

LIST OF EXPERIMENTS

Cycle I:

Part-1

To Verify the Functionality of the following 74 Series ICs:

1. 3- 8 Decoder – 74LS138.
2. 8X1 Multiplexer– 74151 and 2X4 De-multiplexer- 74155.
3. 2-bit COMPARATOR -74LS85.
4. D-Flip- Flop – (74LS74) and JK Flip- Flop (74LS73).

Part-2

Design and simulate the following Circuits using HDL:

1. Logic Gates.
2. Adders and Subtractors
3. Code converters
4. Multiplexer and De-multiplexer.
5. Encoder and Decoder.
6. Parity generator and checker
7. Flip Flops using Truth table and FSM
8. Shift Registers
9. Asynchronous counters
10. Synchronous counters

Cycle II:

1. Development of one application which shall cover maximum no. of Experiments in Cycle I.

EXPERIMENT-1


REALIZATION OF LOGIC GATES (NOT, AND, OR, NAND, NOR, XOR, XNOR)

1. AIM: To Design, simulate and implement all Logic Gates in 3 different modeling styles(Data Flow, Behavioral Flow, Structural Flow Modeling)

2. SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

3. PROCEDURE:

- Open the Xilinx Vivado project navigator.
- Open the Design source and go to add / create sources
- Create new file, give appropriate name save it.
- Open the file in the editor and write the Verilog code.
- Open the Design source and go to add / create sources to create the test bench
- Open the editor and write the Verilog code for test bench.
- After completion of Verilog code set your test bench as top module in simulation settings and Run Simulation.
- To view RTL schematic, select RTL Analysis in which select open elaborate design, select Schematic.

LOGIC GATES (Data Flow Modeling)**1.1 AIM:** To implement AND Gate**1.2 SOFTWARE USED:** Xilinx Vivado 2022.2**1.3 SYMBOL:****1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:**

$$Y = (A \& B)$$

1.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = (A \cdot B)$$

1.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT
A	B	Y
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

1.7 VERILOG CODE (andgate_df.v):

```
module andgate_df(y,a,b);
    output y;
    input a,b;


    assign y=a&b;

endmodule
```

1.8 TEST BENCH CODE (andgate_df_tb.v):

```
module andgate_tb();
reg a,b;
wire y;
andgate_df x1(y,a,b);
initial
begin
    a=1'b0; b=1'b0;
#10 a=1'b0; b=1'b1;
#20 a=1'b1; b=1'b0;
#40 a=1'b1; b=1'b1;
#60 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

1.9 WAVEFORM:



1.10 OBSERVATION:

Output is high for both high inputs and low for all other cases.


1.11 RESULT:

The Logical AND Gate is simulated and implemented in Data Flow Modeling.

2.1 AIM: To implement OR Gate

2.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

2.3 SYMBOL:



2.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$Y = (A|B)$$

2.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = (A+B)$$

2.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT
A	B	Y
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	1

2.7 VERILOG CODE (orgate_df.v):


```
module orgate_df(y,a,b);
    output y;
    input a,b;
    assign y=a|b;
```

endmodule

2.8 TEST BENCH CODE (orgate_df_tb.v):

```
module orgate_tb();
reg a,b;
wire y;
orgate_df x1(y,a,b);
initial
begin
    a=1'b0; b=1'b0;
#10 a=1'b0; b=1'b1;
#20 a=1'b1; b=1'b0;
#40 a=1'b1; b=1'b1;
#60 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

2.9 WAVEFORM:



2.10 OBSERVATION:

Output is low for both low inputs and high for all other cases.


2.11 RESULT:

The Logical OR Gate is simulated and implemented in Data Flow Modeling.

3.1 AIM: To implement NAND Gate

3.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

3.3 SYMBOL:



3.4 LOGICAL EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \sim(A \& B)$$

3.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \overline{(A \cdot B)}$$

3.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT
A	B	Y
0	0	1
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

3.7 VERILOG CODE (nandgate_df.v):

```
module nandgate_df(y,a,b);
    output y;
    input a,b;


    assign y=~(a&b);

endmodule
```

3.8 TEST BENCH CODE (nandgate_df_tb.v):

```
module nandgate_tb();
reg a,b;
wire y;
nandgate_df x1(y,a,b);
initial
begin
    a=1'b0; b=1'b0;
#10 a=1'b0; b=1'b1;
#20 a=1'b1; b=1'b0;
#40 a=1'b1; b=1'b1;
#60 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

3.9 WAVEFORM:



3.10 OBSERVATION:

Output is low for both high inputs and high for all other cases.


3.11 RESULT:

The Logical NAND Gate is simulated and implemented in Data Flow Modeling.

4.1 AIM: To implement NOR Gate

4.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

4.3 SYMBOL:



4.4 LOGICAL EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \sim(A \mid B)$$

4.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \overline{(A+B)}$$

4.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT
A	B	Y
0	0	1
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	0

4.7 VERILOG CODE (norgate_df.v):

```
module norgate_df(y,a,b);
    output y;
    input a,b;


    assign y=~(a|b);

endmodule
```

4.8 TEST BENCH CODE (norgate_df_tb.v):

```
module norgate_tb();
reg a,b;
wire y;
norgate_df x1(y,a,b);
initial
begin
    a=1'b0; b=1'b0;
#10 a=1'b0; b=1'b1;
#20 a=1'b1; b=1'b0;
#40 a=1'b1; b=1'b1;
#60 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

4.9 WAVEFORM:



4.10 OBSERVATION:

Output is high for both low inputs and low for all other cases.


4.11 RESULT:

The Logical NOR Gate is simulated and implemented in Data Flow Modeling.

5.1 AIM: To implement NOT Gate

5.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

5.3 SYMBOL:



5.4 LOGICAL EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \sim(A)$$

5.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \overline{A}$$

5.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT	OUTPUT
A	Y
0	1
0	0

5.7 VERILOG CODE (notgate_df.v):

```
module notgate_df(y,a);
    output y;
    input a;


    assign y=~(a);

endmodule
```

5.8 TEST BENCH CODE (notgate_df_tb.v):

```
module notgate_tb();
reg a;
wire y;
notgate_df x1(y,a);
initial
begin
    a=1'b0; b=1'b0;
#10 a=1'b0; b=1'b1;
#10 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

5.9 WAVEFORM:



5.10 OBSERVATION:

Output is high for low input and low for high input.


5.11 RESULT:

The Logical NOT Gate is simulated and implemented in Data Flow Modeling.

6.1 AIM: To implement XOR Gate

6.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

6.3 SYMBOL:



6.4 LOGICAL EXPRESSION:

$$Y = A \wedge B$$

6.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = (\overline{A}B + A\overline{B})$$

6.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT
A	B	Y
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

6.7 VERILOG CODE (xorgate_df.v):

```
module xorgate_df(y,a,b);
    output y;
    input a,b;


    assign y=(a^b);

endmodule
```

6.8 TEST BENCH CODE (xorgate_df_tb.v):

```
module xorgate_tb();
reg a,b;
wire y;
xorgate_df x1(y,a,b);
initial
begin
    a=1'b0; b=1'b0;
#10 a=1'b0; b=1'b1;
#20 a=1'b1; b=1'b0;
#40 a=1'b1; b=1'b1;
#60 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

6.9 WAVEFORM:



6.10 OBSERVATION:

Output is low for both same inputs and high for all other cases.


6.11 RESULT:

The Logical XOR Gate is simulated and implemented in Data Flow Modeling.

7.1 AIM: To implement XNOR Gate

7.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

7.3 SYMBOL:



7.4 LOGICAL EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \sim(A \wedge B)$$

7.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = (A \cdot B + A \cdot \overline{B})$$

7.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT
A	B	Y
0	0	1
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

7.7 VERILOG CODE (xnorgate_df.v):

```

module xnorgate_df(y,a,b);
    output y;
    input a,b;

    assign y=~(a^b);


endmodule

```

7.8 TEST BENCH CODE (xnorgate_df_tb.v):

```
module xnorgate_tb();
reg a,b;
wire y;
xnorgate_df x1(y,a,b);
initial
begin
    a=1'b0; b=1'b0;
#10 a=1'b0; b=1'b1;
#20 a=1'b1; b=1'b0;
#40 a=1'b1; b=1'b1;
#60 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

7.9 WAVEFORM:




7.10 OBSERVATION:

Output is high for both same inputs and low for all other cases.

7.11 RESULT:

The Logical XNOR Gate is simulated and implemented in Data Flow Modeling.

LOGIC GATES (Behavioral Flow Modeling)**1.12 AIM:** To implement AND Gate**1.13 SOFTWARE USED:** Xilinx Vivado 2022.2**1.14 SYMBOL:****1.15 LOGICAL EXPRESSION:**

$$Y = (A \& B)$$

1.16 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = (A \cdot B)$$

1.17 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT
A	B	Y
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

1.18 VERILOG CODE (andgate_bf.v):


```
module andgate_bf(y,a,b);
    output y;
    input a,b;
    reg y;
    always@(a,b)
    begin
        if(a==1'b1 & b==1'b1)
```

```
y=1;  
else  
y=0;  
end  
endmodule
```

1.19 TEST BENCH CODE (andgate_bf_tb.v):

```
module andgate_bf_tb();  
reg a,b;  
wire y;  
andgate_bf x1(y,a,b);  
initial  
begin  
a=1'b0; b=1'b0;  
#10 a=1'b0; b=1'b1;  
#20 a=1'b1; b=1'b0;  
#40 a=1'b1; b=1'b1;  
#60 $finish;  
end  
endmodule
```

1.20 WAVEFORM:



1.21 OBSERVATION:

Output is high for both high inputs and low for all other cases.


1.22 RESULT:

The Logical AND Gate is simulated and implemented in Behavioral Flow Modeling.

2.1 AIM: To implement OR Gate

2.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

2.3 SYMBOL:



2.4 LOGICAL EXPRESSION:

$$Y = A|B$$

2.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = (A+B)$$

2.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT
A	B	Y
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	1


2.7 VERILOG CODE (orgate_bf.v):

```
module orgate_bf(y,a,b);
output y;
input a,b;
reg y;
always@(a,b)
begin
if(a==1'b0 & b==1'b0)
y=0;
else
y=1;
end
endmodule
```

2.8 TEST BENCH CODE (orgate_bf_tb.v):

```
module orgate_bf_tb();
reg a,b;
wire y;
orgate_bf x1(y,a,b);
initial
begin
    a=1'b0; b=1'b0;
#10 a=1'b0; b=1'b1;
#20 a=1'b1; b=1'b0;
#40 a=1'b1; b=1'b1;
#60 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

2.9 WAVEFORM:



2.10 OBSERVATION:

Output is low for both low inputs and high for all other cases.


2.11 RESULT:

The Logical OR Gate is simulated and implemented in Behavioral Flow Modeling.

3.1 AIM: To implement NAND Gate

3.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

3.3 SYMBOL:



3.4 LOGICAL EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \sim(A \& B)$$

3.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \overline{(A \cdot B)}$$

3.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT
A	B	Y
0	0	1
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

3.7 VERILOG CODE (nandgate_bf.v):


```
module nandgate_bf(y,a,b);
output y;
input a,b;
reg y;
always@(a,b)
begin
if(a==1'b1 & b==1'b1)
```

```
y=0;  
else  
y=1;  
end  
endmodule
```

3.8 TEST BENCH CODE (nandgate_bf_tb.v):

```
module nandgate_bf_tb();  
reg a,b;  
wire y;  
nandgate_bf x1(y,a,b);  
initial  
begin  
a=1'b0; b=1'b0;  
#10 a=1'b0; b=1'b1;  
#20 a=1'b1; b=1'b0;  
#40 a=1'b1; b=1'b1;  
#60 $finish;  
end  
endmodule
```

3.9 WAVEFORM:



3.10 OBSERVATION:

Output is low for both high inputs and high for all other cases.


