



Hope Foundation's
International Institute of Information Technology, Pune

PROJECT REVIEW – I
(Academic Year: 2025-26)

Group ID:	G01	DATE:	2025-10-01
Project Title:	AI Review System		

Sr. No.	Roll No.	Student Name	Contact Details
1	20CE001	Atharv Chemate	atharv@example.com
2	20CE002	Riya Sharma	riya@example.com
3	20CE003	Arjun Verma	arjun@example.com
Internal / External Guide Details			
Guide Name: Dr. Sharma			
Mentor Name: Mr. Singh			
Mentor Contact: 9876543210 / singh@example.com			

REVIEW – I CHECKLIST: FINALIZATION OF SCOPE (25 MARKS)

PROJECT STATEMENT

1. Is the statement short and concise (10-20 words maximum)?	Y
2. Does the statement give clear indication about what your project will accomplish?	Y
3. Can a person who is not familiar with the project understand scope of the project by reading the Project Problem Statement?	Y

REQUIREMENT: SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES

a. Are all aspects of the requirements document addressed in the design?	Y
b. Is the architecture / block diagram well defined and understood?	Y
c. The project's objective of study (what product, process, resource etc.) is being addressed?	Y
d. The project's purpose: is the purpose of project addressed properly?	Y
e. The project's viewpoint: is the project's viewpoint understood?	Y
f. Is the project goal statement in alignment with the sponsoring organization's business goals?	Y

ANALYSIS

1. Is information domain analysis complete, consistent and accurate?	Y
2. Is problem statement categorized in identified area?	Y
3. Are external and internal interfaces properly defined?	Y

4. Does the Use Case Model properly reflect the actors and their roles?	Y
5. Are all requirements traceable to system level?	Y
6. Is similar type of methodology / model used for existing work?	Y
7. Are requirements consistent with schedule, resources and budget?	Y

STUDENT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Particulars	Max	Atharv Chemate	Riya Sharma	Arjun Verma
1. Understanding background and Topic (2M)	2	2.0	2.0	0.0
2. Specifies Project Scope and Objective (2M)	2	2.0	2.0	0.0
3. Literature Survey (5M)	5	4.0	4.0	0.0
4. Project Planning (4M)	4	4.0	4.0	0.0
5. Contribution of the Student (4M)	4	4.0	4.0	0.0
6. Presentation Skills (4M)	4	4.0	4.0	0.0
7. Question and Answer (4M)	4	4.0	4.0	0.0
Total (25M)	25	24.0	24.0	0.0

Comments (if any):

HI THERE

Name & Signature of Evaluation Committee

Reviewer 1: Dr. Rao

Reviewer 2: Dr. Iyer

Internal Guide: Dr. Sharma