


Abnormal Psychology



An Integrative Approach

EIGHTH EDITION

David H. Barlow
V. Mark Durand
Stefan G. Hofmann

Abnormal Behavior in Historical Context

CHAPTER OUTLINE

Understanding Psychopathology

What Is a Psychological Disorder?
The Science of Psychopathology
Historical Conceptions of Abnormal Behavior

The Supernatural Tradition

Demons and Witches
Stress and Melancholy
Treatments for Possession
Mass Hysteria
Modern Mass Hysteria
The Moon and the Stars
Comments

The Biological Tradition

Hippocrates and Galen
The 19th Century
The Development of Biological Treatments
Consequences of the Biological Tradition

The Psychological Tradition

Moral Therapy
Asylum Reform and the Decline of Moral Therapy
Psychoanalytic Theory
Humanistic Theory
The Behavioral Model

The Present: The Scientific Method and an Integrative Approach



Jerry Cooke/Science Source

STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES*

Describe key concepts, principles, and overarching themes in psychology

- Explain why psychology is a science with the primary objectives of describing, understanding, predicting, and controlling behavior and mental processes (APA SLO 1.1b) (see textbook pages 4–7, 25–27)

- Use basic psychological terminology, concepts, and theories in psychology to explain behavior and mental processes (APA SLO 1.1a) (see textbook pages 3–6, 9–14, 16–21, 23–27)

Develop a working knowledge of the content domains of psychology

- Summarize important aspects of history of psychology, including key figures, central concerns, methods used, and theoretical conflicts (APA SLO 1.2c) (see textbook pages 9–27)
- Identify key characteristics of major content domains in psychology (e.g., cognition and learning, developmental, biological, and sociocultural) (APA SLO 1.2a) (see textbook pages 4–6, 13–21, 25–27)

Use scientific reasoning to interpret behavior

- See APA SLO 1.1b listed above
- Incorporate several appropriate levels of complexity (e.g., cellular, individual, group/system, society/cultural) to explain behavior (APA SLO 2.1c) (see textbook pages 8–9, 12–16, 18–27)

* Portions of this chapter cover learning outcomes suggested by the American Psychological Association (2013) in their guidelines for the undergraduate psychology major. Chapter coverage of these outcomes is identified above by APA Goal and APA Suggested Learning Outcome (SLO).

Understanding Psychopathology

Today you may have gotten out of bed, had breakfast, gone to class, studied, and, at the end of the day, enjoyed the company of your friends before dropping off to sleep. It probably did not occur to you that many physically healthy people are not able to do some or any of these things. What they have in common is a **psychological disorder**, a psychological dysfunction within an individual associated with distress or impairment in functioning and a response that is not typical or culturally expected. Before examining exactly what this means, let's look at one individual's situation.

Judy...

The Girl Who Fainted at the Sight of Blood

Judy, a 16-year-old, was referred to our anxiety disorders clinic after increasing episodes of fainting. About 2 years earlier, in Judy's first biology class, the teacher had shown a movie of a frog dissection to illustrate various points about anatomy.

This was a particularly graphic film, with vivid images of blood, tissue, and muscle. About halfway through, Judy felt a bit lightheaded and left the room. But the images did not

leave her. She continued to be bothered by them and occasionally felt slightly queasy. She began to avoid situations in which she might see blood or injury. She stopped looking at magazines that might have gory pictures. She found it difficult to look at raw meat, or even Band-Aids, because they brought the feared images to mind. Eventually, anything her friends or parents said that evoked an image of blood or injury caused Judy to feel lightheaded. It got so bad that if one of her friends exclaimed, "Cut it out!" she felt faint.

Beginning about 6 months before her visit to the clinic, Judy actually fainted when she unavoidably encountered something bloody. Her family physician could find nothing wrong with her, nor could several other physicians. By the time she was referred to our clinic, she was fainting 5 to 10 times a week, often in class. Clearly, this was problematic for her and disruptive in school; each time Judy fainted, the other students flocked around her, trying to help, and class was interrupted. Because no one could find anything wrong with her, the principal finally concluded that she was being manipulative and suspended her from school, even though she was an honor student.

(Continued next page)

Judy was suffering from what we now call *blood-injury phobia*. Her reaction was quite severe, thereby meeting the criteria for **phobia**, a psychological disorder characterized by marked and persistent fear of an object or situation. But many people have similar reactions that are not as severe when they receive an injection or see someone who is injured, whether blood is visible or not. For people who react as severely as Judy, this phobia can be disabling. They may avoid certain careers, such as medicine or nursing, and, if they are so afraid of needles and injections that they avoid them even when they need them, they put their health at risk. •

for the disorder, so knowing where to draw the line between normal and abnormal dysfunction is often difficult. For this reason, these problems are often considered to be on a continuum or a dimension rather than to be categories that are either present or absent (McNally, 2011; Stein, Phillips, Bolton, Fulford, Sadler, & Kendler, 2010; Widiger & Crego, 2013). This, too, is a reason why just having a dysfunction is not enough to meet the criteria for a psychological disorder.

Distress or Impairment

That the behavior must be associated with distress to be classified as a disorder adds an important component and seems clear: The criterion is satisfied if the individual is extremely upset. We can certainly say that Judy was distressed and even suffered with her phobia. But remember, by itself this criterion does not define problematic abnormal behavior. It is often quite normal to be distressed—for example, if someone close to you dies. The human condition is such that suffering and distress are very much part of life. This is not likely to change. Furthermore, for some disorders, by definition, suffering and distress are absent. Consider the person who feels extremely elated and may act impulsively as part of a manic episode. As you will see in Chapter 7, one of the major difficulties with this problem is that some people enjoy the manic state so much they are reluctant to begin treatment or stay long in


What Is a Psychological Disorder?

Keeping in mind the real-life problems faced by Judy, let's look more closely at the definition of psychological disorder: or problematic **abnormal behavior**: It is a psychological dysfunction within an individual that is associated with distress or impairment in functioning and a response that is not typical or culturally expected (see ● Figure 1.1). On the surface, these three criteria may seem obvious, but they were not easily arrived at and it is worth a moment to explore what they mean. You will see, importantly, that no one criterion has yet been developed that fully defines a psychological disorder.

Psychological Dysfunction

Psychological dysfunction refers to a breakdown in cognitive, emotional, or behavioral functioning. For example, if you are out on a date, it should be fun. But if you experience severe fear all evening and just want to go home, even though there is nothing to be afraid of, and the severe fear happens on every date, your emotions are not functioning properly. However, if all your friends agree that the person who asked you out is unpredictable and dangerous in some way, then it would not be dysfunctional for you to be fearful and avoid the date.

A dysfunction was present for Judy: She fainted at the sight of blood. But many people experience a mild version of this reaction (feeling queasy at the sight of blood) without meeting the criteria



● FIGURE 1.1

The criteria defining a psychological disorder.



Enigma/Alamy Stock Photo

Distress and suffering are a natural part of life and do not in themselves constitute a psychological disorder.

treatment. Thus, defining psychological disorder by distress alone doesn't work, although the concept of distress contributes to a good definition.

The concept of *impairment* is useful, although not entirely satisfactory. For example, many people consider themselves shy or lazy. This doesn't mean that they're abnormal. But if you are so shy that you find it impossible to date or even interact with people and you make every attempt to avoid interactions even though you would like to have friends, then your social functioning is impaired.

Judy was clearly impaired by her phobia, but many people with similar, less severe reactions are not impaired. This difference again illustrates the important point that most psychological disorders are simply extreme expressions of otherwise normal emotions, behaviors, and cognitive processes.

Atypical or Not Culturally Expected

Finally, the criterion that the response be *atypical* or *not culturally expected* is important but also insufficient to determine if a disorder is present by itself. At times, something is considered abnormal because it occurs infrequently; it deviates from the average. The greater the deviation, the more abnormal it is. You might say that someone is abnormally short or abnormally tall, meaning that the person's height deviates substantially from average, but this obviously isn't a definition of disorder. Many people are far from the average in their behavior, but few would be considered disordered. We might call them *talented* or *eccentric*. Many artists, movie stars, and athletes fall in this category. For example, it's not normal to wear a dress made entirely out of meat, but when Lady Gaga wore this to an awards show, it only enhanced her celebrity. The late novelist J. D. Salinger, who wrote *The Catcher in the Rye*, retreated to a small town in New Hampshire and refused to see any outsiders for years, but he continued to write. Some male rock singers wear heavy makeup on stage. These people are well paid and seem to enjoy their careers. In most cases, the more productive you are in the eyes of society, the more eccentricities society will tolerate. Therefore, "deviating from the average" doesn't work well as a definition for problematic abnormal behavior.

Another view is that your behavior is disordered if you are violating social norms, even if a number of people are sympathetic to your point of view. This definition is useful in considering important cultural differences in psychological disorders. For example, to enter a trance state and believe you are possessed reflects a psychological disorder in most Western cultures but not in many other societies, where the behavior is accepted and expected (see Chapter 6). (A cultural perspective is an important point of reference throughout this book.) An informative example of this view is provided by Robert Sapolsky (2002), the prominent neuroscientist who, during his studies, worked closely with the Masai people in East Africa. One day, Sapolsky's Masai friend Rhoda asked him to bring his vehicle as quickly as possible to the Masai village where a woman had been acting aggressively and had been hearing voices. The woman had actually killed a goat with her own hands. Sapolsky and several Masai were able to subdue her and transport her to a local health center. Realizing that this was an opportunity to learn

more of the Masai's view of psychological disorders, Sapolsky had the following discussion:

"So, Rhoda," I began laconically, "what do you suppose was wrong with that woman?"

She looked at me as if I was mad.

"She is crazy."

"But how can you tell?"

"She's crazy. Can't you just see from how she acts?"

"But how do you decide that she is crazy? What did she do?"

"She killed that goat."

"Oh," I said with anthropological detachment, "but Masai kill goats all the time."

She looked at me as if I were an idiot. "Only the men kill goats," she said.

"Well, how else do you know that she is crazy?"

"She hears voices."

Again, I made a pain of myself. "Oh, but the Masai hear voices sometimes." (At ceremonies before long cattle drives, the Masai trance-dance and claim to hear voices.) And in one sentence, Rhoda summed up half of what anyone needs to know about cross-cultural psychiatry.

"But she hears voices at the wrong time." (p. 138)



Steve Granitz/Getty Images

We accept extreme behaviors by entertainers, such as Lady Gaga, that would not be tolerated in other members of our society.

A social standard of *normal* has been misused, however. Consider, for example, the practice of committing political dissidents to mental institutions because they protest the policies of their government, which was common in Iraq before the fall of Saddam Hussein and now occurs in Iran. Although such dissident behavior clearly violated social norms, it should not alone be cause for commitment.

Jerome Wakefield (1999, 2009), in a thoughtful analysis of the matter, uses the shorthand definition of *harmful dysfunction*. A related concept that is also useful is to determine whether the behavior is out of the individual's control (something the person doesn't want to do) (Widiger & Crego, 2013; Widiger & Sankis, 2000). Variants of these approaches are most often used in current diagnostic practice, as outlined in the fifth edition of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual* (American Psychiatric Association, 2013), which contains the current listing of criteria for psychological disorders (Stein et al., 2010). These approaches guide our thinking in this book.

An Accepted Definition

In conclusion, it is difficult to define what constitutes a psychological disorder (Lilienfeld & Marino, 1995, 1999)—and the debate continues (Blashfield, Keeley, Flanagan, & Miles, 2014; McNally, 2011; Stein et al., 2010; Spitzer, 1999; Wakefield, 2003, 2009; Zachar & Kendler, 2014). The most widely accepted definition used in the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (5th ed.; *DSM-5*; American Psychiatric Association, 2013) describes behavioral, psychological, or biological dysfunctions that are unexpected in their cultural context and associated with present distress and impairment in functioning, or increased risk of suffering, death, pain, or impairment. This definition can be useful across cultures and subcultures if we pay careful attention to what is functional or dysfunctional (or out of control) in a given society. But it is never easy to decide what represents dysfunction, and some scholars have argued persuasively that the health professions will never be able to satisfactorily define *disease* or *disorder* (see, for example, Lilienfeld & Marino, 1995, 1999; McNally, 2011; Stein et al., 2010; Zachar & Kendler, 2014). The best we may be able to do is to consider how the apparent disease or disorder matches a “typical” profile of a disorder—for example, major depression or schizophrenia—when most or all symptoms that experts would agree are part of the disorder are present. We call this typical profile a *prototype*, and, as described in Chapter 3, the diagnostic criteria from *DSM-5* found throughout this book are all prototypes. This means that the patient may have only some features or symptoms of the disorder (a minimum number) and still meet criteria for the disorder because his or her set of symptoms is close to the prototype. But one of the differences between *DSM-5* and its predecessor, *DSM-IV*, is the addition of dimensional estimates of the severity of specific disorders in *DSM-5* (American Psychiatric Association, 2013; Regier, Narrow, Kuhl, & Kupfer, 2009; Helzer, Wittchen, Krueger, & Kraemer, 2008). Thus, for the anxiety disorders, for example, the intensity and frequency of anxiety within a given disorder such as panic disorder is rated on a 0 to 4 scale where a rating of 1 would indicate mild or occasional symptoms and



ake1150/Fotolia LLC

Some religious behaviors may seem unusual to us but are culturally or individually appropriate.

a rating of 4 would indicate continual and severe symptoms (Beesdo-Baum, et al., 2012; LeBeau, Bogels, Moller, & Craske, 2015; LeBeau et al., 2012). These concepts are described more fully in Chapter 3, where the diagnosis of psychological disorders is discussed.

For a final challenge, take the problem of defining a psychological disorder a step further and consider this: What if Judy passed out so often that after a while neither her classmates nor her teachers even noticed because she regained consciousness quickly? Furthermore, what if Judy continued to get good grades? Would fainting all the time at the mere thought of blood be a disorder? Would it be impairing? Dysfunctional? Distressing? What do you think?

The Science of Psychopathology

Psychopathology is the scientific study of psychological disorders. Within this field are specially trained professionals, including clinical and counseling psychologists, psychiatrists, psychiatric social workers, and psychiatric nurses, as well as marriage and family therapists and mental health counselors. *Clinical psychologists* and *counseling psychologists* receive the Ph.D., doctor of philosophy, degree (or sometimes an Ed.D., doctor of education, or Psy.D., doctor of psychology) and follow a course of graduate-level study lasting approximately 5 years, which prepares them to conduct research into the causes and treatment of psychological disorders and to diagnose, assess, and treat these disorders. Although there is a great deal of overlap, counseling psychologists tend to study and treat adjustment and vocational issues encountered by relatively healthy individuals, and clinical psychologists usually concentrate on more severe psychological disorders. Also, programs in professional schools of psychology, where the degree is often a Psy.D., focus on clinical training and de-emphasize or eliminate research training. In contrast, Ph.D. programs in universities integrate clinical and research training. Psychologists with other specialty training, such as experimental and social psychologists, concentrate on investigating the basic determinants of behavior but do not assess or treat psychological disorders.


Psychiatrists first earn an M.D. degree in medical school and then specialize in psychiatry during residency training that lasts 3 to 4 years. Psychiatrists also investigate the nature and causes of psychological disorders, often from a biological point of view; make diagnoses; and offer treatments. Many psychiatrists emphasize drugs or other biological treatments, although most use psychosocial treatments as well.

Psychiatric social workers typically earn a master's degree in social work as they develop expertise in collecting information relevant to the social and family situation of the individual with a psychological disorder. Social workers also treat disorders, often concentrating on family problems associated with them. *Psychiatric nurses* have advanced degrees, such as a master's or even a Ph.D., and specialize in the care and treatment of patients with psychological disorders, usually in hospitals as part of a treatment team.

Finally, *marriage and family therapists* and *mental health counselors* typically spend 1 to 2 years earning a master's degree and are employed to provide clinical services by hospitals or clinics, usually under the supervision of a doctoral-level clinician.

The Scientist-Practitioner

The most important development in the recent history of psychopathology is the adoption of scientific methods to learn more about the nature of psychological disorders, their causes, and their treatment. Many mental health professionals take a scientific approach to their clinical work and therefore are called **scientist-practitioners** (Barlow, Hayes, & Nelson, 1984; Hayes, Barlow, & Nelson-Gray, 1999). Mental health practitioners may function as scientist-practitioners in one or more of three ways (see ● Figure 1.2). First, they may keep up with the latest scientific developments in their field and therefore use the most current diagnostic and treatment procedures. In this sense, they are consumers of the science of psychopathology to the advantage of their patients. Second, scientist-practitioners evaluate their own assessments or treatment procedures to



● FIGURE 1.3

Three major categories make up the study and discussion of psychological disorders.

see whether they work. They are accountable not only to their patients but also to the government agencies and insurance companies that pay for the treatments, so they must demonstrate clearly whether their treatments are effective or not. Third, scientist-practitioners might conduct research, often in clinics or hospitals, that produces new information about disorders or their treatment, thus becoming immune to the fads that plague our field, often at the expense of patients and their families. For example, new “miracle cures” for psychological disorders that are reported several times a year in popular media would not be used by a scientist-practitioner if there were no sound scientific data showing that they work. Such data flow from research that attempts three basic things: to describe psychological disorders, to determine their causes, and to treat them (see ● Figure 1.3). These three categories compose an organizational structure that recurs throughout this book and that is formally evident in the discussions of specific disorders beginning in Chapter 5. A general overview of them now will give you a clearer perspective on our efforts to understand abnormality.


Clinical Description

In hospitals and clinics, we often say that a patient “presents” with a specific problem or set of problems or we discuss the **presenting problem**. *Presents* is a traditional shorthand way of indicating why the person came to the clinic. Describing Judy’s presenting problem is the first step in determining her **clinical description**, which represents the unique combination of behaviors, thoughts, and feelings that make up a specific disorder. The word *clinical* refers both to the types of problems or disorders that you would find in a clinic or hospital and to the activities connected with assessment and treatment. Throughout this text are excerpts from many more individual cases, most of them from our personal files.

Clearly, one important function of the clinical description is to specify what makes the disorder different from normal behavior or from other disorders. Statistical data may also be relevant.

For example, how many people in the population as a whole have the disorder? This figure is called the **prevalence** of the disorder. Statistics on how many new cases occur during a given period, such as a year, represent the **incidence** of the disorder. Other statistics include the *sex ratio*—that is, what percentage of males and females have the disorder—and the typical age of onset, which often differs from one disorder to another.

Kevin Peterson/Photodisc/Getty Images



● FIGURE 1.2

Functioning as a scientist-practitioner.

In addition to having different symptoms, age of onset, and possibly a different sex ratio and prevalence, most disorders follow a somewhat individual pattern, or **course**. For example, some disorders, such as schizophrenia (see Chapter 13), follow a *chronic course*, meaning that they tend to last a long time, sometimes a lifetime. Other disorders, like mood disorders (see Chapter 7), follow an *episodic course*, in that the individual is likely to recover within a few months only to suffer a recurrence of the disorder at a later time. This pattern may repeat throughout a person's life. Still other disorders may have a *time-limited course*, meaning the disorder will improve without treatment in a relatively short period with little or no risk of recurrence.

Closely related to differences in course of disorders are differences in onset. Some disorders have an *acute onset*, meaning that they begin suddenly; others develop gradually over an extended period, which is sometimes called an *insidious onset*. It is important to know the typical course of a disorder so that we can know what to expect in the future and how best to deal with the problem. This is an important part of the clinical description. For example, if someone is suffering from a mild disorder with acute onset that we know is time limited, we might advise the individual not to bother with expensive treatment because the problem will be over soon enough, like a common cold. If the disorder is likely to last a long time (become chronic), however, the individual might want to seek treatment and take other appropriate steps. The anticipated course of a disorder is called the **prognosis**. So we might say, "the prognosis is good," meaning the individual will probably recover, or "the prognosis is guarded," meaning the probable outcome doesn't look good.

The patient's age may be an important part of the clinical description. A specific psychological disorder occurring in childhood may present differently from the same disorder in adulthood or old age. Children experiencing severe anxiety and panic often assume that they are physically ill because they have difficulty understanding that there is nothing physically wrong. Because their thoughts and feelings are different from those experienced by adults with anxiety and panic, children are often misdiagnosed and treated for a medical disorder.

We call the study of changes in behavior over time *developmental psychology*, and we refer to the study of changes in abnormal behavior as *developmental psychopathology*. When you think of developmental psychology, you probably picture researchers studying the behavior of children. We change throughout our lives, however, and so researchers also study development in adolescents, adults, and older adults. Study of abnormal behavior across the entire age span is referred to as *life-span developmental psychopathology*. The field is relatively new but expanding rapidly.

Causation, Treatment, and Etiology Outcomes

Etiology, or the study of origins, has to do with why a disorder begins (what causes it) and includes biological, psychological, and social dimensions. Because the etiology of psychological



© Hung Chung Chih/Shutterstock.com

Children experience panic and anxiety differently from adults, so their reactions may be mistaken for symptoms of physical illness.

disorders is so important to this field, we devote an entire chapter (Chapter 2) to it.

Treatment, also, is often important to the study of psychological disorders. If a new drug or psychosocial treatment is successful in treating a disorder, it may give us some hints about the nature of the disorder and its causes. For example, if a drug with a specific known effect within the nervous system alleviates a certain psychological disorder, we know that something in that part of the nervous system might either be causing the disorder or helping maintain it. Similarly, if a psychological treatment designed to help clients regain a sense of control over their lives is effective with a certain disorder, a diminished sense of control may be an important psychological component of the disorder itself.

As you will see in the next chapter, psychopathology is rarely simple. This is because the *effect* does not necessarily imply the *cause*. To use a common example, you might take an aspirin to relieve a tension headache you developed during a grueling day of taking exams. If you then feel better, that does not mean that the headache was caused by a lack of aspirin. Nevertheless, many people seek treatment for psychological disorders, and treatment can provide interesting hints about the nature of the disorder.

In the past, textbooks emphasized treatment approaches in a general sense, with little attention to the disorder being treated. For example, a mental health professional might be thoroughly trained in a single theoretical approach, such as psychoanalysis or behavior therapy (both described later in the chapter), and then use that approach on every disorder. More recently, as our science has advanced, we have developed specific effective treatments that do not always adhere neatly to one theoretical approach or another but that have grown out of a deeper understanding of the disorder in question. For this reason, there are no separate chapters in this book on such types of treatment approaches as psychodynamic, cognitive behavioral, or humanistic. Rather, the latest and most effective drug and psychosocial treatments (nonmedical treatments that focus on psychological, social, and cultural factors) are described in the context of specific disorders in keeping with our integrative multidimensional perspective.

We now survey many early attempts to describe and treat abnormal behavior and to comprehend its causes, which will give you a better perspective on current approaches. In Chapter 2, we examine exciting contemporary views of causation and treatment. In Chapter 3, we discuss efforts to describe, or classify, abnormal behavior. In Chapter 4, we review research methods—our systematic efforts to discover the truths underlying description, cause, and treatment that allow us to function as scientist-practitioners. In Chapters 5 through 15, we examine specific disorders; our discussion is organized in each case in the now familiar triad of description, cause, and treatment. Finally, in Chapter 16 we examine legal, professional, and ethical issues relevant to psychological disorders and their treatment today. With that overview in mind, let us turn to the past.

Historical Conceptions of Abnormal Behavior

For thousands of years, humans have tried to explain and control problematic behavior. But our efforts always derive from the theories or models of behavior popular at the time. The purpose of these models is to explain why someone is “acting like that.” Three major models that have guided us date back to the beginnings of civilization.

Humans have always supposed that agents outside our bodies and environment influence our behavior, thinking, and emotions. These agents—which might be divinities, demons, spirits, or other phenomena such as magnetic fields or the moon or the stars—are the driving forces behind the *supernatural model*. In addition, since the era of ancient Greece, the mind has often been called the *soul* or the *psyche* and considered separate from the body. Although many have thought that the mind can influence the body and, in turn, the body can influence the mind, most philosophers looked for causes of abnormal behavior in one or the other. This split gave rise to two traditions of thought about abnormal behavior, summarized as the *biological model* and the *psychological model*. These three models—the supernatural, the biological, and the psychological—are very old but continue to be used today.

The Supernatural Tradition

For much of our recorded history, deviant behavior has been considered a reflection of the battle between good and evil. When confronted with unexplainable, irrational behavior and by suffering and upheaval, people have perceived evil. In fact, in the Great Persian

Empire from 900 to 600 B.C., all physical and mental disorders were considered the work of the devil (Millon, 2004). Barbara Tuchman, a noted historian, chronicled the second half of the 14th century, a particularly difficult time for humanity, in *A Distant Mirror* (1978). She ably captures the conflicting tides of opinion on the origins and treatment of insanity during that bleak and tumultuous period.

Demons and Witches

One strong current of opinion put the causes and treatment of psychological disorders squarely in the realm of the supernatural. During the last quarter of the 14th century, religious and lay authorities supported these popular superstitions, and society as a whole began to believe more strongly in the existence and power of demons and witches. The Catholic Church had split, and a second center, complete with a pope, emerged in the south of France to compete with Rome. In reaction to this schism, the Roman Church fought back against the evil in the world that it believed must have been behind this heresy.

People increasingly turned to magic and sorcery to solve their problems. During these turbulent times, the bizarre behavior of people afflicted with psychological disorders was seen as the work of the devil and witches. It followed that individuals possessed by evil spirits were probably responsible for any misfortune experienced by people in the local community, which inspired drastic action against the possessed. Treatments included **exorcism**, in which various religious rituals were performed in an effort to rid the victim of evil spirits. Other approaches included shaving the pattern of a cross in the hair of the victim's head and securing sufferers to a wall near the front of a church so that they might benefit from hearing Mass.

The conviction that sorcery and witches are causes of madness and other evils continued into the 15th century, and evil continued to be blamed for unexplainable behavior, even after the founding of the United States, as evidenced by the Salem, Massachusetts, witch trials in the late 17th century, which resulted in the hanging deaths of 20 women.



DEA PICTURE LIBRARY/Getty Images

During the Middle Ages, individuals with psychological disorders were sometimes thought to be possessed by evil spirits and exorcisms were attempted through rituals.

Stress and Melancholy

An equally strong opinion, even during this period, reflected the enlightened view that insanity was a natural phenomenon, caused by mental or emotional stress, and that it was curable (Alexander & Selesnick, 1966; Maher & Maher, 1985a). Mental depression and anxiety were recognized as illnesses (Kemp, 1990; Schoeneman, 1977), although symptoms such as despair and lethargy were often identified by the church with the sin of *acedia*, or sloth (Tuchman, 1978). Common treatments were rest, sleep, and a healthy and happy environment. Other treatments included baths, ointments, and various potions. Indeed, during the 14th and 15th centuries, people with insanity, along with those with physical deformities or disabilities, were often moved from house to house in medieval villages as neighbors took turns caring for them. We now know that this medieval practice of keeping people who have psychological disturbances in their own community is beneficial (see Chapter 13). We return to this subject when we discuss biological and psychological models later in this chapter.

In the 14th century, one of the chief advisers to the king of France, a bishop and philosopher named Nicholas Oresme, also suggested that the disease of melancholy (depression) was the source of some bizarre behavior, rather than demons. Oresme pointed out that much of the evidence for the existence of sorcery and witchcraft, particularly among those considered insane, was obtained from people who were tortured and who, quite understandably, confessed to anything.

These conflicting crosscurrents of natural and supernatural explanations for mental disorders are represented more or less strongly in various historical works, depending on the sources consulted by historians. Some assumed that demonic influences were the predominant explanations of abnormal behavior during the Middle Ages (for example, Zilboorg & Henry, 1941); others believed that the supernatural had little or no influence. As we see in the handling of the severe psychological disorder experienced by late-14th-century King Charles VI of France, both influences were strong, sometimes alternating in the treatment of the same case.

Charles VI... The Mad King

In the summer of 1392, King Charles VI of France was under a great deal of stress, partly because of the division of the Catholic Church. As he rode with his army to the province of Brittany, a nearby aide dropped his lance with a loud clatter and the king, thinking he was under attack, turned on his own army, killing several prominent knights before being subdued from behind. The army immediately marched back to Paris. The King's lieutenants and advisers concluded that he was mad.

During the following years, at his worst the King hid in a corner of his castle believing he was made of glass or roamed the corridors howling like a wolf. At other times, he couldn't remember who or what he was. He became fearful and enraged whenever he saw his own royal coat of arms and would try to destroy it if it was brought near him.

The people of Paris were devastated by their leader's apparent madness. Some thought it reflected God's anger, because the King failed to take up arms to end the schism in the Catholic Church; others thought it was God's warning against taking up arms; and still others thought it was divine punishment for heavy taxes (a conclusion some people might make today). But most thought the King's madness was caused by sorcery, a belief strengthened by a great drought that dried up the ponds and rivers, causing cattle to die of thirst. Merchants claimed their worst losses in 20 years.

Naturally, the King was given the best care available at the time. The most famous healer in the land was a 92-year-old physician whose treatment program included moving the King to one of his residences in the country where the air was thought to be the cleanest in the land. The physician prescribed rest, relaxation, and recreation. After some time, the King seemed to recover. The physician recommended that the King not be burdened with the responsibilities of running the kingdom, claiming that if he had few worries or irritations, his mind would gradually strengthen and further improve.

Unfortunately, the physician died and the insanity of King Charles VI returned more seriously than before. This time, however, he came under the influence of the conflicting crosscurrent of supernatural causation. "An unkempt evil-eyed charlatan and pseudo-mystic named Arnaut Guilhem was allowed to treat Charles on his claim of possessing a book given by God to Adam by means of which man could overcome all affliction resulting from original sin" (Tuchman, 1978, p. 514). Guilhem insisted that the King's malady was caused by sorcery, but his treatments failed to bring about a cure.

A variety of remedies and rituals of all kinds were tried, but none worked. High-ranking officials and doctors of the university called for the "sorcerers" to be discovered and punished. "On one occasion, two Augustinian friars, after getting no results from magic incantations and a liquid made

from powdered pearls, proposed to cut incisions in the King's head. When this was not allowed by the King's council, the friars accused those who opposed their recommendation of sorcery" (Tuchman, 1978, p. 514). Even the King himself, during his lucid moments, came to believe that the source of madness was evil and sorcery. "In the name of Jesus Christ," he cried, weeping in his agony, "if there is any one of you who is an accomplice to this evil I suffer, I beg him to torture me no longer but let me die!" (Tuchman, 1978, p. 515). •

Treatments for Possession

With a perceived connection between evil deeds and sin on the one hand and psychological disorders on the other, it is logical to conclude that the sufferer is largely responsible for the disorder, which might well be a punishment for evil deeds. Does this sound familiar? The acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS) epidemic was associated with a similar belief among some people, particularly in the late 1980s and early 1990s. Because the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) is, in Western societies, most prevalent among individuals with homosexual orientation, many people believed it was a divine punishment for what they considered immoral behavior. This view became less common as the AIDS virus spread to other segments of the population, yet it persists.

Possession, however, is not always connected with sin but may be seen as involuntary and the possessed individual as blameless. Furthermore, exorcisms at least have the virtue of being relatively painless. Interestingly, they sometimes work, as do other forms of faith healing, for reasons we explore in subsequent chapters. But what if they did not? In the Middle Ages, if exorcism failed, some authorities thought that steps were necessary to make the body uninhabitable by evil spirits, and many people were subjected to confinement, beatings, and other forms of torture (Kemp, 1990).

Somewhere along the way, a creative "therapist" decided that hanging people over a pit full of poisonous snakes might scare the evil spirits right out of their bodies (to say nothing of terrifying the people themselves). Strangely, this approach sometimes worked; that is, the most disturbed, oddly behaving individuals would suddenly come to their senses and experience relief from their symptoms, if only temporarily. Naturally, this was reinforcing to the therapist, so snake pits were built in many institutions. Many other treatments based on the hypothesized therapeutic element of shock were developed, including dunkings in ice-cold water.

Mass Hysteria

Another fascinating phenomenon is characterized by large-scale outbreaks of bizarre behavior. To this day, these episodes puzzle historians and mental health practitioners. During the Middle Ages, they lent support to the notion of possession by the devil. In Europe, whole groups of people were simultaneously compelled to run out in the streets, dance, shout, rave, and jump



In hydrotherapy, patients were shocked back to their senses by applications of ice-cold water.

Source: U.S. National Library of Medicine

around in patterns as if they were at a particularly wild party late at night (still called a *rave* today, but with music). This behavior was known by several names, including Saint Vitus's Dance and tarantism. It is most interesting that many people behaved in this strange way at once. In an attempt to explain the inexplicable, several reasons were offered in addition to possession. One reasonable guess was reaction to insect bites. Another possibility was what we now call *mass hysteria* (Veith, 1965). Consider the following example.

Modern Mass Hysteria

One Friday afternoon, an alarm sounded over the public address system of a community hospital, calling all physicians to the emergency room immediately. Arriving from a local school in a fleet of ambulances were 17 students and 4 teachers who reported dizziness, headache, nausea, and stomach pains. Some were vomiting; most were hyperventilating.

All the students and teachers had been in four classrooms, two on each side of the hallway. The incident began when a 14-year-old girl reported a funny smell that seemed to be coming from a vent. She fell to the floor, crying and complaining that her stomach hurt and her eyes stung. Soon, many of the students and most of the teachers in the four adjoining classrooms, who could see and hear what was happening, experienced similar symptoms. Of 86 susceptible people (82 students and 4 teachers in the four classrooms), 21 patients (17 students and 4 teachers) experienced symptoms severe enough to be evaluated at the hospital. Inspection of the school building by public health authorities revealed no apparent cause for the reactions, and physical examinations by teams of physicians revealed no physical abnormalities. All the patients were sent home and quickly recovered (Rockney & Lemke, 1992).

Mass hysteria may simply demonstrate the phenomenon of *emotion contagion*, in which the experience of an emotion seems

to spread to those around us (Hatfield, Cacioppo, & Rapson, 1994; Ntika, Sakellariou, Kefalas, & Stamatopoulou, 2014; Wang, 2006). If someone nearby becomes frightened or sad, chances are that, for the moment, you also will feel fear or sadness. When this kind of experience escalates into full-blown panic, whole communities are affected (Barlow, 2002). People are also suggestible when they are in states of high emotion. Therefore, if one person identifies a “cause” of the problem, others will probably assume that their own reactions have the same source. In popular language, this shared response is sometimes referred to as *mob psychology*. Until recently, it was assumed that victims had to be in contact with each other for the contagion to occur, as were the girls described above in the adjacent classrooms. But lately there are documented cases of emotion contagion occurring across social networks, raising the possibility that episodes of mass hysteria may increase (Bartholomew, Wessely, & Rubin, 2012; Dimon, 2013)

The Moon and the Stars

Paracelsus, a Swiss physician who lived from 1493 to 1541, rejected notions of possession by the devil, suggesting instead that the movements of the moon and stars had profound effects on people's psychological functioning. Echoing similar thinking in ancient Greece, Paracelsus speculated that the gravitational effects of the moon on bodily fluids might be a possible cause of mental disorders (Rotton & Kelly, 1985). This influential theory inspired the word *lunatic*, which is derived from the Latin word *luna*, meaning “moon.” You might hear some of your friends explain something crazy they did one night by saying, “It must have been the full moon.” The belief that heavenly bodies affect human behavior still exists, although there is no scientific evidence to support it (Raison, Klein, & Steckler, 1999; Rotton & Kelly, 1985). Despite much ridicule, millions of people around the world are convinced that their behavior is influenced by the stages of the moon or the positions of the stars. This belief is most noticeable today in followers of astrology, who hold that their behavior and the major events in their lives can be predicted by their day-to-day relationship to the position of the planets. No serious evidence has ever confirmed such a connection, however.

Comments

The supernatural tradition in psychopathology is alive and well, although it is relegated, for the most part, to small religious sects in this country and to primitive cultures elsewhere. Members of organized religions in most parts of the world look to psychology and medical science for help with major psychological disorders; in fact, the Roman Catholic Church requires that all health-care resources be exhausted before spiritual solutions such as exorcism can be considered. Nonetheless, miraculous cures are sometimes achieved by exorcism, magic potions and rituals, and other methods that seem to have little connection with modern science. It is fascinating to explore them when they do occur, and we return to this topic in subsequent chapters. But such cases are relatively rare, and almost no one would advocate supernatural treatment for severe psychological disorders except, perhaps, as a last resort.

The Biological Tradition

Physical causes of mental disorders have been sought since early in history. Important to the biological tradition are a man, Hippocrates; a disease, syphilis; and the early consequences of believing that psychological disorders are biologically caused.

Hippocrates and Galen

The Greek physician Hippocrates (460–377 b.c.) is considered to be the father of modern Western medicine. He and his associates left a body of work called the *Hippocratic Corpus*, written between 450 and 350 b.c. (Maher & Maher, 1985a), in which they suggested that psychological disorders could be treated like any other disease. They did not limit their search for the causes of psychopathology to the general area of “disease,” because they believed that psychological disorders might also be caused by brain pathology or head trauma and could be influenced by heredity (genetics). These are remarkably astute deductions for the time, and they have been supported in recent years. Hippocrates considered the brain to be the seat of wisdom, consciousness, intelligence, and emotion. Therefore, disorders involving these functions would logically be located in the brain. Hippocrates also recognized the importance of psychological and interpersonal contributions to psychopathology, such as the sometimes-negative effects of family stress; on some occasions, he removed patients from their families.

The Roman physician Galen (approximately a.d. 129–198) later adopted the ideas of Hippocrates and his associates and developed them further, creating a powerful and influential school of thought within the biological tradition that extended well into the 19th century. One of the more interesting and influential legacies of the Hippocratic-Galenic approach is the

humoral theory of disorders. Hippocrates assumed that normal brain functioning was related to four bodily fluids or *humors*: blood, black bile, yellow bile, and phlegm. Blood came from the heart, black bile from the spleen, phlegm from the brain, and choler or yellow bile from the liver. Physicians believed that disease resulted from too much or too little of one of the humors; for example, too much black bile was thought to cause melancholia (depression). In fact, the term *melancholer*, which means “black bile,” is still used today in its derivative form *melancholy* to refer to aspects of depression. The humoral theory was, perhaps, the first example of associating psychological disorders with a “chemical imbalance,” an approach that is widespread today.

The four humors were related to the Greeks’ conception of the four basic qualities: heat, dryness, moisture, and cold. Each humor was associated with one of these qualities. Terms derived from the four humors are still sometimes applied to personality traits. For example, *sanguine* (literal meaning “red, like blood”) describes someone who is ruddy in complexion, presumably from copious blood flowing through the body, and cheerful and optimistic, although insomnia and delirium were thought to be caused by excessive blood in the brain. *Melancholic* means depressive (depression was thought to be caused by black bile flooding the brain). A *phlegmatic* personality (from the humor phlegm) indicates apathy and sluggishness but can also mean being calm under stress. A *choleric* person (from yellow bile or choler) is hot tempered (Maher & Maher, 1985a).

Excesses of one or more humors were treated by regulating the environment to increase or decrease heat, dryness, moisture, or cold, depending on which humor was out of balance. One reason King Charles VI’s physician moved him to the less stressful countryside was to restore the balance in his humors (Kemp, 1990). In addition to rest, good nutrition, and exercise, two treatments were developed. In one, *bleeding* or *bloodletting*, a carefully measured amount of blood was removed from the body, often with leeches. The other was to induce vomiting; indeed, in a well-known treatise on depression published in 1621, *Anatomy of Melancholy*, Robert Burton recommended eating tobacco and a half-boiled cabbage to induce vomiting (Burton, 1621/1977). If Judy had lived 300 years ago, she might have been diagnosed with an illness, a brain disorder, or some other physical problem, perhaps related to excessive humors, and been given the proper medical treatments of the day: bed rest, a healthful diet, exercise, and other ministrations as indicated.

In ancient China and throughout Asia, a similar idea existed. But rather than “humors,” the Chinese focused on the movement of air or “wind” throughout the body. Unexplained mental disorders were caused by blockages of wind or the presence of cold, dark wind (*yin*) as opposed to warm, life-sustaining wind (*yang*). Treatment involved restoring proper flow of wind through various methods, including acupuncture.



Emotions are contagious and can escalate into mass hysteria.



National Library of Medicine

Bloodletting, the extraction of blood from patients, was intended to restore the balance of humors in the body.

Hippocrates also coined the word *hysteria* to describe a concept he learned about from the Egyptians, who had identified what we now call the *somatic symptom disorders*. In these disorders, the physical symptoms appear to be the result of a medical problem for which no physical cause can be found, such as paralysis and some kinds of blindness. Because these disorders occurred primarily in women, the Egyptians (and Hippocrates) mistakenly assumed that they were restricted to women. They also presumed a cause: The empty uterus wandered to various parts of the body in search of conception (the Greek word for “uterus” is *hysteron*). Numerous physical symptoms reflected the location of the wandering uterus. The prescribed cure might be marriage or, occasionally, fumigation of the vagina to lure the uterus back to its natural location (Alexander & Selesnick, 1966). Knowledge of physiology eventually disproved the wandering uterus theory; however, the tendency to stigmatize dramatic women as hysterical continued unabated well into the 1970s, when mental health professionals became sensitive to the prejudicial stereotype the term implied. As you will learn in Chapter 6, somatic symptom disorders (and the traits associated with them) are not limited to one sex or the other.

The 19th Century

The biological tradition waxed and waned during the centuries after Hippocrates and Galen but was reinvigorated in the 19th century because of two factors: the discovery of the nature and cause of syphilis and strong support from the well-respected American psychiatrist John P. Grey.

Syphilis

Behavioral and cognitive symptoms of what we now know as *advanced syphilis*, a sexually transmitted disease caused by a bacterial microorganism entering the brain, include believing that everyone is plotting against you (delusion of persecution) or that you are God (delusion of grandeur), as well as other bizarre behaviors. Although these symptoms are similar to those of *psychosis*—psychological disorders characterized in part by beliefs that are not based in reality (delusions), perceptions that are not based in reality (hallucinations), or both—researchers recognized that a subgroup of apparently psychotic patients deteriorated steadily, becoming paralyzed and dying within 5 years of onset. This course of events contrasted with that of most psychotic patients, who remained fairly stable. In 1825, the condition was designated a disease, *general paresis*, because it had consistent symptoms (presentation) and a consistent course that resulted in death. The relationship between general paresis and syphilis was only gradually established. Louis Pasteur’s germ theory of disease, developed in about 1870, facilitated the identification of the specific bacterial microorganism that caused syphilis.

Of equal importance was the discovery of a cure for general paresis. Physicians observed a surprising recovery in patients with general paresis who had contracted malaria, so they deliberately injected other patients with blood from a soldier who was ill with malaria. Many recovered because the high fever “burned out” the syphilis bacteria. Obviously, this type of experiment would not be ethically possible today. Ultimately, clinical investigators discovered that penicillin cures syphilis, but with the malaria cure, “madness” and associated behavioral and cognitive symptoms for the first time were traced directly to a curable infection. Many mental health professionals then assumed that comparable causes and cures might be discovered for all psychological disorders.

John P. Grey

The champion of the biological tradition in the United States was the most influential American psychiatrist of the time, John P. Grey (Bockoven, 1963). In 1854, Grey was appointed superintendent of the Utica State Hospital in New York, the largest in the country. He also became editor of the *American Journal of Insanity*, the precursor of the current *American Journal of Psychiatry*, the flagship publication of the American Psychiatric Association (APA). Grey’s position was that the causes of insanity were *always* physical. Therefore, the mentally ill patient should be treated as physically ill. The emphasis was again on rest, diet, and proper room temperature and ventilation, approaches used for centuries by previous therapists in the biological tradition. Grey even invented the rotary fan to ventilate his large hospital.

Under Grey’s leadership, the conditions in hospitals greatly improved and they became more humane, livable institutions. But in subsequent years they also became so large and impersonal that individual attention was not possible.

In fact, leaders in psychiatry at the end of the 19th century were alarmed at the increasing size and impersonality of



In the 19th century, psychological disorders were attributed to mental or emotional stress, so patients were often treated sympathetically in a restful and hygienic environment.

mental hospitals and recommended that they be downsized. It was almost 100 years before the community mental health movement was successful in reducing the population of mental hospitals with the controversial policy of deinstitutionalization, in which patients were released into their communities. Unfortunately, this practice has as many negative consequences as positive ones, including a large increase in the number of chronically disabled patients homeless on the streets of our cities.

The Development of Biological Treatments

On the positive side, renewed interest in the biological origin of psychological disorders led, ultimately, to greatly increased understanding of biological contributions to psychopathology and to the development of new treatments. In the 1930s, the physical interventions of electric shock and brain surgery were often used. Their effects, and the effects of new drugs, were discovered quite by accident. For example, insulin was occasionally given to stimulate appetite in psychotic patients who were not eating, but it also seemed to calm them down. In 1927, a Viennese physician, Manfred Sakel, began using increasingly higher dosages until, finally, patients convulsed and became temporarily comatose (Sakel, 1958). Some actually recovered their mental health, much to the surprise of everybody, and their recovery was attributed to the convulsions. The procedure became known as *insulin shock therapy*, but it was abandoned because it was too dangerous, often resulting in prolonged coma or even death. Other methods of producing convulsions had to be found.

Benjamin Franklin made numerous discoveries during his life with which we are familiar, but most people don't know that he discovered accidentally, and then confirmed experimentally in the 1750s, that a mild and modest electric shock to the head produced a brief convulsion and memory loss (amnesia) but otherwise did little harm. A Dutch physician who was a friend and colleague of Franklin tried it on himself and discovered

that the shock also made him "strangely elated" and wondered if it might be a useful treatment for depression (Finger & Zaromb, 2006, p. 245).

Independently in the 1920s, Hungarian psychiatrist Joseph von Meduna observed that schizophrenia was rarely found in individuals with epilepsy (which ultimately did not prove to be true). Some of his followers concluded that induced brain seizures might cure schizophrenia. Following suggestions on the possible benefits of applying electric shock directly to the brain—notably, by two Italian physicians, Ugo Cerletti and Lucio Bini, in 1938—a surgeon in London treated a depressed patient by sending six small shocks directly through his brain, producing convulsions (Hunt, 1980). The patient recovered. Although greatly modified, shock treatment is still with us today. The controversial modern uses of *electroconvulsive therapy* are described in Chapter 7. It is interesting that even now we have little knowledge of how it works.

During the 1950s, the first effective drugs for severe psychotic disorders were developed in a systematic way. Before that time, a number of medicinal substances, including opium (derived from poppies), had been used as sedatives, along with countless herbs and folk remedies (Alexander & Selesnick, 1966). With the discovery of *Rauwolfia serpentine* (later renamed *reserpine*) and another class of drugs called *neuroleptics* (major tranquilizers), for the first time hallucinatory and delusional thought processes could be diminished in some patients; these drugs also controlled agitation and aggressiveness. Other discoveries included *benzodiazepines* (minor tranquilizers), which seemed to reduce anxiety. By the 1970s, the benzodiazepines (known by such brand names as Valium and Librium) were among the most widely prescribed drugs in the world. As drawbacks and side effects of tranquilizers became apparent, along with their limited effectiveness, prescriptions decreased somewhat (we discuss the benzodiazepines in more detail in Chapters 5 and 11).

Throughout the centuries, as Alexander and Selesnick point out, "The general pattern of drug therapy for mental illness has been one of initial enthusiasm followed by disappointment" (1966, p. 287). For example, bromides, a class of sedating drugs, were used at the end of the 19th century and beginning of the 20th century to treat anxiety and other psychological disorders. By the 1920s, they were reported as being effective for many serious psychological and emotional symptoms. By 1928, one of every five prescriptions in the United States was for bromides. When their side effects, including various undesirable physical symptoms, became widely known, and experience began to show that their overall effectiveness was relatively modest, bromides largely disappeared from the scene.

Neuroleptics have also been used less as attention has focused on their many side effects, such as chronic tremors and shaking. However, the positive effects of these drugs on some patients' psychotic symptoms of hallucinations, delusions, and agitation revitalized both the search for biological contributions to psychological disorders and the search for new and more powerful drugs, a search that has paid many dividends, as documented in later chapters.

Consequences of the Biological Tradition

In the late 19th century, Grey and his colleagues ironically reduced or eliminated interest in treating mental patients, because they thought that mental disorders were the result of some as-yet undiscovered brain pathology and were therefore incurable. The only available course of action was to hospitalize these patients. Around the turn of the century, some nurses documented clinical success in treating mental patients but were prevented from treating others for fear of raising hopes of a cure among family members. In place of treatment, interest centered on diagnosis, legal questions concerning the responsibility of patients for their actions during periods of insanity, and the study of brain pathology itself.

Emil Kraepelin (1856–1926) was the dominant figure during this period and one of the founding fathers of modern psychiatry. He was extremely influential in advocating the major ideas of the biological tradition, but he was little involved in treatment. His lasting contribution was in the area of diagnosis and classification, which we discuss in detail in Chapter 3. Kraepelin (1913) was one of the first to distinguish among various psychological disorders, seeing that each may have a different age of onset and time course, with somewhat different clusters of presenting symptoms, and probably a different cause. Many of his descriptions of schizophrenic disorders are still useful today.

By the end of the 1800s, a scientific approach to psychological disorders and their classification had begun with the search for biological causes. Furthermore, treatment was based on humane principles. There were many drawbacks, however, the most unfortunate being that active intervention and treatment were all but eliminated in some settings, despite the availability of some effective approaches. It is to these that we now turn.

The Psychological Tradition

It is a long leap from evil spirits to brain pathology as the cause of psychological disorders. In the intervening centuries, where was the body of thought that put psychological development, both normal and abnormal, in an interpersonal and social context? In fact, this approach has a long and distinguished tradition. Plato, for example, thought that the two causes of maladaptive behavior were the social and cultural influences in one's life and the learning that took place in that environment. If something was wrong in the environment, such as abusive parents, one's impulses and emotions would overcome reason. The best treatment was to reeducate the individual through rational discussion so that the power of reason would predominate (Maher & Maher, 1985a). This was very much a precursor to modern **psychosocial treatment** approaches to the causation of psychopathology, which focus not only on psychological factors but also on social and cultural ones. Other well-known early philosophers, including Aristotle, also emphasized the influence of social environment and early learning on later psychopathology. These philosophers wrote about the importance of fantasies, dreams, and cognitions and thus anticipated, to some extent, later developments in psychoanalytic thought and cognitive science. They also advocated humane and responsible care for individuals with psychological disturbances.

Moral Therapy

During the first half of the 19th century, a strong psychosocial approach to mental disorders called **moral therapy** became influential. The term *moral* actually referred more to emotional or psychological factors rather than to a code of conduct. Its basic tenets included treating institutionalized patients as normally as possible in a setting that encouraged and reinforced normal social interaction (Bockoven, 1963), thus providing them with many opportunities for appropriate social and interpersonal contact. Relationships were carefully nurtured. Individual attention clearly emphasized positive consequences for appropriate interactions and behavior, and restraint and seclusion were eliminated.

As with the biological tradition, the principles of moral therapy date back to Plato and beyond. For example, the Greek Asclepiad Temples of the 6th century b.c. housed the chronically ill, including those with psychological disorders. Here, patients were well cared for, massaged, and provided with soothing music. Similar enlightened practices were evident in Muslim countries in the Middle East (Millon, 2004). But moral therapy as a system originated with the well-known French psychiatrist Philippe Pinel (1745–1826) and his close associate Jean-Baptiste Pussin (1746–1811), who was the superintendent of the Parisian hospital La Bicêtre (Gerard, 1997; Zilboorg & Henry, 1941).

When Pinel arrived in 1791, Pussin had already instituted remarkable reforms by removing all chains used to restrain patients and instituting humane and positive psychological interventions. Pussin persuaded Pinel to go along with the changes. Much to Pinel's credit, he did, first at La Bicêtre and then at the women's hospital Salpêtrière, where he invited Pussin to join him (Gerard, 1997; Maher & Maher, 1985b; Weiner, 1979). Here again, they instituted a humane and socially facilitative atmosphere that produced "miraculous" results.

After William Tuke (1732–1822) followed Pinel's lead in England, Benjamin Rush (1745–1813), often considered the founder of U.S. psychiatry, introduced moral therapy in his early work at Pennsylvania Hospital. It then became the treatment of choice in the leading hospitals. *Asylums* had appeared in the 16th century, but they were more like prisons than hospitals. It was the rise of moral therapy in Europe and the United States that made asylums habitable and even therapeutic.

In 1833, Horace Mann, chairman of the board of trustees of the Worcester State Hospital, reported on 32 patients who had been given up as incurable. These patients were treated with moral therapy, cured, and released to their families. Of 100 patients who were viciously assaultive before treatment, no more than 12 continued to be violent a year after beginning treatment. Before treatment, 40 patients had routinely torn off any new clothes provided by attendants; only 8 continued this behavior after a period of treatment. These were remarkable statistics then and would be remarkable even today (Bockoven, 1963).

Asylum Reform and the Decline of Moral Therapy

Unfortunately, after the mid-19th century, humane treatment declined because of a convergence of factors. First, it was widely

recognized that moral therapy worked best when the number of patients in an institution was 200 or fewer, allowing for a great deal of individual attention. After the Civil War, enormous waves of immigrants arrived in the United States, yielding their own populations of mentally ill. Patient loads in existing hospitals increased to 1,000 or 2,000, and even more. Because immigrant groups were thought not to deserve the same privileges as "native" Americans (whose ancestors had immigrated perhaps only 50 or 100 years earlier!), they were not given moral treatments even when there were sufficient hospital personnel.

A second reason for the decline of moral therapy has an unlikely source. The great crusader Dorothea Dix (1802–1887) campaigned endlessly for reform in the treatment of insanity. A schoolteacher who had worked in various institutions, she had firsthand knowledge of the deplorable conditions imposed on patients with insanity, and she made it her life's work to inform the American public and their leaders of these abuses. Her work became known as the **mental hygiene movement**.

In addition to improving the standards of care, Dix worked hard to make sure that everyone who needed care received it, including the homeless. Through her efforts, humane treatment became more widely available in U.S. institutions. As her career drew to a close, she was rightly acknowledged as a hero of the 19th century.


ASYLUMS AND POOR FARMS IN RURAL AMERICA

In 1822 at an annual town meeting, the town of Nantucket, a small island 30 miles off the coast of Massachusetts, voted to build a permanent town poor farm and asylum (Gavin, 2003). After the War of 1812, Nantucket had prospered from trade as well as from the beginning of the great whaling era and the citizens wanted to take care of the less fortunate. Inspired by more modern beliefs at the time about the treatment of insanity, it was decided to place the asylum away from town in an area where residents could work productively in a pleasant and restful rural setting with fresh air, individual attention, and the availability of productive activities. As was characteristic of those days, asylums also cared for the poor and the elderly. Since misuse of alcohol was considered the principal cause of poverty, moving the asylums as far away from taverns as possible seemed logical and was another reason for locating the asylum in the country. But more importantly, both alcohol abuse and insanity were considered curable after word reached the island of the very positive results from moral therapy at McLean Asylum near Boston. Thus, it was arranged for residents of the asylum to engage principally in agricultural labor, producing vegetables, eggs, and dairy products or working outside in the wheat and rye fields or with the livestock. The elderly or those unable to work outside of the asylum were provided with productive work in their room such as weaving. Consistent with the tenets of moral therapy, it was thought

that a majority of the inmates might recover under the benefits of this healthy and restorative atmosphere. And the poor farm was well run and profitable for the town!

After building the asylum, town officials appointed a Board of Overseers, responsible leaders of Nantucket, who immediately became concerned about the number of people visiting the asylum and poor farm presumably to gawk at the insane. In a further effort to protect the residents, the town passed an ordinance restricting visits only to those who applied in writing and offered a good reason for visiting. Unfortunately, in the winter of February 1844, the structure burned to the ground. Despite heroic efforts of many townspeople, ten inmates were killed and the structure was destroyed.

Eventually a new asylum was built, but by this time it housed only the sick and elderly who could no longer care for themselves. By that time, the new state asylum for the insane had opened far from the island and the removal of people suffering from insanity to this large (and impersonal) state institution was seen as desirable. New policies were adopted for cases of poverty (presumably those not suffering from addiction of some kind) that included maintaining the poor in their dwellings and providing them with sufficient (but minimal) materials and resources to see them through. A new town "poor department" was created for this purpose. Thus did moral therapy rise and fall in a small rural town in New England, reflecting the tenor of the time (Gavin, 2003).



© Everett Historical/Shutterstock.com

Dorothea Dix (1802–1887) began the mental hygiene movement and spent much of her life campaigning for reform in the treatment of the mentally ill.

Unfortunately, an unforeseen consequence of Dix's heroic efforts was a substantial increase in the number of mental patients. This influx led to a rapid transition from moral therapy to custodial care because hospitals were inadequately staffed. Dix reformed our asylums and single-handedly inspired the construction of numerous new institutions here and abroad. But even her tireless efforts and advocacy could not ensure sufficient staffing to allow the individual attention necessary to moral therapy. A final blow to the practice of moral therapy was the decision, in the middle of the 19th century, that mental illness was caused by brain pathology and, therefore, was incurable.

The psychological tradition lay dormant for a time, only to reemerge in several different schools of thought in the 20th century. The first major approach was **psychoanalysis**, based on Sigmund Freud's (1856–1939) elaborate theory of the structure of the mind and the role of unconscious processes in determining behavior. The second was **behaviorism**, associated with John B. Watson, Ivan Pavlov, and B. F. Skinner, which focuses on how learning and adaptation affect the development of psychopathology.

Psychoanalytic Theory

Have you ever felt as if someone cast a spell on you? Have you ever been mesmerized by a look across the classroom from a beautiful man or woman, or a stare from a rock musician as you

sat down in front at a concert? If so, you have something in common with the patients of Franz Anton Mesmer (1734–1815) and with millions of people since his time who have been hypnotized. Mesmer suggested to his patients that their problem was caused by an undetectable fluid found in all living organisms called “animal magnetism,” which could become blocked.

Mesmer had his patients sit in a dark room around a large vat of chemicals with rods extending from it and touching them. Dressed in flowing robes, he might then identify and tap various areas of their bodies where their animal magnetism was blocked while suggesting strongly that they were being cured. Because of his rather unusual techniques, Mesmer was considered an oddity and maybe a charlatan, strongly opposed by the medical establishment (Winter, 1998). In fact, none less than Benjamin Franklin put animal magnetism to the test by conducting a brilliant experiment in which patients received either magnetized water or nonmagnetized water with strong suggestions that they would get better. Neither the patient nor the therapist knew which water was which, making it a double-blind experiment (see Chapter 4). When both groups got better, Franklin concluded that animal magnetism, or mesmerism, was nothing more than strong suggestion (Gould, 1991; McNally, 1999). Nevertheless, Mesmer is widely regarded as the father of hypnosis, a state in which extremely suggestible subjects sometimes appear to be in a trance.

Many distinguished scientists and physicians were interested in Mesmer's powerful methods of suggestion. One of the best known, Jean-Martin Charcot (1825–1893), was head of the Salpêtrière Hospital in Paris, where Philippe Pinel had introduced psychological treatments several generations earlier. A distinguished neurologist, Charcot demonstrated that some techniques of mesmerism were effective with a number of psychological disorders, and he did much to legitimize the fledgling practice of hypnosis. Significantly, in 1885 a young man named Sigmund Freud came from Vienna to study with Charcot.

After returning from France, Freud teamed up with Josef Breuer (1842–1925), who had experimented with a somewhat different hypnotic procedure. While his patients were in the highly suggestible state of hypnosis, Breuer asked them to describe their problems, conflicts, and fears in as much detail as they could. Breuer observed two extremely important phenomena during this process. First, patients often became extremely emotional as they talked and felt quite relieved and improved after emerging from the hypnotic state. Second, seldom would they have gained an understanding of the relationship between their emotional problems and their psychological disorder. In fact, it was difficult or impossible for them to recall some details they had described under hypnosis. In other words, the material seemed to be beyond the awareness of the patient. With this observation, Breuer and Freud had “discovered” the **unconscious** mind and its apparent influence on the production of psychological disorders. This is one of the most important developments in the history of psychopathology and, indeed, of psychology as a whole.

A close second was their discovery that it is therapeutic to recall and relive emotional trauma that has been made unconscious and to release the accompanying tension. This release of emotional material became known as **catharsis**. A fuller understanding of



Erich Lessing/Art Resource, NY

Franz Anton Mesmer (1734–1815) and other early therapists often used hypnosis and/or strong suggestions to cure their patients.

the relationship between current emotions and earlier events is referred to as *insight*. As you shall see throughout this book, particularly in Chapters 5 and 6 on anxiety and somatic symptom disorders, the existence of “unconscious” memories and feelings and the importance of processing emotion-filled information have been verified and reaffirmed.

Freud and Breuer’s theories were based on case observations, some of which were made in a surprisingly systematic way for those times. An excellent example is Breuer’s classic description of his treatment of “hysterical” symptoms in Anna O. in 1895 (Breuer & Freud, 1895/1957). Anna O. was a bright, attractive young woman who was perfectly healthy until she reached 21 years of age. Shortly before her problems began, her father developed a

serious chronic illness that led to his death. Throughout his illness, Anna O. had cared for him; she felt it necessary to spend endless hours at his bedside. Five months after her father became ill, Anna noticed that during the day her vision blurred and that from time to time she had difficulty moving her right arm and both legs. Soon, additional symptoms appeared. She began to experience some difficulty speaking, and her behavior became unpredictable. Shortly thereafter, she consulted Breuer.

In a series of treatment sessions, Breuer dealt with one symptom at a time through hypnosis and subsequent “talking through,” tracing each symptom to its hypothetical causation in circumstances surrounding the death of Anna’s father. One at a time, her “hysterical” ailments disappeared, but only after treatment was administered for each respective behavior. This process of treating one behavior at a time fulfills a basic requirement for drawing scientific conclusions about the effects of treatment in an individual case study, as you will see in Chapter 4. We will return to the fascinating case of Anna O. in Chapter 6.

Freud took these basic observations and expanded them into the **psychoanalytic model**, the most comprehensive theory yet constructed on the development and structure of our personalities. He also speculated on where this development could go wrong and produce psychological disorders. Although many of Freud’s views changed over time, the basic principles of mental functioning that he originally proposed remained constant through his writings and are still applied by psychoanalysts today.


Although most of it remains unproven, psychoanalytic theory has had a strong influence, and it is still important to be familiar with its basic ideas; what follows is a brief outline of the theory. We focus on its three major facets: (1) the structure of the mind and the distinct functions of personality that sometimes clash with one another; (2) the defense mechanisms with which the mind defends itself from these clashes, or conflicts; and (3) the stages of early psychosexual development that provide grist for the mill of our inner conflicts.

The Structure of the Mind

The mind, according to Freud, has three major parts or functions: the id, the ego, and the superego (see ● Figure 1.4). These terms, like many from psychoanalysis, have found their way into our common vocabulary, but although you may have heard them, you may not be aware of their meaning. The **id** is the source of our strong sexual and aggressive feelings or energies. It is, basically, the animal within us; if totally unchecked, it would make us all rapists or killers. The energy or drive within the id is the



Mary Evans Picture Library/Alamy Stock Photo



Bettmann/Getty Images

Jean Charcot (1825–1893) studied hypnosis and influenced Sigmund Freud to consider psychosocial approaches to psychological disorders.



Bertha Pappenheim (1859–1936), famous as Anna O., was described as “hysterical” by Breuer.

of thinking is emotional, irrational, illogical, filled with fantasies, and preoccupied with sex, aggression, selfishness, and envy.

Fortunately for all of us, in Freud's view, the id's selfish and sometimes dangerous drives do not go unchecked. In fact, only a few months into life, we know we must adapt our basic demands to the real world. In other words, we must find ways to meet our basic needs without offending everyone around us. Put yet another way, we must act realistically. The part of our mind that ensures that we act realistically is called the **ego**, and it operates according to the *reality principle* instead of the pleasure principle. The cognitive operations or thinking styles of the ego are characterized by logic and reason and are referred to as the *secondary process*, as opposed to the illogical and irrational primary process of the id.



Sigmund Freud (1856–1939) is considered the founder of psychoanalysis.

libido. Even today, some people explain low sex drive as an absence of libido. A less important source of energy, not as well conceptualized by Freud, is the death instinct, or *thanatos*. These two basic drives, toward life and fulfillment on the one hand and death and destruction on the other, are continually in opposition.

The id operates according to the *pleasure principle*, with an overriding goal of maximizing pleasure and eliminating any associated tension or conflicts. The goal of pleasure, which is particularly prominent in childhood, often conflicts with social rules and regulations, as you shall see later. The id has its own characteristic way of processing information; referred to as the *primary process*, this type

The third important structure within the mind, the **superego**, or what we might call *conscience*, represents the *moral principles* instilled in us by our parents and our culture. It is the voice within us that nags at us when we know we're doing something wrong. Because the purpose of the superego is to counteract the potentially dangerous aggressive and sexual drives of the id, the basis for conflict is readily apparent.

The role of the ego is to mediate conflict between the id and the superego, juggling their demands with the realities of the world. The ego is

often referred to as the executive or manager of our minds. If it mediates successfully, we can go on to the higher intellectual and creative pursuits of life. If it is unsuccessful and the id or superego becomes too strong, conflict will overtake us and psychological disorders will develop. Because these conflicts are all within the mind, they are referred to as **intrapsychic conflicts**. Now think back to the case of Anna O., in which Breuer observed that patients cannot always remember important but unpleasant emotional events. From these and other observations, Freud conceptualized the mental structures described in this section to explain unconscious processes. He believed that the id and the superego are almost entirely unconscious. We are fully aware only of the secondary processes of the ego, which is a relatively small part of the mind.

Defense Mechanisms

The ego fights a continual battle to stay on top of the warring id and superego. Occasionally, their conflicts produce anxiety that threatens to overwhelm the ego. The anxiety is a signal that alerts the ego to marshal **defense mechanisms**, unconscious protective processes that keep primitive emotions associated with conflicts in check so that the ego can continue its coordinating function. Although Freud first conceptualized defense mechanisms, it was his daughter, Anna Freud, who developed the ideas more fully.

We all use defense mechanisms at times—they are sometimes adaptive and at other times maladaptive. For example, have you ever done poorly on a test because the professor was unfair in the grading? And then when you got home you yelled at your younger brother or perhaps even your dog? This is an example of the defense mechanism of *displacement*. The ego adaptively decides that expressing primitive anger at your professor might not be in your best interest. Because your brother and your dog don't have the authority to affect you in an adverse way, your anger is displaced to one of them. Some people may redirect energy from conflict or underlying anxiety into a more constructive outlet such as work, where they may be more efficient because of the redirection. This process is called *sublimation*.

More severe internal conflicts that produce a lot of anxiety or other emotions can trigger self-defeating defensive processes or symptoms. Phobic and obsessive symptoms are especially common self-defeating defensive reactions that, according to Freud, reflect an inadequate attempt to deal with an internally dangerous situation. Phobic symptoms typically incorporate elements of the danger. For example, a dog phobia may be connected to an infantile fear of castration; that is, a man's internal conflict involves a fear of being attacked and castrated, a fear that is consciously expressed as a fear of being attacked and bitten by a dog, even if he knows the dog is harmless.

Defense mechanisms have been subjected to scientific study, and there is some evidence that they may be of potential import in the study of psychopathology (Vaillant, 1992; 2012). For example, Perry and Bond (2012, 2014) noted that reduction in unadaptive defense mechanisms, and strengthening of adaptive mechanisms such as humor and sublimation, correlated with psychological health. Thus, the concept of defense mechanisms—*coping styles*, in contemporary terminology—continues to be important to the study of psychopathology.




FIGURE 1.4

Freud's structure of the mind.

- Examples of defense mechanisms are listed below (APA, 2000a):
- Denial:** Refuses to acknowledge some aspect of objective reality or subjective experience that is apparent to others
 - Displacement:** Transfers a feeling about, or a response to, an object that causes discomfort onto another, usually less-threatening, object or person
 - Projection:** Falsely attributes own unacceptable feelings, impulses, or thoughts to another individual or object
 - Rationalization:** Conceals the true motivations for actions, thoughts, or feelings through elaborate reassuring or self-serving but incorrect explanations
 - Reaction formation:** Substitutes behavior, thoughts, or feelings that are the direct opposite of unacceptable ones
 - Repression:** Blocks disturbing wishes, thoughts, or experiences from conscious awareness
 - Sublimation:** Directs potentially maladaptive feelings or impulses into socially acceptable behavior

Psychosexual Stages of Development

Freud also theorized that during infancy and early childhood we pass through a number of **psychosexual stages of development** that have a profound and lasting impact. This makes Freud one of the first to take a developmental perspective on the study of abnormal behavior, which we look at in detail throughout this book. The stages—oral, anal, phallic, latency, and genital—represent distinctive patterns of gratifying our basic needs and satisfying our drive for physical pleasure. For example, the oral stage, typically extending for approximately 2 years from birth, is characterized by a central focus on the need for food. In the act of sucking, necessary for feeding, the lips, tongue, and mouth become the focus of libidinal drives and, therefore, the principal source of pleasure. Freud hypothesized that if we did not receive appropriate gratification during a specific stage or if a specific stage left a particularly strong impression (which he termed *fixation*), an individual's personality would reflect the stage throughout adult life. For example, fixation at the oral stage might result in excessive thumb sucking and emphasis on oral stimulation through eating, chewing pencils, or biting fingernails. Adult personality characteristics theoretically

associated with oral fixation include dependency and passivity or, in reaction to these tendencies, rebelliousness and cynicism.

One of the more controversial and frequently mentioned psychosexual conflicts occurs during the phallic stage (from age 3 to age 5 or 6), which is characterized by early genital self-stimulation.

This conflict is the subject of the Greek tragedy *Oedipus Rex*, in which Oedipus is fated to kill his father and, unknowingly, to marry his mother. Freud asserted that all young boys relive this fantasy when genital self-stimulation is accompanied by images of sexual interactions with their mothers. These fantasies, in turn, are accompanied by strong feelings of envy and perhaps anger toward their fathers, with whom they identify but whose place they wish to take. Furthermore, strong fears develop that the father may punish that lust by removing the son's penis—thus, the phenomenon of **castration anxiety**. This fear helps the boy keep his lustful impulses toward his mother in check. The battle of the lustful impulses on the one hand and castration anxiety on the other creates a conflict that is internal, or intrapsychic, called the *Oedipus complex*. The phallic stage passes uneventfully only if several things happen. First, the child must resolve his ambivalent relationship with his parents and reconcile the simultaneous anger and love he has for his father. If this happens, he may go on to channel his libidinal impulses into heterosexual relationships while retaining harmless affection for his mother.

The counterpart conflict in girls, called the *Electra complex*, is even more controversial. Freud viewed the young girl as wanting to replace her mother and possess her father. Central to this possession is the girl's desire for a penis, so as to be more like her father and brothers—hence the term *penis envy*. According to Freud, the



Imago/Lulton Archive/Getty Images

Anna Freud (1895–1982), here with her father, contributed the concept of defense mechanisms to the field of psychoanalysis.

conflict is successfully resolved when females develop healthy heterosexual relationships and look forward to having a baby, which he viewed as a healthy substitute for having a penis. Needless to say, this particular theory has provoked marked consternation over the years as being sexist and demeaning. It is important to remember that it is theory, not fact; no systematic research exists to support it.

In Freud's view, all nonpsychotic psychological disorders resulted from underlying unconscious conflicts, the anxiety that resulted from those conflicts, and the implementation of ego defense mechanisms. Freud called such disorders **neuroses**, or *neurotic disorders*, from an old term referring to disorders of the nervous system.

Later Developments in Psychoanalytic Thought

Freud's original psychoanalytic theories have been greatly modified and developed in a number of different directions, mostly by his students or followers. Some theorists simply took one component of psychoanalytic theory and developed it more fully. Others broke with Freud and went in entirely new directions.

Anna Freud (1895–1982), Freud's daughter, concentrated on the way in which the defensive reactions of the ego determine our behavior. In so doing, she was the first proponent of the modern field of **ego psychology**. Her book *Ego and the Mechanisms of Defense* (1946) is still influential. According to Anna Freud, the individual slowly accumulates adaptational capacities, skill in reality testing, and defenses. Abnormal behavior develops when the ego is deficient in regulating such functions as delaying and controlling impulses or in marshaling appropriate normal defenses to strong internal conflicts. In another somewhat later modification of Freud's theories, Heinz Kohut (1913–1981) focused on a theory of the formation of self-concept and the crucial attributes of the self that allow an individual to progress toward health, or conversely, to develop **neurosis**. This psychoanalytic approach became known as **self-psychology** (Kohut, 1977).

A related area that is quite popular today is referred to as **object relations**. Object relations is the study of how children incorporate the images, the memories, and sometimes the values of a person who was important to them and to whom they were (or are) emotionally attached. *Object* in this sense refers to these important people, and the process of incorporation is called *introjection*. Introjected objects can become an integrated part of the ego or may assume conflicting roles in determining the identity, or self. For example, your parents may have conflicting views on relationships or careers, which, in turn, may be different from your own point of view. To the extent that these varying positions have been incorporated, the potential for conflict arises. One day you may feel one way about your career direction, and the next day you may feel quite differently. According to object relations theory, you tend to see the world through the eyes of the person incorporated into your self. Object relations theorists focus on how these disparate images come together to make up a person's identity and on the conflicts that may emerge.

Carl Jung (1875–1961) and Alfred Adler (1870–1937) were students of Freud who came to reject his ideas and form their

own schools of thought. Jung, rejecting many of the sexual aspects of Freud's theory, introduced the concept of the **collective unconscious**, which is a wisdom accumulated by society and culture that is stored deep in individual memories and passed down from generation to generation. Jung also suggested that spiritual and religious drives are as much a part of human nature as are sexual drives; this emphasis and the idea of the **collective unconscious** continue to draw the attention of mystics. Jung emphasized the importance of enduring personality traits such as introversion (the tendency to be shy and withdrawn) and extroversion (the tendency to be friendly and outgoing).

Adler focused on feelings of inferiority and the striving for superiority; he created the term *inferiority complex*. Unlike Freud, both Jung and Adler also believed that the basic quality of human nature is positive and that there is a strong drive toward self-actualization (realizing one's full potential). Jung and Adler believed that by removing barriers to both internal and external growth the individual would improve and flourish.

Others took psychoanalytical theorizing in different directions, emphasizing development over the life span and the influence of culture and society on personality. Karen Horney (1885–1952) and Erich Fromm (1900–1980) are associated with these ideas, but the best-known theorist is Erik Erikson (1902–1994). Erikson's greatest contribution was his theory of development across the life span, in which he described in some detail the crises and conflicts that accompany eight specific stages. For example, in the last of these stages, the *mature age*, beginning about age 65, individuals review their lives and attempt to make sense of them, experiencing both satisfaction at having completed some lifelong goals and despair at having failed at others. Scientific developments have borne out the wisdom of considering psychopathology from a developmental point of view.

Psychoanalytic Psychotherapy

Many techniques of psychoanalytic psychotherapy, or psychoanalysis, are designed to reveal the nature of unconscious mental processes and conflicts through catharsis and insight. Freud developed techniques of **free association**, in which patients are instructed to say whatever comes to mind without the usual socially required censoring. Free association is intended to reveal emotionally charged material that may be repressed because it is too painful or threatening to bring into consciousness. Freud's patients lay on a couch, and he sat behind them so that they would not be distracted. This is how the couch became the symbol of psychotherapy. Other techniques include **dream analysis** (still quite popular today), in which the therapist interprets the content of dreams, supposedly reflecting the primary-process thinking of the id, and systematically relates the dreams to symbolic aspects of unconscious conflicts. This procedure is often difficult because the patient may resist the efforts of the therapist to uncover repressed and sensitive conflicts and may deny the interpretations. The goal of this stage of therapy is to help the patient gain insight into the nature of the conflicts.

The relationship between the therapist, called the **psychoanalyst**, and the patient is important. In the context of this relationship as it evolves, the therapist may discover the nature of the patient's

intrapsychic conflict. This is because, in a phenomenon called **transference**, patients come to relate to the therapist much as they did to important figures in their childhood, particularly their parents. Patients who resent the therapist but can verbalize no good reason for it may be reenacting childhood resentment toward a parent. More often, the patient will fall deeply in love with the therapist, which reflects strong positive feelings that existed earlier for a parent. In the phenomenon of *countertransference*, therapists project some of their own personal issues and feelings, usually positive, onto the patient. Therapists are trained to deal with their own feelings as well as those of their patients, whatever the mode of therapy, and it is strictly against all ethical canons of the mental health professions to accept overtures from patients that might lead to relationships outside therapy.

Classical psychoanalysis requires therapy four to five times a week for 2 to 5 years to analyze unconscious conflicts, resolve them, and restructure the personality to put the ego back in charge. Reduction of symptoms (psychological disorders) is relatively inconsequential because they are only expressions of underlying intrapsychic conflicts that arise from psychosexual developmental stages. Thus, eliminating a phobia or depressive episode would be of little use unless the underlying conflict was dealt with adequately, because another set of symptoms would almost certainly emerge (*symptom substitution*). Because of the extraordinary expense of classical psychoanalysis, and the lack of evidence that it is effective in alleviating psychological disorders, this approach is seldom used today.

Psychoanalysis is still practiced, particularly in some large cities, but many psychotherapists employ a loosely related set of approaches referred to as **psychodynamic psychotherapy**. Although conflicts and unconscious processes are still emphasized, and efforts are made to identify trauma and active defense mechanisms, therapists use an eclectic mixture of tactics, with a social and interpersonal focus. Seven tactics that characterize psychodynamic psychotherapy include (1) a focus on affect and the expression of patients' emotions; (2) an exploration of patients' attempts to avoid topics or engage in activities that hinder the progress of therapy; (3) the identification of patterns in patients' actions, thoughts, feelings, experiences, and relationships; (4) an emphasis on past experiences; (5) a focus on patients' interpersonal experiences; (6) an emphasis on the therapeutic relationship; and (7) an exploration of patients' wishes, dreams, or fantasies (Blagys & Hilsenroth, 2000). Two additional features characterize psychodynamic psychotherapy. First, it is significantly briefer than classical psychoanalysis. Second, psychodynamic therapists deemphasize the goal of personality reconstruction, focusing instead on relieving the suffering associated with psychological disorders.

Comments

Pure psychoanalysis is of historical interest more than current interest, and classical psychoanalysis as a treatment has been diminishing in popularity for years. In 1980, the term *neurosis*, which specifically implied a psychoanalytic view of the causes of psychological disorders, was dropped from the *DSM*, the official diagnostic system of the APA.

A major criticism of psychoanalysis is that it is basically unscientific, relying on reports by the patient of events that happened years ago. These events have been filtered through the experience of the observer and then interpreted by the psychoanalyst in ways that certainly could be questioned and might differ from one analyst to the next. Finally, there has been no careful measurement of any of these psychological phenomena and no obvious way to prove or disprove the basic hypotheses of psychoanalysis. This is important because measurement and the ability to prove or disprove a theory are the foundations of the scientific approach.

Nevertheless, psychoanalytic concepts and observations have been valuable, not only to the study of psychopathology and psychodynamic psychotherapy but also to the history of ideas in Western civilization. Careful scientific studies of psychopathology have supported the observation of unconscious mental processes, the notion that basic emotional responses are often triggered by hidden or symbolic cues, and the understanding that memories of events in our lives can be repressed and otherwise avoided in a variety of ingenious ways. The relationship of the therapist and the patient, called the *therapeutic alliance*, is an important area of study across most therapeutic strategies. These concepts, along with the importance of various coping styles or defense mechanisms, will appear repeatedly throughout this book.

Many of these psychodynamic ideas had been in development for more than a century, culminating in Freud's influential writings (e.g., Lehrer, 1995), and they stood in stark contrast to witch trials and ideas of incurable brain pathology. In early years, the source of good and evil and of urges and prohibitions was conceived as external and spiritual, usually in the guise of demons confronting the forces of good. From the psychoanalytic point of view, we ourselves became the battleground for these forces, and we are inexorably caught up in the battle, sometimes for better and sometimes for worse.

Humanistic Theory

We have already seen that Jung and Adler broke sharply with Freud. Their fundamental disagreement concerned the very nature of humanity. Freud portrayed life as a battleground where we are continually in danger of being overwhelmed by our darkest forces. Jung and Adler, by contrast, emphasized the positive, optimistic side of human nature. Jung talked about setting goals, looking toward the future, and realizing one's fullest potential. Adler believed that human nature reaches its fullest potential when we contribute to the welfare of other individuals and to society as a whole. He believed that we all strive to reach superior levels of intellectual and moral development. Nevertheless, both Jung and Adler retained many of the principles of psychodynamic thought. Their general philosophies were adopted in the middle of the century by personality theorists and became known as *humanistic psychology*.

Self-actualizing was the watchword for this movement. The underlying assumption is that all of us could reach our highest potential, in all areas of functioning, if only we had the freedom to grow. Inevitably, a variety of conditions may block our actualization. Because every person is basically good and whole, most blocks originate outside the individual. Difficult living conditions

or stressful life or interpersonal experiences may move you away from your true self.

Abraham Maslow (1908–1970) was most systematic in describing the structure of personality. He postulated a *hierarchy of needs*, beginning with our most basic physical needs for food and sex and ranging upward to our needs for self-actualization, love, and self-esteem. Social needs such as friendship fall somewhere between. Maslow hypothesized that we cannot progress up the hierarchy until we have satisfied the needs at lower levels.

Carl Rogers (1902–1987) is, from the point of view of therapy, the most influential humanist. Rogers (1961) originated client-centered therapy, later known as **person-centered therapy**. In this approach, the therapist takes a passive role, making as few interpretations as possible. The point is to give the individual a chance to develop during the course of therapy, unfettered by threats to the self. Humanist theorists have great faith in the ability of human relations to foster this growth. **Unconditional positive regard**, the complete and almost unqualified acceptance of most of the client's feelings and actions, is critical to the humanistic approach. *Empathy* is the sympathetic understanding of the individual's particular view of the world. The hoped-for result of person-centered therapy is that clients will be more straightforward and honest with themselves and will access their innate tendencies toward growth.

Like psychoanalysis, the humanistic approach has had a substantial effect on theories of interpersonal relationships. For example, the human potential movements so popular in the 1960s and 1970s were a direct result of humanistic theorizing. This approach also emphasized the importance of the therapeutic relationship in a way quite different from Freud's approach. Rather than seeing the relationship as a means to an end (*transference*), humanistic therapists believed that relationships, including the therapeutic relationship, were the single most positive influence in facilitating human growth. In fact, Rogers made substantial contributions to the scientific study of therapist-client relationships.

Nevertheless, the humanistic model contributed relatively little new information to the field of psychopathology. One reason for this is that its proponents, with some exceptions, had little interest in doing research that would discover or create new knowledge. Rather, they stressed the unique, nonquantifiable experiences of the individual, emphasizing that people are more different than alike. As Maslow noted, the humanistic model found its greatest application among individuals without psychological disorders. The application of person-centered therapy to more severe psychological disorders has decreased substantially over the decades, although certain variations have arisen periodically in some areas of psychopathology.

The Behavioral Model

As psychoanalysis swept the world at the beginning of the 20th century, events in Russia and the United States would eventually provide an alternative psychological model that was every bit as powerful. The **behavioral model**, which is also known as the *cognitive-behavioral model* or *social learning model*, brought the

systematic development of a more scientific approach to psychological aspects of psychopathology.

Pavlov and Classical Conditioning

In his classic study examining why dogs salivate before the presentation of food, physiologist Ivan Petrovich Pavlov (1849–1936) of St. Petersburg, Russia, initiated the study of **classical conditioning**, a type of learning in which a neutral stimulus is paired with a response until it elicits that response. The word *conditioning* (or *conditioned response*) resulted from an accident in translation from the original Russian. Pavlov was really talking about a response that occurred only on the condition of the presence of a particular event or situation (stimulus)—in this case, the footsteps of the laboratory assistant at feeding time. Thus, “conditional response” would have been more accurate. Conditioning is one way in which we acquire new information, particularly information that is somewhat emotional in nature. This process is not as simple as it first seems, and we continue to uncover many more facts about its complexity (Bouton, 2005; Craske, Hermans, & Vansteenwegen, 2006; Lissek et al., 2014; Prenoveau, Craske, Liao, & Ornitz, 2013; Rescorla, 1988). But it can be quite automatic. Let's look at a powerful contemporary example.

Psychologists working in oncology units have studied a phenomenon well known to many cancer patients, their nurses and physicians, and their families. Chemotherapy, a common treatment for some forms of cancer, has side effects including severe nausea and vomiting. But these patients often experience severe nausea and, occasionally, vomiting when they merely see the medical personnel who administered the chemotherapy or any equipment associated with the treatment, even on days when their treatment is not delivered (Morrow & Dobkin, 1988; Kamen, et al., 2014; Roscoe, Morrow, Aapro, Molassiotis, & Olver, 2011). For some patients, this reaction becomes associated with a variety of stimuli that evoke people or things present during chemotherapy—anybody in a nurse's uniform or even the sight of the hospital. The strength of the response to similar objects or people is usually a function of how similar these objects or people are. This phenomenon is called *stimulus generalization* because the response generalizes to similar stimuli. In any case, this particular reaction is distressing and uncomfortable, particularly if it is associated with a variety of objects or situations. Psychologists have had to develop specific treatments to overcome this response (Mustian et al., 2011).

Whether the stimulus is food, as in Pavlov's laboratory, or chemotherapy, the classical conditioning process begins with a stimulus that would elicit a response in almost anyone and requires no learning; no conditions must be present for the response to occur. For these



Hulton Archive/Getty Images

Ivan Pavlov (1849–1936) identified the process of classical conditioning, which is important to many emotional disorders.

reasons, the food or chemotherapy is called the *unconditioned stimulus* (UCS). The natural or unlearned response to this stimulus—in these cases, salivation or nausea—is called the *unconditioned response* (UCR). Now the learning comes in. As we have already seen, any person or object associated with the UCS (food or chemotherapy) acquires the power to elicit the same response, but now the response, because it was elicited by the conditional or *conditioned stimulus* (CS), is termed a *conditioned response* (CR). Thus, the nurse associated with the chemotherapy becomes a CS. The nauseous sensation (upon seeing the nurse), which is almost the same as that experienced during chemotherapy, becomes the CR.

With unconditioned stimuli as powerful as chemotherapy, a CR can be learned in one trial. Most learning of this type, however, requires repeated pairing of the UCS (for example, chemotherapy) and the CS (for instance, nurses' uniforms or hospital equipment). When Pavlov began to investigate this phenomenon, he substituted a metronome for the footsteps of his laboratory assistants so that he could quantify the stimulus more accurately and, therefore, study the approach more precisely. What he also learned is that presentation of the CS (for example, the metronome) *without* the food for a long enough period would eventually eliminate the CR to the food. In other words, the dog learned that the metronome no longer meant that a meal might be on the way. This process was called **extinction**.

Because Pavlov was a physiologist, it was natural for him to study these processes in a laboratory and to be quite scientific about it. This required precision in measuring and observing relationships and in ruling out alternative explanations. Although this scientific approach is common in biology, it was uncommon in psychology at that time. For example, it was impossible for psychoanalysts to measure unconscious conflicts precisely, or even observe them. Even early experimental psychologists such as Edward Titchener (1867–1927) emphasized the study of **introspection**. Subjects simply reported on their inner thoughts and feelings after experiencing certain stimuli, but the results of this “armchair” psychology were inconsistent and discouraging to many experimental psychologists.

Watson and the Rise of Behaviorism

An early American psychologist, John B. Watson (1878–1958), is considered the founder of behaviorism. Strongly influenced by the work of Pavlov, Watson decided that to base psychology on introspection was to head in the wrong direction; that psychology could be made as scientific as physiology, and that psychology needs introspection or other nonquantifiable methods no more than chemistry and physics do (Watson, 1913). This point of view is reflected in a famous quotation from a seminal article published by Watson in 1913: “Psychology, as the behaviorist views it, is a purely objective experimental branch of natural science. Its theoretical goal is the prediction and control of behavior. Introspection forms no essential part of its methods” (p. 158).

Most of Watson’s time was spent developing behavioral psychology as a radical empirical science, but he did dabble briefly in the study of psychopathology. In 1920, he and a student, Rosalie Rayner, presented an 11-month-old boy named Albert with a harmless fluffy white rat to play with. Albert was not afraid of the small animal and enjoyed playing with it. Every time Albert

reached for the rat, however, the experimenters made a loud noise behind him. After only five trials, Albert showed the first signs of fear if the white rat came near. The experimenters then determined that Albert displayed mild fear of any white furry object, even a Santa Claus mask with a white fuzzy beard. You may not think that this is surprising, but keep in mind that this was one of the first examples ever recorded in a laboratory of producing fear of an object not previously feared. Of course, this experiment would be considered unethical by today’s standards, and it turns out Albert may have also had some neurological impairment that could have contributed to developing fear (Fridlund, Beck, Goldie, & Irons, 2012), but the study remains a classic one.

Another student of Watson’s, Mary Cover Jones (1896–1987), thought that if fear could be learned or classically conditioned in this way, perhaps it could also be unlearned or extinguished. She worked with a boy named Peter, who at 2 years, 10 months old was already quite afraid of furry objects. Jones decided to bring a white rabbit into the room where Peter was playing for a short time each day. She also arranged for other children, whom she knew did not fear rabbits, to be in the same room. She noted that Peter’s fear gradually diminished. Each time it diminished, she brought the rabbit closer. Eventually Peter was touching and even playing with the rabbit (Jones, 1924a, 1924b), and years later the fear had not returned.



© Archives of the History of American Psychology/University of Akron

Mary Cover Jones (1896–1987) was one of the first psychologists to use behavioral techniques to free a patient from phobia.

The Beginnings of Behavior Therapy

The implications of Jones’s research were largely ignored for two decades, given the fervor associated with more psychoanalytic conceptions of the development of fear. But in the late 1940s and early 1950s, Joseph Wolpe (1915–1997), a pioneering psychiatrist from South Africa, became dissatisfied with prevailing psychoanalytic interpretations of psychopathology and began looking for something else. He turned to the work of Pavlov and became familiar with the wider field of behavioral psychology. He developed a variety of behavioral procedures for treating his patients, many of whom suffered from phobias. His best-known technique was termed **systematic desensitization**. In principle, it was similar to the treatment of little Peter: Individuals were gradually introduced to the objects or situations they feared so that their fear could extinguish; that is, they could test reality and see that nothing bad happened in the presence of the phobic object or scene. Wolpe added another element by having his patients do something that was incompatible with fear while they were in the presence of the dreaded object or situation. Because he could not always reproduce the phobic object in his office, Wolpe had his

patients carefully and systematically *imagine* the phobic scene, and the response he chose was relaxation because it was convenient. For example, Wolpe treated a young man with a phobia of dogs by training him first to relax deeply and then imagine he was looking at a dog across the park. Gradually, he could imagine the dog across the park and remain relaxed, experiencing little or no fear. Wolpe then had him imagine that he was closer to the dog. Eventually, the young man imagined that he was touching the dog while maintaining a relaxed, almost trancelike state.

Wolpe reported great success with systematic desensitization, one of the first wide-scale applications of the new science of behaviorism to psychopathology. Wolpe, working with fellow pioneers Hans Eysenck and Stanley Rachman in London, called this approach **behavior therapy**. Although Wolpe's procedures are seldom used today, they paved the way for modern-day fear and anxiety reduction procedures in which severe phobias can be eliminated in as little as 1 day (see Chapter 5).

B. F. Skinner and Operant Conditioning

Sigmund Freud's influence extended far beyond psychopathology into many aspects of our cultural and intellectual history. Only one other behavioral scientist has made a similar impact: Burrhus Frederic (B. F.) Skinner (1904–1990). In 1938 he published *The Behavior of Organisms*, in which he laid out, in a comprehensive manner, the principles of *operant conditioning*, a type of learning in which behavior changes as a function of what follows the behavior. Skinner observed early on that a large part of our behavior is not automatically elicited by a UCS and that we must account for this. In the ensuing years, Skinner did not confine his ideas to the laboratories of experimental psychology. He ranged far and wide in his writings, describing, for example, the potential applications of a science of behavior to our culture. Some best-known examples of his ideas are in the novel *Walden Two* (Skinner, 1948), in which he depicts a fictional society run on the principles of operant conditioning. In another well-known work, *Beyond Freedom and Dignity* (1971), Skinner lays out a broader statement of problems facing our culture and suggests solutions based on his own view of a science of behavior.

Skinner was strongly influenced by Watson's conviction that a science of human behavior must be based on observable events and relationships among those events. The work of psychologist Edward L. Thorndike (1874–1949) also influenced Skinner. Thorndike is best known for the *law of effect*, which states that behavior is either strengthened (likely to be repeated more frequently) or weakened (likely to occur less frequently) depending on the consequences of that behavior. Skinner took the simple notions that Thorndike had tested in the animal laboratories, using food as a reinforcer, and developed them in a variety of complex ways to apply to much of our behavior. For example, if a 5-year-old boy starts shouting at the top of his lungs in a restaurant, much to the annoyance of the people around him, it is unlikely that his behavior was automatically elicited by a UCS. Also, he will be less likely to do it in the future if his parents scold him, take him out to the car to sit for a bit, or consistently reinforce more appropriate behavior. Then again, if the parents think his behavior is cute and laugh, chances are he will do it again.

Skinner coined the term *operant conditioning* because behavior operates on the environment and changes it in some way. For example, the boy's behavior affects his parents' behavior and probably the behavior of other customers. Therefore, he changes his environment. Most things that we do socially provide the context for other people to respond to us in one way or another, thereby providing consequences for our behavior. The same is true of our physical environment, although the consequences may be long term (polluting the air eventually will poison us). Skinner preferred the term **reinforcement** to "reward" because it connotes the effect on the behavior. Skinner once said that he found himself a bit embarrassed to be talking continually about reinforcement, much as Marxists used to see class struggle everywhere. But he pointed out that all of our behavior is governed to some degree by reinforcement, which can be arranged in an endless variety of ways, in *schedules of reinforcement*. Skinner wrote a whole book on different schedules of reinforcement (Ferster & Skinner, 1957). He also believed that using punishment as a consequence is relatively ineffective in the long run and that the primary way to develop new behavior is to positively reinforce desired behavior. Much like Watson, Skinner did not see the need to go beyond the observable and quantifiable to establish a satisfactory science of behavior. He did not deny the influence of biology or the existence of subjective states of emotion or cognition; he simply explained these phenomena as relatively inconsequential side effects of a particular history of reinforcement.

The subjects of Skinner's research were usually animals, mostly pigeons and rats. Using his new principles, Skinner and his disciples taught the animals a variety of tricks, including dancing, playing Ping-Pong, and playing a toy piano. To do this he used a procedure called **shaping**, a process of reinforcing successive approximations to a final behavior or set of behaviors. For example, if you want a pigeon to play Ping-Pong, first you provide it with a pellet of food every time it moves its head slightly toward a Ping-Pong ball tossed in its direction. Gradually you require the pigeon to move its head ever closer to the Ping-Pong ball until it touches it. Finally, receiving the food pellet is contingent on the pigeon hitting the ball back with its head.

Pavlov, Watson, and Skinner contributed significantly to behavior therapy (see, for example, Wolpe, 1958), in which scientific principles of psychology are applied to clinical problems. Their ideas have substantially contributed to current psychological treatments and so are referred to repeatedly in this book.



