



**Hope Foundation's**  
**International Institute of Information Technology, Pune**  
**PROJECT REVIEW – II**  
 (Academic Year: 2025-26)

<b>Group ID :</b>	G01	<b>DATE :</b>	2025-10-05
<b>Project Title :</b>	AI Review System		

Sr.No.	Roll No.	Student Name	Contact Details	Internal / External Guide Details
1	20CE001	Atharv Chemate	atharv@example.com	Guide Name : Dr. Sharma
2	20CE002	Riya Sharma	riya@example.com	Mentor Name: Mr. Singh
3	20CE003	Arjun Verma	arjun@example.com	Mentor Mobile No. & Email : 9876543210 singh@example.com

REVIEW – II CHECKLIST : DESIGN				25 MARKS
DESIGN				
1.	Are requirements reflected in the system architecture?			Y
2.	Does the design support both project (product) and project goals?			N
3.	Does the design address all the issues from the requirements?			Y
4.	Is effective modularity achieved and modules are functionally independent?			Y
5.	Are structural diagrams (Class, Object, etc.) well defined and understood?			N
6.	Are all class associations clearly defined and understood? (Is it clear which classes provide which services?)			Y
7.	Are the classes in the class diagram clear? (What they represent in the architecture design document?)			N
8.	Is inheritance appropriately used?			Y
9.	Are the multiplicities in the use case diagram depicted in the class diagram?			NA
10.	Are behavioral diagrams (use case, sequence, activity, etc.) well defined and understood?			Y
11.	Is aggregation/containment (if used) clearly defined and understood?			N
12.	Does each case have clearly defined actors and input/output?			Y
13.	Is all concurrent processing (if used) clearly understood and reflected in the sequence diagrams?			N
14.	Are all objects used in sequence diagram?			Y
15.	Does the sequence diagram match class diagram?			Y
16.	Are the symbols used in all diagrams correspond to UML standards?			N



**Hope Foundation's**  
**International Institute of Information Technology, Pune**  
**PROJECT REVIEW – II**  
(Academic Year: 2025-26)

**STUDENT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION**

Students' Contribution and Performance			
	Marks(25M)		
	Group Members		
Particulars	1	2	3
1.System Architecture & Literature Survey (Review-I)	0	0	0
2.Project Design	5	9	0
3.Methodology/Algorithms and Project Features	5	9	0
4.Project Planning	2	2	0
5.Basic details of Implementation	5	5	0
6.Presentation Skills	4	4	0
7.Question and Answer	4	4	0
8.Summarization of ultimate findings of the Project	0	0	0
<b>Total(25M)</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>0</b>

**Comments (if any) :**

hi

# To be filled by internal guide & reviewer(s) only.

\* Whether the presentation / evaluation schedule. : YES / NO (If NO mention the reasons for same.)

**Review – II: Deliverables**

- Modules Split-up
- Proposed System
- Software Tools / Technologies to be used
- Proposed Outcomes
- Partial Report (Semester – I)
- Project Plan 2.0
- Problem Statement / Title
- Abstract
- Introduction
- Literature Survey
- Methodology
- Design / algorithms / techniques used

**Name & Signature of evaluation committee -**

Name of Reviewer 1

Name of Reviewer 2

Name of Internal Guide