

The Future of Tech Organizations (2025–2045): From Product Competition to Open AI-Driven Collaboration

The next two decades will see technology companies and research groups shift from closed, product-centric competition toward open, AI-augmented innovation ecosystems. As Red Hat notes, open-source collaboration can dramatically “increase[] the speed of innovation” by allowing teams to build on each other’s work instead of starting “from first principles” each time^{redhat.com}. Thought leaders foresee advanced AI platforms acting as shared **knowledge repositories**: for example, AI pioneer Yann LeCun predicts that AI will become “a universal knowledge repository of all human knowledge” – but only if it runs on “free and open source platforms” with data from around the world^{linkedin.com}. This ethos is already echoed in policy: global initiatives now aim for *all* publicly funded research to be open-access by 2030^{frontiersin.org}, reflecting a broad move toward treating scientific knowledge as a common good. In this environment, tech organizations and their founders will adopt new funding, organizational, and IP models that reward sharing and collective progress.

2025–2027: Laying the Groundwork for Open AI Collaboration

- **Early Open-AI breakthroughs.** By the mid-2020s, high-profile open-source AI models demonstrate the power of collaborative development. Projects like Meta’s LLaMA and Stability AI’s Stable Diffusion, and especially China’s DeepSeek model (built with a fraction of the cost of proprietary systems), show that state-of-the-art AI can be developed in the open^{elizabethyin.comgrayscale.com}. These successes spur wider interest in open AI: for instance, the US launched a National AI Research Resource (NAIRR) pilot to pool open datasets and compute for researchers, stressing a “truly open approach and cross-sector collaboration”^{nsf.gov}. Leading AI researchers argue that this collaborative stance is necessary; Red Hat asserts that the “only way” to unlock AI’s full potential is through open-source development^{redhat.com}, and policy experts note that open models will help ensure no single company monopolizes the technology^{thirdway.org}. This period also sees the first experiments in large-scale AI knowledge bases and research assistants (for example, advanced semantic search engines and early AI research companions) which aggregate public data to help scientists and developers avoid duplicative work.
- **Funding shifts toward community and sponsorship.** Traditional VC funding for closed startups remains significant, but new streams emerge to support open projects. Corporations and philanthropists begin sponsoring critical open-source tools: in 2023–24 Bloomberg and Indian startup Zerodha launched funds to grant money (not equity) to open-source projects^{techcrunch.com}. Big-Tech companies pledge millions to secure key open infrastructure (e.g. in response to Log4Shell vulnerabilities) and nonprofits launch prizes for open research. Academic and government grants increasingly favor collaborative proposals – for example, the 2024 MIT/AAAS report on open science calls for “new and more equitable business models” in publishing^{news.mit.edu}. Decentralized Science (DeSci) initiatives also begin to mature: cryptocurrency-based DAOs like VitaDAO pool community funding for biomedical research^{ulam.io}, and platforms like Molecule allow researchers to tokenize and sell intellectual property as NFTs^{ulam.io}. Together, these trends diversify R&D funding beyond traditional VC, aligning incentives with open-access outcomes.
- **Evolving organizations and founders.** Early adopters of the open-AI paradigm tend to be research-oriented teams and communities rather than traditional startups. Founders in this era often have deep technical and domain expertise and a track record of community engagement. Investors note that ideal open-AI founders are “visionary technical” leaders who know the problem space and have experience building developer communities^{eniavc.medium.com}. Many are former academics or open-source contributors who value transparency. Organizationally, companies experiment with hybrid structures – for example, a core lab of paid researchers working alongside an open developer community, or project teams organized as meritocracies rather than strict hierarchies^{redhat.comeniavc.medium.com}. The classic Silicon-Valley “sweat equity” model gives way to new archetypes: *community-savvy technologists* who balance open collaboration with eventual value capture. In practice, many AI startups begin life bootstrapped or seed-funded rather than VC-heavy, since open-source code and affordable hardware (as demonstrated by DeepSeek) allow rapid progress without massive investment^{elizabethyin.comelizabethyin.com}.

