PROJECT WEEKLY STATUS MATRIX (FOR PROJECT MENTORS) Form-3

	20	TOTAL		Weeky.		Week 3			Weeks	WEEK (TO-FROM)	OTHER TEAL	NAME OF PROJECT	NAME OF STUDENT - 1
	100	MODULE COMPLETED (YES / NO)		Sphinigation	skering	docation	Tay Integration		Week & CPS booking	WORKING ON MODULE	OTHER TEAM MEMBERS	ROJECT	UDENT - 1
300	Grond	OVERALL PROGRESS (POOR / AVG / GOOD)	improved	Accuracy &	in should	dive decarion	May API word	funding mounty		PROGRESS ACHIEVED	2 0 0	E Q	Chekan S
	Grood	OVERALL COMMENT (POOR / AVG / GOOD)		Done checked		Stone checked	Done Chacked		Some chacked	COMMENTS	3.	HELP ARP	man
1	(85/10	PERCENTAGE MARKS ESTIMATE		9		93	0		60	MARKS (X / 10)	4		

NOTE:1. This form is to be maintained in a file by lab coordinators for student—1 of the team to track his / her progress.

2. Lab coordinators must cross check and evaluate the PROGRESS ACHIEVED + it's DOCUMENTATION by student against the work done by student and note their own comments about student's performance.

3. The lab coordinator must compute average of these points at the end of semester to draw an estimate of the PERCENT MARKS to be awarded to the student for his / her performance.

5. The lab coordinator must submission the student for his / her performance.

6. The lab coordinator must submission the student for his / her performance.

7. The lab coordinator must submission the student for his / her performance.

8. The lab coordinator must submission the student for his / her performance.

9. The lab coordinator must submission the student for his / her performance.

10. The lab coordinator must submission the student for his / her performance.

11. The lab coordinator is the student for his / her performance.

12. The lab coordinator is the student for his / her performance.

13. The lab coordinator is the student for his / her performance.

14. The lab coordinator is the student for his / her performance.

15. The lab coordinator is submission to submission the student in case of poor performance.

16. The lab coordinator is submission to submission the student in case of poor performance.

17. The lab coordinator is submission to submission the submission that the province of the submission that the province his / her performance.

18. The lab coordinator is submission to submission that the province his / her performance.

18. The lab coordinator is submission to submission that the province his / her performance.

18. The lab coordinator is submission to submission that the province his / her performance.

18. The lab coordinator is submission to submission that the province his / her performance.

18. The lab coordinator is submission to submission that the province his / her performance hi