

**Hope Foundation's**  
**International Institute of Information Technology, Pune**  
**PROJECT REVIEW – II**  
(Academic Year: 2025-26)

<b>Group ID :</b>	BIA-01	<b>DATE :</b>	2025-10-06
<b>Project Title :</b>	Maratha Warfield Weapons Classification using Vision Transformer		

Sr.No.	Roll No.	Student Name	Contact Details	Internal / External Guide Details
1	BIA61	Abhishek patil	9356141975.0	Guide Name : Dr. Jyoti Surve Mentor Name: Mentor Mobile No. & Email :
2	BIA62	Pratiksha Sathe	8010951135.0	
3	BIB28	Akshay Lakwal	8530485360.0	
4	BIB62	Aditya Sonar	9322349860.0	

REVIEW – II CHECKLIST : DESIGN				25 MARKS
DESIGN				
1. Are requirements reflected in the system architecture?				
2. Does the design support both project (product) and project goals?				
3. Does the design address all the issues from the requirements?				
4. Is effective modularity achieved and modules are functionally independent?				
5. Are structural diagrams (Class, Object, etc.) well defined and understood?				
6. Are all class associations clearly defined and understood? (Is it clear which classes provide which services)?				
7. Are the classes in the class diagram clear? (What they represent in the architecture design document?)				
8. Is inheritance appropriately used?				
9. Are the multiplicities in the use case diagram depicted in the class diagram?				
10. Are behavioral diagrams (use case, sequence, activity, etc.) well defined and understood?				
11. Is aggregation/containment (if used) clearly defined and understood?				
12. Does each case have clearly defined actors and input/output?				
13. Is all concurrent processing (if used) clearly understood and reflected in the sequence diagrams?				
14. Are all objects used in sequence diagram?				
15. Does the sequence diagram match class diagram?				
16. Are the symbols used in all diagrams correspond to UML standards?				



**Hope Foundation's**  
**International Institute of Information Technology, Pune**  
**PROJECT REVIEW – II**  
 (Academic Year: 2025-26)

**STUDENT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION**

<b>Students' Contribution and Performance</b>				
	<b>Marks(25M)</b>			
	<b>Group Members</b>			
<b>Particulars</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>
System Architecture & Literature Survey (Review-I)	Y	Y	N	N
Project Design	5	5	0	0
Methodology/Algorithms and Project Features	5	9	0	0
Project Planning	2	2	0	0
Basic details of Implementation	5	5	0	0
Presentation Skills	4	4	0	0
Question and Answer	4	4	0	0
Summarization of ultimate findings of the Project	Y	Y	N	N
<b>Total(25M)</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

**Comments (if any) :**

# To be filled by internal guide & reviewer(s) only.

\* Whether the presentation / evaluation schedule. : YES / NO (If NO mention the reasons for same.)

**Review – II: Deliverables**

- Modules Split-up
- Proposed System
- Software Tools / Technologies to be used
- Proposed Outcomes
- Partial Report (Semester – I)
- Project Plan 2.0
- Problem Statement / Title
- Abstract
- Introduction
- Literature Survey
- Methodology
- Design / algorithms / techniques used

**Name & Signature of evaluation committee -**

Name of Reviewer 1

Name of Reviewer 2

Name of Internal Guide