2028–2030: Proliferation of AI Knowledge Platforms and Open Science

- **Universal knowledge repositories emerge.** By the late 2020s, more advanced AI platforms become **shared research environments**. Large language and multimodal models are increasingly hooked into global knowledge graphs, arXiv/Preprint databases, open textbooks, and data repositories. In line with LeCun’s vision, it becomes common for engineers and scientists to query an AI that has effectively ingested all public technical literature. Specialized “AI research assistants” routinely help identify relevant prior work and suggest hypotheses, drastically reducing duplicated effort. Notably, many of these systems are built on open-source foundations or under open licenses – for example, consortium-led models trained on multilingual scientific corpora. Decentralized AI networks like Bittensor gain traction: by incentivizing thousands of participants via blockchain tokens, they maintain distributed AI models that anyone can query^{grayscale.com}. Such platforms increase transparency and “democratize access” to powerful AI capabilities^{grayscale.com}, ensuring that no single corporate AI dominates basic research.
- **Business models center on services and data.** In this interval, product competition shifts toward **platforms and APIs** rather than closed end-products. Companies often monetize via premium support, specialized datasets, or compliance services built around open cores. Venture and corporate funding flows both into open-source projects (via continued grants and equity in “open-core” firms) and into hybrid models like open-access research platforms that charge subscription fees for advanced analytics or data curation. Meanwhile, DeSci ideas influence mainstream R&D: decentralized grant pools and token rewards for peer review (as pioneered by ResearchHub) begin complementing traditional grants^{ulam.io}. Intellectual property norms also evolve. By 2030 major economies have enacted stronger open-access mandates (often tied to public funding), and some fields form open-patent pools for pre-competitive technology. Creative Commons–style licenses tailored for code and data become standard, and even biotech sees experiments with sharing gene sequences openly. In contrast to 2020s-era secrecy, many organizations adopt “defensive publishing” or IP-licensing models that ensure discoveries enter the public domain or commons.
- **Flat, networked organizations and new founder types.** Organizational structures continue to decentralize. Many tech organizations adopt **project-based** or **DAO-like** governance: small cores coordinate global contributor networks through digital platforms. As DevOps and agile methods mature, cross-company R&D consortia form on-the-fly for specific challenges (e.g. climate modeling or quantum research), disbanding once goals are met. Founders and leaders often act as *stewards* or *chief researchers* rather than CEOs: their role is to curate knowledge and manage collaboration. For example, a startup might be led by a renowned scientist who raises funding via both VC and community grants, and who assigns fractional ownership (via tokens or equity) to key contributors. Educational and career paths shift accordingly: in-demand tech leaders are as likely to hold PhDs and GitHub star-respect as MBAs, and successful “founders” are those who have built and galvanized developer communities. Major corporations begin to reframe R&D labs as quasi-public goods – for instance, by open-sourcing internal tools or contributing to shared infrastructures – blurring lines between “company” and “commons”.

2031–2033: Mature Open-Research Ecosystems and AI-Augmented Science

- **Highly efficient AI research platforms.** In the early 2030s, the once-novel idea of an AI “knowledge repository” becomes commonplace infrastructure. Advanced agents can retrieve and synthesize information from an interconnected web of open data, literature, and expert systems. The distinction between a company’s proprietary research and the open web diminishes: most new algorithms and findings are immediately

published or open-sourced in real time. This era sees the rise of “AI platforms as research assistants” – for example, cloud-based systems that continuously ingest global research updates and provide automated literature reviews or simulations. Duplication of effort is greatly reduced: teams no longer reinvent basic tools, but instead collaboratively build on shared modules (in programming, data analysis, materials). Open standards for interoperability allow plug-and-play innovation: companies may specialize in one layer of the stack (hardware, middleware, domain models) while seamlessly integrating others’ contributions.

- **New funding and reward systems.** The economics of R&D adjust to the open landscape. Public and private funders invest in **infrastructure** (e.g. supercomputers and data commons) rather than isolated projects. Crowdfunding and patronage for tech research become more structured, often managed via smart contracts. DeSci experiments now influence mainstream science funding: many granting agencies use token-based incentives for data sharing, peer review, and reproducibility. Intellectual contributions are tracked on blockchains or open ledgers, so credit and micro-payments (or reputation scores) flow to code authors and data curators. Patents are far less central; instead, many organizations adopt dual IP tracks (open core + proprietary services) or publish patents as defensive measures. For instance, standardization bodies oversee patent pools that companies agree not to enforce, accelerating innovation in fields like AI chips or biotech.
- **Institutional and societal impact.** By this point, collaborative tech R&D has profound socio-economic effects. Emerging economies gain greater access to cutting-edge tech via these open platforms, narrowing the global innovation gap. AI-enhanced research systems help solve complex problems (e.g. precision medicine, climate modelling) far faster, since global teams can co-develop and iterate in near real time. We also see shifts in the labor market: many specialists spend more time contributing to shared projects than on isolated corporate tasks, and “citizen scientists” participate in serious R&D via crowdsourced AI tools. Tech founders in this era are often depicted more as *scientific entrepreneurs* than traditional CEOs – they might found community-oriented research institutes or open labs. Notably, a number of major “products” emerge as free open platforms funded by ecosystem contributors (similar to today’s Linux or Kubernetes), and ancillary businesses focus on integration, support, and advanced optimization of these common platforms.