Bettmann/Getty Images

B. F. Skinner (1904–1990) studied operant conditioning, a form of learning that is central to psychopathology.

Comments

The behavioral model has contributed greatly to the understanding and treatment of psychopathology, as is apparent in the chapters that follow. Nevertheless, this model is incomplete and inadequate to account for what we now know about psychopathology. In the past, there was little or no room for biology in behaviorism, because disorders were considered, for the most part, environmentally determined reactions. The model also fails to account for development of psychopathology across the life span. Recent advances in our knowledge of how information is processed, both consciously and subconsciously, have added a layer of complexity. Integrating all these dimensions requires a new model of psychopathology.

The Present: The Scientific Method and an Integrative Approach

As William Shakespeare wrote, “What’s past is prologue.” We have just reviewed three traditions or ways of thinking about causes of psychopathology: the supernatural, the biological, and the psychological (further subdivided into two major historical components: psychoanalytic and behavioral).

Supernatural explanations of psychopathology are still with us. Superstitions prevail, including beliefs in the effects of the moon and the stars on our behavior. This tradition has little influence on scientists and other professionals, however. Biological, psychoanalytic, and behavioral models, by contrast, continue to further our knowledge of psychopathology, as you will see in the next chapter.

Each tradition has failed in important ways. First, scientific methods were not often applied to the theories and treatments within a tradition, mostly because methods that would have produced the evidence necessary to confirm or disprove the theories and treatments had not been developed. Lacking such evidence, many people accepted various fads and superstitions that ultimately proved to be untrue or useless. New fads often superseded truly useful theories and treatment procedures. King Charles VI was subjected to a variety of procedures, some of which have since been proved useful and others that were mere fads or even harmful. How we use scientific methods to confirm or disconfirm findings in psychopathology is described in Chapter 4. Second, health professionals tend to look at psychological disorders narrowly, from their own point of view alone. Grey assumed that psychological disorders were the result of brain disease and that other factors had no influence. Watson assumed that all behaviors, including disordered behavior, were the result of psychological and social influences and that the contribution of biological factors was inconsequential.

In the 1990s, two developments came together as never before to shed light on the nature of psychopathology: (1) the increasing sophistication of scientific tools and methodology, and (2) the realization that no one influence—biological, behavioral, cognitive, emotional, or social—ever occurs in isolation. Literally, every time we think, feel, or do something, the brain and the rest of the body are hard at work. Perhaps not as obvious, however, is that our thoughts, feelings, and actions inevitably influence the function and even the

structure of the brain, sometimes permanently. In other words, our behavior, both normal and abnormal, is the product of a continual interaction of psychological, biological, and social influences.

The view that psychopathology is multiply determined had its early adherents. Perhaps the most notable was Adolf Meyer (1866–1950), often considered the dean of American psychiatry. Whereas most professionals during the first half of the century held narrow views of the cause of psychopathology, Meyer steadfastly emphasized the equal contributions of biological, psychological, and sociocultural determinism. Although Meyer’s ideas had some proponents, it was 100 years before the wisdom of his advice was fully recognized in the field.

By 2000, a veritable explosion of knowledge about psychopathology was occurring. The young fields of cognitive science and neuroscience began to grow exponentially as we learned more about the brain and about how we process, remember, and use information. At the same time, startling new findings from behavioral science revealed the importance of early experience in determining later development. It was clear that a new model was needed that would consider biological, psychological, and social influences on behavior. This approach to psychopathology would combine findings from all areas with our rapidly growing understanding of how we experience life during different developmental periods, from infancy to old age. In 2010, the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) instituted a strategic plan to support further research and development on the interrelationship of these factors with the aim of translating research findings to front-line treatment settings (Cuthbert, 2014; Insel, 2009; Sanislow, Quinn, & Sypher, 2015). In the remainder of this book, we explore the reciprocal influences among neuroscience, cognitive science, behavior science, and developmental science and demonstrate that the only currently valid model of psychopathology is multidimensional and integrative.

Timeline of Significant Events

400 B.C.–1875

400 B.C.: Hippocrates suggests that psychological disorders have both biological and psychological causes.



1300s: Superstition runs rampant, and mental disorders are blamed on demons and witches; exorcisms are performed to rid victims of evil spirits.



1400–1800: Bloodletting and leeches are used to rid the body of unhealthy fluids and restore chemical balance.

1793: Philippe Pinel introduces moral therapy and makes French mental institutions more humane.

400 B.C.

1300s

1500s

1825–1875

200 C.E.: Galen suggests that normal and abnormal behaviors are related to four bodily fluids, or humors.

1400s: Enlightened view that insanity is caused by mental or emotional stress gains momentum, and depression and anxiety are again regarded by some as disorders.

1500s: Paracelsus suggests that the moon and the stars, not possession by the devil, affect people's psychological functioning.

1825–1875: Syphilis is differentiated from other types of psychosis in that it is caused by a specific bacterium; ultimately, penicillin is found to cure syphilis.

1930–1968

1930: Insulin shock therapy, electric shock treatments, and brain surgery begin to be used to treat psychopathology.

1943: The Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory is published.

1950: The first effective drugs for severe psychotic disorders are developed. Humanistic psychology (based on ideas of Carl Jung, Alfred Adler, and Carl Rogers) gains some acceptance.

1958: Joseph Wolpe effectively treats patients with phobias using systematic desensitization based on principles of behavioral science.

1930

1943

1950

1968

1938: B. F. Skinner publishes *The Behavior of Organisms*, which describes the principles of operant conditioning.



1946: Anna Freud publishes *Ego and the Mechanisms of Defense*.



1952: The first edition of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM-I)* is published.

1968: *DSM-II* is published.


1848–1920



1848: Dorothea Dix successfully campaigns for more humane treatment in U.S. mental institutions.

1848

1854: John P. Grey, head of New York's Utica Hospital, believes that insanity is the result of physical causes, thus de-emphasizing psychological treatments.



1870: Louis Pasteur develops his germ theory of disease, which helps identify the bacterium that causes syphilis.

1870

1895: Josef Breuer treats the “hysterical” Anna O., leading to Freud’s development of psychoanalytic theory.



Mary Evans Picture Library/Alamy Stock Photo

AP Images

1900: Sigmund Freud publishes *The Interpretation of Dreams*.

1900

1904: Ivan Pavlov receives the Nobel Prize for his work on the physiology of digestion, which leads him to identify conditioned reflexes in dogs.



Hulton Archive/Getty Images



1913: Emil Kraepelin classifies various psychological disorders from a biological point of view and publishes work on diagnosis.

1920

1920: John B. Watson experiments with conditioned fear in Little Albert, using a white rat.

1980–2013

1990s: Increasingly sophisticated research methods are developed; no one influence—biological or environmental—is found to cause psychological disorders in isolation from the other.

1980: *DSM-III* is published.

2000: *DSM-IV-TR* is published.

1980

1987: *DSM-III-R* is published.

1990s

1994: *DSM-IV* is published.

2000

2013: *DSM-5* is published.

2010

2

An Integrative Approach to Psychopathology

CHAPTER OUTLINE

One-Dimensional versus Multidimensional Models

What Caused Judy's Phobia?
Outcome and Comments

Genetic Contributions to Psychopathology

The Nature of Genes
New Developments in the Study of Genes and Behavior
The Interaction of Genes and the Environment
Epigenetics and the Nongenomic
"Inheritance" of Behavior

Neuroscience and Its Contributions to Psychopathology

The Central Nervous System
The Structure of the Brain
The Peripheral Nervous System
Neurotransmitters
Implications for Psychopathology
Psychosocial Influences on Brain Structure and Function
Interactions of Psychosocial Factors and Neurotransmitter Systems
Psychosocial Effects on the Development of Brain Structure and Function
Comments

Behavioral and Cognitive Science

Conditioning and Cognitive Processes
Learned Helplessness
Social Learning
Prepared Learning
Cognitive Science and the Unconscious

Emotions


The Physiology and Purpose of Fear
Emotional Phenomena
The Components of Emotion
Anger and Your Heart
Emotions and Psychopathology

Cultural, Social, and Interpersonal Factors

Voodoo, the Evil Eye, and Other Fears
Gender
Social Effects on Health and Behavior
Global Incidence of Psychological Disorders

Life-Span Development

Conclusions



© Photographee.eu/Shutterstock.com

Use scientific reasoning to interpret psychological phenomena. This outcome applies to APA SLO indicators 1.1a & 1.1C

Develop a working knowledge of the content domains of psychology.

- Identify basic biological, psychological, and social components of behavioral explanations (e.g., inferences, observations, operational definitions, interpretation) (APA SLO 2.1a) (see textbook pages 33–35, 62–65)
- Incorporate several appropriate levels of complexity (e.g., cellular, individual, group/system, society/cultural) to explain behavior (APA SLO 2.1C) (see textbook pages 42–58, 60, 65–70)
- Identify key characteristics of major content domains in psychology (e.g., cognition and learning, developmental, biological, and sociocultural) (APA SLO 1.2a) (see textbook pages 53–62, 65–69)

*Portions of this chapter cover learning outcomes suggested by the American Psychological Association (2013) in its guidelines for the undergraduate psychology major. Chapter coverage of these outcomes is identified above by APA Goal and APA Suggested Learning Outcome (SLO).

Remember Judy from Chapter 1? We knew she suffered from blood-injection-injury phobia but we did not know why. Here, we address the issue of causation. This chapter examines the specific components of a **multidimensional integrative approach** to psychopathology (see ● Figure 2.1). Biological dimensions include causal factors from the fields of genetics and neuroscience. Psychological dimensions include causal factors from behavioral and cognitive processes, including learned helplessness, social learning, prepared learning, and even unconscious processes (in a different guise than in the days of Sigmund Freud). Emotional influences contribute in a variety of ways to psychopathology, as do social and interpersonal influences. Finally, developmental influences figure in any discussion of causes of psychological disorders. You will become familiar with these areas as they relate to psychopathology and learn about some of the latest developments relevant to psychological disorders. But keep in mind what we confirmed in the previous chapter: No influence operates in isolation. Each dimension—biological or psychological—is strongly influenced by the others and by development, and they weave together in various complex and intricate ways to create a psychological disorder.

Here, we explain briefly why we have adopted a multidimensional integrative model of psychopathology. Then we preview various causal influences and interactions, using Judy’s case as background. After that, we look more deeply at specific causal influences in psychopathology, examining both the latest research and integrative ways of viewing what we know.

One-Dimensional versus Multidimensional Models

To say that psychopathology is caused by a physical abnormality or by conditioning is to accept a linear or one-dimensional model, which attempts to trace the origins of behavior to a single cause. A linear causal model might hold that schizophrenia or a phobia is caused by a chemical imbalance or by growing up surrounded by overwhelming conflicts among family members. In psychology and psychopathology,

we still encounter this type of thinking occasionally, but most scientists and clinicians believe abnormal behavior results from multiple influences. A system, or feedback loop, may have independent inputs at many different points, but as each input becomes part of the whole, it can no longer be considered independent. This perspective on causality is *systemic*, which derives from the word *system*; it implies that any particular influence contributing to psychopathology cannot be considered out of context. Context, in this case, is the biology and behavior of the individual, as well as the cognitive, emotional, social, and cultural environment, because any one component of the system inevitably affects the other components, forming a complex network. This is a multidimensional model.

What Caused Judy’s Phobia?


From a multidimensional perspective, let’s look at what might have caused Judy’s phobia (see ● Figure 2.1).

Behavioral Influences

The cause of Judy’s phobia might at first seem obvious. She saw a movie with graphic scenes of blood and injury and had a bad reaction to it. Her reaction, an unconditioned response, became associated with situations similar to the scenes in the movie, depending on how similar they were. But Judy’s reaction reached such an extreme that even hearing someone say “Cut it out!” evoked queasiness. Is Judy’s phobia a straightforward case of classical conditioning? It might seem so, but one puzzling question arises: Why didn’t the other kids in Judy’s class develop the same phobia? As far as Judy knew, nobody else even felt queasy.

Biological Influences

We now know that more is involved in blood-injection-injury phobia than a simple conditioning experience, although, clearly, conditioning and stimulus generalization contribute. We have learned



● FIGURE 2.1

Judy's case.

a lot about this phobia (Antony & Barlow, 2002; Ritz, Meuret, & Ayala, 2010; van Overveld, de Jong, & Peters, 2011). Physiologically, Judy experienced a *vasovagal syncope*, which is a common cause of fainting. *Syncope* means “sinking feeling” or “swoon” caused by low blood pressure in the head. When she saw the film, she became mildly distressed, as many people would, and her heart rate and blood pressure increased accordingly, which she probably did not notice. Then her body took over, immediately over-compensating by decreasing her vascular resistance, lowering her heart rate and, eventually, lowering her blood pressure too much. The amount of blood reaching her brain diminished until she lost consciousness.

A possible cause of the vasovagal syncope is an overreaction of a mechanism called the *sinoaortic baroreflex arc*, which compensates for sudden increases in blood pressure by lowering it. Interestingly, the tendency to overcompensate seems to be inherited, a trait that may account for the high rate of blood-injection-injury phobia in families. Do you ever feel queasy at the sight of blood? If so, chances are your mother, your father, or someone else in your immediate family has the same reaction. In one study, 61% of the family members of individuals with this phobia had a similar condition, although somewhat milder in most cases (Öst, 1992). You might think, then, that we have discovered the cause of blood-injury-injection phobia and that all we need to do is develop a pill to regulate the baroreflex. But many people with rather severe syncope reaction tendencies do *not* develop phobias. They cope with their reaction in various ways, including tensing their muscles whenever they are confronted with blood. Tensing the muscles quickly raises

blood pressure and prevents the fainting response. Furthermore, some people with little or no syncope reaction develop the phobia anyway (Öst, 1992). Therefore, the cause of blood-injection-injury phobia is more complicated than it seems. If we said that the phobia is caused by a biological dysfunction (an overactive vasovagal reaction probably because of a particularly sensitive baroreflex mechanism) or a traumatic experience (seeing a gruesome film) and subsequent conditioning, we would be partly right on both counts, but in adopting a one-dimensional causal model we would miss the most important point: To cause blood-injection-injury phobia, a complex *interaction* must occur between emotional, cognitive, social, biological, and behavioral factors. Inheriting a strong syncope reaction definitely puts a person at risk for developing this phobia, but other influences are at work as well.

Emotional Influences

Judy's case is a good example of biology influencing behavior. But behavior, thoughts, and feelings can also influence biology, sometimes dramatically. What role did Judy's fear and anxiety play in the development of her phobia, and where did they come from? Emotions can affect physiological responses such as blood pressure, heart rate, and respiration, particularly if we know rationally there is nothing to fear, as Judy did. In her case, rapid increases in heart rate caused by her emotions may have triggered a stronger and more intense baroreflex. Emotions also changed the way she thought about situations involving blood and injury and



Nicholas Kamm/AFP/Getty Images

People who experience the same traumatic event will have different long-term reactions.

motivated her to behave in ways she didn't want to, avoiding all situations connected with blood and injury, even if it was important not to avoid them. As we see throughout this book, emotions play a substantial role in the development of many disorders.

Social Influences

We are all social animals; by our very nature we tend to live in groups such as families. Social and cultural factors make direct contributions to biology and behavior. Judy's friends and family rushed to her aid when she fainted. Did their support help or hurt? Her principal rejected her and dismissed her problem. What effect did this behavior have on her phobia? Rejection, particularly by authority figures, can make psychological disorders worse than they otherwise would be. Then again, being supportive only when somebody is experiencing symptoms is not always helpful because the strong effects of social attention may actually increase the frequency and intensity of the reaction.

Developmental Influences

One more influence affects us all—the passage of time. As time passes, many things about ourselves and our environments change in important ways, causing us to react differently at different ages. Thus, at certain times we may enter a *developmental critical period* when we are more or less reactive to a given situation or influence than at other times. To go back to Judy, it is possible she was previously exposed to other situations involving blood. Important questions to ask are these: Why did this problem develop when she was 16 years old and not before? Is it possible that her susceptibility to having a vasovagal reaction was highest in her teenage years? It may be that the timing of her physiological reaction, along with viewing the disturbing biology film, provided just the right (but unfortunate) combination to initiate her severe phobic response.

Outcome and Comments

Fortunately for Judy, she responded well to brief but intensive treatment at one of our clinics, and she was back in school within 7 days. Judy was gradually exposed, with her full cooperation, to words,

images, and situations describing or depicting blood and injury, while a sudden drop in blood pressure was prevented. We began with something mild, such as the phrase "Cut it out!" By the end of the week Judy was witnessing surgical procedures at the local hospital.

Judy required close therapeutic supervision during this program. At one point, while driving home with her parents from an evening session, she had the bad luck to pass a car crash, and she saw a bleeding accident victim. That night, she dreamed about bloody accident victims coming through the walls of her bedroom. This experience made her call the clinic and request emergency intervention to reduce her distress, but it did not slow her progress. (Programs for treating phobias and related anxiety disorders are described more fully in Chapter 5. It is the issue of etiology or causation that concerns us here.)

As you can see, finding the causes of abnormal behavior is a complex and fascinating process. Focusing on biological or behavioral factors would not have given us a full picture of the causes of Judy's disorder; we had to consider a variety of other influences and how they might interact. A discussion in more depth follows, examining the research underlying the many biological, psychological, and social influences that must be considered as causes of any psychological disorder.

Genetic Contributions to Psychopathology

What causes you to look like one or both of your parents or, perhaps, your grandparents? Obviously, the genes you inherit are from your parents and from your ancestors before them. **Genes** are long molecules of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) at various locations on chromosomes, within the cell nucleus. Ever since Gregor Mendel's pioneering work in the 19th century, we have known that physical characteristics such as hair color and eye color and, to a certain extent, height and weight are determined—or at least strongly influenced—by our genetic endowment. Other factors in the environment influence our physical appearance, however. To some extent, our weight and even our height are affected by nutritional, social, and cultural factors. Consequently, our genes seldom determine our physical development in any absolute way. They do provide some boundaries to our development. Exactly where we go within these boundaries depends on environmental influences.

Although this is true for most of our characteristics, it is not true for all of them. Some of our characteristics are strongly determined by one or more genes, including natural hair color and eye color. A few rare disorders are determined in this same way, including Huntington's disease, a degenerative brain disease that appears in early to middle age, usually the early 40s. This disease has been traced to a genetic defect that causes deterioration in a specific area of the brain, the basal ganglia. It causes broad changes in personality, cognitive functioning, and, particularly, motor behavior, including involuntary shaking or jerkiness throughout the body. We have not yet discovered a way to environmentally influence the course of Huntington's disease. Another example of genetic influence is a disorder known as phenylketonuria (PKU), which can result in mental retardation. This disorder, present at birth, is caused by the inability of the body to metabolize (break down) phenylalanine, a chemical compound found in many foods. Like Huntington's disease, PKU is caused by a defect in a single gene, with little contribution from other genes or the environmental background. PKU is inherited when both parents are carriers of the gene and pass it on to the child. Fortunately, researchers have discovered a way to correct this disorder: We can change the way the environment interacts with and affects the genetic expression of this disorder. Specifically, by detecting PKU early enough (which is now routinely done), we can simply restrict the amount of phenylalanine in the baby's diet until the child develops to the point where a normal diet does not harm the brain, usually 6 or 7 years of age. Disorders such as PKU and Huntington's disease, in which cognitive impairment of various kinds is the prominent characteristic, are covered in more detail in Chapters 14 and 15.

Except for identical twins, every person has a unique set of genes unlike those of anyone else in the world. Because there is plenty of room for the environment to influence our development within the constraints set by our genes, there are many reasons for the development of individual differences.

What about our behavior and traits, our likes and dislikes? Do genes influence personality and, by extension, abnormal behavior? This question of nature (genes) versus nurture (upbringing and other environmental influences) is age-old in psychology, and the answers beginning to emerge are fascinating. Before discussing them, let's review briefly what we know about genes and about environmental factors.

The Nature of Genes


We have known for a long time that each normal human cell has 46 chromosomes arranged in 23 pairs. One chromosome in each pair comes from the father and one from the mother. We can see these chromosomes through a microscope, and we can sometimes tell when one is faulty and predict what problems it will cause.

The first 22 pairs of chromosomes provide programs or directions for the development of the body and brain, and the last pair, called the *sex chromosomes*, determines an individual's sex. In females, both chromosomes in the 23rd pair are called *X chromosomes*. In males, the mother contributes an X chromosome but the father contributes a *Y chromosome*. This one difference is responsible for the variance in biological sex. Abnormalities in the sex chromosomal pair can cause ambiguous sexual characteristics (see Chapter 10).

The DNA molecules that contain genes have a certain structure, a double helix that was discovered only a few decades ago. The shape of a helix is like a spiral staircase. A double helix is two spirals intertwined, turning in opposite directions. Located on this double spiral are simple pairs of molecules bound together and arranged in different orders. On the X chromosome, there are approximately 160 million pairs. The ordering of these base pairs influences how the body develops and works.

A *dominant gene* is one of a pair of genes that strongly influences a particular trait, and we need only one of them to determine, for example, our eye color or hair color. A *recessive gene*, by contrast, must be paired with another (recessive) gene to determine a trait. Otherwise, it won't have any effect. Gene dominance occurs when one member of a gene pair is consistently expressed over the other (for example, a brown-eyed gene is dominant over a blue-eyed gene). When we have a dominant gene, using Mendelian laws of genetics we can predict fairly accurately how many offspring will develop a certain trait, characteristic, or disorder, depending on whether one or both of the parents carry that dominant gene.

Most of the time, predictions are not so simple. Much of our development and, interestingly, most of our behavior, our personality, and even our intelligence are probably *polygenic*—that



A normal female has 23 pairs of chromosomes.

is, influenced by many genes, each contributing only a tiny effect, all of which, in turn, may be influenced by the environment. The same is true for psychiatric disorders (Geschwind & Flint 2015). And because the human *genome*—an individual's complete set of genes—consists of more than 20,000 genes (U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science, 2009), polygenic interactions can be quite complex. For this reason, most genetic scientists now use sophisticated procedures such as quantitative genetics and molecular genetics that allow them to look for patterns of influence across many genes (Kandler, 2011, 2013; Kandler, Jaffee, & Roemer, 2011; Plomin & Davis, 2009; Rutter, Moffitt, & Caspi, 2006). *Quantitative genetics* basically sums up all the tiny effects across many genes without necessarily telling us which genes are responsible for which effects. *Molecular genetics* focuses on examining the actual structure of genes with increasingly advanced technologies such as *DNA microarrays*; these technologies allow scientists to analyze thousands of genes at once and identify broad networks of genes that may be contributing to a particular trait (Kandler, 2011; Plomin & Davis, 2009). Such studies have indicated that hundreds of genes can contribute to the heritability of a single trait (Hariri et al., 2002; Plomin et al., 1995; Rutter et al., 2006). It is very important to understand how genes work. Genes exert their influences on our bodies and our behavior through a series of steps that produce proteins. Although all cells contain our entire genetic structure, only a small proportion of the genes in any one cell are “turned on” or expressed. In this way, cells become specialized, with some influencing liver function and others affecting personality. What is interesting is that environmental factors, in the form of social and cultural influences, can determine whether genes are “turned on” (Cole, 2011). To take one example, in studies with rat pups, researchers have found that the absence of normal maternal behavior of “licking and grooming” prevents the genetic expression of a glucocorticoid receptor that modulates stress hormones. This means rats with inadequate maternal care have greater sensitivity to stress (Meaney & Szyf, 2005). There is evidence that a similar model may be relevant in humans (Dickens, Turkheimer, & Beam, 2011; Hyman, 2009). We present more examples later in the chapter when we discuss the interaction of genes and the environment. The study of gene expression and gene–environment interaction is the current frontier in the study of genetics (Kandler



Scientists can now isolate DNA for study.


et al., 2011; Plomin & Davis, 2009; Rutter, 2006; Rutter et al., 2006; Thapar & McGuffin, 2009). In Chapter 4, we look at the actual methods that scientists use to study the influence of genes. Here, our interest is in what they are finding.

New Developments in the Study of Genes and Behavior

Scientists have now identified, in a preliminary way, the genetic contribution to psychological disorders and related behavioral patterns. The best estimates attribute about half of our enduring personality traits and cognitive abilities to genetic influence (Rutter, 2006). For example, McClearn and colleagues (1997) compared 110 Swedish identical twin pairs, at least 80 years old, with 130 same-sex fraternal twin pairs of a similar age and found heritability estimates for specific cognitive abilities, such as memory or ability to perceive spatial relations, ranged from 32% to 62%. This work built on earlier important twin studies, with different age groups showing similar results (for example, Bouchard, Lykken, McGue, Segal, & Tellegen, 1990). Furthermore, a study of more than 1,200 twins spanning 35 years confirmed that during adulthood (from early adulthood to late middle age) genetic factors determined stability in cognitive abilities, whereas environmental factors were responsible for any changes (Lyons et al., 2009). In other studies, the same heritability calculation for personality traits such as shyness or activity levels ranges between 30% and 50% (Bouchard et al., 1990; Kandler, 2001; Loehlin, 1992; Rutter, 2006; Saudino & Plomin, 1996; Saudino, Plomin, & DeFries, 1996).

It has also become clear that adverse life events such as a “chaotic” childhood can overwhelm the influence of genes (Turkheimer, Haley, Waldron, D’Onofrio, & Gottesman, 2003). For example, one member of a set of twins in the Lyons and colleagues (2009) study showed marked variability or change in cognitive abilities if his or her environment changed dramatically from the other twin’s because of some stressful event such as death of a loved one.

For psychological disorders, the evidence indicates that genetic factors make some contribution to all disorders but account for less than half of the explanation. This means that if one of a pair of



A DNA molecule, which contains genes, resembles a double spiral, or helix.

Will & Dani McIntyre/Science Source



Genetic contributions to behavior are evident in twins who were raised apart. When these brothers were finally reunited, they were both firefighters, and they discovered many other shared characteristics and interests.

identical twins has schizophrenia, there is a less-than-50% likelihood that the other twin will also have schizophrenia (Gottesman, 1991). Similar or lower rates exist for other psychological disorders (Kendler & Prescott, 2006; Rutter, 2006).

Behavioral geneticists have reached general conclusions in the past several years on the role of genes and psychological disorders relevant to this chapter's discussion of integrative approaches to psychopathology. First, specific genes or small groups of genes may ultimately be found to be associated with certain psychological disorders, as suggested in several important studies described later. But as discussed earlier, much of the current evidence suggests that contributions to psychological disorders come from many genes, each having a relatively small effect (Flint, 2009; Rutter, 2006). It is extremely important that we recognize this probability and continue to make every attempt to track the group of genes implicated in various disorders. Advances in gene mapping, molecular genetics, and linkage studies help with this difficult research (for example, Gershon Kelsoe, Kendler, & Watson, 2001; Hettema, Prescott, Myers, Neale, & Kendler, 2005). In linkage studies, scientists study individuals who have the same disorder, such as bipolar disorder, and also share other features, such as eye color; because the location of the gene for eye color is known, this allows scientists to attempt to "link" known gene locations (for eye color, in this example) with the possible location of a gene contributing to the disorder (Flint, 2009; see Chapter 4).

Second, as noted earlier, it has become increasingly clear that genetic contributions cannot be studied in the absence of interactions with events in the environment that trigger genetic vulnerability or "turn on" specific genes (Kendler et al., 2011; Rutter, 2010). It is to this fascinating topic that we now turn.

The Interaction of Genes and the Environment

In 1983, the distinguished neuroscientist and Nobel Prize winner Eric Kandel speculated that the process of learning affects more


than behavior. He suggested that the very genetic structure of cells may change as a result of learning if genes that were inactive or dormant interact with the environment in such a way that they become active. In other words, the environment may occasionally turn on certain genes. This type of mechanism may lead to changes in the number of receptors at the end of a neuron, which, in turn, would affect biochemical functioning in the brain.

Although Kandel was not the first to propose this idea, he provided convincing evidence to support it. Most of us assume that the brain, like other parts of the body, may well be influenced by environmental changes during development. But we also assume that once maturity is reached, the structure and function of our internal organs and most of our physiology are set or, in the case of the brain, hard-wired. The competing idea is that the brain and its functions are plastic, subject to continual change in response to the environment, even at the level of genetic structure. Now there is additional strong evidence supporting that view (Dick, 2011; Kendler et al., 2011; Landis & Insel, 2008; Robinson, Fernald, & Clayton, 2008).


With these new findings in mind, we can now explore gene-environment interactions as they relate to psychopathology. Two models have received the most attention: the diathesis-stress model and reciprocal gene-environment model (or gene-environment correlations).

The Diathesis-Stress Model

For years, scientists have assumed a specific method of interaction between genes and environment. According to this **diathesis-stress model**, individuals inherit tendencies to express certain traits or behaviors, which may then be activated under conditions of stress (see ● Figure 2.2). Each inherited tendency is a *diathesis*, which means, literally, a condition that makes someone susceptible to developing a disorder. When the right kind of life event, such as a certain type of stressor, comes along, the disorder develops. For example, according to the diathesis-stress model, Judy inherited a *tendency* to faint at the sight of blood. This tendency is the *diathesis*, or **vulnerability**. It would not become prominent until certain environmental events occurred. For Judy, this event was the sight of an animal being dissected when she was in a situation in which escape, or at least closing her eyes, was not acceptable. The stress of seeing the dissection under these conditions activated her genetic tendency to faint. Together, these factors led to her developing a disorder. If she had not taken biology,



Eric Kandel won the Nobel Prize in Medicine for learning on biological functioning among other accomplishments.



● FIGURE 2.2


In the diathesis–stress model, the greater the underlying vulnerability, the less stress is needed to trigger a disorder.

she might have gone through life without ever knowing she had this tendency, at least to such an extreme, although she might have felt queasy about minor cuts and bruises. You can see that the diathesis is genetically based and the stress is environmental but that they must interact to produce a disorder.

We might also take the case of someone who inherits a vulnerability to alcoholism, which would make that person substantially different from a close friend who does not have the same tendency. During college, both engage in extended drinking bouts, but only the individual with the so-called addictive genes begins the long downward spiral into alcoholism. The friend doesn't. Having a particular vulnerability doesn't mean you will necessarily develop the associated disorder. The smaller the vulnerability, the greater the life stress required to produce the disorder; conversely, with greater vulnerability, less life stress is required. This model of gene–environment interactions has been popular, although, in view of the relationship of the environment to the structure and function of the brain, it is greatly oversimplified.

This relationship was demonstrated in an elegant way in a landmark study by Caspi and colleagues (2003). These investigators studied a group of 847 individuals in New Zealand who had undergone a variety of assessments for more than two decades, starting at the age of 3. They also noted whether the participants, at age 26, had been depressed during the past year. Overall, 17% of the study participants reported that they had experienced a major depressive episode during the prior year, and 3% reported that they felt suicidal. But the crucial part of the study is that the investigators also identified the genetic makeup of the individuals and, in particular, a gene that produces a substance called a *chemical transporter* that affects the transmission of serotonin in the brain, called the *5-HTT gene*. Serotonin, one of the neurotransmitters we will talk about later in the chapter, is particularly implicated in depression and related disorders. But the gene that Caspi and colleagues were studying comes in two common versions, or *alleles*: the long allele and the short allele. There was reason to believe, from prior work with animals, that individuals with at least two copies of the long allele (LL) were able to cope better with stress than individuals with two copies of the short allele (SS). Because the investigators have been recording stressful life events in these

individuals most of their lives, they were able to test this relationship. In people with two S alleles, the risk for having a major depressive episode doubled if they had at least four stressful life events, compared with participants experiencing four stressful events who had two L alleles. But the interesting finding occurs when we look at the childhood experience of these individuals. In people with the SS alleles, severe and stressful maltreatment during childhood more than doubled their risks of depression in adulthood compared with those individuals carrying the SS alleles who were not maltreated or abused (63% versus 30%). For individuals carrying the LL alleles, on the other hand, stressful childhood experiences did not affect the incidence of depression in adulthood; 30% of this group became depressed whether they had experienced stressful childhoods or not. (This relationship is shown in ● Figure 2.3.) Therefore, unlike this



● FIGURE 2.3

Interaction of genes and early environment in producing adult major depression. (Reprinted, with permission, from Caspi, A., Sugden, K., Moffitt, T. E., Taylor, A., Craig, I. W., Harrington, H., et al. (2003). Influence of life stress on depression: Moderation by a polymorphism in the 5-HTT gene. *Science*, 301, 386–389, © 2003 AAAS.)

SS group, depression in the LL allele group seems related to stress in their recent past rather than childhood experiences. This study was very important in demonstrating clearly that neither genes nor life experiences (environmental events) can solely explain the onset of a disorder such as depression. It takes a complex interaction of the two factors.

Other studies have replicated or supported these findings (Binder, 2008; Karg, Burmeister, Shedd, & Sen, 2011; Kilpatrick et al., 2007; Mercer et al., 2012; Rutter et al., 2006). For example, in the Kilpatrick et al. (2007) study on the development of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), 589 adults who experienced the Florida hurricanes of 2004 were interviewed and DNA was collected to examine genetic structure. Individuals with the same genetic makeup (SS) that signaled vulnerability in the Caspi and colleagues (2003) study were also more likely to develop PTSD after the hurricanes than those with the LL alleles. But another factor played a role as well. If individuals had a strong network of family and friends (strong social support), they were protected from developing PTSD even if they had the vulnerable genetic makeup and experienced a trauma (the hurricane). High-risk individuals (high hurricane exposure, SS alleles, and low social support) were at 4.5 times the risk of developing PTSD, as well as depression.


Also, in a study of the same group of New Zealand individuals by the investigators who carried out the study described earlier, Caspi et al. (2002) found that a different set of genes from those associated with depression seems to contribute to violent and anti-social behavior in adults. But again, this genetic predisposition occurs only if the individuals were maltreated as children. That is, some children who were maltreated turned out to be violent and antisocial as adults, but they were four times more likely to do their share of rape, robbery, and assault if they had a certain genetic makeup than were those who didn't have the genetic makeup. These studies require replication. In fact, subsequent research suggests that it is not just any one genetic variation that makes people susceptible to stress or other environmental influences (Risch et al., 2009; Goldman, Glei, Lin, & Weinstein, 2010). A larger network of genes almost certainly plays a role in the development of depression and other disorders. These and subsequent studies,

however, do provide powerful, if preliminary, support for the gene-environment interaction model that had only indirect support until this time (Uher, 2011).

The Gene-Environment Correlation Model

With additional study, psychologists have found the web of interrelationships between genes and environment to be even more complex. Some evidence now indicates that genetic endowment may *increase the probability* that an individual will experience stressful life events (see Kendler, 2006, 2011; Rutter, 2006, 2010; Saudino, Pedersen, Lichtenstein, McClearn, & Plomin, 1997; Thapar & McGuffin, 2009). For example, people with a genetic vulnerability to develop a certain disorder, such as blood-injury-injection phobia, may also have a personality trait—let's say impulsiveness—that makes them more likely to be involved in minor accidents that would result in their seeing blood. In other words, they may be accident prone because they are continually rushing to complete things or to get to places without regard for their physical safety. These people, then, might have a genetically determined tendency to create the very environmental risk factors that trigger a genetic vulnerability to blood-injury-injection phobia.

This is the **gene-environment correlation model** or reciprocal gene-environment model (Jaffee, 2011; Kendler, 2011; Thapar & McGuffin, 2009) (see ● Figure 2.4). Some evidence indicates that it applies to the development of depression, because some people may tend to seek out difficult relationships or other circumstances that lead to depression (Eley, 2011). This did not seem to be the case in the New Zealand study described earlier (Caspi et al., 2003), however, because stressful episodes during adulthood occurred with about the same frequency in the SS and the LL groups. McGue and Lykken (1992) have even applied the gene-environment correlation model to some fascinating data on the influence of genes on the divorce rate. For example, if you and your spouse each have an identical twin, and both identical twins have been divorced, the chance that you will also divorce increases greatly. Furthermore, if your identical twin and your parents and your spouse's parents have been divorced, the chance that you will divorce is 77.5%. Conversely, if none of your family members on



● FIGURE 2.4

Reciprocal Gene-Environment Model

either side has been divorced, the probability that you will divorce is only 5.3%.

This is the extreme example, but McGue and Lykken (1992) demonstrated that the probability of your divorcing doubles over the probability in the population at large if your fraternal twin is also divorced and increases sixfold if your identical twin is divorced. Why would this happen? Obviously, no one gene causes divorce. To the extent that it is genetically determined, the tendency to divorce is almost certainly related to various inherited traits, such as being high-strung, impulsive, or short-tempered, that make someone hard to get along with (Jockin, McGue, & Lykken, 1996). Another possibility is that an inherited trait makes it more likely you will choose an incompatible spouse. To take a simple example, if you are passive and unassertive, you may well choose a strong, dominant mate who turns out to be difficult to live with. You get divorced but then find yourself attracted to another individual with the same personality traits, who is also difficult to live with. Some people would simply attribute this kind of pattern to poor judgment. Nevertheless, there's no doubt that social, interpersonal, psychological, and environmental factors play major roles in whether we stay married, and it's quite possible that our genes contribute to how we create our own environment.

Epigenetics and the Nongenomic "Inheritance" of Behavior

To make things a bit more interesting but also more complicated, a number of reports suggest that studies to date have overemphasized the extent of genetic influence on our personalities, our temperaments, and their contribution to the development of psychological disorders (Mill, 2011). This overemphasis may be partly the result of the manner in which these studies have been conducted (Moore, 2001; Turkheimer & Waldron, 2000). Several intriguing lines of evidence have come together in recent years to buttress this conclusion.

For example, in their animal laboratories, Crabbe, Wahlsten, and Dudek (1999) conducted a clever experiment in which three types of mice with different genetic makeups were raised in virtually identical environments at three sites, the home universities of the behavioral geneticists just named. Each mouse of a given type (for example, type A) was genetically indistinguishable from all other mice of that type at each of the universities. The experimenters went out of their way to make sure the environments (for example, laboratory, cage, and lighting conditions) were the same at each university. For example, each site had the same kind of sawdust bedding that was changed on the same day of the week. If the animals had to be handled, all of them were handled at the same time by experimenters wearing the same kind of glove. When their tails were marked for identification, the same type of pen was used. If genes determine the behavior of the mice, then mice with virtually identical genetic makeup (type A) should have performed the same at all three sites on a series of tests, as should have type B and type C mice. But the results showed that this did not happen. Although a certain type of mouse might perform similarly on a specific test across all

three sites, on other tests that type of mouse performed differently. Robert Sapolsky, a prominent neuroscientist, concluded, "genetic influences are often a lot less powerful than is commonly believed. The environment, even working subtly, can still mold and hold its own in the biological interactions that shape who we are" (Sapolsky, 2000, p. 15).

In another fascinating program of research with rodents (Cameron et al., 2005; Francis, Diorio, Liu, & Meaney, 1999; Weaver et al., 2004), the investigators studied stress reactivity and how it is passed through generations, using a powerful experimental procedure called *cross-fostering*, in which a rat pup born to one mother is assigned to another mother for rearing. They first demonstrated, as had many other investigators, that maternal behavior affected how the young rats tolerated stress. If the mothers were calm and supportive, their rat pups were less fearful and better able to tolerate stress. But we don't know if this effect results from genetic influences or from being raised by calm mothers. This is where cross-fostering comes in. Francis et al. (1999) took some newly born rat pups of fearful and easily stressed mothers and placed them for rearing with calm mothers. Other young rats remained with their easily stressed mothers. With this interesting scientific twist, Francis et al. (1999) demonstrated that calm and supportive behavior by the mothers could be passed down through generations of rats *independent of genetic influences*, because rats born to easily stressed mothers but reared by calm mothers grew up more calm and supportive. The authors concluded,

These findings suggest that individual differences in the expression of genes in brain regions that regulate stress reactivity can be transmitted from one generation to the next through behavior. . . . The results . . . suggest that the mechanism for this pattern of inheritance involves differences in maternal care. (p. 1158)

In subsequent studies from this group (Cameron et al., 2005), the investigators demonstrated that the maternal behavior had lastingly altered the endocrine response to stress by affecting gene expression. But this effect only occurred if the rat mother was calm and nurturing during the rat pups' first week of life. After that, it didn't matter. This highlights the importance of early experience on behavior.

Other scientists have reported similar results (Anisman, Zaharia, Meaney, & Merali, 1998; Harper, 2005). For example, Suomi (1999), working with rhesus monkeys and using the cross-fostering strategies just described, showed that if genetically reactive and emotional young monkeys are reared by calm mothers for the first 6 months of their lives, the animals behaved in later life as if they were nonemotional and not reactive to stress at birth. In other words, the environmental effects of early parenting seem to override any genetic contribution to be anxious, emotional, or reactive to stress. Suomi (1999) also demonstrated that these emotionally reactive monkeys raised by "calm, supportive" parents were also calm and supportive when raising their own children, thereby influencing and even reversing the genetic contribution to the expression of personality traits or temperaments.

Strong effects of the environment have also been observed in humans. For example, Tienari et al. (1994) found that children whose parents had schizophrenia and who were adopted away as babies demonstrated a tendency to develop psychiatric disorders (including schizophrenia) themselves only if they were adopted into dysfunctional families. Those children adopted into functional families with high-quality parenting did not develop the disorders. Thus, it is probably too simplistic to say the genetic contribution to a personality trait or to a psychological disorder is approximately 50%. We can talk of a heritable (genetic) contribution only in the context of the individual's past and present environment (Dickens et al., 2011).

In support of this conclusion, Suomi (2000) demonstrated that for young monkeys with a specific genetic pattern associated with a highly reactive temperament (emotional or susceptible to the effects of stress), early maternal deprivation (disruptions in mothering) will have a powerful effect on their neuroendocrine functioning and their later behavioral and emotional reactions. For animals not carrying this genetic characteristic, however, maternal deprivation will have little effect, just as was found in the New Zealand study in humans by Caspi et al. (2003), and it is likely this effect will be carried down through the generations. But, as noted above in the example of genetic influences on cognitive abilities (Turkheimer et al., 2003), extremely chaotic early environments can override genetic factors and alter neuroendocrine function to increase the likelihood of later behavioral and emotional disorders (Dickens et al., 2011; Ouellet-Morin et al., 2008).

How does this work? Although the environment cannot change our DNA, it can change the gene expression. It seems that genes are turned on or off by cellular material that is located just outside of the genome ("epi," as in the word **epigenetics**, means on or around) and that stress, nutrition, or other factors can affect this epigenome, which is then immediately passed down to the next generation and maybe for several generations (Arai, Li, Hartley, & Feig, 2009; Mill, 2011). The genome itself isn't changed, so if the stressful or inadequate environment disappears, eventually the epigenome will fade. These new conceptualizations of the role of genetic contributions as only constraining environmental influences have implications for preventing unwanted personality traits or temperaments and even psychological disorders. That is, it seems that environmental manipulations, particularly early in life, may do much to override the genetically influenced tendency to develop undesirable behavioral and emotional reactions. Although current research suggests that environmental influences, such as peer groups and schools, affect this genetic expression, the strongest evidence exists for the effects of early parenting influences and other early experiences (Cameron et al., 2005; Mill, 2011; Ouellet-Morin et al., 2008).

Nowhere is the complexity of the interaction of genetic and environmental influences more apparent than in the famous cases of Chang and Eng, a pair of conjoined identical twins born to parents living in Thailand in 1810 (known as Siam at the time) who were joined at the chest. These individuals, who were successful entertainers and traveled around the world performing at exhibitions, were the source of the name "Siamese twins." What is important for our purposes here is that these identical twins

obviously shared identical genes, as well as nearly identical environments throughout their lives. Thus, we would certainly expect them to behave in similar ways when it comes to personality features, temperaments, and psychological disorders. But everybody who knew these twins noted that they had distinct personalities. Chang was prone to moodiness and depression, and he finally started drinking heavily. Eng, on the other hand, was more cheerful, quiet, and thoughtful (Moore, 2001).

In summary, a complex interaction between genes and the environment plays an important role in every psychological disorder (Kendler, et al., 2011; Rutter, 2006, 2010; Turkheimer, 1998). Our genetic endowment does contribute to our behavior, our emotions, and our cognitive processes and constrains the influence of environmental factors, such as upbringing, on our later behavior, as is evident in the New Zealand study (Caspi et al., 2003) and its later replications. Environmental events, in turn, seem to affect our very genetic structure by determining whether certain genes are activated or not (Kendler, 2011; Landis & Insel, 2008). Furthermore, strong environmental influences alone may be sufficient to override genetic diatheses. Thus, neither nature (genes) nor nurture (environmental events) alone, but rather a complex interaction of the two, influences the development of our behavior and personalities.

Neuroscience and Its Contributions to Psychopathology

Knowing how the nervous system and, especially, how the brain works is central to any understanding of our behavior, emotions, and cognitive processes. This is the focus of **neuroscience**. To

comprehend the newest research in this field, we first need an overview of how the brain and the nervous system function. The human nervous system includes the *central nervous system*, consisting of the brain and the spinal cord, and the *peripheral nervous system*, consisting of the somatic nervous system and the autonomic nervous system (see ● Figure 2.5).

The Central Nervous System

The central nervous system processes all information received from our sense organs and reacts as necessary. It sorts out what is relevant, such as a certain taste or a new sound, from what isn't, such as a familiar view or ticking clock; checks the memory banks to determine why the information is relevant; and implements the right reaction, whether it is to answer a simple question or to play a Mozart sonata. This is a lot of exceedingly complex work. The spinal cord is part of the central nervous system, but its primary function is to facilitate the sending of messages to and from the brain, which is the other major component of the central nervous system (CNS) and the most complex organ in the body. The brain uses an average of 140 billion nerve cells, called **neurons**, to control our thoughts and action. Neurons transmit information throughout the nervous system.

It is important to understand what a neuron is and how it works. The typical neuron contains a central cell body with two kinds of branches. One kind of branch is called a *dendrite*. Dendrites have numerous *receptors* that receive messages in the form of chemical impulses from other nerve cells, which are converted into electrical impulses. The other kind of branch, called an *axon*, transmits these impulses to other neurons. Any one nerve cell may have multiple connections to other neurons. These connections are called *synapses*. The brain has billions of nerve cells, more than 100 billion by some estimates, and trillions of synapses; so you can see how complicated the system becomes, far more complicated than the most powerful computer that has ever been built (or will be for some time). Inspired by the Human Genome Project, the White House announced in 2013 the BRAIN initiative (the acronym stands for *Brain Research through Advancing Innovative Neurotechnologies*). The goal of this highly ambitious project is to revolutionize our understanding of the human brain, which organizes every facet of our existence.

The smallest building blocks of the brain are the neurons that form a highly complex network of information flow. Within each neuron, information is transmitted through electrical impulses, called **action potentials**, traveling along the axon of a neuron. The end of an axon is called a **terminal button**. Neurons are not actually connected directly to each other. There is a small space through which the impulse must pass to get to the next neuron. The space between the terminal button of one neuron and the dendrite of another is called the **synaptic cleft**. What happens in this space is of great interest to psychopathologists. The biochemicals that are released from the axon of one neuron and transmit the impulse to the dendrite receptors of another neuron are called **neurotransmitters**, which are chemicals stored in vesicles in the terminal buttons (see ● Figures 2.6 and 2.12). These were mentioned briefly when we described the genetic contribution to the depression in the New Zealand study



The central nervous system screens out information that is irrelevant to the current situation. From moment to moment we notice what moves or changes more than what remains the same.

(Caspi et al., 2003). Only in the past several decades have we begun to understand their complexity. Now, using increasingly sensitive equipment and techniques, scientists have identified many types of neurotransmitters.


In addition to neurons, there is another type of cell that comprises the nervous system—*glia* (or glial) cells. Even though these cells outnumber neurons by a ratio of about 10 to 1, for many years they were little studied because scientists believed that they were passive cells that merely served to connect and insulate neurons (Koob, 2009). More recently, scientists have discovered that glia actually play active roles in neural activity (Eroglu & Barres, 2010). It is now known that there are different types of glia cells with several specific functions, some of which serve to modulate neurotransmitter activity (Allen & Barres, 2009; Perea & Araque, 2007). Better understanding the role of glia cells in neurotransmitter processes is an important new area of research. To date, however, the most advanced neuroscience research in psychopathology focuses on neurons.

Major neurotransmitters relevant to psychopathology include *norepinephrine* (also known as noradrenaline), *serotonin*, *dopamine*, *gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA)*, and *glutamate*. You will see these terms many times in this book. Some neurotransmitters are **excitatory**, because they increase the likelihood that the connecting neuron will fire, whereas other neurotransmitters are **inhibitory** because they decrease the likelihood that the connecting neuron will fire. Some neurons can receive input from both excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmitters.

Excesses or insufficiencies in some neurotransmitters are associated with different groups of psychological disorders. For

● FIGURE 2.5


Divisions of the nervous system. (Reprinted from Kalat, J. W. (2009). *Biological Psychology*, 10th edition, © 2009 Wadsworth.)



example, reduced levels of GABA were initially thought to be associated with excessive anxiety (Costa, 1985). Early research (Snyder, 1976, 1981) linked increases in dopamine activity to schizophrenia. Other early research found correlations between depression and high levels of norepinephrine (Schildkraut, 1965) and, possibly, low levels of serotonin (Siever, Davis, & Gorman, 1991). However, more recent research, described later in this chapter, indicates that these early interpretations were too simplistic. In view of their importance, we return to the subject of neurotransmitters shortly.

The Structure of the Brain

Having an overview of the brain is useful because many structures described here are later mentioned in the context of specific disorders. One way to view the brain (see ● Figure 2.7) is to see it in two parts—the *brain stem* and the *forebrain*. The brain stem is the lower and more ancient part of the brain. Found in most animals, this structure handles most of the essential automatic functions, such as breathing, sleeping, and moving around in a coordinated way. The forebrain is more advanced and evolved more recently.



● FIGURE 2.6

The transmission of information from one neuron to another. (Adapted from Goldstein, B. (1994). *Psychology*, © 1994 Brooks/Cole Publishing Company.)

The lowest part of the brain stem, the *hindbrain*, contains the *medulla*, the *pons*, and the *cerebellum*. The hindbrain regulates many automatic activities, such as breathing, the pumping action of the heart (heartbeat), and digestion. The cerebellum controls motor coordination, and recent research suggests that abnormalities in the cerebellum may be associated with autism, although the connection with motor coordination is not clear (Courchesne, 1997; Lee et al., 2002; Fatemi et al., 2012; see Chapter 14).

Also located in the brain stem is the *midbrain*, which coordinates movement with sensory input and contains parts of the *reticular activating system*, which contributes to processes of arousal and tension, such as whether we are awake or asleep.


At the top of the brain stem are the *thalamus* and *hypothalamus*, which are involved broadly with regulating behavior and emotion. These structures function primarily as a relay between the forebrain and the remaining lower areas of the brain stem.

Some anatomists even consider the thalamus and hypothalamus to be parts of the forebrain.

At the base of the forebrain, just above the thalamus and hypothalamus, is the *limbic system*. *Limbic* means border, so named because it is located around the edge of the center of the brain. The limbic system, which figures prominently in much of psychopathology, includes such structures as the *hippocampus* (sea horse), *cingulate gyrus* (girdle), *septum* (partition), and *amygdala* (almond), all of which are named for their approximate shapes. This system helps regulate our emotional experiences and expressions and, to some extent, our ability to learn and to control our impulses. It is also involved with the basic drives of sex, aggression, hunger, and thirst.

The *basal ganglia*, also at the base of the forebrain, include the *caudate* (tailed) *nucleus*. Because damage to these structures is involved in changing our posture or twitching or shaking, they are believed to control motor activity. Later in this chapter, we review some interesting findings on the relationship of this area to obsessive-compulsive disorder.

The largest part of the forebrain is the *cerebral cortex*, which contains more than 80% of all neurons in the central nervous system. This part of the brain provides us with our distinctly human qualities, allowing us to look to the future and plan, to reason, and to create. The cerebral cortex is divided into two hemispheres. Although the hemispheres look alike structurally and operate relatively independently (both are capable of perceiving, thinking, and remembering), recent research indicates that each has different specialties. The left hemisphere seems to be chiefly responsible for verbal and other cognitive processes. The right hemisphere seems to be better at perceiving the world around us and creating images. The hemispheres may play differential roles in specific



● FIGURE 2.7A

Three divisions of the brain. (Reprinted, with permission, from Kalat, J. W. (2009). *Biological Psychology*, 10th edition, © 2009 Wadsworth.)




FIGURE 2.7B

Major structures of the brain. (Reprinted, with permission, from Kalat, J. W. (2009). *Biological Psychology*, 10th edition, © 2009 Wadsworth.)




FIGURE 2.7C

The limbic system. (Reprinted, with permission, from Kalat, J. W. (2009). *Biological Psychology*, 10th edition, © 2009 Wadsworth.)






FIGURE 2.7D

The basal ganglia. (Reprinted, with permission, from Kalat, J. W. (2009). *Biological Psychology*, 10th edition, © 2009 Wadsworth.)



(a)



● FIGURE 2.8

Some major subdivisions of the human cerebral cortex and a few of their primary functions. (Reprinted, with permission, from Kalat, J. W. (2009). *Biological Psychology*, 10th edition, © 2009 Wadsworth.)

psychological disorders. Each hemisphere consists of four separate areas, or *lobes*: temporal, parietal, occipital, and frontal (see ● Figure 2.8). Each is associated with different processes. Of the first three areas, the *temporal lobe* is associated with recognizing various sights and sounds and with long-term memory storage, the *parietal lobe* is associated with recognizing various sensations of touch and monitoring body positioning, and the *occipital lobe* is associated with integrating and making sense of various visual inputs. These three lobes, located toward the back (posterior) of the brain, work together to process sight, touch, hearing, and other signals from our senses.

The *frontal lobe* is the most interesting from the point of view of psychopathology. The front (or anterior) of the frontal lobe is called the *prefrontal cortex*, and this is the area responsible for higher cognitive functions such as thinking and reasoning, planning for the future, and long-term memory. This area of the brain synthesizes all information received from other parts of the brain and decides how to respond. It is what enables us to relate to the world around us and the people in it—to behave as social animals. When studying areas of the brain for clues to psychopathology, most researchers focus on the frontal lobe of the cerebral cortex, as well as on the limbic system and the basal ganglia.

The Peripheral Nervous System

The peripheral nervous system coordinates with the brain stem to make sure the body is working properly. Its two major components

are the *somatic nervous system* and the *autonomic nervous system*. The somatic nervous system controls the muscles, so damage in this area might make it difficult for us to engage in any voluntary movement, including talking. The autonomic nervous system includes the *sympathetic nervous system* and *parasympathetic nervous system*. The primary duties of the autonomic nervous system are to regulate the cardiovascular system (for example, the heart and blood vessels) and the endocrine system (for example, the pituitary, adrenal, thyroid, and gonadal glands) and to perform various other functions, including aiding digestion and regulating body temperature (see ● Figure 2.9).

The *endocrine system* works a bit differently from other systems in the body. Each endocrine gland produces its own chemical messenger, called a **hormone**, and releases it directly into the bloodstream. The adrenal glands produce *epinephrine* (also called *adrenaline*) in response to stress, as well as salt-regulating hormones; the thyroid gland produces *thyroxine*, which facilitates energy metabolism and growth; the pituitary is a master gland that produces a variety of regulatory hormones; and the gonadal glands produce sex hormones such as estrogen and testosterone. The endocrine system is closely related to the immune system; it is also implicated in a variety of disorders. In addition to contributing to stress-related physical disorders discussed in Chapter 9, endocrine regulation may play a role in depression, anxiety, schizophrenia, and other disorders (McEwen, 2013). Some studies have found, for example, that depressed patients may respond better to an antidepressant medication if it is administered in combination




FIGURE 2.9

The sympathetic nervous system (red lines) and parasympathetic nervous system (blue lines). (Reprinted, with permission, from Kalat, J. W. (2009). *Biological Psychology*, 10th edition, © 2009 Wadsworth.)

with a thyroid hormone (Nierenberg et al., 2006), or for some older depressed men coadministration of testosterone may enhance antidepressant effects (Pope, Cohane, Kanayama, Siegel, & Hudson, 2003). This interdisciplinary area of research is termed *psychoneuroendocrinology* and is a growing subfield.

Sympathetic and parasympathetic divisions of the autonomic nervous system operate in a complementary fashion. The sympathetic nervous system is primarily responsible for mobilizing the body during times of stress or danger by rapidly activating the organs and glands under its control. When the sympathetic division goes on alert, three things happen. The heart beats faster, thereby increasing the flow of blood to the muscles; respiration

increases, allowing more oxygen to get into the blood and brain; and the adrenal glands are stimulated. All three changes help mobilize us for action. When you read in the newspaper that a woman lifted a heavy object to free a trapped child, you can be sure her sympathetic nervous system was working overtime. This system mediates a substantial part of our “emergency” or “alarm” reaction, discussed later in this chapter and in Chapter 5.

One of the functions of the parasympathetic system is to balance the sympathetic system. In other words, because we could not operate in a state of hyperarousal and preparedness forever, the parasympathetic nervous system takes over after the sympathetic nervous system has been active for a while, normalizing our

arousal and facilitating the storage of energy by helping the digestive process.

One brain connection implicated in some psychological disorders involves the hypothalamus and the endocrine system. The hypothalamus connects to the adjacent pituitary gland, which is the master or coordinator of the endocrine system. The pituitary gland, in turn, may stimulate the cortical part of the adrenal glands on top of the kidneys. As we noted previously, surges of epinephrine tend to energize us, arouse us, and get our bodies ready for threat or challenge. When athletes say their adrenaline was really flowing, they mean they were highly aroused and up for the competition. The cortical part of the adrenal glands also produces the stress hormone cortisol. This system is called the *hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical axis*, or *HPA axis* (see ● Figure 2.10); it has been implicated in several psychological disorders and is mentioned in Chapters 5, 7, and 9. There is good evidence showing that a dysregulation of the HPA axis is linked to depression (Burke, Davis, Otte, & Mohr, 2005). A recent study by Gotlib and colleagues (2015) further suggests that a specific feature on

a chromosome, called a *telomere*, appears to moderate the effect of depression and cortisol. Telomeres are certain structures that cap the ends of chromosomes to protect the chromosome from deteriorating or getting entangled with neighboring chromosomes. The study by Gotlib and colleagues found that daughters of depressed mothers had shorter telomeres than did daughters of never-depressed mothers. Moreover, shorter telomeres were associated with greater cortisol reactivity to stress. In the future, it might be possible to use such genetic information to find ways to prevent the development of depression in vulnerable people by identifying individuals with shorter telomeres and training them to be more resilient to stress.


This brief overview should give you a general sense of the structure and function of the brain and nervous system. New procedures for studying brain structure and function that involve photographing the working brain are discussed in Chapter 3. Here, we focus on what these studies reveal about the nature of psychopathology.

Neurotransmitters

The biochemical neurotransmitters in the brain and nervous system that carry messages from one neuron to another continue to receive intense attention from psychopathologists (Bloom & Kupfer, 1995; LeDoux, 2002; Iverson, 2006; Iverson & Iverson, 2007; Nestler, Hyman, & Malenka, 2008). One good example is the role of the neurotransmitter serotonin in some studies of gene-environment interactions described earlier (e.g., Karg et al., 2011). This biochemical was discovered only in the past several decades, and only in the past few years have we developed the extraordinarily sophisticated procedures necessary to study them. One way to think of neurotransmitters is as narrow currents flowing through the ocean of the brain. Sometimes they run parallel with other currents, only to separate again. Often they seem to meander aimlessly, looping back on themselves before moving on. Neurons that are sensitive to one type of neurotransmitter cluster together and form paths from one part of the brain to the other.

These paths may overlap with the paths of other neurotransmitters, but, as often as not, they end up going their separate ways (Bloom, Nelson, & Lazerson, 2001; Dean, Kelsey, Heller, & Ciaranello, 1993). There are thousands, perhaps tens of thousands, of these **brain circuits**, and we are just beginning to discover and map them (Arenkiel & Ehlers, 2009). Neuroscientists have identified several neural pathways that seem to play roles in various psychological disorders (Fineberg et al., 2010; LeDoux, 2002, 2015; Stahl, 2008; Tau & Peterson, 2010).

New neurotransmitters are frequently discovered, and existing neurotransmitter systems must be subdivided into separate classifications. Estimates suggest that more than 100 different neurotransmitters, each with multiple receptors, are functioning in various parts of the nervous system (Borodinsky et al., 2004; Kalat, 2013; Sharp, 2009). Also, scientists are increasingly discovering additional biochemicals and gases that have certain neurotransmitter properties. Because this dynamic field of research is in a state of considerable flux, the neuroscience of psychopathology is an exciting area of study that may lead to new drug treatments among other advances. Research findings that seem to apply to



● FIGURE 2.10

Location of some major endocrine glands. (Reprinted, with permission, from Kalat, J. W. (2009). *Biological Psychology*, 10th edition, © 2009 Wadsworth.)

psychopathology today may no longer be relevant tomorrow, however. Many years of study will be required before it is all sorted out.

You may still read reports that certain psychological disorders are “caused” by biochemical imbalances, excesses, or deficiencies in certain neurotransmitter systems. For example, abnormal activity of the neurotransmitter serotonin is often described as causing depression, and abnormalities in the neurotransmitter dopamine have been implicated in schizophrenia. Increasing evidence indicates that this is an enormous oversimplification, however. We are now learning that the effects of neurotransmitter activity are less specific. They often seem to be related to the way we process information (Harmer et al., 2009; Kandel, Schwartz, & Jessell, 2000; LeDoux, 2002; Sullivan & LeDoux, 2004). Changes in neurotransmitter activity may make people more or less likely to exhibit certain kinds of behavior in certain situations without causing the behavior directly. In addition, broad-based disturbances in our functioning are almost always associated with interactions of the various neurotransmitters rather than with alterations in the activity of any one system (Fineberg et al., 2010; LeDoux, 2002; Stahl, 2008; Xing, Zhang, Russell, & Post, 2006). In other words, the currents intersect so often that changes in one neurotransmitter result in changes in the other, often in a way scientists have not yet been able to predict.

Research on neurotransmitter function focuses primarily on what happens when activity levels change. We can study this in several ways. We can introduce substances called **agonists** that effectively *increase* the activity of a neurotransmitter by mimicking its effects; substances called **antagonists** that *decrease*, or block, a neurotransmitter; or substances called **inverse agonists** that produce effects *opposite* to those produced by the neurotransmitter. By systematically manipulating the production of a neurotransmitter in different parts of the brain, scientists are able to learn more about its effects. Most drugs could be classified as either agonistic or antagonistic, although they may achieve these results in a variety of ways. That is, these drug therapies work by either increasing or decreasing the flow of specific neurotransmitters. Some drugs directly inhibit, or block, the production of a neurotransmitter. Other drugs increase the production of competing biochemical substances that may deactivate the neurotransmitter. Yet other drugs do not affect neurotransmitters directly but prevent the chemical from reaching the next neuron by closing down, or occupying, the receptors in that neuron. After a neurotransmitter is released, it is quickly broken down and brought back from the synaptic cleft into the same neuron that released it. This process is called **reuptake**. Normally, the action of a neurotransmitter is fairly brief to ensure that the nervous system can quickly adjust to environmental changes and situational demands. Sometimes, psychological problems are associated with too much of a particular neurotransmitter in the synaptic gap, sometimes with too little. Some drugs work by blocking the reuptake process, thereby causing continued stimulation along the brain circuit; other drugs work by stimulating or blocking the release of a neurotransmitter into the synaptic gap or by blocking the receptor of a neurotransmitter. Here we will focus on several classic neurotransmitters most relevant to psychopathology. Two types of neurotransmitters, *monoamines* and *amino acids*, have been most studied in regard to psychopathology. These are considered the

“classic” neurotransmitters because they are synthesized in the nerve. Neurotransmitters in the monoamine class include norepinephrine (also known as *noradrenaline*), serotonin, and dopamine. Amino-acid neurotransmitters include gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) and glutamate.

Glutamate and GABA

Two major neurotransmitters affect much of what we do. Each of these substances is in the amino acid category of neurotransmitters. The first, **glutamate**, is an excitatory transmitter that “turns on” many different neurons, leading to action. A second type of amino acid transmitter is **gamma-aminobutyric acid**, or **GABA** for short, which is an inhibitory neurotransmitter. Thus, the job of GABA is to inhibit (or regulate) the transmission of information and action potentials. Because these two neurotransmitters work in concert to balance functioning in the brain, they have been referred to as the “chemical brothers” (LeDoux, 2002). Glutamate and GABA operate relatively independently at a molecular level, but the relative balance of each in a cell will determine whether the neuron is activated (fires) or not.

Another characteristic of these “chemical brothers” is that they are fast acting, as they would have to be for the brain to keep up with the many influences from the environment that require action or restraint. As is true for every neurotransmitter, over- or underactivity can cause some serious problems. Some people who like Chinese food and who are sensitive to glutamate may have experienced a few adverse reactions from a common additive in Chinese food referred to as MSG. MSG stands for monosodium glutamate and can increase the amount of glutamate in the body, causing headaches, ringing in the ears, or other physical symptoms in some people. We return to some exciting new findings involving glutamate-specific receptors when we discuss new treatments for anxiety disorders in Chapter 5.

As noted earlier, GABA reduces postsynaptic activity, which, in turn, inhibits a variety of behaviors and emotions. GABA was discovered before glutamate and has been studied for a longer period; its best-known effect is to reduce anxiety (Charney & Drevets, 2002; Davis, 2002; Sullivan & LeDoux, 2004; Griebel & Holmes, 2013). Scientists have discovered that a particular class of drugs, the *benzodiazepines*, or minor tranquilizers, makes it easier for GABA molecules to attach themselves to the receptors of specialized neurons. Thus, the higher the level of benzodiazepine, the more GABA becomes attached to neuron receptors and the calmer we become (to a point). Because benzodiazepines have certain addictive properties, clinical scientists are working to identify other substances that may also modulate levels of GABA; these include certain natural steroids in the brain (Eser, Schule, Baghai, Romeo, & Rupprecht, 2006; Gordon, 2002; Rupprecht et al., 2009).

As with other neurotransmitter systems, we now know that GABA’s effect is not specific




Computer-generated model of GABA.

University of California, Lewis Baster, Jr., M.D.

to anxiety but has a broader influence. The GABA system rides on many circuits distributed widely throughout the brain. GABA seems to reduce overall arousal somewhat and to temper our emotional responses. For example, in addition to reducing anxiety, minor tranquilizers have an anticonvulsant effect, relaxing muscle groups that may be subject to spasms. Drug compounds that increase GABA are also under evaluation as treatments for insomnia (Monti, Möhler, & Pandi-Perumal, 2010; Sullivan, 2012; Sullivan & Guilleminault, 2009; Walsh et al., 2008). Furthermore, the GABA system seems to reduce levels of anger, hostility, aggression, and perhaps even positive emotional states such as eager anticipation and pleasure, making GABA a generalized inhibiting neurotransmitter, much as glutamate has a generalized excitatory function (Bond & Lader, 1979; Lader, 1975; Sharp, 2009). We are also learning that the GABA system is not just one system working in only one manner but is composed of a number of subsystems. Different types of GABA receptors seem to act in different ways, with perhaps only one of the subtypes having an affinity for the benzodiazepine component (D'Hulst, Atack, & Kooy, 2009; Gray, 1985; LeDoux, 2002, 2015; Sharp, 2009). Therefore, the conclusion that this system is responsible for anxiety seems just as out of date as concluding that the serotonin system is responsible for depression (see the next section).


Serotonin

The technical name for **serotonin** is 5-hydroxytryptamine (5HT). It is in the monoamine category of neurotransmitters, along with norepinephrine and dopamine, discussed next. Approximately six major circuits of serotonin spread from the midbrain, looping around its various parts (Azmitia, 1978) (see ● Figure 2.11).



● FIGURE 2.11

Major serotonin pathways in the brain.



J. James Frost, M.D., PhD / Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine

A positron emission tomography scan shows the distribution of serotonergic neurons.

Because of the widespread nature of these circuits, many of them ending up in the cortex, serotonin is believed to influence a great deal of our behavior, particularly the way we process information (Harmer, 2008; Merens, Willem Van der Does, & Spinrhoven, 2007; Spoont, 1992). It was genetically influenced dysregulation in this system that contributed to depression in the New Zealand study described earlier (Caspi et al., 2003).

The serotonin system regulates our behavior, moods, and thought processes. Extremely low activity levels of serotonin are associated with less inhibition and with instability, impulsivity, and the tendency to overreact to situations. Low serotonin activity has been associated with aggression, suicide, impulsive overeating, and excessive sexual behavior (Berman, McCloskey, Fanning, Schumacher, & Coccaro, 2009). These behaviors do not *necessarily* happen if serotonin activity is low, however. Other currents in the brain, or other psychological or social influences, may well compensate for low serotonin activity. Therefore, low serotonin activity may make us more vulnerable to certain problematic behavior without directly causing it (as mentioned earlier). On the other end, high levels of serotonin may interact with GABA to counteract glutamate (the same fact is emerging about other neurotransmitter systems).

To add to the complexity, serotonin has slightly different effects depending on the type or subtype of receptors involved. There are approximately 15 different receptors in the serotonin system (Olivier, 2015). Several classes of drugs primarily affect the serotonin system, including the tricyclic antidepressants such as imipramine (known by its brand name, Tofranil). However, the class of drugs called *selective-serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs)*, including fluoxetine (Prozac) (see ● Figure 2.12), affects serotonin more directly than other drugs, including the tricyclic antidepressants. SSRIs are used to treat a number of psychological disorders, particularly anxiety, mood, and eating disorders. The herbal medication St. John's wort, available in many drugstores, also affects serotonin levels.




University of California/Lewis Baxter Jr. M.D.

Computer-generated model of serotonin.


How Neurotransmitters Work

Neurotransmitters are stored in tiny sacs at the end of the neuron **A**. An electric jolt makes the sacs merge with the outer membrane, and the neurotransmitter is released into the synapse **B**. The molecules diffuse across the gap and bind receptors, specialized proteins, on the adjacent neuron **C**. When sufficient neurotransmitter has been absorbed, the receptors release the molecules, which are then broken down or reabsorbed by the first neuron and stored for later use **D**.



How Serotonin Drugs Work

Prozac enhances serotonin's effects by preventing it from being absorbed **E**. Redux and fenfluramine (antiobesity drugs) cause the release of extra serotonin into the synapse **F**. Unfortunately, these drugs have been recalled by the FDA for dangerous cardiovascular side effects (see Chapter 8).



Receptor Variation

There are at least 15 different serotonin receptors, each associated with a different function.



● FIGURE 2.12

Manipulating serotonin in the brain.

Norepinephrine


A third neurotransmitter system in the monoamine class important to psychopathology is **norepinephrine** (also known as **noradrenaline**) (see ● Figure 2.13). We have already seen that norepinephrine, like epinephrine (referred to as a catecholamine), is part of the endocrine system.

Norepinephrine seems to stimulate at least two groups (and probably several more) of receptors called *alpha-adrenergic* and *beta-adrenergic receptors*. Someone in your family may be taking a widely used class of drugs called *beta-blockers*, particularly if that person has hypertension or difficulties with regulating heart rate.

As the name indicates, these drugs block the beta-receptors so that their response to a surge of norepinephrine is reduced, which keeps blood pressure and heart rate down. In the central nervous system, a number of norepinephrine circuits have been identified. One major circuit begins in the hindbrain, an area that controls basic bodily



Computer-generated model of norepinephrine.



● FIGURE 2.13

Major norepinephrine pathways in the human brain. (Adapted from Kalat, J. W. (2009). *Biological Psychology*, 10th edition, © 2009 Wadsworth.)

functions such as respiration. Another circuit appears to influence the emergency reactions or alarm responses (Charney & Drevets, 2002; Gray & McNaughton, 1996; Sullivan & LeDoux, 2004) that occur when we suddenly find ourselves in a dangerous situation, suggesting that norepinephrine may bear some relationship to states of panic (Charney et al., 1990; Gray & McNaughton, 1996). More likely, however, is that this system, with all its varying circuits coursing through the brain, acts in a more general way to regulate or modulate certain behavioral tendencies and is not directly involved in specific patterns of behavior or in psychological disorders.

Dopamine

Finally, **dopamine** is a major neurotransmitter that is in the monoamine class and that is also termed a catecholamine because of the similarity of its chemical structure to epinephrine and norepinephrine. Dopamine has been implicated in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia (see ● Figure 2.14) and disorders of addiction (Le Foll, Gallo, Le Strat, Lu, & Gorwood, 2009). Some research also indicates it may play a significant role in depression (Dunlop & Nemeroff, 2007) and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (Volkow et al., 2009). Remember the wonder drug reserpine mentioned in Chapter 1 that reduced psychotic behaviors associated with schizophrenia? This drug and more modern antipsychotic treatments affect a number of neurotransmitter systems, but their greatest impact may be that they block specific dopamine receptors, thus lowering dopamine activity (see, for example, Snyder, Burt, & Creese, 1976). Thus, it was long thought possible that in schizophrenia

dopamine circuits may be too active. The recent development of second-generation antipsychotic drugs such as clozapine, which has only weak effects on certain dopamine receptors, suggests this idea may need revising. We explore the dopamine hypothesis in some detail in Chapter 13.




Computer-generated model of dopamine.

University of California/Lewis Bassier, Jr. M.D.

In its various circuits throughout specific regions of the brain, dopamine also seems to have a more general effect, best described as a switch that turns on various brain circuits possibly associated with certain types of behavior. Once the switch is turned on, other neurotransmitters may then inhibit or facilitate emotions or behavior (Armbruster et al., 2009; Oades, 1985; Spoont, 1992; Stahl, 2008). Dopamine circuits merge and cross with serotonin circuits at many points and therefore influence many of the same behaviors. For example, dopamine activity is associated with exploratory, outgoing, pleasure-seeking behaviors (Elovainio, Kivimaki, Viikari, Ekelund, & Keltikangas-Jarvinen, 2005), and serotonin is associated with inhibition and constraint; thus, in a sense they balance each other (Depue, Luciana, Arbisi, Collins, & Leon, 1994).

Again, we see that the effects of a neurotransmitter—in this case, dopamine—are more complex than we originally thought. Researchers have thus far discovered at least five different receptor sites that are selectively sensitive to dopamine (Beaulieu & Gainetdinov, 2011). One of a class of drugs that affects the dopamine circuits specifically is L-dopa, which is a dopamine agonist (increases levels of dopamine). One of the systems that dopamine switches on is the locomotor system, which regulates ability to move in a coordinated way and, once turned on, is influenced by serotonin activity. Because of these connections, deficiencies in dopamine have been associated with disorders such as Parkinson's disease, in which a marked deterioration in motor behavior includes tremors, rigidity of muscles, and difficulty with judgment. L-dopa has been successful in reducing some of these motor disabilities.



● FIGURE 2.14

Two major dopamine pathways. The mesolimbic system is apparently implicated in schizophrenia; the path to the basal ganglia contributes to problems in the locomotor system, such as tardive dyskinesia, which sometimes results from use of neuroleptic drugs. (Adapted from Kalat, J. W. (2009). *Biological Psychology*, 10th edition, © 2009 Wadsworth.)

Implications for Psychopathology

Psychological disorders typically mix emotional, behavioral, and cognitive symptoms, so identifiable lesions (or damage) localized in specific structures of the brain do not, for the most part, cause the disorders. Even widespread damage most often results in motor or sensory deficits, which are usually the province of the medical specialty of neurology; neurologists often work with neuropsychologists to identify specific lesions. But psychopathologists have been focusing lately on the more general role of brain function in the development of personality, with the goal of considering how different types of biologically driven personalities might be more vulnerable to developing certain types of psychological disorders. For example, genetic contributions might lead to patterns of neurotransmitter activity that influence personality. Thus, some impulsive risk takers may have low serotonergic activity and high dopaminergic activity.

Procedures for studying images of the functioning brain have been applied to a number of disorders, including *obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD)*. Individuals with this severe anxiety-based disorder (described in Chapter 5) suffer from intrusive, frightening thoughts—for example, some patients may fear that they might have become contaminated with deadly germs and will poison their loved ones if they touch them. To prevent this drastic consequence, they engage in compulsive rituals such as frequent washing to try to scrub off the imagined poison. A number of investigators have found intriguing differences between the brains of patients with OCD and the brains of other people. Although the sizes and structures of the brains are the same, patients with OCD have increased activity in the part of the frontal lobe of the cerebral cortex called the *orbital surface* (Chamberlain et al., 2008; Harrison et al., 2013). Increased activity is also present in the cingulate gyrus and, to a lesser extent, in the caudate nucleus, a circuit that extends from the orbital section of the frontal area of the cortex to parts of the thalamus. Activity in these areas seems to be correlated; that is, if one area is active, the other areas are also. These areas contain several pathways of neurotransmitters, and one of the most concentrated is serotonin.

Remember that one of the roles of serotonin seems to be to moderate our reactions. Eating behavior, sexual behavior, and aggression are under better control with adequate levels of serotonin. Research, mostly on animals, demonstrates that lesions (damage) that interrupt serotonin circuits seem to impair the ability to ignore irrelevant external cues, making the organism overactive. Thus, if we were to experience damage or interruption in this brain circuit, we might find ourselves acting on every thought or impulse that enters our heads.

Thomas Insel (1992) described a case originally reported by Eslinger and Damasio (1985) of a man who had been successful as an accountant, husband, and father of two before undergoing surgery for a brain tumor. He made a good recovery from surgery and seemed to be fine, but in the following year his business failed and he separated from his family. Although his scores on IQ tests were as high as ever and all his mental functions were intact, he was unable to keep a job or even be on time for an appointment. What was causing all these problems? He was engaging in


lengthy and uncontrollable compulsive rituals. Most of his days were consumed with washing, dressing, and rearranging things in the single room where he lived. In other words, he had classic obsessive-compulsive symptoms. The area of his brain damaged by removal of the tumor was a small area of his orbital frontal cortex.

This information seems to support a biological cause for psychopathology—in this case, OCD. You might think there is no need to consider social or psychological influences here. But Insel and other neuroscientists interpret these findings cautiously. First, this case involves only one individual. Other individuals with the *same* lesion might react differently. Also, brain-imaging studies are often inconsistent with one another on many important details. Sometimes pinpointing the increased or decreased activity is difficult because brains differ in their structure, just as bodies and faces do. Also, the orbital frontal cortex is implicated in other anxiety disorders and maybe other emotional disorders (Gansler et al., 2009; Goodwin, 2009; Sullivan & LeDoux, 2004), so the damage in this area of the brain may just increase negative affect more generally rather than OCD specifically. Therefore, more work has to be done, and perhaps technology has to improve further, before we can be confident about the relation of the orbital frontal cortex to OCD. It is possible that activity in this area may simply be a result of the repetitive thinking and ritualistic behavior that characterizes OCD, rather than a cause. To take a simple analogy, if you were late for class and began running, massive changes would occur throughout your body and brain. If someone who did not know that you had just sprinted to class then examined you with brain scans, your brain functions would look different from those of the brain of a person who had walked to class. If you were doing well in the class, the scientist might conclude, wrongly, that your unusual brain function “caused” your intelligence. It is also important to note that today, neuroscientists focus much more on the connectivity between certain brain areas (the brain circuitry) than the activity of any particular brain region that might be associated with a specific mental disorder (e.g., Whitfield-Gabrieli et al., in press).

Psychosocial Influences on Brain Structure and Function

At the same time that psychopathologists are exploring the causes of psychopathology, whether in the brain or in the environment, people are suffering and require the best treatments we have.

Sometimes the effects of treatment tell us something about the nature of psychopathology. For example, if a clinician thinks OCD is caused by a specific brain function or dysfunction or by learned anxiety to scary or repulsive thoughts, this view would determine choice of treatment, as we noted in Chapter 1. Directing a treatment at one or the other of these theoretical causes of the disorder and then observing whether the patient gets better will prove or disprove the accuracy of the theory. This common strategy has one overriding weakness. Successfully treating a patient’s particular feverish state or toothache with aspirin does not mean the fever or toothache was caused by an aspirin deficiency, because an effect does not imply a cause. So the reasons why a problem develops in the first place (*the initiating factors*) are not necessarily the same as



Brain function is altered in people with OCD, but it normalizes after effective psychosocial treatment.

the reasons why her problem still persists (*the maintaining factors*). In order to treat the problem effectively, it is typically more important to know and target the maintaining factors than the initiating factors (e.g., particular experiences from the past that might have initiated the problem). Nevertheless, this line of evidence gives us some hints about causes of psychopathology, particularly when it is combined with other, more direct experimental evidence.

If you knew that someone with OCD might have a somewhat faulty brain circuit, what treatment would you choose? Maybe you would recommend brain surgery, or neurosurgery. Neurosurgery to correct severe psychopathology (sometimes called “*psychosurgery*”) is an option still chosen today on occasion, particularly in the case of OCD when the suffering is severe and other treatments have failed (Aouizerate et al., 2006; Bear, Fitzgerald, Rosenfeld, & Bittar, 2010; Denys et al., 2010; Greenberg, Rauch, & Haber, 2010; see also Chapter 5). For the accountant described previously, the removal of his brain tumor seems to have inadvertently eliminated an inhibitory part of the brain circuit implicated in OCD. Precise surgical lesions might dampen the runaway activity that seems to occur in or near this particular area of the brain triggering the OCD symptoms. This result would probably be welcome if all other treatments have failed, although psychosurgery is used seldom and has not been studied systematically.

Nobody wants to do surgery if less intrusive treatments are available. To use the analogy of a television set that has developed the “disorder” of going fuzzy, if you had to rearrange and reconnect wires on the circuit board every time the disorder occurred, the correction would be a major undertaking. Alternatively, if you could simply push some buttons on the remote and eliminate the fuzziness, the correction would be simpler and less risky. The development of drugs affecting neurotransmitter activity has given us one of those buttons. We now have drugs that, although not a cure or even an effective treatment in all cases, do seem to be beneficial in treating OCD. As you might suspect, most of them act by increasing serotonin activity in one way or another.

But is it possible to get at this brain circuit without either surgery or drugs? Could psychological treatment be powerful enough to affect the circuit directly? The answer seems to be yes. To take one of the first examples, Lewis R. Baxter and his colleagues used brain imaging on patients who had not been treated and then took an additional, important scientific step (Baxter et al., 1992). They treated the patients with a cognitive-behavioral therapy known to be effective in OCD called *exposure and response prevention* (described more fully in Chapter 5) and then repeated the brain imaging. In a remarkable finding, widely noted in the world of psychopathology, Baxter and his colleagues discovered that the brain circuit had been changed (normalized) by a psychological intervention. The same team of investigators then replicated the experiment with a different group of patients and found the same changes in brain function (Schwartz, Stoessel, Baxter, Martin, & Phelps, 1996). In other examples, investigating teams noted changes in brain function after successful psychological treatment for depression, PTSD, obsessive-compulsive disorder, panic disorder, social anxiety disorder, specific phobias, and schizophrenia (Barsaglini, Sartori, Benetti, Pettersson-Yeo, & Mechelli, 2014). A review of the evidence suggests that, depending on the disorder, psychotherapy results in either a normalization of

abnormal patterns of activity, the recruitment of additional areas, which did not show altered activation prior to treatment, or both. One study showed that as little as two hours of intense exposure-based therapy for specific phobia changed brain function dramatically, and these effects persisted six months later (Hauner, Mineka, Voss, & Paller, 2012).

The study of placebo effects offers another window on psychological factors directly affecting brain function. Remember that it is common for inactive placebo medications, which are just sugar pills, or other “sham” (inactive) treatments to result in behavioral and emotional changes in patients, presumably as a result of psychological factors such as increasing hope and expectations or conditioning effects (discussed later in the chapter) (Brody & Miller, 2011). Several recent studies have examined the conditions under which placebos are active. For example, one study administered medications for either pain or anxiety after surgery by means of an infusion pump that was either in plain view of the patients or was hidden behind a screen (Colloca, Lopiano, Lanotte, & Benedetti, 2004). Even though the same dosage of medicine was administered, the effect was consistently greater when patients knew they were receiving the drug because they could see the pump working compared with when the pump was hidden behind a screen. No placebos were actually given in this study, but the difference in effects between knowing and not knowing whether the drug was given was considered a good estimate of the placebo effect. In another study, patients with irritable bowel syndrome (see Chapter 9) received a sham treatment (acupuncture) that was not designed to be effective. The treatment was administered either impersonally or in the context of a warm therapeutic interpersonal relationship. The impersonal administration produced better results than no treatment, (even though there was no active ingredient in the treatment) but the addition of a strong relationship added substantially to the therapeutic benefit (Kaptchuk et. al., 2008). But how and why do placebos work?

In an intriguing study, Leuchter, Cook, Witte, Morgan, and Abrams (2002) treated patients with major depressive disorder with either antidepressant medications or placebo medications. Measures of brain function showed that both antidepressant medications and placebos changed brain function but in somewhat different parts of the brain, suggesting different mechanisms of action for these two interventions, at least in the treatment of depression. Placebos alone are not usually as effective as active medication, but every time clinicians prescribe pills, they are also treating the patient psychologically by inducing positive expectation for change, and this intervention changes brain function. Petrovic, Kalso, Petersson, and Ingvar (2002), in an important study, also examined how placebo pills (in other words, psychological factors) can change brain function in the context of treating pain. Normal participants were administered (with their consent) a harmless but painful condition in which their left hand was subjected to intense heat. These participants were informed that two potent analgesics (pain-reducing medications) would be used in the experiment. In fact, one of these drugs was an opioid, and the other was a placebo. Opioid-based drugs are used routinely in medical settings to relieve severe pain. Each participant experienced the painful stimulus under three conditions: (1) under the influence of an opioid drug, (2) under the influence of a placebo

pill that the patient assumed was an opioid-based drug, and (3) with no drug (pain only). All participants experienced each condition multiple times, while brain-imaging procedures monitored their brain functioning (see Chapter 3) during administration of the painful stimulus. Whereas both the placebo drug and the opiate drug reduced pain to less than the level with no drug, the surprising results indicated that, unlike the study on depression above, both treatments activated overlapping (although not identical) regions in the brain, primarily within the anterior cingulate cortex and the brain stem. These areas were not activated during the pain-only condition. Thus, it appears that the anterior cingulate cortex is at least in part responsible for control of the pain response in the brain stem and that cognitive expectations of pain relief created by the placebo condition may cause these brain circuits to be turned on. It would seem that psychological factors are another button on the remote with which we can directly change brain circuits. In a follow-up study, the authors compared the mechanisms of the effect of opioids versus placebo on the brain in more detail and found that the opioid-rich areas of the anterior cingulate cortex were more extensively activated during opioid as compared with placebo analgesia, whereas placebo analgesia was more dependent on a neocortical top-down influence (Petrovic et al., 2010). In general, the literature suggests that multiple brain systems and neurochemical modulators, including opioids and dopamine, seem to be involved in the placebo effect on pain perception (Wager, & Atlas, 2015).

A final intriguing area of research is exploring the specific ways in which drug or active psychological treatments work (as opposed to placebos) in terms of changes in brain function. Are the changes in brain function similar or different as a function of drug or psychological treatment? Kennedy et al. (2007) treated individuals with major depressive disorder with either a psychological treatment, cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), or the anti-depressant drug venlafaxine. Although some brain changes were similar among the three treatment groups, complex differences were also noted, primarily in the way in which CBT facilitated changes in thinking patterns in the cortex that, in turn, affected the emotional brain. Sometimes this is called a “top down” change because it originates in the cortex and works its way down into the lower brain. Drugs, on the other hand, often seem to work more in a “bottom up” manner, reaching higher areas of the cortex (where thinking occurs) last. Because we know that some people respond better to psychological treatments, and others respond better to drugs, this research provides hope that we will one day be able to choose the best treatments or better combine treatments based on an analysis of the individual’s brain function. Our team has been able to use brain imaging as a tool to predict psychotherapy response (Doehrmann et al., 2013; Whitfield-Gabrieli, 2016). The results showed that greater activation in certain brain areas involved in emotion processing and greater connectivity between higher cortical areas with the amygdala predict better outcome after CBT for social anxiety

disorder. In the next step, this method could be used to predict whether a particular patient will respond better to pharmacotherapy or psychotherapy. For example, if researchers find that greater (or weaker) connectivity between the amygdala and prefrontal brain areas predict better treatment response to CBT but worse response to drug therapy, this information could then be used to match patients to the most promising treatment option for this particular patient. This is an example for *precision medicine* (i.e., tailoring the treatment to the individual patient in order to optimize therapy outcome).

Interactions of Psychosocial Factors and Neurotransmitter Systems

Several experiments illustrate the interaction of psychosocial factors and brain function on neurotransmitter activity, with implications for the development of disorders. Some even indicate that psychosocial factors directly affect levels of neurotransmitters. In one classic experiment, Insel, Scanlan, Champoux, and Suomi (1988) raised two groups of rhesus monkeys identically except for their ability to control things in their cages. One group had free access to toys and food treats, but the second group got these toys and treats only when the first group did. In other words, members of the second group had the same number of toys and treats but could not choose when they got them. In any case, the monkeys in the first group grew up with a sense of control over things in their lives and those in the second group didn’t.

Later in their lives, all these monkeys were administered a benzodiazepine inverse agonist, a neurochemical that has the *opposite* effect of the neurotransmitter GABA; the effect is an extreme burst of anxiety. (The few times this neurochemical has been administered to people—usually scientists administering it to one another—the recipients have reported the experience, which lasts only a short time, to be one of the most horrible sensations they had ever endured.) When this substance was injected into the monkeys, the results were interesting. The monkeys that had been raised with little control over their environment ran to a corner of their cage where they crouched and displayed signs of severe anxiety and panic. But the monkeys that had a sense of control



Rhesus monkeys injected with a specific neurotransmitter react with anger or fear, depending on their early psychological experiences.

Thomas Insel/1986 study/National Institute of Mental Health



Thomas Insel

Thomas Insel, the leading investigator in the monkey study, conducts research on the interaction of neurotransmitters and psychosocial factors at the National Institute of Mental Health where he is now Director.

Scientists have observed that psychosocial factors routinely change the activity levels of many of our neurotransmitter systems (Barik et al., 2013; Cacioppo et al., 2007; Marinelli & McCutcheon, 2014; Sandi & Haller, 2015).

In another remarkable example of the complex interaction among psychosocial factors, brain structure, and brain function as reflected in neurotransmitter activity, Yeh, Fricke, and Edwards (1996) studied two male crayfish battling to establish dominance in their social group. When one of the crayfish won the battle and established dominance, the scientists found that serotonin made a specific set of neurons more likely to fire. In the animal that lost the battle, serotonin made the same neurons less likely to fire. Thus, unlike the Insel et al. (1988) experiment, where monkeys were injected with a neurotransmitter, Yeh and colleagues (1996) discovered that naturally occurring neurotransmitters have different effects depending on the previous psychosocial experience of the organism. Furthermore, this experience directly affects the structure of neurons at the synapse by altering the sensitivity of serotonin receptors. The researchers also discovered that the effects of serotonin are reversible if the losers again become dominant. Similarly, Suomi (2000) demonstrated in primates that early stressful experiences produced deficits in serotonin (as well as other neuroendocrine changes) in genetically susceptible individuals, deficits that did not occur in the absence of early stress.

In another example, Berton and colleagues (2006) discovered, much to their surprise, that putting into a cage big mice that then proceeded to “bully” a smaller mouse produced changes in the mesolimbic dopamine system of the smaller mouse. These changes were associated with the smaller mouse wanting no part of other mice under any circumstances. The small mouse chose to become a recluse. Interestingly, the mesolimbic system is ordinarily associated with reward and even addiction. But in this case, certain chemicals that produce new learning and other positive changes in other parts of the brain, specifically brain development neurotrophic factor (BDNF; a protein that is involved in learning

behaved quite differently. They did not seem anxious. Rather, they seemed angry and aggressive, even attacking other monkeys near them. Thus, the same level of a neurochemical substance, acting as a neurotransmitter, had different effects, depending on the psychological and environmental histories of the monkeys.

The Insel and colleagues (Insel, Scanlan, Champoux, & Suomi, 1988) experiment is an early example of a significant interaction between neurotransmitters and psychosocial factors. Other experiments suggest that psychosocial influences directly affect the functioning and perhaps even the structure of the central nervous system. Scien-

by stimulating growth of new neurons), were turned on in the mesolimbic dopamine system by a psychological experience—bullying—such that the mesolimbic dopamine system had different effects on the mouse than it usually does because of the mouse’s unique experience. That is, the “bullying” experience produced BDNF, which changed the usual functioning of the mesolimbic dopamine system from facilitating reinforcement and even addiction to facilitating avoidance and isolation. More recent research implicates glucocorticoid receptors located on dopaminergic neurons specifically in facilitating and maintaining this social aversion (Barik et al., 2013).

Psychosocial Effects on the Development of Brain Structure and Function

It also seems that the structure of neurons themselves, including the number of receptors on a cell, can be changed by learning and experience during development (Clemenson, Deng, & Gage, 2015; Kandel, Dudai, & Mayford, 2014) and that these effects on the central nervous system continue throughout our lives (Cameron et al., 2005; Spinelli et al., 2009; Suárez et al., 2009). We are now beginning to learn how this happens (Clemenson et al., 2015; Kolb, Gibb, & Robinson, 2003; Kolb & Whishaw, 1998; Miller, 2011). For example, William Greenough and his associates, in a series of classic experiments (Greenough, Withers, & Wallace, 1990), studied the cerebellum, which coordinates and controls motor behavior. They discovered that the nervous systems of rats raised in a rich environment requiring a lot of learning and motor behavior develop differently from the nervous systems in rats that were couch potatoes. The active rats had many more connections between nerve cells in the cerebellum and grew many more dendrites. In a follow-up study, Wallace, Kilman, Withers, and Greenough (1992) reported that these structural changes in the brain began in as little as 4 days in rats, suggesting enormous plasticity in brain structure as a result of experience. Similarly, stress during early development can lead to substantial changes in the functioning of the HPA axis (described earlier in this chapter) that, in turn, make primates more or less susceptible to stress later in life (Barlow, 2002; Coplan et al., 1998; Gillespie & Nemeroff, 2007; Spinelli et al., 2009; Suomi, 1999). It may be something similar to this mechanism that was responsible for the effects of early stress on the later development of depression in genetically susceptible individuals in the New Zealand study described earlier (Caspi et al., 2003). More recent experiments with monkeys indicate that housing monkeys in larger groups increases the amount of gray matter in several parts of the brain involved in social cognition. This turns out to be very important since social cognition, including such skills as being able to interpret facial expressions and gestures and successfully predicting what others might be likely to do, makes one more successful socially and, in monkeys, increases one’s social ranking (Sallet et al., 2011). Even more intriguing, some recent studies have suggested increased gray matter density in several temporal lobe regions of the brain in people who have larger Facebook networks. Of course, these findings are only correlational at present (Kanai, Bahrami, Roylance, & Rees, 2012).

So, we can conclude that early psychological experience affects the development of the nervous system and thus determines



William Greenough/University of Illinois

William Greenough and his associates raised rats in a complex environment that required significant learning and motor behavior, which affected the structure of the rats' brains. This supports the role of psychological factors in biological development.

vulnerability to psychological disorders later in life. It seems that the very structure of the nervous system is constantly changing as a result of learning and experience, even into old age, and that some of these changes become permanent (Kolb, Gibb, & Gorny, 2003; Barlow, Ellard, Sauer Zavala, Bullis, & Carl, 2014). This plasticity of the central nervous system helps us adapt more readily to our environment. These findings will be important when we discuss the causes of anxiety disorders and mood disorders in Chapters 5 and 7.

