

**Hope Foundation's**  
**International Institute of Information Technology, Pune**  
**PROJECT REVIEW – I**  
(Academic Year: 2025-26)

<b>Group ID :</b>	BIB-03	<b>DATE :</b>	12/10/2025
<b>Project Title :</b>	Automatic Number Plate Recognition		

Sr.No.	Roll No.	Student Name	Contact Details	Internal / External Guide Details
1	BIA01	Abhiram Yagnamurthy	8237176720	Guide Name : Dr. Bhavana Kanawade
2	BIA14	Sanika Gaikwad	80105 12145	Mentor Name:
3	BIA38	Raj Pravin Patil	70209 45451	Mentor Mobile No. & Email :
4	BIA52	Sanika Thorat	83081 53621	
5	BIB34	Siddharth Ohal	90494 52112	

<b>REVIEW – I CHECKLIST : FINALIZATION OF SCOPE</b>		<b>25 MARKS</b>
<b>PROBLEM STATEMENT</b>		
1. Is the statement short and concise (10-20 words maximum)?		Y
2. Does the statement give clear indication about what your project will accomplish?		N
3. Can a person who is not familiar with the project understand scope of the project by reading the Project Problem Statement?		NA
<b>SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES</b>		
4. Are all aspects of the requirements document addressed in the design?		NC
5. Is the architecture / block diagram well defined and understood?		N
6. The project's objective of study (what product, process, resource etc.) is being addressed?		NA
7. The project's purpose: is the purpose of project addressed properly (why it's being pursued: to evaluate, reduce, increase, etc.)?		NA
8. The project's viewpoint: Is the project's viewpoint understood? (Who is the project's end user)?		N
9. Is the project goal statement in alignment with the sponsoring organization's business goals and mission?		NA
<b>ANALYSIS</b>		
10. Is information domain analysis complete, consistent and accurate?		Y
11. Is problem statement categorized in identified area and targeted towards specific area therein?		N
12. Are external and internal interfaces properly defined?		N
13. Does the Use Case Model properly reflect the actors and their roles and responsibilities?		NA
14. Are all requirements traceable to system level?		N
15. Is similar type of methodology / model used for existing work?		NA
16. Are requirements consistent with schedule, resources and budget?		NC



**Hope Foundation's  
International Institute of Information Technology, Pune**  
**PROJECT REVIEW – I**  
(Academic Year: 2025-26)

**STUDENT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION**

Students' Contribution and Performance					
Particulars	Marks(25M)				
	Group Members				
Understanding background and Topic	1	2	3	4	5
Specifies Project Scope and Objective	2	2	2	2	2
Literature Survey	5	5	5	5	5
Project Planning	4	4	4	4	4
Contribution of the Student	4	4	4	4	4
Presentation Skills	4	4	4	4	4
Question and Answer	4	4	4	4	4
<b>Total(25M)</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>25</b>

**Comments (if any) :**

hi

# To be filled by internal guide & reviewer(s) only.

\* Whether the presentation / evaluation schedule. : YES / NO (If NO mention the reasons for same.)

**Review – I: Deliverables**

- Problem Statement / Title
- Purpose, Scope, Objectives
- Abstract (System Overview)
- Introduction (Architecture and High-level Design)
- Literature Survey
- References
- Project Plan 1.0

**Name & Signature of evaluation committee -**

Name of Reviewer 1

Prof. Sonal R. Kulkarni

Name of Reviewer 2

Prof. Pravin Aher

Name of Internal Guide

Dr. Bhavana Kanawade