2034–2036: The Knowledge Commons and New Collaborative Norms

- **Fully collaborative innovation.** In the mid-2030s, most technology advances emerge from **global knowledge commons** rather than closed labs. AI platforms serve as continuous learning engines: for example, as new experiments or data are published, they automatically update shared models. Any researcher can query these models or contribute to them. Private R&D teams do differentiate, but primarily by application and implementation rather than fundamental breakthroughs. Companies increasingly “compete on deployment, not discovery”: unique value comes from how well one applies open research to user problems or integrates it with proprietary hardware/services. Open ecosystems dominate not just software but entire tech stacks (e.g. open designs for chips, robotics, and biotech protocols).
- **Evolved funding and governance.** Funding for research is now a patchwork of public budgets, international consortia, and distributed networks of investors and donors. Venture capital still exists but often in the form of *research funds* or *science funds* that share returns across many contributors. Corporate R&D often takes the shape of joint ventures or long-term pledges to open consortia. Regulatory frameworks worldwide have adapted: most nations enforce data sharing and open results for critical research areas (health, environment), and common patent systems emphasize sharing (e.g. trade treaties mandate cross-licensing). Even copyrights on educational content shift: many advanced textbooks are released under open licenses so AI tutors and experts can incorporate them directly.
- **New founder archetypes and roles.** By the late 2030s, the archetypal tech founder is rarely a lone visionary in a garage. Instead, leaders may arise from large collaborative communities (for instance, a respected open-source project maintainer who leverages their position to guide a new company or institute). Some founders behave like “chief scientific officers,” bridging academia and industry. Entrepreneurial roles often involve stewarding open projects, negotiating partnerships, and securing funding through a mix of grants and equity. In response to these changes, business education adapts: future founders are expected to understand open-source governance, community management, and ethical AI as core skills. Overall, the personality profile of a founder shifts toward openness, altruistic vision, and technical-cultural fluency.

2037–2039: Deep AI Integration and Global Collaborative Networks

- **AI as infrastructure.** As the 2040s approach, advanced AI tools have become as fundamental to R&D as electricity once was. Every discipline uses AI agents that understand and augment human expertise. Many platforms essentially function as “rivers” of knowledge: constant streams of new ideas flow from universities, companies, and communities into shared AI brains, which everyone can tap. Technological progress accelerates, but in a distributed way: no single company owns the pipeline of innovation. Industries collaborate openly on frontier problems; for example, major pharmaceutical firms share base compounds data, relying on AI to find novel therapies, then compete on development speed and application.
- **Global institutions of innovation.** New kinds of organizations emerge to manage this open world. International bodies akin to today’s CERN or the Internet Society coordinate massive collaborative projects. Decentralized Autonomous Organizations (DAOs) of scientists and citizens fund and govern research via on-chain voting and smart contracts. Intellectual property offices still exist but largely enforce open licensing rules rather than granting exclusive monopolies. Funding agencies operate transparently: budgets and outcomes are publicly tracked, and citizens can contribute to science funding with tax credits or through crypto-like contributions. The boundary between for-profit and nonprofit blurs: many entities are mission-driven and use hybrid legal forms to balance openness with economic viability.
- **Socio-economic equilibrium.** By 2040, tech-driven economies are deeply shaped by these trends. Education emphasizes collaborative problem-solving and open innovation. Workers often split time between private projects and communal research. The concept of “patent wars” is largely relegated to history books; instead, the global tech culture values reusable building blocks and shared frameworks. While some proprietary niches remain (e.g. luxury tech products or novel consumer interfaces), the core engines of innovation run on open-source and AI-powered cooperation. This new equilibrium has system-wide effects: richer access to knowledge helps developing countries leapfrog earlier technology gaps, and the relentless pace of discovery enables rapid responses to crises (pandemics, climate change) thanks to pre-built collaborative infrastructure.