3.11 RESULT:

The Logical NAND Gate is simulated and implemented in Behavioral Flow Modeling.

4.1 AIM: To implement NOR Gate

4.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

4.3 SYMBOL:



4.4 LOGICAL EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \overline{A+B}$$

4.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \overline{A+B}$$

4.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT
A	B	Y
0	0	1
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	0

4.7 VERILOG CODE (norgate_bf.v):


```
module norgate_bf(y,a,b);
output y;
input a,b;
reg y;
always@(a,b)
begin
if(a==1'b0 & b==1'b0)
y=1;
else
y=0;
end
```

endmodule

4.8 TEST BENCH CODE (norgate_bf_tb.v):

```
module norgate_bf_tb();
reg a,b;
wire y;
norgate_bf x1(y,a,b);
initial
begin
    a=1'b0; b=1'b0;
#10 a=1'b0; b=1'b1;
#20 a=1'b1; b=1'b0;
#40 a=1'b1; b=1'b1;
#60 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

4.9 WAVEFORM:



4.10 OBSERVATION:

Output is high for both low inputs and low for all other cases.


4.11 RESULT:

The Logical NOR Gate is simulated and implemented in Behavioral Flow Modeling.

5.1 AIM: To implement NOT Gate

5.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

5.3 SYMBOL:



5.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \sim(A)$$

5.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \overline{A}$$

5.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT	OUTPUT
A	Y
0	1
0	0

5.7 VERILOG CODE (notgate_bf.v):


```
module notgate_bf(y,a);
output y;
input a;
reg y;
always@(a)
```

```
begin
if(a==1'b1)
y=0;
else
y=1;
end
endmodule
```

5.8 TEST BENCH CODE (notgate_bf_tb.v):

```
module notgate_bf_tb();
reg a;
wire y;
notgate_bf x1(y,a);
initial
begin
a=1'b0; b=1'b0;
#10 a=1'b0; b=1'b1;
#10 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

5.9 WAVEFORM:



5.10 OBSERVATION:

Output is high for low input and low for high input.


5.11 RESULT:

The Logical NOT Gate is simulated and implemented in Behavioral Flow Modeling.

6.1 AIM: To implement XOR Gate

6.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

6.3 SYMBOL:



6.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$Y = A \oplus B$$

6.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = (\overline{A}B + A\overline{B})$$

6.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT
A	B	Y
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0


6.7 VERILOG CODE (xorgate_bf.v):

```
module xorgate_bf(y,a,b);
output y;
input a,b;
reg y;
always@(a,b)
begin
if((a==1'b1 & b==1'b1)|(a==1'b0 & b==1'b0))
y=0;
else
y=1;
end
endmodule
```

6.8 TEST BENCH CODE (xorgate_bf_tb.v):

```
module xorgate_bf_tb();
reg a,b;
wire y;
xorgate_bf x1(y,a,b);
initial
begin
    a=1'b0; b=1'b0;
#10 a=1'b0; b=1'b1;
#20 a=1'b1; b=1'b0;
#40 a=1'b1; b=1'b1;
#60 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

6.9 WAVEFORM:



6.10 OBSERVATION:

Output is low for both same inputs and high for all other cases.


6.11 RESULT:

The Logical XOR Gate is simulated and implemented in Behavioral Flow Modeling.

7.1 AIM: To implement XNOR Gate

7.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

7.3 SYMBOL:



7.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \sim(A \wedge B)$$

7.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = (A \cdot B + \overline{A} \cdot \overline{B})$$

7.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT
A	B	Y
0	0	1
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1


7.7 VERILOG CODE (xnorgate_bf.v):

```
module xnorgate_bf(y,a,b);
output y;
input a,b;
reg y;
always@(a,b)
begin
if((a==1'b1 & b==1'b1)|(a==1'b0 & b==1'b0))
y=1;
else
y=0;
end
endmodule
```

7.8 TEST BENCH CODE (xnorgate_bf_tb.v):

```
module xnorgate_bf_tb();
reg a,b;
wire y;
xnorgate_bf x1(y,a,b);
initial
begin
    a=1'b0; b=1'b0;
#10 a=1'b0; b=1'b1;
#20 a=1'b1; b=1'b0;
#40 a=1'b1; b=1'b1;
#60 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

7.9 WAVEFORM:




7.10 OBSERVATION:

Output is high for both same inputs and low for all other cases.

7.11 RESULT:

The Logical XNOR Gate is simulated and implemented in Behavioral Flow Modeling.

LOGIC GATES (Structural Flow Modeling)**1.1 AIM:** To implement AND Gate**1.2 SOFTWARE USED:** Xilinx Vivado 2022.2**1.3 SYMBOL:****1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:**

$$Y = (A \& B)$$

1.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = (A \cdot B)$$

1.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT
A	B	Y
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1


1.7 VERILOG CODE (andgate_sf.v):

```
module andgate_sf(y,a,b);
output y;
input a,b;
wire y;
and (y,a,b);
endmodule
```

1.8 TEST BENCH CODE (andgate_sf_tb.v):

```
module andgate_sf_tb();
reg a,b;
wire y;
andgate_sf x1(y,a,b);
initial
begin
a=1'b0; b=1'b0;
#10 a=1'b0; b=1'b1;
#20 a=1'b1; b=1'b0;
#40 a=1'b1; b=1'b1;
#60 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

1.9 WAVEFORM:



1.10 OBSERVATION:

Output is high for both high inputs and low for all other cases.


1.11 RESULT:

The Logical AND Gate is simulated and implemented in Structural Flow Modeling.

2.1 AIM: To implement OR Gate

2.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

2.3 SYMBOL:



2.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$Y = (A|B)$$

2.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = (A+B)$$

2.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT
A	B	Y
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	1

2.7 VERILOG CODE (orgate_sf.v):


```
module orgate_sf(y,a,b);
output y;
input a,b;
wire y;
or (y,a,b);
endmodule
```

2.8 TEST BENCH CODE (orgate_sf_tb.v):

```
module orgate_sf_tb();
reg a,b;
wire y;
```

```
orgate_sf x1(y,a,b);
initial
begin
    a=1'b0; b=1'b0;
#10 a=1'b0; b=1'b1;
#20 a=1'b1; b=1'b0;
#40 a=1'b1; b=1'b1;
#60 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

2.9 WAVEFORM:



2.10 OBSERVATION:

Output is low for both low inputs and high for all other cases.


2.11 RESULT:

The Logical OR Gate is simulated and implemented in Structural Flow Modeling.

3.1 AIM: To implement NAND Gate

3.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

3.3 SYMBOL:



3.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \overline{(A \cdot B)}$$

3.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \overline{A} \cdot \overline{B}$$

3.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT
A	B	Y
0	0	1
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

3.7 VERILOG CODE (nandgate_sf.v):


```
module nandgate_sf(y,a,b);
output y;
input a,b;
wire y;
nand (y,a,b);
endmodule
```

3.8 TEST BENCH CODE (nandgate_sf_tb.v):

```
module nandgate_sf_tb();
reg a,b;
wire y;
nandgate_sf x1(y,a,b);
initial
begin
```

```
a=1'b0; b=1'b0;  
#10 a=1'b0; b=1'b1;  
#20 a=1'b1; b=1'b0;  
#40 a=1'b1; b=1'b1;  
#60 $finish;  
end  
endmodule
```

3.9 WAVEFORM:



3.10 OBSERVATION:

Output is low for both high inputs and high for all other cases.


3.11 RESULT:

The Logical NAND Gate is simulated and implemented in Structural Flow Modeling.

4.1 AIM: To implement NOR Gate

4.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

4.3 SYMBOL:



4.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \overline{(A+B)}$$

4.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \overline{(A+B)}$$

4.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT
A	B	Y
0	0	1
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	0

4.7 VERILOG CODE (norgate_sf.v):


```
module norgate_sf(y,a,b);
output y;
input a,b;
wire y;
nor (y,a,b);
endmodule
```

4.8 TEST BENCH CODE (norgate_sf_tb.v):

```
module norgate_sf_tb();
reg a,b;
wire y;
norgate_sf x1(y,a,b);
initial
```

```
begin
    a=1'b0; b=1'b0;
    #10 a=1'b0; b=1'b1;
    #20 a=1'b1; b=1'b0;
    #40 a=1'b1; b=1'b1;
    #60 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

4.9 WAVEFORM:



4.10 OBSERVATION:

Output is high for both low inputs and low for all other cases.


4.11 RESULT:

The Logical NOR Gate is simulated and implemented in Structural Flow Modeling.

5.1 AIM: To implement NOT Gate

5.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

5.3 SYMBOL:



5.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \sim(A)$$

5.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \overline{A}$$

5.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT	OUTPUT
A	Y
0	1
0	0

5.7 VERILOG CODE (notgate_sf.v):


```
module notgate_sf(y,a);
output y;
input a;
wire y;
not (y,a);
endmodule
```

5.8 TEST BENCH CODE (notgate_sf_tb.v):

```
module notgate_sf_tb();
reg a;
wire y;
notgate_sf x1(y,a);
initial
begin
```

```
a=1'b0; b=1'b0;  
#10 a=1'b0; b=1'b1;  
#10 $finish;  
end  
endmodule
```

5.9 WAVEFORM:



5.10 OBSERVATION:

Output is high for low input and low for high input.


5.11 RESULT:

The Logical NOT Gate is simulated and implemented in Structural Flow Modeling.

6.1 AIM: To implement XOR Gate

6.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

6.3 SYMBOL:



6.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$Y = (A \wedge B)$$

6.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = (\overline{A}B + A\overline{B})$$

6.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT
A	B	Y
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

6.7 VERILOG CODE (xorgate_sf.v):


```
module xorgate_sf(y,a,b);
output y;
input a,b;
wire y;
xor (y,a,b);
endmodule
```

6.8 TEST BENCH CODE (xorgate_sf_tb.v):

```
module xorgate_sf_tb();
reg a,b;
wire y;
```

```
xorgate_sf x1(y,a,b);
initial
begin
    a=1'b0; b=1'b0;
#10 a=1'b0; b=1'b1;
#20 a=1'b1; b=1'b0;
#40 a=1'b1; b=1'b1;
#60 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

6.9 WAVEFORM:



6.10 OBSERVATION:

Output is low for both same inputs and high for all other cases.


6.11 RESULT:

The Logical XOR Gate is simulated and implemented in Structural Flow Modeling.