Comments

The specific brain circuits involved in psychological disorders are complex systems identified by pathways of neurotransmitters traversing the brain. The existence of these circuits suggests that the structure and function of the nervous system play major roles in psychopathology. But other research suggests the circuits are strongly influenced—perhaps even created—by psychological and social factors. Furthermore, both biological interventions, such as drugs, and psychological interventions or experience seem capable of altering the circuits. Therefore, we cannot consider the nature and cause of psychological disorders without examining both biological and psychological factors. We now turn to an examination of psychological factors.

Behavioral and Cognitive Science

Enormous progress has been made in understanding behavioral and cognitive influences in psychopathology. Some new information has come from the rapidly growing field of **cognitive science**, which is concerned with how we acquire and process information and how we store and ultimately retrieve it (one of the processes involved in memory). Scientists have also discovered that we are not necessarily aware of a great deal of what goes on inside our heads. Because, technically, these cognitive processes are unconscious, some findings recall the unconscious mental processes that are so much a part of Sigmund Freud's theory of psychoanalysis (although they do not look much like the ones he envisioned). A brief account of current thinking on what is happening during the process of classical conditioning will start us on our way.

Conditioning and Cognitive Processes

During the 1960s and 1970s, behavioral scientists in animal laboratories began to uncover the complexity of the basic processes of classical conditioning (Bouton, 2005; Bouton, Mineka, & Barlow, 2001; Eelen & Vervliet, 2006; Meyers & Davis, 2002; Mineka & Zinbarg, 1996, 1998). Robert Rescorla (1988) concluded that simply pairing two events closely in time (such as the meat powder and the metronome in Ivan Pavlov's laboratories) is not what's important in this type of learning; at the least, it is a simple summary. Rather, a variety of judgments and cognitive processes

combine to determine the final outcome of this learning, even in lower animals such as rats.

To take just one simple example, Pavlov would have predicted that if the meat powder and the metronome were paired, say, 50 times, then a certain amount of learning would take place. But Rescorla and others discovered that if one animal never saw the meat powder except for the 50 trials following the metronome sound, whereas the meat powder was brought to a second animal many times *between* the 50 times it was paired with the metronome, the two animals would learn different things; that is, even though the metronome and the meat powder were paired 50 times for each animal, the metronome was less meaningful to the second animal (see ● Figure 2.15). Put another way, the first animal learned that the sound of the metronome meant meat powder came next; the second animal learned that the meat sometimes came after the sound and sometimes without the sound. That two different conditions produce two different learning outcomes is a commonsense notion, but it demonstrates, along with many far more complex scientific findings, that basic classical (and operant) conditioning paradigms facilitate the learning of the relationship among events in the environment.


This type of learning enables us to develop working ideas about the world that allow us to make appropriate judgments. We can then respond in a way that will benefit, or at least not hurt,

us. In other words, complex cognitive processing of information, as well as emotional processing, is involved when conditioning occurs, even in animals.

Learned Helplessness

Along similar lines, Martin Seligman and his colleague Steven Maier, also working with animals, described the phenomenon of **learned helplessness**, which occurs when rats or other animals encounter conditions over which they have no control (Maier & Seligman, 1976). If rats are confronted with a situation in which they receive occasional foot shocks, they can function well if they learn they can cope with these shocks by doing something to avoid them (say, pressing a lever). But if the animals learn their behavior has no effect on their environment—sometimes they get shocked and sometimes they don’t, no matter what they do—they become “helpless”; in other words, they give up attempting to cope and seem to develop the animal equivalent of depression.

Seligman drew some important conclusions from these observations. He theorized that the same phenomenon may happen with people who are faced with uncontrollable stress in their lives. Subsequent work revealed this to be true under one important condition: People become depressed if they “decide” or “think” they can do little about the stress in their lives, even if it seems



● FIGURE 2.15

Robert Rescorla’s experiment that showed contiguity—pairing a neutral stimulus and an unconditioned stimulus—does not result in the same kind of conditioning. The dog in the contiguity-only group (top panel) experiences the usual conditioning procedure: Pairing a tone and meat causes the tone to take on properties of the meat. For the dog in the contiguity-and-random group, the meat appeared away from the tones, as well as with it, making the tone less meaningful.



Martin Seligman/University of Illinois

Martin Seligman first described the concept of learned helplessness.

Lately, Seligman has turned his attention to a different set of attributions, which he terms *learned optimism* (Seligman, 1998, 2002). In other words, if people faced with considerable stress and difficulty in their lives nevertheless display an optimistic, upbeat attitude, they are likely to function better psychologically and physically. We return to this theme repeatedly throughout this book but particularly in Chapter 9, when we talk about the effects of psychological factors on health. But consider this one example: In a classic study by Levy, Slade, Kunkel, & Kasl (2002), individuals between ages 50 and 94 who had positive views about themselves and positive attitudes toward aging lived 7.5 years longer than those without such positive, optimistic attitudes. This connection was still true after the investigators controlled for age, sex, income, loneliness, and physical capability to engage in household and social activities. This effect is extremely powerful, and it exceeds the 1–4 years of added life associated with other factors, such as low blood pressure, low cholesterol levels, and no history of obesity or cigarette smoking. These results have been strongly supported in more recent studies (Steptoe & Wardle, 2012). Studies such as this have created interest in a new field of study called *positive psychology*, in which investigators explore factors that account for positive attitudes and happiness (Diener, 2000; Lyubomirsky, 2001). We return to these themes in the chapters describing specific disorders.

Social Learning

Another influential psychologist, Albert Bandura (1973, 1986), observed that organisms do not have to experience certain events in their environment to learn effectively. Rather, they can learn just as much by observing what happens to someone else in a given situation. This fairly obvious discovery came to be known as **modeling** or **observational learning**. What is important is that, even in animals, this type of learning requires a symbolic integration of the experiences of others with judgments of what might happen to oneself; in other words, even an animal that is not intelligent by human standards, such as a monkey, must make a decision about the conditions under which its own experiences would be similar to those of the animal it is observing. Bandura expanded his observations into a network of ideas in

to others that there is something they could do. People make an *attribution* that they have no control, and they become depressed (Abramson, Seligman, & Teasdale, 1978; Miller & Norman, 1979). We revisit this important psychological theory of depression in Chapter 7. It illustrates, again, the necessity of recognizing that different people process information about events in the environment in different ways. These cognitive differences are an important component of psychopathology.

which behavior, cognitive factors, and environmental influences converged to produce the complexity of behavior that confronts us. He also specified in some detail the importance of the social context of our learning; that is, much of what we learn depends on our interactions with other people around us. More recently, these ideas have been integrated with new findings on the genetic and biological bases of social behavior in a new field of study called social neuroscience (Cacioppo et al., 2007).

The basic idea in all Bandura's work is that a careful analysis of cognitive processes may well produce the most accurate scientific predictions of behavior. Concepts of probability learning, information processing, and attention have become increasingly important in psychopathology (Bar-Haim, Lamy, Pergamin, Bakermans-Kranenburg, & Van IJzendoorn, 2007; Barlow, 2002; Davey, 2006; Lovibond, 2006; Yiend, 2010).

Prepared Learning

It is clear that biology and, probably, our genetic endowment influence what we learn. This conclusion is based on our learning to fear some objects more easily than others. In other words, we learn fears and phobias selectively (Mineka & Sutton, 2006; Morris, Öhman, & Dolan, 1998; Öhman, Flykt, & Lundqvist, 2000; Öhman & Mineka, 2001; Rakison, 2009). Why might this be? According to the concept of **prepared learning**, we have become highly prepared for learning about certain types of objects or situations over the course of evolution because this knowledge contributes to the survival of the species (Mineka, 1985; Seligman, 1971). Even without any contact, we are more likely to learn to fear snakes or spiders than rocks or flowers, even if we know rationally that the snake or spider is harmless (for example, Fredrikson, Annas, & Wik, 1997; Pury & Mineka, 1997). In the absence of experience, however, we are less likely to fear guns, cars, or electrical outlets, even though they are potentially deadlier.

Why do we so readily learn to fear snakes or spiders? One possibility is that our ancestors who avoided snakes and spiders eluded deadly varieties and therefore survived in greater numbers to pass down their genes to us, thus contributing to the survival of the species. In fact, recent research has found that a sex difference may exist for this type of learning: females are particularly sensitive to this learning and, unlike males, demonstrate it as early as 11 months of age (Rakison, 2009). Thus, "prepared learning" may account for the greater incidence of snake and spider phobias in adult women (see Chapter 5). This is just a theory, but at present it seems a likely explanation. According to the theory it would have been more important for women, in their roles as foragers and gatherers, to develop a tendency to avoid snakes and spiders than males, in their primary roles as risk-taking hunters (Rakison, 2009).

In any case, something within us recognizes the connection between a certain signal and a threatening event. If you've ever gotten sick on an exotic alcoholic drink, chances are you won't make the same mistake again. This quick, or "one-trial," learning also occurs in animals that eat something that tastes bad, causes nausea, or may contain poison. It is easy to see that survival is associated with quickly learning to avoid poisonous food. If animals are shocked instead of poisoned when eating certain foods,

however, they do not learn this association nearly as quickly, probably because in nature shock is not a consequence of eating, whereas food is more closely associated with getting poisoned. Perhaps these selective associations are also facilitated by our genes and depend on the context and the nature of the associated stimuli (Barlow, 2002; Cook, Hodes, & Lang, 1986; Garcia, McGowan, & Green, 1972; Mallan, Lipp & Cochrane, 2013).

Cognitive Science and the Unconscious

Advances in cognitive science have revolutionized our conceptions of the unconscious. We are not aware of much of what goes on inside our heads, but our unconscious is not necessarily the seething caldron of primitive emotional conflicts envisioned by Freud. Rather, we simply seem able to process and store information, and act on it, without having the slightest awareness of what the information is or why we are acting on it (Bargh & Chartrand, 1999; Uleman, Saribay, & Gonzalez, 2008). Is this surprising? Consider briefly these two examples.

Lawrence Weiskrantz in a classic study (1992) describes a phenomenon called *blind sight* or *unconscious vision*. He relates the case of a young man who, for medical reasons, had a small section of his visual cortex (the center for the control of vision in the brain) surgically removed. Although the operation was considered a success, the young man became blind in both eyes. Later, during routine tests, a physician raised his hand to the left of the patient who, much to the shock of his doctors, reached out and touched it. Subsequently, scientists determined that he could not only reach accurately for objects but could also distinguish among objects and perform most of the functions usually associated with sight. Yet, when asked about his abilities, he would say, "I couldn't see anything, not a darn thing," and that all he was doing was guessing.

The phenomenon in this case is associated with real brain damage. More interesting, from the point of view of psychopathology, is that the same thing seems to occur in healthy individuals who have been hypnotized (Hilgard, 1992; Kihlstrom, 1992); that is, normal individuals, provided with hypnotic suggestions that they are blind, are able to function visually but have no awareness or memory of their visual abilities. This condition, which illustrates a process of *dissociation* between behavior and consciousness, is the basis of the dissociative disorders discussed in Chapter 6.

A second example, more relevant to psychopathology, is called **implicit memory** (Bowers & Marsolek, 2003; Kandel et al., 2014; McNally, 1999; Schacter, Chiu, & Ochsner, 1993). Implicit memory is apparent when someone clearly acts on the basis of things that have happened in the past but can't remember the events. (A conscious memory for events is called *explicit memory*.) But implicit memory can be selective for only certain events or circumstances. Clinically, we have already seen in Chapter 1 an example of implicit memory at work in the story of Anna O., the classic case first described by Breuer and Freud (1895/1957) to demonstrate the existence of the unconscious. It was only after therapy that Anna O. remembered events surrounding her father's death and the connection of these events to her paralysis. Thus, Anna O.'s behavior (occasional paralysis) was evidently connected to implicit memories of her father's death. Many scientists have

concluded that Freud's speculations on the nature and structure of the unconscious went beyond the evidence, but the existence of unconscious processes has since been demonstrated, and we must take them into account as we study psychopathology.

What methods do we have for studying the unconscious? The *black box* refers to unobservable feelings and cognitions inferred from an individual's self-report or behaviors. In recent decades, psychologists and neuroscientists, confident in an established science of behavior, have returned to the black box with new methods, attempting to reveal the unobservable. Several methods for studying the unobservable unconscious have been made possible by advances in technology. One of them is the Stroop color-naming paradigm.

In the Stroop paradigm, participants are shown a variety of words, each printed in a different color. They are shown these words quickly and asked to name the colors in which they are printed while ignoring their meaning (e.g., the person is asked to say "blue" if she sees the word *red* printed in a blue color). Color naming is delayed when the meaning of the word attracts the participant's attention, despite efforts to concentrate on the color; that is, the meaning of the word interferes with the participant's ability to process color information. For example, experimenters have determined that people with certain psychological disorders, like Judy, are much slower at naming the colors of words associated with their problem (for example, *blood*, *injury*, and *dissect*) than the colors of words that have no relation to the disorder. Thus, psychologists can now uncover particular patterns of emotional significance, even if the participant cannot verbalize them or is not even aware of them.

Recently, cognitive neuroscientists using brain imaging methods (functional magnetic resonance imaging [fMRI]) have noticed differences in the processing of neural activity in the brain depending on whether the person is aware of the information or not (Uehara et al., 2013; see Chapter 4). Generally the greater the duration, intensity, and coherence of the neural representation of a piece of information in the brain, the more likely that the person will be aware or conscious of the information (Schurger, Pereira, Treisman, & Cohen, 2010; Schwarzkopf & Rees, 2010; Kandel et al., 2014; Wimmer & Shohamy, 2012). But, so far, this work has been carried out only in experiments with normal individuals. It remains to be seen if the unconscious experience of people with psychological disorders will look similar during brain imaging.

- | | | |
|-----------|-----------|------------|
| 1. RED | 6. GREEN | 11. BLUE |
| 2. PURPLE | 7. PURPLE | 12. PURPLE |
| 3. GREEN | 8. BROWN | 13. BROWN |
| 4. BLUE | 9. BLUE | 14. RED |
| 5. BROWN | 10. RED | 15. GREEN |

The Stroop paradigm. Have someone keep time as you name the colors of the words but not the words themselves and again while you name the words and colors together.

These developments in our understanding of the nature of psychopathology will come up repeatedly as we discuss specific disorders. Again, note that these findings support Freud's theories about the unconscious, up to a point. But no assumptions are made about an elaborate structure existing within the mind that is continually in conflict (Freud's id, ego, and superego); and at present, there is no evidence to support the existence of an unconscious with such a complex structure and array of functions.

Emotions

Emotions play an enormous role in our day-to-day lives and can contribute in major ways to the development of psychopathology (Barrett, 2012; Gross, 2015; Kring & Sloan, 2010; Rottenberg & Johnson, 2007). Consider the emotion of fear. Have you ever found yourself in a really dangerous situation? Have you ever almost crashed your car and known for several seconds beforehand what was going to happen? Have you ever been swimming in the ocean and realized you were out too far or caught in a current? Have you ever almost fallen from a height, such as a ladder or a roof? In any of these instances, you would have felt an incredible surge of arousal. As the first great emotion theorist, Charles Darwin (1872), pointed out more than 100 years ago, this kind of reaction seems to be programmed in all animals, including humans, which suggests that it serves a useful evolutionary function. The alarm reaction that activates during potentially life-threatening emergencies is called the **flight or fight response**. If you are caught in ocean currents, your almost instinctual tendency is to struggle toward shore. You might realize rationally that you're best off just floating until the current runs its course and then, more calmly, swimming in later. Yet somewhere, deep within, ancient instincts for survival won't let you relax, even though struggling against the ocean will only wear you out and increase your chance of drowning. Still, this kind of reaction might momentarily give you the strength to lift a car off your trapped brother or fight off an attacker. The whole



Charles Darwin, *Evolution of the Species*, 1896

Charles Darwin (1809–1882) drew this cat frightened by a dog to show the flight or fight reaction.

purpose of the physical rush of adrenaline that we feel in extreme danger is to mobilize us to escape the danger (flight) or to fend it off (fight).

The Physiology and Purpose of Fear

How do physical reactions prepare us to respond this way? The great physiologist Walter Cannon (1929) speculated on the reasons. Fear activates your cardiovascular system. Your blood vessels constrict, thereby raising arterial pressure and decreasing the blood flow to your extremities (fingers and toes). Excess blood is redirected to the skeletal muscles, where it is available to the vital organs that may be needed in an emergency. Often people seem "white with fear"; that is, they turn pale as a result of decreased blood flow to the skin. "Trembling with fear," with your hair standing on end, may be the result of shivering and piloerection (in which body hairs stand erect), reactions that conserve heat when your blood vessels are constricted.

These defensive adjustments can also produce the hot-and-cold spells that often occur during extreme fear. Breathing becomes faster and, usually, deeper to provide necessary oxygen to rapidly circulating blood. Increased blood circulation carries oxygen to the brain, stimulating cognitive processes and sensory functions, which make you more alert and able to think more quickly during emergencies. An increased amount of glucose (sugar) is released from the liver into the bloodstream, further energizing various crucial muscles and organs, including the brain. Pupils dilate, presumably to allow a better view of the situation. Hearing becomes more acute, and digestive activity is suspended, resulting in a reduced flow of saliva (the "dry mouth" of fear). In the short term, voiding the body of all waste material and eliminating digestive processes further prepare the organism for concentrated action and activity, so there is often pressure to urinate and defecate and, occasionally, to vomit.

It is easy to see why the flight or fight reaction is fundamentally important. Millennia ago, when our ancestors lived in unstable circumstances, those with strong emergency reactions were more likely to live through attacks and other dangers than those with weak emergency responses, and the survivors passed their genes down to us.

Emotional Phenomena


The **emotion** of fear is a subjective feeling of terror, a strong motivation for behavior (escaping or fighting), and a complex physiological or arousal response. To define "emotion" is difficult, but most theorists agree that it is linked to an *action tendency* (Barlow et al. 2014; Barlow, 2002; Lang, 1985, 1995; Lang, Bradley, & Cuthbert, 1998); that is, a tendency to behave in a certain way (for example, escape), elicited by an external event (a threat) and a feeling state (terror) and accompanied by a (possibly) characteristic physiological response (Fairholme, Boisseau, Ellard, Ehrenreich, & Barlow, 2010; Barrett, 2012; Gross, 2015; Izard, 1992; Lazarus, 1991, 1995). Any emotional experience is associated with approach and avoidance tendencies. One purpose of a feeling state is to motivate us to carry out a behavior: if we escape, our terror, which is unpleasant, will be decreased, so decreasing

unpleasant feelings motivates us to escape (Campbell-Sills, Ellard, & Barlow, 2015; Gross, 2015; Hofmann, in press; Öhman, 1996). As Öhman (1996; Öhman, Flykt, & Lundquist, 2000) points out, the principal function of emotions can be understood as a clever means, guided by evolution, to get us to do what we have to do to pass on our genes successfully to coming generations. How do you think this works with anger or with love? What is the feeling state? What is the behavior?

Emotions are usually short-lived, temporary states lasting from several minutes to several hours, occurring in response to an external event. **Mood** is a more persistent period of affect or emotionality. Thus, in Chapter 7 we describe enduring or recurring states of depression or excitement (mania) as *mood disorders*. But *anxiety disorders*, described in Chapter 5, are characterized by enduring or chronic anxiety and, therefore, could also be called *mood disorders*. Alternatively, both anxiety disorders and mood disorders could be called *emotional disorders*, a term not formally used in psychopathology. This is only one example of the occasional inconsistencies in the terminology of abnormal psychology. A related term you will see occasionally, particularly in Chapters 3 and 13, is **affect**, which often refers to the valence dimension (i.e., pleasant or positive vs. unpleasant or negative) of an emotion. For example, positive affect is experienced during joy, whereas negative affect is experienced during anger and fear. Together with arousal dimension (i.e., activated or high arousal vs. deactivated or low arousal), any emotional experience can be assigned as a point on this two-dimensional system. This two dimensional system is known as the **circumplex model** of emotions (e.g., Colibazzi et al., 2010). A third dimension (time) could be added to specify whether the emotional experience is short or long-lasting (e.g., surprise vs. joy). Affect can also refer to the momentary emotional tone that accompanies what we say or do. For example, if you just got an A+ on your test, but you look sad, your friends might think your reaction strange because your affect is not appropriate to the event. Finally, the term *affective style* is sometimes used to summarize commonalities among emotional states characteristic of an individual. Thus, someone who tends to be fearful, anxious, and depressed has a negative affective style, whereas sometimes with a positive affective style would subsume, or include, tendencies to be generally pleasant, joyful, excited, and so on.

The Components of Emotion

Emotion scientists now agree that emotion is composed of three related components—*behavior*, *physiology*, and *cognition*—but most emotion scientists tend to concentrate on one component or another (see ● Figure 2.16). Emotion scientists who concentrate on behavior think that basic patterns of emotion differ from one another in fundamental ways; for example, anger may differ from sadness not only in how it feels but also behaviorally and physiologically. These scientists also emphasize that emotion is a way of communicating between one member of the species and another. One function of fear is to motivate immediate and decisive action, such as running away. But if you look scared, your facial expression will quickly communicate the possibility of danger to your friends, who may not have been aware that a threat is imminent. Your facial communication increases their chance for survival



● FIGURE 2.16

Emotion has three important and overlapping components: behavior, cognition, and physiology.

because they can now respond more quickly to the threat when it occurs. This may be one reason emotions are contagious, as we observed in Chapter 1 when discussing mass hysteria (Hatfield, Cacioppo, & Rapson, 1994; Wang, 2006).

Other scientists have concentrated on the physiology of emotions, most notably Cannon (1929). In some pioneering work, he viewed emotion as primarily a brain function. Research in this tradition suggests that areas of the brain associated with emotional expression are generally more ancient and primitive than areas associated with higher cognitive processes, such as reasoning.

Other research demonstrates direct neurobiological connections between emotional centers of the brain and parts of the eye (the retina) or the ear that allow emotional activation without the influence of higher cognitive processes (LeDoux, 1996, 2002; Öhman, Flykt, & Lundqvist, 2000; Zajonc, 1984, 1998). In other words, you may experience various emotions quickly and directly without necessarily thinking about them or being aware of why you feel the way you do.

Finally, a number of prominent emotion scientists concentrate on studying the cognitive aspects of emotion. Notable among these theorists was the late Richard S. Lazarus (for example, 1968, 1991, 1995), who proposed that changes in a person's environment are appraised in terms of their potential impact on that person. The type of appraisal you make determines the emotion you experience. For example, if you see somebody holding a gun in a dark alley, you will probably appraise the situation as dangerous and experience fear. You would make a different appraisal if you saw a tour guide displaying an antique gun in a museum. Lazarus would suggest that thinking and feeling cannot be separated, but other cognitive scientists are concluding otherwise by suggesting that, although cognitive and emotional systems interact and overlap, they are fundamentally separate (Teasdale, 1993). All components



© KelvinW/Shutterstock.com



Ariel Skellie/Blend Images/Jupiter Images

Our emotional reaction depends on context. Fire, for example, can be threatening or comforting.

of emotion—behavior, physiology, and cognition—are important, and theorists are adopting more integrative approaches by studying their interaction (Barrett, 2009, 2012; Gendron & Barrett, 2009; Gross, 2015; Hofmann, in press).

Anger and Your Heart

When we discussed Judy's blood phobia, we observed that behavior and emotion may strongly influence biology. Scientists have made important discoveries about the familiar emotion of anger. We have known for years that negatively valenced emotions such as hostility and anger increase a person's risk of developing heart disease (Chesney, 1986; MacDougall, Dembroski, Dimsdale, & Hackett, 1985). Sustained hostility with angry outbursts and repeatedly and continually suppressing anger contributes more strongly to death from heart disease than other well-known risk factors, including smoking, high blood pressure, and high cholesterol levels (Harburg, Kaciroti, Gleiberman, Julius, & Schork, 2008; Williams, Haney, Lee, Kong, & Blumenthal, 1980).

Why is this, exactly? In a classic study, Ironson and colleagues (1992) asked a number of people with heart disease to recall something that made them angry in the past. Sometimes these events had occurred many years earlier. In one case, an individual who had spent time in a Japanese prisoner-of-war camp during World War II became angry every time he thought about it, especially when he thought about reparations paid by the U.S. government to Japanese Americans who had been held in internment camps during the war. Ironson and associates compared the experience of anger with stressful events that increased heart rate but were not associated with anger. For example, some participants imagined making a speech to defend themselves against a charge of shoplifting. Others tried to figure out difficult problems in arithmetic within a time limit. Heart rates during these angry situations and stressful ones were then compared with heart rates that increased as a result of exercise (riding a stationary bicycle). The investigators found that the ability of the heart to pump blood efficiently through the body dropped significantly during anger but not during stress or exercise. In fact, remembering being angry was sufficient to cause the anger effect. If participants were really angry, their heart-pumping efficiency dropped even more,

putting them at risk for dangerous disturbances in heart rhythm (arrhythmias).

This study was the first to prove that anger affects the heart through decreased pumping efficiency, at least in people who already have heart disease. This was confirmed in a large high-risk study examining 13,171 participants (Kucharska-Newton et al., 2014). The incidence of heart failure was greater among those with high, as compared to those with low or moderate trait anger, and men had a higher risk for heart failure than women.

Suarez and colleagues (2002) demonstrated how anger may cause this effect. Inflammation produced by an overactive immune system in particularly hostile individuals may contribute to clogged arteries (and decreased heart-pumping efficiency).

Interestingly, it seems that adopting a forgiving attitude can neutralize the toxic effects of anger on cardiovascular activity. In the words of the Buddhist leader, the Dalai Lama: *Harboring anger is like swallowing poison and expecting the other person to die*. The antidote to anger is forgiveness, compassion, and kindness. These insights are supported by scientific data. For example, Larsen et al. (2012) had participants think about a previous offense from either an angry perspective or a forgiving perspective or while they were distracted by focusing on a neutral topic. All of them were then distracted by focusing on the neutral topic for five minutes, after which they could freely ruminate on the offense. As expected, thinking about the offense from an angry perspective had negative effects on cardiovascular measures (increased blood pressure and heart rate, etc.) compared with the distraction condition, but taking a forgiving attitude not only greatly reduced this cardiovascular reactivity to a level present in the distraction condition, but these effects were still present during the follow-up free rumination period, even compared to the distraction condition as that group began ruminating on the offense causing increases in their reactivity.

Taken together, these results provide strong support for the effects of anger on the heart, but shall we conclude that too much anger causes heart attacks? This would be another example of one-dimensional causal modeling. Increasing evidence, including the studies just mentioned, suggests that anger and hostility contribute to heart disease, but so do many other factors, including a genetically determined biological vulnerability. We discuss cardiovascular disease in Chapter 9.

Emotions and Psychopathology

We now know that suppressing almost any kind of emotional response, such as anger or fear, increases sympathetic nervous system activity, which may contribute to psychopathology (Barlow, Sauer-Zavala, Carl, Bullis, & Ellard, 2014, Campbell-Sills et al., 2015; Fairholme, Boisseau, Ellard, Ehrenreich, & Barlow, 2010). Other emotions seem to have a more direct effect. In Chapter 5, we study the phenomenon of *panic* and its relationship to anxiety disorders. One interesting possibility is that a panic attack is simply the normal emotion of fear occurring at the wrong time, when there is nothing to be afraid of (Barlow, 2002). Some patients with mood disorders become overly excited and joyful. They think they have the world on a string and they can do anything they want and spend as much money as they want because everything will turn out all right. Every little event is the most wonderful and exciting experience they have ever had. These individuals are suffering from *mania*, which is part of a serious mood disorder called *bipolar disorder*, discussed in Chapter 7. People who suffer from mania usually alternate periods of excitement with periods of extreme sadness and distress, when they feel that all is lost and the world is a gloomy and hopeless place. During extreme sadness or distress, people are unable to experience any pleasure in life and often find it difficult even to get out of bed and move around. If hopelessness becomes acute, they are at risk for suicide. This emotional state is *depression*, a defining feature of many mood disorders.

Thus, basic emotions of fear, anger, sadness or distress, and excitement may contribute to many psychological disorders and may even define them. Emotions and mood also affect our cognitive processes: if your mood is positive, then your associations, interpretations, and impressions also tend to be positive (Diener, Oishi, & Lucas, 2003). Your impression of people you first meet and even your memories of past events are colored to a great extent by your current mood. If you are consistently negative or depressed, then your memories of past events are likely to be unpleasant. The pessimist or depressed person sees the bottle as half empty. In contrast, the cheerful optimist is said to see the world through rose-colored glasses and to see the bottle as half full. This is a rich area of investigation for cognitive and emotion scientists (Eysenck, 1992; Rottenberg & Johnson, 2007; Teasdale, 1993), particularly those interested in the close interconnection of cognitive and emotional processes. Leading psychopathologists are beginning to outline the nature of emotion disruption (or dysregulation) and to understand how these disruptions interfere with thinking and behavior in various psychological disorders (Barlow, Allen, & Choate, 2004; Campbell-Sills et al., 2015; Gross, 2015; Hofmann, Sawyer, Fang, & Asnaani, 2012; Kring & Sloan, 2010).

Cultural, Social, and Interpersonal Factors

Given the jumble of neurobiological and psychological variables impinging on our lives, is there any room for the influence of social, interpersonal, and cultural factors? Studies are beginning to demonstrate the substantial power and depth of such influences. Researchers have now established that cultural and social influences can kill you. Consider the following example.

Voodoo, the Evil Eye, and Other Fears

In many cultures around the world, individuals may suffer from *fright disorders*, which are characterized by exaggerated startle responses, and other observable fear and anxiety reactions. One example is the Latin American *susto*, which describes various anxiety-based symptoms, including insomnia, irritability, phobia, and the marked somatic symptoms of sweating and increased heart rate (tachycardia). But *susto* has only one cause: The individual believes that he or she has become the object of black magic, or witchcraft, and is suddenly badly frightened. In some cultures, the sinister influence is called the *evil eye* (Good & Kleinman, 1985; Tan, 1980), and the resulting fright disorder can be fatal. Cannon (1942), examining the Haitian phenomenon of voodoo death, suggested that the sentence of death by a medicine man may create an intolerable autonomic arousal in the participant, who has little ability to cope because there is no social support. That is, friends and family ignore the individual after a brief period of grieving because they assume death has already occurred. Ultimately, the condition leads to damage to internal organs and death. Thus, from all accounts, an individual who is from a physical and psychological point of view functioning in a perfectly healthy and adaptive way suddenly dies because of marked changes in the social environment.

Fear and phobias are universal, occurring across all cultures. But *what* we fear is strongly influenced by our social environment. Israeli and Bedouin researchers studied the fears of hundreds of Jewish and Bedouin children living in the same region of Israel (Elbedour,



THONY BELIZAIRE/AFP/Getty Images

A "possessed" person receives treatment in a voodoo ritual.

Shulman, & Kedem, 1997). Although they all feared potentially life-threatening events, Jewish children, whose society emphasizes individuality and autonomy, have fewer fears than Bedouin children, who grow up in a strongly paternalistic society in which the group and family are central and who are taught to be cautious about the rest of the world. Bedouin and Jewish children have different fears, and the Bedouin children have more of them, many centering on the possible disintegration of the family. Thus, cultural factors influence the form and content of psychopathology and may differ even among cultures side by side in the same country.

Gender

Gender roles have a strong and sometimes puzzling effect on psychopathology (Kistner, 2009; Maeng & Milad, in press; Rutter,

Caspi, & Moffitt, 2006). Everyone experiences anxiety and fear, and phobias are found all over the world. But phobias have a peculiar characteristic: The likelihood of your having a particular phobia is powerfully influenced by your gender. For example, someone who complains of an insect or small-animal phobia severe enough to prohibit field trips or visits to friends in the country is almost certain to be female, as are 90% of the people with this phobia (possible reasons for this were mentioned on pp. 62–63). But a social phobia strong enough to keep someone from attending parties or meetings affects men and women more or less equally but perhaps for different reasons.

We think these substantial differences have to do with, at least in part, cultural expectations of men and women, or our *gender roles*. For example, an equal number of men and women may have an experience that could lead to an insect or small-animal phobia, such as being bitten by one, but in our society it isn't always acceptable for a man to show or even admit fear. So a man is more likely to hide or endure the fear until he gets over it. It is more acceptable for women to acknowledge fearfulness, so a phobia develops. It is also more acceptable for a man to be shy than to show fear, so he is more likely to admit social discomfort.

To avoid or lessen a panic attack, an extreme experience of fear, some males drink alcohol instead of admitting they're afraid (see Chapter 5). In many cases, this attempt to cope may lead to alcoholism, a disorder that affects many more males than females (see Chapter 11). One reason for this gender imbalance is that males are more likely than females to self-medicate their fear and panic with alcohol and in so doing start down the slippery slope to addiction.

It even seems that men and women may respond differently to the same standardized psychological treatment (Felmingham & Bryant, 2012). After exposure therapy for posttraumatic stress disorder (see Chapter 5), both groups benefited, but women maintained their gains significantly better during a follow-up period. The authors suggest that the well-established ability of women to recall emotional memories somewhat better than men may facilitate emotional processing and long term treatment gains.

Bulimia nervosa, the severe eating disorder, occurs almost entirely in young females. Why? As you will see in Chapter 8, a



Orit Allush/PhotoStock-Israel/Alamy Stock Photo



Andrzej Kubik/Shutterstock.com

Jewish children, whose culture emphasizes individuality and autonomy, have been found to be less fearful of outsiders than Bedouin children in the same community, whose culture emphasizes the group and the family.

cultural emphasis on female thinness plagues our society and, increasingly, societies around the world. The pressures for males to be thin are less apparent, and of the few males who develop bulimia, a substantial percentage are gay; for these individuals, cultural imperatives to be thin are present in many specific instances (Rothblum, 2002).

Finally, in an exciting finding, Taylor (2002, 2006; Taylor et al., 2000) described a unique way in which females in many species respond to stress in their lives. This unique response to stress is called “tend and befriend” and refers to protecting themselves and their young through nurturing behavior (tend) and forming alliances with larger social groups, particularly other females (befriend). Taylor et al. (2000) supposed that this response fits better with the way females respond to stress because it builds on the brain’s attachment-caregiving system and leads to nurturing and affiliative behavior. Furthermore, the response is characterized by identifiable neurobiological processes in the brain that are gender specific.

Our gender doesn’t cause psychopathology. But because gender role is a social and cultural factor that influences the form and content of a disorder, we attend closely to it in the chapters that follow.

Social Effects on Health and Behavior

Many studies have demonstrated that the greater the number and frequency of social relationships and contacts, the longer you are likely to live (Miller, 2011). Conversely, the lower you score on a social index that measures the richness of your social life, the shorter your life expectancy. Studies documenting this finding have been reported in the United States (Berkman & Syme, 1979; House, Robbins, & Metzner, 1982; Schoenbach, Kaplan, Fredman, & Kleinbaum, 1986), as well as in Sweden and Finland. They take into account existing physical health and other risk factors for dying young, such as high blood pressure, high cholesterol levels, and smoking habits, and they still produce the same result. Studies also show that social relationships seem to protect individuals against many physical and psychological disorders, such as high blood pressure, depression, alcoholism, arthritis, the progression to AIDS, and bearing low birth weight babies (Cobb, 1976; House, Landis, & Umberson, 1988; Leserman et al., 2000; Thurston & Kubzansky, 2009). Conversely, the risk of depression for people who live alone is approximately 80% higher than for people who live with others, based on a count of new prescriptions for anti-depressant medication (Pulkki-Raback et al., 2012). Also, social isolation increases the risk of death about as much as smoking cigarettes and more than physical inactivity or obesity (Holt-Lunstad, Smith, & Layton, 2010). Interestingly, it is not just the absolute number of social contacts that is important. It is the actual perception of loneliness. Thus, some people can live alone with few ill effects. Others might feel lonely despite frequent social contacts (Cacioppo, Grippo, London, Goossensm & Cacioppo, 2015; Cacioppo & William, 2008).

Even whether or not we come down with a cold is strongly influenced by the quality and extent of our social

network. Cohen, Doyle, Skoner, Rabin, and Gwaltney (1997) used nasal drops to expose 276 healthy volunteers to one of two different rhinoviruses (cold viruses); then they quarantined the participants for a week. The researchers measured the extent of participation in 12 types of social relationships (for example, spouse, parent, friend, and colleague), as well as other factors, such as smoking and poor sleep quality, that are likely to increase susceptibility to colds. The surprising results were that the greater the extent of social ties, the smaller the chance of catching a cold, even after all other factors were taken into consideration (controlled for). Those with the fewest social ties were more than 4 times more likely to catch a cold than those with the greatest number of ties. This effect also extends to pets! Compared with people who do not have pets, people with pets evidenced lower resting heart rate and blood pressure and responded with smaller increases in these variables during laboratory stressors (Allen, Bloscovitch, & Mendes, 2002). What could account for this? Again, social and interpersonal factors seem to influence psychological and neurobiological variables such as the immune system—sometimes to a substantial degree (Cacioppo & William, 2008). Thus, we cannot study psychological and biological aspects of psychological disorders (or physical disorders, for that matter) without taking into account the social and cultural context of the disorder.

That a multidimensional point of view is necessary is shown time and again. Consider a classic experiment with primates that illustrates the dangers of ignoring social context. Monkeys were injected with amphetamine, a central nervous system stimulant (Haber & Barchas, 1983). Surprisingly, the drug had no reliable effect on the average behavior of the monkeys as a group. When the investigators divided the monkeys according to whether they were socially dominant or submissive in their group, however, dramatic effects appeared. Amphetamine increased dominant behaviors in primates that were high in the social hierarchy and submissive behaviors in those that were low in the hierarchy. Thus, the effects of a biological factor (the drug) on psychological characteristics (the behavior) were uninterpretable unless the social context of the experiment was considered.



Yellow Dog Productions/DigitalVision/Getty Images

A long and productive life usually includes strong social relationships and interpersonal relations.

Returning to human studies, how do social relationships have such a profound impact on our physical and psychological characteristics? We don't know for sure, but there are some intriguing hints (Cacioppo & William, 2008; Cacioppo et al., 2007). Some people think interpersonal relationships give meaning to life and that people who have something to live for can overcome physical deficiencies and even delay death. You may have known an elderly person who far outlived his or her expected time to witness a significant family event, such as a grandchild's graduation from college. Once the event has passed, the person dies. Another common observation is that if one spouse in a long-standing marital relationship dies, particularly an elderly wife, the other often dies soon after, regardless of health status. It is also possible that social relationships facilitate health-promoting behaviors, such as restraint in the use of alcohol and drugs, getting proper sleep, and seeking appropriate health care (House, Landis, & Umberson, 1988; Leserman et al., 2000).

Sometimes social upheaval is an opportunity for studying the impact of social networks on individual functioning. Several decades ago when Israeli settlements in the Sinai Peninsula were dismantled and the Israeli residents were evacuated as part of peace negotiations with Egypt, Steinglass, Weisstub, and Kaplan De-Nour (1988) studied residents of an Israeli community threatened with dissolution. They found that believing oneself embedded firmly in a social context was just as important as having a social network. Poor long-term adjustment was best predicted in those who *perceived* that their social network was disintegrating, regardless of whether it actually was or not.

In another example, whether you live in a city or the country may be associated with your chances of developing schizophrenia, a severe disorder. Lewis, David, Andreasson, and Allsbeck (1992) found that the incidence of schizophrenia was 38% greater in men who had been raised in cities than in those raised in rural areas. We have known for a long time that more schizophrenia exists in the city than in the country, but researchers thought people with schizophrenia who drifted to cities *after* developing

schizophrenia or other endemic urban factors, such as drug use or unstable family relationships, might account for the disparity. But Lewis and associates carefully controlled for such factors, and it now seems something about cities beyond those influences may contribute to the development of schizophrenia (Boydell & Allardyce, 2011; Pedersen & Mortensen, 2006; Vassos, Pederson, Murray, Collier, & Lewis, 2012). A meta-analysis by Vassos et al. (2012) estimated that the risk for schizophrenia in the most urban environment is 2.37 times higher than in the most rural environment.

We do not yet know what it is. This finding, if it is replicated and shown to be true, may be important in view of the mass migration of individuals to overcrowded urban areas, particularly in less developed countries.

In summary, we cannot study psychopathology independently of social and interpersonal influences, and we still have much to learn. Many major psychological disorders, such as schizophrenia and major depressive disorder, seem to occur in all cultures, but they may look different from one culture to another because individual symptoms are strongly influenced by social and interpersonal context (Cheung, 2012; Cheung, van de Vijver, & Leong, 2011). For example, as you will see in Chapter 7, depression in Western culture is reflected in feelings of guilt and inadequacy and in developing countries with physical distress such as fatigue or illness.

Social and Interpersonal Influences on the Elderly

Finally, the effect of social and interpersonal factors on the expression of physical and psychological disorders may differ with age (Charles & Carstensen, 2010; Holland & Gallagher-Thompson, 2011). Grant, Patterson, and Yager (1988) studied 118 men and women 65 years or older who lived independently. Those with fewer meaningful contacts and less social support from relatives had consistently higher levels of depression and more reports of unsatisfactory quality of life. If these individuals became physically ill, however, they had more substantial support from their families than those who were not physically ill. This finding raises the unfortunate possibility that it may be advantageous for elderly people to become physically ill, because illness allows them to reestablish the social support that makes life worth living. If further research confirms this finding, we will know for fact what seems to make intuitive sense: involvement with their families before they become ill might help elderly people maintain their physical health (and significantly reduce health-care costs).

The study of older adults is growing at a rapid pace. In 2010, an estimated 40 million people in the United States (13% of the population) were 65 and older, and by 2030 this number is expected to reach 71.5 million (20% of the population) (Federal Interagency Forum on Aging-Related Statistics, 2012). With this growth will come a corresponding increase in the number of older adults with mental health problems, many of whom will not receive appropriate care (Holland & Gallagher-Thompson, 2011). As you



Neil Cooper/Alamy Stock Photo

In developing countries, personal upheaval because of political strife affects mental health.

can see, understanding and treating the disorders experienced by older adults is necessary and important.

Social Stigma

Other factors make the consideration of social and cultural issues imperative to the study of psychopathology. Psychological disorders continue to carry a substantial stigma in our society (Hinshaw & Stier, 2008). To be anxious or depressed is to be weak and cowardly. To be schizophrenic is to be unpredictable and crazy. For physical injuries in times of war, we award medals. For psychological injuries, the unfortunate soldiers earn scorn and derision, as anyone knows who has seen the classic movie *Born on the Fourth of July* depicting the Vietnam War era; the 2010 Academy Award-winning *The Hurt Locker* or the 2014 Academy Award-winning *American Sniper* portraying events in post-invasion Iraq and Afghanistan. Often a patient with psychological disorders does not seek health insurance reimbursement for fear a coworker might learn about the problem. With far less social support than for physical illness, there is less chance of full recovery and a greater risk of suicide, as we are seeing in the United States in veterans returning from Iraq and Afghanistan. We discuss some consequences of social attitudes toward psychological disorders in Chapters 3 and 16.

Global Incidence of Psychological Disorders

Important surveys from the World Health Organization (WHO) reveal that mental disorders account for 13% of the global burden of disease (WHO, 2015). Behavioral and mental health problems in developing countries are exacerbated by political strife, technological change, and massive movements from rural to urban areas. Ten to twenty percent of all primary medical services in poor countries are sought by patients with psychological disorders, principally anxiety and mood disorders (including suicide attempts), as well as alcoholism, drug abuse, and childhood developmental disorders (WHO, 2015). Treatments for disorders such as depression and addictive behaviors that are successful in the United States can't be administered in countries where mental health care is limited. In Cambodia, during and after the bloody reign of the Khmer Rouge, all mental health professionals either died or disappeared. As of 2006, only 26 psychiatrists were available to see 12 million people. In sub-Saharan Africa it's even worse, with only one psychiatrist per 2 million people (WHO, 2011). In the United States, approximately 200,000 mental health professionals serve almost 300 million people, yet only 1 in 3 persons with a psychological disorder in the United States has ever received treatment of any kind (Institute of Medicine, 2001). And despite the wonderful efforts of the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, there's no mention of mental health among the goals of the foundation's "Grand Challenges in Global Health" initiative. Mental disorders — and especially the most common ones, such as anxiety disorders and depression — not only impose great suffering to the affected individuals, but they also lead to high economic cost to society by being undertreated (Laird & Clark, 2014). These shocking statistics suggest that in addition to their role in causation, social and cultural factors substantially maintain disorders

because most societies have not yet developed the social context for alleviating and ultimately preventing them. Changing societal attitudes is just one of the challenges facing us as the century unfolds.

Life-Span Development

Life-span developmental psychopathologists point out that we tend to look at psychological disorders from a snapshot perspective: we focus on a particular point in a person's life and assume it represents the whole person. The inadequacy of this way of looking at people should be clear. Think back on your own life over the past few years. The person you were, say, 3 years ago, is different from the person you are now, and the person you will be 3 years from now will have changed in important ways, even though we tend to have a cognitive bias called "the end of history" illusion that makes us think that we will change very little in the years to come (Quoidbach, Gilbert, & Wilson, 2013). To understand psychopathology, we must appreciate how experiences during different periods of development may influence our vulnerability to other types of stress or to differing psychological disorders (Charles & Carstensen, 2010; Rutter, 2002).

Important developmental changes occur at all points in life. For example, adulthood, far from being a relatively stable period, is highly dynamic, with important changes occurring into old age. Erik Erikson (1982) suggested that we go through eight major crises during our lives, each determined by our biological maturation and the social demands made at particular times. Unlike Freud, who envisioned no developmental stages beyond adolescence, Erikson believed that we grow and change beyond the age of 65. During older adulthood, for example, we look back and view our lives either as rewarding or as disappointing.

Although aspects of Erikson's theory of psychosocial development have been criticized as being too vague and not supported by research (Shaffer, 1993), it illustrates the comprehensive approach to human development advocated by life-span developmentalists. Basic research is beginning to confirm the importance of this approach. In one experiment, Kolb, Gibb, and Gorny (2003) placed animals in complex environments as juveniles, as adults, or in old age when cognitive abilities were beginning to decline (senescence). They found that the environment had different effects on the brains of these animals depending on their developmental stage. Basically, the complex and challenging environments increased the size and complexity of neurons in the motor and sensory cortical regions in the adult and aged animals; however, unlike the older groups, in young animals the complex and challenging environments decreased the size and complexity of neurons in the spine. Nevertheless, this decrease was associated with enhanced motor and cognitive skills when the animals became adults, indicating that stimulating environments can affect brain function in a positive way at any age. For example, it has been shown that the disease progression of disorders that typically begin in adulthood or old age, such as Huntington's disease, Alzheimer's disease, and Parkinson's, and even genetic disorders, such as fragile X and Down syndrome, can be delayed or slowed down through enriched environments (Nithianantharajah & Hannan, 2006). Even prenatal experience seems to affect brain

structure, because the offspring of an animal housed in a rich and complex environment during the term of her pregnancy have the advantage of more complex cortical brain circuits after birth (Kolb, Gibb, & Robinson, 2003). You may remember the study by Cameron et al. (2005) discussed earlier in the chapter, in which mother rats' behavior in the first week of their pups' lives, but not thereafter, strongly influenced the ability of the pups to handle stress throughout their lives.

Thus, we can infer that the influence of developmental stage and prior experience has a substantial impact on the development and presentation of psychological disorders, an inference that is receiving confirmation from sophisticated life-span developmental psychologists such as Laura Carstensen (Carstensen, Charles, Isaacowitz, & Kennedy, 2003; Carstensen et al., 2011; Charles & Carstensen, 2010; Isaacowitz, Smith, & Carstensen, 2003). For example, in depressive (mood) disorders, children and adolescents do not receive the same benefit from antidepressant drugs as do adults (Hazell, O'Connell, Heathcote, Robertson, & Henry, 1995; Santosh, 2009), and for many of them these drugs pose risks that are not present in adults (Santosh, 2009). Also, the gender distribution in depression is approximately equal until puberty, when it becomes more common in girls (Compas et al., 1997; Hankin, Wetter, & Cheely, 2007).

The Principle of Equifinality

Like a fever, a particular behavior or disorder may have a number of causes. The principle of **equifinality** is used in developmental psychopathology to indicate that we must consider a number of paths to a given outcome (Cicchetti, 1991). There are many examples of this principle. A delusional syndrome may be an aspect of schizophrenia, but it can also arise from amphetamine abuse. Delirium, which involves difficulty focusing attention, often occurs in older adults after surgery, but it can also result from thiamine deficiency or renal (kidney) disease. Autism can sometimes occur in children whose mothers are exposed to rubella during pregnancy, but it can also occur in children whose mothers experience difficulties during labor.

Different paths can also result from the interaction of psychological and biological factors during various stages of development. How someone copes with impairment resulting from physical causes may have a profound effect on that person's overall functioning. For example, people with documented brain damage of approximately equal severity may have different levels of disorder. Those with healthy systems of social support, consisting of family and friends, as well as highly adaptive personality characteristics, such as confidence in their abilities to overcome challenges, may experience only mild behavioral and cognitive disturbance despite physical (organic) pathology. Those without comparable support and personality may be incapacitated. This may be clearer if you think of people you know with physical disabilities. Some, paralyzed from the waist down by accident or disease (paraplegics), have nevertheless become superb athletes or accomplished in business or the arts. Others with the same condition are depressed and hopeless; they have withdrawn from life or, even worse, ended their lives. Even the content of delusions and hallucinations that may accompany a disorder, and the degree to

which they are frightening or difficult to cope with, is partly determined by psychological and social factors.

Researchers are exploring not only what makes people experience particular disorders but also what protects others from having the same difficulties. If you were interested in why someone would be depressed, for example, you would first look at people who display depression. But you could also study people in similar situations and from similar backgrounds who are not depressed. An excellent example of this approach is research on "resilient" children, which suggests that social factors may protect some children from being hurt by stressful experiences, such as one or both parents suffering a psychiatric disturbance (Cooper, Feder, Southwick & Charney, 2007; Garmezy & Rutter, 1983; Becvar, 2013; Goldstein, & Brooks, 2013). The presence of a caring adult friend or relative can offset the negative stresses of this environment, as can the child's own ability to understand and cope with unpleasant situations. More recently, scientists are discovering strong biological differences in responsiveness to trauma and stress as a result of protective factors such as social support, or having a strong purpose or meaning of life (Alim et al., 2008; Charney, 2004; Ozbay et al., 2007). Perhaps if we better understand why some people do not encounter the same problems as others in similar circumstances, we can better understand particular disorders, assist those who suffer from them, and even prevent some cases from occurring.

Conclusions

We have examined modern approaches to psychopathology, and we have found the field to be complex indeed. In this brief overview (even though it may not seem brief), we have seen that contributions from (1) psychoanalytic theory, (2) behavioral and cognitive science, (3) emotional influences, (4) social and cultural influences, (5) genetics, (6) neuroscience, and (7) life-span developmental factors all must be considered when we think about psychopathology. Even though our knowledge is incomplete, you can see why we could never resume the one-dimensional thinking typical of the various historical traditions described in Chapter 1.

And yet, books about psychological disorders and news reports in the popular press often describe the causes of these disorders in one-dimensional terms without considering other influences. For example, how many times have you heard that a psychological disorder such as depression, or perhaps schizophrenia, is caused by a "chemical imbalance" without considering other possible causes? When you read that a disorder is *caused* by a chemical imbalance, it sounds like nothing else really matters and all you have to do is correct the imbalance in neurotransmitter activity to "cure" the problem.

Based on the research we review when we talk about specific psychological disorders, there is no question that psychological disorders are associated with altered neurotransmitter activity and other aspects of brain function (a chemical imbalance). But you have learned in this chapter that a chemical imbalance could, in turn, be caused by psychological or social factors such as stress, strong emotional reactions, difficult family interactions, changes caused by aging, or, most likely, some interaction of all these factors. Therefore, it is inaccurate and misleading to say that

a psychological disorder is “caused” by a chemical imbalance, even though chemical imbalances almost certainly exist.

Similarly, how many times have you heard that alcoholism or other addictive behaviors were caused by “lack of willpower,” implying that if these individuals simply developed the right attitude they could overcome their addiction? There is no question that people with severe addictions may well have motivational problems and faulty cognitive processes as indicated by rationalizing their behavior, or other faulty appraisals, or by attributing their problems to stress in their lives or some other “bogus” excuse. They may also misperceive the effects that alcohol has on them, and these cognitions and attitudes all contribute to developing addictions. But considering only cognitive processes without considering other factors, such as genes and brain physiology, as causes of addictions would be as incorrect as saying that depression is caused by a chemical imbalance. Interpersonal, social, and cultural factors also contribute strongly to the development of addictive behaviors. To say, then, that addictive behaviors such as alcoholism are caused by lack of willpower or certain faulty ways of thinking is also highly simplistic and just plain wrong.

If you learn one thing from this book, it should be that psychological disorders do not have just one cause. They have many causes—these causes all interact with one another—and we must understand this interaction to appreciate fully the origins of psychological disorders. To do this requires a multidimensional integrative approach. In chapters covering specific psychological disorders, we return to cases like Judy’s and consider them from this multidimensional integrative perspective. But first we must explore the processes of assessment and diagnosis used to measure and classify psychopathology.

3

Clinical Assessment and Diagnosis

CHAPTER OUTLINE

Assessing Psychological Disorders

Key Concepts in Assessment
The Clinical Interview
Physical Examination
Behavioral Assessment
Psychological Testing
Neuropsychological Testing
Neuroimaging: Pictures of the Brain
Psychophysiological Assessment

Diagnosing Psychological Disorders

Classification Issues
Diagnosis before 1980
DSM-III and *DSM-III-R*
DSM-IV and *DSM-IV-TR*
DSM-5
Creating a Diagnosis
Beyond *DSM-5*: Dimensions and Spectra



StockPhoto.com/bowdenimages

Use scientific reasoning to interpret behavior:

- Identify basic biological, psychological, and social components of behavioral explanations (e.g., inferences, observations, operational definitions and interpretations) (APA SLO 2.1a) (see textbook pages 78–80, 83, 92–94)

Describe applications that employ discipline-based problem solving:

- Describe examples of relevant and practical applications of psychological principles to everyday life (APA SLO 4.1, 4.4) (see textbook pages 83, 97–99)

*Portions of this chapter cover learning outcomes suggested by the American Psychological Association (2013) in their guidelines for the undergraduate psychology major. Chapter coverage of these outcomes is identified above by APA Goal and APA Suggested Learning Outcome (SLO).

Assessing Psychological Disorders

The processes of clinical assessment and diagnosis are central to the study of psychopathology and, ultimately, to the treatment of psychological disorders. **Clinical assessment** is the systematic evaluation and measurement of psychological, biological, and social factors in an individual presenting with a possible psychological disorder. **Diagnosis** is the process of determining whether the particular problem afflicting the individual meets all criteria for a psychological disorder, as set forth in the fifth edition of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, or *DSM-5* (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). In this chapter, after demonstrating assessment and diagnosis within the context of an actual case, we examine the development of the *DSM* into a widely used classification system for abnormal behavior. Then we review the many assessment techniques available to the clinician. Finally, we turn to diagnostic issues and the related challenges of classification.

Frank...

Young, Serious, and Anxious

Frank was referred to one of our clinics for evaluation and possible treatment of severe distress and anxiety centering on his marriage. He arrived neatly dressed in his work clothes (he was a mechanic). He reported that he was 24 years old and that this was the first time he had ever seen a mental health professional. He wasn't sure that he needed (or wanted) to be there, but he felt he was beginning to "come apart" because of his marital difficulties. He figured that it certainly wouldn't hurt to come once to see whether we could help. What follows is a transcript of parts of this first interview.

THERAPIST: What sorts of problems have been troubling you during the past month?

FRANK: I'm beginning to have a lot of marital problems. I was married about 9 months ago, but I've been really tense around the house and we've been having a lot of arguments.

THERAPIST: Is this something recent?

FRANK: Well, it wasn't too bad at first, but it's been worse lately. I've also been really uptight in my job, and I haven't been getting my work done.

Note that we always begin by asking the patient to describe for us, in a relatively open-ended way, the major difficulties that brought him or her to the office. When dealing with adults, or children old enough (or verbal enough) to tell us their story, this strategy tends to break the ice. It also allows us to relate details of the patient's life revealed later in the interview to the central problems as seen through the patient's eyes.

After Frank described this major problem in some detail, we asked him about his marriage, his job, and other current life circumstances. Frank reported that he had worked steadily in an auto body repair shop for the past 4 years and that, 9 months previously, he had married a 17-year-old woman. After getting a better picture of his current situation, we returned to his feelings of distress and anxiety.

THERAPIST: When you feel uptight at work, is it the same kind of feeling you have at home?

FRANK: Pretty much; I just can't seem to concentrate, and lots of times I lose track of what my wife's saying to me, which makes her mad and then we'll have a big fight.

THERAPIST: Are you thinking about something when you lose your concentration, such as your work, or maybe other things?

FRANK: Oh, I don't know; I guess I just worry a lot.

THERAPIST: What do you find yourself worrying about most of the time?

FRANK: Well, I worry about getting fired and then not being able to support my family. A lot of the time I feel like I'm going to catch something—you know, get sick and not be able to work. Basically I guess I'm afraid of getting sick and then

(Continued next page)

failing at my job and in my marriage, and having my parents and her parents both telling me what an ass I was for getting married in the first place.

During the first 10 minutes or so of the interview, Frank seemed to be quite tense and anxious and would often look down at the floor while he talked, glancing up only occasionally to make eye contact. Sometimes his right leg would twitch a bit. Although it was not easy to see at first because he was looking down, Frank was also closing his eyes tightly for a period of 2 to 3 seconds. It was during these periods when his eyes were closed that his right leg would twitch.

The interview proceeded for the next half hour, exploring marital and job issues. It became increasingly clear that Frank was feeling inadequate and anxious about handling situations in his life. By this time, he was talking freely and looking up a little more at the therapist, but he was continuing to close his eyes and twitch his right leg slightly.

THERAPIST: Are you aware that once in a while you're closing your eyes while you're telling me this?

FRANK: I'm not aware all the time, but I know I do it.

THERAPIST: Do you know how long you've been doing that?

FRANK: Oh, I don't know, maybe a year or two.

THERAPIST: Are you thinking about anything when you close your eyes?

FRANK: Well, actually I'm trying not to think about something.

THERAPIST: What do you mean?

FRANK: Well, I have these really frightening and stupid thoughts, and...it's hard to even talk about it.

THERAPIST: The thoughts are frightening?

FRANK: Yes, I keep thinking I'm going to take a fit, and I'm just trying to get that out of my mind.

THERAPIST: Could you tell me more about this fit?

FRANK: Well, you know, it's those terrible things where people fall down and they froth at the mouth, and their tongues come out, and they shake all over. You know, seizures. I think they call it epilepsy.

THERAPIST: And you're trying to get these thoughts out of your mind?

FRANK: Oh, I do everything possible to get those thoughts out of my mind as quickly as I can.

THERAPIST: I've noticed you moving your leg when you close your eyes. Is that part of it?

FRANK: Yes, I've noticed if I really jerk my leg and pray real hard for a little while the thought will go away.

(Excerpt from Nelson, R. O., & Barlow, D. H., 1981. Behavioral assessment: Basic strategies and initial procedures. In D. H. Barlow, Ed., *Behavioral assessment of adult disorders*. New York: Guilford Press.) •

Brian... Suspicious Ideas

Brian was 20 years old and had recently been discharged from a tour of duty in the army. He was referred by a psychiatrist in another state for evaluation of sexual problems. What follows is a greatly abbreviated transcript.

THERAPIST: What seems to be the problem?

BRIAN: I'm a homosexual.

THERAPIST: You're a homosexual?

BRIAN: Yes, and I want to be straight. Who wants to be queer?

THERAPIST: Do you have any homosexual friends or lovers?

BRIAN: No, I wouldn't get near them.

THERAPIST: How often do you engage in homosexual behavior?

BRIAN: Well, I haven't as of yet, but it's no secret that I'm homosexual, and it's just a matter of time before it happens, I suppose.

THERAPIST: Do you have somebody specifically in mind? Are you attracted to somebody?

BRIAN: No, but others are attracted to me. I can tell by the way they look at me.

THERAPIST: The way they look at you?

BRIAN: Yes, the look in their eyes.

THERAPIST: Has anyone ever actually approached you or said anything to you about being homosexual?

BRIAN: No, not to me; they wouldn't dare. But I know they talk about me behind my back.

THERAPIST: How do you know that?

BRIAN: Well, sometimes the guys will be talking in the next room, and the only thing they could be talking about is that I'm queer. (Nelson & Barlow, 1981, p. 20) •

What's wrong with Frank? The first interview reveals an insecure young man experiencing substantial stress as he questions whether he is capable of handling marriage and a job. He reports that he loves his wife very much and wants the marriage to work and he is attempting to be as conscientious as possible on his job, a job from which he derives a lot of satisfaction and enjoyment. Also, for some reason, he is having troubling thoughts about seizures. Now let's consider one more case for purposes of illustration.


So where do we go from here? Where do you think Brian got these ideas? And how do we determine whether Frank has a psychological disorder or if he is simply one of many young men suffering the normal stresses and strains of a new marriage who, perhaps, could benefit from some marital counseling? The purpose of this chapter is to illustrate how mental health clinicians address these types of questions in a systematic way, assessing patients to study the basic nature of psychopathology, as well as to make diagnoses and plan treatment.

Key Concepts in Assessment

The process of clinical assessment in psychopathology has been likened to a funnel (Antony & Barlow, 2010; Hunsley & Mash, 2011; Urbina, 2014). The clinician begins by collecting a lot of information across a broad range of the individual's functioning to determine where the source of the problem may lie. After getting a preliminary sense of the overall functioning of the person, the clinician narrows the focus by ruling out problems in some areas and concentrating on areas that seem most relevant.

To understand the different ways clinicians assess psychological problems, we need to understand three basic concepts that help determine the value of our assessments: reliability, validity, and standardization (Ayearst & Bagby, 2010) (see Figure 3.1). Assessment techniques are subject to a number of strict requirements, not the least of which is some evidence (research) that they actually do what they are designed to do. One of the more important requirements of these assessments is that they be reliable. **Reliability** is the degree to which a measurement is consistent. Imagine how irritated you would be if you had stomach pain and you went to four competent physicians and got four different diagnoses and four different treatments. The diagnoses would be said to be unreliable because two or more "raters" (the physicians) did not agree on the conclusion. We expect, in general, that presenting the same symptoms to different physicians will result in similar diagnoses. One way psychologists improve their reliability is by carefully designing their assessment devices and then conducting research on them to ensure that two or more raters will get the same answers (called *interrater reliability*). They also determine whether these assessment techniques are stable across time. In other words, if you go to a clinician on Tuesday and are told you have an IQ of 110, you should expect a similar result if you take the same test again on Thursday. This is known as *test-retest reliability*. We will return to the concept of reliability when we talk about diagnoses and classification.

Validity is whether something measures what it is designed to measure—in this case, whether a technique assesses what it is supposed to. Comparing the results of an assessment measure



● FIGURE 3.1

Concepts that determine the value of clinical assessments.

© Cengage Learning®

under consideration with the results of others that are better known allows you to begin to determine the validity of the first measure. This comparison is called *concurrent or descriptive validity*. For example, if the results from a standard, but long, IQ test were essentially the same as the results from a new, brief version, you could conclude that the brief version had concurrent validity. *Predictive validity* is how well your assessment tells you what will happen in the future. For example, does it predict who will succeed in school and who will not (which is one of the goals of an IQ test)?

Standardization is the process by which a certain set of standards or norms is determined for a technique to make its use consistent across different measurements. The standards might apply to the procedures of testing, scoring, and evaluating data. For example, the assessment might be given to large numbers of people who differ on important factors such as age, race, gender, socioeconomic status, and diagnosis; their scores would be pooled with other individuals like them and then be used as a standard, or norm, for comparison purposes. For example, if you are an African American male, 19 years old, and from a middle-class background, your score on a psychological test should be compared with the scores of others like you and not to the scores of different people, such as a group of women of Asian descent in their 60s from working-class backgrounds. Reliability, validity, and standardization are important to all forms of psychological assessment.

Clinical assessment consists of a number of strategies and procedures that help clinicians acquire the information they need to understand their patients and assist them. These procedures include a clinical interview and, within the context of the interview, a mental status exam that can be administered either formally or informally; often a thorough physical examination; a behavioral observation and assessment; and psychological tests (if needed).



© Olimpik/Shutterstock.com

During their first meeting, the mental health professional focuses on the problem that brought the person to treatment.

The Clinical Interview

The clinical interview, the core of most clinical work, is used by psychologists, psychiatrists, and other mental health professionals. The interview gathers information on current and past behavior, attitudes, and emotions, as well as a detailed history of the individual's life in general and of the presenting problem. Clinicians determine when the specific problem started and identify other events (for example, life stress, trauma, or physical illness) that might have occurred about the same time. In addition, most clinicians gather at least some information on the patient's current and past interpersonal and social history, including family makeup (for example, marital status, number of children, or college student currently living with parents), and on the individual's upbringing. Information on sexual development, religious attitudes (current and past), relevant cultural concerns (such as stress induced by discrimination), and educational history are also routinely collected. To organize information obtained during an interview, many clinicians use a **mental status exam**.

The Mental Status Exam

In essence, the mental status exam involves the systematic observation of an individual's behavior. This type of observation occurs when any one person interacts with another. All of us, clinicians and nonclinicians alike, perform daily pseudo-mental status exams. The trick for clinicians is to organize their observations of other people in a way that gives them sufficient information to determine whether a psychological disorder might be present (Nelson & Barlow, 1981). Mental status exams can be structured and detailed (Wing, Cooper, & Sartorius, 1974; Wiger & Mooney, 2015), but mostly they are performed relatively quickly by experienced clinicians in the course of interviewing or observing a patient. The exam covers five categories:

1. *Appearance and behavior.* The clinician notes any overt physical behaviors, such as Frank's leg twitch, as well as the individual's dress, general appearance, posture, and facial expression. For example, slow and effortful motor behavior, sometimes referred to as *psychomotor retardation*, may indicate severe depression.
2. *Thought processes.* When clinicians listen to a patient talk, they're getting a good idea of that person's thought processes. They might look for several things here. For example, what is the rate or flow of speech? Does the person talk quickly or slowly? What about continuity of speech? In other words, does the patient make sense when talking, or are ideas presented with no apparent connection? In some patients with schizophrenia, a disorganized speech pattern, referred to as *loose association* or *derailment*, is quite noticeable. Clinicians sometimes ask specific questions. If the patient shows difficulty with continuity or rate of speech, a clinician might ask, "Can you think clearly, or is there some problem putting your thoughts together? Do your thoughts tend to be mixed up or come slowly?"

In addition to rate or flow and continuity of speech, what about the content? Is there any evidence of *delusions* (distorted views of reality)? Typical delusions would be *delusions of persecution*, in which someone thinks people are after him and

out to get him all the time, or *delusions of grandeur*, in which an individual thinks she is all-powerful in some way. The individual might also have *ideas of reference*, in which everything everyone else does somehow relates back to the individual. The most common example would be thinking that a conversation between two strangers on the other side of the room must be about you. *Hallucinations* are things a person sees or hears when those things really aren't there. For example, the clinician might say, "Let me ask you a couple of routine questions that we ask everybody. Do you ever see things or maybe hear things when you know there is nothing there?"

Now think back to the case of Brian. Extensive questioning of Brian revealed no evidence of homosexual arousal patterns, fantasies, or behavior. In fact, he had been quite active heterosexually over the past several years and had strong patterns of heterosexual fantasies. What would you say about Brian's thought processes during the interview? What kinds of ideas was he expressing? Notice the conclusion he drew when he happened to see other men looking at him. What did he think when a group of men happened to have a conversation he was not part of? This would be an example of ideas of reference. That is, Brian thought everything that anyone else did or said referred to him. His strongly held conviction about his homosexuality had no basis in reality, however. It was a delusion. On the other hand, his negative attitudes toward homosexuality, referred to as *homophobia*, were clearly evident.

3. *Mood and affect.* Determining mood and affect is an important part of the mental status exam. *Mood* is the predominant feeling state of the individual, as we noted in Chapter 2. Does the person appear to be down in the dumps or continually elated? Does the individual talk in a depressed or hopeless fashion? How pervasive is this mood? Are there times when the depression seems to go away? *Affect*, by contrast, refers to the feeling state that accompanies what we say at a given point. Usually our affect is "appropriate"; that is, we laugh when we say something funny or look sad when we talk about something sad. If a friend just told you his mother died and is laughing about it, or if your friend has just won the lottery and she is crying, you would think it strange, to say the least. A mental health clinician would note that your friend's affect is "inappropriate." Then again, you might observe your friend talking about a range of happy and sad things with no affect whatsoever. In this case, a mental health clinician would say the affect is "blunted" or "flat."
4. *Intellectual functioning.* Clinicians make a rough estimate of others' intellectual functioning just by talking to them. Do they seem to have a reasonable vocabulary? Can they talk in abstractions and metaphors (as most of us do much of the time)? How is the person's memory? Clinicians usually make a rough estimate of intelligence that is noticeable only if it deviates from normal, such as concluding the person is above or below average intelligence.
5. *Sensorium.* The term *sensorium* refers to our general awareness of our surroundings. Does an individual know what the date is, what time it is, where he or she is, who he or she is, and who you are? Most of us are fully aware of these facts. People with permanent brain damage or dysfunction—or temporary

brain damage or dysfunction, often because of drugs or other toxic states—may not know the answer to these questions. If the patient knows who he is and who the clinician is and has a good idea of the time and place, the clinician would say that the patient's sensorium is "clear" and is "oriented times three" (to person, place, and time).

What can we conclude from these informal behavioral observations? Basically, they allow the clinician to make a preliminary determination of which areas of the patient's behavior and condition should be assessed in more detail and perhaps more formally. If psychological disorders remain a possibility, the clinician may begin to hypothesize which disorders might be present. This process, in turn, provides more focus for the assessment and diagnostic activities to come.

Returning to our case, what have we learned from this mental status exam (see ● Figure 3.2)? Observing Frank's persistent motor behavior in the form of a twitch led to the discovery of a connection (functional relationship) with his troublesome thoughts regarding seizures. Beyond this, his appearance was appropriate, and the flow and content of his speech was reasonable; his intelligence was well within normal limits, and he was oriented times three. He did display an anxious mood; however, his affect was appropriate to what he was saying. These observations suggested that we direct the remainder of the clinical interview and additional assessment and diagnostic activities to identify the possible existence of a disorder characterized by intrusive, unwanted thoughts and the attempt to resist them—in other words, *obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD)*. Later we describe some specific assessment strategies, from among many choices, that we would use with Frank.

Patients usually have a good idea of their major concerns in a general sense ("I'm depressed" or "I'm phobic"); occasionally, the problem reported by the patient may not, after assessment, be the major issue in the eyes of the mental health clinician. The case of Frank illustrates this point well: He complained of distress relating to marital problems, but the clinician decided, on the basis of the initial interview, that the principal difficulties lay elsewhere. Frank wasn't attempting to hide anything from the clinician. Frank just didn't think his intrusive thoughts were the major problem; in addition, talking about them was difficult for him because they were quite frightening.

This example illustrates the importance of conducting the clinical interview in a way that elicits the patient's trust and empathy. Psychologists and other mental health professionals are trained extensively in methods that put patients at ease and facilitate communication, including nonthreatening ways of seeking information and appropriate listening skills. Information provided by patients to psychologists and psychiatrists is protected by laws of "privileged communication" or confidentiality in most states; that is, even if authorities want the information the therapist has received from the patient, they cannot have access to it without the expressed consent of the patient. The only exception to this rule occurs when the clinician judges that, because of the patient's condition, some harm or danger to either the patient or someone else is imminent. At the outset of the initial interview, the therapist should inform the patient of the confidential nature of their conversation and the (quite rare) conditions under which that confidence would not hold.

Despite these assurances of confidentiality and the clinician's interview skills, patients sometimes find it difficult to volunteer sensitive information. In our own files is the case of a man in his

Mental status exam	Frank
1. Appearance and behavior • Overt behavior • Attire • Appearance, posture, expressions	• Persistent twitch • Appearance appropriate
2. Thought processes • Rate of speech • Continuity of speech • Content of speech	• Flow and content of speech reasonable
3. Mood and affect • Predominant feeling state of the individual • Feeling state accompanying what individual says	• Anxious mood • Affect appropriate
4. Intellectual functioning • Type of vocabulary • Use of abstractions and metaphors	• Intelligence within normal limits
5. Sensorium • Awareness of surroundings in terms of person (self and clinician), time, and place—"oriented times three"	• Oriented times three

Subsequent focus
Possible existence of disorder characterized by intrusive, unwanted thoughts and resistance to them

● FIGURE 3.2

Components of the mental status exam.

early 20s who came to therapy once a week for 5 months. He wanted help with what he viewed as deficient interpersonal skills and anxieties that were impeding his ability to relate to other people. Only after 5 months, and quite by chance during a particularly emotional session, did he reveal his secret. He was strongly sexually attracted to small boys and confessed that he found their feet and associated objects such as socks and shoes to be nearly irresistible. Although he had never actually approached any young boys, he had hidden in his home a large collection of small socks and shoes. Confidentiality had been assured, and the therapist was there to help, so there was no rational reason not to tell the therapist. Nevertheless, the patient found it almost impossible to volunteer this information. There may well have been signs during the 5 months of treatment that the patient's problems involved issues other than those he had communicated, but if there were, the therapist missed them.

Semistructured Clinical Interviews

Until relatively recently, most clinicians, after training, developed their own methods of collecting necessary information from patients. Different patients seeing different psychologists or other mental health professionals might encounter markedly different types and styles of interviews. Unstructured interviews follow no systematic format. *Semistructured interviews* are made up of questions that have been carefully phrased and tested to elicit useful information in a consistent manner so that clinicians can be sure they have inquired about the most important aspects of particular disorders (Galletta, 2013; Summerfeldt, Kloosterman, & Antony, 2010). Clinicians may also depart from set questions to follow up on specific issues—thus the label “semistructured.” Because the wording and sequencing of questions has been carefully worked out over a number of years, the clinician can feel confident that a semistructured interview will accomplish its purpose. The disadvantage is that it robs the interview of some of the spontaneous quality of two people talking about a problem. Also, if applied too rigidly, a semistructured interview may inhibit the patient from volunteering useful information that is not directly relevant to the questions being asked. Because of these few drawbacks associated with semistructured interviews, fully structured interviews administered wholly by a computer have not caught on, although they are used in some settings.

An increasing number of mental health professionals, however, do routinely use semistructured interviews. Some are quite specialized. For example, Frank's clinician, in probing further into a possible obsessive-compulsive disorder, might use the *Anxiety and Related Disorders Interview Schedule for DSM-5* (ADIS-5; Brown & Barlow, 2014). According to this interview schedule, shown in Table 3.1, the clinician first asks if the patient is bothered by thoughts, images, or impulses (obsessions) or feels driven to experience some behavior or thought repeatedly (compulsions). Based on a 9-point rating scale that ranges from “never” to “constantly,” the clinician then asks the patient to rate each obsession on two measures: persistence-distress (how often it occurs and how much distress it causes) and resistance (types of attempts the patient makes to get rid of the obsession). For compulsions, the patient provides a rating of their frequency.

Physical Examination

Many patients with problems first go to a family physician and are given a physical. If the patient presenting with psychological problems has not had a physical exam in the past year, a clinician might recommend one, with particular attention to the medical conditions sometimes associated with the specific psychological problem. Many problems presenting as disorders of behavior, cognition, or mood may, on careful physical examination, have a clear relationship to a temporary toxic state. This toxic state could be caused by bad food, the wrong amount or type of medicine, or onset of a medical condition. For example, thyroid difficulties, particularly hyperthyroidism (overactive thyroid gland), may produce symptoms that mimic certain anxiety disorders, such as generalized anxiety disorder. Hypothyroidism (underactive thyroid gland) might produce symptoms consistent with depression. Certain psychotic symptoms, including delusions or hallucinations, might be associated with the development of a brain tumor. Withdrawal from cocaine often produces panic attacks, but many patients presenting with panic attacks are reluctant to volunteer information about their addiction, which may lead to an inappropriate diagnosis and improper treatment.

Usually, psychologists and other mental health professionals are well aware of the medical conditions and drug use and abuse that may contribute to the kinds of problems described by the patient. If a current medical condition or substance abuse situation exists, the clinician must ascertain whether it is merely coexisting or is causal, usually by looking at the onset of the problem. If a patient has suffered from severe bouts of depression for the past five years but within the past year has also developed hypothyroid problems or begun taking a sedative drug, then the clinician would not conclude the depression was caused by the medical or drug condition. On the other hand, if the depression developed simultaneously with the initiation of sedative drugs and diminished considerably when the drugs were discontinued, the clinician would be likely to conclude the depression was part of a substance-induced mood disorder.

Behavioral Assessment

The mental status exam is one way to begin to sample how people think, feel, and behave and how these actions might contribute to or explain their problems. **Behavioral assessment** takes this process one step further by using direct observation to formally assess an individual's thoughts, feelings, and behavior in specific situations or contexts. Behavioral assessment may be more appropriate than an interview in terms of assessing individuals who are not old enough or skilled enough to report their problems and experiences. Clinical interviews sometimes provide limited assessment information. For instance, young children or individuals who are not verbal because of the nature of their disorder or because of cognitive deficits or impairments are not good candidates for clinical interviews. As we already mentioned, sometimes people withhold information deliberately because it is embarrassing or unintentionally because they aren't aware it is important. In addition to talking with a patient in an office about a problem, some clinicians go to the person's home or workplace or even into the local community to observe the person and the reported problems directly. Others set up role-play simulations

TABLE 3.1 Sample Questions for Assessing Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder**1. Initial inquiry**

Currently, are you bothered by thoughts, images, or impulses that keep recurring to you and seem inappropriate or nonsensical but that you can't stop from coming into your mind?

yes _____ no _____

If YES, specify: _____

Currently, do you feel driven to repeat some behavior or to repeat something in your mind over and over again to try to feel less uncomfortable?

yes _____ no _____


If YES, specify: _____

2. Obsessions:


For each obsession, make separate ratings of Persistence/Distress and Resistance using the scales and suggested queries below.

Persistence/Distress (P/D):

How often [does/did] the obsession enter your mind? How distressing [is/was] it to you when _____ enters your mind? (What is the time frame?)

**Resistance:**

How often [do/did] you attempt to get rid of the obsession by ignoring, suppressing, or trying to neutralize it with some thought or action?



P/D Resistance Comments

1. Doubting (for example, locks, turning appliances off, and completion or accuracy of tasks)

_____ _____ _____

2. Contamination (for example, contracting germs from doorknobs, toilets, or money, etc.)

_____ _____ _____

3. Nonsensical urges (for example, shouting or undressing in public)

_____ _____ _____

4. Aggressive urges (for example, hurting oneself or others, destroying objects)

_____ _____ _____

5. Unwanted sexual thoughts/images (for example, distressing obscene thoughts or images)

_____ _____ _____

6. Unwanted religious/Satanic thoughts/images (for example, blasphemous thoughts or impulses)

_____ _____ _____

7. Accidental harm to others (for example, hurting someone unknowingly by poisoning, hitting with a car, etc.)

_____ _____ _____

8. Horrific images (for example, mutilated bodies)

_____ _____ _____

9. Nonsensical thoughts or images (for example, numbers, letters, or songs)

_____ _____ _____

10. Other

_____ _____ _____

11. Other

_____ _____ _____

Continued

TABLE 3.1 Sample Questions for Assessing Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder—cont'd

3. Compulsions:

For each compulsion, make ratings of frequency using the scale and suggested queries below.

Frequency:

How often (are/were) you driven to perform such an action? (What is the time frame?)

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Never									
Rarely									
Occasionally									
Frequently									
Constantly									
<i>Current compulsion</i>									
							Frequency	Comments	
1. Checking (for example, locks, appliances, driving routes, important papers, wastebaskets)									
2. Washing (for example, self, household objects)									
3. Counting (for example, certain letters or numbers, objects in the environment)									
4. Internal repetition (for example, phrases, words, prayers)									
5. Adhering to rules or behavioral sequences (for example, ordering/symmetry, ritualistic acts, or adhering to a specific daily routine)									
6. Other									
7. Other									

Source: Adapted and reprinted, with permission, from Brown, T. A., & Barlow, D. H. (2013). *Anxiety and Related Disorders Interview Schedule for DSM-5 (ADIS.5)*. New York: Oxford University Press.

in a clinical setting to see how people might behave in similar situations in their daily lives. These techniques are all types of behavioral assessment.

In behavioral assessment, target behaviors are identified and observed with the goal of determining the factors that seem to influence them. It may seem easy to identify what is bothering a particular person (that is, the target behavior), but even this aspect of assessment can be challenging. For example, when the mother of a 7-year-old child with a severe conduct disorder came to one of our clinics for assistance, she told the clinician, after much prodding, that her son “didn’t listen to her” and he sometimes had an “attitude.” The boy’s schoolteacher, however, painted a different picture. She spoke candidly of his verbal violence—of his threats toward other children and to herself, threats she took seriously. To get a clearer picture of the situation at home, the clinician visited one afternoon. Approximately 15 minutes after the visit began, the boy got up from the kitchen table without removing the drinking glass he was using. When his mother quite meekly asked him to put the glass in the sink, he picked it up and threw it across the room, sending broken glass throughout the kitchen. He giggled and went into his room to watch television. “See,” she said. “He doesn’t listen to me!”

Obviously, this mother’s description of her son’s behavior at home didn’t portray what he was really like. It also didn’t accurately

describe her response to his violent outbursts. Without the home visit, the clinician’s assessment of the problem and recommendations for treatment would have been different. Clearly this was more than simple disobedience. We developed strategies to teach the mother how to make requests of her son and how to follow up if he was violent.

Getting back to Frank and his anxiety about his marriage: How do we know he is telling the “truth” about his relationship with his wife? Is what he is not telling us important? What would we find if we observed Frank and his wife interacting in their home, or if they had a typical conversation in front of us in a clinical setting? Most clinicians assume that a complete picture of a person’s problems requires direct observation in naturalistic environments. But going into a person’s home, workplace, or school isn’t always possible or practical, so clinicians sometimes arrange *analogue*, or similar, settings (Haynes, Yoshioka, Kloezenman, & Bello, 2009). For example, one of us studies children with autism spectrum disorder (a disorder characterized by social withdrawal and communication problems; see Chapter 14). The reasons for self-hitting (called *self-injurious*) behavior in this disorder are discovered by placing the children in simulated situations, such as sitting alone at home, playing with a sibling, or being asked to complete a difficult task (Durand, Hieneman, Clarke, Wang, & Rinaldi, 2013). Observing how the


children behave in these different situations helps determine why they hit themselves so that we can design a successful treatment to eliminate the behavior. Other researchers are using hypnosis to produce analogue assessments (conditions that mimic real-life clinical symptoms or situations) by inducing symptoms of psychopathology in healthy individuals to study these characteristics in a more controlled way (Oakley & Halligan, 2009). In one example, researchers studied perceptual distortions and delusional thinking (a symptom of schizophrenia we discuss in Chapter 13) in healthy volunteers by giving them the hallucinogenic anesthetic ketamine, all the while conducting brain scans to see how their brain reacted (Stone et al., 2015). As you can see, researchers are using a variety of new creative techniques to study psychological disorders.

Some areas of psychopathology are difficult to study without resorting to analogue models. For instance, one study examined the tendency of some men to sexually harass women (Bosson et al., 2015). Men in the study had the opportunity to send film clips—some with potentially offensive sexual content and others without—to a fake female partner online who claimed to dislike sexual content in films. Choosing to send the explicit film clips corresponded with the men's history of sexual assault over the past year. This type of assessment allowed the researchers to study aspects of sexual harassment without having to subject others to negative behaviors. These types of observations are useful when developing screenings and treatments.

The ABCs of Observation

Observational assessment is usually focused on the here and now. Therefore, the clinician's attention is usually directed to the immediate behavior, its antecedents (what happened just before the behavior), and its consequences (what happened afterward) (Haynes, O'Brien, & Kaholokula, 2011). To use the example of the violent boy, an observer would note that the sequence of events was (1) his mother asking him to put his glass in the sink (antecedent), (2) the boy throwing the glass (behavior), and (3) his mother's lack of response (consequence). This antecedent-behavior-consequence sequence (the ABCs) might suggest that the boy was being reinforced for his violent outburst by not having to clean up his mess. And because there was no negative consequence for his behavior (his mother didn't scold or reprimand him), he will probably act violently the next time he doesn't want to do something (see ● Figure 3.3).

This is an example of a relatively *informal observation*. A problem with this type of observation is that it relies on the observer's recollection, as well as interpretation, of the events. *Formal observation* involves identifying specific behaviors that are observable and measurable (called an *operational definition*). For example, it would be difficult for two people to agree on what "having an attitude" looks like. An operational definition, however, clarifies this behavior by specifying that this is "any time the boy does not comply with his mother's reasonable requests." Once the target behavior is selected and defined, an observer writes down each time it occurs, along with what happened just before (antecedent) and just after (consequence). The goal of collecting this information is to see whether there are any obvious patterns of behavior and then to design a treatment based on these patterns.



● FIGURE 3.3

The ABCs of observation.

Self-Monitoring

People can also observe their own behavior to find patterns, a technique known as **self-monitoring** or self-observation (Haynes et al., 2011). People trying to quit smoking may write down the number of cigarettes they smoke and the times when and places where they smoke. This observation can tell them exactly how big their problem is (for example, they smoke two packs a day) and what situations lead them to smoke more (for example, talking on the phone). The use of smartphones is becoming common in these types of assessments (e.g., Swenderman et al., 2015; Faurholt-Jepsen et al., 2015). The goal here is to help clients monitor their behavior more conveniently. When behaviors occur only in private (such as purging by people with bulimia nervosa), self-monitoring is essential. Because the people with the problem are in the best position to observe their own behavior throughout the day, clinicians often ask patients to self-monitor their behavior to get more detailed information.

A more formal and structured way to observe behavior is through checklists and *behavior rating scales*, which are used as assessment tools before treatment and then periodically during treatment to assess changes in the person's behavior (Maust et al., 2012). Of the many such instruments for assessing a variety of behaviors, the *Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale* (Clarkin, Howieson, & McCloskey, 2008), assesses 18 general areas of concern. Each symptom is rated on a 7-point scale from 0 (not present) to 6 (extremely severe). The rating scale screens for moderate to severe psychotic disorders and includes such items as somatic concern (preoccupation with physical health, fear of physical illness, hypochondriasis), guilt feelings (self-blame, shame, remorse for past behavior), and grandiosity (exaggerated self-opinion, arrogance, conviction of unusual power or abilities) (American Psychiatric Association, 2006).

A phenomenon known as *reactivity* can distort any observational data. Any time you observe how people behave, the mere fact of your presence may cause them to change their behavior (Haynes et al., 2011). To test reactivity, you can tell a friend you are going to record every time she says the word *like*. Just before you reveal your intent, however, count the times your friend uses this word in a 5-minute period. You will probably find that your friend uses the word less often when you are recording it. Your friend

will react to the observation by changing the behavior. The same phenomenon occurs if you observe your own behavior, or self-monitor. Behaviors people want to increase, such as talking more in class, tend to increase, and behaviors people want to decrease, such as smoking, tend to decrease when they are self-monitored (Cohen, Edmunds, Brodman, Benjamin, & Kendall, 2012). Clinicians sometimes depend on the reactivity of self-monitoring to increase the effectiveness of their treatments.

Psychological Testing

We are confronted with so-called psychological tests in the popular press almost every week: “12 Questions to Test Your Relationship,” “Every Guy’s Private Marriage Checklist,” “Are You a Type ‘Z’ Personality?” Although we may not want to admit it, many of us have probably purchased a magazine at some point to take one of these tests. Many are no more than entertainment, designed to make you think about the topic (and to make you buy the magazine). They are typically made up for the purposes of the article and include questions that, on the surface, seem to make sense. We are interested in these tests because we want to understand better why we and our friends behave the way we do. In reality, they usually tell us little.

In contrast, the tests used to assess psychological disorders must meet the strict standards we have noted. They must be reliable so that two or more people administering the same test to the same person will come to the same conclusion about the problem, and they must be valid so that they measure what they say they are measuring (Barker, Pistrang, & Elliot, 2016).

Psychological tests include specific tools to determine cognitive, emotional, or behavioral responses that might be associated with a specific disorder and more general tools that assess long-standing personality features, such as a tendency to be suspicious. For example, intelligence testing is designed to determine the structure and patterns of cognition. Neuropsychological testing determines the possible contribution of brain damage or cognitive dysfunction to the patient’s condition. Neuroimaging uses sophisticated technology to assess brain structure and function.

Projective Testing

We saw in Chapter 1 how Sigmund Freud brought to our attention the presence and influence of unconscious processes in psychological disorders. At this point we should ask, “If people aren’t aware of these thoughts and feelings, how do we assess them?” To address this intriguing problem, psychoanalytic workers developed several assessment measures known as **projective tests**. They include a variety of methods in which ambiguous stimuli, such as pictures of people or things, are presented to people who are asked to describe what they see. The theory here is that people project their own personality and unconscious fears onto other people and things—in this case, the ambiguous stimuli—and, without realizing it, reveal their unconscious thoughts to the therapist.

Because these tests are based in psychoanalytic theory, they have been, and remain, controversial. Even so, the use of projective tests is quite common, with a majority of clinicians administering them at least occasionally and many doctoral programs



© Cengage Learning®

● FIGURE 3.4

This inkblot resembles the ambiguous figures presented in the Rorschach test.

providing training in their use (Butcher, 2009). Three of the more widely used are the Rorschach inkblot test, the Thematic Apperception Test, and the sentence-completion method.

More than 80 years ago, a Swiss psychiatrist named Hermann Rorschach developed a series of inkblots, initially to study perceptual processes and then to diagnose psychological disorders. The *Rorschach inkblot test* is one of the early projective tests. In its current form, the test includes 10 inkblot pictures that serve as the ambiguous stimuli (see ● Figure 3.4). The examiner presents the inkblots one by one to the person being assessed, who responds by telling what he sees.

Although Rorschach advocated a scientific approach to studying the answers to the test (Rorschach, 1951), he died at the age of 38, before he had fully developed his method of systematic interpretation. Unfortunately, much of the early use of the Rorschach is extremely controversial because of the lack of data on reliability or validity, among other things. Until relatively recently, therapists administered the test in any way they saw fit, although one of the most important tenets of assessment is that the same test be given in the same way each time—that is, according to standardized procedures. If you encourage someone to give more detailed answers during one testing session but not during a second session, for example, you may get different responses as the result of your administering the test differently on the two occasions—not because of problems with the test or administration by another person (interrater reliability).

To respond to the concerns about reliability and validity, John Exner developed a standardized version of the Rorschach inkblot test, called the *Comprehensive System* (Exner, 2003). Exner's system of administering and scoring the Rorschach specifies how the cards should be presented, what the examiner should say, and how the responses should be recorded (Mihura, Meyer, Dumitrescu, & Bombel, 2012). Varying these steps can lead to varying responses by the patient. Despite the attempts to bring standardization to the use of the Rorschach test, its use remains controversial. Critics of the Rorschach question whether research on the Comprehensive System supports its use as a valid assessment technique for people with psychological disorders (Mihura et al., 2012; Wood, Garb, Nezworski, Lillienfeld & Duke, 2015).

The *Thematic Apperception Test (TAT)* is perhaps the best-known projective test after the Rorschach. It was developed in 1935 by Christiana Morgan and Henry Murray at the Harvard Psychological Clinic (Clarkin et al., 2008). The TAT consists of a series of 31 cards—30 with pictures on them and 1 blank card—although only 20 cards are typically used during each administration. Unlike the Rorschach, which involves asking for a fairly straightforward description of what the test taker sees, the instructions for the TAT ask the person to tell a dramatic story about the picture. The tester presents the pictures and tells the patient, "This is a test of imagination, one form of intelligence." The person being assessed can "let your imagination have its way, as in a myth, fairy story, or allegory" (Stein, 1978, p. 186). Again, like the Rorschach, the TAT is based on the notion that people will reveal their unconscious mental processes in their stories about the pictures (McGrath & Carroll, 2012).

Several variations of the TAT have been developed for different groups, including a Children's Apperception Test (CAT) and a Senior Apperception Test (SAT). In addition, modifications of the test have evolved for use with a variety of racial and ethnic groups, including African Americans, Native Americans, and people from India, South Africa, and the South Pacific Micronesian culture (Aronow, Weiss & Reznikoff, 2013; Bellak, 1975; Dana, 1996). These modifications have included changes not only in the appearance of people in the pictures but also in the situations depicted. Like the Comprehensive System used with the Rorschach, researchers have developed formal scoring systems for TAT stories, including the Social Cognition and Object Relations Scale (Stein, Hilsenroth, Slavin-Mulford & Pinkser, 2011; Westen, 1991).

Unfortunately, the TAT and its variants continue to be used inconsistently. How the stories people tell about these pictures are interpreted depends on the examiner's frame of reference, as well as what the patient may say. It is not surprising, therefore, that questions remain about its use in psychopathology (Hunsley & Mash, 2011).

Despite the popularity and increasing standardization of these tests, most clinicians who use projective tests have their own methods of administration and interpretation. When used as icebreakers for getting people to open up and talk about how they feel about things going on in their lives, the ambiguous stimuli in these tests can be valuable tools. Their relative lack of reliability and validity, however, makes them less useful as diagnostic tests. Concern over the inappropriate use of projective tests should remind you of the

importance of the scientist-practitioner approach. Clinicians are not only responsible for knowing how to administer tests but also need to be aware of research that suggests the tests have limited usefulness as a means of diagnosing psychopathology.

Personality Inventories

The questions in psychological tests published in mainstream magazines typically make sense when you read them. This is called having *face validity*: The wording of the questions seems to fit the type of information desired. But is this necessary? A famous psychologist, the late Paul Meehl, presented his position on this issue more than 60 years ago and subsequently influenced a whole field of study on **personality inventories** (self-report questionnaires that assess personal traits) (Meehl, 1945). Put simply, Meehl pointed out that what is necessary from these types of tests is not whether the questions necessarily make sense on the surface but, rather, what the answers to these questions predict. If we find that people who have schizophrenia tend to respond "true" to "I have never been in love with anyone," then it doesn't matter whether we have a theory of love and schizophrenia. What matters is if people with certain disorders tend, as a group, to answer certain questions in a certain way, this pattern may predict who else has this disorder. The content of the questions becomes irrelevant; again, the importance lies in what the answers predict.