2040–2042: A Stable Open-Innovation Ecosystem

- **Entrenched open models.** In the early 2040s, open innovation is the norm. Leading technology standards and platforms are community-governed, similar to how Linux or TCP/IP standards run today. AI models have evolved into continuous learning engines (or “AI knowledge webs”) that anyone can extend with new data. Competition between organizations focuses on specialization and performance, while foundational research outputs (papers, code, models) are routinely shared under open terms. Most commercial R&D is done by groups collaborating across companies or borders; even regulators often participate in open discussions with industry experts to guide technology directions.
- **Cultural maturity of sharing.** The habits and mindsets have fully internalized collaboration. Researchers regularly publish negative results, data, and tools alongside positives, recognizing that wasted effort on dead ends is costly. Metrics of success include community impact and reproducibility scores as much as revenue. Corporate leadership teams include “open innovation officers” responsible for managing external partnerships. Founders and executives often credit open collaboration in their biographies (e.g. “led the open-microscopy movement”). The public increasingly views technology not as secretive labs but as a collective endeavor; tech literacy and contributions span wide demographics.
- **Policy and ethics.** Governments and international institutions have put in place mature frameworks for AI governance, data sharing, and IP. Privacy-enhancing technologies (like federated learning) ensure that personal data fuels AI without sacrificing individual rights. Ethical oversight bodies use the same open platforms to audit and test AI safety. Encouraged by open-data and citizen-science norms, society invests heavily in shared problems:

for example, climate engineering proposals or space exploration plans are developed in publicly accessible platforms. Tech organizations are accountable to communities through transparent reporting and participatory governance.

2043–2045: The Future of Tech as a Global Knowledge Commons

- Innovation as a collective endeavor.** By 2045, the “tech organization” often looks more like a **knowledge cooperative**. Many founder-led companies have redefined themselves as open research foundations or member-driven networks. The most important assets are data sets, AI models, and developer communities, all treated as communal infrastructure. New products are frequently derived from collaboratively-developed open platforms (for instance, consumer devices running on widely contributed open-source operating systems and AI stacks). Even high-tech industries (bioengineering, advanced manufacturing, quantum computing) follow open principles, with consortia advancing standards and sharing testbeds worldwide.
- Enduring socio-economic transformation.** The systemic shift to open, AI-powered innovation results in a more resilient and inclusive economy. Innovation cycles are faster and more democratic: any skilled community can propose, validate, and disseminate new technologies. The role of the traditional “founder” has largely been absorbed into larger collaborative networks; still, individuals who catalyze major breakthroughs (often veteran researchers turned coordinators) are celebrated as heroes of the knowledge commons. Intellectual property remains relevant but is often managed as joint assets rather than exclusive claims (for example, patents still exist but many are pledged to public libraries of tech). Ultimately, by 2045 the global tech ecosystem is characterized by **fluid, open structures** where the boundary between “company” and “open community” is blurred – a world envisioned by today’s open-source and open-science advocates [redhat.com](#)[ulam.io](#).