7.1 AIM: To implement XNOR Gate

7.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

7.3 SYMBOL:



7.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \sim(A \wedge B)$$

7.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = (A \cdot B + A \cdot \bar{B})$$

7.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT
A	B	Y
0	0	1
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

7.7 VERILOG CODE (xnorgate_sf.v):


```
module xnorgate_sf(y,a,b);
output y;
input a,b;
wire y;
xnor (y,a,b);
endmodule
```

7.8 TEST BENCH CODE (xnorgate_sf_tb.v):

```
module xnorgate_sf_tb();
reg a,b;
wire y;
xnorgate_sf x1(y,a,b);
initial
```

```
begin
    a=1'b0; b=1'b0;
    #10 a=1'b0; b=1'b1;
    #20 a=1'b1; b=1'b0;
    #40 a=1'b1; b=1'b1;
    #60 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

7.9 WAVEFORM:



7.10 OBSERVATION:

Output is high for both same inputs and low for all other cases.

7.11 RESULT:

The Logical XNOR Gate is simulated and implemented in Structural Flow Modeling.


EXPERIMENT-2
REALIZATION OF ADDERS AND SUBTRACTORS (HALF, FULL)

- 1. AIM:** To Design, simulate and implement Adders and Subtractors in 3 different modeling styles(Data Flow, Behavioral Flow, Structural Flow Modeling)
- 2. SOFTWARE USED:** Xilinx Vivado 2022.2
- 3. PROCEDURE:**
 - Open the Xilinx Vivado project navigator.
 - Open the Design source and go to add / create sources
 - Create new file, give appropriate name save it.
 - Open the file in the editor and write the Verilog code.
 - Open the Design source and go to add / create sources to create the test bench
 - Open the editor and write the Verilog code for test bench.
 - After completion of Verilog code set your test bench as top module in simulation settings and Run Simulation.
 - To view RTL schematic, select RTL Analysis in which select open elaborate design, select Schematic.

ADDERS (Data Flow Modeling)

1.1 AIM: To implement Half Adder

1.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

1.3 SYMBOL:**1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:**

$$S = A \wedge B$$

$$C = A \& B$$

1.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$S = \bar{A}B + A\bar{B}$$

$$C = A \cdot B$$

1.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT	
A	B	S	C
0	0	0	0
0	1	1	0
1	0	1	0
1	1	0	1


1.7 VERILOG CODE (halfadder_df.v):

```
module halfadder_df(s,c,a,b);
output s,c;
input a,b;
assign s = a^b;
assign c = a&b;
endmodule
```

1.8 TEST BENCH (halfadder_df_tb.v):

```
module halfadder_df_tb();
reg a,b;
wire s,c;
halfadder_df x1(s,c,a,b);
initial
begin
{a,b}=2'b00;
#10 {a,b}=2'b01;
#20 {a,b}=2'b10;
#40 {a,b}=2'b11;
#60 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

1.9 WAVEFORM:



1.10 OBSERVATION:

In half adder, the sum of the two inputs is represented using logical XOR Gate and carry is represented using logical AND Gate.


1.11 RESULT:

Half Adder is simulated and implemented in Data Flow Modeling.

2.1 AIM: To implement Full Adder

2.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

2.3 SYMBOL:



2.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$S = A \wedge B \wedge Cin$$

$$C = (A \& B) + (Cin \& (A \wedge B))$$

2.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$S = \overline{A}(\overline{B}Cin + B\overline{Cin}) + A(\overline{B}\overline{Cin} + B\overline{Cin})$$

$$C = AB + Cin(\overline{A}B + A\overline{B})$$

2.1 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT			OUTPUT	
A	B	Cin	S	C
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	0
0	1	0	1	0
0	1	1	0	1
1	0	0	1	0
1	0	1	0	1
1	1	0	0	1
1	1	1	1	1


2.2 VERILOG CODE (fulladder_df.v):

```
module fulladder_df(s,cout,a,b,cin);
output s,cout;
input a,b,cin;
assign s = a^b^cin;
assign cout = (a&b)+(cin&(a^b));
endmodule
```

2.3 TEST BENCH (fulladder_df_tb.v):

```
module fulladder_df_tb();
reg a,b,cin;
wire s,cout;
fulladder_df x1(s,cout,a,b,cin);
initial
begin
{a,b,cin}=3'b000;
#10 {a,b,cin}=3'b001;
#10 {a,b,cin}=3'b010;
#10 {a,b,cin}=3'b011;
#10 {a,b,cin}=3'b100;
#10 {a,b,cin}=3'b101;
#10 {a,b,cin}=3'b110;
#10 {a,b,cin}=3'b111;
end
endmodule
```

2.4 WAVEFORM:



2.5 OBSERVATION:

In full adder, the sum of the two inputs is represented using $S = A \oplus B \oplus Cin$ and carry is represented using $C = (A \& B) + (Cin \& (A \oplus B))$

2.6 RESULT:


Full Adder is simulated and implemented in Data Flow Modeling.

ADDERS (Behavioral Flow Modeling)

1.1 AIM: To implement Half Adder

1.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

1.3 SYMBOL:



1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$S = A \wedge B$$

$$C = A \& B$$

1.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$S = \bar{A}B + A\bar{B}$$

$$C = A \cdot B$$

1.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT	
A	B	S	C
0	0	0	0
0	1	1	0
1	0	1	0
1	1	0	1

1.7 VERILOG CODE (halfadder_bf.v):

```
module halfadder_bf(s,c,a,b);
output s,c;
input a,b;
reg s,c;
always @(a,b)
begin
if(a==1'b0 & b==1'b0)
begin
s=0;
c=0;
end
else if(a==1'b0 & b==1'b1)
begin
s=1;
c=0;
end
else if(a==1'b1 & b==1'b0)
begin
s=1;
c=0;
end
else
begin
s=0;
c=1;
end
end
endmodule
```


```
begin
s=1;
c=0;
end

else if(a==1'b1 & b==1'b0)
begin
s=1;
c=0;
end
else if(a==1'b1 & b==1'b1)
begin
s=0;
c=1;
end
end
endmodule
```

1.8 TEST BENCH (halfadder_bf_tb.v):

```
module halfadder_bf_tb();
reg a,b;
wire s,c;
halfadder_bf x1(s,c,a,b);
initial
begin
{a,b}=2'b00;
#10 {a,b}=2'b01;
#20 {a,b}=2'b10;
#40 {a,b}=2'b11;
#60 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

1.9 WAVEFORM:



1.10 OBSERVATION:

In half adder, the sum of the two inputs is represented using logical XOR Gate and carry is represented using logical AND Gate.


1.11 RESULT:

Half Adder is simulated and implemented in Behavioral Flow Modeling.

2.1 AIM: To implement Full Adder

2.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

2.3 SYMBOL:



2.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$S = A \wedge B \wedge \text{Cin}$$

$$C = (\text{A} \& \text{B}) + (\text{Cin} \& (\text{A} \wedge \text{B}))$$

2.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$S = \overline{\text{A}} \overline{\text{B}} \text{Cin} + \text{B} \overline{\text{Cin}} + \text{A} \overline{\text{B}} \text{Cin} + \text{B} \overline{\text{Cin}}$$

$$C = \text{AB} + \text{Cin}(\overline{\text{A}}\text{B} + \overline{\text{B}}\text{A})$$

2.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT			OUTPUT	
A	B	Cin	S	C
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	0
0	1	0	1	0
0	1	1	0	1
1	0	0	1	0
1	0	1	0	1
1	1	0	0	1
1	1	1	1	1

2.7 VERILOG CODE (fulladder_bf.v):

```

module fulladder_bf(s,cout,a,b,cin);
output s,cout;
input a,b,cin;
reg s,cout;
always@(a,b,cin)
begin
if(a==1'b0 & b==1'b0 & cin==1'b0)
begin
s=0;
cout = 0;
end
else
begin
s=a&b+cin;
cout = (a&b)+((cin)&(a^b));
end
end
endmodule


```

```
else if(a==1'b0 & b==1'b0 & cin==1'b1)
begin
s=1;
cout = 0;
end
else if(a==1'b0 & b==1'b1 & cin==1'b0)
begin
s=1;
cout = 0;
end
else if(a==1'b0 & b==1'b1 & cin==1'b1)
begin
s=0;
cout = 1;
end
else if(a==1'b1 & b==1'b0 & cin==1'b0)
begin
s=1;
cout = 0;
end
else if(a==1'b1 & b==1'b0 & cin==1'b1)
begin
s=0;
cout = 1;
end
else if(a==1'b1 & b==1'b1 & cin==1'b0)
begin
s=0;
cout = 1;
end
else if(a==1'b1 & b==1'b1 & cin==1'b1)
begin
s=1;
cout = 1;
end
else
begin
s=0;
cout = 0;
end
end
endmodule
```

2.8 TEST BENCH (fulladder_bf_tb.v):

```
module fulladder_df_tb();
reg a,b,cin;
wire s,cout;
fulladder_df x1(s,cout,a,b,cin);
initial
begin
{a,b,cin}=3'b000;
#10 {a,b,cin}=3'b001;
#10 {a,b,cin}=3'b010;
#10 {a,b,cin}=3'b011;
#10 {a,b,cin}=3'b100;
#10 {a,b,cin}=3'b101;
#10 {a,b,cin}=3'b110;
#10 {a,b,cin}=3'b111;
end
endmodule
```

2.9 WAVEFORM:



2.10 OBSERVATION:

In full adder, the sum of the two inputs is represented using $S = A \oplus B \oplus \text{Cin}$ and carry is represented using $C = (A \& B) + (\text{Cin} \& (A \oplus B))$

2.11 RESULT:


Full Adder is simulated and implemented in Behavioral Flow Modeling.

ADDERS (Structural Flow Modeling)

1.1 AIM: To implement Half Adder

1.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

1.3 SYMBOL:



1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$S = A \wedge B$$

$$C = A \& B$$

1.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$S = \bar{A}\bar{B} + A\bar{B}$$

$$C = A \cdot B$$

1.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT	
A	B	S	C
0	0	0	0
0	1	1	0
1	0	1	0
1	1	0	1

1.7 VERILOG CODE (halfadder_sf.v):


```
module halfadder_sf(s,c,a,b);
output s,c;
input a,b;
wire s,c;
xor (s,a,b);
and (c,a,b);
endmodule
```

1.8 TEST BENCH (halfadder_sf_tb.v):

```
module halfadder_sf_tb();
reg a,b;
wire s,c;
halfadder_sf x1(s,c,a,b);
```

```
initial  
begin  
{a,b}=2'b00;  
#10 {a,b}=2'b01;  
#20 {a,b}=2'b10;  
#40 {a,b}=2'b11;  
#60 $finish;  
end  
endmodule
```

1.9 WAVEFORM:



1.10 OBSERVATION:

In half adder, the sum of the two inputs is represented using logical XOR Gate and carry is represented using logical AND Gate.


1.11 RESULT:

Half Adder is simulated and implemented in Structural Flow Modeling.

2.1 AIM: To implement Full Adder

2.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

2.3 SYMBOL:



2.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$S = A \wedge B \wedge \text{Cin}$$

$$C = (A \& B) + (\text{Cin} \& (A \wedge B))$$

2.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$S = \overline{A}(\overline{B}\text{Cin} + \overline{B}\overline{\text{Cin}}) + A(\overline{B}\text{Cin} + B\overline{\text{Cin}})$$

$$C = AB + \text{Cin}(\overline{A}B + A\overline{B})$$

2.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT			OUTPUT	
A	B	Cin	S	C
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	0
0	1	0	1	0
0	1	1	0	1
1	0	0	1	0
1	0	1	0	1
1	1	0	0	1
1	1	1	1	1

2.7 VERILOG CODE (fulladder_sf.v):


```
module fulladder_sf(s,cout,a,b,cin);
output s,cout;
input a,b,cin;
wire w,x,y,z;
xor (w,a,b);
xor(s,w,(cin);
```

```
and (x,a,b);  
xor (y,a,b);  
and (z,cin,y);  
or (cout,x,z);  
endmodule
```

2.8 TEST BENCH (fulladder_sf_tb.v):

```
module fulladder_sf_tb();  
reg a,b,cin;  
wire s,cout;  
fulladder_sf x1(s,cout,a,b,cin);  
initial  
begin  
{a,b,cin}=3'b000;  
#10 {a,b,cin}=3'b001;  
#10 {a,b,cin}=3'b010;  
#10 {a,b,cin}=3'b011;  
#10 {a,b,cin}=3'b100;  
#10 {a,b,cin}=3'b101;  
#10 {a,b,cin}=3'b110;  
#10 {a,b,cin}=3'b111;  
end  
endmodule
```

2.9 WAVEFORM:



2.10 OBSERVATION:

In full adder, the sum of the two inputs is represented using $S = A \oplus B \oplus Cin$ and carry is represented using $C = (A \& B) + (Cin \& (A \oplus B))$

2.11 RESULT:


Full Adder is simulated and implemented in Structural Flow Modeling.

SUBTRACTORS (Data Flow Modeling)

1.1 AIM: To implement Half Subtractor

1.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

1.3 SYMBOL:



1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$D = A \wedge B_{in}$$

$$Bout = \sim(A) \wedge B_{in}$$

1.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$S = \overline{A}B_{in} + A\overline{B}_{in}$$

$$Bout = A \cdot B$$

1.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT	
A	Bin	D	Bout
0	0	0	0
0	1	1	1
1	0	1	0
1	1	0	0


1.7 VERILOG CODE (halfsubtractor_df.v):

```
module halfsubtractor_df(d,bout,a,bin);
output d,bout;
input a,bin;
assign d = a^bin;
assign bout = ~(a)&bin;
endmodule
```

1.8 TEST BENCH (halfsubtractor_df_tb.v):

```
module halfsubtractor_df_tb();
reg a,bin;
wire d,bout;
halfsubtractor_df x1(d,bout,a,bin);
initial
begin
{a,bin}=2'b00;
#10 {a,bin}=2'b01;
#20 {a,bin}=2'b10;
#40 {a,bin}=2'b11;
#60 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

1.9 WAVEFORM:



1.10 OBSERVATION:

In half subtractor, the difference of the two inputs is represented using logical XOR of A and Bin and borrow is represented using logical AND of logical NOT of A and Bin.


