Open-Source vs Proprietary AI (2025–2035)

2025 (Current State): In practice today, proprietary AI (OpenAI's GPT-4/GPT-4o, Google DeepMind's Gemini Ultra, Anthropic's Claude 3, etc.) lead on complex reasoning and planning tasks. For example, Gemini Ultra was the first LLM to reach human-level performance on the MMLU benchmark^{siliconangle.com}, and GPT-4 scored ~0.96 on a comprehensive HELM evaluation^{siliconangle.com}. Widely-used open models (Meta's Llama-2, Mistral, Falcon, China's Qwen, etc.) have greatly improved – closed the performance gap on many benchmarks – but still generally trail. In one study, popular open-source LLMs (Llama2-70B, Falcon-40B, etc.) showed "poor zero-shot reasoning ability" on medical questions compared to GPT-4/Claude^{kurtzlab.med.ucla.edu}. However, specialized open *reasoning* models are emerging: e.g. NVIDIA's open "Llama Nemotron" variants (post-trained on Llama) boost multi-step math, coding and reasoning accuracy by ~20% over the base model^{nvidianews.nvidia.com}. In short, open LLMs are improving rapidly (benchmarks gap shrank ~8% →1.7% in a year^{medium.com}), but proprietary systems still hold the edge on the hardest planning, logic, and domain-specific tasks.

- Scalability & Compute: Training frontier models is extremely expensive. OpenAl reportedly spent ~\$78M on GPT-4^{siliconangle.com}, and Google's Gemini Ultra ~\$191M^{siliconangle.com}. The Stanford Al Index notes training compute **doubles roughly every 5 months**, even as inference cost plummets^{medium.com}. Open-source projects typically cannot fund such runs; instead they fine-tune smaller models (using techniques like LoRA/sparsity^{medium.com}) or rely on shared cloud/HPC grants. Proprietary labs amortize their cost over massive cloud services and sell APIs. NVIDIA's new approach (embedding high-end GPUs on premises or via cloud) exemplifies how firms leverage custom hardware for agentic AI (e.g. Nemotron)^{nvidianews.nvidia.com}.
- **Accessibility & Transparency:** Open-source models publish their weights and code (Meta's Llama-2, Falcon, Alibaba's Qwen, etc.)^{rgpd.commckinsey.com}. This allows anyone to inspect, fine-tune, and self-host them. Enterprises report high satisfaction with open models' performance and "lower implementation" and "maintenance costs" In contrast, proprietary models are only accessible via API/cloud: their architectures and data are hidden. This "turnkey" approach gives faster time-to-value but at the cost of vendor lock-in and no internal audit. Notably, regulators recognize this: the EU AI Act exempts free/open models from certain documentation requirements, since their code/weights are public repd.com.
- **Community & Adoption:** Open Al tooling is widely used. A McKinsey survey (Jan 2025) found *over 50%* of organizations use open-source Al solutions^{mckinsey.com} (rising to 72% in tech). Developer interest is strong: many devs view open-source Al experience as vital^{mckinsey.com}. Global players are also contributing: Chinese companies (Alibaba, DeepSeek, Baichuan, Tencent, etc.) have released competitive open LLMs that "match, and in some cases rival, the performance of closed models" like GPT-40^{medium.com}. In summary, a vibrant community (academia, startups, international labs) collaborates on open models, while proprietary innovation is concentrated in a few big labs.
- **Regulation & Geopolitics:** Current Al export controls and policy focus primarily on proprietary models and hardware. In Jan 2025 the US Commerce Dept. introduced a new export-control category (ECCN 4E091) for "frontier" Al model weights but crucially this targets *closed-source* models trained with massive compute datamatters. Published open weights generally fall outside these rules justsecurity.org datamatters. State-level moves to ban open Al (e.g. California's vetoed SB-1047) have so far been rebuffed justsecurity.org. Meanwhile, nearly all major economies are drafting Al laws: by 2024 there were already dozens of federal/state Al statutes medium.com. The EU Al Act, for instance, may impose transparency and safety requirements, but explicitly *exempts* open models from some burdens if they are fully transparent policy. In practice, open-source Al can diffuse globally without the trade restrictions that hit closed platforms a factor leveraged by China's soft-power strategy medium.com but it also raises security debates (e.g. malware hidden in code justsecurity.org).