Period	Technology & Collaboration	Funding & Business Models	Organization & Founders	IP & Norms
2025–2027	Early open-AI breakthroughs (open LLMs, Stable Diffusion, DeepSeek) validate collaborative innovation; nascent AI platforms start aggregating research data.	Mixed model: traditional VCs + new sponsors. Corporates and donors fund critical open-source projects (e.g. Bloomberg, Zerodha funds); research grants emphasize open access ^{techcrunch.com} news.mit.edu .	Hybrid orgs form: small core teams coordinating open communities. Founders are technical, community-driven leaders (often researchers) ^{eniacvc.medium.com} ; many AI startups are bootstrapped thanks to open tools and cheaper hardware. ^{elizabethyin.com} .	Move toward open licensing: experiments with open patents and data sharing. Calls for new, equitable publishing models grow ^{news.mit.edu} .
2028–2030	Open knowledge platforms emerge. AI systems integrate global research (becoming shared knowledge bases). Decentralized AI (e.g. Bittensor) gains traction ^{grayscale.com} .	Service- and data-driven models. Open-core products, APIs, and platform services dominate. Grantmaking and DAOs fund open R&D (e.g. VitaDAO, ResearchHub) ^{ulam.io} . Token or credit rewards used for contributions.	Project-based and distributed. Many R&D teams look like DAOs or consortia. Founder-leaders act as stewards of communities, balancing open release with eventual monetization ^{eniacvc.medium.com} . Multi-national collaborations flourish.	Widespread open-access mandates (e.g. public research must be open by 2030 ^{frontiersin.org}). Patents become defensive; novel IP models appear (e.g. IP-NFTs on platforms like Molecule ^{ulam.io}).
2031–2033	AI knowledge assistants and continuous-learning models are ubiquitous. Duplication of effort drops as researchers query shared AI repositories.	Infrastructure funding surges: investments in AI compute, data commons, and open R&D tools. Crowdfunding/patronage via smart contracts becomes common. Collaborative IP pools in standard bodies.	Decentralized networks dominate. Tech founders often come from open-source backgrounds; roles resemble “chief scientists” or community leaders. Co-working and fluid teams are standard. Participants expect open data and AI to augment their work.	Open data becomes default. Licensing is mostly open or dual (open core + proprietary service). Global IP agreements emphasize sharing (e.g. patent pools, cross-licensing). Authorship and attribution systems on blockchains.
2034–2036	Fully collaborative innovation: AI platforms continuously integrate new research. Most R&D is multi-organization; competitive edge is in application and integration.	Blended funding: governments, DAOs, and impact investors dominate R&D financing. Commercial models focus on customization, analytics, and trust services around open tech.	Major projects run like open consortia or DAOs. Leaders negotiate partnerships and manage tokens or revenue-sharing with contributors. Corporate R&D labs act as nodes in the open ecosystem.	Patents are rare; open-source licenses prevail. Copyright on education/tech often waived for AI training. Data privacy and security built into open standards.
2037–2039	AI is infrastructure. Advanced AI/AGI agents routinely aid research across fields. Innovation flows through “knowledge commons” rather than silos.	Economy prizes speed and network effects. Investment in AI-enhanced public goods (e.g. global health or climate models) grows. Income from tech comes through service layers or specialized hardware on open platforms.	Tech institutions resemble global networks. Many founders are consortium conveners rather than solo entrepreneurs. Technical and governance skills (e.g. token management) are as valued as business acumen.	IP norm: knowledge as public good. Standard-setting bodies govern core technologies. Open patents (e.g. patent pools) are the norm. Ethical/AI regulations enforced via community audits.
2040–2042	Open innovation is the norm. Every industry has shared R&D platforms. AI agents update in real time with latest science, enabling rapid cross-pollination of ideas.	Stable, mature open-innovation economy. R&D funding is largely public or crowdsourced. Few big winners or losers; companies succeed by niche excellence on shared tech.	Collaborative ecosystems fully entrenched. Executive roles include “Head of Commons” or similar. Founders are often former researchers turned community leaders. Educational systems train for open-innovation skills.	Nearly all new tech is open or hybrid. Patent and copyright enforcement are minimal (mostly for narrow areas). Global treaties ensure fair use of shared knowledge.
2043–2045	Technology seen as a global knowledge commons. AI-driven discovery in symbiosis with human creativity. New breakthroughs originate from collective intelligence.	R&D treated as global public infrastructure. Companies may exist as mutuals or foundations. Revenue from tech is mostly re-invested into shared innovation rather than proprietary control.	Nearly invisible boundary between company and community. Founders are seen as <i>facilitators</i> of open ecosystems. Cultural norms emphasize altruistic sharing and reputation as currency.	Knowledge is commons by default. Proprietary claims are exceptions, often surrendered to commons. Ethical, privacy, and safety norms are embedded in open standards worldwide.

Sources: Authoritative analyses and forecasts show this trajectory. For example, Red Hat and AI leaders emphasize open-source as essential for rapid innovation ^{redhat.com}[linkedin.com](#); venture experts highlight the rise of community-focused founder archetypes and funding ^{eniacvc.medium.com}[elizabethyin.com](#); and open science thinkers outline new norms for funding and IP that align with communal knowledge-sharing ^{news.mit.edu}[ulam.io](#). These perspectives, along with emerging trends (e.g. Decentralized Science ^{ulam.io}[ulam.io](#) and government open-AI initiatives ^{nsf.gov}[frontiersin.org](#)), paint a detailed picture of the systemic shifts in technology innovation from 2025 through 2045.

