From CFG to PDA

Let a content free Grammar CFG G(V_N, \leq, P, S), which can be converted into PDA $A(0, \leq, \Gamma, S, 20, 20, F)$, which is accepted by null store as follows

Q = 99Z = Same as Incf4 $\Gamma = VNUE$ 20 = 920 = 9

S is defined as follows:

Rule: $S(2,\lambda,A) = (2,\alpha)$. if $A \rightarrow \alpha$ is in P

Rade 2: $S(q,a,a) = (q,\lambda)$ $\forall a \in \Sigma \text{ (for every a in } \Sigma)$

Constant the PPA equivalent to the CFG, whose $S \rightarrow 0BB$ $B \rightarrow 0S | 1|S | 0$ Sol. CFG G (NN, E, P, S) $NN = \{ S, B \}$ $\{ z = \{ 0, 1 \} \}$

· S = 25}

Equivalent PDA is

PDA A (0, 5, 5, 8, 90, 70, F)

Q= { 2 }

2 = \$0,13

T = VNU E = { S, B, 0, 1 }

20 = 592

20: { 53.

F = \$

S is defined by

(Apply both Rules)

Rule 1. $S(2,\lambda,A) = (2,\lambda) \quad \forall \quad A \rightarrow \lambda \quad \text{is in } P$.

Rule 2: $S(2,9,9) = (2,\lambda) \quad \text{for every } a \text{ in } S$

Scanned with CamScanner

$$S(4,\lambda,S) \leftarrow B(2,0BB)$$
 Since $S \Rightarrow 0BB$
 $S(9,\lambda,B) \leftarrow B(9,0S)$ $B \Rightarrow 1S$
 $S(9,\lambda,B) \leftarrow B(1,0)$ $B \Rightarrow 1S$
 $S(9,0,0) \leftarrow (2,\lambda)$ Since $0 \in S$
 $S(9,1,1) \leftarrow (2,\lambda)$ Since $1 \in S$
Let us consider string $0 = 0 = 0 = 0$
 $(9,0100009S) \leftarrow (9,010000000)$ $(S \Rightarrow 0BB)$ $(S \Rightarrow 0BB)$
 $(9,0000,0BB)$ $(S \Rightarrow 1S)$
 $(9,0000,0BB)$ $(S \Rightarrow 0BB)$
 $(9,0000,0BB)$ $(S \Rightarrow 0BB)$
 $(9,0000,0BB)$
 $(9,0000,0BB)$

(2) Construct the PDA accepted by Null store, whose production is given. S - asb/A A -> bsalsld . Sol CFG G (VN, E, P,S) VN = { S, A } z = {a,b} S = { S } Equivalent PDA is PDA A (Q, €, Г, 8, 90, 70, F) Q = {2} E = {9,63 Γ = { s, A, a, b3 % = {2} e E E p i man in a til d S is defined by $S(2, \lambda, S) = S(2, aSb)$ Sme s-asb = S(2, A) 11 S-3 A S(9, 1., S) = \$ (2,5) A -> C S(2, A, A)

$$S(2, \lambda, A) \vdash (2, a) \qquad A > b \leq a$$

 $S(2, \lambda, A) \vdash (2, \lambda) \qquad A > \lambda$
 $S(2, \lambda, A) \vdash (2, \lambda) \qquad a \in \Sigma$
 $S(2, a, a) \vdash (2, \lambda) \qquad b \in \Sigma$
 $S(2, b, b) \vdash (2, \lambda)$

There are 3 transitions for A and 2 transitions for S.

So, It is a non-deterministic PDA.

3) Show that Language 1= \{\sigma 0^n 1^n 3 u \{0^n 1^2 n 3}\}

Where n>13 is a CFL and it is not accepted by deterministic Pushdown Automata(DPDA)

Sol.

$$S \rightarrow S1|S2$$

$$S_1 \rightarrow OS1|O1 \rightarrow (for L_1)$$

$$S_2 \rightarrow OS11|O11 \rightarrow (for L_2)$$
The Observable

The giren language is represented by above CFG. Hence, it is a CFL.

Equivalent PPA is

PDA A (Q, E, r, S, 20, Zo, F)

S is defined by

$$S(2, 1, s) = S(2, s_1)$$

$$S(2, 1, s) = S(2, s_2)$$

$$S(2, 1, s_1) = S(2, 0s_1)$$

$$S(2, 1, s_1) = S(2, 0s_1)$$

$$S(2, 1, s_2) = S(2, 0s_1)$$

Since there are more than one transitions for S; S, and S2.

Therefore L= { {0ⁿ1ⁿ3</sub> U { 0ⁿ1²n3 + n > 13 is accepted by a non-deterministic Pushdown automato, not by a DPDA.

Huse, from a particular state reading a particular symbol and the particular top of the stack is giving more than one transition.

Hence, given language can't be accepted by DPPA.

```
4 find the PDA for the language
  L= {aibick/ i+j or j+k3
Sol. S-ABIXY
                    Y-> bYC|R3|R4
A -> a AbIRIIR2
                     R3 -> bR3/b
    Ri > aRila Ry -> cRy/c
    R_2 \rightarrow bR_1 / b X \rightarrow a \times 1 \lambda
  B - CBIA PL
 CFG G (VN, E,P,S)
 VN= & S,A,B,X,Y, R, R2, R3, Ry 3
   £ = {a,b,c}
   5= 353
  Equivalent PDA is giren by
  PDA A (0, E, r, S, 20, Zo, F)
  Q = {2}
  £ = {a,b,c}
  T= { s, A, B, X, Y, R, R2, R3, R4, 9, 6, C}
  90 = 893
    Zo = { 5 }
   F = \phi
```

S is defined by

$$S(Q, \lambda, S) = (Q, AB)$$

$$S(Q, \lambda, S) = (Q, XY)$$

$$S(Q, \lambda, A) = (Q, QAB)$$

$$S(Q, \lambda, A) = (Q, R_1)$$

$$S(Q, \lambda, R_1) = (Q, R_2)$$

$$S(Q, \lambda, R_1) = (Q, Q_1)$$

$$S(Q, \lambda, R_1) = (Q, Q_1)$$

$$S(Q, \lambda, R_2) = (Q, Q_1)$$

$$S(Q, \lambda, R_2) = (Q, B_2)$$

$$S(2, \lambda, \times) = (2, b \times c) \cdot (2, R_3) \cdot (2, R_4)$$

 $S(2, \lambda, R_3) = (2, b \times R_3) \cdot (2, b)$
 $S(2, \lambda, R_4) = (2, c \times R_4) \cdot (2, c)$
 $S(2, \lambda, \times) = (2, a \times) \cdot (2, \lambda)$

Rule 2

$$S(2,a,a') = (2,\lambda)$$
 Since $a \in \Sigma$
 $S(2,b,b) = (2,\lambda)$ $b \in \Sigma$
 $S(2,c,c)' = (2,\lambda)$ $c \in \Sigma$