The table below summarizes key comparisons (open vs. proprietary) in 2025 and projected to 2035:

Aspect	Open-Source (2025)	Proprietary (2025)	Open-Source (2035)	Proprietary (2035)
Model Quality (Reasoning)	Rapidly improving: open LLMs (Llama-2, Mistral, Falcon, etc.) score strongly on general tasks but still lag GPT-4/Gemini in complex reasoningkurtzlab.med.ucla.edumedium.com. Specialized open "reasoning" models (e.g. NVIDIA's Llama Nemotron) are emergingnvidianews.nvidia.com.	Leading edge: GPT-4/4o, Claude 3, Gemini Ultra set state-of-art on benchmarks (first to achieve human-level MMLU, near-perfect HELM)siliconangle.comsiliconangle.com. Excel at multistep planning and decision-making.	Near parity: ongoing community innovation (fine-tuning, data curation) is closing gapsmedium.com. By 2035 open models may match proprietary systems on most reasoning tasks, differing mainly in engineering (latency, safety features).	Cutting-edge advances: proprietary models continue to improve (e.g. GPT-6/GeminiX). Likely lead in raw capability, but gains become incremental; emphasis shifts to finegrained optimization and domain specialization (with open models competing closely).
Accessibility & Transparency	Fully open: model weights/architectures (Llama-2, Falcon, Qwen, etc.) are public, enabling inspection and customizationrgpd.commckinsey.com. Developers benefit from low/no licensing fees.	Opaque APIs: models available only as a service; internal logic and training data are hidden. Turnkey for end-users, but no portability or audit.	Democratized: open ecosystems and standards (influenced by regulations like the EU AI Act) make models globally accessible and understandable. Transparency is default, aiding trust and oversight.	Restricted: likely subject to mandatory reporting/disclosures, but core models remain proprietary. Companies may open-sourcing parts of their systems to meet regulatory requirements (e.g. providing model cards) while retaining key IP.
Compute & Training Cost	High cost: training top models costs tens of millions (GPT-4 ≈\$78M) _{siliconangle.com} . Open projects rely on fine-tuning smaller models, distillation, and shared compute. Training compute demand doubled ~every 5 months _{medium.com} .	Massive spending: proprietary labs invest hundreds of millions on custom hardware (Gemini Ultra ~\$191M)siliconangle.com. They utilize global datacenters and bespoke chips to train frontier models.	More efficient: by 2035, algorithmic innovations (model pruning, LoRA/PEFT, improved training algorithms) and new hardware (AI accelerators, possibly quantum co-processors) greatly lower required compute per task. Small/specialized models achieve top resultsnetguru.com.	Still increasing: model sizes and compute budgets rise, but not exponentially. Adoption of advanced hardware (next-gen GPUs, specialized AI chips) and methods (e.g. federated training) mitigate costs. Giants maintain large-scale R&D budgets for edge models.
Community & Adoption	Widespread: ~50–70% of organizations use open-source AI _{mckinsey.com} , and projects like Hugging Face attract global contributors. Chinese, European and academic groups actively develop open LLMs _{medium.com} .	Concentrated: most users rely on a few platforms (ChatGPT, Gemini, Claude) via paid API. Innovation is driven by a handful of companies.	Ubiquitous: open AI is mainstream in education, research and industry worldwide. Collaborative research (often international) on open models accelerates innovation. Many developing regions adopt open AI for self-sufficiency.	Important platform: proprietary services still dominate consumer-facing AI (enterprise AI suites, personal assistants). However, they coexist with mature open ecosystems; many workflows interoperate with open models.
Regulation & Geopolitics	Light regulation today: export controls target <i>closed</i> frontier models/chips _{datamatters.sidley.com} , so open-source models with published weights largely escape restrictions _{justsecurity.org} . Policymakers debate (e.g. export bans), but hard limitations on open AI have failed.	Heavy oversight: governments restrict advanced chips and model exports (new ECCN for frontier weights)datamatters.sidley.com. Proprietary AI (especially from adversarial countries) faces licensing hurdles and security reviews.	Global frameworks: by 2035 most jurisdictions have risk-based AI laws (EU AI Act, OECD/UN standards). Open-source models, being transparent, often qualify for lower risk categories _{rgpd.com} . International collaboration on open AI is common.	Tight compliance: proprietary systems must meet stringent regulations (safety tests, explainability requirements). Cross-border AI usage is constrained by trade controls. Companies may partially open-source tools to align with global norms while retaining competitive edge.