Although many personality inventories are available, we look at the most widely used personality inventory in the United States, the *Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI)*. The MMPI was developed in the late 1930s and early 1940s and first published in 1943 (Hathaway & McKinley, 1943). In stark contrast to projective tests, which rely heavily on theory for an interpretation, the MMPI and similar inventories are based on an *empirical approach*, that is, the collection and evaluation of data. The administration of the MMPI is straightforward. The individual being assessed reads statements and answers either "true" or "false." Following are some statements from the MMPI:

- Cry readily
- Often happy for no reason
- Am being followed
- Fearful of things or people that can't hurt me

There is little room for interpretation of MMPI responses, unlike responses to projective tests such as the Rorschach and the TAT. A problem with administering the MMPI, however, is the time and tedium of responding to the 550 items on the original version and now the 567 items on the MMPI-2 (published in 1989). A version of the MMPI that is appropriate for adolescents is also available—MMPI-A (published in 1992)—and other versions are being adapted for people in different cultures (Okazaki, Okazaki, & Sue, 2009). Individual responses on the MMPI are not examined; instead, the pattern of responses is reviewed to see whether it resembles patterns from groups of people who have specific disorders (for example, a pattern similar to a group with schizophrenia). Each group is represented on separate standard scales (Nichols, 2011) (see Table 3.2).

Fortunately, clinicians can have these responses scored by computer; the program also includes an interpretation of the

TABLE 3.2 Scales of the MMPI-2

Validity Scales	Characteristics of High Scorers
Cannot Say (reported as a raw score) – (?CNS)	Reading difficulties, guardedness, confusion and distractibility, depression, rebellion, or obsessiveness
Variable Response Inconsistency (VRIN)	Responding to questions in a manner inconsistent with psychological disorder
True Response Inconsistency (TRIN)	Answering questions all true or all false
Infrequency (F)	Exhibit randomness of responses or psychotic psychopathology
Back F (F_b)	Changing the way the questions are answered at the end of the test
Infrequency – Psychopathology (F_t)	Claiming more psychiatric symptoms than expected
Symptom Validity (FBS)	Trying to appear to have more disabilities but not psychotic
Lie (L)	Dishonest, deceptive, and/or defended
Correction (K)	Person is very guarded and defensive
Superlative Self-Presentation (S)	Believes in human goodness and denies personal flaws
Clinical Scales	Characteristics of High Scorers
Hypochondriasis	Somatizers, possible medical problems
Depression	Dysphoric, possibly suicidal
Hysteria	Highly reactive to stress, anxious, and sad at times
Psychopathic deviate	Antisocial, dishonest, possible drug abusers
Masculinity-femininity	Exhibit lack of stereotypical masculine interests, aesthetic and artistic
Paranoia	Exhibit disturbed thinking, ideas of persecution, possibly psychotic
Psychasthenia	Exhibit psychological turmoil and discomfort, extreme anxiety
Schizophrenia	Confused, disorganized, possible hallucinations
Mania	Manic, emotionally labile, unrealistic self-appraisal
Social introversion	Very insecure and uncomfortable in social situations, timid


Source: Excerpted from the MMPI® -2 (Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory® -2) Manual for Administration, Scoring, and Interpretation, revised edition. Copyright © 2001 by the Regents of the University of Minnesota. Used by permission of the University of Minnesota Press. All rights reserved. "MMPI-2" and "Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-2" are trademarks owned by the Regents of the University of Minnesota.

results, thereby reducing problems of reliability. One concern that arose early in the development of the MMPI was the potential of some people to answer in ways that would downplay their problems; skilled individuals would ascertain the intent of statements such as "Worry about saying things that hurt people's feelings," and fake the answers. To assess this possibility, the MMPI includes additional scales that determine the validity of each administration. For example, on the Lie scale, a statement such as "Have hurt someone when angry," when answered "false" might be an indication that the person may be falsifying answers to look good. The other scales are the Infrequency scale, which measures false claims about psychological problems or determines whether the person is answering randomly, and the Subtle Defensiveness scale, which assesses whether the person sees herself in unrealistically positive ways (Nichols, 2011).

● Figure 3.5 is an MMPI *profile* or summary of scores from an individual being clinically assessed. Before we tell you why this

27-year-old man (we'll call him James S.) was being evaluated, let's see what his MMPI profile tells us about him (note that these scores were obtained on the original version of the MMPI). The first three data points represent scores on the validity scales; the high scores on these scales were interpreted to mean that James S. made a naive attempt to look good for the evaluator and may have been trying to fake an appearance of having no problems. Another important part of his profile is the very high score on the psychopathic deviation scale, which measures the tendency to behave in antisocial ways. The interpretation of this score from the assessing clinician is that James S. is "aggressive, unreliable, irresponsible; unable to learn from experience; may initially make a good impression but then psychopathic features will surface in longer interactions or under stress."

Why was James S. being evaluated? He was a young man with a criminal record that began in his childhood. He was evaluated as part of his trial for kidnapping, raping, and murdering a middle-aged



● FIGURE 3.5

Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory Profile Form.

woman. Throughout his trial, he made up a number of contradictory stories to make himself look innocent (remember his high scores on the validity scales), including blaming his brother. There was overwhelming evidence of his guilt, however, and he was sentenced to life in prison. His answers on the MMPI resembled those of others who act in violent and antisocial ways.

The MMPI is one of the most extensively researched assessment instruments in psychology (Cox, Weed, & Butcher, 2009; Friedman, Bonlinskey, Levak & Nichols, 2014). The original standardization sample—the people who first responded to the statements and set the standard for answers—included many people from Minnesota who had no psychological disorders and several groups of people who had particular disorders. The more recent versions of this test, including the MMPI-2-Revised Form (Ben-Porath & Tellegen, 2008), eliminate problems with the original version, problems partly resulting from the original selective sample of people and partly resulting from the wording of questions (Ranson, Nichols, Rouse, & Harrington, 2009). For example, some questions were sexist. One item on the original version asks

the respondent to say whether she has ever been sorry she is a girl (Worell & Remer, 1992). Another item reads, "Any man who is willing to work hard has a good chance of succeeding" (Hathaway & McKinley, 1943). Other items were criticized as insensitive to cultural diversity. Items dealing with religion, for example, referred almost exclusively to Christianity (Butcher, Graham, Williams, & Ben-Porath, 1990). The MMPI-2 has also been standardized with a sample that reflects the 1980 U.S. Census figures, including African Americans and Native Americans for the first time. In addition, new items have been added that deal with contemporary issues such as type A personality, low self-esteem, and family problems.

Reliability of the MMPI is excellent when it is interpreted according to standardized procedures, and thousands of studies on the original MMPI attest to its validity with a range of psychological problems (Nichols, 2011). But a word of caution is necessary here. Some research suggests that the information provided by the MMPI—although informative—does not necessarily change how clients are treated and may not improve their outcomes (Lima et al., 2005).

Intelligence Testing

“She must be very smart. I hear her IQ is 180!” What is “IQ”? What is “intelligence”? And how are they important in psychopathology? As you may know, intelligence tests were developed for one specific purpose: to predict who would do well in school. In 1904, a French psychologist, Alfred Binet, and his colleague, Théodore Simon, were commissioned by the French government to develop a test that would identify “slow learners” who would benefit from remedial help. The two psychologists identified a series of tasks that presumably measured the skills children need to succeed in school, including tasks of attention, perception, memory, reasoning, and verbal comprehension. Binet and Simon gave their original series of tasks to a large number of children; they then eliminated tasks that did not separate the slow learners from the children who did well in school. After several revisions and sample administrations, they had a test that was relatively easy to administer and that did what it was designed to do—predict academic success. In 1916, Lewis Terman of Stanford University translated a revised version of this test for use in the United States; it became known as the *Stanford-Binet test*.

The test provided a score known as an **intelligence quotient**, or **IQ**. Initially, IQ scores were calculated by using the child’s *mental age*. For example, a child who passed all questions on the 7-year-old level and none of the questions on the 8-year-old level received a mental age of 7. This mental age was then divided by the child’s *chronological age* and multiplied by 100 to get the IQ score. There were problems, however, with using this type of formula for calculating an IQ score. For example, a 4-year-old needed to score only 1 year above his or her chronological age to be given an IQ score of 125, although an 8-year-old had to score 2 years above his or her chronological age to be given the same score. Current tests use what is called a *deviation IQ*. A person’s score is compared only with scores of others of the same age. The IQ score, then, is an estimate of how much a child’s performance in school will deviate from the average performance of others of the same age (Fletcher & Hattie, 2011).

In addition to the revised version of the Stanford-Binet (*Stanford-Binet 5*; Roid, 2003), there is another widely used set of intelligence tests, developed by psychologist David Wechsler. The Wechsler tests include versions for adults (*Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale*, fourth edition, or *WAIS-IV*), children (*Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children*, fifth edition, or *WISC-V*), and young children (*Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence*, fourth edition, or *WPPSI-IV*). All these tests contain *verbal scales* (which measure vocabulary, knowledge of facts, short-term memory, and verbal reasoning skills) and *performance scales* (which assess psychomotor abilities, nonverbal reasoning, and ability to learn new relationships) (Weiss et al., 2015).

One of the biggest mistakes nonpsychologists (and a distressing number of psychologists) make is to confuse IQ with intelligence. An IQ score significantly higher than average means the person has a significantly greater than average chance of doing well in our educational system. By contrast, a score significantly lower than average suggests the person will probably not do well



alexokolov/Fotolia

This child is concentrating on a standard psychological assessment test.

in school. Does a lower-than-average IQ score mean a person is not intelligent? Not necessarily. First, there are numerous reasons for a low score. For example, if the IQ test is administered in English and that is not the person’s native language, the results will be affected.

Perhaps more important, however, is the continued development of models that answer the question “What constitutes intelligence?” Remember that the IQ tests measure abilities such as attention, perception, memory, reasoning, and verbal comprehension. But do these skills represent the totality of what we consider intelligence? Some recent theorists believe that what we think of as intelligence involves more, including the ability to adapt to the environment, the ability to generate new ideas, and the ability to process information efficiently (Gottfredson & Saklofske, 2009). Later, we discuss disorders that involve cognitive impairment, such as delirium and intellectual disability, and IQ tests are typically used in assessing these disorders. It’s important to keep in mind, though, that we are discussing IQ and not necessarily intelligence. In general, however, IQ tests tend to be reliable, and to the extent that they predict academic success, they are valid assessment tools.

Neuropsychological Testing

Sophisticated tests now exist that can pinpoint the location of brain dysfunction. Fortunately, these techniques are generally available and relatively inexpensive, and technological advances in interactive teleconferencing have led to efforts to conduct such assessments for people in remote areas (Lezak, Howieson, Bigler, & Tranel, 2012). **Neuropsychological tests** measure abilities in areas such as receptive and expressive language, attention and concentration, memory, motor skills, perceptual abilities, and learning and abstraction in such a way that the clinician can make educated guesses about the person’s performance and the possible existence of brain impairment. In other words, this method of testing assesses brain dysfunction by observing the effects of the dysfunction on the person’s ability to perform certain tasks. Although you do not see damage, you can see its effects.

A fairly simple neuropsychological test often used with children is the *Bender Visual-Motor Gestalt Test* (Brannigan & Decker, 2006). A child is given a series of cards on which are drawn various lines and shapes. The task is for the child to copy what is drawn on the card. The errors on the test are compared with test results of other children of the same age; if the number of errors exceeds a certain figure, then brain dysfunction is suspected. This test is less sophisticated than other neuropsychological tests because the nature or location of the problem cannot be determined with this test. The Bender Visual-Motor Gestalt Test can be useful for psychologists, however, because it provides a simple screening instrument that is easy to administer and can detect possible problems. Two of the most popular advanced tests of organic (brain) damage that allow more precise determinations of the location of the problem are the *Luria-Nebraska Neuropsychological Battery* (Golden, Hammeke, & Purisch, 1980) and the *Halstead-Reitan Neuropsychological Battery* (Reitan & Davison, 1974). These offer an elaborate battery of tests to assess a variety of skills in adolescents and adults. For example, the Halstead-Reitan Neuropsychological Battery includes the *Rhythm Test* (which asks the person to compare rhythmic beats, thus testing sound recognition, attention, and concentration), the *Strength of Grip Test* (which compares the grips of the right and left hands), and the *Tactile Performance Test* (which requires the test taker to place wooden blocks in a form board while blindfolded, thus testing learning and memory skills) (McCaffrey, Lynch, & Westervelt, 2011).

Research on the validity of neuropsychological tests suggests they may be useful for detecting organic damage. One study found that the Halstead-Reitan and the Luria-Nebraska test batteries were equivalent in their abilities to detect damage and were about 80% correct (Goldstein & Shelly, 1984). These types of studies, however, raise the issue of **false positives** and **false negatives**. For any assessment strategy, there will be times when the test shows a problem when none exists (false positive) and times when no problem is found even though some difficulty is present (false negative). The possibility of false results is particularly troublesome for tests of brain dysfunction; a clinician who fails to find damage that exists might miss an important medical problem that needs to be treated. Fortunately, neuropsychological tests are used primarily as screening devices and are routinely paired with other assessments to improve the likelihood that real problems will be found. They do well with regard to measures of reliability and validity. On the downside, some of these tests can require hours to administer, score, and interpret and are therefore not used unless specific disorders (e.g., neurodevelopmental or learning disorders) are suspected.

Neuroimaging: Pictures of the Brain

For more than a century, we have known that many things we do, think, and remember are partially controlled by specific areas of the brain. In recent years we have developed the ability to look inside the nervous system and take increasingly accurate pictures of the structure and function of the brain, using a technique called **neuroimaging** (Filippi, 2015). Neuroimaging can be divided into two categories. One category includes procedures that examine

the structure of the brain, such as the size of various parts and whether there is any damage. In the second category are procedures that examine the actual functioning of the brain by mapping blood flow and other metabolic activity.

Images of Brain Structure

The first neuroimaging technique, developed in the early 1970s, uses multiple X-ray exposures of the brain from different angles; that is, X-rays are passed directly through the head. As with any X-ray, these are partially blocked or attenuated more by bone and less by brain tissue. The degree of blockage is picked up by detectors in the opposite side of the head. A computer then reconstructs pictures of various slices of the brain. This procedure, which takes about 15 minutes, is called a *computerized axial tomography (CAT) scan* or *CT scan*. It is relatively noninvasive and has proved useful in identifying and locating abnormalities in the structure or shape of the brain. CT scans are particularly useful in locating brain tumors, injuries, and other structural and anatomical abnormalities. One difficulty, however, is that these scans, like all X-rays, involve repeated x-radiation, which poses some risk of cell damage (Filippi, 2015).

Several more recently developed procedures give greater resolution (specificity and accuracy) than a CT scan without the inherent risks of X-ray tests. A now commonly used scanning technique is called nuclear *magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)*. The patient's head is placed in a high-strength magnetic field through which radio frequency signals are transmitted. These signals "excite" the brain tissue, altering the protons in the hydrogen atoms. The alteration is measured, along with the time it takes the protons to "relax" or return to normal. Where there are lesions or damage, the signal is lighter or darker (Filippi, 2015). Technology now exists that allows the computer to view the brain in layers, which enables precise examination of the structure. Although an MRI is more expensive than a CT scan and originally took as long as 45 minutes, this is changing as technology improves. Newer versions of MRI procedures take as little as 10 minutes; the time and



The patient is being positioned for an MRI scan.


Koen Verheijden/Hollandse Hooge

cost are decreasing yearly. Another disadvantage of MRI at present is that someone undergoing the procedure is totally enclosed inside a narrow tube with a magnetic coil surrounding the head. People who are somewhat claustrophobic often cannot tolerate an MRI.

Although neuroimaging procedures are useful for identifying damage to the brain, only recently have they been used to determine structural or anatomical abnormalities that might be associated with various psychological disorders. We review some tantalizing studies in subsequent chapters on specific disorders.

Images of Brain Functioning

Several widely used procedures are capable of measuring the actual functioning of the brain, as opposed to its structure. The first is called *positron emission tomography (PET) scan*. Subjects undergoing a PET scan are injected with a tracer substance attached to radioactive isotopes, or groups of atoms that react distinctively. This substance interacts with blood, oxygen, or glucose. When parts of the brain become active, blood, oxygen, or glucose rushes to these areas of the brain, creating “hot spots” picked up by detectors that identify the location of the isotopes. Thus, we can learn what parts of the brain are working and what parts are not. To obtain clear images, the individual undergoing the procedure must remain motionless for 40 seconds or more. These images can be superimposed on MRI images to show the precise location of the active areas. The PET scans are also useful in supplementing MRI and CT scans when localizing the sites of trauma resulting from head injury or stroke, as well as when localizing brain tumors. More important, PET scans are used increasingly to look at varying patterns of metabolism that might be associated with different disorders. Recent PET scans have demonstrated that many patients with early Alzheimer’s-type dementia show reduced glucose metabolism in the parietal lobes. Other intriguing findings have been reported for obsessive-compulsive disorder and bipolar disorder (see Chapters 5 and 7). PET scanning is expensive: the cost is about \$6 million to set up a PET facility and \$500,000 a year to run it. Therefore, these facilities are available only in large medical centers.



PET scans display areas of high and low neurological activity, as in these scans of a brain affected by HIV.

A second procedure used to assess brain functioning is called *single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT)*. It works much like PET, although a different tracer substance is used; this procedure is somewhat less accurate. It is also less expensive, however, and requires far less sophisticated equipment to pick up the signals. Therefore, SPECT is used more often than PET scans.

The most exciting advances involve MRI procedures that have been developed to work more quickly than the regular MRI (Filippi, 2015). Using sophisticated computer

technology, these procedures take only milliseconds and, therefore, can actually take pictures of the brain at work, recording its changes from one second to the next. Because these procedures measure the functioning of the brain, they are called *functional MRI*, or *fMRI*. fMRI procedures have largely replaced PET scans in the leading brain-imaging centers because they allow researchers to see the immediate response of the brain to a brief event, such as seeing a new face. BOLD-fMRI (Blood-Oxygen-Level-Dependent fMRI) is currently the most common fMRI technique used to study psychological disorders (Filippi, 2015).

Some of the latest research in neuroimaging seeks to view the brain all the way down to the level of the synapse. These new technologies can detect activity at the receptors for neurochemicals such as dopamine and serotonin, therefore allowing researchers not just to look at action in general areas but also to actually differentiate activities at different and specific receptor sites. These technologies use radiolabeled neuroreceptor ligands (radioactive chemicals designed to congregate at specific receptor sites) in SPECT and PET imaging to study the distribution and density of neuroreceptors (Filippi, 2015). This up-and-coming technology may prove to be a more accurate way of learning how the brain works.


Brain imagery procedures hold enormous potential for illuminating the contribution of neurobiological factors to psychological disorders. For example, in Chapter 5 you will learn what fMRI procedures reveal about brain functioning in individuals such as Frank, who has obsessive-compulsive disorder.

Psychophysiological Assessment

Yet another method for assessing brain structure and function specifically and nervous system activity generally is called **psychophysiological assessment**. As the term implies, *psychophysiology* refers to measurable changes in the nervous system that reflect emotional or psychological events. The measurements may be taken either directly from the brain or peripherally from other parts of the body.

Frank feared that he might have seizures. If we had any reason to suspect he might have periods of memory loss or exhibit bizarre, trancelike behavior, if only for a short period, it would be important for him to have an *electroencephalogram (EEG)*. Measuring electrical activity in the head related to the firing of a specific group of neurons reveals brain wave activity; brain waves come from the low-voltage electrical current that runs through the neurons. A person’s brain waves can be assessed in both waking and sleeping states. In an EEG, electrodes are placed directly on various places on the scalp to record the different low-voltage currents.

We have learned much about EEG patterns in the past decades (Kim, Schulz, Wilde, & Yudofsky, 2008). Usually we measure ongoing electrical activity in the brain. When brief periods of WVEEG patterns are recorded in response to specific events, such as hearing a psychologically meaningful stimulus, the response is called an *event-related potential (ERP)* or *evoked potential*. We have learned that EEG patterns are often affected by psychological or emotional factors and can be an index of these reactions, or a psychophysiological measure. In a normal, healthy, relaxed adult,



A horizontal brain section (a) in a SPECT image clearly reveals parietal lobe damage in a person with schizophrenia. Images (b) and (c) are MRI photographs. SPECT images show metabolic activity and thus indicate the relationship between the person's brain and the person's behavior. The higher-resolution MRI images show tissue variations.

waking activities are characterized by a regular pattern of changes in voltage termed *alpha waves*.

Many types of stress-reduction treatments attempt to *increase* the frequency of the alpha waves, often by relaxing the patients in some way. The alpha wave pattern is associated with relaxation and calmness. During sleep, we pass through several stages of brain activity, at least partially identified by EEG patterns. During the deepest, most relaxed stage, typically occurring 1 to 2 hours after a person falls asleep, EEG recordings show a pattern of *delta waves*. These brain waves are slower and more irregular than the alpha waves, which is normal for this stage of sleep. You will see in Chapter 5 that panic attacks occurring while a person is sound asleep come almost exclusively during the delta wave stage. If frequent delta wave activity occurred during the waking state, it might indicate dysfunction of localized areas of the brain.

Psychophysiological assessment of other bodily responses may also play a role in assessment. These responses include heart rate, respiration, and *electrodermal responding*, formerly referred to as *galvanic skin response* (GSR), which is a measure of sweat gland activity controlled by the peripheral nervous system. Remember from Chapter 2 that the peripheral nervous system and, in particular, the sympathetic division of the autonomic nervous system are responsive to stress and emotional arousal.

Assessing psychophysiological response to emotional stimuli is important in many disorders, one being post-traumatic stress disorder. Stimuli such as sights and sounds associated with the trauma evoke strong psychophysiological responses, even if the patient is not fully aware that this is happening.

Psychophysiological assessment is also used with many sexual dysfunctions and disorders. For example, sexual arousal can be assessed through direct measurement of penile circumference in males or vaginal blood flow in females in response to erotic stimuli, usually movies or slides (see Chapter 10). Sometimes the individual might be unaware of specific patterns of sexual arousal.

Physiological measures are also important in the assessment and treatment of conditions such as headaches and hypertension (Hazlett-Stevens & Bernstein, 2012); they form the basis for

the treatment we call *biofeedback*. In biofeedback, as we explain in Chapter 9, levels of physiological responding, such as blood pressure readings, are fed back to the patient (provided on a continuous basis) by meters or gauges so that the patient can try to regulate these responses.

Nevertheless, physiological assessment is not without its limitations because it requires a great deal of skill and some technical expertise. Even when administered properly, the measures sometimes produce inconsistent results because of procedural or technical difficulties or the nature of the response itself. Therefore, only clinicians specializing in certain disorders in which these measures are particularly important are likely to make extensive use of psychophysiological recording equipment, although more straightforward applications, such as monitoring heart rate during relaxation exercises, are more common. More sophisticated psychophysiological assessment is most often used in theoretical investigations of the nature of certain psychological disorders, particularly emotional disorders (Barlow, 2002; Osvew, 2005).

Both strategies are essential in the study and treatment of psychopathology. If we want to determine what is unique about an individual's personality, cultural background, or circumstances, we use what is known as an **idiographic strategy** (Barlow & Nock, 2009). This information lets us tailor our treatment to the person. But to take advantage of the information already accumulated on a particular problem or disorder, we must be able to determine a general class of problems to which the presenting problem belongs. This is known as a **nomothetic strategy**. In other words, we are attempting to name or classify the problem. When we identify a specific psychological disorder, such as a mood disorder, in the clinical setting, we are making a diagnosis. We can also identify a general class or grouping of problems by determining a particular personality profile on a psychological test such as the MMPI. For example, when it was noted earlier in the section on the MMPI that James S. scored high on the Psychopathic Deviation scale, we concluded that he shared personality features of aggressiveness and irresponsibility with others who have elevated scores on that scale. Before proceeding, let's define some additional terms more precisely.

Because classification is such an integral part of science and, indeed, of our human experience, we describe its various aspects individually (Blashfield, Keeley, Flanagan, & Miles, 2014; Millon, 1991; Widiger & Crego, 2013). The term **classification** itself is broad, referring simply to any effort to construct groups or categories and to assign objects or people to these categories on the basis of their shared attributes or relations—a nomothetic strategy. If the classification is in a scientific context, it is most often called **taxonomy**, which is the classification of entities for scientific purposes, such as insects, rocks, or—if the subject is psychology—behaviors. If you apply a taxonomic system to psychological or medical phenomena or other clinical areas, you use the word **nosology**. All diagnostic systems used in health-care settings, such as those for infectious diseases, are nosological systems. The term **nomenclature** describes the names or labels of the disorders that make up the nosology (for example, anxiety or mood disorders). Most mental health professionals in North America use the classification system contained in the *DSM-5* (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). This is the official system in the United States, and it is used widely throughout the world along with the closely related *International Classification of Diseases*, 10th edition (*ICD-10*; World Health Organization, 1992) discussed below. A clinician refers to the *DSM-5* to identify a specific psychological disorder in the process of making a diagnosis.

Diagnosing Psychological Disorders

Thus far, we have looked at Frank's functioning on an individual basis; that is, we have closely observed his behavior, cognitive processes, and mood, and we have conducted semistructured interviewing, behavioral assessment, and psychological tests. These operations tell us what is unique about Frank, not what he may have in common with other individuals.

Learning how Frank may resemble other people in terms of the problems he presents is important for several reasons. If in the past people came in with similar problems or psychological profiles, we can go back and find a lot of information from their cases that might be applicable to Frank's case. We can see how the problems began for those other individuals, what factors seemed influential, and how long the problem or disorder lasted. Did the problem in the other cases just go away on its own? If not, what kept it going? Did it need treatment? Most important, what treatments seemed to relieve the problem for those other individuals? These general questions are useful because they evoke a wealth of clinical and research information that enables the investigator to make certain inferences about what will happen next and what treatments may work. In other words, the clinician can form general conclusions and establish a *prognosis*, a term we discussed in Chapter 1 that refers to the likely future course of a disorder under certain conditions.

During the past several years, there have been enormous changes in how psychopathology is classified. Because these developments affect so much of what clinicians do, we examine carefully the processes of classification and diagnosis as they are used in psychopathology. We look first at different approaches, examine the concepts of reliability and validity as they pertain to diagnosis, and then discuss our current system of classification, the *DSM-5*.

Classification Issues

Classification is at the heart of any science, and much of what we have said about it is common sense. If we could not order and label

objects or experiences, scientists could not communicate with one another and our knowledge would not advance. Everyone would have to develop a personal system that would mean nothing to anyone else. In your biology or geology courses, when you study insects or rocks, classification is fundamental. Knowing how one species of insects differs from another allows us to study its functioning and origins. When we are dealing with human behavior or human behavioral disorders, however, the subject of classification becomes controversial. Some people have questioned whether it is proper or ethical to classify human behavior. Even among those who recognize the necessity of classification, major controversies have arisen in several areas. Within psychopathology, for example, definitions of “normal” and “abnormal” are questioned, as is the assumption that a behavior or cognition is part of one disorder and not another. Some would prefer to talk about behavior and feelings on a continuum from happy to sad or fearful to nonfearful rather than to create such categories as mania, depression, and phobia. For better or worse, classifying behavior and people is something we all do. Few of us talk about our own emotions or those of our friends by using a number on a scale (where 0 is totally unhappy and 100 is totally happy), although this approach might be more accurate. (“How do you feel about that?” “About 65.”) Rather, we talk about being happy, sad, angry, depressed, fearful, and so on.

Categorical and Dimensional Approaches

To avoid reinventing the wheel every time we see a new set of problem behaviors and to seek general principles of psychopathology, in what different ways can we classify human behavior? We have already alluded to two possibilities. We can have distinct categories of disorders that have little or nothing in common with one another; for example, you either hear voices talking to you from the refrigerator (auditory hallucination) and have other symptoms of schizophrenia, or you don’t. Alternatively, we can quantify the various attributes of a psychological disorder along several dimensions, coming up with a composite score. An MMPI profile is a good example;

another is “dimensionalizing” a disorder—for example, depression, on a continuum of severity from feeling mildly depressed in the morning (something most of us experience once in a while) to feeling so deeply depressed and hopeless that suicide seems the only solution. Which system is better? Each has its strengths and its faults (Blashfield et al., 2014; Brown & Barlow, 2005; Helzer et al., 2008; LeBeau, Bogels, Moller, & Craske, 2015; Widiger, 2013). Let’s look at both.

The **classical (or pure) categorical approach** to classification originates in the work of Emil Kraepelin (1856–1926) and the biological tradition in the study of psychopathology.

Emil Kraepelin (1856–1926) was one of the first psychiatrists to classify psychological disorders from a biological point of view.

©Stock Montage



Here we assume that every diagnosis has a clear underlying pathophysiological cause, such as a bacterial infection or a malfunctioning endocrine system, and that each disorder is unique. When diagnoses are thought of in this way, the causes could be psychological or cultural instead of pathophysiological, but there is still only one set of causative factors per disorder, which does not overlap with those of other disorders. Because each disorder is fundamentally different from every other, we need only one set of defining criteria, which everybody in the category has to meet. If the criteria for a major depressive episode are (1) the presence of depressed mood, (2) significant weight loss or gain when not dieting, (3) diminished ability to think or concentrate, and seven additional specific symptoms, then, to be diagnosed with depression, an individual would have to meet all of the criteria. In that case, according to the classical categorical approach, the clinician would know the cause of the disorder.

Classical categorical approaches are quite useful in medicine. It is extremely important for a physician to make accurate diagnoses. If a patient has a fever accompanied by stomach pain, the doctor must determine quickly whether the cause is a stomach flu or an infected appendix. This is not always easy, but physicians are trained to examine the signs and symptoms closely, and they usually reach the correct conclusion. To understand the cause of symptoms (infected appendix) is to know what treatment will be effective (surgery). But if someone is depressed or anxious, is there a similar type of underlying cause? As you saw in Chapter 2, probably not. Most psychopathologists believe psychological and social factors interact with biological factors to produce a disorder. Therefore, despite the beliefs of Kraepelin and other early biological investigators, the mental health field has not adopted a classical categorical model of psychopathology. The classical categorical approach is clearly inappropriate to the complexity of psychological disorders (Helzer et al., 2008; Lillienfeld, 2014; Regier, Narrow, Kuhl, & Kupfer, 2009; Widiger & Edmundson, 2011).

A second strategy is a **dimensional approach**, in which we note the variety of cognitions, moods, and behaviors with which the patient presents and quantify them on a scale. For example, on a scale of 1 to 10, a patient might be rated as severely anxious (10), moderately depressed (5), and mildly manic (2) to create a profile of emotional functioning (10, 5, 2). Although dimensional approaches have been applied to psychopathology in the past—particularly to personality disorders (Blashfield et al., 2014; Helzer et al., 2008; Krueger, Hopwood, Wright, & Markon, 2014; Widiger & Samuel, 2005)—they have been relatively unsatisfactory (Brown & Barlow, 2009; Frances, 2009; Regier et al., 2009; Widiger & Edmundson, 2011). Most theorists have not been able to agree on how many dimensions are required: Some say 1 dimension is enough; others have identified as many as 33 (Millon, 1991, 2004).

A third strategy for organizing and classifying behavioral disorders has found increasing support in recent years as an alternative to classical categorical or dimensional approaches. It is a categorical approach but with the twist that it basically combines some features of each of the former approaches. Called a **prototypical approach**, this alternative identifies certain essential characteristics of an entity so that you (and others) can classify it, but it also allows certain nonessential variations that do not necessarily



Despite their wide physical variation, all dogs belong to the same class of animals.

change the classification. For example, if someone were to ask you to describe a dog, you could easily give a general description (the essential, categorical characteristics), but you might not exactly describe a specific dog. Dogs come in different colors, sizes, and even breeds (the nonessential, dimensional variations), but they all share certain dogish characteristics that allow you to classify them separately from cats. Thus, requiring a certain number of prototypical criteria and only some of an additional number of criteria is adequate. This system is not perfect because there is a greater blurring at the boundaries of categories, and some symptoms apply to more than one disorder. For this reason, these categories are often called “fuzzy.” It has the advantage,

however, of fitting better with the current state of our knowledge of psychopathology than a categorical approach, and it is relatively user-friendly.

When a prototypical approach is used in classifying a psychological disorder, many possible features or properties of the disorder are listed, and any candidate must meet enough of them to fall into that category. Consider the *DSM-5* criteria defining a major depressive episode.

As you can see, the criteria include many nonessential symptoms, but if you have either depressed mood or marked loss of interest or pleasure in most activities and at least four of the remaining eight symptoms, you come close enough to the prototype to meet the criteria for a major depressive episode. One person might have depressed mood, significant weight loss, insomnia, psychomotor agitation, and loss of energy, whereas another person who also meets the criteria for major depressive episode might have markedly diminished interest or pleasure in activities, fatigue, feelings of worthlessness, difficulty thinking or concentrating, and ideas of committing suicide. Although both have the requisite five symptoms that bring them close to the prototype, they look different because they share only one symptom. This is a good example of a prototypical category. The *DSM-5* is based on this approach.

Reliability

Any system of classification should describe specific subgroups of symptoms that are clearly evident and can be readily identified by experienced clinicians. If two clinicians interview the patient at separate times on the same day (and assuming the patient's condition does not change during the day), the two clinicians should see, and perhaps measure, the same set of behaviors and emotions. The psychological disorder can thus be identified reliably (Chmielewski, Clark, Bagby, & Watson, 2015; Kraemer, 2014). If the disorder is not readily apparent to both clinicians, the resulting diagnoses might represent bias. For example, someone's clothes might provoke some comment. One of your friends might later say, “She looked kind of sloppy tonight.” Another might comment, “No, she's just got swag.” Perhaps a third friend would say, “Actually, I thought she was dressed kind of neatly.” You might wonder if they had all seen the same person. In any case, there would be no reliability to their observations. Getting your friends to agree about someone's appearance would require a careful set of definitions that they all accept.

As we noted before, unreliable classification systems are subject to bias by clinicians making diagnoses. One of the most unreliable categories in current classification is the area of personality disorders—chronic, trait-like sets of inappropriate behaviors and emotional reactions that characterize a person's way of interacting with the world. Although great progress has been made, particularly with certain personality disorders, determining the presence or absence of this type of disorder during one interview is still difficult (Krueger et al., 2014). In a classic study, Morey and Ochoa (1989) asked 291 mental health professionals to describe an individual with a personality disorder they had recently seen, along with their diagnoses. Morey and Ochoa also collected from these clinicians detailed information about the actual signs and symptoms present in these patients. In this way, they were able

DSM
5
TABLE 3.1
Criteria for a Major Depressive Episode

Five (or more) of the following symptoms have been present during the same 2-week period and represent a change from previous functioning; at least one of the symptoms is either (a) depressed mood or (b) loss of interest or pleasure.

Note: Do not include symptoms that are clearly attributable to another medical condition.

1. Depressed mood most of the day, nearly every day.
2. Markedly diminished interest or pleasure in all, or almost all, activities most of the day, nearly every day.
3. Significant weight loss when not dieting or weight gain.
4. Insomnia or hypersomnia nearly every day.
5. Psychomotor agitation or retardation nearly every day.
6. Fatigue or loss of energy nearly every day.
7. Feelings of worthlessness or excessive or inappropriate guilt nearly every day.
8. Diminished ability to think or concentrate or indecisiveness nearly every day.
9. Recurrent thoughts of death (not just fear of dying), recurrent suicidal ideation without a specific plan, or a suicide attempt or a specific plan for committing suicide.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

to determine whether the actual diagnosis made by the clinicians matched the objective criteria for the diagnosis as determined by the symptoms. In other words, was the clinician's diagnosis accurate, based on the presence of symptoms that define the diagnosis?

Morey and Ochoa found substantial bias in making diagnoses. For example, for some reason clinicians who were either less experienced or female diagnosed borderline personality disorder more often than the criteria indicated. More experienced clinicians and male clinicians diagnosed the condition less often than the criteria indicated.

Patients who were white, female, or poor were diagnosed with borderline personality disorder more often than the criteria indicated. Although bias among clinicians is always a potential problem, the more reliable the nosology, or system of classification, the less likely bias is to creep in during diagnosis. The lack of agreement among clinicians when diagnosing personality disorders indicates that more reliable criteria are needed and may be on the way for future revisions described below (Krueger et al., 2014; see Chapter 12).

Validity

In addition to being reliable, a system of nosology must be valid. Earlier we described *validity* as whether something measures what it is designed to measure. There are several types of diagnostic validity. For one, the system should have *construct validity*. This means the signs and symptoms chosen as criteria for the diagnostic category are consistently associated or "go together" and what they identify differs from other categories. Someone meeting the criteria for depression should be discriminable from someone meeting the criteria for social phobia. This discriminability might be evident not only in presenting symptoms but also in the course of the disorder and possibly in the choice of treatment. It may also predict **familial aggregation**, the extent to which the disorder would be found among the patient's relatives (Kupfer, First, & Regier, 2002; Lillienfeld, 2014).

In addition, a valid diagnosis tells the clinician what is likely to happen with the prototypical patient; it may predict the course of the disorder and the likely effect of one treatment or another. This type of validity is referred to often as *predictive validity* and sometimes as *criterion validity*, when the outcome is the criterion by which we judge the usefulness of the diagnostic category (e.g., Klaus et al., 2015). Finally, there is *content validity*, which simply means that if you create criteria for a diagnosis of, say, social phobia, it should reflect the way most experts in the field think of social phobia, as opposed to, say, depression. In other words, you need to get the label right.

Diagnosis before 1980

The classification of psychopathology, as the old adage goes, has a long past but a recent history (Blashfield et al., 2014). Observations of depressed, phobic, or psychotic symptoms stretch back to the earliest recorded observations of human behavior. Many of these observations were so detailed and complete that we could make a diagnosis today of the individuals they described. Nevertheless, only recently have we attempted the difficult task of creating a

formal nosology that would be useful for scientists and clinicians around the world. As late as 1959 there were at least nine systems of varying usefulness for classifying psychological disorders worldwide, but only three of the nine systems listed "phobic disorder" as a separate category (Marks, 1969). One reason for this confusion is that creating a useful nosology is easier said than done.

Early efforts to classify psychopathology arose out of the biological tradition, particularly the work of Kraepelin, as described in Chapter 1 and mentioned earlier. Kraepelin first identified what we now know as the disorder of schizophrenia. His term for the disorder at the time was *dementia praecox* (Kraepelin, 1919). *Dementia praecox* refers to deterioration of the brain that sometimes occurs with advancing age (*dementia*) and develops earlier than it is supposed to, or "prematurely" (*praecox*). This label (later changed to *schizophrenia*) reflected Kraepelin's belief that brain pathology is the cause of this particular disorder. Kraepelin's landmark 1913 book (*Psychiatry: A Textbook for Students and Physicians*) described not only *dementia praecox* but also bipolar disorder, then called *manic depressive psychosis*. Kraepelin also described a variety of organic brain syndromes. Other well-known figures in their time, such as French psychiatrist Philippe Pinel, characterized psychological disorders, including depression (*melancholia*), as separate entities, but Kraepelin's theorizing that psychological disorders are basically biological disturbances had the greatest impact on the development of our nosology and led to an early emphasis on classical categorical strategies.

It was not until 1948 that the World Health Organization (WHO) added a section classifying mental disorders to the sixth edition of the *International Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD)*. This early system did not have much influence, however. Nor did the first *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM-I)*, published in 1952 by the American Psychiatric Association. Only in the late 1960s did systems of nosology begin to have some real influence on mental health professionals. In 1968, the American Psychiatric Association published a second edition of its *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM-II)*, and in 1969, WHO published the eighth edition of the *ICD*, which was all but identical to *DSM-II*, since leaders in mental health began to realize the importance of at least trying to develop a uniform system of classification. Nevertheless, these systems lacked precision, often relying heavily on unproven theories of etiology not widely accepted by all mental health professionals. To make matters worse, the systems had little reliability. Two mental health practitioners looking at the same patient often came to different conclusions based on the nosology at that time. Even as late as the 1970s, many countries, such as France and Russia, had their own systems of nosology. In these countries, the same disorders would be labeled and interpreted differently.

DSM-III and DSM-III-R

The year 1980 brought a landmark in the history of nosology: the third edition of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM-III)* (American Psychiatric Association, 1980). Under the leadership of Robert Spitzer, *DSM-III* departed radically from its predecessors. Two changes stood out. First, *DSM-III* attempted to take an atheoretical approach to diagnosis, relying on precise descriptions

of the disorders as they presented to clinicians rather than on psychoanalytic or biological theories of etiology. With this focus, *DSM-III* became a tool for clinicians with a variety of points of view. For example, rather than classifying phobia under the broad category “neurosis,” defined by intrapsychic conflicts and defense mechanisms, it was assigned its own category within a new broader group, “anxiety disorders.”

The second major change in *DSM-III* was that the specificity and detail with which the criteria for identifying a disorder were listed made it possible to study their reliability and validity. Although not all categories in *DSM-III* (and its 1987 revision, *DSM-III-R*) achieved perfect or even good reliability and validity, this system was a vast improvement over what was available before.

Despite numerous shortcomings, such as low reliability in identifying some disorders and arbitrary decisions on criteria for many disorders, *DSM-III* and *DSM-III-R* had a substantial impact. Maser, Kaelber, and Weise (1991) surveyed the international usage of various diagnostic systems at that time and found that *DSM-III* had become popular for a number of reasons. Primary among them were its precise descriptive format and its neutrality with regard to presuming a cause for diagnosis. The multiaxial format, which emphasizes a broad consideration of the whole individual rather than a narrow focus on the disorder alone, was also thought to be useful. Therefore, more clinicians around the world used *DSM-III-R* at the beginning of the 1990s than the *ICD* system designed to be applicable internationally (Maser et al., 1991).

DSM-IV and DSM-IV-TR

By the late 1980s, clinicians and researchers realized once again the importance of a consistent, worldwide system of nosology. The 10th edition of the *International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10)* (World Health Organization, 1992) would be published in 1992, and the United States is required by treaty obligations to use the *ICD-10* codes in all matters related to health. To make the *ICD-10* and *DSM* as compatible as possible, work proceeded more or less simultaneously on both the *ICD-10* and the fourth

edition of the *DSM* (*DSM-IV*), published in 1994. The *DSM-IV* task force decided to rely as little as possible on a consensus of experts. Any changes in the diagnostic system were to be based on sound scientific data. Various committees attempted to review the voluminous literature in all areas pertaining to the diagnostic system (Widiger et al., 1996; Widiger, et al., 1998) and to identify large sets of data that might have been collected for other reasons but that, with reanalysis, would be useful to *DSM-IV*. Finally, 12 independent studies or field trials examined the reliability and validity of alternative sets

of definitions or criteria and, in some cases, the possibility of creating a new diagnosis. (See Widiger et al., 1998; Zinbarg et al., 1994, 1998, for examples.)

Perhaps the most substantial change in *DSM-IV* was that the distinction between organically based disorders and psychologically based disorders that was present in previous editions was eliminated. As you saw in Chapter 2, we now know that even disorders associated with known brain pathology are substantially affected by psychological and social influences. Similarly, disorders previously described as psychological in origin certainly have biological components and, most likely, identifiable brain circuits.

In 2000, a committee updated the text that describes the research literature accompanying the *DSM-IV* diagnostic category and made minor changes to some of the criteria themselves to improve consistency (First & Pincus, 2002; American Psychiatric Association, 2000a). This text revision (*DSM-IV-TR*) helped clarify many issues related to the diagnosis of psychological disorders.

DSM-5

In the almost 20 years since the publication of *DSM-IV*, our knowledge has advanced considerably and, after over 10 years of concerted effort, *DSM-5* was published in the spring of 2013. This massive undertaking was also carried out in collaboration with international leaders working simultaneously on *ICD-11* (due to be published in 2018) such that each “workgroup” responsible for a set of disorders (for example, anxiety disorders) had an international expert deeply involved in the work of the committee. The general consensus is that *DSM-5* is largely unchanged from *DSM-IV* although some new disorders are introduced and other disorders have been reclassified. Also, there have been some organizational and structural changes in the diagnostic manual itself. For example, the manual is divided into three main sections. The first section introduces the manual and describes how best to use it. The second section presents the disorders themselves, and the third section includes descriptions of disorders or conditions that need further research before they can qualify as official diagnoses.

The use of dimensional axes for rating severity, intensity, frequency, or duration of specific disorders in a relatively uniform manner across all disorders is also a feature of *DSM-5*, (LeBeau et al., 2015). For example, for posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), LeBeau et al. (2014) developed The National Stressful Events Survey PTSD Short Scale (NSESS2PTSD), which is a 9-item self-report scale developed based on data from a national study of U.S. adults (Kilpatrick, Resnick, & Friedman, 2010). This scale was reviewed and approved by the *DSM-5* workgroup to assess the severity of PTSD symptoms over the past seven days (American Psychiatric Association, 2013) and will be described in more detail in Chapter 5.

In addition to dimensional assessments of severity or intensity for individual disorders, the *DSM-5* introduces cross-cutting dimensional symptom measures. These assessments are not specific to any particular disorder but rather evaluate in a global sense important symptoms that are often present across disorders in almost all patients. Examples include anxiety, depression, and problems with sleep (Narrow et al., 2013). The idea would be to monitor the symptoms, if present, across the course of treatment for the presenting disorder.



David Kupfer is the chair of the task force for the 5th edition of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (*DSM*), which was published in 2013.

Thus, one might diagnose bipolar disorder and provide a dimensional rating of the degree of anxiety also present because a greater degree of anxiety seems to predict a poorer response to treatment and thus may require additional treatment (Howland et al., 2009; Deckersbach et al., 2014). The suggested questions in *DSM-5* are: “During the past two weeks, how much (or how often) have you been bothered by (1) feeling nervous, anxious, frightened, worried, or on edge, (2) feeling panic or being frightened, or (3) avoiding situations that make you anxious?” (American Psychiatric Association, 2013, p. 738) The *DSM-5* rating would be a 0–4 scale, where 0 = no anxiety and 4 = very severe anxiety.

Notice that this does not represent a change to the categories of disorders themselves; rather, these dimensions are added on to the categorical diagnoses in order to provide clinicians with additional information for assessment, treatment planning, and treatment monitoring. Specific changes to diagnostic categories as well as new diagnoses will be described in subsequent chapters.

DSM-5 and Frank

In Frank’s case, initial observations indicated an obsessive-compulsive disorder diagnosis. He might also, however, have long-standing personality traits that lead him systematically to avoid social contact. If so, there might also be a diagnosis of schizoid personality disorder. Job and marital difficulties might be indicated where clinicians note psychosocial or environmental problems that are not part of the disorder but might make it worse or affect treatment planning. Similarly, overall severity and impairment would be rated in a dimensional fashion periodically, as described above for PTSD, to monitor response to treatment using a *DSM-5* scale devised for that purpose (LeBeau et al., 2013).

It is important to emphasize that impairment is a crucial determination in making any diagnosis. For example, if someone, such as Frank, has all of the symptoms of obsessive-compulsive disorder but finds them only mildly annoying because the intrusive thoughts are not severe and don’t occur that often, that person would not meet criteria for a psychological disorder. It is essential that the various behaviors and cognitions comprising the diagnosis interfere with functioning in some substantial manner. Thus, the criteria for disorders include the provision that the disorder must cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning. Individuals who have all the symptoms as noted earlier but do not cross this “threshold” of impairment could not be diagnosed with a disorder. As noted above, one change in *DSM-5* is to make this judgment of severity and impairment more systematic by using a dimensional scale. In one of our own clinics, we have been doing something similar to this for many years (Brown & Barlow, 2014). That is, in addition to rating overall impairment, impairment specifically associated with the disorder (if present) is also rated. We have used a scale of 0 to 8, where 0 is no impairment and 8 is severely disturbing or disabling (usually housebound and barely functional). The disorder must be rated at least a 4 in severity (definitely disturbing or disabling) to meet criteria for a psychological disorder. Many times, disorders such as obsessive-compulsive disorder would be rated a 2 or 3, meaning that all of the symptoms are there but in too mild a form to impair functioning; in this case, the disorder



Asia Images/Asia Images Group Pte Ltd / Alamy Stock Photo

The *DSM-5* diagnostic guidelines take cultural considerations into account.

would be termed *subthreshold*. Using Frank as an example again, the severity of his obsessive-compulsive disorder would be rated 5.

Social and Cultural Considerations in *DSM-5*

By emphasizing levels of stress in the environment, *DSM-III* and *DSM-IV* facilitated a more complete picture of the individual. Furthermore, *DSM-IV* corrected a previous omission by including a plan for integrating important social and cultural influences on diagnosis, a feature that remains in *DSM-5*. “Culture” refers to the values, knowledge, and practices that individuals derive from membership in different ethnic groups, religious groups, or other social groups, as well as how membership in these groups may affect the individual’s perspective on their experience with psychological disorders (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). The plan, referred to as “cultural formulation,” allows the disorder to be described from the perspective of the patient’s personal experience and in terms of his or her primary social and cultural group, such as Hispanic or Chinese. Answering suggested culture-related questions from the *DSM-5 Cultural Formulation Interview*

(American Psychiatric Association, 2013) will help accomplish these goals:

1. Some examples include: What is the primary cultural reference group of the patient? For recent immigrants to the country, as well as other ethnic minorities, how involved are they with their “new” culture versus their old culture? Have they mastered the language of their new country (for example, English in the United States), or is language a continuing problem?
2. Does the patient use terms and descriptions from his or her “old” country to describe the disorder? For example, *ataques de nervios* in the Hispanic subculture is a type of anxiety disorder close to panic disorder. Does the patient accept Western models of disease or disorder for which treatment is available in health-care systems, or does the patient also have an alternative health-care system in another culture (for example, traditional herbal doctors in Chinese subcultures)?
3. What does it mean to be “disabled?” Which kinds of “disabilities” are acceptable in a given culture and which are not? For example, is it acceptable to be physically ill but not to be anxious or depressed? What are the typical family, social, and religious supports in the culture? Are they available to the patient? Does the clinician understand the first language of the patient, as well as the cultural significance of the disorder?

These cultural considerations must not be overlooked in making diagnoses and planning treatment, and they are assumed throughout this book. But, as yet, there is no research supporting the use of these cultural formulation guidelines (Aggarwal, Nicasio, DeSilva, Boiler, & Lewis-Fernandez, 2013). The consensus is that we have a lot more work to do in this area to make our nosology truly culturally sensitive.



Anton Venglo/Super Stock

The kinds of disabilities accepted in a given culture are socially determined.

Criticisms of DSM-5

Because the collaboration among groups creating the *ICD-11* and *DSM-5* was largely successful, it is clear that *DSM-5* (and the closely related *ICD-11* mental disorder section) are the most advanced, scientifically based systems of nosology ever developed. Nevertheless, any nosological system should be considered a work in progress (Brown & Barlow, 2005; Frances & Widiger, 2012; Millon, 2004; Regier et al., 2009; Smith & Oltmanns, 2009), and *DSM-5* has attempted to put operations in place allowing for interim revisions to categories as new information becomes available (American Psychiatric Association, 2013).

For the time being, we still have “fuzzy” categories that blur at the edges, making diagnostic decisions difficult at times. As a consequence, individuals are often diagnosed with more than one psychological disorder at the same time, which is called **comorbidity**. How can we conclude anything definite about the course of a disorder, the response to treatment, or the likelihood of associated problems if we are dealing with combinations of disorders (L. Allen, et al., 2010; Brown & Barlow, 2009; Krueger et al., 2014)? Is there a way to identify essential features of comorbid disorders and, perhaps, rate them dimensionally (Brown & Barlow, 2009; Rosellini, Boettcher, Brown, & Barlow, 2015)? Resolution of these tough problems simply awaits the long, slow process of science.

Criticisms center on two other aspects of *DSM-5* and the forthcoming *ICD-11*. First, the systems strongly emphasize reliability, sometimes at the expense of validity. This is understandable, because reliability is so difficult to achieve unless you are willing to sacrifice validity. If the sole criterion for establishing depression were to hear the patient say at some point during an interview, “I feel depressed,” the clinician could theoretically achieve perfect reliability. But this achievement would be at the expense of validity because many people with differing psychological disorders, or none, occasionally say they are depressed. Thus, clinicians could agree that the statement occurred, but it would be of little use (Meehl, 1989). Second, methods of constructing a nosology of mental disorders have a way of perpetuating definitions handed down to us from past decades, even if they might be fundamentally flawed (Lilienfield, 2014). Some (e.g., Markon, 2013) think that it might be better to start fresh once in a while and create a new system of disorders, or several new systems, based on emerging scientific knowledge and see which one turns out to be best rather than to simply fine-tune old definitions, but this is unlikely to happen because of the enormous effort and expense involved, and the necessity of discarding the accumulated wisdom of previous versions.

In addition to the daunting complexity of categorizing psychopathology, systems are subject to misuse, some of which can be dangerous and harmful. Diagnostic categories are just a convenient format for organizing observations that help professionals communicate, study, and plan. But if we reify a category, we literally make it a “thing,” assuming it has a meaning that, in reality, does not exist. Categories may change occasionally with new knowledge, so none can be written in stone. If a case falls on the fuzzy borders between diagnostic categories, we should not expend all our energy attempting to force it into one category or another. It is a mistaken assumption that everything has to fit neatly somewhere.

A Caution About Labeling and Stigma

A related problem that occurs any time we categorize people is **labeling**. You may remember Kermit the Frog from *Sesame Street* sharing with us that “It’s not easy being green.” Something in human nature causes us to use a label, even one as superficial as skin color, to characterize the totality of an individual (“He’s green . . . he’s different from me”). We see the same phenomenon among psychological disorders (“He’s a schizo”). Furthermore, if the disorder is associated with an impairment in cognitive or behavioral functioning, the label itself has negative connotations and contributes to stigma, which is a combination of stereotypic negative beliefs, prejudices, and attitudes resulting in reduced life opportunities for the devalued group in question, such as individuals with mental disorders (Hinshaw & Stier, 2008; Martinez, Piff, Mendoza-Denton, & Hinshaw, 2011; Parcepe & Cabassa, 2013).

There have been many attempts over the years to categorize intellectual disability. Most of the categories were based on the severity of the impairment or highest level of developmental ability that the individual could reach. But we have had to change the labels for these categories of cognitive impairment periodically as the stigma associated with them builds up. One early categorization

described levels of severity as *moron* (least severe), *imbecile*, and *idiot* (most severe). When these terms were introduced, they were rather neutral, simply describing the severity of a person’s cognitive and developmental impairment. But as they began to be used in common language, they picked up negative connotations and were used as insults. As these terms gradually became pejorative, it was necessary to eliminate them as categories and come up with a new set of classifying labels that were less derogatory. In *DSM-5*, the term “mental retardation” has been dropped in favor of the more accurate term “intellectual disability,” which is further described as mild, moderate, severe, or profound (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). This is consistent with recent changes by other organizations (see Chapter 14).

In any case, once labeled, individuals with a disorder may identify with the negative connotations associated with the label (Hinshaw & Stier, 2008). This affects their self-esteem, although Ruscio (2004) indicates that the negative meanings associated with labeling are not a necessary consequence of making a diagnosis if it is relayed in a compassionate manner. Nevertheless, if you think of your own reactions to mental illness, you will probably recognize the tendency to generalize inappropriately from the label. In fact, for a variety of reasons Hinshaw and Stier (2008) note that stigmatization of individuals with mental disorders is increasing rather than decreasing. We have to remember that terms in psychopathology do not describe people but identify patterns of behavior that may or may not occur in certain circumstances. Thus, whether the disorder is medical or psychological, we must resist the temptation to identify the person with the disorder: Note the different implications of “John is a diabetic” and “John is a person who has diabetes.”



Mike Marsland/Getty Images

Would we label this man? Stephen Hawking, one of the world’s leading physicists, is severely disabled by amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, a rare progressive degenerative disease of the spinal cord. Because he cannot activate his voice box or move his lips, Hawking types his words into an electronic voice synthesizer that “speaks” for him. He uses his thumbprint to autograph his books. “I have been lucky,” he says. “I don’t have anything to be angry about.”

Creating a Diagnosis

During the extensive deliberations by thousands of people that led to the publication of both *DSM-IV* and *DSM-5*, a number of potentially new diagnostic categories were considered. Because one of us was a member of the *DSM IV* task force, the final decision-making body overseeing the creation of the *DSM*, and an advisor to *DSM-5*, we can offer brief examples to illustrate how diagnostic categories are created. In one case, a potential new diagnosis was not included in *DSM-5*; in a second case, a new diagnosis was indeed created. We now describe briefly each case.

Mixed Anxiety-Depression

Family physicians’ offices, clinics, hospitals, and so on, are called *primary care settings* because they are where a person goes first with a problem. For years, people coming to these primary care clinics have complained of minor aches and pains that prove to have no physical basis. They also complain of feeling uptight, down in the dumps, and anxious. Health-care professionals examining these individuals report that their symptoms of both anxiety and depression are classic but not frequent or severe enough to meet criteria for an existing anxiety or mood disorder.

The *DSM-IV* task force was concerned about issues like this for several reasons. First, because many individuals present with some minor symptoms of a given disorder, it is important to set

thresholds high enough that only people who clearly are suffering some impairment qualify for the category. (A *threshold* is the minimum number of criteria required to meet the definition of a disorder.) The primary reason for this concern is that substantial legal and policy implications are contingent on a diagnosis. That is, someone who presents with a psychological disorder that clearly qualifies for a diagnosis becomes part of the loosely organized medicolegal system and is eligible to ask (or sue) the government or private insurance companies for financial reimbursement or disability payments. This money actually comes from taxpayers, who are already burdened by skyrocketing health-care costs. Clearly, if the diagnostic system includes people who have only minor symptoms, who are not particularly impaired and just “feel down” from time to time, or who don’t like their job and want disability (an all-too-common request in mental health clinics), the health-care system would be even more strained and would have fewer resources to treat the seriously impaired. But if people are experiencing considerable suffering and impairment in functioning, they should be covered in any health-care system. Therefore, minor complaints of anxiety and depression were not considered sufficiently severe to constitute a formal diagnosis.

In 1989, Klerman and Weissman, reporting on a large study by Wells and colleagues (1989), found that patients who claimed to be anxious and mildly depressed were impaired in a number of areas when compared with normal controls and with patients with chronic medical conditions. It was worse than the impairment of many patients with chronic medical conditions such as cardiac or pulmonary (lung) disease. The evidence also suggested that these individuals were already imposing an enormous burden on the health-care system by appearing in large numbers at community clinics and the offices of family doctors. Therefore, we concluded that it might be valuable to identify these people and find out more about the etiology, course, and maintenance of the problem. The authors of the *ICD-10*, recognizing this phenomenon is prevalent throughout the world, had created a category of *mixed anxiety-depression*, but they had not defined it or created any criteria that would allow further examination of the potential disorder. Therefore, to explore the possibility of creating a new diagnostic category (Zinbarg & Barlow, 1996; Zinbarg et al., 1994, 1998), a study was undertaken that had three specific goals. First, if mental health professionals carefully administered semistructured interviews (the ADIS-IV), would they find patients who fit the new category? Or would careful examination find the criteria for existing disorders that had been overlooked by health professionals not well trained in identifying psychological disorders? Second, if mixed anxiety-depression did exist, was it really more prevalent in medical primary care settings than in outpatient mental health settings? Third, what set of criteria (for example, types and number of symptoms) would best identify the disorder?

The study to answer these questions was conducted simultaneously in seven sites around the world (Zinbarg et al., 1994, 1998). Results indicated that people presenting with a number of anxious and depressed symptoms who *did not meet* criteria for an existing anxiety or mood disorder (because they did not have the right mix and/or severity of anxious or depressed symptoms) were common in primary care settings. Furthermore, they were substantially impaired in their occupational and social functioning and

experienced a great deal of distress. Additional analysis revealed that such people could be distinguished from people with existing anxiety or mood disorders on the basis of their symptoms with the very careful and detailed assessment procedures utilized. Because these people appeared both anxious and depressed, the potential new category possessed content validity.

This study also established some criteria important in determining construct validity for the new category of mixed anxiety-depression. Because the category was so new, however, we did not have information on additional criteria important in establishing construct validity, such as course, response to treatment, and the extent to which the disorder aggregates in families, and we could not yet verify the reliability of the diagnosis or anything about predictive validity at that time. Therefore, the decision of the *DSM-IV* task force was to place this mixed anxiety-depression diagnosis in the appendix, which is reserved for new diagnoses under study with the possibility of becoming a full diagnostic category in future editions pending additional research (First & Pincus, 2002).

Since the publication of the *DSM-IV*, several studies reexamined this issue to see if mixed anxiety-depression should be included in the *DSM-5* (e.g., Weisberg, Maki, Culpepper, & Keller, 2005). The general conclusion was that although people do present with these symptoms, it is relatively rare in the absence of a current or previous anxiety or mood disorder, the mixed anxiety-depressive symptoms do not last long, and it was very difficult to identify the condition in a reliable fashion. These findings eliminated further consideration of mixed anxiety-depression as a new and separate diagnosis in *DSM-5*; in fact, it was not even placed in Section 3 where disorders needing further study are found, and it is unlikely it will be considered in any future *DSM* edition.

Premenstrual Dysphoric Disorder

Premenstrual dysphoric disorder evokes a different issue that must be considered in the creation of any diagnostic category: bias and stigmatization. Evaluation of this extremely controversial category began well before the publication of *DSM-III-R* in 1987. Clinicians had identified a small group of women who presented with severe and sometimes incapacitating emotional reactions associated with the late luteal phase of their menstrual period (Rivera-Tovar, Pilkonis, & Frank, 1992). Subsequently, proposals were made to consider inclusion of this disorder in the *DSM-III-R*. In view of the suffering and impairment associated with the condition, the proponents argued, women deserved the attention, care, and financial support that inclusion in a diagnostic category would provide. In addition, as with mixed anxiety-depression, the creation of this category would promote a substantial increase in research into the nature and treatment of the problem.

Nevertheless, arguments against the category were marshaled along several fronts. First, opponents noted that relatively little scientific information existed in either the clinical or the research literature on this topic. The available information was insufficient to warrant the creation of a new diagnostic category. More important were substantial objections that what could be a normal endocrinological stage experienced by all or most women would be stigmatized as a psychiatric disorder. The seeming similarities with the once widely accepted category of “hysteria” described in

Chapter 1 were also noted. (Remember that this so-called disorder was diagnosed exclusively in women and was characterized by a variety of incapacitating physical complaints without a medical basis, thought to be caused by the wandering of the uterus.) Questions were raised about whether the disorder would best be described as endocrinological or gynecological rather than mental. Because premenstrual dysphoric disorder occurs only in women, should a comparable male disorder—for example, aggressiveness related to excessive male hormones—be included?

The *DSM-III-R* task force decided to place this disorder in the appendix with the hope of promoting further study. The task force also wanted to clearly differentiate this syndrome from premenstrual syndrome (PMS), which has less severe and specific premenstrual symptomatology. One way of accomplishing this was by naming the condition *late luteal phase dysphoric disorder* (LLPDD).

After the publication of *DSM-III-R*, LLPDD attracted a great deal of research attention. By 1991, some observers estimated that one research article per month on LLPDD was published (Gold et al., 1996). A variety of scientific findings began to accrue that supported the inclusion of this disorder in *DSM-IV*. For example, although the rather vague and less severe symptoms of PMS occur in 20% to 40% of women (Severino & Moline, 1989), only a small proportion of them—about 4.6%—suffer from the more severe and incapacitating symptoms associated with LLPDD (Rivera-Tovar & Frank, 1990). In addition, a substantial number of women with no other psychological disorder meet the criteria for LLPDD. Among other findings supporting the inclusion of this disorder in *DSM-IV* were abnormalities in several biological systems associated with clinically significant premenstrual dysphoria (Gold et al., 1996) and the revelation that several types of treatment showed some promise of being effective against LLPDD (for example, see Stone, Pearlstein, & Brown, 1991). Hurt and colleagues, in a reanalysis of data from 670 women, recommended a set of criteria for this disorder that were not very different from those proposed in *DSM-III-R* (Hurt et al., 1992).

Nevertheless, arguments continued against including this disorder in the diagnostic system. Most of them cite the issue of stigmatization, warning that recognition might confirm the cultural belief that menstruation and resulting disability make women unfit for positions of responsibility. (There have been several cases in which accusations of the less severe condition of PMS have been used against a mother in an attempt to win child custody for the father; see Gold et al., 1996.) Those arguing against the disorder also point out that some symptoms are associated with anger, which would not be viewed as inappropriate in a male.

Interestingly, many women with this disorder are quite comfortable with the label. In contrast, some women presenting with other psychological disorders, such as depression, refuse to accept the suggestion that they have a “psychiatric problem,” insisting it is really PMS (Rapkin, Chang, & Reading, 1989). Early in 1994, the *DSM-IV* task force decided to retain the disorder in the appendix as needing further study. Among other problems, the committee wanted to see more data on the prevalence of this condition using the new criteria and to examine more carefully the data on the relation of this problem to existing mood disorders. Several additional research findings indicated that the name *late luteal phase*

dysphoric disorder was not entirely accurate because the symptoms may not be exclusively related to the endocrine state of the late luteal phase. Therefore, the name was changed to *premenstrual dysphoric disorder* (PMDD).

Since 1994, research has continued, and even accelerated, on the nature and treatment of PMDD, with thousands of papers published on this general topic (Bloch, Schmidt, & Rubinow, 2014; Epperson, et al., 2012; O’Brien et al., 2011; Hartlage, Freels, Gotman, & Yonkers, 2012; Pearlstein, 2010; Zachar & Kendler, 2014). Epidemiological studies from around the world supported the existence of disabling premenstrual symptoms in about 2% to 5% of women, with another 14% to 18% experiencing moderate symptoms (Epperson et al., 2012; Cunningham, Yonkers, O’Brien, & Eriksson, 2009; Gold, 1997; Ko, Lee, Chang, & Huang, 1996; Pearlstein & Steiner, 2008). The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecology has also published systematic clinical practice guidelines recommending specific treatments (American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, 2002), and new information on effective treatment is published frequently (Epperson et al., 2012; Freeman, Rickels, Sammel, Lin, & Sondheimer, 2009; Jang, Kim, & Choi, 2014; Yonkers, 2015). One of the difficulties encountered has been distinguishing PMDD from premenstrual exacerbations of other disorders, such as binge eating disorder or mood disorders (Pearlstein, Yonkers, Fayyad, & Gillespie, 2005). Hartlage et al. (2012) proposed a method that carefully considers the nature and timing of the symptoms to make a valid distinction between PMDD and premenstrual exacerbations of other disorders. For example, the symptoms of PMDD must be absent or present only mildly postmenstrually. Also, to distinguish from a mood disorder, at least some symptoms must be different from those associated with a mood disorder, such as certain physical symptoms or anxiety. The accumulating evidence thus far seems to suggest that PMDD is best considered a disorder of mood rather than, for example, an endocrine disorder and that it should continue to be considered a mental disorder (Cunningham et al., 2009; Gold, 1999). Support for PMDD is now sufficient enough that it was included as a distinct psychological disorder in *DSM-5* in the mood disorders chapter (see Chapter 7).

Beyond *DSM-5*: Dimensions and Spectra

The process of changing the criteria for existing diagnoses and creating new ones will continue as our science advances. New findings on brain circuits, cognitive processes, and cultural factors that affect our behavior could date diagnostic criteria relatively quickly.

As mentioned above, although some new disorders have been added, and others relocated from one section to another, overall the *DSM-5* has not changed substantially from *DSM-IV*. Nevertheless, it has been clear to most professionals involved in this process that an exclusive reliance on discrete diagnostic categories has not achieved its objective in achieving a satisfactory system of nosology (Blashfield et al., 2014; Krueger, Watson, & Barlow, 2005; Lilienfeld, 2014; Frances & Widiger, 2012). In addition to problems noted earlier with comorbidity and the fuzzy boundary between diagnostic categories, little evidence has emerged validating these categories, such as discovering specific underlying

causes associated with each category (Regier et al., 2009). In fact, not one biological marker, such as a laboratory test, that would clearly distinguish one disorder from another has been discovered (Frances, 2009; Widiger & Crego 2013; Widiger & Samuel, 2005). It is also clear that the current categories lack treatment specificity. That is, certain treatments such as cognitive behavioral therapies or specific antidepressant drugs are effective for a large number of diagnostic categories that are not supposed to be all that similar (Kennedy & Barlow, in press). Therefore, although some progress has been made, many are beginning to assume that the limitations of the current diagnostic system are substantial enough that continued research on these diagnostic categories may never be successful in uncovering their underlying causes or helping us develop new treatments.

It may be time for a new approach. A suggestion strongly supported by the last two directors of the National Institute of Mental Health (Hyman, 2010; Insel et al., 2010; Insel, 2014). Most people agree that this approach will incorporate a dimensional strategy to a much greater extent than in *DSM-5* (Krueger & Markon, 2014; Widiger & Crego, 2013). The term “spectrum” is another way to describe groups of disorders that share certain basic biological or psychological qualities or dimensions. For example, in Chapter 14 you will read about one of the notable advances in *DSM-5* where the term “Asperger’s syndrome” (a mild form of autism) was integrated with autistic disorder into a new category of “autism spectrum disorder.” But it is also clear at this point that research is not sufficiently advanced to attempt a wholesale switch to a dimensional or spectrum approach, so the categories in *DSM-5* for the most part look very much like the categories in *DSM-IV* with some updated language and increased precision and clarity. But, sparked by research and conceptual advances during the process of creating *DSM-5*, more conceptually substantial and consistent dimensional approaches are in development, and may be ready for the sixth edition of the *DSM* in 10 to 20 years.

For example, in the area of personality disorders, most investigators in this area in studying both clinical samples of patients with personality disorders and community samples, have concluded that personality disorders are not qualitatively distinct from the personalities of normal-functioning individuals in community samples (Krueger et al., 2014; Trull, Carpenter, & Widiger, 2013). Instead, personality disorders simply represent maladaptive, and perhaps extreme, variants of common personality traits (Widiger & Edmundson, 2011; Widiger, Livesley & Clark, 2009). Even the genetic structure of personality is not consistent with discrete categorical personality disorders. That is, personality dispositions more broadly defined, such as being shy and inhibited or outgoing, have a stronger genetic influence (higher genetic loading) than personality disorders as currently defined (First et al., 2002; Livesley & Jang, 2008; Livesley, Jang, & Vernon, 1998; Rutter, Moffitt, & Caspi, 2006; Widiger et al., 2009). For the anxiety and mood disorders, Brown & Barlow (2009) have proposed a new dimensional system of classification based on previous research (Brown, Chorpita, & Barlow, 1998) demonstrating that anxiety and depression have more in common than previously thought and

may best be represented as points on a continuum of negative affect or a spectrum of emotional disorders (see Barlow, 2002; Brown & Barlow, 2005, 2009; Rosellini et al., 2015). Even for severe disorders with seemingly stronger genetic influences, such as schizophrenia, it appears that dimensional classification strategies or spectrum approaches might prove superior (Ahmed et al., 2013; Charney et al., 2002; Harvey & Bowie, 2013; Widiger & Edmundson, 2011).

At the same time, exciting new developments from the area of neuroscience relating to brain structure and function will provide enormously important information on the nature of psychological disorders. This information could then be integrated with more psychological, social, and cultural information into a diagnostic system. But even neuroscientists are abandoning the notion that groups of genes or brain circuits will be found that are specifically associated with *DSM-5* diagnostic categories, as noted in Chapter 2. Rather, it is now assumed that neurobiological processes will be discovered that are associated with specific cognitive, emotional, and behavioral patterns or traits (for example, behavioral inhibition) that do not necessarily correspond closely with current diagnostic categories.

With this in mind, we can turn our attention to the current state of our knowledge about a variety of major psychological disorders. But first we review the all-important area of research methods and strategies used to establish new knowledge of psychopathology.

4

Research Methods

CHAPTER OUTLINE

Examining Abnormal Behavior


- Important Concepts
- Basic Components of a Research Study
- Statistical versus Clinical Significance
- The "Average" Client

Types of Research Methods

- Studying Individual Cases
- Research by Correlation
- Research by Experiment
- Single-Case Experimental Designs

Genetics and Behavior across Time and Cultures

- Studying Genetics
- Studying Behavior over Time
- Studying Behavior across Cultures
- Power of a Program of Research
- Replication
- Research Ethics



© sfam_photo/Shutterstock.com

STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES*

Interpret, design, and conduct basic psychological research:

- Describe research methods used by psychologists including their respective advantages and disadvantages [APA SLO 2.4a] (see textbook pages 108–122)
- Define and explain the purpose of key research concepts that characterize psychological research (e.g., hypothesis, operational definition) [APA SLO 2.4c] (see textbook pages 106–108)

Incorporate sociocultural factors in scientific inquiry:

- Recognize the systematic influences of sociocultural, theoretical, and personal biases on the research enterprise and evaluate the effectiveness with which researchers address those influences in psychological research [APA SLO 2.5a] (see textbook pages 116–117, 119–122)

Apply ethical standards to psychological science and practice:

- Discuss relevant ethical issues that reflect principles in the APA Code of Ethics [APA SLO 3.1c] (see textbook pages 123–124)

*Portions of this chapter cover learning outcomes suggested by the American Psychological Association (2013) in their guidelines for the undergraduate psychology major. Chapter coverage of these outcomes is identified above by APA Goal and APA Suggested Learning Outcome (SLO).

Examining Abnormal Behavior

Behavioral scientists explore human behavior the same way other scientists study volcanic activity or the impact of cell phone use on brain cells: They use the scientific method. As you've already seen, abnormal behavior is a challenging subject because of the interaction of biological and psychological dimensions. Rarely are there any simple answers to such questions as "Why do some people have hallucinations?" or "How do you treat someone who is suicidal?"

In addition to the obvious complexity of human nature, another factor that makes an objective study of abnormal behavior difficult is the inaccessibility of many important aspects of this phenomenon. We can't get inside the minds of people except indirectly. Fortunately, some creative individuals have accepted this challenge and developed many ingenious methods to studying scientifically what behaviors constitute problems, why people suffer from behavioral disorders, and how to treat these problems. Many critical questions regarding abnormal behavior have yet to be answered, and we hope that some of you will be inspired to take them on. Understanding research methods is extremely important for everyone, however. You or someone close to you may need the services of a psychologist, psychiatrist, or other mental health provider. You may have questions such as these:

- Should childhood aggression be cause for concern, or is it just a phase my child will grow out of?
- A TV news program just reported that increased exposure to sunlight alleviates depression. Instead of seeing a therapist, should I buy a ticket to Hawaii?

- I read online about the horrors of shock therapy. Should I advise my neighbor not to let her daughter have this treatment?
- My brother has been in therapy for 3 years but doesn't seem to be any better. Should I tell him to look elsewhere for help?
- My mother is still in her 50s but seems to be forgetting things. Friends tell me this is natural as you grow older. Should I be concerned?

To answer such questions, you need to be a good consumer of research. When you understand the correct ways of obtaining information—that is, research methodology—you will know when you are dealing with fact and not fiction. Knowing the difference between a fad and an established approach to a problem can be the difference between months of suffering and a quick resolution to a disturbing problem.

Important Concepts

As we said from the start, we examine several aspects of abnormal behavior in this book. First, "What problems cause distress and impair functioning?" Second, "Why do people behave in unusual ways?" And third, "How do we help them behave in more adaptive ways?" The first question is about the nature of the problems people report; we explore research strategies that help us answer this question. The second question considers the causes, or *etiology*, of abnormal behavior; we explore strategies for discovering why a disorder occurred. Finally, because we want to help people who have disorders, we describe how

researchers evaluate treatments. Before we discuss specific strategies, however, we must consider several general ways of evaluating research.

Basic Components of a Research Study

The basic research process is simple. You start with an educated guess, called a **hypothesis**, about what you expect to find. When you decide how you want to test this hypothesis, you formulate a **research design** that includes the aspects you want to measure in the people you are studying (the **dependent variable**) and the influences on these characteristics or behaviors (the **independent variable**). Finally, two forms of validity are specific to research studies: internal validity and external validity. **Internal validity** is the extent to which you can be confident that the independent variable is causing the dependent variable to change. **External validity** refers to how well the results relate to things outside your study—in other words, how well your findings describe similar individuals who were not among the study participants. Although we discuss a variety of research strategies, they all have these basic elements. Table 4.1 shows the essential components of a research study.

Hypothesis

Human beings look for order and purpose. We want to know why the world works as it does, and why people behave the way they do. Robert Kegan describes us as “meaning-making” organisms, constantly striving to make sense of what is going on around us (Lefrancois, 1990). In fact, fascinating research from social psychology tells us that we may have a heightened motivation to make sense of the world, especially if we experience situations that seem to threaten our sense of order and meaning (Heintzelman & King, 2014). And for those people who do not seem to want to know why others behave the way they do, we sometimes conclude this is a psychological disorder (e.g., autism spectrum disorder; see Chapter 14).

TABLE 4.1 The Basic Components of a Research Study

Component	Description
Hypothesis	An educated guess or statement to be supported by data.
Research design	The plan for testing the hypothesis. Affected by the question addressed, by the hypothesis, and by practical considerations.
Dependent variable	Some aspect of the phenomenon that is measured and is expected to be changed or influenced by the independent variable.
Independent variable	The aspect manipulated or thought to influence the change in the dependent variable.
Internal validity	The extent to which the results of the study can be attributed to the independent variable.
External validity	The extent to which the results of the study can be generalized or applied outside the immediate study.

The familiar search for meaning and order also characterizes the field of abnormal behavior. Almost by definition, abnormal behavior defies the regularity and predictability we desire. It is this departure from the norm that makes the study of abnormal behavior so intriguing. In an attempt to make sense of these phenomena, behavioral scientists construct hypotheses and then test them. Hypotheses are nothing more than educated guesses about the world (ideally informed by reviewing previous research on the subject of interest). You may believe that watching violent television programs will cause children to be more aggressive. You may think that bulimia is influenced by media depictions of supposedly ideal female body types. You may suspect that someone abused as a child is likely to abuse his or her significant other or child. These concerns are all testable hypotheses.

Once a scientist decides what to study, the next step is to put it in words that are unambiguous and in a form that is testable. Consider a study of how the use of the drug MDMA (also known as “ecstasy”; see Chapter 11) affects long-term memory (Wagner, Becker, Koester, Gouzoulis-Mayfrank, & Daumann, 2012). Researchers from the University of Cologne in Germany followed 109 young adults over the course of a year to see if those who used MDMA performed as well on tests of memory as those who did not use the drug. In their study, these researchers posed the following research question: “Does the use of MDMA over a period of 1 year lead to a decrease in cognitive performance?” They didn’t know what they would find until the study was completed, but phrasing the hypothesis in this way made it testable. If, for example, people with a history of MDMA use performed as well on cognitive tasks as those who do not use the drug, then other effects (e.g., psychological changes such as depression or anxiety with continued chronic use) would be studied. This concept of **testability** (the ability to support the hypothesis) is important for science because it allows us to say that in this case, either (1) regular MDMA use impairs learning and memory in users, or (2) there is no relationship between MDMA use and cognitive performance. The researchers did find a strong relationship between MDMA use and poor performance on certain visually oriented learning tasks, which may prove useful for understanding the nature of the drug as well as instructive for potential users (Wagner et al., 2012).

When they develop an experimental hypothesis, researchers also specify dependent and independent variables. A dependent variable is what is measured, and it may be influenced directly by the study. Psychologists studying abnormal behavior typically measure an aspect of the disorder in question, such as overt behaviors, self-reported thoughts and feelings, or biological symptoms. In the study by Wagner and colleagues, the main dependent variable (cognitive performance) was measured using several different types of measures of learning and memory (e.g., digit span test, Stroop test, Trail-making test). Independent variables are those factors thought to affect the dependent variables and may be directly manipulated by the researchers. The independent variable in the study was measured by reports of MDMA use—with users defined as ingesting at least 10 MDMA pills over the previous year. In other words, changes in MDMA use over the year were thought to influence later cognitive abilities.

Internal and External Validity

The researchers in the study of MDMA on cognitive performance used responses on a neuropsychological test battery.



Dynamics Graphics Group/Creatas/Alamy Stock Photo

Studying people as part of a group sometimes masks individual differences.

Suppose they found that among the people in the study, those who used MDMA happened to have lower IQ scores than those who did not use MDMA. In other words, there was a systematic difference (i.e., IQ scores) in the whole group who used MDMA. This would have affected the data in a way that would limit what they could conclude about MDMA and cognitive ability and would change the meaning of their results. This situation, which relates to internal validity, is called a **confound** (or **confounding variable**), defined as any factor occurring in a study that makes the results uninterpretable because a variable (in this instance, the type of population being studied) other than the independent variable (MDMA use) may also affect the dependent variable (scores on cognitive abilities). Of course, you may point out that many different things can affect a dependent variable like cognitive ability, and you would be right. In the example given here, however, the confounding variable is problematic because it differs systematically between the two groups being compared (MDMA users and non-users).

Scientists use many strategies to ensure internal validity in their studies, three of which we discuss here: control groups, randomization, and analogue models. In a **control group**, people are similar to the experimental group in every way except that members of the experimental group are exposed to the independent variable and those in the control group are not. Because researchers can't prevent people from being exposed to many things around them that could affect the outcomes of the study, they try to compare people who receive the treatment with people who go through similar experiences except for the treatment (control group). For example, scientists studying whether a new type of therapy reduces anxiety might compare people who receive the therapy against people who are similar but who do not receive the therapy. Control groups help rule out alternative explanations for results (e.g., anxiety reducing simply due to the passage of time), thereby strengthening internal validity.

Randomization is the process of assigning people to different research groups in such a way that each person has an equal chance of being placed in any group. Researchers can, for example, randomly place people in groups in the hope that there will be approximately equal numbers of certain people (for example, people with more severe depression) in all groups. Placing people in groups by flipping a coin or using a random number table helps improve internal validity by eliminating any systematic bias in assignment. If random assignment is not used, people sometimes "put themselves in groups," and this self-selection can affect study results. Perhaps a researcher treating people with depression offers them the choice of being either in the treatment group, which requires coming into the clinic twice a week for 2 months, or in a wait-list control group, which means waiting until some later time to be treated. The most severely depressed individuals

may not be motivated to come to frequent treatment sessions and so will choose the wait-list group. If members of the treated group are less depressed after several months, it could be because of the treatment or because group members were less depressed to begin with. Groups assembled randomly avoid these problems.

Analogue models create in the controlled conditions of the laboratory aspects that are comparable (analogous) to the phenomenon under study (remember that we described using analogues in assessment in Chapter 3). For example, bulimia researchers could ask volunteers to binge eat in the laboratory, questioning them before they ate, while they were eating, and after they finished to learn whether eating in this way made them feel more or less anxious, guilty, and so on. If they used volunteers of any age, gender, race, or background, the researchers could rule out influences on the participants' attitudes about eating that they might not be able to dismiss if the group contained only people with bulimia. In this way, such "artificial" studies help improve internal validity.

In a research study, internal and external validity often seem to be in opposition. On the one hand, we want to be able to control as many things as possible to conclude that the independent variable (the aspect of the study we manipulated) was responsible for the changes in the dependent variables (the aspects of the study we expected to change). On the other hand, we want the results to apply to people other than the participants of the study and in other settings; this is **generalizability**, the extent to which results apply to everyone in a certain population (e.g., people with a particular disorder). If we control all aspects of a study so that only the independent variable changes, the result is not relevant to the real world. For example, if you reduce the influence of gender issues by studying only males, and if you reduce age variables by selecting only people from 25 to 30 years of age, and finally, if you limit your study to those with college degrees so that education level isn't an issue—then what you study (in this case, 25- to 30-year-old male college graduates) may not be relevant to many other populations. Internal and external validity are in this way

often inversely related. Researchers constantly try to balance these two concerns and, as you will see later in this chapter, the best solution for achieving both internal and external validity may be to conduct several related studies.

Statistical versus Clinical Significance

The introduction of statistics is part of psychology's evolution from a prescientific to a scientific discipline. Statisticians gather, analyze, and interpret data from research. In psychological research, statistical significance typically means that the probability of obtaining the observed effect by chance is small. As an example, consider a study evaluating whether watching a video designed to promote a positive mood affects the amount of food eaten by subjects with eating disorders (Cardi, Esposito, Clarke, Schifano & Treasure, 2015). The study found that participants with anorexia nervosa consumed 75 milliliters of a fruit smoothie after watching the positive video clip, compared to 38 milliliters consumed on average by subjects with anorexia nervosa after watching a neutral video clip. This difference was statistically significant. But is it an important difference? The difficulty is in the distinction between **statistical significance** (a mathematical calculation about the difference between groups) and **clinical significance** (whether or not the difference was meaningful for those affected) (Thirthalli & Rajkumar, 2009).

Closer examination of the results leads to concern about the size of the effect. Despite this difference in consumption between the positive-mood and neutral-mood groups, overall these subjects with anorexia nervosa ate less of the smoothie than a group of subjects without eating disorders (who consumed approximately 199 milliliters of the smoothie on average). Therefore, the difference may not be clinically significant because it did not elevate consumption to "non-disordered" levels.

Fortunately, concern for the clinical significance of results has led researchers to develop statistical methods that address not just that groups are different but also how large these differences are, or **effect size**. Calculating the actual statistical measures involves fairly sophisticated procedures that take into account how much each treated and untreated person in a research study improves or worsens (Durand & Wang, 2011; Fritz, Morris, & Richler, 2012). In other words, instead of just looking at the results of the group as a whole, individual differences are considered as well. Some researchers have used more subjective ways of determining whether truly important change has resulted from treatment. The late behavioral scientist Montrose Wolf (1978) advocated the assessment of what he called *social validity*. This technique involves obtaining input from the person being treated, as well as from significant others, about the importance of the changes that have occurred. In the example here, we might ask the participants and family members if they thought the positive video clip led to lasting changes in eating behavior (e.g., eating more over the week following the study). If the effect of the treatment is large enough to impress those who are directly involved, the treatment effect is clinically significant. Statistical techniques of measuring effect size and assessing subjective judgments of change will let us better evaluate the results of our treatments.

The "Average" Client

Too often we look at results from studies and make generalizations about the group, ignoring individual differences. Kiesler (1966) labeled the tendency to see all participants as one homogeneous group the **patient uniformity myth**. Comparing groups according to their mean scores ("Group A improved by 50% over Group B") hides important differences in individual reactions to our interventions.

The patient uniformity myth leads researchers to make inaccurate generalizations about disorders and their treatments. To continue with our previous example, what if the researchers studying the treatment of eating disorders concluded watching positive video clips was a good approach? And suppose we found that, although some participants improved with treatment, others worsened. Such differences would be averaged out in the analysis of the group as a whole, but for the person who continued to suffer from eating disorder symptoms, it would make little difference that "on the average" people improved. Because people differ in such ways as age, cognitive abilities, gender, and history of treatment, a simple group comparison may be misleading. Practitioners who deal with all types of disorders understand the heterogeneity of their clients and therefore do not know whether treatments that are statistically significant will be effective for a given individual. In our discussions of various disorders, we return to this issue.

Types of Research Methods

Researchers who study human behavior use several forms of research when studying the causes of behavior. We now examine individual case studies, correlational research, experimental research, and single-case experimental studies.

Studying Individual Cases

Consider the following scenario: A psychologist thinks she has discovered a new disorder. She has observed several men who seem to have similar characteristics. All complain of a specific sleep disorder: falling asleep at work. Each man has obvious cognitive impairments that were evident during the initial interviews, and all are similar physically, each with significant hair loss and a pear-shaped physique. Finally, their personality styles are extremely egocentric, or self-centered. On the basis of these preliminary observations, the psychologist has come up with a tentative name, the Homer Simpson disorder, and she has decided to investigate this condition and possible treatments. But what is the best way to begin exploring a relatively unknown disorder? One method is to use the **case study method**, investigating intensively one or more individuals who display the behavioral and physical patterns (Yin, 2012).

One way to describe the case study method is by noting what it is not. It does not use the scientific method. Few efforts are made to ensure internal validity and, typically, many confounding variables are present that can interfere with conclusions. Instead, the case study method relies on a clinician's observations of differences among one person or one group with a disorder, people with other disorders, and people with no psychological disorders. The clinician usually collects as much information as possible to obtain a detailed description of the person. Historically, interviewing the person under study yields a great deal of information on personal and family background, education, health, and work history, as well as the person's opinions about the nature and causes of the problems being studied.

Case studies are important in the history of psychology. Sigmund Freud developed psychoanalytic theory and the methods of psychoanalysis on the basis of his observations of dozens of cases. Freud and Josef Breuer's description of Anna O. (see Chapter 1) led to development of the clinical technique known as free association. Sexuality researchers Virginia Johnson and William Masters based their work on many case studies and helped shed light on numerous myths regarding sexual behavior (Masters & Johnson, 1966). Joseph Wolpe, author of the landmark book *Psychotherapy by Reciprocal Inhibition* (1958), based his work with systematic desensitization on more than 200 cases. As our knowledge of psychological disorders has grown, psychological researchers' reliance on the case study method has gradually decreased.

One difficulty with depending heavily on individual cases is that sometimes coincidences occur that are irrelevant to the condition under study. Unfortunately, coincidences in people's lives often lead to mistaken conclusions about what causes certain conditions and what treatment appears to be effective. Because a case study does not have the controls of an experimental study, the results may be unique to a particular person without the researcher realizing it or may derive from a special combination of factors that are not obvious. Complicating our efforts to understand abnormal behavior is the portrayal of sensational cases in the media. For example, on April 16, 2007, a shooter on the campus of Virginia Tech University took the lives of 32 faculty members and students. Immediately after this horrific mass killing there was speculation about the shooter, including early bullying,

descriptions of him being a "loner," and depictions of notes he wrote against "rich kids," "deceitful charlatans," and "debauchery" (Kellner, 2008). Attempts have been made to discover childhood experiences that could possibly explain this later behavior. We must be careful, however, about concluding anything from such sensational portrayals, since many people are bullied as children, for example, but do not go on to kill dozens of innocent people.

Researchers in cognitive psychology point out that the public and researchers themselves are often, unfortunately, more highly influenced by dramatic accounts than by scientific evidence (Nisbett & Ross, 1980). Remembering our tendency to ignore this fact, we highlight research findings in this book. To advance our understanding of the nature, causes, and treatment of abnormal behavior, we must guard against premature and inaccurate conclusions.

Research by Correlation

One of the fundamental questions posed by scientists is whether two variables relate to each other. A statistical relationship between two variables is called a **correlation**. For example, is schizophrenia related to the size of ventricles (spaces) in the brain? Are people with depression more likely to have negative attributions (negative explanations for their own and others' behavior)? Is the frequency of hallucinations higher among older people? The answers depend on determining how one variable (for example, number of hallucinations) is related to another (for example, age). Unlike experimental designs, which involve manipulating or changing conditions, correlational designs are used to study phenomena just as they occur. The result of a correlational study—whether variables occur together—is important to the ongoing search for knowledge about abnormal behavior.

One of the clichés of science is that correlation does not imply causation. In other words, two things occurring together does not necessarily mean that one caused the other. For example, the occurrence of marital problems in families is correlated with behavior problems in children (e.g., Yoo & Huang, 2012). If you conduct a correlational study in this area, you will find that in families with marital problems, you tend to see children with behavior problems; in families with fewer marital problems, you are likely to find children with fewer behavior problems. The most obvious conclusion is that having marital problems will cause children to misbehave. If only it were as simple as that! The nature of the relationship between marital discord and childhood behavior problems can be explained in a number of ways. It may be that problems in a marriage cause disruptive behavior in the children. Some evidence suggests, however, the opposite may be true as well: The disruptive behavior of children may cause marital problems (Rutter & Giller, 1984). In addition, evidence suggests genetic influences may play a role in conduct disorders and in marital discord (D'Onofrio et al., 2006; Lynch et al., 2006). So parents who are genetically more inclined to argue pass on those genes to children, who then have an increased tendency to misbehave.

This example points out the problems in interpreting the results of a correlational study. We know that variable A (marital problems) is correlated with variable B (child behavior problems). We do not know from these studies whether A causes B (marital problems cause child problems), whether B causes A (child

problems cause marital problems), or whether some third variable, C, causes both (genes influence both marital problems and child problems).

The association between marital discord and child problems represents a **positive correlation**. This means that great strength or quantity in one variable (a great deal of marital distress) is associated with great strength or quantity in the other variable (more child disruptive behavior). At the same time, lower strength or quantity in one variable (marital distress) is associated with lower strength or quantity in the other (disruptive behavior). If you have trouble conceptualizing statistical concepts, you can think about this mathematical relationship in the same way you would a social relationship. Two people who are getting along well tend to go places together: “Where I go, you will go!” The correlation (or **correlation coefficient**) is represented as +1.00. The plus sign means there is a positive relationship, and the 1.00 means that it is a “perfect” relationship, in which the people are inseparable. Obviously, two people who like each other do not go everywhere together. The strength of their relationship ranges between 0.00 and +1.00 (0.00 means no relationship exists). The higher the number, the stronger the relationship, whether the number is positive or negative (for example, a correlation of +0.80 is “stronger” than a correlation of +0.75). You would expect two strangers, for example, to have a relationship of 0.00, because their behavior is not related; they sometimes end up in the same place together, but this occurs rarely and randomly. Two people who know each other but do not like each other would be represented by a negative sign, with the strongest negative relationship being –1.00, which means “Anywhere you go, I won’t be there!”

Using this analogy, marital problems in families and behavior problems in children have a relatively strong positive correlation represented by a number around +0.50. They tend to go together. On the other hand, other variables are strangers to each other. Schizophrenia and height are not related, so they don’t go together and probably would be represented by a number close to 0.00. If A and B have no correlation, their correlation coefficient would approximate 0.00. Other factors have negative relationships: As one increases, the other decreases. (See Figure 4.1 for an illustration of positive and negative correlations.) We used an example of **negative correlation** in Chapter 2, when we discussed social supports and illness. The more social supports that are present, the

less likely it is that a person will become ill. The negative relationship between social supports and illness could be represented by a number such as –0.40. The next time someone wants to break up with you, ask if the goal is to weaken the strength of your positive relationship to something like +0.25 (friends), to become complete strangers at 0.00, or to have an intense negative relationship approximating –1.00 (enemies).

A correlation allows us to see whether a relationship exists between two variables but not to draw conclusions about whether either variable causes the effects. This is a problem of **directionality**. In this case, it means that we do not know whether A causes B, B causes A, or a third variable, C, causes A and B. Therefore, even an extremely strong relationship between two variables (+0.90) shows nothing about the direction of causality.

Epidemiological Research

Scientists often think of themselves as detectives, searching for the truth by studying clues. One type of correlational research that is much like the efforts of detectives is called **epidemiology**, the study of the incidence, distribution, and consequences of a particular problem or set of problems in one or more populations. Epidemiologists expect that by tracking a disorder among many people they will find important clues as to why the disorder exists. One strategy involves determining *prevalence*, the number of people with a disorder at any one time. For example, the prevalence of binge drinking (having five or more drinks in a row) among U.S. college students is about 40% (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2014). A related strategy is to determine the *incidence* of a disorder, the estimated number of new cases during a specific period. For example, incidence of binge drinking among college students has lowered only slightly from 1980 until the present (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2014), suggesting that despite efforts to reduce such heavy drinking, it continues to be a problem. Epidemiologists study the incidence and prevalence of disorders among different groups of people. For instance, data from epidemiological research indicate that the prevalence of alcohol abuse among African Americans is lower than that among whites (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2012).




FIGURE 4.1

These three graphs represent hypothetical correlations between age and sleep problems.