1.11 RESULT:

Half Subtractor is simulated and implemented in Data Flow Modeling.

2.1 AIM: To implement Full Subtractor

2.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

2.3 SYMBOL:



2.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$D = A \wedge B \wedge \text{Bin}$$

$$\text{Bout} = (\neg A) \wedge B + (\text{Bin} \wedge (\neg(A \wedge B)))$$

2.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$D = \overline{A}(\overline{B}\text{Cin} + \overline{\text{Cin}}) + A(\overline{B}\text{Cin} + \overline{\text{Cin}})$$

$$\text{Bout} = \overline{A}\overline{B} + \text{Bin}(AB + \overline{A}\overline{B})$$

2.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT			OUTPUT	
A	B	Bin	D	Bout
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	1
0	1	0	1	1
0	1	1	0	1
1	0	0	1	0
1	0	1	0	0
1	1	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	1


2.7 VERILOG CODE (fullsubtractor_df.v):

```
module fullsubtractor_df(d,bout,a,b,bin);
output d,bout;
input a,b,bin;
assign d = a^b^bin;
assign bout = (~a)&b + (bin&(~(a^b)));
endmodule
```

2.8 TEST BENCH (fullsubtractor_df_tb.v):

```
module fullsubtractor_df_tb();
reg a,b,bin;
wire d,bout;
fullsubtractor_df x1(d,bout,a,b,bin);
initial
begin
{a,b,bin}=3'b000;
#10 {a,b,bin}=3'b001;
#10 {a,b,bin}=3'b010;
#10 {a,b,bin}=3'b011;
#10 {a,b,bin}=3'b100;
#10 {a,b,bin}=3'b101;
#10 {a,b,bin}=3'b110;
#10 {a,b,bin}=3'b111;
end
endmodule
```

2.9 WAVEFORM:



2.10 OBSERVATION:

In full subtractor, the sum of the two inputs is represented using $D = A \oplus B \oplus Cin$ and carry is represented using $Bout = (A \& B) + (Bin \& (A \oplus B))$

2.11 RESULT:


Full Subtractor is simulated and implemented in Data Flow Modeling.

SUBTRACTORS (Behavioral Flow Modeling)

1.1 AIM: To implement Half Subtractor

1.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

1.3 SYMBOL:



1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$D = A \wedge B$$

$$Bout = \neg(A) \wedge B$$

1.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$S = \overline{A}B + A\overline{B}$$

$$Bout = \overline{A} \cdot B$$

1.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT	
A	Bin	D	Bout
0	0	0	0
0	1	1	1
1	0	1	0
1	1	0	0

1.7 VERILOG CODE (halfsubtractor_bf.v):

```
module halfsubtractor_bf(d,bout,a,bin);
output d,bout;
input a,bin;
reg d,bout;
always @(a,bin)
begin
if(a==1'b0 & bin==1'b0)
begin
d=0;
end
else
begin
d=a^bin;
end
end
endmodule
```


LOGIC DESIGN LAB

```
 bout=0;
end
else if(a==1'b0 & bin==1'b1)
begin
d=1;
bout=1;
end
else if(a==1'b1 & bin==1'b0)
begin
d=1;
bout=0;
end
else if(a==1'b1 & bin==1'b1)
begin
d=0;
bout=0;
end
end
endmodule
```

1.8 TEST BENCH (halfsubtractor_df_tb.v):

```
module halfsubtractor_bf_tb();
reg a,bin;
wire d,bout;
halfsubtractor_bf x1(d,bout,a,bin);
initial
begin
{a,bin}=2'b00;
#10 {a,bin}=2'b01;
#20 {a,bin}=2'b10;
#40 {a,bin}=2'b11;
#60 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

1.9 WAVEFORM:



1.10 OBSERVATION:

In half subtractor, the difference of the two inputs is represented using logical XOR of A and Bin and borrow is represented using logical AND of logical NOT of A and Bin.


1.11 RESULT:

Half Subtractor is simulated and implemented in Behavioral Flow Modeling.

2.1 AIM: To implement Full Subtractor

2.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

2.3 SYMBOL:



2.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$D = A \wedge B \wedge \text{Bin}$$

$$\text{Bout} = (\neg(A) \wedge B) + (\text{Bin} \wedge (\neg(A \wedge B)))$$

2.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$D = \overline{A}(\overline{B}\text{Cin} + \overline{\text{Cin}}) + A(\overline{B}\text{Cin} + B\text{Cin})$$

$$\text{Bout} = \overline{AB} + \text{Bin}(AB + \overline{AB})$$

2.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT			OUTPUT	
A	B	Bin	D	Bout
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	1
0	1	0	1	1
0	1	1	0	1
1	0	0	1	0
1	0	1	0	0
1	1	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	1

2.7 VERILOG CODE (fullsubtractor_bf.v):

```
module fullsubtractor_bf(d,bout,a,b,bin);
output d,bout;
input a,b,bin;
reg d,bout;
always@(a,b,bin)
begin
if(a==1'b0 & b==1'b0 & bin==1'b0)
begin
d=0;
bout = 0;
end
else if(a==1'b0 & b==1'b0 & bin==1'b1)
begin
```

```
d=1;
bout = 1;
end
else if(a==1'b0 & b==1'b1 & bin==1'b0)
begin
d=1;
bout = 1;
end
else if(a==1'b0 & b==1'b1 & bin==1'b1)
begin
d=0;
bout = 1;
end
else if(a==1'b1 & b==1'b0 & bin==1'b0)
begin
d=1;
bout = 0;
end
else if(a==1'b1 & b==1'b0 & bin==1'b1)
begin
d=0;
bout = 0;
end
else if(a==1'b1 & b==1'b1 & bin==1'b0)
begin
d=0;
bout = 0;
end
else if(a==1'b1 & b==1'b1 & bin==1'b1)
begin
d=1;
bout = 1;
end
else
begin
d=0;
bout = 0;
end
end
endmodule
```

2.8 TEST BENCH (fullsubtractor_bf_tb.v):

```
module fullsubtractor_bf_tb();
reg a,b,bin;
wire d,bout;
fullsubtractor_bf x1(d,bout,a,b,bin);
initial
begin
{a,b,bin}=3'b000;
#10 {a,b,bin}=3'b001;
#10 {a,b,bin}=3'b010;
#10 {a,b,bin}=3'b011;
#10 {a,b,bin}=3'b100;
#10 {a,b,bin}=3'b101;
#10 {a,b,bin}=3'b110;
#10 {a,b,bin}=3'b111;
end
endmodule
```

2.9 WAVEFORM:




2.10 OBSERVATION:

In full subtractor, the sum of the two inputs is represented using $D = A \oplus B \oplus Cin$ and carry is represented using $Bout = (A \& B) + (Bin \& (A \oplus B))$

2.11 RESULT:

Full Subtractor is simulated and implemented in Behavioral Flow Modeling.

SUBTRACTORS (Structural Flow Modeling)**1.1 AIM:** To implement Half Subtractor**1.2 SOFTWARE USED:** Xilinx Vivado 2022.2**1.3 SYMBOL:****1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:**

$$D = A \wedge B$$

$$Bout = \neg(A) \wedge B$$

1.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$S = \overline{A}B + A\overline{B}$$

$$Bout = \overline{A} \cdot B$$

1.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT	
A	Bin	D	Bout
0	0	0	0
0	1	1	1
1	0	1	0
1	1	0	0


1.7 VERILOG CODE (halfsubtractor_sf.v):

```
module halfsubtractor_sf(d,bout,a,bin);
output d,bout;
input a,bin;
wire x;
xor (d,a,bin);
not (x,a);
and (bout,x,bin);
endmodule
```

1.8 TEST BENCH (halfsubtractor_sf_tb.v):

```
module halfsubtractor_sf_tb();
reg a,bin;
wire d,bout;
halfsubtractor_sf x1(d,bout,a,bin);
initial
begin
{a,bin}=2'b00;
#10 {a,bin}=2'b01;
#20 {a,bin}=2'b10;
#40 {a,bin}=2'b11;
#60 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

1.9 WAVEFORM:



1.10 OBSERVATION:

In half subtractor, the difference of the two inputs is represented using logical XOR of A and Bin and borrow is represented using logical AND of logical NOT of A and Bin.


1.11 RESULT:

Half Subtractor is simulated and implemented in Structural Flow Modeling.

2.1 AIM: To implement Full Subtractor

2.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

2.3 SYMBOL:



2.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$D = A \wedge B \wedge \text{Bin}$$

$$\text{Bout} = (\neg(A) \wedge B) + (\text{Bin} \wedge (\neg(A \wedge B)))$$

2.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$D = \overline{A}(\overline{B}\text{Cin} + \overline{B}\overline{\text{Cin}}) + A(\overline{B}\text{Cin} + B\overline{\text{Cin}})$$

$$\text{Bout} = \overline{AB} + \text{Bin}(AB + \overline{AB})$$

2.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT			OUTPUT	
A	B	Bin	D	Bout
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	1
0	1	0	1	1
0	1	1	0	1
1	0	0	1	0
1	0	1	0	0
1	1	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	1

2.7 VERILOG CODE (fullsubtractor_sf.v):


```
module fullsubtractor_sf (d,bout,a,b,bin);
output d,bout;
input a,b,bin;
wire w,x,y,z;
xor (w,a,b);
xor (d,w,bin);
and (x,~a,b);
```

```
xnor (y,a,b);  
and (z,y,bin);  
or (bout,x,z);  
endmodule
```

2.8 TEST BENCH (fullsubtractor_sf_tb.v):

```
module fullsubtractor_sf_tb();  
reg a,b,bin;  
wire d,bout;  
fullsubtractor_sf x1(d,bout,a,b,bin);  
initial  
begin  
{a,b,bin}=3'b000;  
#10 {a,b,bin}=3'b001;  
#10 {a,b,bin}=3'b010;  
#10 {a,b,bin}=3'b011;  
#10 {a,b,bin}=3'b100;  
#10 {a,b,bin}=3'b101;  
#10 {a,b,bin}=3'b110;  
#10 {a,b,bin}=3'b111;  
end  
endmodule
```

2.9 WAVEFORM:



2.10 OBSERVATION:

In full subtractor, the sum of the two inputs is represented using $D = A \oplus B \oplus Cin$ and carry is represented using $Bout = (A \& B) + (Bin \& (A \oplus B))$

2.11 RESULT:

Full Subtractor is simulated and implemented in Structural Flow Modeling.


EXPERIMENT-3
REALIZATION OF CODE CONVERTERS

1. AIM: To Design, simulate and implement Code Converters in 3 different modeling styles(Data Flow, Behavioral Flow, Structural Flow Modeling)

2. SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

3. PROCEDURE:

- Open the Xilinx Vivado project navigator.
- Open the Design source and go to add / create sources
- Create new file, give appropriate name save it.
- Open the file in the editor and write the Verilog code.
- Open the Design source and go to add / create sources to create the test bench
- Open the editor and write the Verilog code for test bench.
- After completion of Verilog code set your test bench as top module in simulation settings and Run Simulation.
- To view RTL schematic, select RTL Analysis in which select open elaborate design, select Schematic.

