

**Hope Foundation's**  
**International Institute of Information Technology, Pune**  
**PROJECT REVIEW – II**  
(Academic Year: 2025-26)

<b>Group ID :</b>	BIA-01	<b>DATE :</b>	19/01/2026
<b>Project Title :</b>	Maratha Warfield Weapons Classification using Vision Transformer		

Sr.No.	Roll No.	Student Name	Contact Details	Internal / External Guide Details
1	BIA61	Abhishek patil	9356141975	Guide Name : Dr. Jyoti Surve
2	BIA62	Pratiksha Sathe	8010951135	Mentor Name:
3	BIB28	Akshay Lakwal	8530485360	Mentor Mobile No. & Email :
4	BIB62	Aditya Sonar	9322349860	

REVIEW – II CHECKLIST : DESIGN		25 MARKS
DESIGN		
1. Are requirements reflected in the system architecture?		Y
2. Does the design support both project (product) and project goals?		N
3. Does the design address all the issues from the requirements?		Y
4. Is effective modularity achieved and modules are functionally independent?		Y
5. Are structural diagrams (Class, Object, etc.) well defined and understood?		Y
6. Are all class associations clearly defined and understood? (Is it clear which classes provide which services)?		Y
7. Are the classes in the class diagram clear? (What they represent in the architecture design document?)		Y
8. Is inheritance appropriately used?		Y
9. Are the multiplicities in the use case diagram depicted in the class diagram?		Y
10. Are behavioral diagrams (use case, sequence, activity, etc.) well defined and understood?		Y
11. Is aggregation/containment (if used) clearly defined and understood?		Y
12. Does each case have clearly defined actors and input/output?		Y
13. Is all concurrent processing (if used) clearly understood and reflected in the sequence diagrams?		Y
14. Are all objects used in sequence diagram?		Y
15. Does the sequence diagram match class diagram?		Y
16. Are the symbols used in all diagrams correspond to UML standards?		Y

**Hope Foundation's**  
**International Institute of Information Technology, Pune**  
**PROJECT REVIEW – II**  
(Academic Year: 2025-26)

**STUDENT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION**

<b>Students' Contribution and Performance</b>				
	<b>Marks(25M)</b>			
	<b>Group Members</b>			
<b>Particulars</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>
System Architecture & Literature Survey (Review-I)	N	Y	N	N
Project Design	9	9	9	9
Methodology/Algorithms and Project Features	9	9	9	9
Project Planning	0	2	2	2
Basic details of Implementation	5	5	0	5
Presentation Skills	4	4	0	4
Question and Answer	4	4	4	4
Summarization of ultimate findings of the Project	Y	Y	N	N
<b>Total(25M)</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>33</b>

**Comments (if any) :**

r2

# To be filled by internal guide & reviewer(s) only.

\* Whether the presentation / evaluation schedule. : YES / NO (If NO mention the reasons for same.)

**Review – II: Deliverables**

- Modules Split-up
- Proposed System
- Software Tools / Technologies to be used
- Proposed Outcomes
- Partial Report (Semester – I)
- Project Plan 2.0
- Problem Statement / Title
- Abstract
- Introduction
- Literature Survey
- Methodology
- Design / algorithms / techniques used

**Name & Signature of evaluation committee -**

Name of Reviewer 1

Prof. Monali P. Deshmukh

Name of Reviewer 2

Prof. Sheetal Ghule

Name of Internal Guide

Dr. Jyoti Surve