Although the primary goal of epidemiology is to determine the extent of medical problems, it is also useful in the study of psychological disorders. In the early 1900s, a number of Americans displayed symptoms of a strange mental disorder. Its symptoms were similar to those of organic psychosis, which is often caused by mind-altering drugs or great quantities of alcohol. Many patients appeared to be catatonic (immobile for long periods) or exhibited symptoms similar to those of paranoid schizophrenia. Victims were likely to be poor and African American, which led to speculation about racial and class inferiority. Using the methods of epidemiological research, however, researcher Joseph Goldberger found correlations between the disorder and diet, and he identified the cause of the disorder as a deficiency of the B vitamin niacin among people with poor diets. The symptoms were successfully eliminated by niacin therapy and improved diets among the poor. A long-term, widespread benefit of Goldberger's findings was the introduction of vitamin-enriched bread in the 1940s (Colp, 2009).

Researchers have used epidemiological techniques to study the effects of stress on psychological disorders. On the morning of September 11, 2001, approximately 3,000 people died from three separate terrorist attacks in lower Manhattan, at the Pentagon, and in Pennsylvania. DeLisi and colleagues (DeLisi et al., 2003) interviewed 1,009 men and women throughout Manhattan to assess their long-term emotional reactions to the attacks, especially given their proximity to the destroyed World Trade Center towers. These researchers found that individuals who had the most negative reactions to this traumatic event were those who had preexisting psychological disorders, those who had the greatest exposure to the attack (for example, being evacuated from the World Trade Center), and women. The most common negative reactions included anxiety and painful memories. This is a correlational study because the investigators did not manipulate the independent variable. (The attack was not part of an experiment.)

If you have followed the work on the AIDS virus, you have seen how epidemiologists study a problem. By tracking the incidence of this disease among several populations (gay men, intravenous

drug users, and significant others and children of infected individuals) in the early days of the AIDS epidemic (1980s), researchers obtained important information about how the virus is passed from person to person. They inferred from the types of behaviors engaged in by members of these groups that the virus is probably spread by the transfer of bodily fluids through unprotected sex or by nonsterile hypodermic needles. Like other types of correlational research, epidemiological research can't tell us conclusively what causes a particular phenomenon. Knowledge about the prevalence and course of psychological disorders is extremely valuable to our understanding, however, because it points researchers in the right direction.

Research by Experiment

An **experiment** involves the manipulation of an independent variable and the observation of its effects. We manipulate the independent variable to answer the question of causality. If we observe a correlation between social supports and psychological disorders, we can't conclude which of these factors influenced the other. We can, however, change the extent of social supports and see whether there is an accompanying change in the prevalence of psychological disorders—in other words, do an experiment.

What will this experiment tell us about the relationship between these two variables? If we increase social supports and find no change in the frequency of psychological disorders, it may mean that lack of such supports does not cause psychological problems. On the other hand, if we find that psychological disorders diminish with increased social support, we can be more confident that nonsupport does contribute to psychological disorders. However, because we are never 100% confident that our experiments are internally valid—that no other explanations are possible—we must be cautious about interpreting our results. In the following section, we describe different ways in which researchers conduct experiments and consider how each one brings us closer to understanding abnormal behavior.

Group Experimental Designs

With correlational designs, researchers observe groups to see how different variables are associated. In group experimental designs, researchers are more active. They actually change an independent variable to see how the behavior of the people in the group is affected. Suppose researchers design an intervention to help reduce insomnia in older adults, who are particularly affected by the condition (Karlin, Trockel, Spira, Taylor, & Manber, 2015). They treat a number of individuals and follow them for 10 years to learn whether their sleep patterns improve. The treatment is the independent variable; that is, it would not have occurred naturally. They then assess the treated group to learn whether their behavior changed as a function of what the researchers did. Introducing or withdrawing a variable in a way that would not have occurred naturally is called *manipulating a variable*.

Unfortunately, a decade later the researchers find that the older adults treated for sleep problems still, as a group, sleep less than 8 hours per night. Is the treatment a failure? Maybe not. The question that can't be answered in this study is what would have



© Monkey Business Images/Shutterstock.com

The more social supports people have, the less likely it is that they will become ill.

happened to group members if they hadn't been treated. Perhaps their sleep patterns would have been worse. Fortunately, researchers have devised ingenious methods to help sort out these complicated questions.

A special type of group experimental design is used more and more frequently in the treatment of psychological disorders and is referred to as a *clinical trial* (Durand & Wang, 2011; Pocock, 2013). A clinical trial is an experiment used to determine the effectiveness and safety of a treatment or treatments. The term *clinical trial* implies a level of formality with regard to how it is conducted. As a result, a clinical trial is not a design by itself but rather a method of evaluation that follows a number of generally accepted rules. For example, these rules cover how you should select the research participants, how many individuals should be included in the study, how they should be assigned to groups, and how the data should be analyzed—and this represents only a partial list. Also, treatments are usually applied using formal protocols to ensure that everyone is treated the same.

The terms used to describe these experiments can be confusing. "Clinical trials" is the overarching term used to describe the general category of studies that follow the standards described previously. Within the "clinical trial" category are "randomized clinical trials," which are experiments that employ randomization of participants into each experimental group. Another subset of clinical trials is "controlled clinical trials," which are used to describe experiments that rely on control conditions to be used for comparison purposes. Finally, the preferred method of conducting a clinical trial, which uses both randomization and one or more control conditions, is referred to as a "randomized controlled trial." We next describe the nature of control groups and randomization and discuss their importance in treatment outcome research.

Control Groups

One answer to the what-if dilemma is to use a control group—people who are similar to the experimental group in every way except they are not exposed to the independent variable. In the previous study looking at sleep in older adults, suppose another group who didn't receive treatment was selected. Further, suppose that the researchers also follow this group of people, assess them 10 years later, and look at their sleep patterns over this period of time. They probably observe that, without intervention, people tend to sleep fewer hours as they age (Cho et al., 2008). Members of the control group, then, might sleep significantly less than people in the treated group, who might themselves sleep somewhat less than they did 10 years earlier. Using a control group allows the researchers to see that their treatment did help the treated participants keep their sleep time from decreasing further.

Ideally, a control group is nearly identical to the treatment group in such factors as age, gender, socioeconomic backgrounds, and the problems they are reporting. Furthermore, a researcher would do the same assessments before and after the independent variable manipulation (for example, a treatment) to people in both groups. Any later differences between the groups after the change would, therefore, be attributable only to what was changed.

People in a treatment group often expect to get better. When behavior changes as a result of a person's expectation of change rather than as a result of any manipulation by an experimenter, the phenomenon is known as a **placebo effect** (from the Latin word *placebo*, which means "I shall please"). Conversely, people in the control group may be disappointed that they are not receiving treatment (analogously, we could label this a *frustro effect*, from the Latin word *frusto*, meaning "to disappoint"). Depending on the type of disorder they experience (for example, depression), disappointment may make them worse. This phenomenon would also make the treatment group look better by comparison.

One way that researchers address the expectation concern is through **placebo control groups**. The word *placebo* typically refers to inactive medications such as sugar pills. The placebo is given to members of the control group to make them believe they are getting treatment (Kendall & Comer, 2014). A placebo control in a medication study can be carried out with relative ease because people in the untreated group receive something that looks like the medication administered to the treatment group. In psychological treatments, however, it is not always easy to devise something that people believe may help them but does not include the component the researcher believes is effective. Clients in these types of control groups are often given part of the actual therapy—for example, the same homework as the treated group—but not the portions the researchers believe are responsible for improvements.

Note that you can look at the placebo effect as one portion of any treatment (Kendall & Comer, 2014). If someone you provide with a treatment improves, you would have to attribute the improvement to a combination of your treatment and the client's expectation of improving (placebo effect). Therapists want their clients to expect improvement; this helps strengthen the treatment. When researchers conduct an experiment to determine what portion of a particular treatment is responsible for the observed changes, however, the placebo effect is a confound that can dilute the validity of the research. Thus, researchers use a placebo control group to help distinguish the results of positive expectations from the results of actual treatment.

The **double-blind control** is a variant of the placebo control group procedure. As the name suggests, not only are the participants in the study "blind," or unaware of what group they are in or what treatment they are given (single blind), but so are the researchers or therapists providing treatment (double blind). This type of control eliminates the possibility that an investigator might bias the outcome. For example, a researcher comparing two treatments who expected one to be more effective than the other might "try harder" if the "preferred" treatment wasn't working as well as expected. On the other hand, if the treatment that wasn't expected to work seemed to be failing, the researcher might not push as hard to see it succeed. This reaction might not be deliberate, but it does happen. This phenomenon is referred to as an *allegiance effect* (Dragioti, Dimoliatis, Fountoulakis, & Evangelou, in press). If, however, both the participants and the researchers or therapists are "blind" there is less chance that bias will affect the results.

A double-blind placebo control does not work perfectly in all cases. If medication is part of the treatment, participants and researchers may be able to tell whether or not they have received it by the presence or absence of physical reactions (side effects).



Dave & Les Jacobs/Blend Images/Jupiter Images



Tetra Images / Alamy Stock Photo

In comparative treatment research, different treatments are administered to comparable groups of people.

Even with purely psychological interventions, participants often know whether or not they are receiving a powerful treatment, and they may alter their expectations for improvement accordingly.

Comparative Treatment Research

As an alternative to using no-treatment control groups to help evaluate results, some researchers compare different treatments. In this design, the researcher gives different treatments to two or more comparable groups of people with a particular disorder and can then assess how or whether each treatment helped the people who received it. This is called **comparative treatment research**. In the sleep study we discussed, two groups of older adults could be selected, with one group given medication for insomnia, the other given a cognitive-behavioral intervention, and the results compared.

The process and outcome of treatment are two important issues to be considered when different approaches are studied. *Process research* focuses on the mechanisms responsible for behavior change, or “why does it work?” In an old joke, someone goes to a physician for a new miracle cold cure. The physician prescribes the new drug and tells the patient the cold will be gone in 7 to 10 days. As most of us know, colds typically improve in 7 to 10 days without so-called miracle drugs. The new drug probably does nothing to further the improvement of the patient’s cold. The process aspect of testing medical interventions involves evaluating biological mechanisms responsible for change. Does the medication cause lower serotonin levels, for example, and does this account for the changes we observe? Similarly, in looking at

psychological interventions, we determine what is “causing” the observed changes. This is important for several reasons. First, if we understand what the “active ingredients” of our treatment are, we can often eliminate aspects that are not important, thereby saving clients’ time and money. For an example, one study of treatments for anxiety in youth found that the introduction of relaxation training did not speed up an individual’s progress in treatment whereas the introduction of treatment elements that focused on thoughts or behaviors did. These results allow clinicians to focus their treatment on only those aspects most likely to improve anxiety (for example, cognitive restructuring and exposures; Peris et al., 2015). In addition, knowing what is important about our interventions can help us create more powerful, newer versions that may be more effective.

Outcome research focuses on the positive, negative, or both results of the treatment. In other words, does it work? Remember, *treatment process* involves finding out why or how your treatment works. In contrast, *treatment outcome* involves finding out what changes occur after treatment.

Single-Case Experimental Designs

B. F. Skinner’s innovations in scientific methodology were among his most important contributions to psychopathology. Skinner formalized the concept of **single-case experimental designs**. This method involves the systematic study of individuals under a variety of experimental conditions. Skinner thought it was much better to know a lot about the behavior of one individual than to make only a few observations of a large group for the sake of presenting the

“average” response. Psychopathology is concerned with the suffering of specific people, and this methodology has greatly helped us understand the factors involved in individual psychopathology (Barlow, Nock, & Hersen, 2009; Kazdin, 2011). Many applications throughout this book reflect Skinnerian methods.

Single-case experimental designs differ from case studies in their use of various strategies to improve internal validity, thereby reducing the number of confounding variables. As you will see, these strategies have strengths and weaknesses in comparison with traditional group designs. Although we use examples from treatment research to illustrate the single-case experimental designs, they, like other research strategies, can help explain why people engage in abnormal behavior, as well as how to treat them.

Repeated Measurements

One of the more important strategies used in single-case experimental design is **repeated measurement**, in which a behavior is measured several times instead of only once before you change the independent variable and once afterward. The researcher takes the same measurements repeatedly to learn how variable the behavior is (how much does it change from day to day?) and whether it shows any obvious trends (is it getting better or worse?). Suppose a young woman, Wendy, comes into the office complaining about


feelings of anxiety. When asked to rate the level of her anxiety, she gives it a 9 (10 is the worst). After several weeks of treatment, Wendy rates her anxiety at 6. Can we say that the treatment reduced her anxiety? Not necessarily.

Suppose we had measured Wendy’s anxiety each day during the weeks before her visit to the office (repeated measurement) and observed that it differed greatly. On particularly good days, she rated her anxiety from 5 to 7. On bad days, it was between 8 and 10. Suppose further that, even after treatment, her daily ratings continued to range from 5 to 10. The rating of 9 before treatment and 6 after treatment may only have been part of the daily variations she experienced normally. Wendy could just as easily have had a good day and reported a 6 before treatment and then had a bad day and reported a 9 after treatment, which would imply that the treatment made her worse!

Repeated measurement is part of each single-subject experimental design. It helps identify how a person is doing before and after intervention and whether the treatment accounted for any changes. ● Figure 4.2 summarizes Wendy’s anxiety and the added information obtained by repeated measurements. The top graph shows Wendy’s original before-and-after ratings of her anxiety. The middle graph shows that with daily ratings her reports are variable and that just by chance the previous measurement was probably misleading. She had good and bad

● FIGURE 4.2

The top graph seems to show Wendy’s anxiety dropping significantly after treatment (measuring level). When you look at repeated measures before and after treatment, however, the middle graph reveals little change because her anxiety fluctuated a great deal (measuring variability). A different scenario is illustrated in the bottom graph (measuring trend), where her anxiety also varied. In general, there was a downward movement (improved anxiety) even before treatment, suggesting that she might have improved without help. Examining variability and trend can provide more information about the true nature of the change.



© Cengage Learning

days both before and after treatment and doesn't seem to have changed much.

The bottom graph shows a different possibility: Wendy's anxiety was on its way down before the treatment, which would also have been obscured with just before-and-after measurements. Maybe she was getting better on her own and the treatment didn't have much effect. Although the middle graph shows how the **variability** from day to day could be important in an interpretation of the effect of treatment, the bottom graph shows how the **trend** itself can also be important in determining the cause of any change. The three graphs illustrate important parts of repeated measurements: (1) the **level** or degree of behavior change with different interventions (top), (2) the variability or degree of change over time (middle), and (3) the trend or direction of change (bottom). Again, before-and-after scores alone do not necessarily show what is responsible for behavioral changes.

Withdrawal Designs

One of the more common strategies used in single-subject research is a **withdrawal design**, in which a researcher tries to determine whether the independent variable is responsible for changes in behavior. The effect of Wendy's treatment could be tested by stopping it for some time to see whether her anxiety increased. A simple withdrawal design has three parts. First, a person's condition is evaluated before treatment, to establish a **baseline**. Then comes the change in the independent variable—in Wendy's case, the beginning of treatment. Last, treatment is withdrawn ("return to baseline") and the researcher assesses whether Wendy's anxiety level changes again as a function of this last step. If with the treatment her anxiety lessens in comparison to baseline and then worsens after treatment is withdrawn, the researcher can conclude the treatment has reduced Wendy's anxiety.

How is this design different from a case study? An important difference is that the change in treatment is designed specifically to show whether treatment caused the changes in behavior. Although case studies often involve treatment, they don't include any effort to learn whether the person would have improved without the treatment. A withdrawal design gives researchers a better sense of whether or not the treatment itself caused behavior change.

Despite their advantages, withdrawal designs are not always appropriate. The researcher is required to remove what might be an effective treatment, a decision that is sometimes difficult to justify for ethical reasons. In Wendy's case, a researcher would have to decide there was a sufficient reason to risk making her anxious again. A withdrawal design is also unsuitable when the treatment can't be removed. Suppose Wendy's treatment involved visualizing herself on a beach on a tropical island. It would be difficult—if not impossible—to stop her from imagining something. Similarly, some treatments involve teaching people skills, which might be impossible to unlearn. If Wendy learned how to be less anxious in social situations, how could she revert to being socially apprehensive?

Several counterarguments support the use of withdrawal designs (Barlow et al., 2009). Treatment is routinely withdrawn when medications are involved. *Drug holidays* are periods when

the medication is withdrawn so that clinicians can determine whether it is responsible for the treatment effects. Any medication can have negative side effects, and unnecessary medication should be avoided. Sometimes treatment withdrawal happens naturally. Withdrawal does not have to be prolonged; a brief withdrawal may still clarify the role of the treatment.

Multiple Baseline


Another single-case experimental design strategy used often that doesn't have some of the drawbacks of a withdrawal design is the **multiple baseline**. Rather than stopping the intervention to see whether it is effective, the researcher starts treatment at different times across settings (home versus school), behaviors (yelling at spouse/partner or boss), or people. As an example of treatment across settings, suppose that after waiting for a while and taking repeated measures of Wendy's anxiety both at home and at her office (the baseline), the clinician treats her first at home. When the treatment begins to be effective, intervention could begin at work. If she improves only at home after beginning treatment but improves at work after treatment is used there also, we could conclude the treatment was effective. This is an example of using a multiple baseline across settings. Does internal validity improve with a multiple baseline? Yes. Any time other explanations for results can be ruled out, internal validity is improved. Wendy's anxiety improved only in the settings where it was treated, which rules out competing explanations for her anxiety reduction. If she had won the lottery at the same time treatment started and her anxiety decreased in all situations, however, we couldn't conclude her condition was affected by treatment.

Suppose a researcher wanted to assess the effectiveness of a treatment for a child's problem behaviors. Treatment could focus first on the child's crying then on a second problem, such as fighting with siblings. If the treatment was first effective only in reducing crying, and effective for reducing fighting only after the second intervention, the researcher could conclude that the treatment, not something else, accounted for the improvements. This is a multiple baseline conducted across behaviors.

Single-case experimental designs are sometimes criticized because they tend to involve only a small number of cases, leaving their external validity in doubt. In other words, we can't say the results we saw with a few people would be the same for everyone. However, although they are called *single-case* designs, researchers can and often do use them with several people at once, in part to address the issue of external validity. One of us studied the effectiveness of a treatment for the severe behavior problems of children with autism spectrum disorder (Durand, 1999) (see ● Figure 4.3). We taught the children to communicate instead of misbehave, using a procedure known as *functional communication training* (we discuss this in more detail in Chapter 14). Using a multiple baseline, we introduced this treatment to a group of five children. Our dependent variables were the incidence of the children's behavior problems and their newly acquired communication skills. As ● Figure 4.3 shows, only when we began treatment did each child's behavior problems improve and communication begin. This multiple baseline design let us rule out coincidence or some other change in the children's lives as explanations for the improvements.

FIGURE 4.3

This figure shows how a multiple baseline design was used to illustrate that the treatment—functional communication training—was responsible for improvements in the children’s behaviors. The circles represent how often each child exhibited behavior problems (called challenging behavior), and the blue-shaded areas show how often they communicated without help from the teacher (referred to as unprompted communication). (From Durand, V. M. (1999). Functional communication training using assistive devices: recruiting natural communities of reinforcement, *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 32(3), 247–267. Reprinted by permission of the Society for the Experimental Analysis of Human Behavior.)



Among the advantages of the multiple baseline design in evaluating treatments is that it does not require withdrawal of treatment and, as you’ve seen, withdrawing treatment is sometimes difficult or impossible. Furthermore, the multiple baseline typically resembles the way treatment would naturally be implemented. A clinician can’t help a client with numerous problems simultaneously but can take repeated measures of the relevant behaviors and observe when they change. A clinician who sees predictable and orderly changes related to where and when the treatment is used can conclude the treatment is causing the change.

Genetics and Behavior across Time and Cultures

Examining the origin and strategies for treating an individual’s behavior problem or disorder requires several factors to be considered so that multiple possible influences are taken into account. The factors include determining any inherited influences, how behavior will change or remain the same over time, and the effects of culture. We discuss these issues, as well as research replication and ethics, as key elements in the research process.

effort, began the **human genome project** (*genome* means “all the genes of an organism”). Using the latest advances in molecular biology, scientists working on this project completed a rough draft of the mapping of the approximately 25,000 human genes. This work identified hundreds of genes that contribute to inherited diseases. These exciting findings represent truly astounding progress in deciphering the nature of genetic endowment and its role in psychological disorders.

With the rapid advance of science, a third concept is now the focus of intense study—**endophenotypes**. Endophenotypes are the genetic mechanisms that ultimately contribute to the underlying problems causing the symptoms and difficulties experienced by people with psychological disorders (Greenwood et al., 2016). In the case of schizophrenia (a disorder we discuss in Chapter 13), for example, researchers are not looking for a “schizophrenia gene” (genotype); instead, they are searching for the gene or genes responsible for the working memory problems characteristic of people with this disorder (endophenotype), as well as the genes responsible for other problems experienced by people with this disorder.

What follows is a brief review of the research strategies that scientists use as they study the interaction between environment and genetics in psychological disorders. These complex approaches can be summarized into four categories: basic genetic epidemiology, advanced genetic epidemiology, gene finding, and molecular genetics (Kendler, 2005) (see Table 4.2). The table shows that these categories form a progression that starts by finding whether a disorder has a genetic component (basic genetic epidemiology). Once this is established, researchers explore the nature of the genetic influences by seeing how genetics affect aspects of the disorder (advanced genetic epidemiology). Going deeper still, scientists use sophisticated statistical methods (linkage and association studies), which we describe next, to find out just where the gene or genes are located in the genome (gene finding). Finally, switching to biological strategies, scientists are just at the genesis of examining what these genes do and how they interact with the environment to create the symptoms associated with psychological disorders (molecular genetics).

The specific research techniques used in the study of genetics—family studies, adoption studies, twin studies, genetic linkage analysis, and association studies—are described next.

Studying Genetics

We tend to think of genetics in terms of what we inherit from our parents: “He’s got his mother’s eyes.” “She’s thin, just like her dad.” “She’s stubborn, like her mother.” This simple view of how we become the people we are suggests that how we look, think, feel, and behave is predetermined. Yet, as you saw in Chapter 2, we now know that the interaction between our genetic makeup and our experiences is what determines how we will develop. The goal of behavioral geneticists (people who study the genetics of behavior) is to tease out the role of genetics in these interactions.

Genetic researchers examine **phenotypes**, the observable characteristics or behavior of the individual, and **genotypes**, the unique genetic makeup of individual people. For example, a person with Down syndrome typically has some level of intellectual disability and a variety of other physical characteristics, such as slanted eyes and a thick tongue. These characteristics are the phenotype. The genotype is the extra 21st chromosome that causes Down syndrome.

Our knowledge of the phenotypes of different psychological disorders exceeds our knowledge of the genotypes, but that may soon change. Ever since the discovery of the double helix in 1953 by James Watson and Francis Crick, scientists have known we have to map the structure and location of every gene on all 46 chromosomes if we are to fully understand our genetic endowment. Beginning in 1990, scientists around the world, in a coordinated

Family Studies

In **family studies**, scientists simply examine a behavioral pattern or emotional trait in the context of the family. The family member with the trait singled out for study is called the **proband**. If there is a genetic influence, presumably the trait should occur more often in first-degree relatives (parents, siblings, or offspring) than in second-degree or more distant relatives. The presence of the trait in distant relatives, in turn, should be somewhat greater than in the population as a whole. In Chapter 1 you met Judy, the adolescent with blood-injury-injection phobia who fainted at the sight of blood. The tendency of a trait to run in families, or familial aggregation, is as high as 60% for this disorder; that is, 60% of the first-degree relatives of someone with blood-injury-injection phobia have the same reaction to at least some degree. This is one

TABLE 4.2 The Basic Approaches Used to Assess Gene–Environment Influences in Psychological Disorders

Approach	Method	Question
Basic genetic epidemiology	Statistical analysis of family, twin, and adoption studies	Is the disorder inherited (heritability), and if so, how much of the disorder is attributable to genetics?
Advanced genetic epidemiology	Statistical analysis of family, twin, and adoption studies	If the disorder is found to be inherited, what are the factors that influence the disorder (for example, is the change something that occurs early in development, is it different between males and females, and do the genetic influences affect environmental risk factors)?
Gene finding	Statistical analysis of specific families or individuals (linkage and/or association studies)	Where is the gene (or genes) that influences the disorder?
Molecular genetics	Biological analysis of individual DNA samples	What biological processes do the genes affect to produce the symptoms of the disorder?

Source: Adapted from Kendler, K. S. (2005). Psychiatric genetics: A methodological critique. In N. C. Andreasen (Ed.), *Research advances in genetics and genomics: Implications for psychiatry* (Table I, p. 6). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing.

of the highest rates of familial aggregation for any psychological disorder we have studied.

The problem with family studies is that family members tend to live together and there might be something in their shared environment that causes the high familial aggregation. For example, Mom might have developed a bad reaction to blood as a young girl after witnessing a serious accident. Every time she sees blood she has a strong emotional response. Because emotions are contagious, the young children watching Mom probably react similarly. In adulthood, they pass it on, in turn, to their own children.

Adoption Studies

How do we separate environmental from genetic influences in families? One way is through **adoption studies**. Scientists identify adoptees who have a particular behavioral pattern or psychological disorder and attempt to locate first-degree relatives who were raised in different family settings. Suppose a young man has a disorder and scientists discover his brother was adopted as a baby and brought up in a different home. The researchers would then examine the brother to see whether he also displays signs of the disorder. If they can identify enough sibling pairs (and they usually do after a lot of hard work), they can assess whether siblings brought up in different families display the disorder to the same extent as the original participant. If the siblings raised with different families have the disorder more often than would be expected by chance, the researchers can infer that genetic endowment is a contributor.

Twin Studies

Nature presents an elegant experiment that gives behavioral geneticists their closest possible look at the role of genes in development: identical (monozygotic) twins (Jansen, Mous, White, Posthuma, & Polderman, 2015). These twins not only look a lot alike but also have identical genes. Some changes do occur in chemical markers (called epigenetic markers) in the womb, which explains the subtle differences even in identical twins (van Dongen et al., 2014).

Fraternal (dizygotic) twins, on the other hand, come from different eggs and have only about 50% of their genes in common, as do all first-degree relatives. In **twin studies**, the obvious scientific question is whether identical twins share the same trait—say, fainting at the sight of blood—more often than fraternal twins. Determining whether a trait is shared is easy with some physical traits, such as height. As Plomin (1990) points out, correlations in height are 0.45 for both first-degree relatives and fraternal twins and 0.90 for identical twins. These findings show that heritability of height is about 90%, so approximately 10% of the variance is the result of environmental factors. But the case of conjoined identical twins with different personalities (whom we mention in Chapter 2) reminds us that the 90% estimate is the *average* contribution. An identical twin who was severely physically abused or selectively deprived of proper foods might be substantially different in height from the other twin.

Michael Lyons and his colleagues (1995) conducted a study of antisocial behavior among members of the Vietnam Era Twin Registry. The individuals in the study were about 8,000 twin men who served in the military from 1965 to 1975. The investigators found that among identical twins there was a greater degree of resemblance for antisocial traits than among fraternal twins. The difference was greater for adult antisocial behavior—that is, identical twins' behavior was more similar than fraternal twins' behavior in adulthood—than for juvenile antisocial behavior (meaning that non-adult identical and fraternal twin pairs were more similar in childhood than in adulthood). The researchers concluded that the family environment is a stronger influence than genetic factors on juvenile antisocial traits and that antisocial behavior in adulthood is more strongly influenced by genetic factors. In other words, after the individual grew up and left the family of origin, early environmental influences mattered less and less. This way of studying genetics isn't perfect. You can assume identical twins have the same genetic makeup and fraternal twins do not. A complicating concern, however, is whether identical twins have the same experiences or environment as fraternal twins. Some identical twins are dressed alike and are even given similar names. Yet the twins themselves influence each other's behavior, and in some

cases, identical twins may affect each other more than fraternal twins (Johnson, Turkheimer, Gottesman, & Bouchard, 2009).

One way to address this problem is by combining the adoption study and twin study methods. If you can find identical twins, one or both of whom were adopted as an infant, you can estimate the relative roles of genes and the environment (nature versus nurture) in the development of behavioral patterns.

Genetic Linkage Analysis and Association Studies

The results of a series of family, twin, and adoption studies may suggest that a particular disorder has a genetic component, but they can't provide the location of the implicated gene or genes. To locate a defective gene, there are two general strategies: genetic linkage analysis and association studies (Flanagan, 2015).

The basic principle of **genetic linkage analysis** is simple. When a family disorder is studied, other inherited characteristics are assessed at the same time. These other characteristics—called **genetic markers**—are selected because we know their exact location. If a match or link is discovered between the inheritance of the disorder and the inheritance of a genetic marker, the genes for the disorder and the genetic marker are probably close together on the same chromosome. For example, bipolar disorder (manic depression) was studied in a large Amish family (Egeland et al., 1987). Researchers found that two markers on chromosome 11—genes for insulin and a known cancer gene—were linked to the presence of mood disorder in this family, suggesting that a gene for bipolar disorder might be on chromosome 11. Unfortunately, although this is a genetic linkage study, it also illustrates the danger of drawing premature conclusions from research. This linkage study and a second study that purported to find a linkage between bipolar disorder and the X chromosome (Baron et al., 1987) have yet to be replicated; that is, different researchers have not been able to show similar linkages in other families (Merikangas & Risch, 2014).



©iStockPhoto.com/giselle

Family members often resemble each other in physical appearance but their shared genetics can influence many other aspects of their lives.

The inability to replicate findings in these studies is quite common (Flanagan, 2015). This type of failure casts doubt on conclusions that only one gene is responsible for such complex disorders. Be mindful of such limitations the next time you read in a newspaper or hear on television that a gene has been identified as causing some disorder.

The second strategy for locating specific genes, **association studies**, also uses genetic markers. Whereas linkage studies compare markers in a large group of people with a particular disorder, association studies compare such people to people without the disorder. If certain markers occur significantly more often in the people with the disorder, it is assumed the markers are close to the genes involved with the disorder. This type of comparison makes association studies better able to identify genes that may only be weakly associated with a disorder. Both strategies for locating specific genes shed new light on the origins of specific disorders and may inspire new approaches to treatment (Flanagan, 2015).

Studying Behavior over Time

Sometimes we want to ask, "How will a disorder or behavior pattern change (or remain the same) over time?" This question is important for several reasons. First, the answer helps us decide whether to treat a particular person. For example, should we begin an expensive and time-consuming program for a young adult who is depressed over the loss of a grandparent? You might not if you knew that with normal social supports the depression is likely to diminish over the next few months without treatment. On the other hand, if you have reason to believe a problem isn't likely to go away on its own, you might decide to begin treatment. For example, as you will see later, aggression among young children does not usually go away naturally and should be dealt with as early as possible.

It is also important to understand the developmental changes in abnormal behavior because sometimes these can provide insight into how problems are created and how they become more serious. For example, you will see that some researchers identify newborns who are at risk for autism spectrum disorder (ASD) because they are siblings of a child with ASD (see Chapter 14 for a discussion of ASD) and then follow them through infancy until some develop the disorder themselves. This type of study is showing us that the pattern of the onset of this disorder is actually much different than parents report after the fact (they tend to remember drastic changes in the child's behavior when, in fact, the changes occur gradually;) (Zwaigenbaum, Bryson, & Garon, 2013). Prospective studies (which record changes over time as they occur) sometimes reveal dramatic differences in the development of psychological disorders or their treatment compared with the information discovered through retrospective studies (which ask people to remember what happened in the past).

Prevention Research

An additional reason for studying clinical problems over time is that we may be able to design interventions and services to prevent these problems. Clearly, preventing mental health difficulties would save countless families significant emotional distress, and

the financial savings could be substantial. Prevention research has expanded over the years to include a broad range of approaches. These different methods can be viewed in four broad categories: positive development strategies (health promotion), universal prevention strategies, selective prevention strategies, and indicated prevention strategies (Kalra et al., 2012). *Health promotion* or *positive development strategies* involve efforts to blanket entire populations of people—even those who may not be at risk—to prevent later problems and promote protective behaviors. The intervention is not designed to fix existing problems but, instead, focuses on skill building, for example, to keep problems from developing. For example, the Seattle Social Development Program targets young children in public elementary schools in the Seattle school system that are in high-crime areas, providing intervention with teachers and parents to engage the children in learning and positive behaviors. Although this approach does not target one particular problem (for example, drug use), long-term follow-up of these children suggests multiple positive effects in achievement, reductions in delinquency, and lower odds of contracting a sexually transmitted illness by age 30 (Bailey, 2009; Hill, et al., 2014; Lonczak, Abbott, Hawkins, Kosterman, & Catalano, 2002). *Universal prevention strategies* focus on entire populations and target certain specific risk factors (for example, behavior problems in inner-city classrooms) without focusing on specific individuals. The third approach to prevention intervention—*selective prevention*—specifically targets whole groups at risk (for example, children who have parents who have died) and designs specific interventions aimed at helping them avoid future problems. Finally, *indicated prevention* is a strategy for those individuals who are beginning to show signs of problems (for example, depressive symptoms) but do not yet have a psychological disorder.

To evaluate the effectiveness of each of these approaches, the research strategies used in prevention research for examining psychopathology across time combine individual and group research methods, including both correlational and experimental designs. We look next at two of the most often used: cross-sectional and longitudinal designs.

Cross-Sectional Designs A variation of correlation research is to compare different people at different ages. For a **cross-sectional design**, researchers take a cross section of a population across the different age groups and compare them on some characteristic. For example, if they were trying to understand the development of alcohol abuse and dependence, they could take groups of adolescents at 12, 15, and 17 years of age and assess their beliefs about alcohol use. In an early comparison, Brown and Finn (1982) made some interesting discoveries. They found that 36% of the 12-year-olds thought the primary purpose of drinking was to get drunk. This percentage increased to 64% with 15-year-olds, but dropped again to 42% for the 17-year-old students. The researchers also found that 28% of the 12-year-olds reported drinking with their friends at least sometimes, a rate that increased to 80% for the 15-year-olds and to 88% for the 17-year-olds. Brown and Finn used this information to develop the hypothesis that the reason for excessive drinking among teens is a deliberate attempt to get drunk rather than a mistake in judgment once they are under the influence of alcohol. In other words, teenagers do not, as a group,

appear to drink too much because once they've had a drink or two they show poor judgment and drink excessively. Instead, their attitudes before drinking seem to influence how much they drink later.

In cross-sectional designs, the participants in each age group are called **cohorts**; Brown and Finn studied three cohorts: 12-year-olds, 15-year-olds, and 17-year-olds. The members of each cohort are the same age at the same time and thus have all been exposed to similar experiences. Members of one cohort differ from members of other cohorts in age and in their exposure to cultural and historical experiences. You would expect a group of 12-year-olds in the 1980s to have received a great deal of education about drug and alcohol use (such as the “Just Say No” program), whereas the 17-year-olds may not have. Differences among cohorts in their opinions about alcohol use may be related to their respective cognitive and emotional development at these different ages and to their dissimilar experiences. This **cohort effect**, the confounding of age and experience, is a limitation of the cross-sectional design.


Researchers prefer cross-sectional designs to study changes over time partly because they are easier to use than longitudinal designs (discussed next). In addition, some phenomena are less likely to be influenced by different cultural and historical experiences and therefore less susceptible to cohort effects. For example, the prevalence of Alzheimer’s disease among people at ages 60 and 70—assumed to be strongly influenced by biology—is not likely to be greatly affected by different experiences among the study participants.

One question not answered by cross-sectional designs is how problems develop in individuals. For example, do children who refuse to go to school grow up to have anxiety disorders? Researchers cannot answer this question simply by comparing adults with anxiety problems and children who refuse to go to school. They could ask the adults whether they were anxious about school when they were children, but this **retrospective information** (looking back) is usually less than accurate. To get a better picture of how individuals develop over the years, researchers use longitudinal designs.


Longitudinal Designs Rather than looking at different groups of people of differing ages, researchers may follow one group over time and assess change in its members directly. The advantages of **longitudinal designs** are that they do not suffer from cohort effect problems and they allow the researchers to assess individual change. (● Figure 4.4 illustrates both longitudinal and cross-sectional designs.) In one such study, researchers followed a large number of patients (3,440) over 17 years to assess how consuming dairy products was associated with changes in their weight. Every three years, participants completed a physical exam and filled out a food frequency assessment to determine how much dairy they consumed in the past year. The results of this study suggested that participants who consumed three or more servings of dairy per day gained less weight over the year than those who did not (Wang, et al., 2014). This study lends support to advocates of healthy dairy consumption and shows the value of longitudinal designs when assessing health outcomes over time.

Imagine conducting a major longitudinal study. Not only must the researcher persevere over months and years, but so must the

Longitudinal design



Cross-sectional design



● FIGURE 4.4

Two types of research designs: longitudinal and cross-sectional.

people who participate in the study. They must remain willing to continue in the project, and the researcher must hope they will not move away or, worse, die. Longitudinal research is costly and time consuming; it is also possible that the research question will have become irrelevant by the time the study is complete. Finally, longitudinal designs can suffer from a phenomenon similar to the cohort effect on cross-sectional designs. The **cross-generational effect** involves trying to generalize the findings to groups whose experiences are different from those of the study participants. For example, the drug-use histories of people who were young adults in the 1960s and early 1970s are vastly different from those of people born in the 1990s.

Sometimes psychopathologists combine longitudinal and cross-sectional designs in a strategy called **sequential design**, which involves repeated study of different cohorts over time. As an example, we will look at work by Laurie Chassin and her colleagues, who study children's beliefs about cigarette smoking (Chassin, Presson, Rose, & Sherman, 2001). These researchers have followed 10 cohorts of middle- and high-school-age children (cross-sectional design) since the early 1980s (longitudinal design). Through questionnaires, they have tracked how these children (and later, adults) viewed the health risks associated with smoking from their youth into their mid-30s. For example, the researchers would ask participants whether they believed in

the following statement: “A person who eats right and exercises regularly can smoke without harming his/her health.” The results suggest that as middle schoolers (ages 11–14) the children viewed smoking as less risky to them personally and believed that there were positive psychological benefits (for example, making them appear more mature). These beliefs changed as the children went into high school and entered adulthood, but they point to the importance of targeting smoking prevention programs during the middle-school period (Macy, Chassin, & Presson, 2012).

Studying Behavior across Cultures

Just as we can become narrowly focused when we study people only at a certain age, we can also miss important aspects by studying people from only one culture. Studying the differences in behavior of people from different cultures can tell us a great deal about the origins and possible treatments of abnormal behaviors. Unfortunately, most research literature originates in Western cultures, producing an ethnocentric view of psychopathology that can limit our understanding of disorders in general and can restrict the way we approach treatment (Christopher, Wendt, Marecek, & Goodman, 2014). Researchers in Malaysia—where psychological disorders are commonly believed to have supernatural origins—have described a disorder they call *sakit gila*, which has some features of schizophrenia but differs in important ways (Csordas, 2015). Could we learn more about schizophrenia (and *sakit gila*) by comparing the disorders themselves and the cultures in which they are found? Increasing awareness of the limited cultural scope of our research is creating a corresponding increase in cross-cultural research on psychopathology.

The designs we have described are adapted for studying abnormal behavior across cultures. Some researchers view the effects of different cultures as though they were different treatments (López & Guarnaccia, 2012). In other words, the independent variable is the effect of different cultures on behavior, rather than, say, the effect of cognitive therapy versus simple exposure for the treatment of fears. The difference between looking at culture as a “treatment” and our typical design, however, is important. In cross-cultural research, we can't randomly assign infants to different cultures and observe how they develop. People from varying cultures can differ in any number of important ways—their genetic backgrounds, for one—that could explain variations in their behavior for reasons other than culture.

The characteristics of different cultures can also complicate research efforts. Symptoms, or descriptions of symptoms, can be dissimilar in different societies (Paniagua & Yamada, 2013). Nigerians who are depressed complain of heaviness or heat in the head, crawling sensations in the head or legs, burning sensations in the body, and a feeling that the belly is bloated with water (Ebigno, 1982; James, Jenkins, & Lawani, 2012). In contrast, people in the United States report feeling worthless, being unable to start or finish anything, losing interest in usual activities, and thinking of suicide. Natives of China, on the other hand, are less likely to report feeling depressed or losing interest in favorite things but may have thoughts of suicide or worthlessness (Yu, Tam, Wong, Lam, & Stewart, 2012). These few examples illustrate that applying a standard definition of depression across different cultures will result in vastly different outcomes (Corrigan, Druss, & Perlick, 2014).



Longitudinal studies can be complicated by the cross-generational effect; for example, young people in the 1960s shared experiences that were different from those of young people today.

An additional complicating factor is varying tolerances, or thresholds, for abnormal behavior. If people in different cultures see the same behaviors differently, researchers will have trouble comparing incidence and prevalence rates. For example, traditional Chinese customs include talking to deceased relatives and local deities—behaviors, which might be characteristic of schizophrenia in other cultures (Fuji, Tsushima, Murakami-Brundage, & Kamath, 2014). Understanding cultural attitudes and customs is essential to such research (Paniagua & Yamada, 2013).

Finally, treatment research is also complicated by cross-cultural differences. Cultures develop treatment models that reflect their own values. In Japan, psychiatric hospitalization is organized in terms of a family model, with caregivers assuming parental roles. A family model was common in psychiatric institutions in 19th-century North America until it was replaced with the medical model common today (Colp, 2009). In Saudi Arabia, women are veiled when outside the home, which prevents them from uncovering their faces in the presence of therapists; custom thus complicates efforts to establish a trusting and intimate therapeutic client–therapist relationship and may also prevent the therapist from gathering information about a patient’s affective state from her facial expression (Ali, Liu, & Humedian, 2004; Dubovsky, 1983; Mistry, Bhugra, Chaleby, Khan, & Sauer, 2009). Because in the Islamic perspective medicine and religion are inseparable, medical and religious treatments are combined (Tober & Budiani, 2014). As you can see, something as basic as comparing treatment outcomes is highly complex in a cross-cultural context.

Power of a Program of Research

When we examine different research strategies independently, as we have done here, we often have the impression that some approaches are better than others. It is important to understand that this is not true. Depending on the type of question you are asking and the practical limitations inherent in the inquiry, any of the research techniques would be appropriate. Significant issues often are resolved not by one perfectly designed study but rather by a series of studies that examine different aspects of the

problem—in a program of research. The research of one of this book’s authors will be used to illustrate how complex research questions are answered with a variety of different research designs.

One of us (Durand) studies why children with autism spectrum disorder (see Chapter 14) display seemingly irrational behaviors such as self-injury (hitting or biting oneself) or aggression. The expectation is that the more we understand why these behaviors occur, the better the chances of designing an effective treatment. In an early study we used a single-subject design (withdrawal design) to test the influence of adult attention and escaping from unpleasant educational tasks on these problem behaviors (Carr & Durand, 1985). We found that some children hit themselves more when people ignore them, and others will hit themselves to get out of school assignments that are too difficult, showing that these disturbing behaviors can be understood by looking at them as primitive forms of communication (for example, “Please come here” or “This is too hard”). This led us to consider what would happen if we taught these children to communicate with us more appropriately (Durand, 1990). The next series of studies again used single-subject designs and demonstrated that teaching more acceptable ways of getting attention or help from others did significantly reduce these challenging behaviors (e.g., Durand & Carr, 1992). Several decades of research on this treatment (called functional communication training) demonstrates its value in significantly improving the lives of people with these once severe behavior problems by reducing the severity of the misbehavior through improving communication skills (Durand, 2012).

One of the questions that faces researchers in this area is why some children develop more severe forms of these behavior problems, while others do not. To begin to answer this question, we conducted a 3-year prospective longitudinal study on more than 100 children with autism spectrum disorder to see what factors might cause more problems (Durand, 2001). We studied the children at age 3 and later at age 6 to determine what about the child or the family led to more severe problems. We found the following two factors to be the most important indicators of severe behavior problems in the children: (1) the parents were pessimistic about their ability to help their child or (2) the parents were



Janine Wedel Photobiblio / Alamy Stock Photo

The same behavior—in this case a woman baring her legs and having her head uncovered in public—would be acceptable in some cultures but not in others.

doubtful about their child's ability to change. These parents would "give up" and allow their child to dictate many of the routines around the house (for example, eating dinner in the living room, or not going out to the movies because it would cause tantrums) (Durand, 2001).

This important finding then led to the next question: Could we make pessimistic parents more optimistic, and would this help prevent their children from developing more severe behavior problems? To answer this question we next relied on a randomized clinical trial to see if adding a cognitive behavior intervention (described in more detail in the later chapters on the individual disorders) would help make pessimistic parents more optimistic. We wanted to teach these parents to examine their own pessimistic thoughts ("I have no control of my child." "My child won't improve because of his/her disorder.") and replace them with more hopeful views of their world ("I can help my child" or "My child can improve his/her behavior"). We hypothesized that this cognitive intervention would help them carry out the parenting strategies we offer them (including functional communication training) and in turn improve the outcomes of our behavioral interventions. We randomly assigned groups of pessimistic parents who also had a child with very severe behavior problems to either a group that taught them how to work with their child or a group that used the same techniques but also helped them explore their pessimistic thinking and helped them view themselves and their child in a better light. The treatments were applied very formally, using written protocols to make sure that each group received the treatment as designed (Durand & Hieneman, 2008). What we found was that addition of the cognitive behavioral intervention had the expected effect—improving optimism and also improving child outcomes (Durand, Hieneman, Clarke, Wang, & Rinaldi, 2013).

As this example indicates, research is conducted in stages, and a complete picture of any disorder and its treatment can be seen only after looking at it from many perspectives. An integrated

program of research can help researchers explore various aspects of abnormal behavior.

Replication

The motto of the state of Missouri is "Show Me." The motto of science could be "Show Me Again." Scientists in general, and behavioral scientists in particular, are never really convinced something is "true." People are skeptical when it comes to claims about causes or treatment outcomes. Replicating findings is what makes researchers confident that what they are observing isn't a coincidence. We noted when we described the case study method that if we look at a disorder in only one person, no matter how carefully we describe and document what we observe, we cannot draw strong conclusions.

The strength of a research program is in its ability to replicate findings in different ways to build confidence in the results. If you look back at the research strategies we have described, you will find that replication is one of the most important aspects of each. The more times researchers repeat a process (and the behavior they are studying changes as expected), the surer they are about what caused the changes.

Research Ethics

An important final issue involves the ethics of doing research in abnormal psychology. For example, the appropriateness of a clinician's delaying treatment to people who need it, just to satisfy the requirements of an experimental design, is often questioned. One single-case experimental design, the withdrawal design, can involve removing treatment for some time. Treatment is also withheld when placebo control groups are used in group experimental designs. Researchers continue to discuss and caution others about just when it is appropriate to use placebo-controlled trials (Boot, Simons, Stohart, & Stutts, 2013). The fundamental question is this: When does a scientist's interest in preserving the internal validity of a study outweigh a client's right to treatment?

One answer to this question involves **informed consent**—a research participant's formal agreement to cooperate in a study following full disclosure of the nature of the research and the participant's role in it (Boot et al., 2013). The concept of informed consent is derived from the war trials after World War II. Revelations that the Nazis had forced prisoners into so-called medical experiments helped establish the informed consent guidelines that are still used today. In studies using some form of treatment delay or withdrawal, the participant is told why it will occur and the risks and benefits, and permission to proceed is then obtained. In placebo control studies, participants are told they may not receive an active treatment (all participants are blind to or unaware of which group they are placed in), but they are usually given the option of receiving treatment after the study ends.

True informed consent is at times elusive. The basic components are competence, voluntarism, full information, and comprehension

on the part of the participant (Snyder, 2012). In other words, research participants must be capable of consenting to participation in the research, they must volunteer or not be coerced into participating, they must have all the information they need to make the decision, and they must understand what their participation will involve. In some circumstances, all these conditions are difficult to attain. Children, for example, often do not fully appreciate what will occur during research. Similarly, individuals with cognitive impairments such as intellectual disability or schizophrenia may not understand their role or their rights as participants. In institutional settings, participants should not feel coerced into taking part in research. And individuals from different cultures can have different perspectives about what is important in informed consent (Lakes et al., 2012).

Certain general protections help ensure that these concerns are properly addressed. First, research in university and medical settings must be approved by an institutional review board (IRB; Fisher & Vacanti-Shova, 2012). These are committees made up of university faculty and nonacademic people from the community, and their purpose is to see that the rights of research participants are protected. The committee structure allows people other than the researcher to look at the research procedures to determine whether sufficient care is being taken to protect the welfare and dignity of the participants.

To safeguard those who participate in psychological research and to clarify the responsibilities of researchers, the American Psychological Association has published *Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct*, which includes general guidelines for conducting research (Knapp, Gottlieb, Handelsman, & VandeCreek, 2012a, 2012b). People in research experiments must be protected from both physical and psychological harm. In addition to the issue of informed consent, these principles stress the investigators' responsibility for the research participants' welfare, because the researcher must ensure that the welfare of the research participants is given priority over any other consideration, including experimental design.

Psychological harm is difficult to define, but its definition remains the responsibility of the investigators. Researchers must hold in confidence all information obtained from participants, who have the right to concealment of their identity on all data, either written or informal. Whenever deception is considered essential to research, the investigator must satisfy a committee of peers that this judgment is correct. If deception or concealment is used, participants must be debriefed—that is, told in language they can understand the true purpose of the study and why it was necessary to deceive them.

The Society for Research in Child Development (2007) has endorsed ethical guidelines for research that address some issues unique to research on children. For example, these guidelines not only call for confidentiality, protection from harm, and debriefing but also require informed consent from children's caregivers and from the children themselves if they are age 7 or older. These guidelines specify that the research must be explained to children in language they can understand so that they can decide whether they wish to participate. Many other ethical issues extend beyond protection of the participants, including how researchers deal with errors in their research, fraud in science, and the proper way to give credit to others. Doing a study involves more than selecting the appropriate design. Researchers must be aware of numerous concerns that involve the rights of the people in the experiment, as well as their own conduct.

A final and important development in the field that will help to "keep the face" on psychological disorders is the involvement of consumers in important aspects of this research—referred to as participatory action research (Chevalier & Buckles, 2013). The concern over not only how people are treated in research studies but also how the information is interpreted and used has resulted in many government agencies providing guidance on how the people who are the targets of the research (for example, those with schizophrenia, depression, or anxiety disorders) should be involved in the process. The hope is that if people who experience these disorders are partners in designing, running, and interpreting this research, the relevance of the research, as well as the treatment of the participants in these studies, will be markedly improved.

Anxiety, Trauma- and Stressor-Related, and Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorders

CHAPTER OUTLINE

The Complexity of Anxiety Disorders

Anxiety, Fear, and Panic: Some Definitions
Causes of Anxiety and Related Disorders
Comorbidity of Anxiety and Related Disorders
Comorbidity with Physical Disorders
Suicide

Anxiety Disorders

Generalized Anxiety Disorder

Clinical Description
Statistics
Causes
Treatment

Panic Disorder and Agoraphobia

Clinical Description
Statistics
Causes
Treatment

Specific Phobia

Clinical Description
Statistics
Causes
Treatment

Social Anxiety Disorder (Social Phobia)

Clinical Description
Statistics
Causes
Treatment

Trauma- and Stressor-Related Disorders

Posttraumatic Stress Disorder

Clinical Description
Statistics
Causes
Treatment

Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorders

Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder

Clinical Description
Statistics
Causes
Treatment

Body Dysmorphic Disorder

Plastic Surgery and Other Medical Treatments

Other Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorders

Hoarding Disorder
Trichotillomania (Hair Pulling Disorder)
and Excoriation (Skin Picking Disorder)



Van Hunter/Getty Images

Use scientific reasoning to interpret behavior:

- Identify basic biological, psychological, and social components of behavioral explanations (e.g., inferences, observations, operational definitions and interpretations) [APA SLO 2.1a] (see textbook pages 129–132)

Engage in innovative and integrative thinking and problem solving:

- Describe problems operationally to study them empirically [APA SLO 2.3A, 2.4b] (see textbook pages 128, 134–135, 141–142, 147–148, 155–156, 163, 169, 173)

Describe applications of psychology:

- Correctly identify antecedents and consequences of behavior and mental processes [APA SLO 1.3b] (see textbook pages 129–132, 136–138, 143–144, 151–153, 156–157, 162–165, 171–173).
- Describe examples of relevant and practical applications of psychological principles to everyday life [APA SLO 1.3a] (see textbook pages 127–129, 153–155)

* Portions of this chapter cover learning outcomes suggested by the American Psychological Association (2012) in their guidelines for the undergraduate psychology major. Chapter coverage of these outcomes is identified above by APA Goal and APA Suggested Learning Outcome (SLO).

The Complexity of Anxiety Disorders

Anxiety is complex and mysterious, as Sigmund Freud realized many years ago. In some ways, the more we learn about it, the more baffling it seems. “Anxiety” is a specific type of disorder, but it is more than that. It is an emotion implicated so heavily across the full range of psychopathology that our discussion explores its general nature, both biological and psychological. Next, we consider fear, a somewhat different but clearly related emotion. Related to fear is a panic attack, which we propose is fear that occurs when there is nothing to be afraid of and, therefore, at an inappropriate time. With these important ideas clearly in mind, we focus on specific anxiety and related disorders.

Anxiety, Fear, and Panic: Some Definitions

Have you ever experienced anxiety? A silly question, you might say, because most of us feel some anxiety almost every day of our lives. Did you have a test in school today for which you weren’t “perfectly” prepared? Did you have a date last weekend with somebody new? And how about that job interview coming up? Even thinking about that might make you nervous. But have you ever stopped to think about the nature of anxiety? What is it? What causes it?

Anxiety is a negative mood state characterized by bodily symptoms of physical tension and by apprehension about the future (American Psychiatric Association, 2013; Barlow, 2002). In humans, it can be a subjective sense of unease, a set of behaviors (looking worried and anxious or fidgeting), or a physiological response originating in the brain and reflected in elevated heart rate and muscle tension. Because anxiety is difficult to study in

humans, much of the research has been done with animals. For example, we might teach laboratory rats that a light signals an impending shock. The animals certainly look and act anxious when the light comes on. They may fidget, tremble, and perhaps cower in a corner. We might give them an anxiety-reducing drug and notice a reduction of anxiety in their reaction to the light. But is a rat’s experience of anxiety the same as that of a human? It seems to be similar, but we don’t know for sure. It could even be argued that any emotional experience in humans, including fear and anxiety, involves consciousness. This would obviously limit what we can say about human emotions when studying animals (LeDoux, 2015). Thus, anxiety remains a mystery, and we are only beginning our journey of discovery. Anxiety is also closely related to depression (Barlow, 2000, 2002; Brown & Barlow, 2005, 2009; Clark, 2005; Craske et al., 2009; Kessler, Petukhova, Sampson Zaslavsky, & Wittchen, 2012), so much of what we say here is relevant to Chapter 7.

Anxiety is not pleasant, so why do we seem programmed to experience it almost every time we do something important? Surprisingly, anxiety is good for us, at least in moderate amounts. Psychologists have known for over a century that we perform better when we are a little anxious (Yerkes & Dodson, 1908). You would not have done so well on that test the other day if you had had no anxiety. You were a little more charming and lively on that date last weekend because you were a little anxious. And you will be better prepared for that upcoming job interview if you are anxious. In short, social, physical, and intellectual performances are driven and enhanced by anxiety. Without it, few of us would get much done. Howard Liddell (1949) first proposed this idea when he called anxiety the “shadow of intelligence.” He thought the human ability to plan in some detail for the future

was connected to that gnawing feeling that things could go wrong and we had better be prepared for them. This is why anxiety is a future-oriented mood state. If you were to put it into words, you might say, "Something might go wrong, and I'm not sure I can deal with it, but I've got to be ready to try. Maybe I'd better study a little harder (or check the mirror one more time before my date, or do a little more research on that company before the interview)."

But what happens when you have too much anxiety? You might actually fail the exam because you can't concentrate on the questions. All you can think about when you're too anxious is how terrible it will be if you fail. You might blow the interview for the same reason. On that date with a new person, you might spend the evening perspiring profusely, with a sick feeling in your stomach, unable to think of even one reasonably interesting thing to say. Too much of a good thing can be harmful, and few sensations are more harmful than severe anxiety that is out of control.

What makes the situation worse is that severe anxiety usually doesn't go away—that is, even if we "know" there is nothing to be afraid of, we remain anxious. One example of this kind of irrationality involves John Madden, the retired sports announcer and former professional football coach, who suffers from claustrophobia. He has written about his anxiety and used it as a source of humor in several television commercials. Madden, who during his career had to announce a game in New York one Sunday and in San Francisco the next, cannot travel by air because of his claustrophobia. For a long time he took trains around the country; later, he used a well-equipped private bus. Madden and countless other individuals who suffer from anxiety-based disorders are well aware that there is little to fear in the situations they find so stressful. Madden must have realized long ago that flying is in fact the safest way to travel, and it was in his best interest to fly to save time and help maintain his lucrative career. And yet he could not abandon his self-defeating behavior.

All the disorders discussed in this chapter are characterized by excessive anxiety, which takes many forms. In Chapter 2 you saw that **fear** is an immediate alarm reaction to danger. Like anxiety, fear can be good for us. It protects us by activating a massive response from the autonomic nervous system (increased heart rate and blood pressure, for example), which, along with our subjective sense of terror, motivates us to escape (flee) or, possibly, to attack (fight). As such, this emergency reaction is often called the flight or fight response.

There is much evidence that fear and anxiety reactions differ psychologically and physiologically (Barlow, 2002; Bouton, 2005; Craske et al., 2010; Tovote, Fadok, & Lüthi, 2015; Waddell, Morris, & Bouton, 2006). As noted earlier, anxiety is a future-oriented

Panic Disorder: Steve



"First time it happened to me, I was driving down the highway, and I had a kind of a knot in my chest. I felt like I had swallowed something and it got stuck, and it lasted pretty much overnight. . . . I felt like I was having a heart attack. . . . I assumed that's what was happening. I felt very panicky. A flushed feeling came over my whole body. I felt as though I was going to pass out."

Go to MindTap at www.cengagebrain.com to watch this video.

mood state, characterized by apprehension because we cannot predict or control upcoming events. Fear, on the other hand, is an immediate emotional reaction to current danger characterized by strong escapist action tendencies and, often, a surge in the sympathetic branch of the autonomic nervous system (Barlow, Brown, & Craske, 1994; Craske et al., 2010).

What happens if you experience the alarm response of fear when there is nothing to be afraid of—that is, if you have a false alarm? Consider the case of Gretchen, who appeared at one of our clinics.

This sudden overwhelming reaction came to be known as **panic**, after the Greek god Pan who terrified travelers with bloodcurdling screams. In psychopathology, a **panic attack** is defined as an abrupt experience of intense fear or acute discomfort, accompanied by physical symptoms that usually include heart palpitations, chest pain, shortness of breath, and, possibly, dizziness.

Gretchen...

Attacked by Panic

I was 25 when I had my first attack. It was a few weeks after I'd come home from the hospital. I had had my appendix out. The surgery had gone well, and I wasn't in any danger, which is why I don't understand what happened. But one night I went to sleep and I woke up a few hours later—I'm not sure how long—but I woke up with this vague feeling of apprehension. Mostly I remember how my heart started pounding. And my chest hurt; it felt like I was dying—that I was having a heart attack. And I felt kind of queer, as if I were detached from the experience. It seemed like my bedroom was covered with a haze. I ran to my sister's room, but I felt like I was a puppet or a robot who was under the control of somebody else while I was running. I think I scared her almost as much as I was frightened myself. She called an ambulance (Barlow, 2002). •

Two basic types of panic attacks are described in *DSM-5*: expected and unexpected. If you know you are afraid of high places or of driving over long bridges, you might have a panic attack in these situations but not anywhere else; this is an *expected (cued) panic attack*. By contrast, you might experience *unexpected (uncued) panic attacks* if you don't have a clue when or where the next attack will occur. We mention these types of attacks because they play a role in several anxiety disorders. Unexpected attacks are important in panic disorder. Expected attacks are more common in specific phobias or social anxiety disorder (see ● Figure 5.1).

TABLE 5.1
Diagnostic Criteria for Panic Attack

- An abrupt surge of intense fear or intense discomfort that reaches a peak within minutes, and during which time four (or more) of the following symptoms occur:
1. Palpitations, pounding heart, or accelerated heart rate
 2. Sweating
 3. Trembling or shaking
 4. Sensations of shortness of breath or smothering
 5. Feeling of choking
 6. Chest pain or discomfort
 7. Nausea or abdominal distress
 8. Feeling dizzy, unsteady, lightheaded, or faint
 9. Chills or heat sensations
 10. Paresthesias (numbness or tingling sensations)
 11. Derealization (feelings of unreality) or depersonalization (being detached from oneself)
 12. Fear of losing control or going crazy
 13. Fear of dying

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

Remember that fear is an intense emotional alarm accompanied by a surge of energy in the autonomic nervous system that motivates us to flee from danger. Does Gretchen's panic attack sound as if it could be the emotion of fear? A variety of evidence suggests it is (Barlow, 2002; Craske & Barlow, 2014; Bouton, 2005),

including similarities in reports of the experience of fear and panic, similar behavioral tendencies to escape, and similar underlying neurobiological processes.


Over the years we have recorded panic attacks during physiological assessments of our patients (see, for example, Alpers, 2009; Hofmann & Barlow, 1996; Meuret et al., 2011). The physiological surge recorded in one patient is shown in Figure 5.2. Notice the sudden dramatic increase in heart rate from minute 11 through minute 13, accompanied by increases in muscle tension (frontalis EMG) and finger temperature. This massive autonomic surge peaked and subsided within 3 minutes. The panic attack in the laboratory occurred quite unexpectedly from the patient's point of view and from ours. As the figure shows, fear and panic are experienced suddenly, which is necessary to mobilize us for instantaneous reaction to impending danger.

Causes of Anxiety and Related Disorders

You learned in Chapters 1 and 2 that excessive emotional reactions have no simple one-dimensional cause but come from multiple sources. Next, we explore the biological, psychological, and social contributors and how they interact to produce anxiety and related disorders.

Biological Contributions


Increasing evidence shows that we inherit a tendency to be tense, uptight, and anxious (Barlow et al., 2014; Clark, 2005; Eysenck, 1967; Gray & McNaughton, 2003). The tendency to panic also seems to run in families and probably has a genetic component



iStockphoto.com/Manuel Lohninger

FIGURE 5.1

The relationships among anxiety, fear, and panic attack.



● FIGURE 5.2

Physiological measurements during a panic attack. BPM, beats per minute; EMG, electromyography. (Reprinted, with permission, from Cohen, A. S., Barlow, D. H., & Blanchard, E. B. (1985). Psychophysiology of relaxation-associated panic attacks. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 94, 98, © 1985 by the American Psychological Association.)

that differs somewhat from genetic contributions to anxiety (Barlow, 2002; Craske & Barlow, 2014; Kendler, 2001; Ollendick & Muris, 2015). As with almost all emotional traits and psychological disorders, no single gene seems to cause anxiety or panic or any other psychiatric disorder (Gratten, Wray, Keller, & Visscher, 2014). Instead, contributions from collections of genes in several areas on chromosomes make us vulnerable when the right psychological and social factors are in place. Furthermore, a genetic vulnerability does not cause anxiety and/or panic directly. That is, stress or other factors in the environment can “turn on” these genes, as we reviewed in Chapter 2 (Gelernter & Stein, 2009;

Kandler, 2006; Owens et al., 2012; Rutter, Moffitt, & Caspi, 2006; Smoller, 2013).

Anxiety is also associated with specific brain circuits (Domschke, & Dannlowski, 2010; Hermans, Henckens, Joels, & Fernandez, 2014; Tovote et al., 2015) and neurotransmitter systems (Durant, Christmas, & Nutt, 2010). For example, depleted levels of gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA), part of the GABA–benzodiazepine system, are associated with increased anxiety, although the relationship is not quite so direct. The noradrenergic system has also been implicated in anxiety (Hermans et al., 2011), and evidence from basic animal studies, as well as studies of normal anxiety in humans, suggests the serotonergic neurotransmitter system is also involved (Canli & Lesch, 2007). But increasing attention in the past several years is focusing on the role of the corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) system as central to the expression of anxiety (and depression) and the groups of genes that increase the likelihood that this system will be turned on (Essex, Klein, Slattery, Goldsmith, & Kalin, 2010; Durant et al., 2010; Khan, King, Abelson, & Liberzon, 2009; Smoller, Yamaki, & Fagerness, 2005; Sullivan, Kent, & Coplan, 2000). This is because CRF activates the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenocortical (HPA) axis, described in Chapter 2, which is part of the CRF system, and this CRF system has wide-ranging effects on areas of the brain implicated in anxiety, including the emotional brain (the limbic system), particularly the hippocampus and the amygdala; the locus coeruleus in the brain stem; the prefrontal cortex; and the dopaminergic neurotransmitter system. The CRF system is also directly related to the GABA–benzodiazepine system and the serotonergic and noradrenergic neurotransmitter systems.

The area of the brain most often associated with anxiety is the limbic system (Britton & Rauch, 2009; Gray & McNaughton, 2003; Hermans et al., 2011; LeDoux, 2002, 2015; see Figure 2.7c), which acts as a mediator between the brain stem and the cortex. The more primitive brain stem monitors and senses changes in bodily functions and relays these potential danger signals to higher cortical processes through the limbic system. The late Jeffrey Gray, a prominent British neuropsychologist, identified a brain circuit in the limbic system of animals that seems heavily involved in anxiety (Gray & McNaughton, 2003) and may be relevant to humans. This circuit leads from the septal and hippocampal area in the limbic system to the frontal cortex. (The septal–hippocampal system is activated by CRF and serotonergic- and noradrenergic-mediated pathways originating in the brain stem.) The system that Gray calls the **behavioral inhibition system (BIS)** is activated by signals from the brain stem of unexpected events, such as major changes in body functioning that might signal danger. Danger signals in response to something we see that might be threatening descend from the cortex to the septal–hippocampal system. The BIS also receives a big boost from the amygdala (LeDoux, 1996, 2002, 2015). When the BIS is activated by signals that arise from the brain stem or descend from the cortex, our tendency is to freeze, experience anxiety, and apprehensively evaluate the situation to confirm that danger is present.

The BIS circuit is distinct from the circuit involved in panic. Gray and McNaughton (2003) and Graeff (2004) identified what Gray and others call the **fight/flight system (FFS)**. This circuit originates in the brain stem and travels through several midbrain

structures, including the amygdala, the ventromedial nucleus of the hypothalamus, and the central gray matter. When stimulated in animals, this circuit produces an immediate alarm-and-escape response that looks very much like panic in humans (Gray & McNaughton, 2003). The FFS is activated partly by deficiencies in serotonin, suggest Gray and McNaughton (2003) and Graeff (2004). As is true for other anxiety disorders (such as social anxiety disorder, which we will discuss later), activation of a network that involves the prefrontal cortex and the amygdala while performing certain tasks, can predict response to CBT (Hahn et al., 2015). It is likely that factors in your environment can change the sensitivity of these brain circuits, making you more or less susceptible to developing anxiety and its disorders, a finding that has been demonstrated in several laboratories (Francis, Diorio, Plotsky, & Meaney, 2002; Stein, Schork, & Gelernter, 2007). For example, one important study suggested that cigarette smoking as a teenager is associated with greatly increased risk for developing anxiety disorders as an adult, particularly panic disorder and generalized anxiety disorder (Johnson et al., 2000). Nearly 700 adolescents were followed into adulthood. Teens who smoked 20 or more cigarettes daily were 15 times more likely to develop panic disorder and 5 times more likely to develop generalized anxiety disorder than teens who smoked less or didn't smoke. The complex interaction between smoking and anxiety disorders has been confirmed in more recent research (Leventhal & Zvolensky, 2015). The current thinking about the link between smoking and anxiety is that anxiety sensitivity (the general tendency to fear bodily sensations, which we will briefly discuss later), distress tolerance (how much distress a person can tolerate), and anhedonia (the inability to feel pleasure) all contribute to smoking, which could be one reason why so many people with anxiety find it very difficult to quit smoking. Brain-imaging procedures are yielding more information about the neurobiology of anxiety and panic (Britton et al., 2013; Shin & Liberzon, 2010). For example, there is now general agreement that in people with anxiety disorders, the limbic system, including the amygdala, is overly responsive to stimulation or new information (abnormal bottom-up processing); at the same time, controlling functions of the cortex that would down-regulate the hyperexcitable amygdala are deficient (abnormal top-down processing), consistent with Gray's BIS model (Ellard, 2013; Britton & Rauch, 2009; Ochsner et al., 2009). Despite these biological abnormalities, psychological treatments, and in particular CBT, can effectively treat these disorders across the age range (Kendall & Peterman, 2015; Hofmann, Asnaani, Vonk, Sawyer, & Fang, 2012).

Psychological Contributions

In Chapter 2, we reviewed some theories on the nature of psychological causes of anxiety. Remember that Freud thought anxiety was a psychic reaction to danger surrounding the reactivation of an infantile fearful situation. Behavioral theorists thought anxiety was the product of early classical conditioning, modeling, or other forms of learning (Bandura, 1986). But, new and accumulating evidence supports an integrated model of anxiety involving a variety of psychological factors (see, for example, Barlow, 2002; Barlow, Ellard et al., 2014). In childhood, we may acquire an

awareness that events are not always in our control (Chorpita & Barlow, 1998; Gallagher, Bentley, & Barlow, 2014). The continuum of this perception may range from total confidence in our control of all aspects of our lives to deep uncertainty about ourselves and our ability to deal with upcoming events. If you are anxious about schoolwork, for example, you may worry you will do poorly on the next exam, even though all your grades have been A's and B's. A general "sense of uncontrollability" may develop early as a function of upbringing and other disruptive or traumatic environmental factors.

Interestingly, the actions of parents in early childhood seem to do a lot to foster this sense of control or uncontrollability (Barlow, Ellard et al., 2014; Bowlby, 1980; Chorpita & Barlow, 1998; Gunnar, Hostinar, Sanches, Tottenham, & Sullivan, 2015). Generally, it seems that parents who interact in a positive and predictable way with their children by responding to their needs, particularly when the child communicates needs for attention, food, relief from pain, and so on, perform an important function. These parents teach their children that they have control over their environment and their responses have an effect on their parents and their environment. In addition, parents who provide a "secure home base" but allow their children to explore their world and develop the necessary skills to cope with unexpected occurrences enable their children to develop a healthy sense of control (Chorpita & Barlow, 1998). In contrast, parents who are overprotective and overintrusive and who "clear the way" for their children, never letting them experience any adversity, create a situation in which children never learn how to cope with adversity when it comes along. Therefore, these children don't learn that they can control their environment. A variety of evidence has accumulated supporting these ideas (Barlow, 2002; Chorpita & Barlow, 1998; Dan, Sagi-Schwartz, Bar-haim, & Eshel, 2011; Fulton, Kiel, Tull, & Gratz, 2014; Gallagher et al. 2014; Gunnar & Fisher, 2006; White, Brown, Somers, & Barlow, 2006). A sense of control (or lack of it) that develops from these early experiences is the psychological factor that makes us more or less vulnerable to anxiety in later life.

Another feature among patients with panic is the general tendency to respond fearfully to anxiety symptoms. This is known as *anxiety sensitivity*, which appears to be an important personality trait that determines who will and who will not experience problems with anxiety under certain stressful conditions (Reiss, 1991).

Most psychological accounts of panic (as opposed to anxiety) invoke conditioning and cognitive explanations that are difficult to separate (Bouton, Mineka, & Barlow, 2001). Thus, a strong fear response initially occurs during extreme stress or perhaps as a result of a dangerous situation in the environment (a true alarm). This emotional response then becomes associated with a variety of external and internal cues. In other words, these cues, or conditioned stimuli, provoke the fear response and an assumption of danger, even if the danger is not actually present (Bouton, 2005; Bouton et al., 2001; Mineka & Zinbarg, 2006; Razran, 1961), so it is really a learned or false alarm. This is the conditioning process described in Chapter 2. External cues are places or situations similar to the one where the initial panic attack occurred. Internal cues are increases in heart rate or respiration that were associated with the initial panic attack, even if they are now the result of normal circumstances, such as exercise. Thus, when your heart is beating

fast, you are more likely to think of and, perhaps, experience a panic attack than when it is beating normally. Furthermore, you may not be aware of the cues or triggers of severe fear; that is, they are unconscious as recently demonstrated in patients with panic disorder (Meuret et al., 2011). This is most likely, as demonstrated in experimental work with animals, because these cues or triggers may travel from the eyes directly to the amygdala in the emotional brain without going through the cortex, the source of awareness (Bouton et al., 2001; LeDoux, 2002, 2015).

Social Contributions

Stressful life events trigger our biological and psychological vulnerabilities to anxiety. Most are social and interpersonal in nature—marriage, divorce, difficulties at work, death of a loved one, pressures to excel in school, and so on. Some might be physical, such as an injury or illness.

The same stressors can trigger physical reactions, such as headaches or hypertension, and emotional reactions, such as panic attacks (Barlow, 2002). The particular way we react to stress seems to run in families. If you get headaches when under stress, chances are other people in your family also get headaches. If you have panic attacks, other members of your family probably do also. This finding suggests a possible genetic contribution, at least to initial panic attacks.

An Integrated Model


Putting the factors together in an integrated way, we have described a theory of the development of anxiety called the *triple vulnerability theory* (Barlow, 2000, 2002; Barlow, Ellard et al., 2014; Brown & Naragon-Gainey, 2013). The first vulnerability (or diathesis) is a *generalized biological vulnerability*. We can see that a tendency to be uptight or high-strung might be inherited. But a generalized biological vulnerability to develop anxiety is not sufficient to produce anxiety itself. The second vulnerability is a *generalized psychological vulnerability*. That is, you might also grow

up believing the world is dangerous and out of control and you might not be able to cope when things go wrong based on your early experiences. If this perception is strong, you have a generalized psychological vulnerability to anxiety. The third vulnerability is a *specific psychological vulnerability* in which you learn from early experience, such as being taught by your parents, that some situations or objects are fraught with danger (even if they really aren't). For example, if one of your parents is afraid of dogs, or expresses anxiety about being evaluated negatively by others, you may well develop a fear of dogs or of social evaluation. These triple vulnerabilities are presented in ● Figure 5.3 and revisited when we describe each anxiety and related disorder. If you are under a lot of pressure, particularly from interpersonal stressors, a given stressor could activate your biological tendencies to be anxious and your psychological tendencies to feel you might not be able to deal with the situation and control the stress. Once this cycle starts, it tends to feed on itself, so it might not stop even when the particular life stressor has long since passed. Anxiety can be general, evoked by many aspects of your life. But it is usually focused on one area, such as social evaluations or grades (Barlow, 2002).

As noted above, panic is also a characteristic response to stress that runs in families and may have a genetic component that is separate from anxiety. Furthermore, anxiety and panic are closely related (Barlow, 2002; Barlow, Ellard et al., 2014; Suárez et al., 2009): anxiety increases the likelihood of panic. This relationship makes sense from an evolutionary point of view, because sensing a possible future threat or danger (anxiety) should prepare us to react instantaneously with an alarm response if the danger becomes imminent (Bouton, 2005). Anxiety and panic need not occur together, but it makes sense that they often do.

Comorbidity of Anxiety and Related Disorders

Before describing the specific disorders, it is important to note that the disorders often co-occur. As we described in Chapter 3, the co-occurrence of two or more disorders in a single individual



● FIGURE 5.3


The three vulnerabilities that contribute to the development of anxiety disorders. If individuals possess all three, the odds are greatly increased that they will develop an anxiety disorder after experiencing a stressful situation. (From Barlow, D. H. (2002). *Anxiety and its disorders: The nature and treatment of anxiety and panic* (2nd ed.). New York: Guilford Press.)

is referred to as *comorbidity*. The high rates of comorbidity among anxiety and related disorders (and depression) emphasize how all of these disorders share the common features of anxiety and panic described here. They also share the same vulnerabilities—biological and psychological—to develop anxiety and panic. The various disorders differ only in what triggers the anxiety and, perhaps, the patterning of panic attacks. Of course, if each patient with an anxiety or related disorder also had every other anxiety disorder, there would be little sense in distinguishing among the specific disorders. But this is not the case, and, although rates of comorbidity are high, they vary somewhat from disorder to disorder (Allen et al., 2010; Bruce et al., 2005; Tsao, Mystkowski, Zucker, & Craske, 2002). A large-scale study completed at one of our centers examined the comorbidity of *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, fourth edition (*DSM-IV-TR*) anxiety and mood disorders (Brown & Barlow, 2005; Brown, Campbell, Lehman, Grisham, & Mancill, 2001). Data were collected from 1,127 patients carefully diagnosed using a semistructured interview in our center. If we examine just rates of comorbidity at the time of assessment, the results indicate that 55% of the patients who received a principal diagnosis of an anxiety or depressive disorder had at least one additional anxiety or depressive disorder at the time of the assessment. If we consider whether the patient met criteria for an additional diagnosis at any time in his or her life, rather than just at the time of the assessment, the rate increases to 76%.

By far the most common additional diagnosis for all anxiety disorders was major depression, which occurred in 50% of the cases over the course of the patient's life, probably due to the shared vulnerabilities between depression and anxiety disorders in addition to the disorder-specific vulnerability. Consistent with this notion are the results by Blanco and colleagues (Blanco, Rubio, Wall, Wang, Jiu, & Kendler, 2014) who reported that the risks for anxiety disorders and depression are mediated partially by a latent variable underlying both disorders (e.g., low self-esteem and childhood sexual abuse), and partially by disorder-specific effects (e.g., a family history of depression as a risk factor for depression in the children). This becomes important when we discuss the relationship of anxiety and depression later in this chapter. Also important is the finding that additional diagnoses of depression or alcohol or drug abuse makes it less likely that you will recover from an anxiety disorder and more likely that you will relapse if you do recover (Bruce et al., 2005; Ciraulo et al., 2013; Huppert, 2009).

Comorbidity with Physical Disorders

Anxiety disorders also co-occur with several physical conditions (Kariuki-Nyuthe & Stein, 2015). An important study indicated that the presence of any anxiety disorder was uniquely and significantly associated with thyroid disease, respiratory disease, gastrointestinal disease, arthritis, migraine headaches, and allergic conditions (Sareen et al., 2006). Thus, people with these physical conditions are likely to have an anxiety disorder but are not any more likely to have another psychological disorder. Furthermore, the anxiety disorder most often begins before the physical disorder, suggesting (but not proving) that something



© Image Point Fr/Shutterstock.com

People with certain physical conditions, like asthma, are often at higher risk for anxiety disorders.

about having an anxiety disorder might cause, or contribute to the cause of, the physical disorder. Finally, if someone has both an anxiety disorder and one of the physical disorders mentioned earlier, that person will suffer from greater disability and a poorer quality of life from both the physical problem and the anxiety problem than if that individual had just the physical disorder alone (Belik, Sareen, & Stein, 2009; Comer et al., 2011; Sareen et al., 2006). Other studies have also found the same relationship between anxiety disorders, particularly panic disorders, and cardiovascular (heart) disease (see, for example, Gomez-Caminero, Blumentals, Russo, Brown, & Castilla-Puentes, 2005). Also, *DSM-5* now makes it explicit that panic attacks often co-occur with certain medical conditions, particularly cardio, respiratory, gastrointestinal, and vestibular (inner ear) disorders, even though the majority of these patients would not meet criteria for panic disorder (Kessler et al., 2006).

Suicide

Based on epidemiological data, Weissman and colleagues found that 20% of patients with panic disorder had attempted suicide. They concluded that such attempts were associated with panic disorder. They also concluded that the risk of someone with panic disorder attempting suicide is comparable to that for individuals with major depression (Johnson, Weissman, & Klerman, 1990; Weissman, Klerman, Markowitz, & Ouellette, 1989). This finding was alarming because panic disorder is quite prevalent and clinicians had generally not been on the lookout for possible suicide attempts in such patients. The investigators also found that even patients with panic disorder who did not have accompanying depression were at risk for suicide.

The Weissman study suggests that having any anxiety or related disorder, not just panic disorder, uniquely increases the chances of having thoughts about suicide (suicidal ideation) or making suicidal attempts (Sareen et al., 2006), but the relationship is strongest with panic disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder (Nepon, Belik, Bolton, & Sareen 2010; Sareen, 2011). Whereas earlier studies have suggested that panic disorder is not associated with suicidal behavior in the absence of other risk factors (e.g., Warshaw, Dolan, & Keller, 2000), a later epidemiological study reported that all anxiety disorders are associated with an increased risk for suicide attempts and suicidal ideations, even after accounting for mood disorders, such as dysthymia, major depressive disorder, and bipolar disorder, as well as substance use disorders (Thibodeau, Welch, Sareen, & Asmundson, 2013). These findings are consistent with the results of another epidemiological study showing that all anxiety disorders are associated with suicide attempts with intent to die (Chartrand, Sareen, Toews, & Bolton, 2012). People with generalized anxiety disorder and social anxiety disorder who engaged in deliberate self-harm were especially more likely to engage in this behavior multiple times, and at least one of those times was a suicide attempt.

Clearly, many questions about the relationship between suicide and mood and anxiety disorders remain unanswered. We now turn to descriptions of the individual anxiety and related disorders. But keep in mind that approximately 50% of individuals with these disorders will present with one or more additional anxiety or depressive disorders and, perhaps, some other disorders, particularly substance abuse disorders, as described later. For this reason, we also consider new ideas for classifying and treating anxiety disorders that move beyond just looking at single disorders.

Anxiety Disorders

Disorders traditionally grouped together as anxiety disorders include generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder and agoraphobia, specific phobia, and social anxiety disorder, as well as two new disorders, separation anxiety disorder and selective mutism. These specific anxiety disorders are complicated by panic attacks or other features that are the focus of the anxiety. But in generalized anxiety disorder, the focus is generalized to the events of everyday life. Therefore, we consider generalized anxiety disorder first.

Generalized Anxiety Disorder

Is somebody in your family a worrywart or a perfectionist? Perhaps it is you! Most of us worry to some extent. As we have said, worry can be useful. It helps us plan for the future, make sure that we're prepared for that test, or double-check that we've thought of everything before we head home for the holidays. But what if you worry indiscriminately about everything? Furthermore, what if worrying is unproductive? What if no matter how much you worry, you can't seem to decide what to do about an upcoming problem or situation? And what if you can't stop worrying, even if you know it is doing you no good and probably making everyone else around you miserable? These features characterize **generalized anxiety disorder (GAD)**. Consider the case of Irene.

Irene... Ruled by Worry

Irene was a 20-year-old college student with an engaging personality but not many friends. She came to the clinic complaining of excessive anxiety and general difficulties in controlling her life. Everything was a catastrophe for Irene. Although she carried a 3.7 grade point average, she was convinced she would flunk every test she took. As a result, she repeatedly threatened to drop courses after only several weeks of classes because she feared that she would not understand the material.

Irene worried until she dropped out of the first college she attended after 1 month. She felt depressed for a while and then decided to take a couple of courses at a local junior college, believing she could handle the work there better. After achieving straight A's at the junior college for 2 years, she enrolled once again in a 4-year college as a junior. After a short time, she began calling the clinic in a state of extreme agitation, saying she had to drop this or that course because she couldn't handle it. With great difficulty, her therapist and parents persuaded her to stay in the courses and to seek further help. In any course Irene completed, her grade was between an A and a B-minus, but she still worried about every test and every paper, afraid she would fall apart and be unable to understand and complete the work.

Irene did not worry only about school. She was also concerned about relationships with her friends. Whenever she was with her new boyfriend, she feared making a fool of herself and losing his interest. She reported that each date

went extremely well, but she knew the next one would probably be a disaster. As the relationship progressed and some sexual contact seemed natural, Irene was worried sick that her inexperience would make her boyfriend consider her naive and stupid. Nevertheless, she reported enjoying the early sexual contact and admitted that he seemed to enjoy it also, but she was convinced that the next time a catastrophe would happen.

Irene was also concerned about her health. She had minor hypertension, probably because she was somewhat overweight. She was also very worried about eating the wrong types or amounts of food. She became reluctant to have her blood pressure checked for fear it would be high or to weigh herself for fear she was not losing weight. She severely restricted her eating and as a result had an occasional episode of binge eating, although not often enough to warrant concern.

Although Irene had an occasional panic attack, this was not a major issue to her. As soon as the panic subsided, she focused on the next possible catastrophe. In addition to high blood pressure, Irene had tension headaches and a “nervous stomach,” with a lot of gas, occasional diarrhea, and some abdominal pain. Irene’s life was a series of impending catastrophes. Her mother reported that she dreaded a phone call from Irene, let alone a visit, because she knew she would have to see her daughter through a crisis. For the same reason, Irene had few friends. Even so, when she temporarily gave up her anxiety, she was fun to be with. •

Clinical Description

Irene suffered from GAD, which is, in many ways, the basic syndrome that characterizes every anxiety and related disorder considered in this chapter (Brown, Barlow, & Liebowitz, 1994). The *DSM-5* criteria specify that at least 6 months of excessive anxiety and worry (apprehensive expectation) must be ongoing more days than not. Furthermore, it must be difficult to turn off or control the worry process. This is what distinguishes pathological worrying from the normal kind we all experience occasionally as we prepare for an upcoming event or challenge. Most of us worry for a time but can set the problem aside and go on to another task. Even if the upcoming challenge is a big one, as soon as it is over, the worrying stops. For Irene, it never stopped. She turned to the next crisis as soon as the current one was over.

The physical symptoms associated with generalized anxiety and GAD differ somewhat from those associated with panic attacks and panic disorder (covered next). Whereas panic is associated with autonomic arousal, presumably as a result of a sympathetic nervous system surge (for instance, increased heart rate, palpitations, perspiration, and trembling), GAD is characterized by muscle tension, mental agitation (Brown, Marten, & Barlow, 1995), susceptibility to fatigue (probably the result of chronic excessive muscle tension), some irritability, and difficulty sleeping (Campbell-Sills & Brown, 2010). Focusing one’s attention is difficult, as the mind quickly switches from crisis to crisis. For

children, only one physical symptom is required for a diagnosis of GAD, and research validates this strategy (Tracey, Chorpita, Douban, & Barlow, 1997). People with GAD mostly worry about minor, everyday life events, a characteristic that distinguishes GAD from other anxiety disorders. When asked, “Do you worry excessively about minor things?” 100% of individuals with GAD respond “yes,” compared with approximately 50% of individuals whose anxiety disorder falls within other categories (Barlow, 2002). Major events quickly become the focus of anxiety and worry, too. Adults typically focus on possible misfortune to their children, family health, job responsibilities, and more minor things such as household chores or being on time for appointments. Children with GAD most often worry about competence in academic, athletic, or social performance, as well as family issues (Albano & Hack, 2004; Furr, Tiwari, Suveg, & Kendall, 2009; Weems, Silverman, & La Greca, 2000). Older adults tend to focus, understandably, on health (Wetherell et al., 2010; Beck & Averill, 2004; Person & Borkovec, 1995); they also have difficulty sleeping, which seems to make the anxiety worse (Beck & Stanley, 1997; Brenes, Miller, Stanley, Williamson, Knudson, & McCall, 2009).

Statistics

Although worry and physical tension are common, the severe generalized anxiety experienced by Irene is quite rare. Approximately 3.1% of the population meets criteria for GAD during a given

DSM
5

TABLE 5.2

Diagnostic Criteria for Generalized Anxiety Disorder

- A.** Excessive anxiety and worry (apprehensive expectation), occurring more days than not for at least 6 months about a number of events or activities (such as work or school performance).
- B.** The individual finds it difficult to control the worry.
- C.** The anxiety and worry are associated with at least three (or more) of the following six symptoms (with at least some symptoms present for more days than not for the past 6 months)
[Note: Only one item is required in children]:
 - 1.** Restlessness or feeling keyed up or on edge
 - 2.** Being easily fatigued
 - 3.** Difficulty concentrating or mind going blank
 - 4.** Irritability
 - 5.** Muscle tension
 - 6.** Sleep disturbance (difficulty falling or staying asleep or restless, unsatisfying sleep)
- D.** The anxiety, worry or physical symptoms cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.
- E.** The disturbance is not due to the direct physiological effects of a substance (e.g., a drug of abuse, a medication) or a general medical condition (e.g., hyperthyroidism).
- F.** The disturbance is not better explained by another mental disorder (e.g., anxiety or worry about having panic attacks in panic disorder, negative evaluation in social anxiety disorder).

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

1-year period (Kessler, Chiu, Demler, & Walters, 2005) and 5.7% at some point during their lifetime (Kessler, Berglund, Demler, Jin, & Walters, 2005). For adolescents only (ages 13–17), the one-year prevalence is somewhat lower at 1.1% (Kessler et al., 2012). This is still quite a large number, making GAD one of the most common anxiety disorders. Similar rates are reported from around the world, for example, from rural South Africa (Bhagwanjee, Parekh, Paruk, Petersen, & Subedar, 1998). Relatively few people with GAD come for treatment, however, compared with patients with panic disorder. Anxiety clinics like ours report that only approximately 10% of their patients meet criteria for GAD compared with 30% to 50% for panic disorder. This may be because most patients with GAD seek help from their primary care doctors, where they are found in large numbers (Roy-Byrne & Katon, 2000; Wittchen, 2002).

About twice as many individuals with GAD are female than male in epidemiological studies (where individuals with GAD are identified from population surveys), which include people who do not necessarily seek treatment (Grant et al., 2005). But this sex ratio may be specific to developed countries. In the South African study mentioned here, GAD was more common in males. In the United States, the prevalence of the disorder is significantly lower among Asian, Hispanic, and Black adults compared to Whites (Grant et al., 2005).

Some people with GAD report onset in early adulthood, usually in response to a life stressor. Nevertheless, most studies find that GAD is associated with an earlier and more gradual onset than most other anxiety disorders (Barlow, 2002; Brown et al., 1994; Beesdo, Pine, Lieb, & Wittchen, 2010; Sanderson & Barlow, 1990). The median age of onset based on interviews is 31 (Kessler, Berglund, Demler, Jin, & Walters, 2005), but like Irene, many people have felt anxious and tense all their lives. Once it develops, GAD is chronic. One study found only an 8% probability of becoming symptom free after 2 years of follow-up (Yonkers et al., 1996). Bruce and colleagues (2005) reported that 12 years after the beginning of an episode of GAD, there was only a 58% chance of recovering. But 45% of those individuals who recovered were likely to relapse later. This suggests that GAD, like most anxiety disorders, follows a chronic course, characterized by waxing and waning of symptoms.

GAD is prevalent among older adults. In the large national comorbidity study and its replication, GAD was found to be most common in the group over 45 years of age and least common in the youngest group, ages 15 to 24 (Wittchen, Zhao, Kessler, & Eaton, 1994; Byers, Yaffe, Covinsky, Friedman, & Bruce, 2010); reported prevalence rates of GAD in older adults were as high as 10%. We also know that the use of minor tranquilizers in the elderly is high. For example, in 2008 5.2% of adults in the United States used benzodiazepines, and the percentage increased with age (Olfson, King, & Schoenbaum, 2015). The study showed that only 2.6% of the 18 to 35 year olds, but 8.7% of the 65 to 80 year olds filled at least one prescription of benzodiazepines during the year. It is not entirely clear why drugs are prescribed with such frequency for the elderly. One possibility is that the drugs may not be entirely intended for anxiety. Prescribed drugs may be primarily for sleeping problems or other secondary effects of medical illnesses. In any case, benzodiazepines (minor tranquilizers) interfere



© berna namoglu/Shutterstock.com

Approximately one in ten older adults suffers from generalized anxiety disorder.

with cognitive function and put the elderly at greater risks for falling down and breaking bones, particularly their hips (Barlow, 2002). Major difficulties that hamper the investigation of anxiety in the elderly include the lack of good assessment instruments and treatment studies, largely because of insufficient research interest (Ayers, Thorp, & Wetherell, 2009; Beck & Stanley, 1997; Campbell-Sills & Brown, 2010).

In a classic study, Rodin and Langer (1977) demonstrated that older adults may be particularly susceptible to anxiety about failing health or other life situations that begin to diminish whatever control they retain over events in their lives. This increasing lack of control, failing health, and gradual loss of meaningful functions may be a particularly unfortunate by-product of the way the elderly are treated in Western culture. The result is substantial impairment in quality of life in older adults with GAD (Wetherell et al., 2004). If it were possible to change our attitudes and behavior, we might well reduce the frequency of anxiety, depression, and early death among elderly people.

Causes

What causes GAD? We have learned a great deal in the past several years. As with most anxiety disorders, there seems to be a generalized biological vulnerability. This is reflected in studies examining a genetic contribution to GAD, although Kendler and colleagues (1995; Hettema, Neale, & Kendler, 2001; Hettema, Prescott, Myers, Neale, & Kendler, 2005) confirmed that what seems to be inherited is the tendency to become anxious rather than GAD itself. In support of this finding, heritability has been found for a particular trait, called *anxiety sensitivity*, which is the tendency to become distressed in response to arousal related sensations, arising from beliefs that these anxiety-related sensations have harmful consequences (Davies, Verdi, Burri, Trzaskowski, Lee, Hettema, Jansen, Boomsma, & Spector, 2015).

For a long time, GAD has posed a real puzzle to investigators. Although the definition of the disorder is relatively new, originating in 1980 with *DSM-III*, clinicians and psychopathologists were working with people with generalized anxiety long

before diagnostic systems were developed. For years, clinicians thought that people who were generally anxious had simply not focused their anxiety on anything specific. Thus, such anxiety was described as “free floating.” But now scientists have looked more closely and have discovered some interesting distinctions from other anxiety disorders.

The first hints of difference were found in the physiological responsiveness of individuals with GAD. It is interesting that individuals with GAD do not respond as strongly to stressors as individuals with anxiety disorders in which panic is more prominent. Several studies have found that individuals with GAD show *less responsiveness* on most physiological measures, such as heart rate, blood pressure, skin conductance, and respiration rate (Borkovec & Hu, 1990; Roemer & Orsillo, 2013), than do individuals with other anxiety disorders. Moreover, GAD patients often show a comparatively low *cardiac vagal tone* (the vagus nerve is the largest parasympathetic nerve innervating the heart and decreasing its activity), leading to *autonomic inflexibility*, because the heart is less responsive to certain tasks (Hofmann, Moscovitch, Litz, Kim, Davis, & Pizzagalli, 2005). Therefore, people with GAD have been called *autonomic restrictors* (Barlow, Chorpita, & Turovsky, 1996; Thayer, Friedman, & Borkovec, 1996).

When individuals with GAD are compared with nonanxious “normal” participants, the one physiological measure that consistently distinguishes the anxious group is muscle tension—people with GAD are chronically tense (Andrews et al., 2010; Marten, Brown, Borkovec, Shear, & Lydiard, 1993). To understand this phenomenon of chronic muscle tension, we may have to know what’s going on in the minds of people with GAD. With new methods from cognitive science, we are beginning to uncover the sometimes-unconscious mental processes ongoing in GAD (Teachman, Joormann, Steinman, & Gotlib, 2012).