Code Converters (Data Flow Modeling)**1.1 AIM:** Binary to Gray Code Conversion**1.2 SOFTWARE USED:** Xilinx Vivado 2022.2**1.3 SYMBOL:****1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:**

$$G_3 = B_3$$

$$G_2 = B_3 \wedge B_2$$

$$G_1 = B_2 \wedge B_1$$

$$G_0 = B_1 \wedge B_0$$

1.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$G_3 = B_3$$

$$G_2 = B_3 \oplus B_2$$

$$G_1 = B_2 \oplus B_1$$

$$G_0 = B_1 \oplus B_0$$

1.6 VERILOG CODE (Binary_Gray_Converter_df.v):


```
module Binary_Gray_Converter_df(g,b);
output [3:0]g;
input [3:0]b;

assign g[3] = b[3];
assign g[2] = b[2]^b[3];
assign g[1] = b[1]^b[2];
assign g[0] = b[0]^b[1];
endmodule
```

1.7 TEST BENCH (Binary_Gray_Converter_df_tb.v):

```
module Binary_Gray_Converter_df_tb();
reg [3:0]b;
wire [3:0]g;
Binary_Gray_Converter_df x1(g,b);
initial
begin
{b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b0000;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b0001;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b0010;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b0011;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b0100;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b0101;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b0110;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b0111;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b1000;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b1001;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b1010;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b1011;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b1100;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b1101;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b1110;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b1111;
end
endmodule
```

1.8 WAVEFORM:




1.9 RESULT:

Binary to Gray Code Conversion is simulated and implemented in Data Flow Modeling.

2.1 AIM: Gray to Binary Code Conversion

2.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

2.3 SYMBOL:



2.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$B_3 = G_3$$

$$B_2 = B_3 \wedge G_2$$

$$B_1 = B_2 \wedge G_1$$

$$B_0 = B_1 \wedge G_0$$

2.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$B_3 = G_3$$

$$B_2 = B_3 \oplus G_2$$

$$B_1 = B_2 \oplus G_1$$

$$B_0 = B_1 \oplus G_0$$

2.6 VERILOG CODE (Gray_Binary_Converter_df.v):


```
module Gray_Binary_Converter_df(b,g);
output [3:0]b;
input [3:0]g;

assign b[3] = g[3];
assign b[2] = g[2]^b[3];
assign b[1] = g[1]^b[2];
assign b[0] = g[0]^b[1];
endmodule
```

2.7 TEST BENCH (Gray_Binary_Converter_df_tb.v):


```
module Gray_Binary_Converter_df_tb();
reg [3:0]g;
wire [3:0]b;
Gray_Binary_Converter_df x1(b,g);
initial
begin
{g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b0000;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b0001;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b0010;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b0011;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b0100;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b0101;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b0110;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b0111;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b1000;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b1001;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b1010;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b1011;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b1100;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b1101;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b1110;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b1111;
end
endmodule
```

2.8 WAVEFORM:



2.9 RESULT:

Gray to Binary Code Conversion is simulated and implemented in Data Flow Modeling.

Code Converters (Structural Flow Modeling)**1.1 AIM:** Binary to Gray Code Conversion**1.2 SOFTWARE USED:** Xilinx Vivado 2022.2**1.3 SYMBOL:****1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:**

$$G_3 = B_3$$

$$G_2 = B_3 \wedge B_2$$

$$G_1 = B_2 \wedge B_1$$

$$G_0 = B_1 \wedge B_0$$

1.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$G_3 = B_3$$

$$G_2 = B_3 \oplus B_2$$

$$G_1 = B_2 \oplus B_1$$

$$G_0 = B_1 \oplus B_0$$

1.6 VERILOG CODE (Binary_Gray_Converter_sf.v):

```
module Binary_Gray_Converter_sf(g,b);
output [3:0]g;
input [3:0]b;


assign g[3] = b[3];
xor(g[2],b[3],b[2]);
xor(g[1],b[2],b[1]);
xor(g[0],b[1],b[0]);

endmodule
```

1.7 TEST BENCH (Binary_Gray_Converter_sf_tb.v):

```
module Binary_Gray_Converter_sf_tb();
reg [3:0]b;
wire [3:0]g;
Binary_Gray_Converter_sf x1(g,b);
initial
begin
{b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b0000;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b0001;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b0010;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b0011;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b0100;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b0101;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b0110;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b0111;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b1000;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b1001;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b1010;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b1011;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b1100;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b1101;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b1110;
#10 {b[3],b[2],b[1],b[0]}=4'b1111;
end
endmodule
```

1.8 WAVEFORM:




1.9 RESULT:

Binary to Gray Code Conversion is simulated and implemented in Structural Flow Modeling.

2.1 AIM: Gray to Binary Code Conversion

2.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

2.3 SYMBOL:



2.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$\begin{aligned} B_3 &= G_3 \\ B_2 &= B_3 \wedge G_2 \\ B_1 &= B_2 \wedge G_1 \\ B_0 &= B_1 \wedge G_0 \end{aligned}$$

2.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$\begin{aligned} B_3 &= G_3 \\ B_2 &= B_3 \oplus G_2 \\ B_1 &= B_2 \oplus G_1 \\ B_0 &= B_1 \oplus G_0 \end{aligned}$$

2.6 VERILOG CODE (Gray_Binary_Converter_sf.v):


```
module Gray_Binary_Converter_sf(b,g);
output [3:0]b;
input [3:0]g;

assign b[3] = g[3];
xor(b[2],b[3],g[2]);
xor(b[1],b[2],g[1]);
xor(b[0],b[1],g[0]);
endmodule
```

2.7 TEST BENCH (Gray_Binary_Converter_sf_tb.v):

```
module Gray_Binary_Converter_sf_tb();
reg [3:0]g;
wire [3:0]b;
Gray_Binary_Converter_sf x1(b,g);
initial
begin
{g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b0000;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b0001;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b0010;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b0011;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b0100;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b0101;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b0110;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b0111;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b1000;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b1001;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b1010;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b1011;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b1100;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b1101;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b1110;
#10 {g[3],g[2],g[1],g[0]}=4'b1111;
end
endmodule
```

2.8 WAVEFORM:



2.9 RESULT:

Gray to Binary Code Conversion is simulated and implemented in Structural Flow Modeling.

EXPERIMENT-4
REALIZATION OF MULTIPLEXERS AND DEMULTIPLEXERS


- 1. AIM:** To Design, simulate and implement Multiplexers and Demultiplexers in 2 different modeling styles(Data Flow, Structural Flow Modeling)
- 2. SOFTWARE USED:** Xilinx Vivado 2022.2
- 3. PROCEDURE:**
 - Open the Xilinx Vivado project navigator.
 - Open the Design source and go to add / create sources
 - Create new file, give appropriate name save it.
 - Open the file in the editor and write the Verilog code.
 - Open the Design source and go to add / create sources to create the test bench
 - Open the editor and write the Verilog code for test bench.
 - After completion of Verilog code set your test bench as top module in simulation settings and Run Simulation.
 - To view RTL schematic, select RTL Analysis in which select open elaborate design, select Schematic.

Multiplexers (Data Flow Modeling)

1.1 AIM: 2x1 Multiplexer [MUX]

1.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

1.3 SYMBOL:



1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$Y = ((\sim S) \& I_0) | (S) \& I_1)$$

1.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \bar{S}I_0 + SI_1$$

1.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT	OUTPUT
S	Y
0	I ₀
1	I ₁

1.7 VERILOG CODE (Two_by_1_MUX_df.v):


```
module Two_by_1_MUX_df(y,s,i);
output y;
input s;
input[1:0]i;
assign y = (((~s)&i[0])|((s)&i[1]));
endmodule
```

1.8 TEST BENCH (Two_by_1_MUX_df_tb.v):

```
module Two_by_1_MUX_df_tb();
reg s;
reg[1:0]i;
wire y;
Two_by_1_MUX_df x1(y,s,i);
initial
begin
s = 1'b0;i=2'b00;
#10 s = 1'b0;i=2'b01;

#10 s = 1'b1;i=2'b00;
#10 s = 1'b1;i=2'b10;
#10 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

1.9 WAVEFORM:




1.10 RESULT:

2x1 Multiplexer is simulated and implemented in Data Flow Modeling.

1.1 AIM: 4x1 Multiplexer [MUX]

1.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

1.3 SYMBOL:



1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$Y = ((\neg S_0) \& (\neg S_1) \& I_0) | ((\neg S_0) \& (S_1) \& I_1) | ((S_0) \& (\neg S_1) \& I_2) | ((S_0) \& (S_1) \& I_3)$$

1.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \overline{S_0} \overline{S_1} I_0 + \overline{S_0} S_1 I_1 + S_0 \overline{S_1} I_2 + S_0 S_1 I_3$$

1.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT
S_0	S_1	Y
0	0	I_0
0	1	I_1
1	0	I_2
1	1	I_3

1.7 VERILOG CODE (MUX_4by1_df.v):

```
module MUX_4by1_df(y,s,i);
output y;
input [1:0]s;
input[3:0]i;

assign y = (
((~s[0])&(~s[1])&(i[0]))|
((~s[0])&(s[1])&(i[1]))|
((s[0])&(~s[1])&(i[2]))|
((s[0])&(s[1])&(i[3]))
);
endmodule
```

1.8 TEST BENCH (MUX_4by1_df_tb.v):

```
module MUX_4by1_df_tb();
reg [1:0]s;
reg[3:0]i;
wire y;
```

```
MUX_4by1_df x1(y,s,i);
```

```
initial
begin
s = 2'b00;i=4'b0000;
#10 s = 2'b00;i=4'b0001;

#10 s = 2'b01;i=4'b0000;
#10 s = 2'b01;i=4'b0010;


#10 s = 2'b10;i=4'b0000;
#10 s = 2'b10;i=4'b0100;

#10 s = 2'b11;i=4'b0000;
#10 s = 2'b11;i=4'b1000;

#10 $finish;
```

```
end
endmodule
```

1.9 WAVEFORM:



1.10 RESULT:


4x1 Multiplexer is simulated and implemented in Data Flow Modeling.

LOGIC DESIGN LAB

1.1 AIM: 8x1 Multiplexer [MUX]

1.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

1.3 SYMBOL:



1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y = & ((\sim S_0) \& (\sim S_1) \& (\sim S_2) \& (\sim S_3) \& I_0) | \\
 & ((\sim S_0) \& (\sim S_1) \& (\sim S_2) \& (S_3) \& I_1) | \\
 & ((\sim S_0) \& (\sim S_1) \& (S_2) \& (\sim S_3) \& I_2) | \\
 & ((\sim S_0) \& (\sim S_1) \& (S_2) \& (S_3) \& I_3) | \\
 & ((\sim S_0) \& (S_1) \& (\sim S_2) \& (\sim S_3) \& I_4) | \\
 & ((\sim S_0) \& (S_1) \& (\sim S_2) \& (S_3) \& I_5) | \\
 & ((\sim S_0) \& (S_1) \& (S_2) \& (\sim S_3) \& I_6) | \\
 & ((\sim S_0) \& (S_1) \& (S_2) \& (S_3) \& I_7)
 \end{aligned}$$

1.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \overline{S_0} \overline{S_1} \overline{S_2} \overline{S_3} I_0 + \overline{S_0} \overline{S_1} \overline{S_2} S_3 I_1 + \overline{S_0} \overline{S_1} S_2 \overline{S_3} I_2 + \overline{S_0} S_1 \overline{S_2} \overline{S_3} I_3 + \overline{S_0} S_1 \overline{S_2} S_3 I_4 + \overline{S_0} S_1 S_2 \overline{S_3} I_5 + \overline{S_0} S_1 S_2 S_3 I_6 + \overline{S_0} S_1 S_2 S_3 I_7$$

1.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT				OUTPUT
S ₀	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	I
0	0	0	0	I ₀
0	0	0	1	I ₁
0	0	1	0	I ₂
0	0	1	1	I ₃
0	1	0	0	I ₄
0	1	0	1	I ₅
0	1	1	0	I ₆
0	1	1	1	I ₇

1.7 VERILOG CODE (MUX_8by1_df.v):