Each of the above points is backed by recent research and industry analyses: for instance, the Stanford 2024 AI Index found that about two-thirds of new models were open-source, **but** the very top performance was still in closed systems like Gemini Ultra commedium.com. Organizations increasingly favor open models for cost and flexibility commedium, yet proprietary systems maintain an edge in raw reasoning ability and ease-of-use.

Forward Outlook (2027–2035): Over the next decade, we expect open-source AI to continue catching up. By 2027–2029, fine-tuning techniques (reinforcement learning from human feedback, specialized reasoning frameworks like OpenR/OpenRFT^{blog,premai.io}, etc.) and new training methods will likely yield open models rivaling big-tech models on many benchmarks. Costs per parameter will drop via sparsity and distillation^{medium.comnetguru.com}, so mid-sized organizations can train or adapt powerful models. New hardware and cheaper inference (Moore's law and inference-cost collapses^{medium.com}) will broaden access. However, the *leading edge* (e.g. GPT-6, Gemini Ultra successors) will remain in deep-pocketed labs.

By 2031–2035, it is plausible that open-source tools will support most high-impact AI research and applications globally. Collective knowledge (open datasets, published weights) and global developer communities should enable even small teams to run advanced agents and planners. Proprietary platforms will still exist (likely with richer integration and commercial polish), but open models may dominate in fields where transparency and trust matter (healthcare, science, government). Regulation will shape this balance: stringent laws (like the final EU AI Act) may force some openness, benefiting the open ecosystem representation. Geopolitically, open AI will be a strategic asset (as seen by China's open LLM outreach outreach and export controls may increasingly focus on compute hardware rather than on code or weights.

Overall, an organization armed with top open-source models can meaningfully compete with Big Tech in research-grade and domain-specific AI by 2035, provided it invests in computing resources and expertise. The gap in raw capability is narrowing medium.com, and openness brings advantages in innovation speed and collaboration. That said, at least through 2025–2030 proprietary players are likely to retain an innovation lead on the broadest, most computeintensive tasks. The next decade will see that gap shrink: by 2035 it is conceivable that open-source AI could match (or even surpass) proprietary AI on a wide range of reasoning, planning, and decision-making tasks – marking a major shift in how AI progress is pursued globally.

Sources: Recent industry reports and analyses analyses and analyses analyses and analyses analyses and analyses analyses and analyses analyses and analyses ana reviews^{justsecurity.orgdatamatters.sidley.com} have been used throughout to document current trends and projections. The table and discussion above synthesize these findings into a structured comparison.

Citations



Al is becoming more powerful and more expensive, and people are getting nervous - SiliconANGLE

https://siliconangle.com/2024/04/15/ai-becoming-powerful-expensive-people-getting-nervous/



Al is becoming more powerful and more expensive, and people are getting nervous - SiliconANGLE

https://siliconangle.com/2024/04/15/ai-becoming-powerful-expensive-people-getting-nervous/



Dr. Ira Kurtz Research | Kurtz Research Lab

https://kurtzlab.med.ucla.edu/research



NVIDIA Launches Family of Open Reasoning Al Models for Developers and Enterprises to Build Agentic Al Platforms | NVIDIA Newsroom

https://nvidianews.nvidia.com/news/nvidia-launches-family-of-open-reasoning-ai-models-for-developers-and-enterprises-to-build-agentic-ai-platforms



