



**Hope Foundation's**  
**International Institute of Information Technology, Pune**  
**PROJECT REVIEW – I**  
**(Academic Year: 2025-26)**

<b>Group ID :</b>	G04	<b>DATE :</b>	2025-10-05
<b>Project Title :</b>	IoT-Based Smart Irrigation System		

Sr. No.	Roll No.	Student Name	Contact Details	Internal / External Guide Details
1	B2001	Atharv Joshi	atharv.joshi@example.com	Guide Name : Prof. Anjali Patil Mentor Name: Mr. Rajesh Singh Mentor Mobile No. & Email : 9876543210 & rajesh.singh@mentor.com
2	B2002	Riya Patil	riya.patil@example.com	
3	B2003	Soham Deshmukh	soham.deshmukh@example.com	
4	B2004	Tanvi Kulkarni	tanvi.kulkarni@example.com	

REVIEW – I CHECKLIST : FINALIZATION OF SCOPE			25 MARKS
PROBLEM STATEMENT			
1. Is the statement short and concise (10-20 words maximum)?			Y
2. Does the statement give clear indication about what your project will accomplish?			Y
3. Can a person who is not familiar with the project understand scope of the project by reading the Project Problem Statement?			Y
SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES			
4. Are all aspects of the requirements document addressed in the design?			Y
5. Is the architecture / block diagram well defined and understood?			Y
6. The project's objective of study (what product, process, resource etc.) is being addressed?			Y
7. The project's purpose: is the purpose of project addressed properly (why it's being pursued: to evaluate, reduce, increase, etc.)?			Y
8. The project's viewpoint: Is the project's viewpoint understood? (Who is the project's end user)?			Y
9. Is the project goal statement in alignment with the sponsoring organization's business goals and mission?			Y
ANALYSIS			
10. Is information domain analysis complete, consistent and accurate?			Y
11. Is problem statement categorized in identified area and targeted towards specific area therein?			Y
12. Are external and internal interfaces properly defined?			Y
13. Does the Use Case Model properly reflect the actors and their roles and responsibilities?			Y
14. Are all requirements traceable to system level?			Y
15. Is similar type of methodology / model used for existing work?			Y
16. Are requirements consistent with schedule, resources and budget?			Y



**Hope Foundation's  
International Institute of Information Technology, Pune  
PROJECT REVIEW – I  
(Academic Year: 2025-26)**

**STUDENT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION**

Students' Contribution and Performance				
	Marks(25M)			
	Group Members			
Particulars	1	2	3	4
1.Understanding background and Topic	0	0	0	0
2.Specifies Project Scope and Objective	0	0	0	0
3.Literature Survey	0	0	0	0
4.Project Planning	0	0	0	0
5.Contribution of the Student	0	0	0	0
6.Presentation Skills	0	0	0	0
7.Question and Answer	0	0	0	0
<b>Total(25M)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

**Comments (if any) :**

HI THERE

# To be filled by internal guide & reviewer(s) only.

\* Whether the presentation / evaluation schedule. : YES / NO (If NO mention the reasons for same.)

**Review – I: Deliverables**

- Problem Statement / Title
- Purpose, Scope, Objectives
- Abstract (System Overview)
- Introduction (Architecture and High-level Design)
- Literature Survey
- References
- Project Plan 1.0

**Name & Signature of evaluation committee -**

Name of Reviewer 1

Name of Reviewer 2

Name of Internal Guide