Citations



Why open source is critical to the future of AI
<https://www.redhat.com/en/blog/why-open-source-critical-future-ai>



A Triumph of Open Source AI and the Future of Knowledge Accessibility
<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/triumph-open-source-ai-future-knowledge-accessibility-amilcar-alzaga-nlfhf>



Embracing the future: open science in the US federal context and beyond
<https://www.frontiersin.org/news/2024/06/10/embracing-the-future-open-science-in-the-u-s-federal-context-and-beyond>

EY

DeepSeek Disruption: AI, NVIDIA, and the Future of Venture Capital – Elizabeth Yin
<https://elizabethyin.com/2025/01/29/deepseek-disruption-ai-nvidia-and-the-future-of-venture-capital/>



[The AI "Sputnik Moment," DeepSeek, and Decentralized AI | Grayscale](https://www.grayscale.com/the-ai-sputnik-moment-deepseek-and-decentralized-ai-artificial-intelligence)
<https://www.grayscale.com/the-ai-sputnik-moment-deepseek-and-decentralized-ai-artificial-intelligence>



[Democratizing the future of AI R&D: NSF to launch National AI Research Resource pilot | NSF - National Science Foundation](https://www.nsf.gov/news/democratizing-future-ai-rd-nsf-launch-national-ai)
<https://www.nsf.gov/news/democratizing-future-ai-rd-nsf-launch-national-ai>



[Why open source is critical to the future of AI](https://www.redhat.com/en/blog/why-open-source-critical-future-ai)
<https://www.redhat.com/en/blog/why-open-source-critical-future-ai>



[Open-Source AI is a National Security Imperative – Third Way](https://www.thirdway.org/report/open-source-ai-is-a-national-security-imperative)
<https://www.thirdway.org/report/open-source-ai-is-a-national-security-imperative>



[Open source projects draw equity-free funding from corporates, startups, and even VCs | TechCrunch](https://techcrunch.com/2024/11/10/open-source-projects-draw-equity-free-funding-from-corporates-startups-and-even-vcs/)
<https://techcrunch.com/2024/11/10/open-source-projects-draw-equity-free-funding-from-corporates-startups-and-even-vcs/>



[The MIT Press releases report on the future of open access publishing and policy | MIT News | Massachusetts Institute of Technology](https://news.mit.edu/2024/mit-press-report-future-open-access-publishing-policy-1125)
<https://news.mit.edu/2024/mit-press-report-future-open-access-publishing-policy-1125>



[How Decentralized Science \(DeSci\) Improves Research](https://www.ulam.io/blog/how-decentralized-science-is-revolutionizing-research)
<https://www.ulam.io/blog/how-decentralized-science-is-revolutionizing-research>



[Cracking the AI Open-Source Code: Part III — Eniac’s Investment Framework | by Eniac Ventures | Medium](https://eniacvc.medium.com/os-ai-framework-cf1ed9ea1504)
<https://eniacvc.medium.com/os-ai-framework-cf1ed9ea1504>



[Why open source is critical to the future of AI](https://www.redhat.com/en/blog/why-open-source-critical-future-ai)
<https://www.redhat.com/en/blog/why-open-source-critical-future-ai>

EY

[DeepSeek Disruption: AI, NVIDIA, and the Future of Venture Capital – Elizabeth Yin](https://elizabethyin.com/2025/01/29/deepseek-disruption-ai-nvidia-and-the-future-of-venture-capital/)
<https://elizabethyin.com/2025/01/29/deepseek-disruption-ai-nvidia-and-the-future-of-venture-capital/>



[The AI "Sputnik Moment," DeepSeek, and Decentralized AI | Grayscale](https://www.grayscale.com/the-ai-sputnik-moment-deepseek-and-decentralized-ai-artificial-intelligence)
<https://www.grayscale.com/the-ai-sputnik-moment-deepseek-and-decentralized-ai-artificial-intelligence>



[How Decentralized Science \(DeSci\) Improves Research](https://www.ulam.io/blog/how-decentralized-science-is-revolutionizing-research)
<https://www.ulam.io/blog/how-decentralized-science-is-revolutionizing-research>



[Cracking the AI Open-Source Code: Part III — Eniac’s Investment Framework | by Eniac Ventures | Medium](https://eniacvc.medium.com/os-ai-framework-cf1ed9ea1504)
<https://eniacvc.medium.com/os-ai-framework-cf1ed9ea1504>

All Sources



[redhat](#)



[linkedin](#)



[frontiersin](#)

EY

[elizabethyin](#)



[grayscale](#)



[nsf](#)



[thirdway](#)



[techcrunch](#)



[news.mit](#)



[ulam](#)



[eniacvc.medium](#)