```
module MUX_8by1_df(y,s,i);
output y;
input [3:0]s;
input[7:0]i;
assign y = (
((~s[0])&(~s[1])&(~s[2])&(~s[3])&(i[0]))|
((~s[0])&(~s[1])&(~s[2])&(s[3])&(i[1]))|
((~s[0])&(~s[1])&(s[2])&(~s[3])&(i[2]))|
((~s[0])&(~s[1])&(s[2])&(s[3])&(i[3]))|
((~s[0])&(s[1])&(~s[2])&(~s[3])&(i[4]))|
((~s[0])&(s[1])&(~s[2])&(s[3])&(i[5]))|
((~s[0])&(s[1])&(s[2])&(~s[3])&(i[6]))|
((~s[0])&(s[1])&(s[2])&(s[3])&(i[7]))
);
endmodule.
```

1.8 TEST BENCH (MUX_8by1_df_tb.v):

```
module MUX_8by1_df_tb();
reg [3:0]s;
reg[7:0]i;
wire y;

MUX_8by1_df x1(y,s,i);

initial
begin
s = 4'b0000;i=8'b00000000;
#10 s = 4'b0000;i=8'b00000001;

#10 s = 4'b0001;i=8'b00000000;
#10 s = 4'b0001;i=8'b00000010;

#10 s = 4'b0010;i=8'b00000000;
#10 s = 4'b0010;i=8'b00000100;

#10 s = 4'b0011;i=8'b00000000;
#10 s = 4'b0011;i=8'b00001000;

#10 s = 4'b0100;i=8'b00000000;
#10 s = 4'b0100;i=8'b00010000;

#10 s = 4'b0101;i=8'b00000000;
#10 s = 4'b0101;i=8'b00100000;


#10 s = 4'b0110;i=8'b00000000;
#10 s = 4'b0110;i=8'b01000000;
```

```
#10 s = 4'b0111;i=8'b00000000;  
#10 s = 4'b0111;i=8'b10000000;
```

```
#10 $finish;
```

```
end  
endmodule
```

1.9 WAVEFORM:



1.10 RESULT:


8x1 Multiplexer is simulated and implemented in Data Flow Modeling.

Multiplexers (Structural Flow Modeling)

1.1 AIM: 2x1 Multiplexer [MUX]

1.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

1.3 SYMBOL:



1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$Y = ((\sim S) \& I_0) \mid (S) \& I_1)$$

1.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \overline{S}I_0 + SI_1$$

1.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT	OUTPUT
S	Y
0	I ₀
1	I ₁

1.7 VERILOG CODE (MUX_2by1_sf.v):

```
module MUX_2by1_sf(y,s,i);
output y;
```

```
input s;
input[1:0]i;
```

```
wire a,b,c;
```

```
and (a,~s,i[0]);
```

```
and (b,s,i[1]);
```

```
or(y,a,b);
```

```
endmodule
```

1.8 TEST BENCH (MUX_2by1_sf_tb.v):

```
module MUX_2by1_sf_tb();
reg s;
reg[1:0]i;
wire y;
```

```
MUX_2by1_sf x1(y,s,i);
```


```
initial
begin
s = 1'b0;i=2'b00;
#10 s = 1'b0;i=2'b01;

#10 s = 1'b1;i=2'b00;
#10 s = 1'b1;i=2'b10;
```

```
#10 $finish;
```

```
end
endmodule
```

1.9 WAVEFORM:




1.10 RESULT:

2x1 Multiplexer is simulated and implemented in Structural Flow Modeling.

1.1 AIM: 4x1 Multiplexer [MUX]

1.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

1.3 SYMBOL:



1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$Y = ((\sim S_0) \& (\sim S_1) \& I_0) | ((\sim S_0) \& (S_1) \& I_1) | ((S_0) \& (\sim S_1) \& I_2) | ((S_0) \& (S_1) \& I_3)$$

1.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \overline{S_0} \overline{S_1} I_0 + \overline{S_0} S_1 I_1 + S_0 \overline{S_1} I_2 + S_0 S_1 I_3$$

1.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT
S_0	S_1	Y
0	0	I_0
0	1	I_1
1	0	I_2
1	1	I_3

1.7 VERILOG CODE (MUX_4by1_sf.v):

```
module MUX_4by1_sf(y,s,i);
output y;
input [1:0]s;
input[3:0]i;

wire a1,a2,b1,b2,c1,c2,d1,d2,o1,o2;

and (a1,~s[0],~s[1]);
and (a2,a1,i[0]);


and (b1,~s[0],s[1]);
```

```
and (b2,b1,i[1]);  
and (c1,s[0],~s[1]);  
and (c2,c1,i[2]);  
and (d1,s[0],s[1]);  
and (d2,d1,i[3]);  
or (o1,a2,b2);  
or (o2,c2,d2);  
or (y,o1,o2);  
endmodule
```

1.8 TEST BENCH (MUX_4by1_sf_tb.v):

```
module MUX_4by1_sf_tb();  
reg [1:0]s;  
reg[3:0]i;  
wire y;  
  
MUX_4by1_sf x1(y,s,i);  
  
initial  
begin  
s = 2'b00;i=4'b0000;  
#10 s = 2'b00;i=4'b0001;  
  
#10 s = 2'b01;i=4'b0000;  
#10 s = 2'b01;i=4'b0010;  
  
#10 s = 2'b10;i=4'b0000;  
#10 s = 2'b10;i=4'b0100;  
  
#10 s = 2'b11;i=4'b0000;  
#10 s = 2'b11;i=4'b1000;  
  
#10 $finish;  
end  
endmodule
```

1.9 WAVEFORM:




1.10 RESULT:

4x1 Multiplexer is simulated and implemented in Structural Flow Modeling.

1.1 AIM: 8x1 Multiplexer [MUX]

1.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

1.3 SYMBOL:



1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y = & ((\sim S_0) \& (\sim S_1) \& (\sim S_2) \& (\sim S_3) \& I_0) \mid \\
 & ((\sim S_0) \& (\sim S_1) \& (\sim S_2) \& (S_3) \& I_1) \mid \\
 & ((\sim S_0) \& (\sim S_1) \& (S_2) \& (\sim S_3) \& I_2) \mid \\
 & ((\sim S_0) \& (\sim S_1) \& (S_2) \& (S_3) \& I_3) \mid \\
 & ((\sim S_0) \& (S_1) \& (\sim S_2) \& (\sim S_3) \& I_4) \mid \\
 & ((\sim S_0) \& (S_1) \& (\sim S_2) \& (S_3) \& I_5) \mid \\
 & ((\sim S_0) \& (S_1) \& (S_2) \& (\sim S_3) \& I_6) \mid \\
 & ((\sim S_0) \& (S_1) \& (S_2) \& (S_3) \& I_7)
 \end{aligned}$$

1.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \overline{S_0} \overline{S_1} \overline{S_2} \overline{S_3} I_0 + \overline{S_0} \overline{S_1} \overline{S_2} S_3 I_1 + \overline{S_0} \overline{S_1} S_2 \overline{S_3} I_2 + \overline{S_0} S_1 \overline{S_2} \overline{S_3} I_3 + \overline{S_0} \overline{S_1} \overline{S_2} \overline{S_3} I_4 + \overline{S_0} S_1 \overline{S_2} S_3 I_5 + \overline{S_0} \overline{S_1} S_2 \overline{S_3} I_6 + \overline{S_0} S_1 S_2 \overline{S_3} I_7$$

1.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT				OUTPUT
S ₀	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	I
0	0	0	0	I ₀
0	0	0	1	I ₁
0	0	1	0	I ₂
0	0	1	1	I ₃
0	1	0	0	I ₄
0	1	0	1	I ₅
0	1	1	0	I ₆
0	1	1	1	I ₇

1.7 VERILOG CODE (MUX_8by1_sf.v):

```
module MUX_8by1_sf(y,s,i);
output y;
input [3:0]s;
input [7:0]i;

wire a1,a2,a3,a4;
wire b1,b2,b3,b4;
wire c1,c2,c3,c4;
wire d1,d2,d3,d4;
wire e1,e2,e3,e4;
wire f1,f2,f3,f4;
wire g1,g2,g3,g4;
wire h1,h2,h3,h4;
wire o1,o2,o3,o4;
wire y1,y2;

and (a1,~s[0],~s[1]);
and (a2,~s[2],~s[3]);
and(a3,a1,a2);
and(a4,a3,i[0]);

and (b1,~s[0],~s[1]);
and (b2,~s[2],s[3]);
and (b3,b1,b2);
and (b4,b3,i[1]);

and (c1,~s[0],~s[1]);
and (c2,s[2],~s[3]);
and (c3,c1,c2);
and (c4,c3,i[2]);

and (d1,~s[0],~s[1]);
and (d2,s[2],s[3]);
and (d3,d1,d2);
and (d4,d3,i[3]);

and (e1,~s[0],s[1]);
and (e2,~s[2],~s[3]);
and (e3,e1,e2);
and (e4,e3,i[4]);

and (f1,~s[0],s[1]);
and (f2,~s[2],s[3]);
and (f3,f1,f2);
and (f4,f3,i[5]);

and (g1,~s[0],s[1]);
```

```
and (g2,s[2],~s[3]);
and (g3,g1,g2);
and (g4,g3,i[6]);

and (h1,~s[0],s[1]);
and (h2,s[2],s[3]);
and (h3,h1,h2);
and (h4,h3,i[7]);

or (o1,a4,b4);
or (o2,c4,d4);
or (o3,e4,f4);
or (o4,g4,h4);

or(y1,o1,o2);
or(y2,o3,o4);

or(y,y1,y2);

endmodule
```

1.8 TEST BENCH (MUX_8by1_sf_tb.v):

```
module MUX_8by1_sf_tb();
reg [3:0]s;
reg[7:0]i;
wire y;

MUX_8by1_sf x1(y,s,i);

initial
begin
s = 4'b0000;i=8'b00000000;
#10 s = 4'b0000;i=8'b00000001;

#10 s = 4'b0001;i=8'b00000000;
#10 s = 4'b0001;i=8'b00000010;

#10 s = 4'b0010;i=8'b00000000;
#10 s = 4'b0010;i=8'b00000010;

#10 s = 4'b0011;i=8'b00000000;
#10 s = 4'b0011;i=8'b00001000;

#10 s = 4'b0100;i=8'b00000000;
#10 s = 4'b0100;i=8'b00010000;

#10 s = 4'b0101;i=8'b00000000;
#10 s = 4'b0101;i=8'b00100000;
```

LOGIC DESIGN LAB


```
#10 s = 4'b0110;i=8'b00000000;  
#10 s = 4'b0110;i=8'b01000000;
```

```
#10 s = 4'b0111;i=8'b00000000;  
#10 s = 4'b0111;i=8'b10000000;
```

```
#10 $finish;
```

```
end  
endmodule
```

1.9 WAVEFORM:



1.10 RESULT:


8x1 Multiplexer is simulated and implemented in Structural Flow Modeling.

LOGIC DESIGN LAB

1.1 AIM: 4x1 MUX using 2x1 MUX

1.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

1.3 SYMBOL:



1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$Y = ((\bar{S}_0) \& (\bar{S}_1) \& I_0) | ((\bar{S}_0) \& (S_1) \& I_1) | ((S_0) \& (\bar{S}_1) \& I_2) | ((S_0) \& (S_1) \& I_3)$$

1.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y = \bar{S}_0 \bar{S}_1 I_0 + \bar{S}_0 S_1 I_1 + S_0 \bar{S}_1 I_2 + S_0 S_1 I_3$$

1.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT
S_0	S_1	Y
0	0	I_0
0	1	I_1
1	0	I_2
1	1	I_3

1.7 VERILOG CODE (MUX_4by1_using_2by1_MUX.v):