The evidence indicates that individuals with GAD are highly sensitive to threat in general, particularly to a threat that has personal relevance. That is, they allocate their attention more readily to sources of threat than do people who are not anxious (Aikins & Craske, 2001; Roemer & Orsillo, 2013; Bradley, Mogg, White, Groom, & de Bono, 1999). This high sensitivity may have arisen in early stressful experiences where they learned that the world is dangerous and out of control, and they might not be able to cope (generalized psychological vulnerability). Furthermore, this acute awareness of potential threat, particularly if it is personal, seems to be entirely automatic or unconscious. Using the Stroop color-naming task described in Chapter 2, MacLeod and Mathews (1991) presented threatening words on a screen for only 20 milliseconds and still found that individuals with GAD were slower to name the colors of the words than were nonanxious individuals. Remember that in this task, words in colored letters are presented briefly and participants are asked to name the *color* rather than the word. The fact that the colors of threatening words were named more slowly suggests the *words* were more relevant to people with GAD, which interfered with their naming the color—even though the words were not present long enough for the individuals to be conscious of them. Investigators using other paradigms and in different anxiety populations have come to similar conclusions (Bar-Haim, Larry, Pergamin, Bakermans-Kranenburg, Van IJzendoorn, 2007; Sheppes, Luria, Fukuda, & Gross, 2013).

How do mental processes link up with the tendency of individuals with GAD to be autonomic restrictors? Tom Borkovec and his colleagues noticed that although the peripheral autonomic arousal of individuals with GAD is restricted, they showed intense cognitive processing in the frontal lobes as indicated by EEG activity, particularly in the left hemisphere. This finding would suggest frantic, intense thought processes or worry without accompanying images (which would be reflected by activity in the right hemisphere of the brain rather than the left) (Borkovec, Alcaine, & Behar, 2004). Borkovec suggests that this kind of worry may be what causes these individuals to be autonomic restrictors (Borkovec, Shadick, & Hopkins, 1991; Roemer & Orsillo, 2013). That is, they are thinking so hard about upcoming problems that they don’t have the attentional capacity left for the all-important process of creating images of the potential threat, images that would elicit more substantial negative affect and autonomic activity. In other words, they *avoid* images associated with the threat (Borkovec et al., 2004; Fisher & Wells, 2009). But from the point of view of therapy, it is important to “process” the images and negative affect associated with anxiety (Craske & Barlow, 2006; Zinbarg, Craske, & Barlow, 2006). Because people with GAD do not seem to engage in this process, they may avoid much of the unpleasantness and pain associated with the negative affect and imagery, but they are never able to work through their problems and arrive at solutions. Therefore, they become chronic worriers, with accompanying autonomic inflexibility and quite severe muscle tension. Thus, intense worrying for an individual with GAD may act as avoidance does for people with phobias. It prevents the person from facing the feared or threatening situation, so adaptation never occurs. This is one major deficit in the way people with GAD attempt to regulate their intense anxiety (Etkin & Schatzberg, 2011). In summary, some people inherit a tendency to be tense (generalized biological vulnerability), and they develop a sense early on that important events in their lives may be uncontrollable and potentially dangerous (generalized psychological vulnerability). Significant stress makes them apprehensive and vigilant. This sets off intense worry with resulting physiological changes, leading to GAD (Roemer, Orsillo, & Barlow, 2002; Turovsky & Barlow, 1996). Time will tell if the current model is correct, although there is much supporting data (Borkovec, Alcaine, & Behar, 2004; Mineka & Zinbarg, 2006). In any case, it is consistent with our view of anxiety as a future-oriented mood state focused on potential danger or threat, as opposed to an emergency or alarm reaction to actual present danger. A model of the development of GAD is presented in ● Figure 5.4.

Treatment

GAD is quite common, and available treatments, both drug and psychological, are reasonably effective. Benzodiazepines are most often prescribed for generalized anxiety, and the evidence indicates that they give some relief, at least in the short term. Few studies have looked at the effects of these drugs for a period longer than 8 weeks (Mathew & Hoffman, 2009). But the therapeutic effect is relatively modest. Furthermore, benzodiazepines carry some risks. First, they seem to impair both cognitive and motor functioning (see, for example, Hindmarch, 1990; van Laar,




FIGURE 5.4

An integrative model of GAD.

Volkerts, & Verbaten, 2001). Specifically, people don't seem to be as alert on the job or at school when they are taking benzodiazepines. The drugs may impair driving, and in older adults they seem to be associated with falls, resulting in hip fractures (Ray, Gurwitz, Decker, & Kennedy, 1992; Wang, Bohn, Glynn, Mogun, & Avorn, 2001). More important, benzodiazepines seem to produce both psychological and physical dependence, making it difficult for people to stop taking them (Mathew & Hoffman, 2009; Noyes, Garvey, Cook, & Suelzer, 1991; Rickels, Schweizer, Case, & Greenblatt, 1990). There is reasonably wide agreement that the optimal use of benzodiazepines is for the short-term relief of anxiety associated with a temporary crisis or stressful event, such as a family problem (Craske & Barlow, 2006). Under these circumstances, a physician may prescribe a benzodiazepine until the crisis is resolved but for no more than a week or two. There is stronger evidence for the usefulness of antidepressants in the treatment of GAD, such as paroxetine (also called Paxil) (Rickels, Rynn, Ivengar, & Duff, 2006) and venlafaxine (also called Effexor) (Schatzberg, 2000). These drugs may prove to be a better choice (Brawman-Mintzer, 2001; Mathew & Hoffman, 2009).

In the short term, psychological treatments seem to confer about the same benefit as drugs in the treatment of GAD, but psychological treatments are more effective in the long term (Barlow,

Allen, & Basden, 2007; Newman et al., 2011; Roemer & Orsillo, 2013). Recent reports of innovations in brief psychological treatments are encouraging. Because we now know that individuals with GAD seem to avoid "feelings" of anxiety and the negative affect associated with threatening images, clinicians have designed treatments to help patients with GAD process the threatening information on an emotional level, using images, so that they will experience (rather than avoid feeling) the emotion associated with the images. These treatments have other components, such as teaching patients how to relax deeply to combat tension. Borkovec and his colleagues found such a treatment to be significantly better than a placebo psychological treatment, not only at posttreatment but also at a 1-year follow-up (Borkovec & Costello, 1993).

In the early 1990s, we developed a cognitive-behavioral treatment (CBT) for GAD in which patients evoke the worry process during therapy sessions and confront threatening images and thoughts head-on. The patient learns to use cognitive therapy and other coping techniques to counteract and control the worry process (Craske & Barlow, 2006; Wetherell, Gatz, & Craske, 2003). In a major study, a brief adaptation of this treatment was also used successfully to decrease anxiety and improve quality of life in a primary care office (family doctors and nurses) where GAD is a frequent complaint (Rollman et al., 2005). Cuijpers and colleagues (Cuijpers, Sijbrandti, Koole Huibers, Berking, & Andersson, 2014) recently reviewed 41 studies with 2,132 patients meeting criteria for GAD and found large treatment effects of psychotherapy, which primarily consisted of CBT, as compared to control conditions, which were primarily waitlist groups.

Despite this success, it is clear we need more powerful treatments, both drug and psychological, for this chronic, treatment-resistant condition. Recently, a new psychological treatment for GAD has been developed that incorporates procedures focusing on acceptance rather than avoidance of distressing thoughts and feelings in addition to cognitive therapy. Meditative and mindfulness-based approaches help teach the patient to be more tolerant of these feelings (Hofmann, Sawyer, Witt, & Oh, 2010; Khouri et al., 2013; Orsillo & Roemer, 2011; Roemer & Orsillo, 2009; Roemer et al., 2002). Results from a clinical trial reported some of the highest success rates yet to appear in the literature (Hayes-Skelton, Roemer, & Orsillo, 2013).

There is particularly encouraging evidence that psychological treatments are effective with children who suffer from generalized anxiety (Albano & Hack, 2004; Furr et al., 2009). In a major clinical trial with 488 children ages 7 to 17 years, CBT and the antidepressant drug sertraline (Zoloft) were equally effective immediately following treatment compared with taking placebo pills for children with GAD and other related disorders, but the combination of CBT and sertraline was even better, with 80% showing substantial improvement versus 24% on placebo (Walkup et al., 2008). Follow-up analyses showed that more severe and impairing anxiety, greater caregiver strain, and a principal diagnosis of social anxiety disorder were associated with less favorable outcomes (Compton et al. 2014). Also, mindfulness based therapies for GAD are now being adapted and tested with youth with some indications of success (Semple & Burke, 2012). Similarly,

progress is also being made in adapting psychological treatments for older adults, as important studies show (Beck & Stanley, 1997; Stanley et al., 2003; Wetherell, Lenze, & Stanley, 2005). One large clinical trial demonstrated very clearly the efficiency of this treatment for adults over 60 compared to the usual care they received (Stanley et al., 2009).

Other promising new strategies are to train patients in increasing their tolerance to uncertainty about the future (Dugas, Schwartz, & Francis, 2012) and changing their beliefs about worrying (Wells, Welford, King, Papageorgiou, Wisely, & Mendel, 2010), because oftentimes patients feel a strong need to control the future and hold maladaptive beliefs about worrying, which has been referred to as *meta-cognitions* (cognitions [beliefs] about cognitions [worrying]).

After trying a number of different drugs, Irene was treated with the CBT approach developed at our clinic and found herself more able to cope with life. She completed college and graduate school, married, and is successful in her career as a counselor in a nursing home. But even now, Irene finds it difficult to relax and stop worrying. She continues to experience mild to moderate anxiety, particularly when under stress; she occasionally takes minor tranquilizers to support her psychological coping skills.

panic attacks; they may think they're dying or otherwise losing control. In many cases, but not all, PD is accompanied by a closely related disorder called **agoraphobia**, which is fear and avoidance of situations in which a person feels unsafe or unable to escape to get home or to a hospital in the event of a developing panic, panic-like symptoms, or other physical symptoms, such as loss of bladder control. People develop agoraphobia because they never know when these symptoms might occur. In severe cases, people with agoraphobia are unable to leave the house, sometimes for years on end, as in the example of Mrs. M.

Mrs. M... Self-Imprisoned

Mrs. M. was 67 years old and lived in a second-floor walk-up apartment in a lower-middle-class section of the city. Her adult daughter, one of her few remaining contacts with the world, had requested an evaluation with Mrs. M.'s consent. I rang the bell and entered a narrow hallway; Mrs. M. was nowhere in sight. Knowing that she lived on the second floor, I walked up the stairs and knocked on the door at the top. When I heard Mrs. M. ask me to come in, I opened the door. She was sitting in her living room, and I could quickly see the layout of the rest of the apartment. The living room was in the front; the kitchen was in the back, adjoining a porch. To the right of the stairs was the one bedroom, with a bathroom opening from it.

Mrs. M. was glad to see me and seemed very friendly, offering me coffee and homemade cookies. I was the first person she had seen in 3 weeks. Mrs. M. had not left that apartment in 20 years, and she had suffered from panic disorder and agoraphobia for more than 30 years.

As she told her story, Mrs. M. conveyed vivid images of a wasted life. And yet she continued to struggle in the face of adversity and to make the best she could of her limited existence. Even areas in her apartment signaled the potential for terrifying panic attacks. She had not answered the door herself for the past 15 years because she was afraid to look into the hallway. She could enter her kitchen and go into the areas containing the stove and refrigerator, but for the past 10 years she had not been to the part of the room that overlooked the backyard or out onto the back porch. Thus, her life for the past decade had been confined to her bedroom, her living room, and the front half of her kitchen. She relied on her adult daughter to bring groceries and visit once a week. Her only other visitor was the parish priest, who came to deliver communion every 2 to 3 weeks when he could. Her only other contact with the outside world was through the television and the radio. Her husband, who had abused both alcohol and Mrs. M., had died 10 years earlier of alcohol-related causes. Early in her stressful marriage, she had her first terrifying panic attack and had gradually withdrawn from the world. As long as she stayed in her apartment, she was relatively free of panic. Therefore, and because in her mind there were few reasons left near the end of her life to venture out, she declined treatment. •

Panic Disorder and Agoraphobia

Have you had a relative—an eccentric great-aunt, for example—who never seemed to leave the house? Family reunions or visits always had to be at her house; she never went anywhere else. Most people attributed their old aunt's behavior to her being a little odd or perhaps just not fond of travel. She was warm and friendly when people came to visit, so she retained contact with the family.

Your aunt may not have been just odd or eccentric. She may have suffered from debilitating anxiety disorder called **panic disorder (PD)**, in which individuals experience severe, unexpected

Clinical Description

In *DSM-IV*, panic disorder and agoraphobia were integrated into one disorder called panic disorder with agoraphobia, but investigators discovered that many people experienced panic disorder without developing agoraphobia and some people develop agoraphobia in the absence of panic disorder (Wittchen, Gloster, Beesdo-Baum, Fava, & Craske, 2010). Often, however, they go together, so we discuss both disorders in this section.

At the beginning of the chapter, we talked about the related phenomena of anxiety and panic. In PD, anxiety and panic are combined in an intricate relationship that can become as devastating as it was for Mrs. M. Many people who have panic attacks do not necessarily develop panic disorder. To meet criteria for panic disorder, a person must experience an unexpected panic attack *and* develop substantial anxiety over the possibility of having another attack or about the implications of the attack or its consequences. In other words, the person must think that each attack is a sign of impending death or incapacitation. A few individuals do not report concern about another attack but still change their behavior in a way that indicates the distress the attacks cause them. They may avoid going to certain places or neglect their duties around the house for fear an attack might occur if they are too active.

The term *agoraphobia* was coined in 1871 by Karl Westphal, a German physician, and, in the original Greek, refers to fear of the marketplace. This is an appropriate term because the *agora*, the Greek marketplace, was a busy, bustling area. One of the most stressful places for individuals with agoraphobia today is the shopping mall, the modern-day *agora*.

Most agoraphobic avoidance behavior is simply a complication of severe, unexpected panic attacks (Barlow, 2002; Craske & Barlow, 1988; Craske & Barlow, 2014). Simply put, if you have had unexpected panic attacks and are afraid you may have another one, you want to be in a safe place or at least with a safe person who knows what you are experiencing if another attack occurs so that you can quickly get to a hospital or at least go into your bedroom and lie down (the home is usually a safe place). We know that anxiety is diminished for individuals with agoraphobia if they think a location or person is “safe,” even if there is nothing effective the person could do if something bad did happen to the patient. For these reasons, when they do venture outside their homes, people with agoraphobia always plan for rapid escape (for example, by sitting near the door). A list of typical situations commonly avoided by someone with agoraphobia is found in Table 5.1.

Even if agoraphobic behavior is closely tied to the occasions of panic initially, it can become relatively independent of panic attacks (Craske & Barlow, 1988; White & Barlow, 2002). In other words, an individual who has not had a panic attack for years may still have strong agoraphobic avoidance, like Mrs. M. Agoraphobic avoidance seems to be determined for the most part by the extent to which you think or expect you might have another attack rather than by how many attacks you actually have or how severe they are. Thus, agoraphobic avoidance is simply one way of coping with unexpected panic attacks.

TABLE 5.1 Typical Situations Avoided by People with Agoraphobia

Shopping malls	Being far from home
Cars (as driver or passenger)	Staying at home alone
Buses	Waiting in line
Trains	Supermarkets
Subways	Stores
Wide streets	Crowds
Tunnels	Planes
Restaurants	Elevators
Theaters	Escalators

Source: Adapted, with permission, from Barlow, D. H., & Craske, M. G. (2007). *Mastery of your anxiety and panic* (4th ed., p. 5). New York: Oxford University Press.

Other methods of coping with panic attacks include using (and sometimes abusing) drugs and/or alcohol. Some individuals do not avoid agoraphobic situations but endure them with “intense dread.” For example, people who must go to work each day or, perhaps, travel as part of the job will suffer untold agonies of anxiety and panic simply to achieve their goals. Thus, *DSM-5* notes that agoraphobia may be characterized either by avoiding the situations or by enduring them with intense fear and anxiety. As noted above, epidemiological surveys have identified a group of people who seem to have agoraphobia without ever having a panic attack or any fearful spells whatsoever. In fact, approximately 50% of individuals with agoraphobia identified in population surveys fit this description, although it is relatively rare to see these cases in the clinic (Wittchen et al., 2010). These individuals may have other distressing unpredictable experiences such as dizzy spells, possible loss of bladder or bowel control such that they can never be far from a bathroom, or fear of falling (particularly in the elderly) any of which might be embarrassing or dangerous if away from a safe place or without the presence of a safe person. Most patients with panic disorder and agoraphobic avoidance also display another cluster of avoidant behaviors that we call *interoceptive avoidance*, or avoidance of internal physical sensations (Brown, White, & Barlow, 2005; Craske & Barlow, 2014; Shear et al., 1997). These behaviors involve removing oneself from situations or activities that might produce the physiological arousal that somehow resembles the beginnings of a panic attack. Some patients might avoid exercise because it produces increased cardiovascular activity or faster respiration, which reminds them of panic attacks and makes them think one might be beginning. Other patients might avoid sauna baths or any rooms in which they might perspire. Psychopathologists are beginning to recognize that this cluster of avoidance behaviors is every bit as important as more classical agoraphobic avoidance. A list of situations or activities typically avoided within the interoceptive cluster is found in Table 5.2.

Statistics

PD is fairly common. Approximately 2.7% of the population meet criteria for PD during a given 1-year period (Kessler, Chiu, et al.,

TABLE 5.2

Interoceptive Daily Activities Typically Avoided by People with Agoraphobia

Running up flights of stairs	Getting involved in “heated” debates
Walking outside in intense heat	
Having showers with the doors and windows closed	Hot, stuffy rooms Hot, stuffy cars
Hot, stuffy stores or shopping malls	Having a sauna Hiking
Walking outside in very cold weather	Sports
Aerobics	Drinking coffee or any caffeinated beverages
Lifting heavy objects	Sexual relations
Dancing	Watching horror movies
Eating chocolate	Eating heavy meals
Standing quickly from a sitting position	Getting angry
Watching exciting movies or sports events	

Source: Adapted, with permission, from Barlow, D. H., & Craske, M. G. (2007). *Mastery of your anxiety and panic* (4th ed., p. 11). New York: Oxford University Press.

2005; Kessler, Chiu, Jin, et al., 2006) and 4.7% met them at some point during their lives, two-thirds of them women (Eaton, Kessler, Wittchen, & Magee, 1994; Kessler, Berglund, Demler, Jin, Merikangas, & Walters, 2005). Another smaller group (1.4% at some point during their lives) develops agoraphobia without ever having a full-blown panic attack.

Onset of panic disorder usually occurs in early adult life—from midteens through about 40 years of age. The median age of onset is between 20 and 24 (Kessler, Berglund, Demler, Jin, & Walters, 2005). Prepubescent children have been known to experience unexpected panic attacks and occasionally panic disorder, although this is quite rare (Albano, Chorpita, & Barlow, 1996; Kearney, Albano, Eisen, Allan, & Barlow, 1997). Most initial unexpected panic attacks begin at or after puberty. Furthermore, many prepubertal children who are seen by general medical practitioners have symptoms of hyperventilation that may well be panic attacks. These children do not report fear of dying or losing control, however, perhaps because they are not at a stage of their cognitive development where they can make these attributions (Nelles & Barlow, 1988).

Important work on anxiety in the elderly suggests that health and vitality are the primary focus of anxiety in the elderly population (Mohlman et al., 2012; Wolitzky-Taylor, Castriotta, Lenze, Stanley, & Craske, 2010). In general, the prevalence of PD or comorbid panic disorder and agoraphobia decreases among the elderly, from 5.7% at ages 30–44 to 2.0% or less after age 60 (Kessler, Berglund, Demler, Jin, Merikangas, & Walters, 2005).

As we have said, most (75% or more) of those who suffer from agoraphobia are women (Barlow, 2002; Myers et al., 1984; Thorpe & Burns, 1983). For a long time, we didn't know why, but now

it seems the most logical explanation is cultural (Arrindell et al., 2003a; Wolitzky-Taylor et al., 2010). It is more accepted for women to report fear and to avoid numerous situations. Men, however, are expected to be stronger and braver, to “tough it out.” The higher the severity of agoraphobic avoidance, the greater the proportion of women. For example, in our clinic, out of a group of patients suffering from panic disorder with mild agoraphobia, 72% were women. If the agoraphobia was moderate, however, the percentage was 81%. Similarly, if agoraphobia was severe, the percentage was 89%.

What happens to men who have severe unexpected panic attacks? Is cultural disapproval of fear in men so strong that most of them simply endure panic? The answer seems to be “no.” A large proportion of males with unexpected panic attacks cope in a culturally acceptable way: They consume large amounts of alcohol. This can establish a close link between substance use and anxiety, as shown in a large survey study (Grant, Stinson, et al., 2004).

The problem is that people then become dependent on alcohol, and many begin the long downward spiral into serious addiction. Thus, males may end up with an even more severe problem. Because these men are so impaired by alcohol abuse, clinicians may not realize they also have panic disorder and agoraphobia. Furthermore, even if they are successfully treated for their addiction, the anxiety disorder will require treatment (McHugh, 2015).

Cultural Influences

Panic disorder exists worldwide, although its expression may vary from place to place. Prevalence rates for panic disorder show some degree of cross-cultural variability with Asian and African countries usually showing the lowest rates. These findings mirror cross-ethnic comparisons within the United States, with Asian Americans showing the lowest, and White Americans showing the highest prevalence rates (Asnaani, Gutner, Hinton, & Hofmann, 2009; Lewis-Fernandez et al., 2010; Hofmann & Hinton, 2014). Furthermore, rates of recovery from panic disorder is lower among African Americans as compared to non-Latino White individuals (Sibrava et al., 2013).

In addition to differences in prevalence rates and chronicity, cross-cultural studies have also identified interesting differences in the expression of the anxiety. In Chapter 2, we described a fright disorder in Latin America that is called *susto*, a disorder that is



Courtesy of Michelle Craske

Michelle Craske demonstrated that agoraphobic avoidance is simply one way of coping with panic. She and Ron Rapee, working with David H. Barlow, also developed an effective psychological treatment for panic disorder.

TABLE 5.3

Diagnostic Criteria for Panic Disorder

- A.** Recurrent unexpected panic attacks are present.
- B.** At least one of the attacks has been followed by 1 month or more of one or both of the following: (a) Persistent concern or worry about additional panic attacks or their consequences (e.g., losing control, having a heart attack, "going crazy"), or (b) A significant maladaptive change in behavior related to the attacks (e.g., behaviors designed to avoid having panic attacks, such as avoidance of exercise or unfamiliar situations).
- C.** The disturbance is not attributable to the physiological effects of a substance (e.g., a drug of abuse, a medication) or another medical condition (e.g., hyperthyroidism, cardiopulmonary disorders).
- D.** The disturbance is not better explained by another mental disorder (e.g., the panic attacks do not occur only in response to feared social situations, as in social anxiety disorder).

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

characterized by sweating, increased heart rate, and insomnia but not by reports of anxiety or fear, even though a severe fright is the cause. An anxiety-related, culturally defined syndrome prominent among Hispanic Americans, particularly those from the Caribbean, is called *ataques de nervios* (Hinton, Chong, Pollack, Barlow, & McNally, 2008; Hinton, Lewis-Fernández, & Pollack, 2009). The symptoms of an *ataque* seem quite similar to those of a panic attack, although such manifestations as shouting uncontrollably or bursting into tears may be associated more often with *ataque* than with panic.

Finally, Devon Hinton, a psychiatrist/anthropologist, and his colleagues have described a fascinating manifestation of panic disorder among Khmer (Cambodian) and Vietnamese refugees in the United States. Both of these groups seem to suffer from a high rate of panic disorder. But a substantial number of these panic attacks are associated with orthostatic dizziness (dizziness from standing up quickly) and "sore neck." What Hinton's group discovered is that the Khmer concept of *kyol goeu* or "wind overload" (too much wind or gas in the body, which may cause blood vessels to burst) becomes the focus of catastrophic thinking during panic attacks (Hinton & Good, 2009; Hinton, Pollack, Pich, Fama, & Barlow, 2005; Hinton, Hofmann, Pitman, Pollack, & Barlow, 2008).

Nocturnal Panic

Think back to the case of Gretchen, whose panic attack was described earlier. Is there anything unusual about her report? She was sound asleep when it happened. Approximately 60% of the people with panic disorder have experienced such nocturnal attacks (Craske & Rowe, 1997; Uhde, 1994). In fact, panic attacks occur more often between 1:30 A.M. and 3:30 A.M. than any other time. In some cases, people are afraid to go to sleep at night. What's happening to them? Are they having nightmares? Research indicates they are not. Nocturnal attacks are studied

TABLE 5.4

Diagnostic Criteria for Agoraphobia

- A.** Marked fear or anxiety about two or more of the following five situations: Public transportation, open spaces, enclosed places, standing in line or being in a crowd, being outside the home alone.
- B.** The individual fears or avoids these situations due to thoughts that escape might be difficult or help might not be available in the event of developing panic-like symptoms or other incapacitating or embarrassing symptoms (e.g., fear of falling in the elderly, fear of incontinence).
- C.** The agoraphobic situations almost always provoke fear or anxiety.
- D.** The agoraphobic situations are actively avoided, require the presence of a companion, or are endured with intense fear or anxiety.
- E.** The fear or anxiety is out of proportion to the actual danger posed by the agoraphobic situations, and to the sociocultural context.
- F.** The fear, anxiety or avoidance is persistent, typically lasting for 6 months or more.
- G.** The fear, anxiety or avoidance causes clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational or other important areas of functioning.
- H.** If another medical condition (e.g., inflammatory bowel disease, Parkinson's disease) is present, the fear, anxiety or avoidance is clearly excessive.
- I.** The fear, anxiety or avoidance is not better explained by the symptoms of another mental disorder, e.g., the symptoms are not confined to specific phobia, situational type; do not involve only social situations (as in social anxiety disorder) and are not related exclusively to obsessions (as in obsessive-compulsive disorder), perceived deficits or flaws in physical appearance (as in body dysmorphic disorder), reminders of traumatic events (as in posttraumatic stress disorder), or fear of separation (as in separation anxiety disorder).

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

in a sleep laboratory. Patients spend a few nights sleeping while attached to an electroencephalograph machine that monitors their brain waves (see Chapter 3). We all go through various stages of sleep that are reflected by different patterns on the electroencephalogram. (Stages of sleep are discussed fully in Chapter 8.) We have learned that nocturnal panic attacks occur during delta wave or slow wave sleep, which typically occurs several hours after we fall asleep and is the deepest stage of sleep. People with panic disorder often begin to panic when they start sinking into delta sleep, and then they awaken amid an attack. Because there is no obvious reason for them to be anxious or panicky when they are sound asleep, most of these individuals think they are dying (Craske & Barlow, 1988; Craske & Barlow, 2014).

What causes nocturnal panic? Currently, our best information is that the change in stages of sleep to slow wave sleep produces physical sensations of "letting go" that are frightening to an

individual with panic disorder (Craske, Lang, Mystkowski, Zucker, & Bystritsky, 2002). This process is described more fully later when we discuss causes of panic disorder. Several other events also occur during sleep that resemble nocturnal panic and are mistakenly thought by some to be the cause of nocturnal panic. Initially, these events were thought to be nightmares, but nightmares and other dreamlike activity occur only during a stage of sleep characterized by rapid eye movement (REM) sleep, which typically occurs much later in the sleep cycle. Therefore, people are not dreaming when they have nocturnal panics, a conclusion consistent with patient reports. Some therapists are not aware of the stage of sleep associated with nocturnal panic attacks and so assume that patients are “repressing” their dream material, perhaps because it might relate to an early trauma too painful to be admitted to consciousness. As we’ve seen, this is virtually impossible, because nocturnal panic attacks do not occur during REM sleep, so there is no well-developed dream or nightmare activity going on when they happen. Thus, it is not possible for these patients to be dreaming anything.

Some therapists assume that patients with nocturnal panic might have a breathing disorder called *sleep apnea*, an interruption of breathing during sleep that may feel like suffocation. This condition is often found in people who are substantially overweight. But sleep apnea has a cycle of awakening and falling back to sleep that is not characteristic of nocturnal panics.

A related phenomenon occurring in children is called *sleep terrors*, which we describe in more detail in Chapter 8 (Durand, 2006). Often children awaken imagining that something is chasing them around the room. It is common for them to scream and get out of bed as if something were after them. However, they do not wake up and have no memory of the event in the morning. In contrast, individuals experiencing nocturnal panic attacks do wake up and later remember the event clearly. Sleep terrors also tend to occur at a later stage of sleep (stage 4 sleep), a stage associated with sleepwalking.

Finally, there is a fascinating condition called *isolated sleep paralysis* that seems culturally determined. Have you ever heard the expression “the witch is riding you”? If you’re white, you probably haven’t, but if you’re African American, chances are you at least know somebody who has had this frightening experience, because it seems to be more common in this ethnic group in the United States (Bell, Dixie-Bell, & Thompson, 1986; Neal-Barnett & Smith, 1997; Ramsawh, Raffa, White, & Barlow, 2008). Isolated sleep paralysis occurs during the transitional state between sleep and waking, when a person is either falling asleep or waking up, but mostly when waking up. During this period, the individual is unable to move and experiences a surge of terror that resembles a panic attack; occasionally, there are also vivid hallucinations. One possible explanation is that REM sleep is spilling over into the waking cycle. This seems likely because one feature of REM sleep is lack of bodily movement. Another is vivid dreams, which could account for the experience of hallucination. Interestingly, rates of sleep paralysis vary a lot by ethnic groups and samples. Sharpless and Barber (2011) showed that rates of sleep paralysis is highest among African American samples in the general population (40.2%) and psychiatric samples (44.3%), and also among Asian American student samples (39.9%). In contrast, the rate in the

general population across all groups is 7.6%. Ramsawh and colleagues (2008) also reported that African Americans with isolated sleep paralysis had a history of trauma and more frequent diagnoses of panic disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder than African Americans without isolated sleep paralysis. Even more interesting is that the disorder does not seem to occur in Nigerian blacks. The prevalence in Nigerian blacks is about the same as it is in American whites. The reasons for this distribution are not clear, although all factors point to a cultural explanation.

Causes

It is not possible to understand panic disorder without referring to the triad of contributing factors mentioned throughout this book: biological, psychological, and social. Strong evidence indicates that agoraphobia often develops after a person has unexpected panic attacks (or panic-like sensations), but whether agoraphobia develops and how severe it becomes seem to be socially and culturally determined, as we noted earlier. Panic attacks and panic disorder, however, seem to be related most strongly to biological and psychological factors and their interaction.

At the beginning of the chapter, we discussed the triple vulnerability model of how biological, psychological, and social factors may contribute to the development and maintenance of anxiety and to an initial unexpected panic attack (Bouton et al., 2001; Suárez et al., 2009; White & Barlow, 2002) (see ● Figure 5.3). As noted earlier, we all inherit—some more than others—a vulnerability to stress, which is a tendency to be generally neurobiologically overreactive to the events of daily life (generalized biological vulnerability). But some people are also more likely than others to have an emergency alarm reaction (unexpected panic attack) when confronted with stress-producing events. These may include stress on the job or at school, death of a loved one, divorce, and positive events that are nevertheless stressful, such as graduating from school and starting a new career, getting married, or changing jobs. (Remember that other people might be more likely to have headaches or high blood pressure in response to the same kinds of stress.) Particular situations quickly become associated in an individual’s mind with external and internal cues that were present during the panic attack (Bouton et al., 2001). The next time the person’s heart rate increases during exercise, she might assume she is having a panic attack (conditioning). Harmless exercise is an example of an internal cue or a conditioned stimulus for a panic attack. Being in a movie theater when panic first occurred would be an external cue that might become a conditioned stimulus for future panics. Because these cues become associated with a number of different internal and external stimuli through a learning process, we call them *learned alarms*.

But none of this would make much difference without the next step. Why would some people think something terrible is going to happen when they have an attack but others wouldn’t? In an important study, young women at risk for developing anxiety disorders were followed prospectively for several years. Those women who had a history of various physical disorders and were anxious about their health tended to develop panic disorder rather than another anxiety disorder such as social anxiety disorder (Rudaz, Craske, Becker, Ledermann, & Margraf, 2010). Thus, these women


may have learned in childhood that unexpected bodily sensations may be dangerous—whereas other people experiencing panic attacks do not. This tendency to believe that unexpected bodily sensations are dangerous reflects a specific psychological vulnerability to develop panic and related disorders. The causal sequence for the development of panic disorder is depicted in Figure 5.5.

Approximately 8% to 12% of the population has an occasional unexpected panic attack, often during a period of intense stress over the previous year (Kessler, Chiu, et al., 2006; Mattis & Ollendick, 2002; Norton, Harrison, Hauch, & Rhodes, 1985; Suárez et al., 2009; Telch, Lucas, & Nelson, 1989). Most of these people do not develop anxiety (Telch et al., 1989). Only approximately 5% go on to develop anxiety over future panic attacks and thereby meet the criteria for panic disorder, and these individuals are the ones who are susceptible to developing anxiety over the possibility of having another panic attack (a generalized psychological vulnerability). What happens to those individuals who don't develop anxiety? They seem to attribute the attack to events of the moment, such as an argument with a friend, something they ate, or a bad day, and go on with their lives, perhaps experiencing an occasional panic attack when they are under stress again. This was illustrated recently by the experiences of professional golfer Charlie Beljan, known to his friends as a fun-loving and free spirited guy. But in late 2012, on his way to winning his first Professional Golfers Association

(PGA) tournament, he experienced a panic attack that he thought was a heart attack. Determined to finish, and with paramedics following him in a golf cart, Beljan would stagger from shot to shot, sometimes having to sit down on the fairway. Nevertheless, he had his best round of the year and, after finishing, took an ambulance to the hospital where he was diagnosed as having a panic attack (Crouse, 2013). Reacting to the news, Bubba Watson, the Masters champion in 2012, reported that panic attacks had put him in the hospital at least three times in his career!

The influential cognitive theories of David Clark (1986, 1996) explicate in more detail some cognitive processes that may be ongoing in panic disorder. Clark emphasizes the specific psychological vulnerability of people with this disorder to interpret normal physical sensations in a catastrophic way. In other words, although we all typically experience rapid heartbeat after exercise, if you have a psychological or cognitive vulnerability, you might interpret the response as dangerous and feel a surge of anxiety. This anxiety, in turn, produces more physical sensations because of the action of the sympathetic nervous system; you perceive these additional sensations as even more dangerous, and a vicious cycle begins that results in a panic attack. Thus, Clark emphasizes the cognitive process as most important in panic disorder.

One hypothesis that panic disorder and agoraphobia evolve from psychodynamic causes suggested that early object loss and/or



● FIGURE 5.5

A model of the causes of panic disorder with or without agoraphobia. (Reprinted, with permission, from White, K. S., & Barlow, D. H. (2002). Panic disorder and agoraphobia. In D. H. Barlow, *Anxiety and its disorders: The nature and treatment of anxiety and panic*, 2nd ed. New York: Guilford Press, © 2002 by Guilford Press.)

separation anxiety might predispose someone to develop the condition as an adult. Separation anxiety is what a child might feel at the threat of separation or on actual separation from an important caregiver, such as the mother or the father. Dependent personality tendencies often characterize a person with agoraphobia. These characteristics were hypothesized as a possible reaction to early separation. Nevertheless, despite some intriguing suggestions, little evidence indicates that patients who have panic disorder or agoraphobia experienced separation anxiety during childhood more often than individuals with other psychological disorders or, for that matter, "normals" (Barlow, 2002; Thyer, 1993). It is still possible, however, that the trauma of early separation might predispose someone to psychological disorders in general. (Separation anxiety disorder is discussed below.)

Treatment

As we noted in Chapter 1, research on the effectiveness of new treatments is important to psychopathology. Responses to certain specific treatments, whether drug or psychological, may indicate the causes of the disorder. We now discuss the benefits and some drawbacks of medication, psychological interventions, and a combination of these two treatments.

Medication

A large number of drugs affecting the noradrenergic, serotonergic, or GABA–benzodiazepine neurotransmitter systems, or some combination, seem effective in treating panic disorder, including high-potency benzodiazepines, the newer selective-serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) such as Prozac and Paxil, and the closely related serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs), such as venlafaxine (Barlow, 2002; Barlow & Craske, 2013; Pollack, 2005; Pollack & Simon, 2009).

There are advantages and disadvantages to each class of drugs. SSRIs are currently the indicated drug for panic disorder based on all available evidence, although sexual dysfunction seems to occur in 75% or more of people taking these medications (Lecrubier, Bakker, Dunbar, & the Collaborative Paroxetine Panic Study Investigators, 1997; Lecrubier, Judge, & the Collaborative Paroxetine Panic Study Investigators, 1997). On the other hand, high-potency benzodiazepines such as alprazolam (Xanax), commonly used for panic disorder, work quickly but are hard to stop taking because of psychological and physical dependence and addiction. Therefore, they are not recommended as strongly as the SSRIs. Nevertheless, benzodiazepines remain the most widely used class of drugs in practice (Blanco et al., 2004) and their use continues to increase (Comer, Mojtabai, & Olfson, 2011). Also, all benzodiazepines adversely affect cognitive and motor functions to some degree. Therefore, people taking them in high doses often find their ability to drive a car or study somewhat reduced.

Approximately 60% of patients with panic disorder are free of panic as long as they stay on an effective drug (Lecrubier, Bakker, et al., 1997; Pollack & Simon, 2009), but 20% or more stop taking the drug before treatment is done (Otto, Behar, Smits, & Hofmann, 2009), and relapse rates are high (approximately 50%) once the medication is stopped (Hollon et al., 2005). The relapse

rate is closer to 90% for those who stop taking benzodiazepines (see, for example, Fyer et al., 1987).

Psychological Intervention

Psychological treatments have proved quite effective for panic disorder. Originally, such treatments concentrated on reducing agoraphobic avoidance, using strategies based on exposure to feared situations. The strategy of exposure-based treatments is to arrange conditions in which the patient can gradually face the feared situations and learn there is nothing to fear. Most patients with phobias are well aware of this rationally, but they must be convinced on an emotional level as well by "reality testing" the situation and confirming that nothing dangerous happens. Sometimes the therapist accompanies the patients on their exposure exercises. At other times, the therapist simply helps patients structure their own exercises and provides them with a variety of psychological coping mechanisms to help them complete the exercises, which are typically arranged from least to most difficult. A sample of these is listed in Table 5.3.

Gradual exposure exercises, sometimes combined with anxiety-reducing coping mechanisms such as relaxation or breathing retraining, have proved effective in helping patients overcome agoraphobic behavior whether associated with panic disorder or not (Craske & Barlow, 2014). As many as 70% of patients undergoing these treatments substantially improve as their anxiety and panic are reduced and their agoraphobic avoidance is greatly diminished. Few, however, are cured, because many still experience some anxiety and panic attacks, although at a less severe level.

Effective psychological treatments have recently been developed that treat panic disorder directly even in the absence of agoraphobia (Barlow & Craske, 2007; Clark et al., 1994; Craske & Barlow, 2014). **Panic control treatment (PCT)** developed at one of our clinics concentrates on exposing patients with panic disorder to the cluster of interoceptive (physical) sensations that remind them of their panic attacks. The therapist attempts to create "mini" panic attacks in the office by having the patients exercise to elevate their heart rates or perhaps by spinning them in a chair to make them dizzy. A variety of exercises have been developed for this purpose. Patients also receive cognitive therapy. Basic attitudes and perceptions concerning the dangerousness of the feared but objectively harmless situations are identified and modified. As discussed earlier, many of these attitudes and perceptions are beyond the patient's awareness. Uncovering these

TABLE 5.3 Situation-Exposure Tasks (From Least to Most Difficult)

Shopping in a crowded supermarket for 30 minutes alone

Walking five blocks away from home alone

Driving on a busy highway for 5 miles with spouse and alone

Eating in a restaurant, seated in the middle

Watching a movie while seated in the middle of the row

Source: Adapted, with permission, from Barlow, D. H., & Craske, M. G. (2007). *Mastery of your anxiety and panic* (4th ed., p. 133). New York: Oxford University Press.

unconscious cognitive processes requires a great deal of therapeutic skill. Sometimes, in addition to exposure to interoceptive sensations and cognitive therapy, patients are taught relaxation or breathing retraining to help them reduce anxiety and excess arousal, but we are using these strategies less often because we find they are not necessary.

These psychological procedures are highly effective for panic disorder. Follow-up studies of patients who receive PCT indicate that most of them remain better after at least 2 years (Craske & Barlow, 2014; Craske, Brown, & Barlow, 1991). Remaining agoraphobic behavior can then be treated with more standard exposure exercises.

Nevertheless, some people relapse over time, so our multisite collaborative team began investigating long-term strategies in the treatment of panic disorder including the usefulness of providing booster sessions after therapy is complete to prevent relapse. In the initial phase, 256 patients with panic disorder with all levels of agoraphobia completed 3 months of initial treatment with cognitive-behavioral therapy (Aaronson et al., 2008). Those patients who responded very well to treatment were then randomized to 9 months of monthly booster sessions ($n=79$), or no booster sessions ($n=78$), and then followed for additional 12 months without treatment (White et al., 2013). Booster sessions produced significantly lower relapse rates (5.2%) and reduced work and social impairment compared with the assessment-only condition without booster sessions (18.4%) at a 21-month follow-up (see ● Figure 5.6). Thus, booster sessions aimed at reinforcing acute treatment gains to prevent relapse and offset disorder recurrence improved long-term outcome for panic disorder and agoraphobia, even in those patients who responded well to treatment initially. Similar treatments have also been successfully used in children (Albon & Schneider, 2007) and older adults (Hendriks, Kampman, Keijers, Hoogduin, & Voshaar, 2014).


Although these treatments are quite effective, they are relatively new and not yet available to many individuals who suffer from panic disorder, because administering them requires therapists to have advanced training (Barlow, Levitt, & Bufka, 1999; McHugh & Barlow, 2010). Because of this, investigators are evaluating new and creative ways to get these programs out to the people who need them. To take one example, Michelle Craske and colleagues (2009) developed a computer guide to assist novice clinicians in implementing a cognitive behavioral program for panic disorder (plus other anxiety disorders and depression) directly in a primary care setting. Using this program called *Calm Tools for Living*, the clinician and patient sit side-by-side as they both view the program on screen. The program prompts clinicians to engage in specific therapeutic tasks, such as helping patients to establish a fear hierarchy, demonstrating breathing skills, or designing exposure assignments. The goal of the computerized program is to enhance the integrity of cognitive behavioral therapy in the hands of novice and relatively untrained clinicians. Results from a recent study demonstrated the success of this program in primary care settings compared with treatment as usual (Craske et al., 2011). This is a good example of an important direction of research on psychological treatments focusing on the best methods of disseminating these treatments to reach the largest number of people who could benefit.

Combined Psychological and Drug Treatments

Partly because primary care physicians are usually the first clinicians to treat those suffering from panic disorder, and psychological treatments are not available in those settings, when patients do get referred for psychological treatment, they are often already taking medications. So, important questions are as follows: How do these treatments compare with each other? And do they work together? One major study sponsored by the National Institute of Mental Health looked at the separate and combined effects of psychological and drug treatments (Barlow, Gorman, Shear, & Woods, 2000). In this double-blind study, patients were randomized into five treatment conditions: psychological treatment alone (CBT); drug treatment alone (imipramine—IMI—a tricyclic antidepressant, was used because this study was begun before the SSRIs were available); a combined treatment condition (IMI + CBT); and two “control” conditions, one using placebo alone (PBO), and one using PBO + CBT (to determine the extent to which any advantage for combined treatment was caused by placebo contribution).

The data indicate that all treatment groups responded significantly better than the placebo group, but approximately the same number of patients responded to both drug and psychological treatments. Combined treatment was no better than individual treatments.

After 6 additional months of maintenance treatment (9 months after treatment was initiated), during which patients were seen once per month, the results looked much as they did after initial treatment, except there was a slight advantage for combined treatment at this point and the number of people responding to placebo had diminished. ● Figure 5.7 shows the last set of results, 6 months after



● FIGURE 5.6

Relapse rates in patients with panic disorder who received booster sessions after treatment, compared to those without booster sessions.




FIGURE 5.7

Posttreatment relapse rates in patients with panic disorder. (Adapted from Barlow, D. H., Gorman, J. M., Shear, K. M., & Woods, S. W. (2000). Cognitive-behavioral therapy, imipramine, or their combination for panic disorder: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 283(19), 2529–2536.)

treatment was discontinued (15 months after it was initiated). At this point, patients on medication, whether combined with CBT or not, had deteriorated somewhat, and those receiving CBT without the drug had retained most of their gains. For example, 14 of 29 patients (48% of those who began the 6-month follow-up phase) who were taking the drug combined with CBT (IMI + CBT) relapsed, with those who dropped out during this period counted as failures (intent to follow). Forty percent, or 10 of 25, of those patients who completed the follow-up phase relapsed. Notice the much lower relapse figure for the conditions containing CBT. Thus, treatments containing CBT without the drug tended to be superior at this point, because they had more enduring effects.

Most studies show that drugs, particularly benzodiazepines, may interfere with the effects of psychological treatments (Craske & Barlow, 2014). Furthermore, benzodiazepines taken over a long period are associated with cognitive impairment (Deckersbach, Moshier, Tuschen-Caffier, & Otto, 2011). Because of this, our multisite collaborative team asked whether a sequential strategy in which one treatment was delayed until later and only given to those patients who didn't do as well as hoped would work better than giving both treatments at the same time. In this study, which was the second part of our long-term strategies research described above (Payne et al., 2016), studied 58 of the original 256 patients treated with CBT who did not respond adequately to the initial treatment and randomized these patients to a study where they either received continued CBT or the SSRI drug paroxetine. Paroxetine was administered for up to 12 months, whereas the CBT was delivered for 3 months. At the end of the 3-month period, patients receiving paroxetine responded better than those receiving continued CBT, but these differences had disappeared by the 1-year follow-up. Specifically, 53% of the inadequate responders receiving paroxetine became responders compared with 33% receiving continued CBT, but at 12 months the results were

56% and 53% respectively. So clinicians must judge if the more rapid response among some patients is worth trying drug treatment given that subsequent improvement will be about the same at a later date. For some patients, the more rapid response will be very important. Others may be less enthusiastic about taking a drug, and enduring the potential side effects, knowing that they are likely to improve over time without the drug.

What about those patients already taking drugs? In the primary care setting, adding CBT to the treatment of patients already on medications resulted in significant further improvement compared with those patients on medication who did not have CBT added according to Craske and colleagues (2005). Both of the above studies indicate that a “stepped care” approach in which the clinician begins with one treatment and then adds another if needed may be superior to combining treatments from the beginning.

General conclusions from these studies suggest no advantage to combining drugs and CBT initially for panic disorder and agoraphobia. Furthermore, the psychological treatments seemed to perform better in the long run (6 months after treatment had stopped). This suggests that psychological treatment should be offered initially, followed by drug treatment for those patients who do not respond adequately or for whom psychological treatment is not available.

Specific Phobia

Remember Judy in Chapter 1? When she saw a film of the frog being dissected, Judy began feeling queasy. Eventually she reached the point of fainting if someone simply said, “Cut it out.” Earlier in this chapter you read about John Madden’s difficulties with flying. John Madden and Judy have in common what we call a specific phobia.

Clinical Description

A **specific phobia** is an irrational fear of a specific object or situation that markedly interferes with an individual’s ability to function. In earlier versions of the DSM, this category was called

“simple” phobia to distinguish it from the more complex agoraphobia condition, but we now recognize there is nothing simple about it. Many of you might be afraid of something that is not dangerous, such as going to the dentist, or have a greatly exaggerated fear of something that is only slightly dangerous, such as driving a car or flying. Surveys indicate that specific fears of a variety of objects or situations occur in a majority of the population (Myers et al., 1984). But the very commonness of fears, even severe fears, often causes people to trivialize the more serious psychological disorder known as a specific phobia. These phobias can be extremely disabling, as we saw with Judy. Table 5.4 lists some other examples of particularly impairing phobias seen at our clinics (Antony & Barlow, 2002).

For people such as John Madden, on the other hand, phobias are a nuisance—sometimes an extremely inconvenient nuisance—but people can adapt to life with a phobia by simply working around it somehow. In upstate New York and New England, some people are

afraid to drive in the snow. We have had people come to our clinics who have been so severely phobic that during the winter they were ready to uproot, change their jobs and their lives, and move south. That is one way of dealing with a phobia. We discuss some other ways at the end of this chapter.

The major characteristic held in common by Judy and Madden is the *DSM-5* criterion of marked fear and anxiety about a specific object or situation. Both also recognized that their fear and anxiety were out of proportion to any actual danger. Finally, both went to considerable lengths to avoid situations in which their phobic response might occur.

There the similarities end. There are as many phobias as there are objects and situations. The variety of Greek and Latin names contrived to describe phobias stuns the imagination. Table 5.4 gives only the phobias beginning with the letter “a” from a long list compiled by Jack D. Maser from medical dictionaries and other diverse sources (Maser, 1985). This sort of list has little or no value for people studying psychopathology, but it does show the extent of the named phobias.

Before the publication of *DSM-IV* in 1994, no meaningful classification of specific phobias existed. We have now learned,

DSM 5

TABLE 5.5
Diagnostic Criteria for Specific Phobia

- A.** Marked fear or anxiety about a specific object or situation (e.g., flying, heights, animals, receiving an injection, seeing blood).
- B.** The phobic object or situation almost always provokes immediate fear or anxiety. Note: In children, the anxiety may be expressed by crying, tantrums, freezing, or clinging.
- C.** The phobic object or situation is actively avoided or endured with intense fear or anxiety.
- D.** The fear or anxiety is out of proportion to the actual danger posed by the specific object or situation, and to the sociocultural context.
- E.** The fear, anxiety or avoidance is persistent, typically lasting for 6 months or more.
- F.** The fear, anxiety or avoidance causes clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational or other important areas of functioning.
- G.** The disturbance is not better explained by the symptoms of another mental disorder, including fear, anxiety and avoidance of: situations associated with panic-like symptoms or other incapacitating symptoms (as in agoraphobia); objects or situations related to obsessions (as in obsessive-compulsive disorder); reminders of traumatic events (as in posttraumatic stress disorder); separation from home or attachment figures (as in separation anxiety disorder); or social situations (as in social anxiety disorder).

Specify type:

- 1. Animal**
- 2. Natural environment** (e.g., heights, storms, and water)
- 3. Blood-injection-injury**
- 4. Situational** (e.g., planes, elevators, or enclosed places)
- 5. Other** (e.g., phobic avoidance of situations that may lead to choking, vomiting, or contracting an illness; or in children, avoidance of loud sounds or costumed characters)

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

TABLE 5.4 **Phobias Beginning with “A”**

Term	Fear of:
Acarophobia	Insects, mites
Achluophobia	Darkness, night
Acousticophobia	Sounds
Acrophobia	Heights
Aerophobia	Air currents, drafts, wind
Agoraphobia	Open spaces
Agyiophobia	Crossing the street
Aichmophobia	Sharp, pointed objects; knives; being touched by a finger
Ailurophobia	Cats
Algophobia	Pain
Amathophobia	Dust
Amychophobia	Laceration; being clawed, scratched
Androphobia	Men (and sex with men)
Anemophobia	Air currents, wind, drafts
Anginophobia	Angina pectoris (brief attacks of chest pain)
Anthropophobia	Human society
Antlophobia	Floods
Apeirophobia	Infinity
Aphephobia	Physical contact, being touched
Apiphobia	Bees, bee stings
Astraphobia	Thunderstorms, lightning
Ataxiophobia	Disorder
Atephobia	Ruin
Auroraphobia	Northern lights
Autophobia	Being alone, solitude, oneself, being egotistical

Source: Reprinted, with permission, from Maser, J. D. (1985). List of phobias. In A. H. Tuma & J. D. Maser (Eds.), *Anxiety and the anxiety disorders* (p. 805). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum, © 1985 Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

however, that the cases of Judy and Madden represent types of specific phobia that differ in major ways. Four major subtypes of specific phobia have been identified: blood-injection-injury type, situational type (such as planes, elevators, or enclosed places), natural environment type (for example, heights, storms, and water), and animal type. A fifth category, “other,” includes phobias that do not fit any of the four major subtypes (for example, situations that may lead to choking, vomiting, or contracting an illness or, in children, avoidance of loud sounds or costumed characters). Although this subtyping strategy is useful, we also know that most people who suffer from phobia tend to have multiple phobias of several types (LeBeau et al., 2010; Hofmann, Lehman, & Barlow, 1997).

Blood-Injection-Injury Phobia

How do phobia subtypes differ from each other? We have already seen one major difference in the case of Judy. Rather than the usual surge of activity in the sympathetic nervous system and increased heart rate and blood pressure, Judy experienced a marked drop in heart rate and blood pressure and fainted as a consequence. Many people who suffer from phobias and experience panic attacks in their feared situations report that they feel like they are going to faint, but they never do because their heart rate and blood pressure are actually increasing. Therefore, those with **blood-injection-injury phobias** almost always differ in their physiological reaction from people with other types of phobia (Barlow & Liebowitz, 1995; Hofmann, Alpers, & Pauli, 2009; Öst, 1992). We also noted in Chapter 2 that blood-injection-injury phobia runs in families more strongly than any phobic disorder we know. This is probably because people with this phobia inherit a strong vasovagal response to blood, injury, or the possibility of an injection, all of which cause a drop in blood pressure and a tendency to faint. The phobia develops over the possibility of having this response. The average age of onset for this phobia is approximately 9 years (LeBeau et al., 2010).

Situational Phobia

Phobias characterized by fear of public transportation or enclosed places are called **situational phobias**. Claustrophobia, a fear of small enclosed places, is situational, as is a phobia of flying. Psychopathologists first thought that situational phobia was similar to panic disorder and agoraphobia. Situational phobia, as well as panic disorder and agoraphobia, tends to emerge from midteens to mid-20s (Craske et al., 2006; LeBeau et al., 2010). The extent to which PD, agoraphobia, and situational phobias run in families is also similar (Curtis, Hill, & Lewis, 1990; Curtis, Himle, Lewis, & Lee, 1989; Fyer et al., 1990), with approximately 30% of first-degree relations having the same or a similar phobia. But some analyses do not support the similarity as anything more than superficial (Antony, Brown, & Barlow, 1997a, 1997b). The main difference between situational phobia and panic disorder is that people with situational phobia never experience panic attacks outside the context of their phobic object or situation. Therefore, they can relax when they don’t

have to confront their phobic situation. People with panic disorder, in contrast, might experience unexpected, uncued panic attacks at any time.

Natural Environment Phobia

Sometimes very young people develop fears of situations or events occurring in nature. These fears are called **natural environment phobias**. The major examples are heights, storms, and water. These fears also seem to cluster together (Antony & Barlow, 2002; Hofmann et al., 1997): If you fear one situation or event, such as deep water, you are likely to fear another, such as storms. Many of these situations have some danger associated with them and, therefore, mild to moderate fear can be adaptive. For example, we should be careful in a high place or in deep water. It is entirely possible that we are somewhat prepared to be afraid of these situations; as we discussed in Chapter 2, something in our genes makes us sensitive to these situations if any sign of danger is present. In any case, these phobias have a peak age of onset of about 7 years. They are not phobias if they are only passing fears. They have to be persistent (lasting at least 6 months) and to interfere substantially with the person’s functioning, leading to avoidance of boat trips or summer vacations in the mountains where there might be a storm.

Animal Phobia

Fears of animals and insects are called **animal phobias**. Again, these fears are common but become phobic only if severe interference with functioning occurs. For example, we have seen cases in our clinic in which people with snake or mice phobias are



Bonnie Kamin/PhotoEdit

Many specific phobias begin in childhood, including fears of animals.

unable to read magazines for fear of unexpectedly coming across a picture of one of these animals. There are many places that these people are unable to go, even if they want to very much, such as to the country to visit someone. The fear experienced by people with animal phobias is different from an ordinary mild revulsion. The age of onset for these phobias, like that of natural environment phobias, peaks around 7 years (Antony et al., 1997a; LeBeau et al., 2010).

Statistics

Specific fears occur in a majority of people. The ones most commonly found in the population at large, categorized by Agras, Sylvester, and Oliveau (1969), are presented in Table 5.5. Not surprisingly, fears of snakes and heights rank near the top. Notice also that the sex ratio among common fears is overwhelmingly female with a couple of exceptions. Among these exceptions is fear of heights, for which the sex ratio is approximately equal. Few people who report specific fears qualify as having a phobia, but for approximately 12.5% of the population, their fears become severe enough to earn the label “phobia.” During a given 1-year period the prevalence is 8.7% overall (Kessler, Berglund, Demler, et al., 2005), but 15.8% in adolescents (Kessler, Petukhova, et al., 2012). This is a high percentage, making specific phobia one of the most

common psychological disorders in the United States and around the world (Arrindell et al., 2003b). As with common fears, the sex ratio for specific phobias is, at 4:1, overwhelmingly female; this is also consistent around the world (Craske et al., 2006; LeBeau et al., 2010).

Even though phobias may interfere with an individual’s functioning, only the most severe cases come for treatment, because more mildly affected people tend to work around their phobias. For example, someone with a fear of heights arranges her life so she never has to be in a tall building or other high place. Table 5.6 presents the distribution of the 48 patients who came to our anxiety disorders clinic several years ago with a specific phobia as their primary problem; these are broken down by type. As you can see, people with situational phobias of such things as driving, flying, or small enclosed places most often come for treatment.

The median age of onset for specific phobia is 7 years of age, the youngest of any anxiety disorder except separation anxiety disorder (see below) (Kessler, Berglund, Demler, et al., 2005). Once a phobia develops, it tends to last a lifetime (run a chronic course) (see, for example, Antony et al., 1997a; Barlow, 2002; Kessler, Berglund, Demler, et al., 2005); thus, the issue of treatment, described shortly, becomes important.

Although most anxiety disorders look much the same in adults and in children, clinicians must be aware of the types of normal fears and anxieties experienced throughout childhood so that they can distinguish them from specific phobias (Albano et al., 1996; Silverman & Rabian, 1993). Infants, for example, show marked fear of loud noises and strangers. At 1 to 2 years of age, children quite normally are anxious about separating from parents, and fears of animals and the dark also develop and may persist into the fourth or fifth year of life. Fear of various monsters and other imaginary creatures may begin about age 3 and last for several years. At age 10, children may fear evaluation by others and feel anxiety over their physical appearance. Generally, reports of fear decline with age, although performance-related fears of such activities as taking a test or talking in front of a large group may increase with age. Specific phobias seem to decline with old age (Ayers et al., 2009; Blazer, George, & Hughes, 1991; Sheikh, 1992).

The prevalence of specific phobias varies from one culture to another (Hinton & Good, 2009). Hispanics are two times more likely to report specific phobias than white non-Hispanic

TABLE 5.5 Prevalence of Intense Fears and Phobias

Intense Fear	Prevalence per 1,000 Population	Sex Distribution	SE by Sex
Snakes	253	M: 118 F: 376	M: 34 F: 48
Heights	120	M: 109 F: 128	M: 33 F: 36
Flying	109	M: 70 F: 144	M: 26 F: 38
Enclosures	50	M: 32 F: 63	M: 18 F: 25
Illness	33	M: 31 F: 35	M: 18 F: 19
Death	33	M: 46 F: 21	M: 21 F: 15
Injury	23	M: 24 F: 22	M: 15 F: 15
Storms	31	M: 9 F: 48	M: 9 F: 22
Dentists	24	M: 22 F: 26	M: 15 F: 16
Journeys alone	16	M: 0 F: 31	M: 0 F: 18
Being alone	10	M: 5 F: 13	M: 7 F: 11

SE, standard error.

Source: Adapted, with permission, from Agras, W. S., Sylvester, D., & Oliveau, D. (1969). The epidemiology of common fears and phobias. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 10, 151–156, © 1969 Elsevier.

TABLE 5.6 Frequency of Principal or Co-Principal Diagnoses of Specific Phobias

Type of Phobia	2005 Number	2006 Number
Animal	1	4
Natural environment	4	2
Blood and injury	0	2
Situational	11	6
Other	5	5
Total	21	19

Note: Patients were seen at the authors’ anxiety disorders clinic (Center for Anxiety and Related Disorders) from January 1, 2005, to October 31, 2006.

Americans (Magee, Eaton, Wittchen, McGonagle, & Kessler, 1996), for reasons not entirely clear. A variant of phobia in Chinese cultures is called *Pa-leng*, sometimes *frigo phobia* or “fear of the cold.” *Pa-leng* can be understood only in the context of traditional ideas—in this case, the Chinese concepts of *yin* and *yang* (Tan, 1980). Chinese medicine holds that there must be a balance of *yin* and *yang* forces in the body for health to be maintained. *Yin* represents the cold, dark, windy, energy-sapping aspects of life; *yang* refers to the warm, bright, energy-producing aspects of life. Individuals with *Pa-leng* have a morbid fear of the cold. They ruminate over loss of body heat and may wear several layers of clothing even on a hot day. They may complain of belching and flatulence (passing gas), which indicate the presence of wind and therefore of too much *yin* in the body. As discussed earlier, these ideas also play a role in phobia and anxiety disorders in other Asian cultures (Hinton, Park, Hsia, Hofmann, & Pollack, 2009; Hinton, Pich, Chhean, Pollack, & Barlow, 2004).

Causes

For a long time, we thought that most specific phobias began with an unusual traumatic event. For example, if you were bitten by a dog, you would develop a phobia of dogs. We now know this is not necessarily the case (Barlow, 2002; Craske et al., 2006). This is not to say that traumatic conditioning experiences do not result in subsequent phobic behavior. Almost every person with a choking phobia has had some kind of a choking experience. An individual with claustrophobia who recently came to our clinic reported being trapped in an elevator for an extraordinarily long period. These are examples of phobias acquired by *direct experience*, where real danger or pain results in an alarm response (a true alarm). This is one way of developing a phobia, and there are at least three others: *experiencing* a false alarm (panic attack) in a specific situation, *observing* someone else experiencing severe fear (vicarious experience), or, under the right conditions, *being told* about danger.

Remember our earlier discussion of unexpected panic attacks? Studies show that many people with specific phobias do not necessarily experience a true alarm resulting from real danger at the onset of their phobia. Many initially have an unexpected panic attack in a specific situation, perhaps related to current life stress. A specific phobia of that situation may then develop.


This was evident in a study with driving phobia (Ehlers, Hofmann, Herda, & Roth, 1994). Although only a minority (14%) met criteria for panic disorder, the majority (81%) of people with excessive fear of driving an automobile reported having had panic attacks. When asked about the primary reason for their phobia, only 15% attributed it to an accident, whereas 53% attributed it to the possibility of having panic attacks. These people were also more concerned about anxiety symptoms while driving than phobics who gave other, nonaccident-related reasons for their driving phobia.

We also learn fears vicariously. Seeing someone else have a traumatic experience or endure intense fear may be enough to instill a phobia in the watcher. Remember, we noted earlier that



©Image Source/Jupiter Images

A child with separation anxiety disorder persistently worries that parting with an important person drastically endangers either the loved one or the child.



Stephanie Dalton Cowan/Photodisc/Getty Images

Chinese medicine is based on the concept that *yin* (dark, cold, enervating forces) and *yang* (bright, warm, energizing forces) must harmonize in the body. In this traditional representation of the *yin-yang* balance, note that each aspect contains something of the other.

emotions are contagious. If someone you are with is either happy or fearful, you will probably feel a tinge of happiness or fear also. This has been illustrated in a recent study with 8 to 11-year-old children who watched a short, 1980s animated film clip of a person trying to score in basketball as part of a try out for a basketball team while being evaluated by judges (Askew, Hagel, & Morgan, 2015). In one of the films, the outcome was neutral; the animated person threw the ball into the basket with positive thoughts appearing in a thought cloud and a neutral expression as if it was a routine practice. In the other film clip, the person missed the basket, slipped, and fell while negative thoughts appeared in a thought cloud. After watching these clips, the children filled out a questionnaire asking them how fearful they thought they would feel in similar performance situations. Results showed that, compared to children who watched the neutral film clip, those who watched the negative film clip with the negative outcome expected that they would feel more fearful and also showed a greater attention bias toward social threat, which was measured with an emotion Stroop task in which children were asked to name the color of threatening words, such as “audience” or “criticize” (rather than naming the word). This suggests that fear can be acquired through vicarious learning even after watching a brief film clip. Sometimes just being warned repeatedly about a potential danger is sufficient for someone to develop a phobia (Muris & Field, 2010). We call this mode of developing a phobia *information transmission*.

Terrifying experiences alone do not create phobias. As we have said, a true phobia also requires anxiety over the possibility of another extremely traumatic event or false alarm, and we are likely to avoid situations in which that terrible thing might occur. If we don't develop anxiety, our reaction would presumably be in the category of normal fears experienced by more than half the population. Normal fear can cause mild distress, but it is usually ignored and forgotten. This point is best illustrated by Peter DiNardo and his colleagues (1988), who studied a group of dog phobics, as well as a matched group who did not have the phobia. Only about 50% of the dog phobics had had a frightening encounter with a dog, usually involving a bite. In the other group of individuals who did not have dog phobia, however, about 50% had also had a frightening encounter with a dog. Why hadn't they become phobics as well? They had not developed anxiety about another encounter with a dog, unlike the people who did become phobic (reflecting a generalized psychological vulnerability). A diagram of the etiology of specific phobia is presented in Figure 5.8.

In summary, several things have to occur for a person to develop a phobia. First, a traumatic conditioning experience often plays a role (even hearing about a frightening event is sufficient for some individuals). Second, fear is more likely to develop if we are “prepared”; that is, we seem to carry an inherited tendency to fear situations that have always been dangerous to the human race, such as being threatened by wild animals or trapped in small places (see Chapter 2).

Third, we also have to be susceptible to developing anxiety about the possibility that the event will happen again. We have discussed




FIGURE 5.8

A model of the various ways a specific phobia may develop. (From Barlow, D. H. (2002). *Anxiety and its disorders: The nature and treatment of anxiety and panic* (2nd ed.). New York: Guilford Press.)

the biological and psychological reasons for anxiety and have seen that at least one phobia, blood-injection-injury phobia, is highly heritable (Öst, 1989; Ayala, Meuret, & Ritz, 2009; Page & Martin, 1998). Patients with blood phobia probably also inherit a strong vasovagal response that makes them susceptible to fainting. This alone would not be sufficient to ensure their becoming phobic, but it combines with anxiety to produce strong vulnerability.

Fyer and colleagues (1990) demonstrated that approximately 31% of the first-degree relatives of people with specific phobias also had a phobia, compared with 11% of the first-degree relatives of “normal” controls. In a collaborative study between Fyer’s clinic and our center, we replicated these results, finding a 28% prevalence in the first-degree relatives of patients with phobia compared with 10% in relatives of controls. More interestingly, it seems that each subtype of phobia “bred true,” in that relatives were likely to have identical types of phobia. Kendler, Karkowski, and Prescott (1999a) and Page and Martin (1998) found relatively high estimates for heritability of individual specific phobias. We do not know for sure whether the tendency for phobias to run in families is caused by genes or by modeling, but the findings are at least suggestive of a unique genetic contribution to specific phobia (Antony & Barlow, 2002; Hettema et al., 2005; Smoller et al., 2005).

Finally, social and cultural factors are strong determinants of who develops and reports a specific phobia. In most societies, it is almost unacceptable for males to express fears and phobias. Thus, the overwhelming majority of reported specific phobias occur in women (Arrindell et al., 2003b; LeBeau et al., 2010). What happens to men? Possibly they work hard to overcome their fears by repeatedly exposing themselves to their feared situations. A more likely possibility is that they simply endure their fears without telling anyone about them and without seeking treatment (Antony & Barlow, 2002). Pierce and Kirkpatrick (1992) asked male and female college students to report their fears on two occasions before watching a videotape of something frightening. Before the second evaluation, subjects were told their heart rate would be monitored to assess the “truthfulness” of their report. Reports from women were the same on both occasions, but men reported substantially more fear when it was important to be truthful. Ginsburg and Silverman (2000) observed that level of reported fear in children with anxiety disorders was a function of gender role but not biological sex. That is, a more masculine “tomboyish” girl would report less fear than a more feminine girl, illustrating the contribution of culture to the development of fear and phobia.

Treatment

Although the development of phobias is relatively complex, the treatment is fairly straightforward. Almost everyone agrees that specific phobias require structured and consistent exposure-based exercises (Barlow, Moscovitch, & Micco, 2004; Craske et al., 2006).

Rapid Behavioral Treatment of a Specific Phobia (Snakes)



Abnormal Psychology Inside Out Produced by Ira Wohl Only Child Motion Pictures

“Since I remember, I remember being afraid of snakes. . . . I have dreams of snakes; it’s horrible.”

Go to MindTap at www.cengagebrain.com to watch this video.

Nevertheless, most patients who expose themselves gradually to what they fear must be under therapeutic supervision. Individuals who attempt to carry out the exercises alone often attempt to do too much too soon and end up escaping the situation, which may strengthen the phobia. In addition, if they fear having another unexpected panic attack in this situation, it is helpful to direct therapy at panic attacks in the manner described for panic disorder (Antony, Craske, & Barlow, 2006; Craske et al., 2006). For separation anxiety, parents are often included to help structure the exercises and also to address parental reaction to childhood anxiety (Choate, Pincus, Eyberg, & Barlow, 2005). More recently, an intensive 1-week program for girls ages 8 to 11 developed at one of our clinics in which the girls end up having a sleepover at the clinic has proved highly successful (Pincus, Santucci, Ehrenreich, & Eyberg, 2008; Santucci, Ehrenreich, Trosper, Bennett, & Pincus, 2009). Finally, in cases of blood-injection-injury phobia, where fainting is a real possibility, graduated exposure-based exercises must be done in specific ways. Individuals must tense various muscle groups during exposure exercises to keep their blood pressure sufficiently high to complete the practice (Ayala, Meuret, & Ritz, 2009; Öst & Sterner, 1987). New developments make it possible to treat many specific phobias, including blood phobia, in a single, session taking anywhere from approximately 2 to 6 hours (see, for example, Antony et al., 2006; Craske et al., 2006; Hauner, Mineka, Voss, & Paller, 2012; Oar, Farrell, Waters, Conlon, & Ollendick, 2015; Öst, Svensson, Hellström, & Lindwall, 2001). Basically, the therapist spends most of the session with the individual, working through exposure exercises with the phobia object or situation. The patient then practices approaching the phobic situation at home, checking in occasionally with the therapist. It is interesting that in these cases, not only does the phobia disappear, but in blood phobia the tendency to experience the vasovagal response at the sight of blood also lessens considerably. It is also now clear based on brain-imaging work that these treatments change brain functioning in an enduring way by modifying neural circuitry in such areas as the amygdala, insula, and cingulate cortex (Hauner et al., 2012). After treatment, responsiveness is diminished in this fear-sensitive network but increased in prefrontal cortical areas, suggesting that more rational appraisals were inhibiting emotional appraisals of danger. Thus, these treatments “rewire” the brain (Paquette et al., 2003).

Separation Anxiety Disorder

All anxiety and related disorders described in this chapter may occur during childhood (Rapee, Schniering, & Hudson, 2009), but there is one disorder that, until recently, was identified more closely with children. **Separation anxiety disorder** is characterized by children’s unrealistic and persistent worry that something will happen to their parents or other important people in their life or that something will

happen to the children themselves that will separate them from their parents (for example, they will be lost, kidnapped, killed, or hurt in an accident). Children often refuse to go to school or even to leave home, not because they are afraid of school but because they are afraid of separating from loved ones. These fears can result in refusing to sleep alone and may be characterized by nightmares involving possible separation and by physical symptoms, distress, and anxiety (Barlow, Pincus, Heinrichs, & Choate, 2003).

All young children experience separation anxiety to some extent; this fear usually decreases as they grow older. Therefore, a clinician must judge whether the separation anxiety is greater than would be expected at that particular age (Allen, Lavallee, Herren, Ruhe, & Schneider, 2010; Barlow et al., 2003). It is also important to differentiate separation anxiety from school phobia. In school phobia, the fear is clearly focused on something specific to the school situation; the child can leave the parents or other attachment figures to go somewhere other than school. In separation anxiety, the act of separating from the parent or attachment figure provokes anxiety and fear; 4.1% of children have separation anxiety at a severe enough level to meet criteria for a disorder (Shear, Jin, Ruscio, Walters, & Kessler, 2006). Several years ago it was discovered that separation anxiety, if untreated, can extend into adulthood in approximately 35% of cases (Shear et al., 2006). Furthermore, evidence suggests that we have overlooked this disorder in adults and that it occurs in approximately 6.6% of the adult population over the course of a lifetime (Shear et al., 2006). In some cases, the onset is in adulthood rather than carrying over from childhood. The focus of anxiety in adults is the same: that harm may befall loved ones during separation (Manicavasagar et al., 2010; Silove, Marnane, Wagner, Manicavasagar, & Rees, 2010). With the recognition that separation anxiety disorder occurs across the life span and is characterized by a unique presentation, a decision was made to elevate this disorder to a full status as a diagnostic category in *DSM-5*. As with any new disorder, the expectation is that this problem will receive greater attention from a research point of view and that individuals in all age ranges suffering from this problem will find it easier to get the help they need.

In treating separation anxiety in children, parents are often included to help structure the exercises and also to address parental reaction to childhood anxiety (Choate, Pincus, Eyberg, & Barlow, 2005; Pincus, Santucci, Ehrenreich, & Eyberg, 2008). More recently, researchers have explored the use of real-time coaching of parents using a small microphone in parents' ear to allow therapists to actively instruct parents in how to best respond when their child resists separation (Sacks, Comer, Pincus, Comacho, & Hunter, 2013; Puliafico, Comer, & Pincus, 2012). Innovative formats for treatment have also proven highly successful, including, as noted above, an intensive 1-week program for girls with separation anxiety disorder aged 8 to 11 in which the girls end up having a sleepover at the clinic on the final day of treatment (Santucci et al., 2009).

Social Anxiety Disorder (Social Phobia)

Are you shy? If so, you have something in common with 20% to 50% of college students, depending on which survey you read. A much smaller number of people, who suffer severely around others, have **social anxiety disorder (SAD)**, also called **social phobia**. Consider the case of Billy, a 13-year-old boy.

Billy... Too Shy

Billy was the model boy at home. He did his homework, stayed out of trouble, obeyed his parents, and was generally so quiet and reserved he didn't attract much attention. When he got to junior high school, however, something his parents had noticed earlier became painfully evident. Billy had no friends. He was unwilling to attend social or sporting activities connected with school, even though most of the other kids in his class went to these events. When his parents decided to check with the guidance counselor, they found that she had been about to call them. She reported that Billy did not socialize or speak up in class and was sick to his stomach all day if he knew he was going to be called on. His teachers had difficulty getting anything more than a yes or no answer from him. More troublesome was that he had been found hiding in a stall in the boy's restroom during lunch, which he said he had been doing for several months instead of eating. After Billy was referred to our clinic, we diagnosed a severe case of social anxiety disorder, an irrational and extreme fear of social situations. Billy's phobia took the form of extreme shyness. He was afraid of being embarrassed or humiliated in the presence of almost everyone except his parents. •

Clinical Description

SAD is more than exaggerated shyness (Bögels et al., 2010; Hofmann, Alpers, & Pauli, 2009; Morrison, & Heimberg, 2013). The cases described here are typical of many that appear occasionally in the press over the years.

Steve and Chuck... Star Players?

In the second inning of an All-Star game, Los Angeles Dodger second baseman Steve Sax fielded an easy grounder, straightened up for the lob to first, and bounced the ball past first baseman Al Oliver, who was less than 40 feet away. It was a startling error even in an All-Star game studded with bush-league mishaps. But hard-core baseball fans knew it was one more manifestation of a leading mystery of the 1983 season: Sax, 23, the National League Rookie of the Year the previous season, could not seem to make routine throws to first base. (Of his first 27 errors that season, 22 were bad throws.)

Chuck Knoblauch won a Golden Glove Award at second base in 1997 but led the league in errors in 1999 with 26, most of them throwing errors. Announcers and reporters observed that his throws would be hard and on target to first base if he made a difficult play and had to quickly turn and throw the ball "without thinking about it." But if he fielded a routine ground ball and had time to think about the accuracy of his throw, he would throw awkwardly and slowly—and often off target. The announcers and reporters concluded that, because his arm seemed fine on the difficult plays, his problem must be "mental." For the 2001 season, he was moved to left field to avoid having to make that throw, and by 2003 was out of baseball. •

NFL player Ricky Williams also interrupted his career partly because of severe social anxiety.

Whereas Knoblauch continued to struggle, Sax and Williams overcame their problems. Many other athletes are not so fortunate. This problem is not limited to athletes but is also experienced by well-known lecturers and performers. Actress Scarlett Johansson avoided doing Broadway for many years due to intolerable performance anxiety, in this case also called “stage fright.” The inability of a skilled athlete to throw a baseball to first base or a seasoned performer to appear on stage certainly does not match the concept of “shyness” with which we are all familiar. Many of these performers may well be among our more gregarious citizens. And what if when you’re with other people, you continually worry about a physical reaction you have that is very noticeable to others, but difficult to control? For example, what if you blush to the extent that you’re so embarrassed that you can’t socialize? Or if your palms sweat so much that you’re reluctant to shake hands?

What holds these seemingly different conditions together within the category of social anxiety disorder? Billy, Knoblauch, Sax, Williams, and Johansson (and anyone who worries about blushing or sweating excessively) all experienced marked fear or anxiety focused on one or more social or performance situations. In Billy’s case, these situations were any in which he might have to interact with people. For Knoblauch and Johansson, they were specific to performing some special behavior in public. Individuals with just performance anxiety, which is a subtype of SAD, usually have no difficulty with social interaction, but when they must do something specific in front of people, anxiety takes over and they focus on the possibility that they will embarrass themselves. The most common type of performance anxiety, to which most people can relate, is public speaking. Other situations that commonly provoke performance anxiety are eating in a restaurant or signing a paper or check in front of a person or people who are watching. Anxiety-provoking physical reactions include blushing, sweating, trembling, or, for males, urinating in a public restroom (“bashful bladder” or paruresis). Males with this problem must wait until a stall is available, a difficult task at times. What these examples have in common is that the individual is very anxious only while others are present and maybe watching and, to some extent, evaluating their behavior. This is truly social anxiety disorder because the people have no difficulty eating, writing, or urinating in private. Only when others are watching does the behavior deteriorate.

Statistics

As many as 12.1% of the general population suffer from SAD at some point in their lives (Kessler, Berglund, Demler, et al., 2005). In a given 1-year period, the prevalence is 6.8% (Kessler, Chiu, et al., 2005), and 8.2% in adolescents (Kessler et al., 2012). This makes SAD second only to specific phobia as the most prevalent anxiety disorder, afflicting more than 35 million people in the United States alone, based on current population estimates. Many more people are shy, but not severely enough to meet criteria for social anxiety disorder. Unlike other anxiety disorders for which females predominate (Hofmann, Alpers, & Pauli, 2009; Magee et al., 1996), the sex ratio for SAD is nearly 50:50 (Hofmann & Barlow, 2002; Marks, 1985). Overall, 45.6% of people suffering

from SAD sought professional help in a recent 12-month period (Wang et al., 2005). SAD usually begins during adolescence, with a peak age of onset around 13 years (Kessler, Berglund, Demler, et al., 2005). SAD also tends to be more prevalent in people who are young (18–29 years), undereducated, single, and of low socio-economic class. Prevalence is less than half as prevalent among individuals over 60 (6.6%) as it is among individuals 18 to 29 (13.6%) (Kessler, Berglund, Demler, et al., 2005).

Considering their difficulty meeting people, it is not surprising that a greater percentage of individuals with SAD are single than in the population at large. In the United States, white Americans are typically more likely to be diagnosed with social anxiety disorder (as well as generalized anxiety disorder and panic disorder) than African Americans, Hispanic Americans, and Asian

TABLE 5.6

Diagnostic Criteria for Social Anxiety Disorder (Social Phobia)

- DSM 5**
- A.** Marked fear or anxiety about one or more social situations in which the person is exposed to possible scrutiny by others. Examples include social interactions (e.g., having a conversation; meeting unfamiliar people), being observed (e.g., eating or drinking), or performing in front of others (e.g., giving a speech). Note: In children, the anxiety must occur in peer settings and not just in interactions with adults.
 - B.** The individual fears that he or she will act in a way, or show anxiety symptoms, that will be negatively evaluated (i.e., will be humiliating, embarrassing, lead to rejection, or offend others).
 - C.** The social situations almost always provoke fear or anxiety. Note: in children, the fear or anxiety may be expressed by crying, tantrums, freezing, clinging, shrinking, or failing to speak in social situations.
 - D.** The social situations are avoided or endured with intense fear or anxiety.
 - E.** The fear or anxiety is out of proportion to the actual threat posed by the social situation, and to the sociocultural context.
 - F.** The fear, anxiety or avoidance is persistent, typically lasting for 6 months or more.
 - G.** The fear, anxiety or avoidance causes clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational or other important areas of functioning.
 - H.** The fear, anxiety or avoidance is not attributable to the effects of a substance (e.g., a drug of abuse, a medication) or another medical condition.
 - I.** The fear, anxiety or avoidance is not better explained by the symptoms of another mental disorder, such as panic disorder (e.g., anxiety about having a panic attack) or separation anxiety disorder (e.g., fear of being away from home or a close relative).
 - J.** If another medical condition (e.g., stuttering, Parkinson’s disease, obesity, disfigurement from burns or injury) is present, the fear, anxiety or avoidance is clearly unrelated or is excessive.

Specify if:

Performance only: If the fear is restricted to speaking or performing in public.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

Americans (Asnaani, Richey, Dimaite, Hinton, & Hofmann, 2010). Cross-national data suggest that Asian cultures show the lowest rates of SAD, whereas Russian and U.S. samples show the highest rates (Hofmann, Asnaani, & Hinton, 2010). In Japan, the clinical presentation of anxiety disorders is best summarized under the label *shinkeishitsu*. One of the most common subcategories is referred to as *taijin kyofusho*, which resembles SAD in some of its forms (Hofmann, Asnaani, & Hinton, 2010; Kleinknecht, Dinnel, Kleinknecht, Hiruma, & Harada, 1997). Japanese people with this form of SAD strongly fear that some aspect of their personal presentation (blushing, stuttering, body odor, and so on) will appear reprehensible, causing other people to feel embarrassed. Thus, the focus of anxiety in this disorder is on offending or embarrassing others rather than embarrassing oneself, as in SAD, although these two disorders overlap considerably (Dinnel, Kleinknecht, & Tanaka-Matsumi, 2002). Japanese males with this disorder outnumber females by a 3:2 ratio (Takahasi, 1989). More recently, it has been established that this syndrome is found in many cultures around the world, but predominantly in Asian cultures (Vriendt, Pfatz, Novianti, & Hadiyono, 2013). Nevertheless, one manifestation of this set of symptoms called “olfactory reference syndrome” has even been reported in North America (Feusner, Phillips, & Stein, 2010). The key feature once again is preoccupation with a belief that one is embarrassing oneself and offending others with a foul body odor. As such, it seems to resemble obsessive-compulsive disorder (discussed below) more than SAD, and seems to respond to psychological treatments used to treat obsessive-compulsive disorder (Martin-Pichora & Antony, 2011).

Causes

We have noted that we seem to be prepared by evolution to fear certain wild animals and dangerous situations in the natural environment. Similarly, it seems we are also prepared to fear angry, critical, or rejecting people (Blair et al., 2008; Mineka & Zinbarg, 2006; Mogg, Philippot, & Bradley, 2004). In a series of studies, Öhman and colleagues (see, for example, Dimberg & Öhman, 1983; Öhman & Dimberg, 1978) noted that we learn more quickly to fear angry expressions than other facial expressions, and this fear diminishes more slowly than other types of learning. Lundh and Öst (1996) demonstrated that people with SAD who saw a number of pictures of faces were likely to remember critical expressions; Mogg and colleagues (2004) showed that socially anxious individuals more quickly recognized angry faces than “normals,” whereas “normals” remembered the accepting expressions (Navarrete et al., 2009). Other studies show that individuals with SAD react to angry faces with greater activation of the amygdala and less cortical control or regulation than “normals” (Goldin, Manber, Hakimi, Canli, & Gross, 2009; Stein, Goldin, Sareen, Zorrilla, & Brown, 2002). Fox and Damjanovic (2006) demonstrated that the eye region specifically is the threatening area of the face.

Why should we inherit a tendency to fear angry faces? Our ancestors probably avoided hostile, angry, domineering people who might attack or kill them. In all species, dominant, aggressive individuals, high in the social hierarchy, tend to be avoided. Possibly, individuals who avoided people with angry faces were more

likely to survive and pass their genes down to us. Of course, this is just a theory.

Jerome Kagan (see, for example, Kagan, 2014a,b) has demonstrated that some infants are born with a temperamental profile or trait of inhibition or shyness that is evident as early as 4 months of age. Four-month-old infants with this trait become more agitated and cry more frequently when presented with toys or other age-appropriate stimuli than infants without the trait. There is now evidence that individuals with excessive behavioral inhibition are at increased risk for developing phobic behavior (Essex et al., 2010; Hirschfeld et al., 1992).


A model of the etiology of SAD would look somewhat like models of panic disorder and specific phobia. Three pathways to SAD are possible, as depicted in Figure 5.9. First, someone could inherit a generalized biological vulnerability to develop anxiety, a biological tendency to be socially inhibited, or both. The existence of a generalized psychological vulnerability—such as the belief that events, particularly stressful events, are potentially uncontrollable—would increase an individual’s vulnerability. When under stress, a person could have anxiety and self-focused attention increase to the point of disrupting performance, even in the absence of a false alarm (panic attack). Second, when under stress, someone might have an unexpected panic attack in a social situation that would become associated (conditioned) to social cues. The individual would then become anxious about having additional panic attacks in the same or similar social situations. Third, someone might experience a real social trauma resulting in a true alarm. Anxiety would then develop (be conditioned) in the same or similar social situations. Traumatic social experiences may also extend back to difficult periods in childhood. Early adolescence—usually ages 12 through 15—is when children may be brutally taunted by peers who are attempting to assert their own dominance. This experience may produce anxiety and panic that are reproduced in future social situations. For example, McCabe, Anthony, Summerfeldt, Liss, and Swinson (2003) noted that 92% of adults with social anxiety disorder in their sample experienced severe teasing and bullying in childhood, compared with only 35% to 50% among people with other anxiety disorders.

But one more factor must fall into place to make it an SAD disorder. The individual with the vulnerabilities



Jerome Kagan discovered that shyness is evident as early as 4 months of age and is probably inherited.

Jim Spellman/WireImage/Getty Images



● FIGURE 5.9

A model of the various ways a social anxiety disorder may develop. (From Barlow, D. H. (2002). *Anxiety and its disorders: The nature and treatment of anxiety and panic*. (2nd ed.). New York: Guilford Press.)

and experiences just described must also have learned growing up that social evaluation in particular can be dangerous, creating a specific psychological vulnerability to develop social anxiety. Evidence indicates that some people with SAD are predisposed to focus their anxiety on events involving social evaluation. Some investigators (Bruch & Heimberg, 1994; Rapee & Mervin, 1997) suggest that the parents of patients with social anxiety disorder are significantly more socially fearful and concerned with the opinions of others than are the parents of patients with panic disorder and that they pass this concern on to their children (Lieb et al., 2000). Fyer, Mannuzza, Chapman, Liebowitz, and Klein (1993) reported that the relatives of people with SAD had a significantly greater risk of developing it than the relatives of individuals without SAD (16% versus 5%)—thus, the specific psychological vulnerability depicted in ● Figure 5.9. As you can see, a combination of biological and psychological events seems to lead to the development of SAD.

Treatment

Effective treatments have been developed for SAD (Barlow & Lehman, 1996; Hofmann, 2007b; Hofmann & Otto, 2008; Hofmann & Smits, 2008; Heimberg & Magee, 2014). Clark and colleagues (2006) evaluated a cognitive therapy program that

emphasized real-life experiences during therapy to disprove automatic perceptions of danger. This program substantially benefited 84% of individuals receiving treatment, and these results were maintained at a 1-year follow-up. This outcome is the best yet for this difficult condition and significantly better than previous approaches to which it has been compared. Subsequent studies indicated that this treatment was clearly superior to a second very credible treatment, interpersonal psychotherapy (IPT) both immediately after treatment and at a 1-year follow-up, even when delivered in a center specializing in treatment with IPT (Stangier, Schramm, Heidenreich, Berger, & Clark, 2011).

A similar approach was developed at our center (Hofmann, 2007b; Hofmann & Otto, 2008). This treatment specifically targets the different factors that are maintaining the disorder. One important reason why SAD is maintained in the presence of repeated exposure to social cues is because individuals with SAD engage in a variety of avoidance and safety behaviors to reduce the risk of rejection and, more generally, prevent patients from critically evaluating their catastrophic beliefs about how embarrassed and foolish they will look if they attempt to interact with somebody. Social mishap exposures directly target the patients' beliefs by confronting them with the actual consequences of such mishaps, such as what would happen if you spilled something all over yourself while you were

talking to somebody for the first time (Hofmann & Otto, 2008). As a group intervention, this treatment was associated with an 82% completion rate and a 73% response rate, which was maintained at 6-month follow-up (Hofmann et al., 2013). Brain imaging studies showed that brain measures before treatment can strongly predict the extent to which CBT reduces symptoms in patients with social anxiety disorder (Doehrmann et al., 2013; Whitfield-Gabrieli et al., in press) and that CBT leads to changes in brain activity associated with emotional processing (Goldin et al., 2013; Klumpp, Fitzgerald, & Phan, 2013; Måansson et al., 2013).

We have adapted these protocols for use with adolescents, directly involving parents in the group treatment process. Results of numerous studies suggest that severely socially anxious adolescents can attain relatively normal functioning in school and other social settings after receiving cognitive behavioral treatment (Albano & Barlow, 1996; Garcia-Lopez et al., 2006; Masia-Warner et al., 2005; Scharfstein, Beidel, Finnell, Distler, & Carter, 2011). Several clinical trials have now compared individual and family-based treatment approaches for youth with social anxiety; while both treatment approaches appear to be equally efficacious (Barmish & Kendall 2005), family-based treatment appears to outperform individual treatment when the child's parents also have an anxiety disorder (Kendall, Hudson, Gosch, Flannery-Schroeder, & Suveg, 2008). A more recent long-term follow up study indicates that youth who receive a parent component as part of anxiety treatment are significantly more likely to be diagnosis-free three years following treatment (Cobham, Dadds, Spence & McDermott, 2010), and a family-based intervention can even prevent the onset of anxiety disorders in the children of anxious parents (Ginsburg, Drake, Tein, Teetsel, & Riddle, 2015). Once the child develops an anxiety disorder, early treatment with CBT can be successful to treat the symptoms or prevent future problems with anxiety (Benjamin, Harrison, Settipani, Brodman, & Kendall, 2013; Ginsburg et al., 2014), with a slight advantage of family-based CBT over child-based CBT (Schneider et al., 2013).

Effective drug treatments have been discovered as well (Van Ameringen, Mancini, Patterson, & Simpson, 2009). For a time, clinicians assumed that beta-blockers (drugs that lower heart rate and blood pressure, such as Inderal) would work, particularly for performance anxiety, but the evidence did not seem to support that contention (Liebowitz et al., 1992; Turner, Beidel, & Jacob, 1994). Since 1999, the SSRIs Paxil, Zoloft, and Effexor have received approval from the Food and Drug Administration for treatment of SAD based on studies showing effectiveness compared with placebo (see, for example, Stein et al., 1998).

Several major studies have compared psychological and drug treatments. One impressive study compared Clark's cognitive therapy described earlier with the SSRI drug Prozac, along with instructions to the patients with SAD to attempt to engage in more social situations (self-exposure). A third group received placebo plus instructions to attempt to engage in more social activities. Assessments were conducted before the 16-week treatment, at the midpoint of treatment, posttreatment, and then after 3 months of booster sessions. Finally, researchers followed up with patients in the two treatment groups 12 months later (Clark et al., 2003). Results are presented in Figure 5.10. Both




FIGURE 5.10

Results from a comparison of Prozac and instructions to attempt more social interactions or "self-exposure" (Prozac 1 SE), placebo and the same instruction (placebo 1 SE), and cognitive therapy (CT) in the treatment of patients with generalized social phobia. (Reprinted, with permission, from Clark, D. M., Ehlers, A., McManus, F., Hackmann, A., Fennell, M., Campbell, H., Flower, T., Davenport, C., & Louis, B. (2003). Cognitive therapy versus fluoxetine in generalized social phobia: A randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 71, 1058–1067, © 2003 American Psychological Association.

treatments did well, but the psychological treatment was substantially better at all times, with most patients cured or nearly cured with few remaining symptoms. Also, gains made during CT were maintained when assessed after five years (Mörtsberg, Clark, & Bejerot, 2011).

The evidence is mixed on the usefulness of combining SSRIs or related drugs with psychological treatments. Davidson, Foa, and Huppert (2004) found that a cognitive-behavioral treatment and an SSRI were comparable in efficacy but that the combination was no better than the two individual treatments.

Several exciting studies suggest that adding the drug D-cycloserine (DCS) to cognitive-behavioral treatments can enhance exposure therapy (Chasson et al., 2010; Wilhelm et al., 2008). Neuroscientists working with rats in the laboratory, such as Michael Davis at Emory University, learned that DCS made extinction work faster and last longer (Walker, Ressler, Lu, & Davis, 2002). Further research indicated that this drug works in the amygdala, a structure in the brain involved in the learning and unlearning of fear and anxiety. Unlike SSRIs, this drug is known to facilitate extinction of anxiety by modifying neurotransmitter flow in the glutamate system as described in Chapter 2 (Hofmann, 2007a). SSRIs and other antidepressants might even interact with DCS to block its facilitating effect on exposure therapy (Andersson et al., 2015).

When used with individuals suffering from SAD (or other anxiety disorders), DCS is given approximately an hour before the extinction or exposure trial, and the individual does not

take the drug on an ongoing basis. For example, Michael Otto and his colleagues in one of our clinics (Otto et al., 2010) administered cognitive-behavioral intervention to patients with panic disorder either with or without the drug. (That is, one group got the drug and the other group got a placebo, and neither the patients nor the therapists knew which group was getting the drug and which was not, making it a double-blind experiment.) The people who got the drug improved significantly more during treatment than those who didn't get the drug. This is particularly noteworthy because the feared cues for people with panic disorder are physical sensations, and the drug DCS helped extinguish anxiety triggered by sensations such as increased heart rate or respiration. We (Hofmann and colleagues (2006; 2013) found a similar result with social anxiety disorder. A recent extension of this earlier trial showed that DCS was associated with a 24% to 33% faster rate of improvement in symptom severity and remission rates relative to placebo during a full course, 12-week CBT intervention. At post-treatment, DCS did not improve the response and remission rates of the CBT intervention as compared with placebo, however (Hofmann et al., 2013). These findings are consistent with other studies suggesting that DCS primarily initiates the treatment effect sooner, but does not augment a full course of CBT (Hofmann, Sawyer, & Asnaani, 2012). If these results are replicated, it may be possible to treat not only SAD but also all anxiety disorders in a shorter period of time.

