


Ad closed by Google

Report this ad

Why this ad? ⓘ

Difference Between Preemptive and Non-Preemptive Scheduling in OS

December 15, 2016 — 12 Comments



It is the responsibility of CPU scheduler to allot a process to CPU whenever the CPU is in the idle state. The CPU scheduler selects a process from ready queue and allocates the process to CPU. The scheduling which takes place when a process switches from running state to ready state or from waiting state to ready state is called **Preemptive Scheduling**. On the hands, the scheduling which takes place when a process terminates or switches from running to waiting for state this kind of CPU scheduling is called **Non-Preemptive Scheduling**.

Ad closed by Goo

Report this ad

Why this ad? ⓘ

The basic difference between preemptive and non-preemptive scheduling lies in their name itself. That is a Preemptive scheduling can be preempted; the processes can be scheduled. In Non-preemptive scheduling, the processes can not be scheduled.

Let us discuss the differences between the both Preemptive and Non-Preemptive Scheduling in brief with the help of comparison chart shown below.

Content: Preemptive Vs Non-Preemptive Scheduling

1. [Comparison Chart](#)
2. [Definition](#)
3. [Key Differences](#)
4. [Conclusion](#)

Comparison Chart

BASIS FOR COMPARISON	PREEMPTIVE SCHEDULING	NON PREEMPTIVE SCHEDULING
Basic	The resources are allocated to a process for a limited time.	Once resources are allocated to a process, the process holds it till it completes its burst time or switches to waiting state.
Interrupt	Process can be interrupted in between.	Process can not be interrupted till it terminates or switches to waiting state.

BASIS FOR COMPARISON	PREEMPTIVE SCHEDULING	NON PREEMPTIVE SCHEDULING
Starvation	If a high priority process frequently arrives in the ready queue, low priority process may starve.	If a process with long burst time is running CPU, then another process with less CPU burst time may starve.
Overhead	Preemptive scheduling has overheads of scheduling the processes.	Non-preemptive scheduling does not have overheads.
Flexibility	Preemptive scheduling is flexible.	Non-preemptive scheduling is rigid.
Cost	Preemptive scheduling is cost associated.	Non-preemptive scheduling is not cost associative.

Ad closed by Goo

Report this ad

Why this ad? ⓘ


Definition of Preemptive Scheduling

Preemptive scheduling is one which can be done in the circumstances when a process switches from **running state** to **ready state** or from **waiting state** to **ready state**. Here, the resources (CPU cycles) are allocated to the process for the **limited** amount of time and then is taken away, and the process is placed back in the ready queue again if it still has CPU burst time remaining. The process stays in ready queue till it gets next chance to execute.

If a process with high priority arrives in the ready queue, it does not have to wait for the current process to complete its burst time. Instead, the current process is interrupted in the middle of execution and is placed in the ready queue till the process with high priority is utilizing the CPU cycles. In this way, each process in the ready queue gets some time to run CPU. It makes the preemptive scheduling flexible but, increases the overhead of switching the process from running state to ready state and vice-verse.

Algorithms that work on preemptive scheduling are Round Robin. Shortest Job First (SJF) and Priority scheduling may or may not come under preemptive scheduling.

Let us take an example of Preemptive Scheduling, look in the picture below. We have four processes P₀, P₁, P₂, P₃. Out of which, P₂ arrives at time 0. So the CPU is allocated to the process P₂ as there is no other process in the queue. Meanwhile, P₂ was executing, P₃ arrives at time 1, now the remaining time for process P₂ (5 milliseconds) which is larger than the time required by P₃ (4 milli-sec). So CPU is allocated to processor P₃.



Meanwhile, P₃ was executing, process P₁ arrives at time 2. Now the remaining time for P₃ (3 milliseconds) is less than the time required by processes P₁ (4 milliseconds) and P₂ (5 milliseconds). So P₃ is allowed to continue. While P₃ is continuing process P₀ arrives at time 3, now the remaining time for P₃ (2 milliseconds) is equal to the time required by P₀ (2 milliseconds). So P₃ continues and after P₃ terminates the CPU is allocated to P₀ as it has less burst time than other. After P₀ terminates, the CPU is allocated to P₁ and then to P₂.

Definition of Non-Preemptive Scheduling

Non-preemptive Scheduling is one which can be applied in the circumstances when a process **terminates**, or a process switches from **running** to **waiting state**. In Non-Preemptive Scheduling, once the resources (CPU) is allocated to a process, the process holds the CPU till it gets terminated or it reaches a waiting state.


Unlike preemptive scheduling, non-preemptive scheduling does not interrupt a process running CPU in middle of the execution. Instead, it waits for the process to complete its CPU burst time and then it can allocate the CPU to another process.

Ad closed by Google

Report this ad

Why this ad? ⓘ

In Non-preemptive scheduling, if a process with long CPU burst time is executing then the other process will have to wait for a long time which increases the average waiting time of the processes in the ready queue. However, the non-preemptive scheduling does not have any overhead of switching the processes from ready queue to CPU but it makes the scheduling rigid as the process in execution is not even preempted for a process with higher priority.



Let us solve the above scheduling example in non-preemptive fashion. As initially the process P₂ arrives at time 0, so CPU is allocated to the process P₂ it takes 6 milliseconds to execute. In between all the processes i.e. P₀, P₁, P₃

arrives into ready queue. But all waits till process P2 completes its CPU burst time. Then process that arrives after P2 i.e. P3 is then allocated the CPU till it finishes its burst time. Similarly, then P1 executes, and CPU is later given to process P0.

Key Differences Between Preemptive and Non-Preemptive Scheduling

1. The basic difference between preemptive and non-preemptive scheduling is that in preemptive scheduling the CPU is allocated to the processes for the **limited** time. While in Non-preemptive scheduling, the CPU is allocated to the process till it **terminates** or switches to **waiting state**.
2. The executing process in preemptive scheduling is **interrupted** in the middle of execution whereas, the executing process in non-preemptive scheduling is **not interrupted** in the middle of execution.
3. Preemptive Scheduling has the **overhead** of switching the process from ready state to running state, vice-verse, and maintaining the ready queue. On the other hands, non-preemptive scheduling has **no overhead** of switching the process from running state to ready state.
4. In preemptive scheduling, if a process with high priority frequently arrives in the ready queue then the process with low priority have to wait for a long, and it may have to starve. On the other hands, in the non-preemptive scheduling, if CPU is allocated to the process with larger burst time then the processes with small burst time may have to starve.
5. Preemptive scheduling is quite **flexible** because the critical processes are allowed to access CPU as they arrive into the ready queue, no matter what process is executing currently. Non-preemptive scheduling is **rigid** as even if a critical process enters the ready queue the process running CPU is not disturbed.
6. The Preemptive Scheduling is cost associative as it has to maintain the integrity of shared data which is not the case with Non-preemptive Scheduling.

Conclusion: