



**Hope Foundation's  
International Institute of Information Technology, Pune**

**PROJECT REVIEW – I  
(Academic Year: 2025-26)**

<b>Group ID:</b>	G05	<b>DATE:</b>	2025-10-05
<b>Project Title:</b>	AI-Powered Waste Segregation System		

Sr. No.	Roll No.	Student Name	Contact Details
1	B2005	Aarav Shah	aarav.shah@example.com
2	B2006	Kritika Desai	kritika.desai@example.com
3	B2007	Omkar Pawar	omkar.pawar@example.com
4	B2008	Sneha Joshi	sneha.joshi@example.com
5	B2009	Aditya Shinde	aditya.shinde@example.com
		Internal / External Guide Details	
		Guide Name: Prof. Prakash More	
		Mentor Name: Ms. Priya Sharma	
		Mentor Contact: 9988776655 / priya.sharma@mentor.com	

**REVIEW – I CHECKLIST: FINALIZATION OF SCOPE (25 MARKS)**

**PROJECT STATEMENT**

1. Is the statement short and concise (10-20 words maximum)?	NC
2. Does the statement give clear indication about what your project will accomplish?	NC
3. Can a person who is not familiar with the project understand scope of the project by reading the Project Problem Statement?	NC

**REQUIREMENT: SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES**

a. Are all aspects of the requirements document addressed in the design?	NC
b. Is the architecture / block diagram well defined and understood?	NC
c. The project's objective of study (what product, process, resource etc.) is being addressed?	NC
d. The project's purpose: is the purpose of project addressed properly?	NC
e. The project's viewpoint: Is the project's viewpoint understood?	NC
f. Is the project goal statement in alignment with the sponsoring organization's business goals?	NC

**ANALYSIS**

1. Is information domain analysis complete, consistent and accurate?	NC
--	----

2. Is problem statement categorized in identified area?	<b>NC</b>
3. Are external and internal interfaces properly defined?	<b>NC</b>
4. Does the Use Case Model properly reflect the actors and their roles?	<b>NC</b>
5. Are all requirements traceable to system level?	<b>NC</b>
6. Is similar type of methodology / model used for existing work?	<b>NC</b>
7. Are requirements consistent with schedule, resources and budget?	<b>NC</b>

## STUDENT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Particulars	Max	Aarav Shah	Kritika Desai	Simkar Pawar	Bneha Joshi	Aditya Shinde
1. Understanding background and Topic (2M)	2	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2. Specifies Project Scope and Objective (2M)	2	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3. Literature Survey (5M)	5	5.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4. Project Planning (4M)	4	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5. Contribution of the Student (4M)	4	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6. Presentation Skills (4M)	4	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7. Question and Answer (4M)	4	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Total (25M)</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>25.0</b>	<b>25.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>

### Comments (if any):

No comments provided.

### Name & Signature of Evaluation Committee

Reviewer 1: Dr. S. Iyer

Reviewer 2: Dr. V. Jadhav

Internal Guide: Prof. Prakash More