Selective Mutism

Now grouped with the anxiety disorders in *DSM-5*, selective mutism (SM) is a rare childhood disorder characterized by a lack of speech in one or more settings in which speaking is socially expected. As such, it seems clearly driven by social anxiety, since the failure to speak is not because of a lack of knowledge of speech or any physical difficulties, nor is it due to another disorder in which speaking is rare or can be impaired such as autism spectrum disorder. In fact, speech in selective mutism commonly occurs in some settings, such as home, but not others, such as school, hence the term "selective." In order to meet diagnostic criteria for SM, the lack of speech must occur for more than one month and cannot be limited to the first month of school. Further evidence that this disorder is strongly related to social anxiety is found in the high rates of comorbidity of SM and anxiety disorders, particularly SAD (Bögels et al. 2010). In fact, in one study, nearly 100% of a series of 50 children with selective mutism also met criteria for SAD (Dummit et al., 1997). Another recent study found substantially more social anxiety in children with SM than a matched control group without SM (Buzzella, Ehrenreich-May, & Pincus, 2011). Estimates of the prevalence of SM average about 0.5% of children with girls more affected than boys (Kumpulainen, 2002; Viana, Beidal, & Rabian, 2009).

Why does lack of speech in certain situations emerge as the specific symptom in selective mutism instead of other socially anxious behaviors? It is not entirely clear yet, but there is some evidence that well-meaning parents enable this behavior by being more readily able to intervene and "do their talking for them" (Buzzella et al., 2011).

Treatment employs many of the same cognitive behavioral principles used successfully to treat social anxiety in children but with a greater emphasis on speech (Carpenter, Puliafico, Kurtz, Pincus, & Comer, 2014). For example, in one of our clinics, we run a specialized program called "The Boston University Brave Buddies Camp." This is a week-long intensive group treatment program for children ages 4 to 8 who have been diagnosed with selective mutism or have difficulty speaking in social or school situations with familiar and/or unfamiliar peers and adults. The BU Brave Buddies Camp provides guided opportunities for children to interact with a number of new children and adults, participate in classroom-like activities (e.g., morning meeting, circle time, show and tell, group creative projects), engage in field trips (e.g., to the library, the park), and play socializing games that promote verbal participation ("brave talking") and spontaneous speaking. This approach utilizes behavioral interventions such as modeling, stimulus fading, and shaping that allow for gradual exposure to the speaking situation; these techniques are combined with a behavioral reward system for participation in treatment (Sacks, Comer, Furr, Pincus, & Kurtz, 2011; Furr et al., 2012). Results from this program have been very encouraging: 80 percent of 15 children who participated in this camp successfully initiating speech and maintaining speech productivity at a 2-year follow-up. Unfortunately, these highly specialized programs are not readily available at the present time.

Trauma and Stressor-Related Disorders

DSM-5 consolidates a group of formerly disparate disorders that all develop after a relatively stressful life event, often an extremely stressful or traumatic life event. This set of disorders—trauma and stressor-related disorders—include attachment disorders in childhood following inadequate or abusive child-rearing practices, adjustment disorders characterized by persistent anxiety and depression following a stressful life event, and reactions to trauma such as posttraumatic stress disorder and acute stress disorder. Investigators working in this area concluded that these disorders did not fit as neatly with other classes of disorders, such as the anxiety disorders as previously assumed. This is because trauma and stressor-related disorders all share a proximal instigating stressful event followed by intense emotional responses. Also, a wider range of emotions—such as rage, horror, guilt, and shame, in addition to fear and anxiety—may be implicated in the onset, particularly for posttraumatic stress disorder (Friedman et al., 2011; Keane, Marx, Sloan, & DePrince, 2011; Miller, Wolf, & Keane, 2014). We begin with a description of posttraumatic stress disorder.

Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)

In recent years, we have heard a great deal about the severe and long-lasting emotional disorders that can occur after a variety of traumatic events. For Americans, perhaps the most notorious traumatic events of this century have been the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan, the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001, or hurricanes (such as Hurricane Sandy in 2012). Still, emotional disorders also occur after physical assault (particularly rape), car accidents, natural catastrophes, or the sudden death of a loved one. **Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD)** is the best known of these disorders.

Clinical Description

DSM-5 describes the setting event for PTSD as exposure to a traumatic event during which an individual experiences or witnesses death or threatened death, actual or threatened serious injury, or actual or threatened sexual violation. Learning that the traumatic event occurred to a close family member or friend, or enduring repeated exposure to details of a traumatic event (as in first responders to a terrorist attack dealing with human remains) are also setting events. Afterward, victims reexperience the event through memories and nightmares. When memories occur suddenly, accompanied by strong emotion, and the victims find themselves reliving the event, they are having a *flashback*. Victims most often avoid anything that reminds them of the trauma. They often display a characteristic restriction or numbing of emotional responsiveness, which may be disruptive to interpersonal relationships. They are sometimes unable to remember certain aspects of the event. It is possible that victims unconsciously attempt to avoid the experience of emotion itself, like people with panic disorder, because intense emotions could bring back memories of the trauma. Finally, victims typically are chronically overaroused, easily startled, and quick to anger. New to *DSM-5* is the addition of “reckless or self-destructive behavior” under the PTSD E criteria

as one sign of increased arousal and reactivity. Also new to *DSM-5* is the addition of a “dissociative” subtype describing victims who do not necessarily react with the reexperiencing or hyperarousal, characteristic of PTSD. Rather, individuals with PTSD who experience dissociation have less arousal than normal along with (dissociative) feelings of unreality (Wolf, Lunney, et al., 2012; Wolf, Miller, et al., 2012). Victims with PTSD seem to respond somewhat differently to treatment if they meet criteria for a dissociative subtype (Lanius, Brand, Vermetten, Frewen, & Spiegel, 2012).

PTSD was first named in 1980 in *DSM-III* (American Psychiatric Association, 1980), but it has a long history. In 1666, the British diarist Samuel Pepys witnessed the Great Fire of London, which caused substantial loss of life and property and threw the city into chaos for a time. He captured the events in an account that is still read today. But Pepys did not escape the effects of the horrific event. Six months later, he wrote, “It is strange to think how to this very day I cannot sleep a night without great terrors of fire; and this very night could not sleep to almost 2 in the morning through thoughts of fire” (Daly, 1983, p. 66). The *DSM-5* criteria show that difficulty sleeping and recurring intrusive dreams of the event are prominent features of PTSD. Pepys described his guilt at saving himself and his property while others died. He also experienced a sense of detachment and a numbing of his emotions concerning the fire, common experiences in PTSD (Keane & Miller, 2012).

Consider the case of the Joneses from one of our clinics.

The Joneses...

One Victim, Many Traumas

Mrs. Betty Jones and her four children arrived at a farm to visit a friend. (Mr. Jones was at work.) Jeff, the oldest child, was 8 years old. Marcie, Cathy, and Susan were 6, 4, and 2 years of age. Mrs. Jones parked the car in the driveway, and they all started across the yard to the front door. Suddenly Jeff heard growling somewhere near the house. Before he could warn the others, a large German shepherd charged and leapt at Marcie, the 6 year old, knocking her to the ground and tearing viciously at her face. The family, too stunned to move, watched the attack helplessly. After what seemed like an eternity, Jeff lunged at the dog and it moved away. The owner of the dog, in a state of panic, ran to a nearby house to get help. Mrs. Jones immediately put pressure on Marcie’s facial wounds in an attempt to stop the bleeding. The owner had neglected to retrieve the dog, and it stood a short distance away, growling and barking at the frightened family. Eventually, the dog was restrained and Marcie was rushed to the hospital. Marcie, who was hysterical, had to be restrained on a padded board so that emergency room physicians could stitch her wounds. •

This case is unusual because not only did Marcie develop PTSD, but so did her 8-year-old brother. In addition, Cathy, 4, and Susan, 2, although quite young, showed symptoms of the disorder, as did their mother (see Table 5.7) (Albano, Miller,

TABLE 5.7

**Symptoms of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder
(PTSD) Evidenced by Marcie and Her Siblings**

Symptoms	Jeff	Marcie	Cathy	Susan
Repetitive play—trauma themes		×	×	×
Nightmares	×	×	×	×
Reexperiencing	×			
Distress at exposure to similar stimuli	×	×	×	×
Avoidance of talk of trauma	×	×		
Avoidance of trauma recollections	×			
Regressive behavior	×	×		
Detachment	×	×		
Restricted affect	×	×		
Sleep disturbance	×	×	×	×
Anger outbursts	×	×		
Hypervigilance	×	×		
Startle response	×	×		
<i>DSM-III-R PTSD diagnosis met</i>	×	×		

Source: From Albano, A. M., Miller, P. P., Zarate, R., Côté, G., & Barlow, D. H. (1997). Behavioral assessment and treatment of PTSD in prepubertal children: Attention to developmental factors and innovative strategies in the case study of a family. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, 4, 245–262.

Zarate, Côté, & Barlow, 1997). Jeff evidenced classic survivor guilt symptoms, reporting that he should have saved Marcie or at least put himself between Marcie and the dog. Both Jeff and Marcie regressed developmentally, wetting the bed (nocturnal enuresis) and experiencing nightmares and separation fears. In addition, Marcie, having been strapped down and given a local anesthetic and stitches, became frightened of any medical procedures and even of such routine daily events as having her nails trimmed or taking a bath. Furthermore, she refused to be tucked into bed, something she had enjoyed all her life, probably because it reminded her of the hospital board. Jeff started sucking his fingers, which he had not done for years. These behaviors, along with intense separation anxiety, are common, particularly in younger children (Eth, 1990; Silverman & La Greca, 2002). Cathy, the 4-year-old, evidenced considerable fear and avoidance when tested but denied having any problem when she was interviewed by a child psychologist. Susan, the 2-year-old, also had some symptoms, as shown in Table 5.7, but was too young to talk about them. For several months following the trauma, however, she repeatedly said, without provocation, “Doggy bit sister.”

Since many individuals experience strong reactions to stressful events that typically disappear within a month, the diagnosis of PTSD cannot be made until at least one month after the occurrence of the traumatic event. In *PTSD with delayed onset*, individuals show few or no symptoms immediately or for months after a trauma, but at least 6 months later, and perhaps years afterward, develop full-blown PTSD (O’Donnell et al., 2013). Why onset is delayed in some individuals is not yet clear.

As we noted, PTSD cannot be diagnosed until a month after the trauma. In *DSM-IV* a disorder called **acute stress disorder** was introduced. This is similar to PTSD, occurring within the first month after the trauma, but the different name emphasizes the severe reaction that some people have immediately (Cardena & Carlson, 2011). According to a recent survey, approximately 50% of individuals with acute stress disorder went on to develop PTSD (Bryant, 2010; Bryant, Friedman, Spiegel, Ursano, & Strain, 2011). But these surveys also indicated that as many as 52% of a sample of trauma survivors who went on to develop PTSD did not meet criteria for acute stress disorder in the month following the trauma (Bryant et al., 2011). Acute stress disorder was included in *DSM-IV* because many people with severe early reactions to trauma could not otherwise be diagnosed and, therefore, could not receive insurance coverage for immediate treatment. The surveys described above confirm that people with early severe reactions to traumatic stress are severely impacted and can benefit from treatment. But these early reactions are not particularly good predictors of who will go on to develop PTSD.

Statistics

Determining the prevalence rates for PTSD seems relatively straightforward: Simply observe victims of a trauma and see how many are suffering from PTSD. But a number of studies have demonstrated the remarkably low prevalence of PTSD in populations of trauma victims. Rachman, in a classic study, reported on the British citizenry who endured numerous life-threatening air raids during World War II. He concluded that “a great majority of people endured the air raids extraordinarily well, contrary to the universal expectation of mass panic. Exposure to repeated bombings did not produce a significant increase in psychiatric disorders. Although short-lived fear reactions were common, surprisingly few persistent phobic reactions emerged” (Rachman, 1991, p. 162). Similar results have been observed from classic studies following disastrous fires, earthquakes, and floods (e.g., Green, Grace, Lindy, Titchener, & Lindy, 1983).

Phillip Saigh (1984) made some interesting observations when he was teaching at the American University in Beirut, Lebanon, just before and during the Israeli invasion in the early 1980s. Saigh had been collecting questionnaires measuring anxiety among university students just before the invasion. When the invasion began, half these students escaped to the surrounding mountains and were safe. The other half endured intense shelling and bombing for a period. Saigh continued administering the questionnaires and found a surprising result. There were no significant long-term differences between the group in the mountains and the group in the city, although a few students in the city who were closely exposed to danger and death did develop emotional reactions that progressed into PTSD. In contrast, some studies have found a high incidence of PTSD after trauma. Large studies are now available on the prevalence of PTSD in veterans of the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan. Based on experiences during the Vietnam War, military mental health officials were very concerned that rates of PTSD might be as high as 30% or more

(McNally, 2012b). A recent survey of women who were active-duty military personnel during the Vietnam War suggested that the lifetime prevalence rate of PTSD among the 4,219 women who responded to the survey was 20.1% (Magruder et al., 2015). In contrast, the results of other investigations are less dire than expected. Based on a study of more than 47,000 members of the armed forces, “only” 4.3% of personnel developed PTSD. For those experiencing combat, exposure rates increased to 7.6% versus 1.4% among those not experiencing combat (Smith et al., 2008). Of course, given the large number of personnel deployed over the past decade, this still amounts to an enormous number of soldiers suffering from PTSD. In the population as a whole, surveys indicate that 6.8% have experienced PTSD at some point in their life (Kessler, Berglund, Demler, et al., 2005) and 3.5% during the past year (Kessler, Chiu, et al., 2005). For adolescents, the corresponding figure is 3.9% (Kessler et al., 2012). Breslau (2012) reports, based on large population surveys, the likelihood of developing PTSD after a specific trauma. Results are presented in Table 5.8. As one can see, the highest rates are associated with experiences of rape; being held captive, tortured, or kidnapped; or being badly assaulted. The rates of PTSD after these experiences, which Breslau collects under the heading of “assaultive violence,” are far higher than other categories. Even more tragic are rates of PTSD in women who have experienced repeated sexual assaults. Compared with those for nonvictims, the rates of PTSD are 2.4 to 3.5 times higher for women experiencing a single sexual assault or rape and 4.3 to 8.2 times higher for those who have been re-victimized (Walsh et al., 2012).

What accounts for the discrepancies between the low rate of PTSD in citizens who endured bombing and shelling in London and Beirut and the relatively high rate in victims of assaultive violence? Investigators have now concluded that during air raids, many people may not have directly experienced the horrors of dying, death, and direct attack. Close exposure to the trauma seems to be necessary to developing this disorder (Friedman, M. J., 2009; Keane & Barlow, 2002). But this is also evident among Vietnam veterans, among whom 18.7% developed PTSD, with prevalence rates directly related to amount of combat exposure (Dohrenwend, Turner, & Turse, 2006). Surveys of 76 victims of Hurricane Katrina in 2005 also report a doubling of severe mental illness based on extent of direct exposure to danger (Kessler, Galea, Jones, & Parker, 2006). The connection between proximity to the traumatic event and the development of PTSD was starkly evident following the tragedy of 9/11. Galea and colleagues (2002) contacted a representative sample of adults living south of 110th Street in Manhattan and found that 7.5% reported symptoms consistent with a diagnosis of acute stress disorder or PTSD. But among respondents who lived close to the World Trade Center (south of Canal Street), the prevalence of the disorder was 20%. Again, those who experienced the disaster most personally and directly seemed to be the ones most affected.

In addition, tens of thousands of public school children in New York City who lived close to the disaster experienced chronic nightmares, fear of public places, and other symptoms of PTSD. After the attack, a large study conducted with the help of federal agencies estimated that 75,000 schoolchildren in New York City in grades 4 through 12, or 10.5% of children in those

grades, suffered PTSD after September 11 (Goodnough, 2002). In addition, 155 suffered from agoraphobia, or a fear of leaving a safe place such as home. Many of these children feared riding public transportation. Two-thirds of the children sampled lived near the World Trade Center or in other neighborhoods directly affected by the tragedy, such as Staten Island, home to many who were killed, or Brooklyn, where smoke drifted over neighborhoods for days. We also know that once it appears, PTSD tends to last (i.e., it runs a chronic course) (Breslau, 2012; Perkonigg et al., 2005). Since a diagnosis of PTSD predicts suicidal attempts independently of any other problem, such as alcohol abuse, every case should be taken very seriously (Wilcox, Storr, & Breslau, 2009).

But is this the whole story? It seems not. Some people experience the most horrifying traumas imaginable and emerge psychologically healthy. For others, even relatively mild stressful events are sufficient to produce a full-blown disorder. Moreover, PTSD symptoms change over time, more for some people than for others, which may be due to individual differences in resiliency, coping skills, levels of trauma exposure, early adversities, ongoing stress, and even the presence of mild traumatic brain injuries (Berntsen et al., 2012; Bonnano, 2004; Bryant, O’Donnell, Creamer, McFarlane, & Silove, 2013; Marmer et al., 2015; Nash, Boasso, Steenkamp, Larson, Lubin, & Litz, 2014). To better understand why trauma influences some people more than others, we must consider the etiology of PTSD.

Causes

PTSD is the one disorder for which we know the cause at least in terms of the precipitating event: Someone personally experiences a trauma and develops a disorder. Whether or not a person develops PTSD, however, is a surprisingly complex issue involving biological, psychological, and social factors. We know that intensity of exposure to assaultive violence contributes to the etiology of PTSD (Dohrenwend, Yager, Wall, & Adams, 2012; Friedman, 2009) but does not account for all of it. To take a particularly dramatic example, approximately 67% of prisoners



Andy Nelson/The Christian Science Monitor/Getty Images

Exposure to a traumatic event may create profound fear and helplessness. People who suffer from PTSD may reexperience such feelings in flashbacks, involuntarily reliving the horrifying event.

TABLE 5.7

Diagnostic Criteria for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder

5

- A.** Exposure to actual or threatened death, serious injury, or sexual violence in one (or more) of the following ways:
1. Directly experiencing the traumatic event(s).
 2. Witnessing, in person, the event(s) as they occurred to others.
 3. Learning that the event(s) occurred to a close relative or close friend. In cases of actual or threatened death of a family member or friend, the event(s) must have been violent or accidental.
 4. Experiencing repeated or extreme exposure to aversive details of the traumatic event(s) (e.g., first responders collecting human remains; police officers repeatedly exposed to details of child abuse).
- Note: Criterion A4 does not apply to exposure through electronic media, television, movies, or pictures, unless this exposure is work related.
- B.** Presence of one (or more) of the following intrusion symptoms associated with the traumatic event(s), beginning after the traumatic event(s) occurred:
1. Recurrent, involuntary and intrusive distressing memories of the traumatic event(s). Note: In young children, repetitive play may occur in which themes or aspects of the traumatic event(s) are expressed.
 2. Recurrent distressing dreams in which the content and/or affect of the dream are related to the traumatic event(s). Note: In children, there may be frightening dreams without recognizable content.
 3. Dissociative reactions (e.g., flashbacks) in which the individual feels or acts as if the traumatic event(s) were recurring. (Such reactions occur on a continuum, with the most extreme expression being a complete loss of awareness of present surroundings.) Note: In young children, trauma-specific reenactment may occur in play.
 4. Intense or prolonged psychological distress at exposure to internal or external cues that symbolize or resemble an aspect of the traumatic event(s).
 5. Marked physiological reactions to internal or external cues that symbolize or resemble an aspect of the traumatic event(s).
- C.** Persistent avoidance of stimuli associated with the traumatic event(s), beginning after the traumatic event(s) occurred, as evidenced by one or both of the following:
1. Avoidance of or efforts to avoid distressing memories, thoughts, feelings, or conversations about or closely associated with the traumatic event(s).
 2. Avoidance of or efforts to avoid external reminders (people, places, conversations, activities, objects, situations) that arouse distressing memories, thoughts, or feelings about or closely associated with the traumatic event(s).
- D.** Negative alterations in cognitions and mood associated with the traumatic event(s), beginning or worsening after the traumatic event(s) occurred, as evidenced by two (or more) of the following:
1. Inability to remember an important aspect of the traumatic event(s) (typically due to dissociative amnesia and not to other factors such as head injury, alcohol, or drugs).
 2. Persistent and exaggerated negative beliefs or expectations about oneself, others, or the world (e.g., "I am bad," "no one can be trusted," "the world is completely dangerous," "My whole nervous system is permanently ruined").
 3. Persistent distorted cognitions about the cause or consequences of the traumatic event(s) that lead the individual to blame himself/herself or others.
 4. Persistent negative emotional state (e.g., fear, horror, anger, guilt, or shame).
 5. Markedly diminished interest or participation in significant activities.
 6. Feelings of detachment or estrangement from others.
 7. Persistent inability to experience positive emotions (e.g., inability to experience happiness, satisfaction, or loving feelings).
- E.** Marked alterations in arousal and reactivity associated with the traumatic event(s), beginning or worsening after the traumatic event(s) occurred, as evidenced by two (or more) of the following:
1. Irritable behavior and angry outbursts (with little or no provocation) typically expressed as verbal or physical aggression toward people or objects.
 2. Reckless or self-destructive behavior.
 3. Hypervigilance.
 4. Exaggerated startle response.
 5. Problems with concentration
- F.** Sleep disturbance (e.g., difficulty falling or staying asleep or restless sleep). Duration of the disturbance (Criteria B, C, D and E) is more than one month.
- G.** The disturbance causes clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.
- H.** The disturbance is not attributable to the physiological effects of a substance (e.g., medication, alcohol) or another medical condition.

Specify if:

With delayed expression: If the full diagnostic criteria are not met until at least 6 months after the event (although it is understood that onset and expression of some symptoms may be immediate).

Specify whether:

With Dissociative Symptoms: The individual's symptoms meet the criteria for posttraumatic stress disorder, and in addition, in response to the stressor, the individual experiences persistent or recurrent symptoms of either depersonalization or derealization.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

TABLE 5.8

Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) risk associated with specific traumas*

Conditional Risk of PTSD across	Specific Traumas, % PTSD (SE)
Assaultive violence	20.9 (3.4)
Military combat	0 (0.0)**
Rape	49.0 (12.2)
Held captive/tortured/kidnapped	53.8 (23.4)
Shot/stabbed	15.4 (13.7)
Sexual assault other than rape	23.7 (10.8)
Mugged/threatened with weapon	8.0 (3.7)
Badly beaten up	31.9 (8.6)
Other injury or shock	6.1 (1.4)
Serious car crash	2.3 (1.3)
Other serious accident	16.8 (6.2)
Natural disaster	3.8 (3.0)
Life-threatening illness	1.1 (0.9)
Child's life-threatening illness	10.4 (9.8)
Witnessed killing/serious injury	7.3 (2.5)
Discovering dead body	0.2 (0.2)
Learning about others	2.2 (0.7)
Close relative raped	3.6 (1.7)
Close relative attacked	4.6 (2.9)
Close relative car crash	0.9 (0.5)
Close relative other accident	0.4 (0.4)
Sudden unexpected death	14.3 (2.6)
Any trauma	9.2 (1.0)

*Labels of traumas are abbreviated

**The risk is 0 because none of these civilian cases were subjected to combat.

of war in Vietnam developed PTSD (Foy, Resnick, Sippelle, & Carroll, 1987). This means that 33% of the prisoners who endured long-term deprivation and torture *did not* develop the disorder; perhaps the best known among the group is Senator John McCain. Similarly, children experiencing severe burns are likely to develop PTSD in proportion to the severity of the burns and the pain associated with them (Saxe et al., 2005). At lower levels of trauma, some people develop PTSD, but most do not. What accounts for these differences?

As with other disorders, we bring our own generalized biological and psychological vulnerabilities with us. The greater the vulnerability, the more likely we are to develop PTSD. If certain characteristics run in your family, you have a much greater chance of developing the disorder. A family history of anxiety suggests a generalized biological vulnerability for PTSD. True and colleagues (1993) reported that, given the same amount of combat exposure and one twin with PTSD, a monozygotic (identical) twin was more likely to develop PTSD than a dizygotic (fraternal) twin. The correlation of symptoms in identical twins was between 0.28 and 0.41, whereas for fraternal twins it was between 0.11 and 0.24, which suggests some genetic influence in the development of PTSD. Nevertheless, as with other disorders, there is little or no evidence that genes directly cause

PTSD (Norrholm & Ressler, 2009). Rather, the stress-diathesis model described in Chapter 2 comes into play again since genetic factors predispose individuals to be easily stressed and anxious, which then may make it more likely that a traumatic experience will result in PTSD (Uddin, Amstadter, Nugent, & Koenen, 2012). This was demonstrated recently in a study of female undergraduates who witnessed a tragic shooting on the campus of Northern Illinois University in 2008. While all experienced the same traumatic experience, specific characteristics of what is referred to as the serotonin transporter gene involving two short alleles (SS) described as increasing the probability of becoming depressed in Chapter 2 (Caspi et al., 2003), also increased the probability of experiencing symptoms of acute stress after the shooting, even though other factors such as amount of exposure to the shooting were equalized (Mercer et al., 2012). Wang and colleagues (2011) identified the same genetic risk factors in combat veterans.

Breslau, Davis, and Andreski (1995; Breslau, 2012) demonstrated among a random sample of 1,200 individuals that characteristics such as a tendency to be anxious, as well as factors such as minimal education, predict exposure to traumatic events in the first place and therefore an increased risk for PTSD. Breslau, Lucia, and Alvarado (2006) elaborated on this finding by showing that 6-year-old children with externalizing (acting out) problems were more likely to encounter trauma (such as assaults), probably because of their acting out, and later develop PTSD. Higher intelligence predicted decreased exposure to these types of traumatic events. That is, personality and other characteristics, some of them at least partially heritable, may predispose people to the experience of trauma by making it likely that they will be in (risky) situations where trauma is likely to occur (Norrholm & Ressler, 2009). This is reminiscent of the studies on reciprocal gene-environment interactions we described in Chapter 2, in which existing vulnerabilities, some of them heritable, may help determine the kind of environment in which someone lives and, therefore, the type of psychological disorder that person may develop.

Also, there seems to be a generalized psychological vulnerability described in the context of other disorders based on early experiences with unpredictable or uncontrollable events. Family instability is one factor that may instill a sense that the world is an uncontrollable, potentially dangerous place (Chorpita & Barlow, 1998; Suárez et al., 2009), so it is not surprising that individuals from unstable families are at increased risk for developing PTSD if they experience trauma. Family instability was found to be a pre-war risk factor for the development of PTSD in a study of more than 1,600 male and female Vietnam veterans (King et al., 1996, 2012).

In addition, there are certain biological individual characteristics (biomarkers) that appear to increase the likelihood for developing PTSD. In one study, Telch and colleagues (Telch, Rosenfield, Lee, & Pai, 2012) asked 158 soldiers before their deployment to Iraq to inhale air that was enriched with 35% carbon dioxide. Inhaling such gas mixture typically leads to strong physiological symptoms (shortness of breath, tingling, sweating, etc.) and anxiety in some people. Telch and colleagues found that those who reported more emotional reactivity to the gas mixture also experienced the war zone as much

more stressful after they came back from Iraq. These soldiers were also at greater risk for developing PTSD symptoms and other anxiety and stress symptoms later on. This example illustrates how certain vulnerabilities can lead to a disorder when the person is exposed to stressors.

Finally, social factors play a major role in the development of PTSD (Ruzek, 2012; King et al., 2012). The results from a number of studies are consistent in showing that, if you have a strong and supportive group of people around you, it is much less likely you will develop PTSD after a trauma (Friedman, 2009). These factors seem to be true around the world, because the reaction to trauma is similar across cultures, as a study comparing American and Russian adolescents demonstrated (Ruchkin et al., 2005). In a particularly interesting study, Vernberg, La Greca, Silverman, and Prinstein (1996) studied 568 elementary school children 3 months after Hurricane Andrew hit the coast of south Florida. More than 55% of these children reported moderate to severe levels of PTSD symptoms, a typical result for this type of disaster (La Greca & Prinstein, 2002). When the authors examined factors contributing to who developed PTSD symptoms and who didn't, social support from parents, close friends, classmates, and teachers was an important protective factor. Similarly, positive coping strategies involving active problem solving seemed to be protective, whereas becoming angry and placing blame on others were associated with higher levels of PTSD. The broader and deeper the network of social support, the less the chance of developing PTSD. Longer-term follow-up of children at 9 and 21 months after hurricane Charley hit Florida in 2004 confirmed that strong social support systems reduced the persistence of posttraumatic stress symptoms over time (La Greca, Silverman, Lai, & Jaccard, 2010).

Why is this? As you saw in Chapter 2, we are all social animals, and something about having a loving, caring group of people around us directly affects our biological and psychological responses to stress. A number of studies show that support from loved ones reduces cortisol secretion and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical (HPA) axis activity in children during stress (see, for example, Tarullo & Gunnar, 2006). It is likely that one reason for the high prevalence of PTSD in Vietnam veterans compared with veterans from Iraq and Afghanistan was the tragic absence of social support when those veterans returned from Vietnam.

It seems clear that PTSD involves a number of neurobiological systems, particularly elevated or restricted corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF), which indicates heightened activity in the HPA axis, as described earlier in this chapter and in Chapter 2 (Amat et al., 2005; Gunnar & Fisher, 2006; Shin et al., 2004; Shin et al., 2009; Yehuda, Pratchett, & Pelcovitz, 2012). Chronic arousal associated with HPA axis and some other symptoms of PTSD may be directly related to changes in brain function and structure (Bremner, 1999; McEwen & Magarinos, 2004) that in turn influence treatment response (Rauch et al., 2014). For example, evidence of damage to the hippocampus has appeared in groups of patients with war-related PTSD (Gurvits et al., 1996; Wang et al., 2010), adult survivors of childhood sexual abuse (Bremner et al., 1995), and firefighters exposed to extreme trauma (Shin et al., 2004). The hippocampus is a part of the brain that plays an important role in regulating the

HPA axis and in learning and memory. Thus, if there is damage to the hippocampus, we might expect persistent and chronic arousal as well as some disruptions in learning and memory. These memory deficits are evident in veterans of the Gulf War (Vasterling, Brailey, Constans, & Sotker, 1998) and Holocaust survivors with PTSD, as compared with Holocaust survivors without PTSD or healthy Jewish adults (Golier et al., 2002). Fortunately, some evidence indicates this damage to the hippocampus may be reversible. For example, Starkman and colleagues (1999) reported results from patients who had some damage to their hippocampus because of Cushing's disease, which causes chronic activation of the HPA axis and increased flow of cortisol. They found increases of up to 10% in hippocampal volume following successful treatment for this disease. Further studies will confirm if the changes as a result of trauma can be reversed by treatment.

Earlier we described a panic attack as an adaptive fear response occurring at an inappropriate time. We have speculated that the “alarm reaction” that is a panic attack is similar in both panic disorder and PTSD but that in panic disorder the alarm is false. In PTSD, the initial alarm is true in that real danger is present (Jones & Barlow, 1990; Keane & Barlow, 2002). If the alarm is severe enough, we may develop a conditioned or learned alarm reaction to stimuli that remind us of the trauma (for example, being tucked into bed may have reminded Marcie of the emergency room board) (Lissek & Grillon, 2012). We may also develop anxiety about the possibility of additional uncontrollable emotional experiences (such as flashbacks, which are common in PTSD). Whether or not we develop anxiety partly depends on our vulnerabilities. This model of the etiology of PTSD is presented in ● Figure 5.11.

Treatment

From the psychological point of view, most clinicians agree that victims of PTSD should face the original trauma, process the intense emotions, and develop effective coping procedures in order to overcome the debilitating effects of the disorder (Beck & Sloan, 2012; Najavits, 2007; Monson, Resick, & Rizvi, 2014). In psychoanalytic therapy, reliving emotional trauma to relieve emotional suffering is called *catharsis*. The trick is in arranging the reexposure so that it will be therapeutic rather than traumatic. Unlike the object of a specific phobia, a traumatic event is difficult to recreate, and few therapists want to try. Therefore, *imaginal exposure*, in which the content of the trauma and the emotions associated with it are worked through systematically, has been used for decades under a variety of names. At present, the most common strategy to achieve this purpose with adolescents or adults is to work with the victim to develop a narrative of the traumatic experience and to expose the patients for an extended period of time to the image (*prolonged exposure therapy*) that is then reviewed extensively in treatment (Eftekhari et al., 2013; Foa, Gillihan, & Bryant, 2013; Foa, McLean, Capaldi, & Rosenfield, 2013). Recent research suggests the effects of the exposure practices may be strengthened by strategically timing the exposure treatment with sleep. Patients in the study were asked to take a nap soon after an exposure, because extinction learning appears to take place during slow wave sleep




FIGURE 5.11

A model of the causes of PTSD. (Reprinted, with permission, from Barlow, D. H. (2002). *Anxiety and its disorders: The nature and treatment of anxiety and panic* (2nd ed.). New York: Guilford Press, © 2002 Guilford Press.)

and also because sleep quality reduces anxiety (Pace-Schott, Germain, & Milad, 2015).

Cognitive therapy to correct negative assumptions about the trauma—such as blaming oneself in some way, feeling guilty, or both—is another part of treatment (Ehlers et al., 2014; Najavits, 2007; Monson et al., 2014).

Another complication is that trauma victims often repress the emotional side of their memories of the event and sometimes, it seems, the memory itself. This happens automatically and unconsciously. Occasionally, with treatment, the memories flood back and the patient dramatically relives the episode. Although this may be frightening to both patient and therapist, it can be therapeutic if handled appropriately. Evidence is now accumulating that early, structured interventions delivered as soon after the trauma as possible to those who require help are useful in preventing the development of PTSD (Bryant, Moulds, & Nixon, 2003; Ehlers et al., 2003; Kearns, Ressler, Zatzick, & Rothbaum, 2012), and these preventive psychological approaches seem more effective than medications (Shalev et al., 2012). For example, in the study by Ehlers and colleagues (2003) of patients who had experienced

a scary car accident and were clearly at risk for developing PTSD, only 11% developed PTSD after 12 sessions of cognitive therapy, compared with 61% of those receiving a detailed self-help booklet or 55% of those who were just assessed repeatedly over time but had no intervention. All patients who needed it were then treated with cognitive therapy. On the other hand, there is evidence that subjecting trauma victims to a single debriefing session, in which they are forced to express their feelings as to whether they are distressed or not, can be harmful (Ehlers & Clark, 2003).

Both Marcie, the young girl bitten by the dog, and her brother were treated simultaneously at our clinic. The primary difficulty was Marcie's reluctance to be seen by a doctor or to undergo any physical examinations, so a series of experiences was arranged from least to most intense (see Table 5.9). Mildly anxiety-provoking procedures for Marcie included having her pulse taken, lying on an examination table, and taking a bath after accidentally cutting herself. The most intense challenge was being strapped on a restraining board. First Marcie watched her brother go through these exercises. He was not afraid of these particular procedures, although he was anxious about being strapped to a board because of Marcie's terror at the thought. After she watched her brother experience these situations with little or no fear, Marcie tried each one in turn. The therapist took instant photographs of her that she kept after completing the procedures. Marcie was also asked to draw pictures of the situations. The therapist and her family warmly congratulated her as she completed each exercise. Because of Marcie's age, she was not adept at imaginatively recreating memories of the traumatic medical procedures. Therefore, her treatment offered experiences designed to alter her current perceptions of the situations. Marcie's

TABLE 5.9 Fear and Avoidance Hierarchy for Marcie

	Pretreatment Fear Rating	Posttreatment Fear Rating
Being strapped on a board	4	0
Having an electrocardiogram	4	0
Having a chest X-ray	4	0
Having doctor listen to heart with stethoscope	3	0
Lying on examination table	3	0
Taking a bath after sustaining an accidentally inflicted cut	3	0
Allowing therapist to put Band-Aid on a cut	2	0
Letting therapist listen to heart with stethoscope	1	0
Having pulse taken	1	0
Allowing therapist to examine throat with tongue depressor	1	0

Source: From Albano, A. M., Miller, P. P., Zarate, R., Côté, G., & Barlow, D. H. (1997). Behavioral assessment and treatment of PTSD in prepubertal children: Attention to developmental factors and innovative strategies in the case study of a family. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, 4, 254, © 1997 Association for Advancement of Behavior Therapy.

PTSD was successfully treated, and her brother's guilt was greatly reduced as a function of helping in her treatment.

We now have evidence that the strategies described above produce lasting changes. 144 female rape survivors who were treated with evidence-based psychological treatments were reassessed 5 to 10 years after treatment. The substantial decreases in symptoms originally observed were maintained with very little change over this period of time (Resick, Williams, Suvak, Monson, & Gradus, 2012). Another important study evaluated treatment for 40 heterosexual and same-sex couples in which one partner met criteria for PTSD. However, in this study the partner was directly included in treatment in order to address the severe disruptions in intimate relationships that often accompany PTSD and may lead to relapse (Monson et al., 2012). The outcome was significant improvement in PTSD symptoms, but also in relationship satisfaction that might contribute substantially to long-term adjustment. Drugs can also be effective for symptoms of PTSD (Dent & Bremner, 2009; Schneier et al., 2012). Some of the drugs, such as SSRIs (e.g., Prozac and Paxil), that are effective for anxiety disorders in general have been shown to be helpful for PTSD, perhaps because they relieve the severe anxiety and panic attacks so prominent in this disorder. Promising, but mixed, results have been reported for the use of d-cycloserine (DCS) as an augmentation strategy of CBT for PTSD (de Kleine, Hendriks, Kusters, Broekman, & van Minnen, 2012; Litz et al., 2012; Rothbaum et al., 2014). The study by Litz et al. (2012) suggests that DCS augmentation can lead to even worse outcome than placebo if exposure during CBT was unsuccessful. De Kleine and colleagues (2012) did not find an overall augmentation effect at the end of therapy, but DCS was more beneficial for individuals who had more severe PTSD before therapy and who needed longer treatment. Similarly, Rothbaum and colleagues (2014) showed that for people who are slow responders to CBT, augmentation with DCS may set them on a course for better response by the end of treatment. In general, DCS appears to have a fairly narrow therapeutic window and may not only augment extinction learning, but may also enhance a process called *fear memory reconsolidation* (referring to the process when fear memory is reactivated and stored back into long-term memory again). This can make "good" exposures better but also "bad" exposures worse (Hofmann, 2014).

Several other disorders in addition to PTSD are included in this general category. **Adjustment disorders** describe anxious or depressive reactions to life stress that are generally milder than one would see in acute stress disorder or PTSD but are nevertheless impairing in terms of interfering with work or school performance, interpersonal relationships, or other areas of living (Friedman et al., 2011; Strain & Friedman, 2011). Sometimes, particularly in adolescence, the life stress may provoke some conduct problems. The stressful events themselves would not be considered traumatic, but it is clear that the individual is nevertheless unable to cope with the demands of the situation and some intervention is typically required. If the symptoms persist for more than six months after the removal of the stress or its consequences, the adjustment disorder would be considered "chronic." In the past, adjustment disorder has often been used as a residual diagnostic category for people with significant anxiety or depression associated with an identifiable life stress that does not meet criteria for another anxiety or mood disorder. Partly for this reason, there has been very little research on these reactions. Presumably it describes individuals with the biological and psychological vulnerabilities that are described throughout this chapter and that are associated with trait anxiety that flares up when confronting stressful events, although not to the extent that it would meet criteria for another more serious disorder.

Attachment disorders refers to disturbed and developmentally inappropriate behaviors in children, emerging before five years of age, in which the child is unable or unwilling to form normal attachment relationships with caregiving adults. These seriously maladaptive patterns are due to inadequate or abusive child-rearing practices. In many cases, these inadequate child-rearing practices might be caused by frequent changes in the primary caregiver because of multiple foster care placements, or possibly just neglect in the home. In either case, the result is a failure to meet the child's basic emotional needs for affection, comfort, or even providing for the basic necessities of daily living. As such, these disorders are considered to be pathological reactions to early extreme stress (Kay & Green, 2013). In previous editions of the *DSM*, two different kinds of presentations were included under the heading "reactive attachment disorder." In *DSM-5*, two separate disorders are described, the first an emotionally withdrawn inhibited type, and the second an indiscriminately social disinhibited type (Zeanah & Gleason 2010; Gleason et al., 2011).

In **reactive attachment disorder**, the child will very seldom seek out a caregiver for protection, support, and nurturance and will seldom respond to offers from caregivers to provide this kind of care. Generally they would evidence lack of responsiveness, limited positive affect, and additional heightened emotionality, such as fearfulness and intense sadness. In **disinhibited social engagement disorder**, a similar set of child-rearing circumstances—perhaps including early persistent harsh punishment—would result in a pattern of behavior in which the child shows no inhibitions whatsoever to approaching adults. Such a child might engage in inappropriately intimate behavior by showing a willingness to immediately accompany an unfamiliar adult figure somewhere without first checking back with a caregiver. These patterns of behavior were combined into one disorder in *DSM-IV* but have been separated into two different disorders in the *DSM-5*, partly because of the markedly different presentations of inadequate detachment behavior (Gleason et al., 2011).

Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorders

Another new class of disorders in *DSM-5* brings together several disorders that share a number of characteristics, such as driven repetitive behaviors and some other symptoms, and a similar course and treatment response (Abramowitz & Jacoby, 2015). Previously these disorders had been scattered in other areas of *DSM-IV*. In addition to obsessive-compulsive disorder, which has been classified as an anxiety disorder until *DSM-5*, this grouping now includes a separate diagnostic category for hoarding disorder, body dysmorphic disorder previously located with the somatoform disorders, and trichotillomania previously grouped with the impulse control disorders. Also, another new disorder in this group is excoriation (skin picking) disorder. We begin with the most prominent disorder in this group, obsessive-compulsive disorder.

Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder

Among the persons suffering from anxiety and related disorders, a client who needs hospitalization is likely to have **obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD)**. A client referred for psychosurgery (neurosurgery for a psychological disorder) because every psychological and pharmacological treatment has failed, and the suffering is unbearable, probably has OCD. OCD is the devastating culmination of the anxiety disorders. It is not uncommon for someone with OCD to experience severe generalized anxiety, recurrent panic attacks, debilitating avoidance, and major depression, all occurring simultaneously with obsessive-compulsive symptoms. With OCD, establishing even a foothold of control and predictability over the dangerous events in life seems so utterly hopeless that victims resort to magic and rituals.

Clinical Description

In other anxiety disorders, the danger is usually in an external object or situation, or at least in the memory of one. In OCD, the dangerous event is a thought, image, or impulse that the client

attempts to avoid as completely as someone with a snake phobia avoids snakes (Clark & O'Connor, 2005). For example, has anyone ever told you not to think of pink elephants? If you really concentrate on not thinking of pink elephants, using every mental means possible, you will realize how difficult it is to suppress a suggested thought or image. Individuals with OCD fight this battle all day, every day, sometimes for most of their lives, and they usually fail miserably. In Chapter 3, we discussed the case of Frank, who experienced involuntary thoughts of epilepsy or seizures and prayed or shook his leg to try to distract himself. **Obsessions** are intrusive and mostly nonsensical thoughts, images, or urges that the individual tries to resist or eliminate. **Compulsions** are the thoughts or actions used to suppress the obsessions and provide relief. Frank had both obsessions and compulsions, but his disorder was mild compared with the case of Richard.

Richard...

Enslaved by Ritual

Richard, a 19-year-old college freshman majoring in philosophy, withdrew from school because of incapacitating ritualistic behavior. He abandoned personal hygiene because the compulsive rituals that he had to carry out during washing or cleaning were so time consuming that he could do nothing else. Almost continual showering gave way to no showering. He stopped cutting and washing his hair and beard, brushing his teeth, and changing his clothes. He left his room infrequently and, to avoid rituals associated with the toilet, defecated on paper towels, urinated in paper cups, and stored the waste in the closet. He ate only late at night when his family was asleep. To be able to eat, he had to exhale completely, making a lot of hissing noises, coughs, and hacks, and then fill his mouth with as much food as he could while no air was in his lungs. He would eat only a mixture of peanut butter, sugar, cocoa, milk, and mayonnaise. All other foods he considered contaminants. When he walked, he took small steps on his toes while continually looking back, checking and rechecking. Occasionally, he ran quickly in place. He withdrew his left arm completely from his shirt sleeve as if he were crippled and his shirt was a sling.

Like everyone with OCD, Richard experienced intrusive and persistent thoughts and impulses; in his case, they were about sex, aggression, and religion. His various behaviors were efforts to suppress sexual and aggressive thoughts or to ward off the disastrous consequences he thought would ensue if he did not perform his rituals. Richard performed most of the repetitive behaviors and mental acts mentioned in the *DSM-5* criteria. Compulsions can be either behavioral (hand-washing or checking) or mental (thinking about certain words in a specific order, counting, praying, and so on) (Foa et al., 1996; Purdon, 2009; Steketee & Barlow, 2002). The important thing is that they are believed to reduce stress or prevent a dreaded event. Compulsions are often “magical” in that they may bear no logical relation to the obsession. •

Types of Obsessions and Compulsions

Based on statistically associated groupings, there are four major types of obsessions (Bloch, Landeros-Weisenberger, Rosario, Pittenger, & Leckman, 2008; Mathews, 2009) and each is associated with a pattern of compulsive behavior (see Table 5.10). Symmetry obsessions account for most obsessions (26.7%), followed by “forbidden thoughts or actions” (21%), cleaning and contamination (15.9%), and hoarding (15.4%) (Bloch et al., 2008). Symmetry refers to keeping things in perfect order or doing something in a specific way. As a child, were you careful not to step

**DSM
5**

TABLE 5.8

Diagnostic Criteria for Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder

A. Presence of obsessions, compulsions or both:

Obsessions are defined by 1 and 2:

1. Recurrent and persistent thoughts, urges, or images that are experienced, at some time during the disturbance, as intrusive and inappropriate and that in most individuals cause marked anxiety or distress
2. The individual attempts to ignore or suppress such thoughts, impulses, or images, or to neutralize them with some other thought or action

Compulsions are defined by 1 and 2:

1. Repetitive behaviors (e.g., handwashing, ordering, checking) or mental acts (e.g., praying, counting, repeating words silently) that the individual feels driven to perform in response to an obsession, or according to rules that must be applied rigidly
 2. The behaviors or mental acts are aimed at preventing or reducing distress or preventing some dreaded event or situation; however, these behaviors or mental acts either are not connected in a realistic way with what they are designed to neutralize or prevent or are clearly excessive
- B. The obsessions or compulsions are time-consuming (e.g., take more than 1 hour per day), or cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational or other important areas of functioning.
- C. The disturbance is not due to the direct physiological effects of a substance (e.g., a drug of abuse, a medication) or another medical condition.
- D. The disturbance is not better explained by the symptoms of another mental disorder (e.g., excessive worries, as in generalized anxiety disorder, or preoccupation with appearance, as in body dysmorphic disorder).

Specify if:

With good or fair insight: the individual recognizes that obsessive-compulsive disorder beliefs are definitely or probably not true or that they may or may not be true.

With poor insight: The individual thinks obsessive-compulsive disorder beliefs are probably true.

With absent insight/delusional: the person is completely convinced that obsessive-compulsive disorder beliefs are true.

Specify if:

Tic-related: The individual has a current or past history of a tic disorder.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

TABLE 5.10 Types of Obsessions and Associated Compulsions

Symptom Subtype	Obsession	Compulsion
Symmetry/ exactness/ “just right”	Needing things to be symmetrical/aligned just so Urge to do things over and over until they feel “just right”	Putting things in a certain order Repeating rituals
Forbidden thoughts or actions (aggressive/ sexual/religious)	Fears, urges to harm self or others Fears of offending God	Checking Avoidance Repeated requests for reassurance
Cleaning/ contamination	Germs Fears of germs or contaminants	Repetitive or excessive washing Using gloves, masks to do daily tasks
Hoarding	Fears of throwing anything away	Collecting/saving objects with little or no actual or sentimental value such as food wrappings

Source: Adapted from Mathews (2009) and Bloch et al. (2008).

on cracks in the sidewalk? You and your friends might have kept this up for a few minutes before tiring of it. But what if you had to spend your whole life avoiding cracks, on foot or in a car, to prevent something bad from happening? You wouldn’t have much fun. People with aggressive (forbidden) obsessive impulses may feel they are about to yell out a swear word in church. One patient of ours, a young and moral woman, was afraid to ride the bus for fear that if a man sat down beside her she would grab his crotch! In reality, this would be the last thing she would do, but the aggressive urge was so horrifying that she made every attempt possible to suppress it and to avoid riding the bus or similar situations where the impulse might occur.

Certain kinds of obsessions are strongly associated with certain kinds of rituals (Bloch et al., 2008; Calamari et al., 2004; Leckman et al., 1997). For example, forbidden thoughts or actions, as indicated in Table 5.10, seem to lead to checking rituals. Checking rituals serve to prevent an imagined disaster or catastrophe. Many are logical, such as repeatedly checking the stove to see whether you turned it off, but severe cases can be illogical. For example, Richard thought that if he did not eat in a certain way he might become possessed. If he didn’t take small steps and look back, some disaster might happen to his family. A mental act, such as counting, can also be a compulsion. Obsessions with symmetry lead to ordering and arranging or repeating rituals; obsessions with contamination lead to washing rituals that may restore a sense of safety and control (Rachman, 2006). Like Richard, many patients have several kinds of obsessions and compulsions.

On rare occasions, patients, particularly children, will present with compulsions, but few or no identifiable obsessions. We saw an 8-year-old child who felt compelled to undress, put on his pajamas, and turn down the covers in a time-consuming fashion

each night; he always repeated the ritual three times. He could give no particular reason for his behavior; he simply had to do it.

Tic Disorder and OCD

It is also common for tic disorder, characterized by involuntary movement (sudden jerking of limbs, for example), to co-occur in patients with OCD (particularly children) or in their families (Browne et al., 2015; Grados et al., 2001; Leckman et al., 2010; Mataix-Cols et al., 2013). More complex tics with involuntary vocalizations are referred to as Tourette's disorder (Leckman et al., 2010; see Chapter 14). In some cases, these movements are not tics but may be compulsions, as they were in the case of Frank in Chapter 3 who kept jerking his leg if thoughts of seizures entered his head. Approximately 10% to 40% of children and adolescents with OCD also have had tic disorder at some point (Leckman et al., 2010). The obsessions in tic-related OCD are almost always related to symmetry. CBT has been found to be quite effective for treating tic disorders (McGuire et al., 2015).

Observations among one small group of children presenting with OCD and tics suggest that these problems occurred after a bout of strep throat. This syndrome has been referred to as pediatric autoimmune disorder associated with streptococcal infection, or "PANDAS" (Leckman et al., 2010; Radomsky & Taylor, 2005). Presentation of OCD in these cases differs somewhat from OCD without a history of "PANDAS" in several ways. The PANDAS group is more likely to be male; experience dramatic onset of symptoms often associated with fever or sore throat; have full remissions between episodes; show remission of symptoms during antibiotic therapy; have evidence of past streptococcal infections; and present with noticeable clumsiness (Murphy, Storch, Lewin, Edge, & Goodman, 2012). Recently, this syndrome has been revised and broadened under the umbrella term Pediatric Autoimmune Neuropsychiatric Syndrome (PANS) (Swedo, Leckman, & Rose, 2012). The prevalence of this condition has yet to be determined.

Statistics

Estimates of the lifetime prevalence of OCD range from 1.6% to 2.3% (Calamari, Chik, Pontarelli, & DeJong, 2012; Kessler, Berglund, Demler, et al., 2005), and in a given 1-year period the prevalence is 1% (Calamari et al., 2012; Kessler, Chiu, et al., 2005). Not all cases meeting criteria for OCD are as severe as Richard's. Obsessions and compulsions can be arranged along a continuum, like most clinical features of anxiety disorders. Intrusive and distressing thoughts are common

Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder: Chuck



"I'm a little bit obsessive-compulsive . . . It's a little difficult to deal with. The obsessive part—I'll get a thought in my head, and I can't put it out. It's just there all the time. I think about it when I go to bed, I think about it when I get up. . . . I'm a 'checker'—I have to check things. . . . I don't cook, but I have to check the stove every morning . . . not always really rational."

Go to MindTap at www.cengagebrain.com to watch this video.

in nonclinical ("normal") individuals (Boyer & Liénard, 2008; Clark & Rhyno, 2005; Fullana et al., 2009). Spinella (2005) found that 13% of a "normal" community sample of people had moderate levels of obsessions or compulsions that were not severe enough to meet diagnostic criteria for OCD.

It would also be unusual *not* to have an occasional intrusive or strange thought. Many people have bizarre, sexual, or aggressive thoughts, particularly if they are bored—for example, when sitting in class. Steketee and Barlow (2002) collected examples of thoughts from ordinary people who do not have OCD. Some of these thoughts are listed in Table 5.11.

Have you had any of these thoughts? Most people do, but they are passing worries. Certain individuals, however, are horrified by such thoughts, considering them signs of an alien, intrusive, evil force. Unlike other anxiety and related disorders, OCD has a ratio of female to male that is nearly 1:1.

Although there is some evidence in children that there are more males than females (Hanna, 1995), this seems to be because boys tend to develop OCD earlier. By mid-adolescence, the sex ratio is approximately equal (Albano et al., 1996). Age of onset ranges from childhood through the 30s, with a median age of onset of 19 (Kessler, Berglund, Demler, et al., 2005). The age of onset peaks earlier in males (13 to 15) than in females (20 to 24) (Rasmussen & Eisen, 1990). Once OCD develops, it tends to become chronic (Calamari et al., 2012; Steketee & Barlow, 2002).

In Arabic countries, OCD is easily recognizable, although as always cultural beliefs and concerns influence the content of the obsessions and the nature of the compulsions. In Saudi Arabia and Egypt, obsessions are primarily related to religious practices, specifically the Muslim emphasis on cleanliness. Contamination themes are also highly prevalent in India. Nevertheless, OCD looks remarkably similar across cultures. Studies from England, Hong Kong, India, Egypt, Japan, and Norway found essentially similar types and proportions of obsessions and compulsions, as did studies from Canada, Finland, Taiwan, Africa, Puerto Rico, Korea, and New Zealand (Horwath & Weissman, 2000; Weissman et al., 1994).

Causes

Many of us sometimes have intrusive, even horrific, thoughts and occasionally engage in ritualistic behavior, especially when we are under stress (Parkinson & Rachman, 1981a, 1981b). But few of us develop OCD. Again, as with panic disorder and PTSD, someone must develop anxiety focused on the possibility of having additional intrusive thoughts.

TABLE 5.11 Obsessions and Intrusive Thoughts Reported by Nonclinical Samples*

Harming
Impulse to jump out of high window
Idea of jumping in front of a car
Impulse to push someone in front of train
Wishing a person would die
While holding a baby, having a sudden urge to kick it
Thoughts of dropping a baby
The thought that if I forget to say goodbye to someone, they might die
Thought that thinking about horrible things happening to a child will cause it
Contamination or Disease
Thought of catching a disease from public pools or other public places
Thoughts I may have caught a disease from touching toilet seat
Idea that dirt is always on my hand
Inappropriate or Unacceptable Behavior
Idea of swearing or yelling at my boss
Thought of doing something embarrassing in public, like forgetting to wear a top
Hoping someone doesn't succeed
Thought of blurting out something in church
Thought of "unnatural" sexual acts
Doubts about Safety, Memory, and So On
Thought that I haven't locked the house up properly
Idea of leaving my curling iron on the carpet and forgetting to pull out the plug
Thought that I've left the heater and stove on
Idea that I've left the car unlocked when I know I've locked it
Idea that objects are not arranged perfectly

*Examples were obtained from Rachman and de Silva (1978) and from unpublished research by Dana Thordarson, Ph.D., and Michael Kyrios, Ph.D. (personal communications, 2000). Source: Reprinted, with permission, from Steketee, G., & Barlow, D. H. (2002). Obsessive-compulsive disorder. In D. H. Barlow, *Anxiety and its disorders: The nature and treatment of anxiety and panic* (2nd ed., p. 529), © 2002 Guilford Press.

The repetitive, intrusive, unacceptable thoughts of OCD may well be regulated by the hypothetical brain circuits described in Chapter 2. The tendency to develop anxiety over having additional compulsive thoughts, however, may have the same generalized biological and psychological precursors as anxiety in general (Barlow et al., 2014; Suárez et al., 2009).

Why would people with OCD focus their anxiety on the occasional intrusive thought rather than on the possibility of a panic attack or some other external situation? One hypothesis is that early experiences taught them that some thoughts are dangerous and unacceptable because the terrible things they are thinking might happen and they would be responsible. These early experiences would result in a specific psychological vulnerability to develop OCD. When clients with OCD equate thoughts with the specific actions or activity represented by the thoughts, this is called *thought-action*

fusion. Thought-action fusion may, in turn, be caused by attitudes of excessive responsibility and resulting guilt developed during childhood, when even a bad thought is associated with evil intent (Clark & O'Connor, 2005; Steketee & Barlow, 2002; Taylor, Abramowitz, McKay, & Cuttler, 2012). They may learn this through the same process of misinformation that convinced the person with snake phobia that snakes were dangerous and could be everywhere. One patient believed thinking about abortion was the moral equivalent of having an abortion. Richard finally admitted to having strong homosexual impulses that were unacceptable to him and to his minister father, and he believed the impulses were as sinful as actual acts. Many people with OCD who believe in the tenets of fundamental religions, whether Christian, Jewish, or Islamic, present with similar attitudes of inflated responsibility and thought-action fusion. Several studies showed that the strength of religious belief, but not the type of belief, was associated with thought-action fusion and severity of OCD (Rassin & Koster, 2003; Steketee, Quay, & White, 1991). Of course, most people with fundamental religious beliefs do not develop OCD. But what if the most frightening thing in your life was not a snake or speaking in public, but a terrible thought that happened to pop into your head? You can't avoid it as you would a snake, so you resist this thought by attempting to suppress it or "neutralize" it using mental or behavioral strategies, such as distraction, praying, or checking. These strategies become compulsions, but they are doomed to fail in the long term, because these strategies backfire and actually increase the frequency of the thought (Franklin & Foa, 2014; Wegner, 1989).

Again, generalized biological and psychological vulnerabilities must be present for this disorder to develop. Believing some thoughts are unacceptable and therefore must be suppressed (a specific psychological vulnerability) may put people at greater risk of OCD (Parkinson & Rachman, 1981b; Salkovskis & Campbell, 1994). A model of the etiology of OCD that is somewhat similar to other models of anxiety disorders is presented in ● Figure 5.12.

Treatment

The effects of drugs on OCD have been evaluated extensively (Dougherty, Rauch, & Jenike, 2012; Stewart, Jenike, & Jenike, 2009). The most effective seem to be those that specifically inhibit the reuptake of serotonin, such as clomipramine or the SSRIs, which benefit up to 60% of patients with OCD, with no particular advantage to one drug over another. Relapse often occurs when the drug is discontinued, however (Dougherty et al., 2012; Lydiard, Brawman-Mintzer, & Ballenger, 1996).

Highly structured psychological treatments work somewhat better than drugs, but they are not readily available. The most effective approach is called *exposure and ritual prevention (ERP)*, a process whereby the rituals are actively prevented and the patient is systematically and gradually exposed to the feared thoughts or situations (Abramowitz, Taylor, & McKay, 2012; Franklin & Foa, 2014). Richard, for example, would be systematically exposed to harmless objects or situations that




FIGURE 5.12

A model of the causes of obsessive-compulsive disorder. (Reprinted, with permission, from Steketee, G., & Barlow, D. H. (2002). Obsessive-compulsive disorder. In *Anxiety and its disorders: The nature and treatment of anxiety and panic* (2nd ed., p. 536). New York: Guilford Press, © 2002 Guilford Press.)

he thought were contaminated, including certain foods and household chemicals, and his washing and checking rituals would be prevented. Usually this can be done by simply working closely with patients to see that they do not wash or check. In severe cases, patients may be hospitalized and the faucets removed from the bathroom sink for a period to discourage repeated washing. However the rituals are prevented, the procedures seem to facilitate “reality testing,” because the client soon learns, at an emotional level, that no harm will result whether he carries out the rituals or not. More recent innovations to evidence-based psychological treatments for OCD have examined the efficacy of cognitive treatments with a focus on the overestimation of threat, the importance and control of intrusive thoughts, the sense of inflated responsibility present in patients with OCD who think they alone may be

responsible for preventing a catastrophe, as well as the need for perfectionism and certainty present in these patients (Whittal & Robichaud, 2012). Initial results indicate that these strategies are effective, perhaps as effective as ERP.

Studies have evaluated the combined effects of medication and psychological treatments (Romanelli, Wu, Gamba, Mojtabai, & Segal, 2014; Simpson et al., 2013; Tolin, 2011). In one large study (Foa et al., 2005), ERP was compared with the drug clomipramine, as well as with a combined condition. ERP, with or without the drug, produced superior results to the drug alone, with 86% responding to ERP alone versus 48% to the drug alone. Combining the treatments did not produce any additional advantage. Also, relapse rates were high from the medication-only group when the drug was withdrawn. Furthermore, medication such as SSRIs appear to be only effective in a subgroup of patients, possibly because these drugs primarily dampen the symptoms but do not correct the dysregulated neural circuits (Ressler & Rothbaum, 2013). Therefore, adding ERP to people who continue to have OCD symptoms after starting an SSRI can be more beneficial than adding another medication (Simpson et al., 2013).

Psychosurgery is one of the more radical treatments for OCD. “Psychosurgery” is a misnomer that refers to neurosurgery for a psychological disorder. Jenike and colleagues (1991) reviewed the records of 33 patients with OCD, most of them extremely severe cases who had failed to respond to either drug or psychological treatment. After a specific surgical procedure to lesion the cingulate bundle (cingulotomy), approximately 30% benefited substantially. Similarly, Rück et al. (2008) performed a related surgery (capsulotomy) on 25 patients who had not responded to 5 years of previous treatment; 35% (9 patients) benefited substantially, but 6 of those 9 patients suffered from serious adverse side effects of the surgery. These results seem typical from the surgical procedures (Greenberg, Rauch, & Haber, 2010) and are similar to results from a procedure called deep brain stimulation in which electrodes are placed through small holes drilled in the skull and are connected to a pacemaker-like device in the brain. The advantage of deep brain stimulation over more traditional surgery is that it is reversible (McLaughlin & Greenberg, 2012). Considering that these patients seemed to have no hope from other treatments, surgery deserves consideration as a last resort.

Body Dysmorphic Disorder

Did you ever wish you could change part of your appearance? Maybe the size of your nose or the way your ears stick out? Most people fantasize about improving something, but some relatively normal-looking people think they are so ugly they refuse to interact with others or otherwise function normally for fear that people will laugh at their ugliness. This curious affliction is called **body dysmorphic disorder (BDD)**, and at its center is a preoccupation with some imagined defect in appearance by someone who actually looks reasonably normal (Fang & Wilhelm, 2015). The disorder has been referred to as “imagined ugliness” (Phillips, 1991). Consider the case of Jim.

In his mid-20s, Jim was diagnosed with suspected social anxiety disorder; he was referred to our clinic by another professional. Jim had just finished rabbinical school and had been offered a position at a synagogue in a nearby city. He found himself unable to accept, however, because of marked social difficulties. Lately he had given up leaving his small apartment for fear of running into people he knew and being forced to stop and interact with them.

Jim was a good-looking young man of about average height, with dark hair and eyes. Although he was somewhat depressed, a mental status exam and a brief interview focusing on current functioning and past history did not reveal any remarkable problems. There was no sign of a psychotic process (he was not out of touch with reality). We then focused on Jim's social difficulties. We expected the usual kinds of anxiety about interacting with people or "doing something" (performing) in front of them. But this was not Jim's concern. Rather, he was convinced that everyone, even his good friends, was staring at a part of his body that he found grotesque. He reported that strangers would never mention his deformity and his friends felt too sorry for him to mention it. Jim thought his head was square! Like the Beast in *Beauty and the Beast* who could not imagine people reacting to him with anything less than revulsion, Jim could not imagine people getting past his square head. To hide his condition as well as he could, Jim wore soft floppy hats and was most comfortable in winter, when he could all but completely cover his head with a large stocking cap. To us, Jim looked normal. •

For many years, BDD was considered a somatoform disorder because its central feature is a psychological preoccupation with somatic (physical) issues. But increasing evidence indicated it was more closely related to OCD, accounting for its relocation to the obsessive-compulsive and related disorders section in *DSM-5*. For example, OCD often co-occurs with BDD and is found among family members of BDD patients (Chosak et al., 2008; Gustad & Phillips, 2003; Phillips et al., 2010; Phillips & Stout, 2006; Tynes, White, & Steketee, 1990; Zimmerman & Mattia, 1998). There are other similarities. People with BDD complain of persistent, intrusive, and horrible thoughts about their appearance, and they engage in such compulsive behaviors as repeatedly looking in mirrors to check their physical features. BDD and OCD also have approximately the same age of onset and run the same course. One brain-imaging study demonstrated similar abnormal brain functioning between patients with BDD and patients with OCD (Rauch et al., 2003).

To give you a better idea of the types of concerns people with BDD present to health professionals, the locations of imagined defects in 200 patients are shown in Table 5.12. The average number of body areas of concern to these individuals was five to seven (Phillips, Menard, Fay, & Weisberg, 2005). In another group of

TABLE 5.9

Diagnostic Criteria for Body Dysmorphic Disorder

5

- A. Preoccupation with one or more defects or flaws in physical appearance that are not observable or appear slight to others.
- B. At some point during the course of the disorder, the individual has performed repetitive behaviors (e.g., mirror checking, excessive grooming, skin picking, reassurance seeking) or mental acts (e.g., comparing his or her appearance with that of others) in response to the appearance concerns.
- C. The preoccupation causes clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.
- D. The appearance preoccupation is not better explained by concerns with body fat or weight in an individual whose symptoms meet diagnostic criteria for an eating disorder.

Specify if:

With good or fair insight: The individual recognizes that the body dysmorphic disorder beliefs are definitely or probably not true or that they may or may not be true.

With poor insight: The individual thinks that the body dysmorphic disorder beliefs are probably true.

With absent insight/delusional beliefs: the individual is completely convinced that the body dysmorphic disorder beliefs are true.

With muscle dysmorphia: The individual is preoccupied with the idea that his or her body build is too small or insufficiently muscular. This specifier is used even if the individual is preoccupied with other body areas, which is often the case.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

23 adolescents with BDD, 61% focused on their skin and 55% on their hair (Albertini & Phillips, 1999). A variety of checking or compensating rituals are common in people with BDD in attempts to alleviate their concerns. For example, excessive tanning is common, with 25% of one group of 200 patients tanning themselves in an attempt to hide skin defects (Phillips, Menard, Fay, & Weisberg, 2005). Excessive grooming and skin picking are also common. Many people with this disorder become fixated on mirrors (Veale & Riley, 2001). They often check their presumed ugly feature to see whether any change has taken place. Others avoid mirrors to an almost phobic extent. Quite understandably, suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, and suicide itself are typical consequences of this disorder (Phillips, Menard, Fay, & Weisberg, 2005; Zimmerman & Mattia, 1998). People with BDD also have "ideas of reference," which means they think everything that goes on in their world somehow is related to them—in this case, to their imagined defect. This disorder can cause considerable disruption in the patient's life. Many patients with severe cases become housebound for fear of showing themselves to other people.

If this disorder seems strange to you, you are not alone. For decades, this condition, previously known as *dysmorphophobia* (literally, fear of ugliness), was thought to represent a psychotic delusional state because the affected individuals were unable

TABLE 5.12 Location of Imagined Defects in 200 Patients with Body Dysmorphic Disorder*

Location	%	Location	%
Skin	80	Overall appearance of face	19
Hair	58	Small body build	18
Nose	39	Legs	18
Stomach	32	Face size or shape	16
Teeth	30	Chin	15
Weight	29	Lips	14.5
Breasts	26	Arms or wrists	14
Buttocks	22	Hips	13
Eyes	22	Cheeks	11
Thighs	20	Ears	11
Eye brows	20		

Adapted from Phillips, K. A., Menard, B. A., Fay, C., & Weisberg, R. (2005). Demographic characteristics, phenomenology, comorbidity, and family history in 200 individuals with body dysmorphic disorder. *Psychosomatics*, 46(4), 317–325. © 2005 The Academy of Psychosomatic Medicine.

to realize, even for a fleeting moment, that their ideas were irrational.

For example, in 200 cases examined by Phillips, Menard, Fay, and Weisberg (2005) and in 50 cases reported by Veale, Boocock, and colleagues (1996), between 33% and 50% of participants were convinced their imagined bodily defect was real and a reasonable source of concern. Even though this lack of insight is also present in approximately 10% of patients with OCD, it is much higher in BDD based on direct comparisons of individuals with these two disorders (Phillips et al., 2012). Is this delusional? Phillips, Menard, Pagano, Fay, and Stout (2006) looked closely at differences that may exist between delusional and nondelusional types and found nothing significant, beyond the fact that the delusional type was more severe and found in less educated patients. Other studies have supported this lack of meaningful differences between these two groups (Mancuso, Knobsen, & Castle, 2010; Phillips et al., 2010). It is also the case that these two groups both respond equally well to treatments for BDD and that the “delusional” group does not respond to drug treatments for psychotic disorders (Phillips et al., 2010). Thus, in *DSM-5*, patients receive a BDD diagnosis, whether they are “delusional” or not.

The prevalence of BDD is hard to estimate because by its very nature it tends to be kept secret. The best estimates, however, are that it is far more common than we had previously thought. Without some sort of treatment, it tends to run a life-long course (Phillips, 1991; Veale, Boocock, et al., 1996). One of the patients with BDD reported by Phillips and colleagues (Phillips, McElroy, Keck, Pope, & Hudson, 1993) had suffered from her condition for 71 years, since the age of 9. If you think a college friend seems to have at least a mild version of BDD, you’re probably correct. Studies suggest that as many as 70% of college students report at least some dissatisfaction with their bodies, with 4% to 28% of these appearing to meet all the criteria for the disorder (Fitts, Gibson, Redding, & Deiter, 1989; Phillips, 2005). This study was done by questionnaire,

however, and may well have reflected the large percentage of students who are concerned simply with weight. Another study investigated the prevalence of BDD, specifically in an ethnically diverse sample of 566 adolescents between the ages of 14 and 19. The overall prevalence of BDD in this group was 2.2%, with adolescent girls more dissatisfied with their bodies than boys and African Americans of both genders more satisfied with their bodies than Caucasians, Asians, and Hispanics (Mayville, Katz, Gipson, & Cabral, 1999; Roberts, Cash, Feingold, & Johnson, 2006). Overall, about 1% to 2% of individuals in community samples and from 2% to 13% of student samples meet criteria for BDD (Koran, Abujaoude, Large, & Serpe, 2008; Phillips, Menard, Fay, & Weisberg, 2005; Woolfolk & Allen, 2011). A somewhat higher proportion of individuals with BDD are interested in art or design compared with individuals without BDD, reflecting, perhaps, a strong interest in aesthetics or appearance (Veale, Ennis, & Lambrou, 2002).

In mental health clinics, the disorder is also uncommon because most people with BDD seek other types of health professionals, such as plastic surgeons and dermatologists. BDD is seen equally in men and women. In the larger series of 200 individuals reported by Phillips, Menard, Fay, and Weisberg (2005), 68.5% were female, but 62% of a large number of individuals with BDD in Japan were males. Generally, there are more similarities than differences between men and women with BDD, but some differences have been noted (Phillips, Menard, & Fay, 2006). Men tend to focus on body build, genitals, and thinning hair and tend to have more severe BDD. A focus on muscle defects and body building is nearly unique to men with the disorder (Pope et al., 2005). Women focus on more varied body areas and are more likely to also have an eating disorder.

Age of onset ranges from early adolescence through the 20s, peaking at the age of 16–17 (Phillips, Menard, Fay, & Weisberg, 2005; Veale, Boocock, et al., 1996; Zimmerman & Mattia, 1998). Individuals are somewhat reluctant to seek treatment. In many cases, a relative will force the issue, demanding the individual get help; this insistence may reflect the disruptiveness of the disorder for family members. Severity is also reflected in the high percentage (24%) of past suicide attempts among the 50 cases described by Veale, Boocock, and colleagues (1996); 27.5% of the 200 cases described by Phillips, Menard, Fay, and Weisberg (2005); and 21% of a group of 33 adolescents (Albertini & Phillips, 1999).

One study of 62 consecutive outpatients with BDD found that the degree of psychological stress, quality of life, and impairment were generally worse than comparable indices in patients with depression, diabetes, or a recent myocardial infarction (heart attack) on several questionnaire measures (Phillips, Dufresne, Wilkel, & Vittorio, 2000). Similar results were reported on a larger sample of 176 patients (Phillips, Menard, Fay, & Pagano, 2005). Thus, BDD is among the more serious of psychological disorders, and depression and substance abuse are common consequences of BDD (Gustad & Phillips, 2003; Phillips et al., 2010). As you might suspect, few people with this disorder get married. Further reflecting the intense suffering that accompanies this disorder, Veale (2000) collected information on 25 patients with BDD who had sought cosmetic surgery in the past. Of these, 9 patients who could not afford surgery, or were turned down for other reasons,

had attempted by their own hand to alter their appearance dramatically, often with tragic results. One example was a man preoccupied by his skin, who believed it was too “loose.” He used a staple gun on both sides of his face to try to keep his skin taut. The staples fell out after 10 minutes and he narrowly missed damaging his facial nerve. In a second example, a woman was preoccupied by her skin and the shape of her face. She filed down her teeth to alter the appearance of her jawline. Yet another woman who was preoccupied by what she perceived as the ugliness of multiple areas of her body and desired liposuction, but could not afford it, used a knife to cut her thighs and attempted to squeeze out the fat. BDD is also stubbornly chronic. In a prospective study of 183 patients, only 21% were somewhat improved over the course of a year, and 15% of that group relapsed during that year (Phillips, Pagano, Menard, & Stout, 2006).

Individuals with BDD react to what they think is a horrible or grotesque feature. Thus, the psychopathology lies in their reacting to a “deformity” that others cannot perceive. Social and cultural determinants of beauty and body image largely define what is “deformed.” (Nowhere is this more evident than in the greatly varying cultural standards for body weight and shape, factors that play a major role in eating disorders, as you will see in Chapter 8.)

What can we learn about BDD from such practices of mutilation around the world? The behavior of individuals with BDD seems remarkably strange because they go *against* current cultural practices that put less emphasis on altering facial features. In other words, people who simply conform to the expectations of their culture do not have a disorder (as noted in Chapter 1). Nevertheless, aesthetic plastic surgery, particularly for the nose and lips, is still widely accepted and, because it is most often undertaken by the wealthy, carries an aura of elevated status. In this light, BDD may not be so strange. As with most psychopathology, its characteristic attitudes and behavior may simply be an exaggeration of normal culturally sanctioned behavior.

We know little about the etiology of BDD specifically. There is almost no information on whether it runs in families, so we can't investigate a specific genetic contribution. Similarly, there is no meaningful information on biological or psychological predisposing factors or vulnerabilities. Psychoanalytic speculations are numerous, but most center on the defensive mechanism of displacement—that is, an underlying unconscious conflict would be too anxiety provoking to admit into consciousness, so the person displaces it onto a body part.

What little evidence we do have on etiology comes from the pattern of comorbidity of BDD with OCD described earlier. The marked similarities to OCD suggest, perhaps, somewhat similar patterns of etiology. Interestingly, approximately 15% of a series

Body Dysmorphic Disorder: Doug



Abnormal Psychology Inside Out
Produced by Ira Wohl, Only Child
Motion Pictures

“I didn’t want to talk to anybody. . . . I was afraid because what I saw on my face . . . they saw. . . . If I could see it, they could see it. And I thought there was like an arrow pointing at it. And I was very self-conscious. And I felt like the only time I felt comfortable was at night, because it was dark time.”

Go to MindTap at www.cengagebrain.com to watch this video.

of 100 patients with eating disorders suffered from comorbid BDD, with their body dysmorphic concerns unrelated to weight and shape (Kollei, Schieber, de Zwaan, Svitak, & Martin, 2013).

Perhaps more significantly, there are two, and only two, treatments for BDD with any evidence of effectiveness, and these treatments are the same found effective in OCD. First, drugs that block the re-uptake of serotonin, such as clomipramine (Anafranil) and fluvoxamine (Luvox), provide relief to at least some people (Hadley, Kim, Priday, & Hollander, 2006). One controlled study of the effects of drugs on BDD demonstrated that clomipramine was significantly more effective than desipramine, a drug that does not specifically block re-uptake of serotonin, for the treatment of BDD, even BDD of the delusional type (Hollander et al., 1999). A second controlled study reported similar findings for fluoxetine (Prozac), with 53% showing a good response com-

pared with 18% on placebo after 3 months (Phillips, Albertini, & Rasmussen, 2002). Intriguingly, these are the same drugs that have the strongest effect in OCD. Second, exposure and response prevention, the type of cognitive-behavioral therapy effective with OCD, has also been successful with BDD (McKay et al., 1997; Rosen, Reiter, & Orosan, 1995; Veale, Gournay, et al., 1996; Wilhelm, Otto, Lohr, & Deckersbach, 1999). In the Rosen and colleagues (1995) study, 82% of patients treated with this approach responded, although these patients may have been somewhat less severely affected by the disorder than in other studies (Wilhelm et al., 1999; Williams, Hadjistavropoulos, & Sharpe, 2006). Furthermore, patients with BDD and OCD have similar rates of response to these treatments (Saxena et al., 2001; Williams et al., 2006). As with OCD, cognitive-behavioral



Thierry Faivre/LightRocket/Getty Images

In various cultures, a child’s head or face is manipulated to produce desirable features, as in the addition of rings to lengthen the necks of these Burmese girls.

therapy tends to produce better and longer lasting outcomes compared to medication alone (Buhlmann, Reese, Renaud, & Wilhelm, 2008). But CBT is not as readily available as drugs.

Another interesting lead on causes of BDD comes from cross-cultural explorations of similar disorders. You may remember the Japanese variant of social anxiety disorder, *taijin kyofusho* (see page 151), in which individuals may believe they have horrendous bad breath or body odor and thus avoid social interaction. But people with *taijin kyofusho* also have all the other characteristics of social anxiety disorder. Patients who would be diagnosed with BDD in our culture might simply be considered to have severe social anxiety in Japan and Korea. Possibly, then, social anxiety is fundamentally related to BDD, a connection that would give us further hints on the nature of the disorder. Indeed, a recent study of BDD in Western countries indicates that concerns relating to perceived negative evaluation of their appearance by others is every bit as important as self-evaluation of the imagined defects in appearance (Anson, Veale, & de Silva, 2012). Studies of comorbidity indicate that social anxiety disorder, along with OCD, is also commonly found in people with BDD (Fang & Hofmann, 2010; Phillips & Stout, 2006).

Plastic Surgery and Other Medical Treatments

Patients with BDD believe they are physically deformed in some way and go to medical doctors to attempt to correct their deficits (Woolfolk & Allen, 2011). Phillips, Grant, Siniscalchi, and Albertini (2001) studied the treatments sought by 289 patients with BDD, including 39 children or adolescents, and found that fully 76.4% had sought this type of treatment and 66% were receiving it. Dermatology (skin) treatment was the most often received (45.2%), followed by plastic surgery (23.2%). Looking at it another way, in one study of 268 patients seeking care from a dermatologist, 11.9% met criteria for BDD (Phillips et al., 2000).

Because the concerns of people with BDD involve mostly the face or head, it is not surprising that the disorder is big business for the plastic surgery profession—but it is bad business. These patients do not benefit from surgery and may return for additional surgery or, on occasion, file malpractice lawsuits. Investigators estimate that as many as 8% to 25% of all patients who request plastic surgery may have BDD (Barnard, 2000; Crerand et al., 2004). The most common procedures are rhinoplasties (nose jobs), facelifts, eyebrow elevations, liposuction, breast augmentation, and surgery to alter the jawline. Between 2000 and 2012, according to the American Society of Plastic Surgeons (2012), the total number of cosmetic procedures increased 98%. The problem is that surgery on the proportion of these people with BDD seldom produces the desired results. These individuals return for additional surgery on the same defect or concentrate on some new defect. Phillips, Menard, Fay, and Pagano (2005) report that 81% of 50 individuals seeking surgery or similar medical consults were dissatisfied with the result. In 88% of a large group of people with BDD seeking medical rather than psychological treatment, the severity of the disorder and accompanying distress either did not change or *increased* after surgery. Similar discouraging or negative results are evident from other forms of medical treatment, such as



Michael Jackson as a child and as an adult. Many people alter their features through surgery. However, people with body dysmorphic disorder are seldom satisfied with the results.

skin treatments (Phillips et al., 2001). It is important that plastic surgeons screen out these patients; many do so by collaborating with medically trained psychologists (Pruzinsky, 1988).

Other Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorders

Hoarding Disorder

Several years ago, a group of patients came to the attention of specialty clinics because they compulsively hoard things, fearing that if they throw something away, even a 10-year-old newspaper, they then might urgently need it. At first, the specialty clinics assumed that this was just a strange variant of OCD, but it soon became apparent that it was a major problem unto itself, as is obvious to anyone who has seen the recent spate of television programs showing individuals with this disorder in their almost unlivable homes. Estimates of prevalence range between 2% and 5% of the population, which is twice as high as the prevalence of OCD, with nearly equal numbers of men and women, and is found worldwide (Frost, Steketee, & Tolin, 2012). The three major characteristics of this problem are excessive acquisition of things, difficulty discarding anything, and living with excessive clutter under conditions best characterized as gross disorganization (Frost & Rasmussen, 2012; Grisham & Barlow, 2005; Steketee & Frost, 2007a, 2007b). It is not uncommon for some patients' houses and yards to come to the attention of public health authorities (Tolin, 2011). One patient's house and yard was condemned, because junk was piled so high it was both unsightly and a fire hazard. Among her hoard was a 20-year collection of used sanitary napkins! Although only a tiny percentage of fires in residences occur in the homes of individuals who hoard, these fires account for 24% of all fire related fatalities (Frost et al., 2012).

Basically, these individuals usually begin acquiring things during their teenage years and often experience great pleasure, even euphoria, from shopping or otherwise collecting various items. Shopping or collecting things may be a response to feeling down or depressed and is sometimes called, facetiously, “retail therapy.” But unlike most people who like to shop or collect, these individuals then experience strong anxiety and distress about throwing



WR Publishing/Alamy Stock Photo

cats. Occasionally some of them will be dead, either lying on the floor out in the open or stored in the freezer. In addition to owning an unusually large number of animals, animal hoarders are characterized by the failure or inability to care for the animals or provide suitable living quarters, which results in threats to health and safety due to unsanitary conditions associated with accumulated animal waste (Frost, Patronek, & Rosenfield, 2011). One study compared individuals who met criteria for animal hoarding with a small group of nonhoarding controls who owned large number of animals (Steketee et al., 2011). Individuals in both groups were mostly middle-aged white women. While both groups expressed strong caretaking roles and a particularly intense love and attachment to animals, the hoarding group was characterized by attribution of human characteristics to their animals, the presence of more dysfunctional current relationships (with other people) and significantly greater mental health concerns. Much like other individuals with hoarding, animal hoarders typically have little or no realization that they have a problem, despite often living in unsanitary conditions with dead and sick animals.

CBT is a promising treatment for hoarding disorder (Tolin, Frost, Steketee, & Muroff, 2015). These treatments developed at our clinic teach people to assign different values to objects and to reduce anxiety about throwing away items that are somewhat less valued (Grisham et al., 2012; Steketee & Frost, 2007a). Preliminary results are promising, but results are more modest than those achieved with OCD. Also, more information on long-term effects of these treatments is needed. Little or nothing is known about effective interventions for individuals who hoard animals.

People with obsessive-compulsive hoarding are so afraid they may throw something important away that clutter piles up in their homes.

anything away, because everything has either some potential use or sentimental value in their minds, or simply becomes an extension of their own identity. Their homes or apartments may become almost impossible to live in. Most of these individuals don't consider that they have a problem until family members or authorities insist that they seek help. As with OCD, the extent of insight that the patients have about the problematic status of their hoarding problem is specified when making the diagnosis. The average age when these people come for treatment is approximately 50, after many years of hoarding (Grisham, Norberg, & Certoma, 2012; Grisham, Frost, Steketee, Kim, & Hood, 2006). Often they live alone (Frost & Rasmussen, 2012; Mataix-Cols et al., 2010). Careful analysis of what we know about hoarding suggests it has similarities and differences with both OCD and impulse control disorders. Therefore, it is best considered a separate disorder and now appears as such in the *DSM-5*.

For example, OCD tends to wax and wane, whereas hoarding behavior can begin early in life and get worse with each passing decade (Ayers, Saxena, Golshan, & Wetherell, 2010). Cognitive and emotional abnormalities associated with hoarding alluded to above include extraordinarily strong emotional attachment to possessions, an exaggerated desire for control over possessions, and marked deficits in deciding when a possession is worth keeping or not (all possessions are believed to be equally valuable). One study examined the neural mechanisms of decision-making about whether to keep or discard possessions among individuals with hoarding disorder compared with individuals with OCD without hoarding. The study found specific differences in areas of the brain related to problems identifying the emotional significance of an object and generating the appropriate emotional response (Tolin et al., 2012).

People who hoard animals comprise a special group that is now being investigated more closely. Occasionally, articles appear in newspapers describing homes occupied by one owner, usually a middle-aged or elderly woman, and 30 or more animals, often

Trichotillomania (Hair Pulling Disorder) and Excoriation (Skin Picking Disorder)

The urge to pull out one's own hair from anywhere on the body, including the scalp, eyebrows, and arms, is referred to as **trichotillomania**. This behavior results in noticeable hair loss, distress, and significant social impairments. This disorder can often have severe social consequences, and, as a result, those affected can go to great lengths to conceal their behavior (Lochner et al., 2012; Grant, Stein, Woods, & Keuthen, 2012). Compulsive hair pulling is more common than once believed and is observed in between 1% and 5% of college students, with females reporting the problem more than males (Scott, Hilty, & Brook, 2003). There may be some genetic influence on trichotillomania, with one study finding a unique genetic mutation in a small number of people (Zuchner et al., 2006).

Excoriation (skin picking disorder) is characterized, as the label implies, by repetitive and compulsive picking of the skin, leading to tissue damage (Grant et al., 2012). Many people pick their skin on occasion without any serious damage to their skin or any distress or impairment, but for somewhere between 1% and 5% of the population, noticeable damage to skin occurs, sometimes requiring medical attention. There can be significant embarrassment, distress, and impairment in terms of social and work functioning. In one case, a young woman spent 2 to 3 hours a day picking her skin, resulting in numerous scabs, scars, and open wounds on her face. As a result she would often be late for work or unable to work if the open wounds were too bad. She had not

socialized with friends for over a year (Grant et al., 2012). Excoriation is also largely a female disorder.

Prior to *DSM-5*, both disorders were classified under impulse control disorders, but it has been established that these disorders often co-occur with obsessive-compulsive disorder and body dysmorphic disorder, as well as with each other (Grant et al., 2012; Odlaug & Grant, 2012). For this reason all of these disorders, which share repetitive and compulsive behaviors, are now grouped together under obsessive-compulsive and related disorders in *DSM-5*. Nevertheless, significant differences exist. For example, individuals with BDD may pick at their skin occasionally to improve their appearance, which is not the case for individuals with skin picking disorder.

Until recently, it was assumed that the repetitive behaviors of hair pulling and skin picking function to relieve stress or tension. While this seems to be the case for many patients, a substantial number of individuals do not engage in this behavior to relieve tension and do not evidence tension relief. For this reason, diagnostic criteria referring to tension relief, present in *DSM-IV*, have been removed in *DSM-5* (Nock, Cha, & Dour, 2011).

Psychological treatments, particularly an approach called “habit reversal training,” has the most evidence for success with these two disorders. In this treatment, patients are carefully taught to be more aware of their repetitive behavior, particularly as it is just about to begin, and to then substitute a different behavior, such as chewing gum, applying a soothing lotion to the skin, or some

other reasonably pleasurable but harmless behavior. Results may be evident in as little as four sessions, but the procedure requires teamwork between the patient and therapist and close monitoring of the behavior throughout the day (Nock et al., 2011). Drug treatments, mostly serotonin-specific reuptake inhibitors, hold some promise, particularly for trichotillomania (Chamberlain, Menzies, Sahakian, & Fineberg, 2007), but the results have been mixed with excoriation (Grant et al., 2012).

DSM Controversies: *Classifying Anxiety and Related Disorders*


The anxiety disorders as classified in *DSM-5* are now divided into three separate groupings or classes of disorders, and 10 disorders have been added to these groupings either by splitting existing disorders, relocating disorders from other diagnostic sections such as the somatoform disorders, or introducing new disorders appearing for the first time in the *DSM*. In Chapter 3, we introduced the idea that emerging conceptions of psychopathology move us away from an emphasis on categorical (individual) diagnoses to a consideration of larger dimensions, or spectra, in which similar and related diagnoses might be grouped. One such spectrum consists of what some call emotional disorders, including anxiety and depression (Leyfer & Brown, 2011). But how would this dimensional approach to psychopathology change the way we make diagnoses? Recently, we speculated on how a future diagnostic system using dimensional approaches for emotional disorders might work (Brown & Barlow, 2009), and emerging theoretical development and empirical evidence should be more satisfactory than having to consider a very large

number of individual categorical diagnoses as represented in this chapter as well as chapters 6 and 7 (Barlow, Sauer-Zavala, Carl, Bullis, & Ellard, 2014). To illustrate this approach, let's first consider a case from our clinic.

Mr. S. was a high school teacher in his mid-50s who had been in a very serious car accident several months before coming in and was suffering from symptoms related to that accident. These included intrusive memories of the crash, “flashbacks” of the accident itself that were very intense emotionally, and images of the cuts and bruises on his wife’s face. He also had a very strong startle reaction to any cues that reminded him of the accident and avoided driving in certain locations that were somewhat similar to where he had his accident. These symptoms intermingled with a similar set of symptoms emerging from a series of traumatic experiences that had occurred during his service in the Vietnam War. In addition to these trauma symptoms, he also spent a lot of his day worrying about various life events, including his own health and that of his family. He

also worried about his performance at work and whether he would be evaluated poorly by other staff members, despite his having received consistently high evaluations for his teaching.

After considering everything he said and evaluating him clinically, therapists found that he clearly met criteria for PTSD. He also met criteria for GAD given his substantial worry that was occurring every day about life events unrelated to the trauma. In addition, he had some mild depression, perhaps due in part to all of the anxiety he was experiencing. In summary, the patient could be diagnosed with PTSD although he had substantial features of GAD as well as depression. But what would it look like if we attempted to describe his symptoms on a series of dimensions rather than on whether they meet criteria for one category or another? ● Figure 5.13 displays a simplified version of one possible dimensional system (Brown & Barlow, 2009). In this dimensional scheme, “anxiety” (AN) is represented on the left because all individuals with anxiety or depressive

**FIGURE 5.13**

Proposed DSM-6 Dimensional Diagnosis of a Patient with PTSD. *AN*, anxiety; *DEP*, unipolar depression; *SOM*, somatic anxiety; *PAS*, panic and related autonomic surges; *IC*, intrusive cognitions; *SOC*, social evaluation; *TRM*, past trauma; *AV-BI*, behavioral and interoceptive avoidance; *AV-CE*, cognitive and emotional avoidance. Higher scores on the y-axis (0–100) indicate higher levels of the x-axis dimension, but otherwise the y-axis metric is arbitrary and is used for illustrative purposes. (Adapted from Brown, T. A., & Barlow, D. H. (2009). A proposal for a dimensional classification system based on the shared features of the DSM-IV anxiety and mood disorders: Implications for assessment and treatment. *Psychological Assessment*, 21(3), 267. © 2009 by American Psychological Association. Reprinted with permission.)

disorders have some level of anxiety. Many individuals, but not all, are also depressed (*DEP*) (as was Mr. S.). Mr. S. would score fairly high on anxiety and somewhat lower on depression. Looking to the far right of the figure, Mr. S. displayed a lot of behavioral avoidance as well as avoidance of physical sensations (interoceptive avoidance) (*AV-BI*). Mostly he was having difficulty driving and also would avoid cues connected with his earlier trauma by refusing if at all

possible to engage in activities or conversations associated with the war. Another related type of avoidance is when you avoid experiencing intense emotions or thoughts about emotional experiences. We call this cognitive and emotional avoidance (*AV-CE*) and Mr. S. also scored relatively high on this aspect of avoidance.

But what was the focus of Mr. S.'s anxiety? Here we look at five characteristics that currently categorize anxiety and related

disorder diagnoses. Looking first at trauma (*TRM*) focus, obviously, this earned the highest score on Mr. S.'s profile. He also was suffering from frequent flashbacks to his traumatic experiences, which as you may remember, are very similar to panic attacks and consist of strong autonomic surges, such as rapidly increasing heart rate. Thus, he scored high on panic and related autonomic surges (*PAS*). Other kinds of intrusive obsessive thoughts were not present, and he scored low on this dimension (*IC*). His worry about his health and the health of his family caused him to score moderately high on somatic anxiety (*SOM*), but social anxiety (*SOC*) was not particularly high.


As you can see, this dimensional profile provides a more complete picture of Mr. S.'s clinical presentation than simply noting that he met criteria for PTSD. This is because the profile captures the relative severity of a number of key features of anxiety and mood disorders that are often present together in patients who might meet criteria for only a single diagnosis in the current categorical system. This profile also captures the fact that Mr. S. had some depression that was below the severity threshold to meet criteria for mood disorder. Knowing all of this by glancing at Mr. S.'s profile in **Figure 5.13** should help clinicians match therapy more closely to his presenting problems.

This is just one possible example, but it does provide some idea of what a diagnostic system might look like in the future. Although this system was not ready for DSM-5 because much more research is needed on how best to make it work, a system like this might be ready for DSM-6.

Exploring Anxiety, Trauma- and Stressor-Related,

People with anxiety disorders:

- Feel overwhelming tension, apprehension, or fear when there is no actual danger
- May take extreme action to avoid the source of their anxiety



TREATMENT FOR ANXIETY DISORDERS

Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy

- Systematic exposure to anxiety-provoking situations or thoughts
- Learning to substitute positive behaviors and thoughts for negative ones
- Learning new coping skills: relaxation exercises, controlled breathing, etc.



Drug Treatment

- Reduces the symptoms of anxiety disorders by influencing brain chemistry
 - antidepressants (Tofranil, Paxil, Effexor)
 - benzodiazepines (Xanax, Klonopin)



Other Treatments

- Managing stress through a healthy lifestyle: rest, exercise, nutrition, social support, and moderate alcohol or other drug intake



and Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorders

TYPES OF DISORDERS

Panic

People with panic disorders have had one or more panic attacks and are anxious and fearful about having future attacks.



PhotoDisc/Getty Images

What is a panic attack?

A person having a panic attack feels:

- Apprehension leading to intense fear
- Sensation of "going crazy" or of losing control
- Physical signs of distress: racing heartbeat, rapid breathing, dizziness, nausea, or sensation of heart attack or imminent death

When/why do panic attacks occur?

