



**Hope Foundation's  
International Institute of Information Technology, Pune**  
**PROJECT REVIEW – I**  
**(Academic Year: 2025-26)**

<b>Group ID :</b>	G04	<b>DATE :</b>	2025-10-05
<b>Project Title :</b>	IoT-Based Smart Irrigation System		

Sr. No.	Roll No.	Student Name	Contact Details	Internal / External Guide Details
1	B2001	Atharv Joshi	atharv.joshi@example.com	Guide Name : Prof. Anjali Patil
2	B2002	Riya Patil	riya.patil@example.com	Mentor Name: Mr. Rajesh Singh
3	B2003	Soham Deshmukh	soham.deshmukh@example.com	Mentor Mobile No. & Email : 9876543210 & rajesh.singh@mentor.com
4	B2004	Tanvi Kulkarni	tanvi.kulkarni@example.com	

<b>REVIEW – I CHECKLIST : FINALIZATION OF SCOPE</b>		<b>25 MARKS</b>
<b>PROBLEM STATEMENT</b>		
1. Is the statement short and concise (10-20 words maximum)?		Y
2. Does the statement give clear indication about what your project will accomplish?		Y
3. Can a person who is not familiar with the project understand scope of the project by reading the Project Problem Statement?		Y
<b>SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES</b>		
4. Are all aspects of the requirements document addressed in the design?		Y
5. Is the architecture / block diagram well defined and understood?		Y
6. The project's objective of study (what product, process, resource etc.) is being addressed?		Y
7. The project's purpose: is the purpose of project addressed properly (why it's being pursued: to evaluate, reduce, increase, etc.)?		Y
8. The project's viewpoint: Is the project's viewpoint understood? (Who is the project's end user)?		Y
9. Is the project goal statement in alignment with the sponsoring organization's business goals and mission?		Y
<b>ANALYSIS</b>		
10. Is information domain analysis complete, consistent and accurate?		Y
11. Is problem statement categorized in identified area and targeted towards specific area therein?		Y
12. Are external and internal interfaces properly defined?		Y
13. Does the Use Case Model properly reflect the actors and their roles and responsibilities?		Y
14. Are all requirements traceable to system level?		Y
15. Is similar type of methodology / model used for existing work?		Y
16. Are requirements consistent with schedule, resources and budget?		Y



# Hope Foundation's International Institute of Information Technology, Pune

## PROJECT REVIEW – I

(Academic Year: 2025-26)

### STUDENT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Students' Contribution and Performance				
Particulars	Marks(25M)			
	Group Members			
1.Understanding background and Topic	1	2	3	4
2.Specifies Project Scope and Objective	0	0	0	0
3.Literature Survey	0	0	0	0
4.Project Planning	0	0	0	0
5.Contribution of the Student	0	0	0	0
6.Presentation Skills	0	0	0	0
7.Question and Answer	0	0	0	0
<b>Total(25M)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Comments (if any) :

HI THERE

# To be filled by internal guide & reviewer(s) only.

\* Whether the presentation / evaluation schedule. : YES / NO (If NO mention the reasons for same.)

#### Review – I: Deliverables

- Problem Statement / Title
- Purpose, Scope, Objectives
- Abstract (System Overview)
- Introduction (Architecture and High-level Design)
- Literature Survey
- References
- Project Plan 1.0

#### Name & Signature of evaluation committee -

Name of Reviewer 1

Name of Reviewer 2

Name of Internal Guide