JD, see shortlisted resumes.

Tech Stack (Core Resume Parsing, Al Framework and Scoring Mechanism)

- **Python** primary programming language.
- **PyMuPDF / pdfplumber** extract text from PDFs.



- python-docx / docx2txt extract text from DOCX.
- **spaCy / NLTK** entity extraction, text normalization.
- LangChain orchestration of LLM workflows.
- LangGraph structured stateful pipelines for resume–JD analysis.
- LangSmith observability, testing, and debugging of LLM chains.
- Vector Store (Chroma / FAISS / Pinecone) for embeddings and semantic search.
- **LLM Models** OpenAl GPT / Gemini / Claude / HuggingFace models for semantic matching & feedback generation.
- **Keyword Matching** TF-IDF, BM25, fuzzy matching.
- **Semantic Matching** embeddings + cosine similarity.
- Weighted Score combine hard and soft matches into a final score.

Tech Stack (Web Application) - You can choose other tech stack for FE

- Flask / FastAPI Backend APIs to process uploads, run OMR evaluation, and serve results.
- Streamlit (MVP) Frontend for evaluators (upload, dashboard, review).
- SQLite / PostgreSQL Database for storing results, metadata, and audit logs.



How to win a Pizza Party?

Pizza Parties and a cash pool of 25,000 INR will be announced soon.

Check the last page on the main document <u>Click here</u>