Industrial-Grade AI: Decoding Stanford's 2025 Index for C-Suite Capital Allocation | by Adnan Masood, PhD. | Apr., 2025 | Medium https://medium.com/@adnanmasood/industrial-grade-ai-decoding-stanfords-2025-index-for-c-suite-capital-allocation-41c08397b795



Al is becoming more powerful and more expensive, and people are getting nervous - SiliconANGLE

https://siliconangle.com/2024/04/15/ai-becoming-powerful-expensive-people-getting-nervous/



strial-Grade AI: Decoding Stanford's 2025 Index for C-Suite Capital Allocation | by Adnan Masood, PhD. | Apr, 2025 | Medium

https://medium.com/@adnanmasood/industrial-grade-ai-decoding-stanfords-2025-index-for-c-suite-capital-allocation-41c08397b795



Article 53: Obligations for providers of general-purpose AI models | RGPD.COM

https://rgpd.com/ai-act/chapter-5-general-purpose-ai-models/article-53-obligations-for-providers-of-general-purpose-ai-models/



How open source AI solutions are reshaping business | McKinsey

https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/quantumblack/our-insights/open-source-technology-in-the-age-of-ai



How open source Al solutions are reshaping business | McKinsey

https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/quantumblack/our-insights/open-source-technology-in-the-age-of-ai



How open source Al solutions are reshaping business | McKinsey

https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/quantumblack/our-insights/open-source-technology-in-the-age-of-ai



Why Chinese Companies Are Open-Sourcing Their LLMs — and What It Means | by Shailey Dash | Data Science Collective | Mar, 2025 | Medium

https://medium.com/data-science-collective/why-are-chinese-companies-open-sourcing-their-large-language-models-73270f8af06e

New U.S. Export Controls on Advanced Computing Items and Artificial Intelligence Model Weights: Seven Key Takeaways | Data Matters Privacy Blog https://datamatters.sidley.com/2025/01/21/new-u-s-export-controls-on-advanced-computing-items-and-artificial-intelligence-model-weights-seven-key-takeaways/



Export Controls on Open-Source Models Will Not Win the AI Race

https://www.justsecurity.org/108144/blanket-bans-software-exports-not-solution-ai-arms-race/



Industrial-Grade AI: Decoding Stanford's 2025 Index for C-Suite Capital Allocation | by Adnan Masood, PhD. | Apr, 2025 | Medium



Why Chinese Companies Are Open-Sourcing Their LLMs — and What It Means | by Shailey Dash | Data Science Collective | Mar, 2025 | Medium

https://medium.com/data-science-collective/why-are-chinese-companies-open-sourcing-their-large-language-models-73270f8af06e



Export Controls on Open-Source Models Will Not Win the AI Race

https://www.justsecurity.org/108144/blanket-bans-software-exports-not-solution-ai-arms-race/



Artificial Intelligence in 2030 - The Future of AI

https://www.netguru.com/blog/future-of-ai



The Rise of Open Source Reasoning Models: Welcome Qwen QwQ and QvQ

https://blog.premai.io/the-rise-of-open-source-reasoning-models-welcome-gwen-gwq-and-gvg/



Industrial-Grade Al: Decoding Stanford's 2025 Index for C-Suite Capital Allocation | by Adnan Masood, PhD. | Apr., 2025 | Medium

https://medium.com/@adnanmasood/industrial-grade-ai-decoding-stanfords-2025-index-for-c-suite-capital-allocation-41c08397b795



Industrial-Grade Al: Decoding Stanford's 2025 Index for C-Suite Capital Allocation | by Adnan Masood, PhD. | Apr, 2025 | Medium

https://medium.com/@adnanmasood/industrial-grade-ai-decoding-stanfords-2025-index-for-c-suite-capital-allocation-41c08397b795

All Sources



iliconanglo



urtzlab.med.ucla









datamatters.sidley



.1

