

Hope Foundation's
International Institute of Information Technology, Pune
PROJECT REVIEW – II
(Academic Year: 2025-26)

Group ID :	G05	DATE :	2025-10-05
Project Title :	AI-Powered Waste Segregation System		

Sr.No.	Roll No.	Student Name	Contact Details	Internal / External Guide Details
1	B2005	Aarav Shah	aarav.shah@example.com	Guide Name : Prof. Prakash More
2	B2006	Kritika Desai	kritika.desai@example.com	Mentor Name: Ms. Priya Sharma
3	B2007	Omkar Pawar	omkar.pawar@example.com	Mentor Mobile No. & Email : 9988776655 priya.sharma@mentor.com
4	B2008	Sneha Joshi	sneha.joshi@example.com	
5	B2009	Aditya Shinde	aditya.shinde@example.com	

REVIEW – II CHECKLIST : DESIGN		25 MARKS
DESIGN		
1. Are requirements reflected in the system architecture?		Y
2. Does the design support both project (product) and project goals?		N
3. Does the design address all the issues from the requirements?		Y
4. Is effective modularity achieved and modules are functionally independent?		Y
5. Are structural diagrams (Class, Object, etc.) well defined and understood?		Y
6. Are all class associations clearly defined and understood? (Is it clear which classes provide which services)?		Y
7. Are the classes in the class diagram clear? (What they represent in the architecture design document?)		Y
8. Is inheritance appropriately used?		Y
9. Are the multiplicities in the use case diagram depicted in the class diagram?		Y
10. Are behavioral diagrams (use case, sequence, activity, etc.) well defined and understood?		Y
11. Is aggregation/containment (if used) clearly defined and understood?		Y
12. Does each case have clearly defined actors and input/output?		Y
13. Is all concurrent processing (if used) clearly understood and reflected in the sequence diagrams?		Y
14. Are all objects used in sequence diagram?		Y
15. Does the sequence diagram match class diagram?		Y
16. Are the symbols used in all diagrams correspond to UML standards?		Y



Hope Foundation's
International Institute of Information Technology, Pune
PROJECT REVIEW – II
(Academic Year: 2025-26)

STUDENT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Students' Contribution and Performance					
Particulars	Marks(25M)				
	Group Members				
1.System Architecture & Literature Survey (Review-I)	0	0	0	0	0
2.Project Design	5	5	5	9	0
3.Methodology/Algorithms and Project Features	5	5	5	9	0
4.Project Planning	2	2	2	2	0
5.Basic details of Implementation	5	5	5	5	0
6.Presentation Skills	4	4	4	4	0
7.Question and Answer	4	4	4	4	0
8.Summarization of ultimate findings of the Project	0	0	0	0	0
Total(25M)	25	25	25	33	0

Comments (if any) :

hi

To be filled by internal guide & reviewer(s) only.

* Whether the presentation / evaluation schedule. : YES / NO (If NO mention the reasons for same.)

Review – II: Deliverables

- Modules Split-up
- Proposed System
- Software Tools / Technologies to be used
- Proposed Outcomes
- Partial Report (Semester – I)
- Project Plan 2.0
- Problem Statement / Title
- Abstract
- Introduction
- Literature Survey
- Methodology
- Design / algorithms / techniques used

Name & Signature of evaluation committee -

Name of Reviewer 1

Name of Reviewer 2

Name of Internal Guide