```
module MUX_4by1_using_2by1_MUX(y,s,i);
input [3:0]i;
input [1:0]s;
output y;
wire [1:0]w;
```

```
MUX_2by1_sf m1(w[0],s[0],i[1:0]);
MUX_2by1_sf m2(w[1],s[0],i[3:2]);
MUX_2by1_sf m3(y,s[1],w[1:0]);
endmodule
```

1.8 TEST BENCH (MUX_4by1_using_2by1_MUX_tb.v):

```
module MUX_4by1_using_2by1_MUX_tb();
reg [1:0]s;
reg[3:0]i;
wire y;
```

```
MUX_4by1_using_2by1_MUX x1(y,s,i);
```

```
initial
begin
s = 2'b00;i=4'b0000;
#10 s = 2'b00;i=4'b0001;

#10 s = 2'b01;i=4'b0000;
#10 s = 2'b01;i=4'b0010;


#10 s = 2'b10;i=4'b0000;
#10 s = 2'b10;i=4'b0100;

#10 s = 2'b11;i=4'b0000;
#10 s = 2'b11;i=4'b1000;

#10 $finish;
```

```
end
endmodule
```

1.9 WAVEFORM:



1.10 RESULT:


4x1 Multiplexer is simulated and implemented using 2x1 Multiplexer.

Demultiplexers (Data Flow Modeling)

1.1 AIM: 1x2 Demultiplexer [DMUX]

1.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

1.3 SYMBOL:



1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$Y_0 = (\sim S) \& i$$

$$Y_1 = S \& i$$

1.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y_0 = \overline{S}i$$

$$Y_1 = Si$$

1.6 TRUTH TABLE [Input Data: i]:

INPUT	OUTPUT	
S	Y_0	Y_1
0	i	0
1	0	i

1.7 VERILOG CODE (DMUX_1by2_df.v):

```
module DMUX_1by2_df(y,s,i);
```

```
input i;
```

```
input s;
```

```
output [1:0]y;
```

```
assign y[0] = (~s)&i;
```

```
assign y[1] = (s)&i;
```

```
endmodule
```


1.8 TEST BENCH (DMUX_1by2_df_tb.v):

```
module DMUX_1by2_df_tb();  
reg i,s;  
wire [1:0]y;
```

```
DMUX_1by2_df x1(y,s,i);
```


```
initial  
begin  
s=1'b0;i=1'b1;  
#10 s=1'b1;i=1'b1;  
#10 $finish;  
end  
endmodule
```

1.9 WAVEFORM:



1.10 RESULT:

1x2 Demultiplexer is simulated and implemented in Data Flow Modeling.

Demultiplexers (Behavioral Flow Modeling)**1.1 AIM:** 1x2 Demultiplexer [DMUX]**1.2 SOFTWARE USED:** Xilinx Vivado 2022.2**1.3 SYMBOL:****1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:**

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0 &= (\sim S) \& i \\ Y_1 &= S \& i \end{aligned}$$

1.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0 &= \overline{S}i \\ Y_1 &= Si \end{aligned}$$

1.6 TRUTH TABLE [Input Data: i]:

INPUT	OUTPUT	
S	Y_0	Y_1
0	i	0
1	0	i

1.7 VERILOG CODE (DMUX_1by2_bf.v):


```
module DMUX_1by2_bf(y,s,i);
input i;
input s;
output [1:0]y;
reg [1:0]y;

always@(s,i)
case(s)
 1'b0:begin y[0]=i;y[1]=0;end
 1'b1:begin y[0]=0;y[1]=i;end
endcase
endmodule
```

1.8 TEST BENCH (DMUX_1by2_bf_tb.v):

```
module DMUX_1by2_bf_tb();
reg i,s;
wire [1:0]y;
DMUX_1by2_bf x1(y,s,i);
initial
begin
s=1'b0;i=1'b1;
#10 s=1'b1;i=1'b1;
#10 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

1.9 WAVEFORM:




1.10 RESULT:

1x2 Demultiplexer is simulated and implemented in Behavioral Flow Modeling.

1.1 AIM: 1x4 Demultiplexer [DMUX]

1.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

1.3 SYMBOL:



1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0 &= (\sim S_1) \& (\sim S_0) \& i \\ Y_1 &= (\sim S_1) \& (S_0) \& i \\ Y_2 &= (S_1) \& (\sim S_0) \& i \\ Y_3 &= (S_1) \& (S_0) \& i \end{aligned}$$

1.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0 &= \overline{S_1} \overline{S_0} i \\ Y_1 &= \overline{S_1} S_0 i \\ Y_2 &= S_1 \overline{S_0} i \\ Y_3 &= S_1 S_0 i \end{aligned}$$

1.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT			
S_1	S_0	Y_0	Y_1	Y_2	Y_3
0	0	i	0	0	0
0	1	0	i	0	0
1	0	0	0	i	0
1	1	0	0	0	i

1.7 VERILOG CODE (DMUX_1by4_bf.v):

```
module DMUX_1by4_bf(y,s,i);
input i;
input [1:0]s;
output [3:0]y;
reg [3:0]y;
always@(s,i)
case(s)
2'b00:begin y=0;y[0]=i;end
2'b01:begin y=0;y[1]=i;end
2'b10:begin y=0;y[2]=i;end
2'b11:begin y=0;y[3]=i;end
endcase
endmodule
```


1.8 TEST BENCH (DMUX_1by4_bf_tb.v):

```
module DMUX_1by4_bf_tb();
reg i;
reg [1:0]s;
wire [3:0]y;
```

```
DMUX_1by4_bf x1(y,s,i);
```

```
initial
begin
s=2'b00;i=1'b1;
#10 s=2'b01;i=1'b1;
#10 s=2'b10;i=1'b1;
#10 s=2'b11;i=1'b1;
#10 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

1.9 WAVEFORM:




1.10 RESULT:

1x4 Demultiplexer is simulated and implemented in Behavioral Flow Modeling.

1.1 AIM: 1x8 Demultiplexer [DMUX]

1.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

1.3 SYMBOL:



1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_0 &= (\neg S_2) \& (\neg S_1) \& (\neg S_0) \& i \\
 Y_1 &= (\neg S_2) \& (\neg S_1) \& (S_0) \& i \\
 Y_2 &= (\neg S_2) \& (S_1) \& (\neg S_0) \& i \\
 Y_3 &= (\neg S_2) \& (S_1) \& (S_0) \& i \\
 Y_4 &= (S_2) \& (\neg S_1) \& (\neg S_0) \& i \\
 Y_5 &= (S_2) \& (S_1) \& (\neg S_0) \& i \\
 Y_6 &= (S_2) \& (S_1) \& (\neg S_0) \& i \\
 Y_7 &= (S_2) \& (S_1) \& (S_0) \& i
 \end{aligned}$$

1.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_0 &= \overline{S_2} \overline{S_1} \overline{S_0} i \\
 Y_1 &= S_2 \overline{S_1} \overline{S_0} i \\
 Y_2 &= \overline{S_2} S_1 \overline{S_0} i \\
 Y_3 &= \overline{S_2} S_1 S_0 i \\
 Y_4 &= S_2 \overline{S_1} \overline{S_0} i \\
 Y_5 &= S_2 \overline{S_1} S_0 i \\
 Y_6 &= S_2 S_1 \overline{S_0} i \\
 Y_7 &= S_2 S_1 S_0 i
 \end{aligned}$$

1.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT			OUTPUT								
S ₂	S ₁	S ₀	Y ₀	Y ₁	Y ₂	Y ₃	Y ₄	Y ₅	Y ₆	Y ₇	
0	0	0	i	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	i	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	i	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	0	0	0	i	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	i	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	i	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	i	0	0
1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	i	0

LOGIC DESIGN LAB


1.7 VERILOG CODE (DMUX_1by8_bf.v):

```
module DMUX_1by8_bf(y,s,i);
    input i;
    input [2:0]s;
    output [7:0]y;
    reg [7:0]y;
    always@(s,i)
        case(s)
            3'b000:begin y=0;y[0]=i;end
            3'b001:begin y=0;y[1]=i;end
            3'b010:begin y=0;y[2]=i;end
            3'b011:begin y=0;y[3]=i;end
            3'b100:begin y=0;y[4]=i;end
            3'b101:begin y=0;y[5]=i;end
            3'b110:begin y=0;y[6]=i;end
            3'b111:begin y=0;y[7]=i;end
        endcase
    endmodule
```

1.8 TEST BENCH (DMUX_1by8_bf_tb.v):

```
module DMUX_1by8_bf_tb();
    reg i;
    reg [2:0]s;
    wire [7:0]y;
    DMUX_1by8_bf x1(y,s,i);
    initial
    begin
        s=3'b000;i=1'b1;
        #10 s=3'b001;i=1'b1;
        #10 s=3'b010;i=1'b1;
        #10 s=3'b011;i=1'b1;
        #10 s=3'b100;i=1'b1;
        #10 s=3'b101;i=1'b1;
        #10 s=3'b110;i=1'b1;
        #10 s=3'b111;i=1'b1;
        #10 $finish;
    end
endmodule
```

1.9 WAVEFORM:



1.10 RESULT:


1x8 Demultiplexer is simulated and implemented in Behavioral Flow Modeling

Demultiplexers (Structural Flow Modeling)

1.1 AIM: 1x2 Demultiplexer [DMUX]

1.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

1.3 SYMBOL:



1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$Y_0 = (\sim S) \& i$$

$$Y_1 = S \& i$$

1.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y_0 = \bar{S}i$$

$$Y_1 = Si$$

1.6 TRUTH TABLE [Input Data: i]:

INPUT	OUTPUT	
S	Y₀	Y₁
0	i	0
1	0	i

1.7 VERILOG CODE (DMUX_1by2_sf.v):

```
module DMUX_1by2_sf(y,s,i);
input i;
input s;
output [1:0]y;
wire [1:0]y;

and (y[0],(~s),i);
and (y[1],(s),i);

endmodule
```

LOGIC DESIGN LAB


1.8 TEST BENCH (DMUX_1by2_sf_tb.v):

```
module DMUX_1by2_sf_tb();
reg i,s;
wire [1:0]y;
```

```
DMUX_1by2_sf x1(y,s,i);
```

```
initial
begin
s=1'b0;i=1'b1;
#10 s=1'b1;i=1'b1;
#10 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

1.9 WAVEFORM:




1.10 RESULT:

1x2 Demultiplexer is simulated and implemented in Structural Flow Modeling.

1.6 AIM: 1x4 Demultiplexer [DMUX]

1.7 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

1.8 SYMBOL:



1.9 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$Y_0 = (\sim S_1) \& (\sim S_0) \& i$$

$$Y_1 = (\sim S_1) \& (S_0) \& i$$

$$Y_2 = (S_1) \& (\sim S_0) \& i$$

$$Y_3 = (S_1) \& (S_0) \& i$$

1.2 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$Y_0 = \overline{S_1} \overline{S_0} i$$

$$Y_1 = \overline{S_1} S_0 i$$

$$Y_2 = S_1 \overline{S_0} i$$

$$Y_3 = S_1 S_0 i$$

1.10 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT			
S_1	S_0	Y_0	Y_1	Y_2	Y_3
0	0	i	0	0	0
0	1	0	i	0	0
1	0	0	0	i	0
1	1	0	0	0	i

1.7 VERILOG CODE (DMUX_1by4_sf.v):

```
module DMUX_1by4_sf(y,s,i);
input i;
input [1:0]s;
output [3:0]y;
wire [3:0]y;

and (y[0],~s[0],~s[1],i);
and (y[1],s[0],~s[1],i);
and (y[2],~s[0],s[1],i);
and (y[3],s[0],s[1],i);
endmodule
```


1.11 TEST BENCH (DMUX_1by4_sf_tb.v):

```
module DMUX_1by4_sf_tb();
reg i;
reg [1:0]s;
wire [3:0]y;
```

```
DMUX_1by4_sf x1(y,s,i);
```

```
initial
begin
s=2'b00;i=1'b1;
#10 s=2'b01;i=1'b1;
#10 s=2'b10;i=1'b1;
#10 s=2'b11;i=1'b1;
#10 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

1.12 WAVEFORM:




1.13 RESULT:

1x4 Demultiplexer is simulated and implemented in Structural Flow Modeling.

1.1 AIM: 1x4 Demultiplexer using 1x2 Demultiplexer

1.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

1.3 SYMBOL:



1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0 &= (\neg S_1) \& (\neg S_0) \& i \\ Y_1 &= (\neg S_1) \& (S_0) \& i \\ Y_2 &= (S_1) \& (\neg S_0) \& i \\ Y_3 &= (S_1) \& (S_0) \& i \end{aligned}$$

1.1 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0 &= \overline{S_1} \overline{S_0} i \\ Y_1 &= \overline{S_1} S_0 i \\ Y_2 &= S_1 \overline{S_0} i \\ Y_3 &= S_1 S_0 i \end{aligned}$$

1.5 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT			
S ₁	S ₀	Y ₀	Y ₁	Y ₂	Y ₃
0	0	i	0	0	0
0	1	0	i	0	0
1	0	0	0	i	0
1	1	0	0	0	i

1.7 VERILOG CODE (DMUX_1by4_using_2by1.v):

```
module DMUX_1by4_using_2by1(y,s,i);
input i;
input [1:0]s;
output [3:0]y;
wire [1:0]a;

DMUX_1by2_sf m1(a,s[1],i);
DMUX_1by2_sf m2(y[1:0],s[0],a[0]);
DMUX_1by2_sf m3(y[3:2],s[0],a[1]);

endmodule
```


1.8 TEST BENCH (DMUX_1by4_using_2by1_tb.v):

```
module DMUX_1by4_using_1by2_tb();
reg i;
reg [1:0]s;
wire [3:0]y;
```

```
DMUX_1by4_using_2by1 x1(y,s,i);
```

```
initial
begin
s=2'b00;i=1'b1;
#10 s=2'b01;i=1'b1;
#10 s=2'b10;i=1'b1;
#10 s=2'b11;i=1'b1;
#10 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

1.9 WAVEFORM:



1.10 RESULT:

1x4 Demultiplexer using 1x2 Demultiplexer is simulated and implemented

EXPERIMENT-5
REALIZATION OF COMPARATORS

1. AIM: To Design, simulate and implement Comparators in 3 different modeling styles(Data Flow, Behavioral Flow Modeling, Structural Flow Modeling)

2. SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

3. PROCEDURE:


- Open the Xilinx Vivado project navigator.
- Open the Design source and go to add / create sources
- Create new file, give appropriate name save it.
- Open the file in the editor and write the Verilog code.
- Open the Design source and go to add / create sources to create the test bench
- Open the editor and write the Verilog code for test bench.
- After completion of Verilog code set your test bench as top module in simulation settings and Run Simulation.
- To view RTL schematic, select RTL Analysis in which select open elaborate design, select Schematic.

Comparators (Data Flow Modeling)

1.1 AIM: 1 Bit Comparator

1.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

1.3 SYMBOL:



1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$E = \sim (A \wedge B)$$

$$G = (\sim B) \wedge A$$

$$L = (\sim A) \wedge B$$

1.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$E = \overline{AB} + AB$$

$$G = AB$$

$$L = \overline{AB}$$

1.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT		
A	B	E[$\sum_m = (0,3)$]	G[$\sum_m = (2)$]	L[$\sum_m = (1)$]
0	0	1	0	0
0	1	0	0	1
1	0	0	1	0
1	1	1	0	0

2.1 VERILOG CODE (Comparator_1_bit_df.v):

```
module Comparator_1_bit_df(e,g,l,a,b);
output e,g,l;
input a,b;
```


```
assign e = ~(a&b); // XNOR Gate
assign g = a&(~b);
assign l = (~a)&b;
```

```
endmodule
```

1.8 TEST BENCH (Comparator_1_bit_df_tb.v):


```
module Comparator_1_bit_df_tb();
reg a,b;
wire e,g,l;
Comparator_1_bit_df x1(e,g,l,a,b);
initial
begin
a=1'b0;b=1'b0;
#10 a=1'b0;b=1'b1;
#10 a=1'b1;b=1'b0;
#10 a=1'b1;b=1'b1;
#10 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

1.9 WAVEFORM:



1.10 RESULT:

1 Bit Comparator is simulated and implemented in Data Flow Modeling.

Comparators (Behavioral Flow Modeling)**1.1 AIM:** 1 Bit Comparator**1.2 SOFTWARE USED:** Xilinx Vivado 2022.2**1.3 SYMBOL:****1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:**

$$E = \sim(A \wedge B)$$

$$G = (\sim B) \wedge A$$

$$L = (\sim A) \wedge B$$

1.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$E = \overline{A}\overline{B} + AB$$

$$G = A\overline{B}$$

$$L = \overline{A}B$$

1.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT		
A	B	E[$\sum_m = (0,3)$]	G[$\sum_m = (2)$]	L[$\sum_m = (1)$]
0	0	1	0	0
0	1	0	0	1
1	0	0	1	0
1	1	1	0	0

LOGIC DESIGN LAB


1.7 VERILOG CODE (Comparator_1_bit_bf.v):

```
module Comparator_1_bit_bf(e,g,l,a,b);
output e,g,l;
input a,b;
reg e,g,l;
always@(a,b)
case({a,b})
2'b00:begin e=1;g=0;l=0; end
2'b01:begin e=0;g=0;l=1; end
2'b10:begin e=0;g=1;l=0; end
2'b11:begin e=1;g=0;l=0; end
default:begin e=0;g=0;l=0; end
endcase
endmodule
```

1.8 TEST BENCH (Comparator_1_bit_bf_tb.v):

```
module Comparator_1_bit_df_tb();
reg a,b;
wire e,g,l;
Comparator_1_bit_bf x1(e,g,l,a,b);
initial
begin
a=1'b0;b=1'b0;
#10 a=1'b0;b=1'b1;
#10 a=1'b1;b=1'b0;
#10 a=1'b1;b=1'b1;
#10 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

1.9 WAVEFORM:



1.10 RESULT:


1 Bit Comparator is simulated and implemented in Behavioral Flow Modeling.

Comparators(Structural Flow Modeling)

1.1 AIM: 1 Bit Comparator

1.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

1.3 SYMBOL:



1.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$E = \sim (A \wedge B)$$

$$G = (\sim B) \wedge A$$

$$L = (\sim A) \wedge B$$

1.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$E = \overline{A}\overline{B} + AB$$

$$G = A\overline{B}$$

$$L = \overline{A}B$$

1.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT		OUTPUT		
A	B	E[$\sum_m = (0,3)$]	G[$\sum_m = (2)$]	L[$\sum_m = (1)$]
0	0	1	0	0
0	1	0	0	1
1	0	0	1	0
1	1	1	0	0

1.7 VERILOG CODE (Comparator_1_bit_sf.v):


```
module Comparator_1_bit_sf(e,g,l,a,b);
output e,g,l;
input a,b;

xnor (e,a,b);
and (g,a,~b);
and (l,~a,b);
endmodule
```

1.8 TEST BENCH (Comparator_1_bit_sf_tb.v):

```
module Comparator_1_bit_sf_tb();
reg a,b;
wire e,g,l;
Comparator_1_bit_sf x1(e,g,l,a,b);
initial
begin
a=1'b0;b=1'b0;
#10 a=1'b0;b=1'b1;
#10 a=1'b1;b=1'b0;
#10 a=1'b1;b=1'b1;
#10 $finish;
end
endmodule
```

1.9 WAVEFORM:




1.10 RESULT:

1 Bit Comparator is simulated and implemented in Structural Flow Modeling.

2.1 AIM: 2 Bit Comparator

2.2 SOFTWARE USED: Xilinx Vivado 2022.2

2.3 SYMBOL:



2.4 LOGIC EXPRESSION:

$$E = (\neg(A_1 \wedge B_1)) \wedge (\neg(A_0 \wedge B_0))$$

$$G = (A_1 \wedge (\neg B_1)) \vee ((\neg A_0) \wedge A_1 \wedge A_0) \vee (A_0 \wedge (\neg B_1) \wedge (\neg B_0))$$

$$L = (B_1 \wedge (\neg A_1)) \vee (B_0 \wedge (\neg A_1) \wedge (\neg A_0)) \vee ((\neg A_0) \wedge B_1 \wedge B_0)$$

2.5 BOOLEAN EXPRESSION:

$$E = \overline{A_1}B_1 + A_1\overline{B_1}(A_0\overline{B_0} + \overline{A_0}B_0)$$

$$G = A_1\overline{B_1} + A_0A_1B_0 + A_0\overline{B_1}\overline{B_0}$$

$$L = \overline{A_1}B_1 + \overline{A_0}A_1B_0 + A_0\overline{B_1}B_0$$

2.6 TRUTH TABLE:

INPUT				OUTPUT		
A1	A0	B1	B0	E	G	L
0	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	0	1
0	0	1	0	0	0	1
0	0	1	1	0	0	1
0	1	0	0	0	1	0
0	1	0	1	1	0	0
0	1	1	0	0	0	1
0	1	1	1	0	0	1
1	0	0	0	0	1	0
1	0	0	1	0	1	0
1	0	1	0	1	0	0
1	0	1	1	0	0	1
1	1	0	0	0	1	0
1	1	0	1	0	1	0
1	1	1	0	0	1	0
1	1	1	1	1	0	0


2.7 VERILOG CODE (Comparator_2bit_sf):

```
module Comparator_2bit_sf(e,g,l,a,b);
output e,g,l;
input [1:0]a;
input [1:0]b;
wire e,g,l;
or (g,(a[1]&(~b[1])),((~b[0])&a[1]&a[0]),(a[0]&(~b[1])&(~b[0])));
or (l,(~a[1])&b[1]),(b[0]&(~a[1])&(~a[0])),((~a[0])&b[1]&b[0]));
and(e,(~(a[1]^b[1])),(~(a[0]^b[0])));
endmodule
```

2.8 TEST BENCH (Comparator_2bit_sf_tb.v):

```
module Comparator_2bit_sf_tb();
reg [1:0]a;
reg [1:0]b;
wire e,g,l;
Comparator_2bit_sf x1(e,g,l,a,b);
initial
begin
{a,b}=4'b0000;
#10 {a,b}=4'b0001;
#10 {a,b}=4'b0010;
#10 {a,b}=4'b0011;
#10 {a,b}=4'b0100;
#10 {a,b}=4'b0101;
#10 {a,b}=4'b0110;
#10 {a,b}=4'b0111;
#10 {a,b}=4'b1000;
#10 {a,b}=4'b1001;
#10 {a,b}=4'b1010;
#10 {a,b}=4'b1011;
#10 {a,b}=4'b1100;
#10 {a,b}=4'b1101;
#10 {a,b}=4'b1110;
#10 {a,b}=4'b1111;
#10 $finish ;
end
endmodule
```

2.9 WAVEFORM:



2.10 RESULT:

2 Bit Comparator is simulated and implemented in Structural Flow Modeling.