Panic attacks can be:

- Expected: Always occurring in a specific situation
- Unexpected: Occurring without warning

Phobias

People with phobias avoid situations that produce severe anxiety and/or panic. There are three main types:



PhotoDisc/Getty Images

Agoraphobia

- Fear and avoidance of situations, people, or places where it would be unsafe to have a panic attack: malls, grocery stores, buses, planes, tunnels, etc.
- In the extreme, inability to leave the house or even a specific room
- Begins after a panic attack but can continue for years even if no other attacks occur

Specific Phobia


- Fear of specific object or situation that triggers attack: heights, closed spaces, insects, snakes, or flying
- Develops from personal or vicarious experience of traumatic event with the triggering object or situation or from misinformation

Social Anxiety

Disorder (social phobia)

- Fear of being called for some kind of "performance" that may be judged: speaking in public, using a public restroom (for males), or generally interacting with people

Other Types



Erfewie/Getty Images

Generalized Anxiety

- Uncontrollable unproductive worrying about everyday events
- Feeling impending catastrophe even after successes
- Inability to stop the worry-anxiety cycle: e.g., Irene's fear of failure about school relationships and health even though everything seemed fine
- Physical symptoms of muscle tension

Posttraumatic Stress

- Fear of reexperiencing a traumatic event: rape, war, life-threatening situation, etc.
- Nightmares or flashbacks (of the traumatic event)
- Avoidance of the intense feelings of the event through emotional numbing

Obsessive-Compulsive

- Fear of unwanted and intrusive thoughts (obsessions)
- Repeated ritualistic actions or thoughts (compulsions) designed to neutralize the unwanted thoughts: e.g., Richard's attempts to suppress "dangerous" thoughts about sex, aggression, and religion with compulsive washing and cleaning rituals

6

Somatic Symptom and Related Disorders and Dissociative Disorders

CHAPTER OUTLINE

Somatic Symptom and Related Disorders

Somatic Symptom Disorder

Illness Anxiety Disorder

Clinical Description

Statistics

Causes

Treatment

Psychological Factors Affecting Medical Condition

Conversion Disorder (Functional Neurological Symptom Disorder)

Clinical Description

Closely Related Disorders

Unconscious Mental Processes

Statistics

Causes

Treatment

Dissociative Disorders

Depersonalization-Derealization Disorder

Dissociative Amnesia

Dissociative Identity Disorder

Clinical Description

Characteristics

Can DID Be Faked?

Statistics


Causes

Suggestibility

Biological Contributions

Real Memories and False

Treatment



© Photographee.eu/Shutterstock.com

Engage in innovative and integrative thinking and problem solving:

- Describe problems operationally to study them empirically [APA SLO 2.3a] (see textbook pages 187–189, 193, 195–196, 199–201, 204–205)

Describe applications that employ discipline-based problem solving:

- Correctly identify antecedents and consequences of behavior and mental processes [APA SLO 1.3b] (see textbook pages 189–191, 197–198) Describe examples of relevant and practical applications of psychological principles to everyday life [APA SLO 1.3a] (see textbook pages 185, 189–191)

*Portions of this chapter cover learning outcomes suggested by the American Psychological Association (2013) in their guidelines for the undergraduate psychology major. Chapter coverage of these outcomes is identified above by APA Goal and APA Suggested Learning Outcome (SLO).

Do you know somebody who's a hypochondriac? Most of us do. Maybe it's you! The popular image of this condition, now called more accurately "illness anxiety disorder" in the fifth edition of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5)* (American Psychiatric Association, 2013) is of someone who exaggerates the slightest physical symptom. Many people continually run to the doctor even though there is nothing really wrong with them. This is usually a harmless tendency that may even be worth some good-natured jokes. But for a few individuals, the preoccupation with their health or appearance becomes so great that it dominates their lives. Their problems fall under the general heading of **somatic symptom disorders**. *Soma* means body, and the problems preoccupying these people seem, initially, to be physical disorders. What the somatic symptom disorders have in common is that there is an excessive or maladaptive response to physical symptoms or to associated health concerns. These disorders are sometimes grouped under the shorthand label of "medically unexplained physical symptoms" (Dimsdale et al., 2013; Woolfolk & Allen, 2011), but in some cases the medical cause of the presenting physical symptoms is known but the emotional distress or level of impairment in response to this symptom is clearly excessive and may even make the condition worse.

Have you ever felt "detached" from yourself or your surroundings? ("This isn't really me," or "That doesn't really look like my hand," or "There's something unreal about this place.") During these experiences, some people feel as if they are dreaming. These mild sensations that most people experience occasionally are slight alterations, or detachments, in consciousness or identity called *dissociation* or *dissociative experiences*, but they are perfectly normal. For a few people, these experiences are so intense and extreme that they lose their identity entirely and assume a new one, or they lose their memory or sense of reality and are unable to function. We discuss several types of **dissociative disorders** in the second half of this chapter.

Somatic symptom and dissociative disorders are strongly linked historically, and evidence indicates they share common features (Kihlstrom, Glisky, & Anguilo, 1994; Prelier, Yutzy, Dean, & Wetzel, 1993). They used to be categorized under one general heading, "hysterical neurosis." You may remember (from Chapter 1) that

the term *hysteria*—which dates back to the Greek physician Hippocrates, and the Egyptians before him—suggests that the cause of these disorders, which were thought to occur primarily in women, can be traced to a "wandering uterus." But the term *hysterical* came to refer more generally to physical symptoms without known organic cause or to dramatic or "histrionic" behavior thought to be characteristic of women. Sigmund Freud (1894–1962) suggested that in a condition called *conversion hysteria*, unexplained physical symptoms indicated the conversion of unconscious emotional conflicts into a more acceptable form. The historical term *conversion* remains with us (without the theoretical implications); however, the prejudicial and stigmatizing term *hysterical* is no longer used.

The term *neurosis*, as defined in psychoanalytic theory, suggested a specific cause for certain disorders. Specifically, neurotic disorders resulted from underlying unconscious conflicts, anxiety that resulted from those conflicts, and the implementation of ego defense mechanisms. *Neurosis* was eliminated from the diagnostic system in 1980 because it was too vague, applying to almost all nonpsychotic disorders, and because it implied a specific but unproven cause for these disorders.

Somatic symptom disorders and dissociative disorders are not well understood, but they have intrigued psychopathologists and the public for centuries. A fuller understanding provides a rich perspective on the extent to which normal, everyday traits found in all of us can evolve into distorted, strange, and incapacitating disorders.

Somatic Symptom and Related Disorders

DSM-5 lists five basic somatic symptom and related disorders: somatic symptom disorder, illness anxiety disorder, psychological factors affecting medical condition, conversion disorder, and factitious disorder. In each, individuals are pathologically concerned with the functioning of their bodies. The first three disorders covered in this section—somatic symptom disorder, illness anxiety disorder, and psychological factors affecting medical condition—overlap considerably since each focuses on a specific somatic symptom, or set of symptoms, about which the patient is so excessively

anxious or distressed that it interferes with his or her functioning, or the anxiety or distress is focused on just the possibility of developing an illness as in illness anxiety disorder.

Somatic Symptom Disorder

In 1859, Pierre Briquet, a French physician, described patients who came to see him with seemingly endless lists of somatic complaints for which he could find no medical basis (American Psychiatric Association, 1980). Despite his negative findings, patients returned shortly with either the same complaints or new lists containing slight variations. For many years, this disorder was called *Briquet's syndrome*, but now would be considered **somatic symptom disorder**. Consider the case of Linda.

Linda... Full-Time Patient

Linda, an intelligent woman in her 30s, came to our clinic looking distressed and pained. As she sat down, she noted that coming into the office was difficult for her because she had trouble breathing and considerable swelling in the joints of her legs and arms. She was also in some pain from chronic urinary tract infections and might have to leave at any moment to go to the restroom, but she was extremely happy she had kept the appointment. At least she was seeing someone who could help alleviate her considerable suffering. She said she knew we would have to go through a detailed initial interview, but she had something that might save time. At this point, she pulled out several sheets of paper and handed them over. One section, some five pages long, described her contacts with the health-care system for *major difficulties only*. Times, dates, potential diagnoses, and days hospitalized were noted. The second section, one-and-a-half single-spaced pages, consisted of a list of all medications she had taken for various complaints.

Linda felt she had any one of a number of chronic infections that nobody could properly diagnose. She had begun to have these problems in her teenage years. She often discussed her symptoms and fears with doctors and clergy. Drawn to hospitals and medical clinics, she had entered nursing school after high school. During hospital training, however, she noticed her physical condition deteriorating rapidly: She seemed to pick up the diseases she was learning about. A series of stressful emotional events resulted in her leaving nursing school.

After developing unexplained paralysis in her legs, Linda was admitted to a psychiatric hospital, and after a year she regained her ability to walk. On discharge she obtained disability status, which freed her from having to work full time, and she volunteered at the local hospital. With her chronic but fluctuating incapacitation, on some days she could go in and on some days she could not. She was currently seeing a family practitioner and six specialists, who monitored various aspects of her physical condition. She was also seeing two ministers for pastoral counseling. •

Linda easily met and exceeded all *DSM-5* diagnostic criteria for somatic symptom disorder. Linda was severely impaired and had suffered in the past from symptoms of paralysis (which we refer to as a conversion symptom; see page 190). People with somatic symptom disorder do not always feel the urgency to take action but continually feel weak and ill, and they avoid exercising, thinking it will make them worse (Rief, Hiller, & Margraf, 1998). Linda's entire life revolved around her symptoms. She once told her therapist that her symptoms were her identity: Without them, she would not know who she was. By this she meant that she would not know how to relate to people except in the context of discussing her symptoms much as other people might talk about their day at the office or their kids' accomplishments at school. Her few friends who were not health-care professionals had the patience to relate to her sympathetically, through the veil of her symptoms, and she thought of them as friends because they "understood" her suffering. Linda's case is an extreme example of adopting the "sick role" described earlier.

Another common example of a somatic symptom disorder would be the experience of severe pain in which psychological factors play a major role in maintaining or exacerbating the pain whether there is a clear physical reason for the pain or not. Consider the case of the medical student.

The Medical Student... Temporary Pain

During her first clinical rotation, a 25-year-old third-year medical student in excellent health was seen at her student health service for intermittent abdominal pain of several weeks' duration. The student claimed no past history of similar pain. Physical examination revealed no physical problems, but she told the physician that she had recently separated from her husband. The student was referred to the health service psychiatrist. No other psychiatric problems were found. She was taught relaxation techniques and given supportive therapy to help her cope with her current stressful situation. The student's pain subsequently disappeared, and she successfully completed medical school. •

Once again, the important factor in this condition is not whether the physical symptom, in this case pain, has a clear medical cause or not, but rather that psychological or behavioral factors, particularly anxiety and distress, are compounding the severity and impairment associated with the physical symptoms. The new emphasis in *DSM-5* on the psychological symptoms in these disorders is useful to clinicians since it highlights the psychological experiences of anxiety and distress focused on the somatic symptoms as the most important target for treatment (Tomenson et al., 2012; Voigt et al., 2012). But an important feature of these physical symptoms, such as pain, is that it is real and it hurts whether there are clear physical reasons for pain or not (Dersh, Polatin, & Gatchel, 2002; Asmundson & Carleton, 2009).

TABLE 6.1

Diagnostic Criteria for Somatic Symptom Disorder

- A.** One or more somatic symptoms that are distressing and/or result in significant disruption of daily life.
- B.** Excessive thoughts, feelings, and behaviors related to the somatic symptoms or associated health concerns as manifested by at least one of the following:
- 1.** Disproportionate and persistent thoughts about the seriousness of one's symptoms.
 - 2.** High level of health-related anxiety.
 - 3.** Excessive time and energy devoted to these symptoms or health concerns.
- C.** Although any one symptom may not be continuously present, the state of being symptomatic is persistent (typically more than 6 months).

Specify if:

With predominant pain (previously pain disorder): This specifier is for individuals whose somatic complaints predominantly involve pain.

Specify current severity:

Mild: Only one of the symptoms in Criterion B is fulfilled.

Moderate: Two or more of the symptoms specified in Criterion B are fulfilled.

Severe: Two or more of the symptoms specified in Criterion B are fulfilled, plus there are multiple somatic complaints (or one very severe somatic symptom).

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

Illness Anxiety Disorder

Illness anxiety disorder was formerly known as “hypochondriasis,” which is still the term widely used among the public. In illness anxiety disorder as we know it today, physical symptoms are either not experienced at the present time or are very mild, but severe anxiety is focused on the possibility of having or developing a serious disease. If one or more physical symptoms are relatively severe and are associated with anxiety and distress, the diagnosis would be somatic symptom disorder. Using *DSM-5* criteria, only about 20% of patients who used to meet the diagnostic criteria for *DSM IV* hypochondriasis now meet criteria for illness anxiety disorder, in part because they do not complain about having any somatic symptoms at all despite experiencing serious anxiety about contracting an illness (Rief & Martin, 2014). This justified the creation of the illness anxiety disorder category to cover that 20% segment who does not report symptoms. Once again, in illness anxiety disorder the concern is primarily with the idea of being sick instead of the physical symptom itself. And the threat seems so real that reassurance from physicians does not seem to help. Consider the case of Gail.

Gail... Invisibly Ill

Gail was married at 21 and looked forward to a new life. As one of many children in a lower-middle-class household, she felt weak and somewhat neglected and suffered from low self-esteem. An older stepbrother berated and belittled her

when he was drunk. Her mother and stepfather refused to listen to her or believe her complaints. But she believed that marriage would solve everything; she was finally someone special. Unfortunately, it didn't work out that way. She soon discovered her husband was continuing an affair with an old girlfriend.

Three years after her wedding, Gail came to our clinic complaining of anxiety and stress. She was working part-time as a waitress and found her job extremely stressful. Although to the best of her knowledge her husband had stopped seeing his former girlfriend, she had trouble getting the affair out of her mind.

Although Gail complained initially of anxiety and stress, it soon became clear that her major concerns were about her health. Any time she experienced minor physical symptoms such as breathlessness or a headache, she was afraid she had a serious illness. A headache indicated a brain tumor. Breathlessness was an impending heart attack. Other sensations were quickly elaborated into the possibility of AIDS or cancer. Gail was afraid to go to sleep at night for fear that she would stop breathing. She avoided exercise, drinking, and even laughing because the resulting sensations upset her. Public restrooms and, on occasion, public telephones were feared as sources of infection.

The major trigger of uncontrollable anxiety and fear was the news in the newspaper and on television. Each time an article or show appeared on the “disease of the month,” Gail found herself irresistibly drawn into it, intently noting symptoms that were part of the disease. For days afterward she was vigilant, looking for the symptoms in herself and others and often noticing some physical sensations that she would interpret as the beginnings of the disease. She even watched her dog closely to see whether he was coming down with the dreaded disease. Only with great effort could she dismiss these thoughts after several days. Real illness in a friend or relative would incapacitate her for days at a time.

Gail's fears developed during the first year of her marriage, around the time she learned of her husband's affair. At first, she spent a great deal of time and more money than they could afford going to doctors. Over the years, she heard the same thing during each visit: “There's nothing wrong with you; you're perfectly healthy.” Finally, she stopped going, as she became convinced her concerns were excessive, but her fears did not go away and she was chronically miserable. •

Clinical Description

Do you notice any differences between Linda, who presented with somatic symptom disorder, and Gail, who presented with illness anxiety disorder? There is certainly a lot of overlap (Creed & Barsky, 2004; Leibbrandt, Hiller, & Fichter, 2000), but Gail was somewhat less concerned with any specific physical symptom and more worried about the idea that she was either ill or developing an illness. Gail's problems are fairly typical of illness anxiety disorder.

Research indicates that illness anxiety disorder and somatic symptom disorder share many features with the anxiety and mood disorders, particularly panic disorder (Craske et al., 1996; Creed & Barsky, 2004), including similar age of onset, personality characteristics, and patterns of familial aggregation (running in families). Indeed, anxiety and mood disorders are often comorbid with somatic symptom disorders; that is, if individuals with somatic symptom disorders have additional diagnoses, these most likely are anxiety or mood disorders (Creed & Barsky, 2004; Rief, Hiller, & Margraf, 1998; Simon, Gureje, & Fullerton, 2001; Wollburg, Voigt, Braukhaus, Herzog, & Lowe, 2013).

As noted above, illness anxiety disorder is characterized by anxiety or fear that one has a serious disease. Therefore, the essential problem is anxiety, but its expression is different from that of the other anxiety disorders. In illness anxiety disorder, the individual is preoccupied with bodily symptoms, misinterpreting them as indicative of illness or disease. Almost any physical sensation may become the basis for concern. Some may focus on normal bodily functions such as heart rate or perspiration, others on minor physical abnormalities such as a cough. Some individuals complain of vague symptoms, such as aches or fatigue. Because a key feature of this disorder is preoccupation with physical symptoms, individuals with these disorders almost always go initially to family physicians. They come to the attention of mental health professionals only after family physicians have ruled out realistic medical conditions as a cause of the patient's symptoms.

Another important feature of this disorder is that reassurances from numerous doctors that all is well and the individual is healthy have, at best, only a short-term effect. It isn't long before patients like Gail or Linda are back in the office of another doctor on the assumption that the previous doctors have missed something. This is because many of these individuals mistakenly believe they have a disease, a difficult-to-shake belief sometimes referred to as "disease conviction" (Haenen, de Jong, Schmidt, Stevens, & Visser, 2000). Therefore, along with anxiety focused on the possibility of disease or illness, disease conviction is a core feature of the disorder (Fergus & Valentiner, 2010; Woolfolk & Allen, 2011).

If you have just read Chapter 5, you may think that patients with panic disorder resemble patients with both disorders, particularly patients with illness anxiety disorder. Patients with panic disorder also misinterpret physical symptoms as the beginning of the next panic attack, which they believe may kill them. Craske and colleagues (1996) and Hiller, Leibbrandt, Rief, and Fichter (2005) suggested several differences between panic disorder and the somatic symptom disorders. Although all disorders include characteristic concern with physical symptoms, patients with panic disorder typically fear immediate symptom-related catastrophes that may occur during the few minutes they are having a panic attack, and these concerns lessen between attacks. Individuals with somatic symptom disorders, on the other hand, focus on a long-term process of illness and disease (for example, cancer or AIDS). Patients with these disorders also continue to seek the opinions of additional doctors in an attempt to rule out (or perhaps confirm) disease and are more likely to demand unnecessary medical treatments. Despite numerous assurances that they are healthy, they remain unconvinced and unreassured. In contrast, panic patients continue to believe their panic attacks might kill



SPU/Science Source

In illness anxiety disorder, normal experiences and sensations are often transformed into life-threatening illnesses.

them, but most learn rather quickly to stop going to doctors and emergency rooms, where they are told repeatedly that nothing is physically wrong with them. Finally, the anxieties of individuals with panic disorder tend to focus on the specific set of 10 or 15 sympathetic nervous system symptoms associated with a panic attack. Concerns range much wider in somatic symptom disorders. Nevertheless, there are probably more similarities than differences between these groups.

Statistics

We can only estimate prevalence of somatic symptom disorders in the general population, mostly from studies of similar *DSM-IV* disorders that were defined a bit differently than the current *DSM-5* disorders. For example, the prevalence of *DSM-IV* hypochondriasis, which would encompass illness anxiety disorder and part of somatic symptom disorder, has been estimated to be from 1% to 5% (American Psychiatric Association, 2000). In primary care settings, the median prevalence rate for hypochondriasis is 6.7% but as high as 16.6% for distressing somatic symptoms, which should closely approximate the prevalence of somatic symptom disorder and illness anxiety disorder combined in these settings (Creed & Barsky, 2004). Severe illness anxiety has a late age of onset, possibly because more physical health problems occur with aging (El-Gabalawy, Mackenzie, Thibodeau, Asmundson, & Sareen, 2013).

Linda's disorder developed during adolescence. A number of studies have demonstrated that individuals with what would now be somatic symptom disorder tend to be women, unmarried, and from lower socioeconomic groups (see, for example, Creed & Barsky, 2004; Lieb et al., 2002). In addition to a variety of somatic complaints, individuals may have psychological complaints, usually anxiety or mood disorders (Simms, Prisciandaro, Krueger, & Goldberg, 2012; Rief et al., 1998). Patients with these disorders who happened to be in psychiatric clinics reported seemingly endless psychological complaints, including psychotic symptoms, in addition to their physical complaints (Lenze, Miller, Munir, Pornoppadol, & North, 1999). Suicidal attempts that appear to

be manipulative gestures rather than true death efforts are frequent (Chioqueta & Stiles, 2004). Obviously, individuals with somatic symptom disorders overuse and misuse the health-care system, with medical bills as much as 9 times more than the average patient (Barsky, Orav, & Bates, 2005; Hiller, Fichter, & Rief, 2003; Woolfolk & Allen, 2011). In one study, 19% of people with this disorder were on disability (Allen, Woolfolk, Escobar, Gara, & Hamer, 2006). Although symptoms may come and go, somatic symptom disorders and the accompanying sick role behavior are chronic, often continuing into old age.

As with anxiety disorders, culture-specific syndromes seem to fit comfortably with somatic symptom disorders (Kirmayer & Sartorius, 2007). Among these is the disorder of *koro*, in which there is the belief, accompanied by severe anxiety and sometimes panic, that the genitals are retracting into the abdomen. Most victims of this disorder are Chinese males, although it is also reported in females; there are few reports of the problem in Western cultures. Why does *koro* occur in Chinese cultures? Rubin (1982) points to the central importance of sexual functioning among Chinese males. He notes that typical sufferers are guilty about excessive masturbation, unsatisfactory intercourse, or promiscuity. These kinds of events may predispose men to focus their attention on their sexual organs, which could exacerbate anxiety and emotional arousal, much as it does in the anxiety disorders.

Another culture-specific disorder, prevalent in India, is an anxious concern about losing semen, something that obviously occurs during sexual activity. The disorder, called *dhat*, is associated with a vague mix of physical symptoms, including dizziness, weakness, and fatigue. These low-grade depressive or anxious symptoms are simply attributed to a physical factor, semen loss (Ranjith & Mohan, 2004). Other specific culture-bound somatic symptoms associated with emotional factors would include hot sensations in the head or a sensation of something crawling in the head, specific to African patients (Ebigno, 1986), and a sensation

of burning in the hands and feet in Pakistani or Indian patients (Kirmayer & Weiss, 1993).

For a long time, researchers thought that expressing psychological distress as somatic complaints was particularly common in non-Western or developing countries. But on closer inspection this does not seem to be the case, and the impression may have resulted from the ways in which early studies were conducted (see, for example, Cheung, 1995). Thus, "somatizing" psychological distress is fairly common, and fairly uniform, throughout the world (Gureje, 2004). It is particularly important to examine for medical causes of somatic complaints in developing countries, where parasitic and other infectious diseases and physical conditions associated with poor nutrition are common and not always easy to diagnose. Table 6.1 presents data from a large World Health Organization study on individuals presenting to primary care settings with medically unexplained physical symptoms (no longer a required criterion in *DSM-5*) that either would or would not be sufficient to meet criteria for somatic symptom disorders. Notice that the rates are relatively uniform around the world, as is the sex ratio (Gureje, Simon, Ustun, & Goldberg, 1997). When the problem is severe enough to meet criteria for disorder, the sex ratio is approximately 2:1 female to male.

Causes

Investigators with otherwise differing points of view agree on psychopathological processes ongoing in somatic symptom disorders. Faulty interpretation of physical signs and sensations as evidence of physical illness is central, so almost everyone agrees that these disorders are basically disorders of cognition or perception with

DSM
5

TABLE 6.2

Diagnostic Criteria for Illness Anxiety Disorder


- A. Preoccupation with fears of having or acquiring a serious illness.
- B. Somatic symptoms are not present or, if present, are only mild in intensity. If another medical condition is present or there is a high risk for developing a medical condition (e.g., strong family history is present), the preoccupation is clearly excessive or disproportionate.
- C. There is a high level of anxiety about health, and the individual is easily alarmed about personal health status.
- D. The individual performs excessive health-related behaviors (e.g., repeatedly checks his or her body for signs of illness) or exhibits maladaptive avoidance (e.g., avoids doctors' appointments and hospitals).
- E. Illness preoccupation has been present for at least 6 months, but the specific illness that is feared may change over that period of time.
- F. The illness-related preoccupation is not better explained by another mental disorder, such as somatic symptom disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, or obsessive-compulsive disorder.

Specify whether:

Care-seeking type: Medical care, including physician visits or undergoing tests and procedures, is frequently used.

Care-avoidant type: Medical care is rarely used

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.



In somatic symptom disorder, primary relationships are often with medical caregivers; one's symptoms are one's identity.

TABLE 6.1

Frequency of Two Forms of Somatization in a Cross-Cultural Study ($N = 5,438$)*

Center	ICD-10 Somatization Disorder (%)			Somatic Symptom Index (%)		
	Men	Women	Overall Prevalence	Men	Women	Overall Prevalence
Ankara, Turkey	1.3	2.2	1.9	22.3	26.7	25.2
Athens, Greece	0.4	1.8	1.3	7.7	13.5	11.5
Bangalore, India	1.3	2.4	1.8	19.1	20.0	19.6
Berlin, Germany	0.3	2.0	1.3	24.9	25.9	25.5
Groningen, the Netherlands	0.8	4.1	2.8	14.7	19.9	17.8
Ibadan, Nigeria	0.5	0.3	0.4	14.4	5.0	7.6
Mainz, Germany	1.0	4.4	3.0	24.9	17.3	20.6
Manchester, United Kingdom	0	0.5	0.4	21.4	20.0	20.5
Nagasaki, Japan	0	0.2	0.1	13.3	7.9	10.5
Paris, France	0.6	3.1	1.7	18.6	28.2	23.1
Rio de Janeiro, Brazil	1.5	11.2	8.5	35.6	30.6	32.0
Santiago, Chile	33.8	11.2	17.7	45.7	33.3	36.8
Seattle, Washington, United States	0.7	2.2	1.7	10.0	9.8	9.8
Shanghai, China	0.3	2.2	1.5	17.5	18.7	18.3
Verona, Italy	0	0.2	0.1	9.7	8.5	8.9
Total	1.9	3.3	2.8	19.8	19.7	19.7

Note: Criteria from *The International Classification of Diseases* (10th ed.) were used in this study.

*Weighted to the first-stage (intake) sample.

Source: Adapted from Gureje, O., Simon, G. E., Ustun, T. B., & Goldberg, D. P (1997). Somatization in cross-cultural perspective: A World Health Organization study in primary care. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 154, 989–995.


strong emotional contributions (Adler, Côté, Barlow, & Hillhouse, 1994; olde Hartman et al., 2009; Taylor & Asmundson, 2004, 2009; Witthöft & Hiller, 2010).

Individuals with somatic symptom disorders experience physical sensations common to all of us, but they quickly focus their attention on these sensations. Remember that the very act of focusing on yourself increases arousal and makes the physical sensations seem more intense than they are (see Chapter 5). If you also tend to misinterpret these as symptoms of illness, your anxiety will increase further. Increased anxiety produces additional physical symptoms, which creates a vicious cycle (see Figure 6.1, which was developed to apply to *DSM-IV* hypochondriasis, but in fact applies to *DSM-5* somatic symptom disorder and illness anxiety disorder) (Salkovskis, Warwick, & Deale, 2003; Warwick & Salkovskis, 1990; Witthöft & Hiller, 2010).

Using procedures from cognitive science such as the Stroop test (see Chapter 2), a number of investigators (Hitchcock & Mathews, 1992; Pauli & Alpers, 2002) have confirmed that participants with these disorders show enhanced perceptual sensitivity to illness cues.

They also tend to interpret ambiguous stimuli as threatening (Haenen et al., 2000). Thus, they quickly become aware (and frightened) of any sign of possible illness or disease. A minor headache, for example, might be interpreted as a sure sign of a brain tumor. Smeets, de Jong, and Mayer (2000) demonstrated that individuals with these disorders, compared with “normals,” take a “better safe than sorry” approach to dealing with even minor physical symptoms by getting them checked out as soon as possible. More fundamentally, they have a restrictive concept of health as being symptom-free (Rief et al., 1998).

What causes individuals to develop this pattern of somatic sensitivity and distorted beliefs? Although it is not certain, the cause is unlikely to be found in isolated biological or psychological factors. For some patients, the fundamental causes of these disorders are similar to those implicated in the anxiety disorders (Barlow, 2002; Barlow et al., 2014). For example, evidence shows that somatic symptom disorders run in families (Bell, 1994; Guze, Cloninger, Martin, & Clayton, 1986; Katon, 1993), and that there is a modest genetic contribution (Taylor, Thordarson, Jang, & Asmundson, 2006). But this contribution may be nonspecific, such as a tendency



● FIGURE 6.1

Integrative model of causes of hypochondriasis. (Based on Warwick, H. M., & Salkovskis, P. M. [1990]. Hypochondriasis. *Behavior Research Therapy*, 28, 105–117.)

to overrespond to stress, and thus may be indistinguishable from the nonspecific genetic contribution to anxiety disorders. Hyperresponsivity might combine with a tendency to view negative life events as unpredictable and uncontrollable and, therefore, to be guarded against at all times (Noyes et al., 2004; Barlow et al., 2014). As we noted in Chapter 5, these factors would constitute biological and psychological vulnerabilities to anxiety.

Why does this anxiety focus on physical sensations and illness? We know that children with these concerns often report the same kinds of symptoms that other family members may have reported at one time (Kirmayer, Looper, & Taillefer, 2003). It is therefore quite possible, as in panic disorder, that some individuals who develop somatic symptom disorder or illness anxiety disorder have *learned* from family members to focus their anxiety on specific physical conditions and illness.

Three other factors may contribute to this etiological process. First, these disorders seem to develop in the context of a stressful life event, as do many disorders, including anxiety disorders. Such events often involve death or illness (Noyes et al., 2004; Sandin, Chorot, Santed, & Valiente, 2004). (Gail's traumatic first year of marriage seemed to coincide with the beginning of her disorder.) Second, people who develop these disorders tend to have had a disproportionate incidence of disease in their family when they were children. Thus, even if they did not develop somatic symptom disorders until adulthood, they carry strong memories of illness that could easily become the focus of anxiety. Third, an important social and interpersonal influence may be involved (Noyes et al., 2003; Barlow et al., 2014). Some people who come from families where illness is a major issue seem to have learned that an ill person often gets a lot of attention. The “benefits” of being sick might contribute to the development of the disorder in some people. A “sick person” who receives increased attention for being ill and is able to avoid work or other responsibilities is described as adopting a “sick role.”

Treatment

It used to be common clinical practice to uncover unconscious conflicts through psychodynamic psychotherapy. However, the results on the effectiveness of this kind of treatment have seldom been reported.

Scientifically controlled studies have shown some support for cognitive behavioral treatments for health anxiety (e.g., Bouman, 2014; Taylor & Asmundson, 2009) and also somatic symptom disorder (e.g., Kleinstäuber, Witthöft, & Hiller, 2011; Sharma, & Manjula, 2013; Witthöft & Hiller 2010; Woolfolk & Allen, 2011). Surprisingly, clinical reports indicate that reassurance and education can be effective in some cases with health anxiety (Haenlen et al., 2000; Kellner, 1992)—“surprisingly” because, by definition, patients with these disorders are not supposed to benefit from reassurance about their health. Reassurance is usually given only briefly, however, by family doctors who have little time to provide the ongoing support and reassurance that might be necessary. Mental health professionals may well be able to offer reassurance in a more effective and sensitive manner, devote sufficient time to all concerns the patient may have, and attend to the “meaning” of the symptoms (for example, their relation to the patient’s life stress). Fava, Grandi, Rafanelli, Fabbri, and Cazzaro (2000) tested this idea by assigning 20 patients who met diagnostic criteria for DSM-IV hypochondriasis to two groups. One received “explanatory therapy” in which the clinician went over the source and origins of their symptoms in some detail. These patients were assessed immediately after the therapy and again at a 6-month follow-up. The other group was a wait-list control group that did not receive the explanatory therapy until after 6 months of waiting. All patients received usual medical care from their physicians. In both groups, taking the time to explain in some detail the nature of the patient’s disorder in an educational framework was associated with a significant reduction in fears and beliefs about somatic symptoms and a decrease in health-care usage, and these gains were maintained at the follow-up. For the wait-list group, treatment gains did not occur until the patients received explanatory therapy, suggesting this treatment is effective. This is

a small study and follow-ups occurred for only 6 months, but the results are promising (although explanatory therapy most likely only benefits those with more mild forms of the disorders) (Taylor, Asmundson, & Coons, 2005). Participation in support groups may also give these people the reassurance they need.


Evaluations of more robust treatments are now available (for a review, see Bouman, 2014). For example, in one strong study, Barsky and Ahern (2005) randomized 187 patients with *DSM-IV* hypochondriasis to receive either six sessions of cognitive-behavioral treatment (CBT) from trained therapists or treatment as usual from primary care physicians. CBT focused on identifying and challenging illness-related misinterpretations of physical sensations and on showing patients how to create “symptoms” by focusing attention on certain body areas. Bringing on their own symptoms persuaded many patients that such events were under their control. Patients were also coached to seek less reassurance regarding their concerns. Results can be seen in ● Figure 6.2 as scores on the Whiteley Index of hypochondriacal symptoms. CBT was more effective after treatment and at each follow-up point for both symptoms of hypochondriasis and overall changes in functioning and quality of life. But results were still “modest,” and many eligible patients refused to enter treatment because they were convinced their problems were medical rather than psychological. In another strong study, Allen et al. (2006) found that 40% of patients with more severe somatic symptom disorder treated with CBT (versus 7% of a group receiving standard medical care) evidenced clinical improvement and these gains lasted at least a year. Escobar et al. (2007) reported similar results. Interestingly, one recent trial suggests that cognitive interventions do not seem to be necessary for treating hypochondriasis (Weck, Neng, Richtberg, Jakob, & Stangier, 2015). This study randomly assigned patients with

hypochondriasis to receive cognitive therapy alone, exposure therapy without explicit cognitive interventions, or a waitlist control group. Compared to the control group, both treatments resulted in large-sized effects for improving symptoms of hypochondriasis. Although the study found a significant reduction in depressive symptoms and bodily complaints for both treatments in comparison with the waitlist, anxiety symptoms were only significantly reduced by the exposure treatment. The exposure procedures consisted of repeatedly confronting the patient to stimuli that are relevant for health anxieties (e.g., documentaries about diseases) without using any avoidance and safety behaviors (e.g., reassurance by doctors, checking the abdomen for cancer). A few other reports suggest that drugs may help some people with somatic symptom disorders (Fallon et al., 2003; Kjernisted, Enns, & Lander, 2002; Kroenke, 2007; Taylor et al., 2005). Not surprisingly, these same types of drugs (antidepressants) are useful for anxiety and depression. In one study, CBT and the drug paroxetine (Paxil), a selective-serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI), were both effective, but only CBT was significantly different from a placebo condition. Specifically, 45% in the CBT group, 30% in the Paxil group, and 14% in the placebo group responded to treatment among all patients who entered the study (Greeven et al., 2007).

In our clinic, we concentrate on initially providing reassurance, reducing stress, and, in particular, reducing the frequency of help-seeking behaviors. One of the most common patterns is the person’s tendency to visit numerous medical specialists to address the symptom of the week. There is an extensive medical and physical workup with every visit to a new physician (or to one who has not been seen for a while), at an extraordinary cost to the health-care system (Barsky et al., 2005; Withköft & Hiller, 2010). In treatment, to limit these visits, a gatekeeper physician is assigned to each patient to screen all physical complaints. Subsequent visits to specialists must be specifically authorized by this gatekeeper. In the context of a positive therapeutic relationship, most patients are amenable to this arrangement.

Additional therapeutic attention is directed at reducing the supportive consequences of relating to significant others on the basis of physical symptoms alone. More appropriate methods of interacting with others are encouraged, along with additional procedures to promote healthy social and personal adjustment without relying on being “sick.” In this context, CBT may then be the most helpful (Allen et al., 2006; Mai, 2004; Woolfolk & Allen, 2011). Because Linda, like many patients with this disorder, was receiving disability payments from the state, additional goals involved encouraging at least part-time employment, with the ultimate goal of discontinuing disability.

Now family doctors are being trained in how better to manage these patients using some of these principles (Garcia-Campayo, Claraco, Sanz-Carrillo, Arevalo, & Montón, 2002), but results are mixed (Woolfolk & Allen, 2011).



● FIGURE 6.2

Reduction in symptoms of hypochondriasis after six sessions of CBT or medical care as usual. (Adapted from Barsky, A. J., & Ahern, D. K. [2005]. Cognitive behavior therapy for hypochondriasis: A randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*, 291, 1464–1470.)

Psychological Factors Affecting Medical Condition

A related somatic symptom disorder is called **psychological factors affecting medical condition**. The essential feature

of this disorder is the presence of a diagnosed medical condition such as asthma, diabetes, or severe pain clearly caused by a known medical condition such as cancer that is adversely affected (increased in frequency or severity) by one or more psychological or behavioral factors. These behavioral or psychological factors would have a direct influence on the course or perhaps the treatment of the medical condition. One example would be anxiety severe enough to clearly worsen an asthmatic condition. Another example would be a patient with diabetes who is in denial about the need to regularly check insulin levels and intervene when necessary. In this case the pattern would have to be consistent in the neglect of appropriate monitoring and intervention, but the neglect is clearly a behavioral or psychological factor that is adversely affecting the medical condition. This diagnosis would need to be distinguished from the development of stress or anxiety in response to having a severe medical condition that would more appropriately be diagnosed as an adjustment disorder (see Chapter 5). In Chapter 9, we discuss health psychology and the contribution of psychological factors to physical disorders including cardiovascular disease, cancer, AIDS, and chronic pain.

Conversion Disorder (Functional Neurological Symptom Disorder)

The term *conversion* has been used off and on since the Middle Ages (Mace, 1992) but was popularized by Freud, who believed the anxiety resulting from unconscious conflicts somehow was “converted” into physical symptoms to find expression. This allowed the individual to discharge some anxiety without actually experiencing it. As in phobic disorders, the anxiety resulting from unconscious conflicts might be “displaced” onto another object. In *DSM-5*, “functional neurological symptom disorder” is a subtitle to conversion disorder because the term is more often used by neurologists who see the majority of patients receiving a conversion disorder diagnosis, and because the term is more acceptable to patients. “Functional” refers to a symptom without an organic cause (Stone, LaFrance, Levenson, & Sharpe, 2010). It is likely that the old term “conversion” will be dropped in future editions of the *DSM*.

Clinical Description

Conversion disorders generally have to do with physical malfunctioning, such as paralysis, blindness, or difficulty speaking (aphonia), without any physical or organic pathology to account for the malfunction. Most conversion symptoms suggest that some kind of neurological disease is affecting sensory-motor systems, although conversion symptoms can mimic the full range of physical malfunctioning.

Conversion disorders provide some of the most intriguing, sometimes astounding, examples of psychopathology. What could possibly account for somebody going blind when all visual processes are normal or experiencing paralysis of the arms or legs when there is no neurological damage? Consider the case of Eloise.

Eloise... Unlearning Walking

Eloise sat on a chair with her legs under her, refusing to put her feet on the floor. Her mother sat close by, ready to assist her if she needed to move or get up. Her mother had made the appointment and, with the help of a friend, had all but carried Eloise into the office. Eloise was a 20 year old of borderline intelligence who was friendly and personable during the initial interview and who readily answered all questions with a big smile. She obviously enjoyed the social interaction.

Eloise’s difficulty walking developed over 5 years. Her right leg had given way and she began falling. Gradually, the condition worsened to the point that 6 months before her admission to the hospital Eloise could move around only by crawling on the floor.

Physical examinations revealed no physical problems. Eloise presented with a classic case of conversion disorder. Although she was not paralyzed, her specific symptoms included weakness in her legs and difficulty keeping her balance, with the result that she fell often. This particular type of conversion symptom is called *astasia-abasia*. Eloise lived with her mother, who ran a gift shop in the front of her house in a small rural town. Eloise had been schooled through special education programs until she was about 15; after this, no further programs were available. When Eloise began staying home, her walking began to deteriorate. •

In addition to blindness, paralysis, and aphonia, conversion symptoms may include total mutism and the loss of the sense of touch. Some people have seizures, which may be psychological in origin, because no significant electroencephalogram (EEG) changes can be documented. These “seizures” are usually called psychogenic non-epileptic seizures. Another relatively common symptom is *globus hystericus*, the sensation of a lump in the throat that makes it difficult to swallow, eat, or sometimes talk (Finkenbine & Miele, 2004).

Closely Related Disorders

Distinguishing among conversion reactions, medically explained symptoms, and outright **malingering** (faking) is sometimes difficult. Several factors can help, but one symptom, widely regarded as a diagnostic sign, has proved not to be useful.

It was long thought that patients with conversion reactions had the same quality of indifference to the symptoms thought to be present in some people with severe somatic symptom disorder. This attitude, referred to as *la belle indifférence*, was considered a hallmark of conversion reactions, but, unfortunately, this turns out not to be the case. Stone, Smyth, Carson, Warlow, and Sharpe (2006) found a blasé attitude toward illness is sometimes displayed by people with actual physical disorders, and some people with conversion symptoms do become quite distressed. Specifically, only 21% of 356 patients with conversion symptoms displayed *la belle indifférence* compared with 29% of 157 patients with organic disease.

TABLE 6.3

Diagnostic Criteria for Conversion Disorder (Functional Neurological Symptom Disorder)

- A.** One or more symptoms of altered voluntary motor or sensory function.
- B.** Clinical findings provide evidence of incompatibility between the symptom and recognized neurological or medical conditions.
- C.** The symptom or deficit is not better explained by another medical or mental disorder.
- D.** The symptom or deficit causes clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning or warrants medical evaluation.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

Other factors may be more helpful in making this distinction. Conversion symptoms often seem to be precipitated by marked stress. Often this stress takes the form of a physical injury. In one large survey, 324 out of 869 patients (37%) reported prior physical injury (Stone, Carson, Aditya, et al., 2009). But the occurrence of some identifiable stressor has not been a reliable sign of conversion disorder, since many other disorders are associated with stressful events and stressful events often occur in the lives of people without any disorders. For this reason, the diagnostic criterion that conversion disorder is associated with preceding stress does not appear in *DSM-5*. Although people with conversion symptoms can usually function normally, they seem truly unaware either of this ability or of sensory input. For example, individuals with the conversion symptom of blindness can usually avoid objects in their visual field, but they will tell you they can't see the objects. Similarly, individuals with conversion symptoms of paralysis of the legs might suddenly get up and run in an emergency and then be astounded they were able to do this. It is possible that at least some people who experience miraculous cures during religious ceremonies may have been suffering from conversion reactions. These factors may help in distinguishing between conversion and organically based physical disorders, but clinicians sometimes make mistakes, although it is not common with modern diagnostic techniques. For example, Moene and colleagues (2000) carefully reassessed 85 patients diagnosed with conversion disorder and found 10 (11.8%) had developed some evidence of a neurological disorder approximately 2.5 years after the first exam. Stone and colleagues (2005), summarizing a number of studies, estimate the rate of misdiagnosis of conversion disorders that are really physical problems is approximately 4%, having improved considerably from earlier decades. In any case, ruling out medical causes for the symptoms is crucial to making a diagnosis of conversion and, given advances in medical screening procedures, this is the principal diagnostic criterion in *DSM-5* (APA, 2013; Stone et al., 2010).

Some conversion symptoms involve movements such as tremors that are perceived as involuntary. But what makes a movement either voluntary or involuntary? In one well-done

study, neuroscientists attempted to find out (Voon et al., 2010). These investigators assessed eight patients who presented with motor tremors without any neurological basis (conversion tremors). In a clever experiment, they used functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to compare brain activity during the conversion tremor, but also during a voluntary "mimicked" tremor in which patients were instructed to produce the tremor on purpose. The investigators found that the conversion tremor, as compared with the voluntary tremor, was associated with lower activity in the right inferior parietal cortex. Interestingly, this is an area of the brain that functions to compare internal predictions with actual events. In other words, if an individual wants to move her arm and then she decides to go ahead and move it, this area of the brain determines if the desired action has occurred. Because we think about making a movement before we do it, the brain concludes (correctly in most cases) that we caused the movement to occur. But if this area of the brain is not functioning properly, then the brain might conclude that the movement is involuntary.

Of course, it is not clear whether this brain activity is a cause or a result of conversion symptoms, but these sophisticated brain-imaging technologies may eventually bring us closer to understanding at least one part of the puzzle of conversion symptoms in some people.

It can also be difficult to distinguish between individuals who are truly experiencing conversion symptoms in a seemingly involuntary way and malingeringers who are good at faking symptoms. Once malingeringers are exposed, their motivation is clear: They are either trying to get out of something, such as work or legal difficulties, or they are attempting to gain something, such as a financial settlement. Malingeringers are fully aware of what they are doing and are clearly attempting to manipulate others to gain a desired end.

More puzzling is a set of conditions called **factitious disorders**, which fall somewhere between malingering and conversion disorders. The symptoms are under voluntary control, as with malingering, but there is *no obvious reason* for voluntarily producing the symptoms except, possibly, to assume the sick role and receive increased attention. Tragically, this disorder may extend to other members of the family. An adult, almost always a mother, may purposely make her child sick, evidently for the attention and pity given to her as the mother of a sick child. When an individual deliberately makes someone else sick, the condition is called *factitious disorder imposed on another*. It was also known previously as *Munchausen syndrome by proxy*. In any case, it is really an atypical form of child abuse (Check, 1998). Table 6.2 presents differences between typical child abuse and factitious disorder imposed on another (Munchausen syndrome by proxy).

The offending parent may resort to extreme tactics to create the appearance of illness in the child. For example, one mother stirred her child's urine specimen with a vaginal tampon obtained during menstruation. Another mother mixed feces into her child's vomit (Check, 1998). Because the mother typically establishes a positive relationship with a medical staff, the true nature of the illness is most often unsuspected and the staff members perceive the parent as remarkably caring, cooperative, and involved in providing

TABLE 6.2**Child Abuse Associated with Munchausen Syndrome by Proxy Versus Typical Child Abuse**

	Typical Child Abuse	Atypical Child Abuse (Munchausen Syndrome by Proxy)
Physical presentation of the child	Results from direct physical contact with the child; signs often detected on physical examination	Misrepresentation of an acute or accidental medical or surgical illness not usually obvious on physical examination
Obtaining the diagnosis	The perpetrator does not invite the discovery of the manifestation of the abuse	The perpetrator usually presents the manifestations of the abuse to the health-care system
Victims	Children are either the objects of frustration and anger or are receiving undue or inappropriate punishment	Children serve as the vector in gaining the attention the mother desires; anger is not the primary causal factor
Awareness of abuse	Usually present	Not usually present

Source: Reprinted, with permission, from Check, J. R. (1998). Munchausen syndrome by proxy: An atypical form of child abuse. *Journal of Practical Psychiatry and Behavioral Health*, 4(6), p. 341, Table 6.2.
© 1998 Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins.

for her child's well-being. Therefore, the mother is often successful at eluding suspicion. Helpful procedures to assess the possibility of factitious disorder imposed on another include a trial separation of the mother and the child or video surveillance of the child while in the hospital. An important study has appeared validating the utility of surveillance in hospital rooms of children with suspected factitious disorder imposed on another. In this study, 41 patients presenting with chronic, difficult-to-diagnose physical problems were monitored by video during their hospital stay. In 23 of these cases, the diagnoses turned out to be factitious disorder imposed on another, where the parent was responsible for the child's symptoms, and in more than half of these 23 cases, video surveillance was the method used to establish the diagnosis. In the other patients, laboratory tests or "catching" the mother in the act of inducing illness in her child confirmed the diagnosis. In one case, a child was suffering from recurring *Escherichia coli*, or *E. coli*, infections, and cameras caught the mother injecting her own urine into the child's intravenous line (Hall, Eubanks, Meyyazhagan, Kenney, & Cochran Johnson, 2000).

TABLE 6.4

Diagnostic Criteria for Factitious Disorders

5

- A.** Falsification of physical or psychological signs or symptoms, or induction of injury or disease, associated with identified deception.
- B.** The individual presents himself or herself to others as ill, impaired or injured.
- C.** The deceptive behavior is evident even in the absence of obvious external rewards.
- D.** The behavior is not better accounted for by another mental disorder such as delusional belief system or acute psychosis.

Specify if:

Single episode

Recurrent episodes: Two or more events of falsification of illness and/or induction of injury.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

Unconscious Mental Processes

Unconscious cognitive processes seem to play a role in much of psychopathology (although not necessarily as Freud envisioned it), but nowhere is this phenomenon more readily and dramatically apparent than when we attempt to distinguish between conversion disorders and related conditions. To take a closer look at the "unconscious" mental process in these conditions, we review briefly the case of Anna O. (see Chapter 2).

As you may remember, when Anna O. was 21 years old, she was nursing her dying father. This was a difficult time for her. She reported that after many days by the sick bed, her mind wandered. Suddenly she found herself imagining (dreaming?) that a black snake was moving across the bed, about to bite her father. She tried to grab the snake, but her right arm had gone to sleep and she could not move it. Looking at her arm and hand, she imagined that her fingers had turned into little poisonous snakes. Horrified, all she could do was pray, and the only prayer that came to mind was in English (Anna O.'s native language was German). After this, she experienced paralysis in her right arm whenever she remembered this hallucination. The paralysis gradually extended to the right side of her body and, on occasion, to other parts of her body. She also experienced a number of other conversion symptoms such as deafness and, intriguingly, an inability to speak German, although she remained fluent in English. In Josef Breuer's treatment of Anna O., she relived her traumatic experiences in her imagination. Under hypnosis, she was able to recreate the memory of her horrific hallucination. As she recalled and processed the images, her paralysis left her and she regained her ability to speak German. Breuer called the therapeutic reexperiencing of emotionally traumatic events *catharsis* (purging, or releasing). Catharsis has proved to be an effective intervention with many emotional disorders, as we noted in Chapter 5.

Were Anna O.'s symptoms really "unconscious," or did she realize at some level that she could move her arm and the rest of her body if she wanted to and it simply served her purpose not to? This question has long bedeviled psychopathologists. Now information (reviewed in Chapter 2) on unconscious cognitive processes becomes important. We are all capable of receiving and processing information in a number of sensory channels (such as

vision and hearing) without being aware of it. Remember the phenomenon of blind sight or unconscious vision? Weiskrantz (1980) and others discovered that people with small, localized damage to certain parts of their brains could identify objects in their field of vision but that they had no awareness whatsoever that they could see. Could this happen to people without brain damage? Consider the case of Celia.

Sackheim, Nordlie, and Gur (1979) evaluated the potential difference between real unconscious process and faking by hypnotizing two participants and giving each a suggestion of total blindness. One participant was also told it was extremely important that she appear to everyone to be blind. The second participant was not given further instructions. The first participant, evidently following instructions to appear blind at all costs, performed far below chance on a visual discrimination task similar to the upright triangle task. On almost every trial, she chose the wrong answer. The second participant, with the hypnotic suggestion of blindness but no instructions to “appear” blind at all costs, performed perfectly on the visual discrimination tasks—although she reported she could not see anything. How is this relevant to identifying malingering? In an earlier case, Grosz and Zimmerman (1965) evaluated a male who seemed to have conversion symptoms of blindness. They discovered that he performed much more poorly than chance on a visual discrimination task. Subsequent information from other sources confirmed that he was almost certainly malingering. To review these distinctions, someone who is truly blind would perform at a chance level on visual discrimination tasks. People with conversion symptoms, on the other hand, can see objects in their visual field and therefore would perform well on these tasks, but this experience is dissociated from their awareness of sight. Malingeringers and, perhaps, individuals with factitious disorders simply do everything possible to pretend they can’t see.

Celia... Seeing Through Blindness

A 15-year-old girl named Celia suddenly was unable to see. Shortly thereafter, she regained some of her sight, but her vision was so severely blurred that she could not read. When she was brought to a clinic for testing, psychologists arranged a series of sophisticated vision tests that did not require her to report when she could or could not see. One of the tasks required her to examine three triangles displayed on three separate screens and to press a button under the screen containing an upright triangle. Celia performed perfectly on this test without being aware that she could see anything (Grosz & Zimmerman, 1970). Was Celia faking? Evidently not, or she would have purposely made a mistake. •

Statistics

We have already seen that conversion disorder may occur with other disorders, particularly somatic symptom disorder, as in the case of Linda. Linda’s paralysis passed after several months and did not return, although on occasion she would report “feeling as if” it were returning. Comorbid anxiety and mood disorders

are also common (Pehlivanturk & Unal, 2002; Rowe, 2010; Stone, Carson, Duncan, et al., 2009). Conversion disorders are relatively rare in mental health settings, but remember that people who seek help for this condition are more likely to consult neurologists or other specialists. The prevalence estimate in neurological settings is high, averaging about 30% (Rowe, 2010; Stone, Carson, Duncan, et al., 2009). One study estimated that 30% of all patients referred to epilepsy centers have psychogenic, nonepileptic seizures (Benbadis & Allen-Hauser, 2000; Schoenberg, Marsh, & Benbadis, 2012).

Like severe somatic symptom disorder, conversion disorders are found primarily in women (Brown & Lewis-Fernandez, 2011; Deveci et al., 2007) and typically develop during adolescence or slightly thereafter. Conversion reactions have also been reported in soldiers exposed to severe combat, mainly during World War I and II (Mucha & Reinhardt, 1970; Perez-Sales, 1990). The conversion symptoms often disappear after a time, only to return later in the same or similar form when a new stressor occurs. In one study, 56 patients with psychogenic non-epileptic seizures (16 males and 40 females), who had their disorder for an average of 8 years, were followed for 18 months after initial diagnosis (Ettinger, Devinsky, Weisbrod, Ramakrishna, & Goyal, 1999). Outcome was generally poor for these patients, with only about half of the patients recovering. Even among those patients whose seizures had gotten better, rehospitalizations were common. Approximately 20% of this group had attempted suicide, and this proportion did not differ between those whose seizures had gotten better during the period and those whose seizures had not gotten better. If the patients believed the diagnosis of conversion disorder when it was given to them, and otherwise perceived themselves as being in good health and functioning well at work and at home, they had a better chance of recovering from their psychologically based seizures. Fortunately, children and adolescents seem to have a better long-term outlook than adults. In one study from Turkey, fully 85% of 40 children had recovered 4 years after initial diagnoses, with those diagnosed early having the best chance of recovery (Pehlivanturk & Unal, 2002). In view of the consistency with which this disorder occurs in countries around the world, this outcome would probably be true in other countries as well. In the beginning of the chapter, we noted that conversion disorder and dissociative disorders share common features. Several studies provide evidence for this (Brown & Lewis-Fernandez 2011). In one study, 72 patients with conversion disorders were compared with a control group of 96 psychiatric patients suffering from various emotional disorders who were matched for gender and age. Dissociative symptoms, such as feelings of unreality, were significantly more common in the patients with conversion disorder than in the control group, based on responses to a questionnaire (Spitzer, Spelsberg, Grabe, Mundt, & Freyberger, 1999). This finding was basically replicated in another report on 54 patients with conversion disorder compared with 50 matched patients with mood or anxiety disorders (Roelofs, Keijsers, Hoogduin, Naring, & Moene, 2002). In other cultures, some conversion symptoms are common aspects of religious or healing rituals. Seizures, paralysis, and trances are common in some rural fundamentalist religious groups in the United States (Griffith, English, & Mayfield, 1980), and they are often seen as evidence of contact with God. Individuals who exhibit

such symptoms are thus held in high esteem by their peers. These symptoms do not meet criteria for a “disorder” unless they persist and interfere with an individual’s functioning.

Causes

Freud described four basic processes in the development of conversion disorder. First, the individual experiences a traumatic event—in Freud’s view, an unacceptable, unconscious conflict. Second, because the conflict and the resulting anxiety are unacceptable, the person represses the conflict, making it unconscious. Third, the anxiety continues to increase and threatens to emerge into consciousness, and the person “converts” it into physical symptoms, thereby relieving the pressure of having to deal directly with the conflict. This reduction of anxiety is considered to be the *primary gain* or reinforcing event that maintains the conversion symptom. Fourth, the individual receives greatly increased attention and sympathy from loved ones and may also be allowed to avoid a difficult situation or task. Freud considered such attention or avoidance to be the *secondary gain*, the secondarily reinforcing set of events.

We believe Freud was basically correct on at least three counts but probably not on the fourth, although firm evidence supporting any of these ideas is sparse and Freud’s views were far more complex than represented here. Most often, individuals with conversion disorder have experienced a traumatic event that must be escaped at all costs (Brown & Lewis-Fernandez, 2011; Stone, Carson, Aditya, et al., 2009). This might be combat, where death is imminent, or an impossible interpersonal situation. Because simply running away is unacceptable in most cases, the socially acceptable alternative of getting sick is substituted; but getting sick on purpose is also unacceptable, so this motivation is detached from the person’s consciousness. Finally, because the escape behavior (the conversion symptoms) is successful to an extent in obliterating the traumatic situation, the behavior continues until the underlying problem is resolved. One study confirms these hypotheses, at least partially (Wyllie, Glazer, Benbadis, Kotagal, & Wolgamuth, 1999). In this study, 34 child and adolescent patients, 25 of them girls, were evaluated after receiving a diagnosis of psychologically based pseudo-seizures (psychogenic non-epileptic seizures). Many of these children and adolescents presented with additional psychological disorders, including 32% with mood disorders and 24% with separation anxiety and school refusal. Other anxiety disorders were present in some additional patients.

When the extent of psychological stress in the lives of these children was examined, it was found that most of the patients had substantial stress, including a history of sexual abuse, recent parental divorce or death of a close family member, and physical abuse. The authors concluded that major mood disorders and severe traumatic stress, especially sexual abuse, are common among children and adolescents with the conversion disorder of pseudo-seizures, as other studies have similarly indicated (Roelofs et al., 2002).

In another study, 15 adolescents who had exhibited visual problems in childhood that were of psychological origin were compared with a control group of adolescents who had experienced childhood visual problems because of known physical problems. Adolescents with the conversion disorder were more likely to have experienced some significant stress and adjustment



Mario Tama/Getty Images

The seizures and trances that may be symptomatic of conversion disorder are also common in some rural fundamentalist religious groups in the United States.

difficulties, such as substantial school difficulties, or the loss of a significant figure in their lives, and they rated their mothers as overinvolved and overprotective on a rating scale. Rating mothers as “overinvolved” or “overprotective” suggests that these psychologically based visual symptoms may have been strongly attended to and reinforced (Wynick, Hobson, & Jones, 1997).

The one step in Freud’s progression of events about which some questions remain is the issue of primary gain. The notion of primary gain accounts for the feature of *la belle indifférence* (cited previously), where individuals seem not the least bit distressed about their symptoms. In other words, Freud thought that because symptoms reflected an unconscious attempt to resolve a conflict, the patient would not be upset by them. But formal tests of this feature provide little support for Freud’s claim. For example, Lader and Sartorius (1968) compared patients with conversion disorder with control groups of anxious patients without conversion symptoms. The patients with conversion disorder showed equal or greater anxiety and physiological arousal than the control group. Also, Stone and colleagues (2006) in the study described earlier on “indifference” to conversion symptoms found no difference in distress over symptoms among patients with conversion disorder compared with patients with organic disease.

Social and cultural influences also contribute to conversion disorder, which, like somatic symptom disorder, tends to occur in less educated, lower socioeconomic groups where knowledge about disease and medical illness is not well developed (Brown & Lewis-Fernandez, 2011; Kirmayer et al., 2003; Woolfolk & Allen, 2011). For example, Binzer and colleagues (Binzer, Andersen, & Kullgren, 1997) noted that 13% of their group of 30 adult patients with motor disabilities resulting from conversion disorder had attended high school, compared with 67% in a control group of patients with motor symptoms because of a physical cause. Prior experience with real physical problems, usually among other family members, tends to influence the later choice of specific conversion symptoms; that is, patients tend to adopt symptoms with which they are familiar (see, for example, Brady & Lind, 1961). Furthermore, the incidence of these disorders has decreased over the decades (Kirmayer et al., 2003). The most likely explanation is

that increased knowledge of the real causes of physical problems by both patients and loved ones eliminates much of the possibility of secondary gain so important in these disorders.

Finally, many conversion symptoms seem to be part of a larger constellation of psychopathology. Linda had broad-ranging somatic symptom disorder, as well as the severe conversion symptoms, that resulted in her hospitalization. In similar cases, individuals may have a marked biological vulnerability to develop conversion disorder when under stress, with biological processes like those discussed in the context of somatic symptom disorder. Neuroscientists are increasingly finding a strong connectivity between the conversion symptom and parts of the brain regulating emotion, such as the amygdala, using brain-imaging procedures (Bryant & Das, 2012; Rowe, 2010; Voon et al., 2010).

For countless other cases, however, biological contributory factors seem to be less important than the overriding influence of interpersonal factors (the actions of Eloise's mother, for example), as we will discuss in the next section. There you will see that the extent of Eloise's suffering and its successful resolution point primarily to a psychological and social etiology.

Treatment

Although few systematic controlled studies have evaluated the effectiveness of treatment for conversion disorders, we often treat these conditions in our clinics, as do others (see, for example, Campo & Negrini, 2000; Moene, Spinhoven, Hoogduin, & van Dyck, 2002, 2003), and our methods closely follow our thinking on etiology. Because conversion disorder has much in common with somatic symptom disorder, many of the treatment principles are similar.

A principal strategy in treating conversion disorder is to identify and attend to the traumatic or stressful life event, if it is still present (either in real life or in memory). As in the case of Anna O., therapeutic assistance in reexperiencing or "reliving" the event (catharsis) is a reasonable first step.

The therapist must also work hard to reduce any reinforcing or supportive consequences of the conversion symptoms (secondary gain). For example, it was quite clear that Eloise's mother found it convenient if Eloise stayed in one place most of the day while her mother attended to the store in the front of the house. Eloise's immobility was thus strongly reinforced by motherly attention and concern. Any unnecessary mobility was punished. The therapist must collaborate with both the patient and the family to eliminate such self-defeating behaviors.

Many times, removing the secondary gain is easier said than done. Eloise was successfully treated in the clinic. Through intensive daily work with the staff, she was able to walk again. To accomplish this, she had to practice walking every day with considerable support, attention, and praise from the staff. When her mother visited, the staff noticed that she verbalized her pleasure with Eloise's progress but that her facial expressions, or *affect*, conveyed a different message. The mother lived a good distance from the clinic so she could not attend sessions, but she promised to carry out the program at home after Eloise was discharged. But she didn't. A follow-up contact 6 months after Eloise was discharged revealed that she had relapsed and was again spending almost all

her time in a room in the back of the house while her mother attended to business out front.

Following similar cognitive-behavioral programs, 65% of a group of 45 patients with mostly motor behavior conversions (for example, difficulty walking) responded well to treatment. Interestingly, hypnosis, which was administered to approximately half the patients, added little or no benefit to the CBT (Moene et al., 2002, 2003).

Dissociative Disorders

At the beginning of the chapter, we said that when individuals feel detached from themselves or their surroundings, almost as if they are dreaming or living in slow motion, they are having dissociative experiences. Morton Prince, the founder of the *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, noted more than 100 years ago that many people experience something like dissociation occasionally (Prince, 1906–1907). It might be likely to happen after an extremely stressful event, such as an accident (Spiegel, 2010). It also is more likely to happen when you're tired or sleep deprived from staying up all night cramming for an exam (Giesbrecht, Smeets, Leppink, Jelicic, & Merckelbach, 2007). If you have had an experience of dissociation, it may not have bothered you much, perhaps because you knew the cause (Barlow, 2002). On the other hand, it may have been extremely frightening. Transient experiences of dissociation will occur in about half of the general population at some point in their lives, and studies suggest that if a person experiences a traumatic event, between 31% and 66% will have this feeling at that time (Hunter, Sierra, & David, 2004; Keane, Marx, Sloan & DePrince, 2011). Because it's hard to measure dissociation, the connection between trauma and dissociation is controversial (Giesbrecht, Lynn, Lilienfeld, & Merckelbach, 2008).

Nijenhuis, 2009). In each case, there are alterations in our relationship to the self, to the world, or to memory processes.

Although we have much to learn about these disorders, we briefly describe two of them—depersonalization-derealization disorder, and dissociative amnesia—before examining the fascinating condition of dissociative identity disorder. As you will see, the influence of social and cultural factors is strong in dissociative disorders. Even in severe cases, the expression of the pathology does not stray far from socially and culturally sanctioned forms (Giesbrecht et al., 2008; Kihlstrom, 2005a).

Depersonalization-Derealization Disorder

When feelings of unreality are so severe and frightening that they dominate an individual's life and prevent normal functioning, clinicians may diagnose the rare **depersonalization-derealization disorder**. Consider the case of Bonnie.

Bonnie... Dancing Away from Herself

Bonnie, a dance teacher in her late 20s, was accompanied by her husband when she first visited the clinic and complained of "flipping out." When asked what she meant, she said, "It's the most scary thing in the world. It often happens when I'm teaching my modern dance class. I'll be up in front, and I will feel focused on. Then, as I'm demonstrating the steps, I just feel like it's not really me and that I don't really have control of my legs. Sometimes I feel like I'm standing in back of myself just watching. Also I get tunnel vision. It seems like I can only see in a narrow space right in front of me and I just get totally separated from what's going on around me. Then I begin to panic and perspire and shake." It turns out that Bonnie's problems began after she smoked marijuana for the first time about 10 years before. She had the same feeling then and found it scary, but with the help of friends she got through it. Lately the feeling recurred more often and more severely, particularly when she was teaching dance class. •

You may remember from Chapter 5 that during an intense panic attack, many people (approximately 50%) experience feelings of unreality. People undergoing intense stress or experiencing a traumatic event may also experience these symptoms, which characterize the newly defined *acute stress disorder*. Feelings of depersonalization and derealization are part of several disorders (Giesbrecht et al., 2008; Spiegel et al., 2011; Spiegel et al., 2013). But when severe depersonalization and derealization are the primary problem, the individual meets criteria for depersonalization-derealization disorder (APA, 2013). Surveys suggest that this disorder exists in approximately 0.8% to 2.8% of the population (Johnson, Cohen, Kasen, & Brook, 2006; Spiegel et al., 2011). Simeon, Knutelska, Nelson, & Guralnik (2003) described 117 cases approximately equally split between men and women; Table 6.3 presents data from a study using

Investigators at Stanford University surveyed the reactions of journalists who witnessed one of the first executions in California in many decades, a traumatic experience for many (Freinkel, Koopman, & Spiegel, 1994). The prisoner, Robert Alton Harris, had been found guilty of the particularly brutal murder of two 16-year-old boys. As is customary, a number of journalists were invited to witness the execution. Because there were a number of stays of execution, they ended up spending all night at the prison as Harris was repeatedly led into and back out of the gas chamber before he was finally executed near daybreak. Several weeks later, the journalists filled out acute stress reaction questionnaires. Between 40% and 60% of the journalists experienced several dissociative symptoms. For example, during the execution, things around them seemed unreal or dreamlike and they felt time had stopped. They also felt estranged from other people and distant from their own emotions; a number of them felt they were strangers to themselves. The fact that the journalists were sleep deprived from staying up all night undoubtedly contributed to these dissociative feelings.

These kinds of experiences can be divided into two types. During an episode of *depersonalization*, your perception alters so that you temporarily lose the sense of your own reality, as if you were in a dream and you were watching yourself. During an episode of *derealization*, your sense of the reality of the external world is lost. Things may seem to change shape or size; people may seem dead or mechanical. These sensations of unreality are characteristic of the dissociative disorders because, in a sense, they are a psychological mechanism whereby one "dissociates" from reality. Depersonalization is often part of a serious set of conditions in which reality, experience, and even identity seem to disintegrate. As we go about our day-to-day lives, we ordinarily have an excellent sense of who we are and a general knowledge of the identity of other people. We are also aware of events around us, of where we are, and of why we are there. Finally, except for occasional small lapses, our memories remain intact so that events leading up to the current moment are clear in our minds.

But what happens if we can't remember why we are in a certain place or even who we are? What happens if we lose our sense that our surroundings are real? Finally, what happens if we not only forget who we are but also begin thinking we are somebody else—somebody who has a different personality, different memories, and even different physical reactions, such as allergies we never had? These are examples of disintegrated experience (Dell & O'Neil, 2009; Spiegel, 2010; Spiegel et al., 2013; van der Hart &

TABLE 6.5

Diagnostic Criteria for Depersonalization-Derealization Disorder

- A.** The presence of persistent or recurrent experiences of depersonalization, derealization, or both:
 - Depersonalization: Experiences of unreality, detachment, or being an outside observer with respect to one's thoughts, feelings, sensations, body or actions (e.g., perceptual alterations, distorted sense of time, unreal or absent self, emotional and/or physical numbing).
 - Derealization: Experiences of unreality or detachment with respect to surroundings (e.g., individuals or objects are experienced as unreal, dreamlike, foggy, lifeless, or visually distorted).
- B.** During the depersonalization or derealization experience, reality testing remains intact.
- C.** The symptoms cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.
- D.** The disturbance is not attributable to the physiological effects of a substance (e.g., a drug of abuse, medication) or another medical condition (e.g., seizures).
- E.** The disturbance is not better explained by another mental disorder, such as schizophrenia or panic disorder.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

TABLE 6.3

Dissociative Experiences Scale Item Scores in 117 Participants with Depersonalization-Derealization Disorder (Arranged in Descending Frequency)

Abbreviated Description	Mean	SD
Surroundings seem unreal	67.4	29.6
Looking at the world through a fog	60.0	37.3
Body does not belong to one	50.6	34.7
Did not hear part of conversation	43.6	29.3
Finding familiar place strange and unfamiliar	35.3	33.0
Staring off into space; unaware of time	32.7	31.8
Can't remember if just did something or thought it	31.6	28.8
Do usually difficult things with ease/spontaneity	31.2	31.2
Act so differently/feel like two different people	28.7	32.5
Talk out loud to oneself when alone	28.4	32.2
SD – 5 standard deviation.		

Adapted from Simeon, D., Knutelska, M., Nelson, D., & Guralnik, O. (2003). Feeling unreal: A depersonalization disorder update of 119 cases. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 185, 31–36. © Physicians Post Graduate Press, Inc.

DSM-IV criteria for this disorder (which are very similar to DSM-5 criteria) that summarizes the 10 most commonly experienced symptoms in these patients. Mean age of onset was 16 years, and the course tended to be chronic. All patients were substantially impaired. Anxiety, mood, and personality disorders are also commonly found in these individuals (Simeon et al.,

2003; Johnson et al., 2006). Among the 117 patients described, 73% suffered from additional mood disorders and 64% from anxiety disorders at some point in their lives.

Two studies (Guralnik, Giesbrecht, Knutelska, Sirroff, & Simeon, 2007; Guralnik, Schmeidler, & Simeon, 2000) compared patients who had what we now call depersonalization-derealization disorder with matched normal-comparison participants on a comprehensive neuropsychological test battery that assessed cognitive function. Although both groups were of equal intelligence, the participants with depersonalization disorder showed a distinct cognitive profile, reflecting some specific cognitive deficits on measures of attention, processing of information, short-term memory, and spatial reasoning. Basically, these patients were easily distracted and were slow to perceive and process new information. It is not clear how these cognitive and perceptual deficits develop, but they seem to correspond with reports of “tunnel vision” (perceptual distortions) and “mind emptiness” (difficulty absorbing new information) that characterize these patients.

Specific aspects of brain functioning are also associated with depersonalization (see, for example, Sierra & Berrios, 1998; Simeon, 2009; Simeon et al., 2000). Sierra and colleagues (2002) compared skin conductance responding, a psychophysiological measure of emotional responding (see Chapter 3), among 15 patients with depersonalization disorder, 11 patients with anxiety disorders, and 15 control participants without any disorder. Patients with depersonalization disorder showed greatly reduced emotional responding compared with other groups, reflecting a tendency to selectively inhibit emotional expression. Brain-imaging studies now confirm deficits in perception (Simeon, 2009; Simeon et al., 2000) and emotion regulation (Phillips et al., 2001). Other studies note dysregulation in the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical (HPA) axis among these patients, compared with normal controls (Simeon, Guralnik, Knutelska, Hollander, & Schmeidler, 2001; Spiegel et al., 2013), suggesting, again, deficits in emotional responding. Psychological treatments have not been systematically studied. One evaluation of the drug Prozac did not show any treatment effect compared with placebo (Simeon, Guralnik, Schneider, & Knutelska, 2004).

Dissociative Amnesia

Perhaps the easiest to understand of the severe dissociative disorders is one called **dissociative amnesia**, which includes several patterns. People who are unable to remember anything, including who they are, are said to suffer from **generalized amnesia**. Generalized amnesia may be lifelong or may extend from a period in the more recent past, such as 6 months or a year previously. Consider the case study described here.

The Woman Who Lost Her Memory

Several years ago, a woman in her early 50s brought her daughter to one of our clinics because of the girl's refusal to attend school and other severely disruptive behavior. The father, who refused to come to the session, was quarrelsome, a heavy drinker, and, on occasion, abusive. The girl's brother,

now in his mid-20s, lived at home and was a burden on the family. Several times a week a major battle erupted, complete with shouting, pushing, and shoving, as each member of the family blamed the others for all their problems. The mother, a strong woman, was clearly the peacemaker responsible for holding the family together. Approximately every 6 months, usually after a family battle, the mother lost her memory and the family had her admitted to the hospital. After a few days away from the turmoil, the mother regained her memory and went home, only to repeat the cycle in the coming months. Although we did not treat this family (they lived too far away), the situation resolved itself when the children moved away and the stress decreased. •

Far more common than general amnesia is **localized or selective amnesia**, a failure to recall specific events, usually traumatic, that occur during a specific period. Dissociative amnesia is common during war (Cardena & Gleaves, 2003; Spiegel et al., 2013). An interesting case of a woman whose father deserted her when she was young and who then was forced to have an abortion at the age of 14 is described by Sackheim and Devanand (1991). Years later, she came for treatment for frequent headaches. In therapy she reported early events (for example, the abortion) rather matter-of-factly, but under hypnosis she would relive, with intense emotion, the early abortion and remember that subsequently she was raped by the abortionist. She also had images of her father attending a funeral for her aunt, one of the few times she ever saw him. Upon awakening from the hypnotic state, she had no memory of emotionally reexperiencing these events, and she wondered why she had been crying. In this case, the woman did not have amnesia for the *events themselves* but rather for her intense *emotional reactions to the events*. Absence of the subjective experience of emotion that is often present in depersonalization-derealization disorder and confirmed by brain-imaging studies (Phillips et al., 2001) becomes prominent here. In most cases of dissociative amnesia, the forgetting is selective for traumatic events or memories rather than generalized.

Cognitive disorders such as dementia (discussed in Chapter 15) can also be characterized by severe forgetting or amnesia. But there are several differences between cognitive disorders and dissociative amnesia, as outlined in Table 6.4.

A subtype of dissociative amnesia is referred to as **dissociative fugue** (Ross, 2009) with *fugue* literally meaning “flight” (*fugitive* is from the same root). In these curious cases, memory loss revolves around a specific incident—an unexpected trip (or trips). Mostly, individuals just take off and later find themselves in a new place, unable to remember why or how they got there. Usually they have left behind an intolerable situation. During these trips, a person sometimes assumes a new identity or at least becomes confused about the old identity. Consider the case of Jeffrey Ingram, a 40-year-old male from Washington state, who found himself unexpectedly in Denver.

DSM 5

TABLE 6.6

Diagnostic Criteria for Dissociative Amnesia

- A.** An inability to recall important autobiographical information, usually of a traumatic or stressful nature, that is inconsistent with ordinary forgetting. Note: Dissociative amnesia most often consists of localized or selective amnesia for a specific event or events; or generalized amnesia for identity and life history.
- B.** The symptoms cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.
- C.** The disturbance is not attributable to the physiological effects of a substance (e.g., alcohol or other drug of abuse, a medication) or a neurological or other medical condition (e.g., partial complex seizures, transient global amnesia, sequelae of a closed head injury/traumatic brain injury, or other neurological condition).
- D.** The disturbance is not better explained by dissociative identity disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder, acute stress disorder, somatic symptom disorder, or major or mild neurocognitive disorder.

Specify if:

With dissociative fugue: Apparently purposeful travel or bewildered wandering that is associated with amnesia for identity or for other important autobiographical information.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

Jeffrey... A Troubled Trip

An amnesia sufferer who had been searching for his identity for more than a month was back in Washington state with his fiancée on Tuesday, but he still doesn't remember his past life or what happened, his mother said.

Jeffrey Alan Ingram, 40, was diagnosed in Denver with dissociative fugue, a type of amnesia.

He has had similar bouts of amnesia in the past, likely triggered by stress, once disappearing for 9 months. When he went missing this time, on September 6, he had been on his way to Canada to visit a friend who was dying of cancer, said his fiancée, Penny Hansen.

“I think that the stress, the sadness, the grief of facing a best friend dying was enough, and leaving me was enough to send him into an amnesia state,” Hansen told KCNC-TV.

When Ingram found himself in Denver on September 10, he didn't know who he was. He said he walked around for about 6 hours asking people for help, then ended up at a hospital, where police spokeswoman Virginia Quinones said Ingram was diagnosed with a type of amnesia known as dissociative fugue.

Searched for his identity. Ingram's identity came to light last weekend after he appeared on several news shows asking the public for help: “If anybody recognizes me, knows who I am, please let somebody know.”

(Continued next page)

"Penny's brother called her right away and told her 'Did you watch this newscast?' and 'I think that's Jeff that they're showing on television,'" said Marilyn Meehan, a spokeswoman for Hansen.

Hansen had filed a missing person report after Ingram failed to show up at her mother's home in Bellingham, Washington, on his way to Canada, but officials searching for him had turned up nothing.

On Monday night, two Denver police detectives accompanied Ingram on a flight to Seattle, where he was reunited with his fiancée.

His mother, Doreen Tompkins of Slave Lake, Alberta, was in tears as she talked about the struggle her son and the family still face.

"It's going to be very difficult again, but you know what, I can do it," she told CTV news of Edmonton, Alberta. "I did it before, I can do it again. I'll do it as many times as I have to just so I can have my son."

Memory never fully regained. Ingram had experienced an episode of amnesia in 1995 when he disappeared during a trip to a grocery store. Nine months later, he was found in a Seattle hospital, according to Thurston County, Washington, officials. His mother said he never fully regained his memory.

Meehan, who works with Hansen at the state Utilities and Transportation Commission, said the couple would not give interviews because they want to concentrate on Ingram's effort to regain his memory.

"They're taking it one step at a time," Meehan said.

"He said that while her face wasn't familiar to him, her heart was familiar to him," she said. "He can't remember his home, but he said their home felt like home to him." •

© 2006 The Associated Press. All rights reserved. This material may not be published, broadcast, rewritten or redistributed.

Dissociative amnesia seldom appears before adolescence and usually occurs in adulthood. It is rare for dissociative amnesia to appear for the first time after an individual reaches the age of 50 (Sackeim & Devanand, 1991). Once dissociative disorders do appear, however, they may continue well into old age. Estimates of prevalence range anywhere from 1.8% to 7.3%, suggesting that dissociative amnesia is the most prevalent of all the dissociative disorders (Spiegel et al., 2011).

Fugue states usually end rather abruptly, and the individual returns home, recalling most, if not all, of what happened. In this disorder, the disintegrated experience is more than memory loss, involving at least some disintegration of identity, if not the complete adoption of a new one.

An apparently distinct dissociative state not found in Western cultures is called *amok* (as in "running amok"). Most people with this disorder are males. Amok has attracted attention because individuals in this trancelike state often brutally assault and sometimes kill people or animals. If the person is not killed

TABLE 6.4 Differences between DA and Amnesia in Cognitive Disorders

Differences	DA*	Cognitive Disorders
Due to known medical disorder or physical cause	No	Yes
Onset related to psychological trauma/extreme stress	Yes	No
Exacerbated by stress	Yes	Yes/No; anxiety can worsen memory performance in cognitive disorders
Memory deficits primarily in autobiographical recall	Yes	No, but may have circumscribed retrograde memory loss and/or general impairment in autobiographical recall that worsens with illness progression
Reversible with hypnosis	Yes	No
Improvement with sedative-hypnotics (e.g., pharmacologically facilitated interviews)	Yes or no change	No or may make worse
Varying extent and nature of the intrusion of the dissociated mental elements to consciousness	Yes	No
Ability to learn new information is intact. Ability to manipulate facts and neutral information is generally normal (e.g., finances, current events, etc.)	Yes	No
Disorientation to personal identity generally only occurs in late phase of illness	No	Yes

*DA, dissociative amnesia.



AP Images/The Denver Post, Karl Gehring

Jeffrey Alan Ingram found himself in Denver not knowing who he was or why he was there after having gone missing a month earlier from Washington state.

himself, he probably will not remember the episode. Running amok is only one of a number of “running” syndromes in which an individual enters a trancelike state and suddenly, imbued with a mysterious source of energy, runs or flees for a long time. Except for amok, the prevalence of running disorders is somewhat greater in women, as with most dissociative disorders. Among native peoples of the Arctic, running disorder is termed *pivloktoq*. Among the Navajo tribe, it is called *frenzy witchcraft*. Despite their different culturally determined expression, running disorders seem to resemble dissociative fugue, with the possible exception of amok.

Dissociative disorders differ in important ways across cultures. In many areas of the world, dissociative phenomena may occur as a trance or possession. The usual sorts of dissociative symptoms, such as sudden changes in personality, are attributed to possession by a spirit important in the particular culture. Often this spirit demands and receives gifts or favors from the family and friends of the victim. Like other dissociative states, trance or possession seems to be most common in women and is often associated with stress or trauma, which, as in dissociative amnesia and fugue states, is current rather than in the past.

Trance and possession are a common part of some traditional religious and cultural practices and are not considered abnormal in that context. Dissociative trances commonly occur in India, Nigeria (where they are called *vinnusa*), Thailand (*phii pob*), and other Asian and African countries (Mezzich et al., 1992; Saxena & Prasad, 1989; van Duijil, Cardeña, & de Jong, 2005). In the United States, culturally accepted dissociation commonly occurs during African American prayer meetings (Griffith et al., 1980), Native American rituals (Jilek, 1982), and Puerto Rican spiritist sessions (Comas-Díaz, 1981). Among Bahamians and African Americans from the South, trance syndromes are often referred to colloquially as “falling out.” The personality profiles of 58 cases of dissociative trance disorder in Singapore, derived from objective testing, revealed that these individuals tended to be nervous, excitable, and emotionally unstable relative to “normals” in Singapore (Ng, Yap, Su, Lim, & Ong, 2002). Although trance and possession

are almost never seen in Western cultures, they are among the most common forms of dissociative states elsewhere. When the state is *undesirable* and considered pathological by members of the culture, particularly if the trance involves a perception of being possessed by an evil spirit or another person (described next), the individual would be diagnosed with an “other specified dissociative disorder (**dissociative trance**)” (APA, 2013).

Dissociative Identity Disorder

People with **dissociative identity disorder (DID)** may adopt as many as 100 new identities, all simultaneously coexisting, although the average number is closer to 15. In some cases, the identities are complete, each with its own behavior, tone of voice, and physical gestures. But in many cases, only a few characteristics are distinct, because the identities are only partially independent, so it is not true that there are “multiple” complete personalities. Therefore, the name of the disorder was changed in the last edition of the *DSM*, *DSM-IV*, from multiple personality disorder to DID. Consider the case of Jonah, originally reported by Ludwig, Brandsma, Wilbur, Bendfeldt, and Jameson (1972).

Clinical Description

During Jonah’s hospitalization, the staff was able to observe his behavior directly, both when he had headaches and during other periods that he did not remember. He claimed other names at these times, acted differently, and generally seemed to be another person entirely. The staff distinguished three separate identities, or **alters**, in addition to Jonah. (*Altars* is the shorthand term for the different identities or personalities in DID.) The first alter was named Sammy. Sammy seemed rational, calm, and in control. The second alter, King Young, seemed to be in charge of all sexual activity and was particularly interested in having as many heterosexual interactions as possible. The third alter was the violent and dangerous Usoffa Abdulla. Characteristically, Jonah knew nothing of the three alters. Sammy was most aware of the other personalities. King Young and Usoffa Abdulla knew a little bit about the others but only indirectly.

Jonah... Bewildering Blackouts

Jonah, 27 years old and black, suffered from severe headaches that were unbearably painful and lasted for increasingly longer periods. Furthermore, he couldn’t remember things that happened while he had a headache, except that sometimes a great deal of time passed. Finally, after a particularly bad night, when he could stand it no longer, he arranged for admission to the local hospital. What prompted Jonah to come to the hospital, however, was that other people told him what he did during his severe headaches. For example, he was told that the night before he had a violent fight with another man and attempted to stab him. He fled

(Continued next page)

the scene and was shot at during a high-speed chase by the police. His wife told him that during a previous headache he chased her and his 3-year-old daughter out of the house, threatening them with a butcher knife. During his headaches, and while he was violent, he called himself “Usoffa Abdulla, son of Omega.” Once he attempted to drown a man in a river. The man survived, and Jonah escaped by swimming a quarter of a mile upstream. He woke up the next morning in his own bed, soaking wet, with no memory of the incident. •

In the hospital, psychologists determined that Sammy first appeared when Jonah was about 6, immediately after Jonah saw his mother stab his father. Jonah’s mother sometimes dressed him as a girl in private. On one of these occasions, shortly after Sammy emerged, King Young appeared. When Jonah was 9 or 10, he was brutally attacked by a group of white youths. At this point, Usoffa Abdulla emerged, announcing that his sole reason for existence was to protect Jonah.

DSM-5 criteria for DID include amnesia, as in dissociative amnesia. In DID, however, identity has also fragmented. How many personalities live inside one body is relatively unimportant, whether there are 3, 4, or even 100 of them. Again, the defining feature of this disorder is that certain aspects of the person’s identity are dissociated (Spiegel et al., 2013).

Characteristics

The person who becomes the patient and asks for treatment is usually a “host” identity. Host personalities usually attempt to hold various fragments of identity together but end up being overwhelmed. The first personality to seek treatment is seldom the original personality of the person. Usually, the host personality develops later (Putnam, 1992). Many patients have at least one impulsive alter who handles sexuality and generates income, sometimes by acting as a prostitute. In other cases, all alters may abstain from sex. Cross-gendered alters are not uncommon. For example, a small agile woman might have a strong powerful male alter who serves as a protector.

The transition from one personality to another is called a *switch*. Usually, the switch is instantaneous (although in movies and on television it is often drawn out for dramatic effect). Physical transformations may occur during switches. Posture, facial expressions, patterns of facial wrinkling, and even physical disabilities may emerge. In one study, changes in handedness occurred in 37% of the cases (Putnam, Guroff, Silberman, Barban, & Post, 1986).

Can DID Be Faked?

Are the fragmented identities “real,” or is the person faking them to avoid responsibility or stress? As with conversion disorders, it is difficult to answer this question, for several reasons (Kluft, 1999). First, evidence indicates that individuals with DID are suggestible

DSM
5

TABLE 6.7
Diagnostic Criteria for Dissociative Identity Disorder

- A.** Disruption of identity characterized by two or more distinct personality states, which may be described in some cultures as an experience of possession. The disruption of marked discontinuity in sense of self and sense of agency, accompanied by related alterations in affect, behavior, consciousness, memory, perception, cognition, and/or sensory-motor functioning. These signs and symptoms may be observed by others or reported by the individual.
- B.** Recurrent gaps in the recall of everyday events, important personal information, and/or traumatic events that are inconsistent with ordinary forgetting.
- C.** The symptoms cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.
- D.** The disturbance is not a normal part of a broadly accepted cultural or religious practice. **Note:** In children, the symptoms are not attributable to imaginary playmates or other fantasy play.
- E.** The symptoms are not attributable to the physiological effects of a substance (e.g., blackouts or chaotic behavior during alcohol intoxication) or another medical condition (e.g., complex partial seizures).

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

(Bliss, 1984; Giesbrecht et al., 2008; Kihlstrom, 2005a). It is possible that alters are created in response to leading questions from therapists, either during psychotherapy or while the person is in a hypnotic state.

Kenneth... The Hillside Strangler

During the late 1970s, Kenneth Bianchi brutally raped and murdered 10 young women in the Los Angeles area and left their bodies naked and in full view on the sides of various hills. Despite overwhelming evidence that Bianchi was the “Hillside Strangler,” he continued to assert his innocence, prompting some professionals to think he might have DID. His lawyer brought in a clinical psychologist, who hypnotized him and asked whether there were another part of Ken with whom he could speak. Guess what? Somebody called “Steve” answered and said he had done all the killing. Steve also said that Ken knew nothing about the murders. With this evidence, the lawyer entered a plea of not guilty by reason of insanity.

The prosecution called on the late Martin Orne, a distinguished clinical psychologist and psychiatrist who was one of the world’s leading experts on hypnosis and dissociative disorders (Orne, Dinges, & Orne, 1984). Orne used procedures similar to those we described in the context of conversion blindness to determine whether Bianchi was simulating

DID or had a true psychological disorder. For example, Orne suggested during an in-depth interview with Bianchi that a true multiple personality disorder included at least three personalities. Bianchi soon produced a third personality. By interviewing Bianchi's friends and relatives, Orne established that there was no independent corroboration of different personalities before Bianchi's arrest. Psychological tests also failed to show significant differences among the personalities; true fragmented identities often score differently on personality tests. Several textbooks on psychopathology were found in Bianchi's room; therefore, he presumably had studied the subject. Orne concluded that Bianchi responded like someone simulating hypnosis, not someone deeply hypnotized. On the basis of Orne's testimony, Bianchi was found guilty and sentenced to life in prison. •

Some investigators have studied the ability of individuals to fake dissociative experiences. Spanos, Weeks, and Bertrand (1985) demonstrated in an experiment that a college student could simulate an alter if it was suggested that faking was plausible, as in the interview with Bianchi. All the students in the group were told to play the role of an accused murderer claiming his innocence. The participants received exactly the same interview as Orne administered to Bianchi, word for word. More than 80% simulated an alternate personality to avoid conviction. Groups given vaguer instructions, and no direct suggestion an alternate personality might exist, were much less likely to use one in their defense.

Objective assessment of memory, particularly implicit (unconscious) memory, reveals that the memory processes in patients with DID do not differ from "normals" when the methodologies of cognitive science are used (Allen & Movius, 2000; Huntjens et al., 2002; Huntjens, Postma, Peters, Woertman, & van der Hart, 2003). Huntjens and colleagues (2006) showed that patients with DID acted more like simulators concerning other identities, about which they profess no memory (interidentity amnesia), suggesting the possibility of faking. This is in contrast to reports from interviews with patients with DID that suggest that memories are different from one alter to the next. Furthermore, Kong, Allen, and Glisky (2008) found that, much as with normal participants, patients with DID who memorized words as one identity, could remember the words just as well after switching to another identity, contrary to their self-report of interidentity amnesia.

These findings on faking and the effect of hypnosis led Spanos (1996) to suggest that the symptoms of DID could mostly be accounted for by therapists who inadvertently suggested the existence of alters to suggestible individuals, a model known as the "sociocognitive model" because the possibility of identity fragments and early trauma is socially reinforced by a therapist (Kihlstrom, 2005a; Lilienfeld et al., 1999). A survey of American psychiatrists showed little consensus on the scientific validity of DID, with only one-third in the sample believing that the diagnosis should have been included without reservation in the *DSM* (Pope, Oliva, Hudson, Bodkin, & Gruber, 1999). (We return to this point of view when we discuss false memories.) The diagnosis captured

the fascination of the public after popular books, movies, and TV series appeared on this topic. Chris Costner Sizemore was the real-life subject of a popular book and movie *The Three Faces of Eve*. Ms. Sizemore, who used the pseudonym Evelyn Lancaster in her book, was played by Joanne Woodward, who later received the Academy Award for Best Actress for her role in the movie. Woodward later also played the psychiatrist who treated another patient with DID in the 1976 TV miniseries, *Sybil*. The patient in *Sybil* was played by Sally Field who won an Emmy Award for her role in the film. Although these two cases of DID became very popular, critics soon questioned the patients' reports and accuracy of the diagnosis. On the other hand, in the case of Ms. Sizemore, some objective tests suggest that many people with fragmented identities are not consciously and voluntarily simulating (Kluft, 1991, 1999). Condon, Ogston, and Pacoe (1969) examined Ms. Sizemore and determined that one of the personalities (Eve Black) showed a transient microstrabismus (difference in joined lateral eye movements) that was not observed in the other personalities. These optical differences have been confirmed by S. D. Miller (1989), who demonstrated that DID patients had 4.5 times the average number of changes in optical functioning in their alter identities than control patients who simulated alter personalities. Miller concludes that optical changes, including measures of visual acuity, manifest refraction, and eye muscle balance, would be difficult to fake. Ludwig and colleagues (1972) found that Jonah's various identities had different physiological responses to emotionally laden words, including electrodermal activity, a measure of otherwise imperceptible sweat gland activity, and EEG brain waves. Using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) procedures, changes in brain function were observed in one patient while switching from one personality to another. Specifically, this patient showed changes in hippocampal and medial temporal activity after the switch (Tsai, Condé, Wu, & Chang, 1999). A number of subsequent studies confirm that various alters have unique psychophysiological profiles (Cardeña & Gleaves, 2003; Putnam, 1997). Kluft (1999) suggests a number of additional clinical strategies to distinguish malingerers



The 1957 film *The Three Faces of Eve* dramatized the case of Chris Sizemore, whose experiences with dissociative identity disorder drew this controversial diagnosis into the public eye.

from patients with DID, including the observations that malingerers are usually eager to demonstrate their symptoms and do so in a fluid fashion. Patients with DID, on the other hand, are more likely to attempt to hide symptoms.

Anna O... Revealed

We return one more time to the famous case that prompted early insights into the unconscious and contributed to the development of psychoanalysis. Earlier we described Anna O's conversion symptoms of paralysis in her right arm, anesthesia of her right side, and the loss of the ability to speak her native German (although she retained perfect command of English). As Anna confronted her traumatic memories of watching her father die while she nursed him, she increasingly recovered her physical abilities.

Anna O's real name was Bertha Pappenheim, and she was an extraordinary woman. What many people don't realize is that she was never completely cured by Breuer, who finally gave up on her in 1882. During the next decade, she was institutionalized several times with severe recurrences of her conversion symptoms before beginning a slow recovery. She went on to become a pioneering social worker and staunch crusader against the sexual abuse of women (Putnam, 1992). She devoted her life to freeing women who were trapped in prostitution and slavery throughout Europe, Russia, and the Near East. Risking her own life, she entered brothels to liberate women from their captors. She wrote a play, *Women's Rights*, about sadistic men and the ongoing abuse of women. She founded a league of Jewish women in 1904 and a home for unwed mothers in 1907. In recognition of her extraordinary contributions as one of the first militant feminists, a commemorative stamp was later issued in her honor by the West German government (Sullivan, 1979).

Pappenheim's friends remarked that she seemed to lead a "double life." On the one hand, she was a radical feminist and reformer. On the other hand, she belonged to the cultural elite in Vienna at the end of the 19th century. It is clear from Breuer's notes that there were "two Anna O's" and that she suffered from DID. One personality was somewhat depressed and anxious but otherwise relatively normal. But in an instant, she would turn dark and foreboding. Breuer was convinced that during these times "Anna" was someone else, someone who hallucinated and was verbally abusive. And it was the second Anna O. who experienced conversion symptoms. The second Anna O. spoke only English or garbled mixtures of four or five languages. The first Anna O. spoke fluent French and Italian, as well as her native German. Characteristically, one personality had no memory of what happened when the other was "out." Almost anything might cause an instant switch in personalities—for example, the sight of an orange, which was Anna O's primary source of nourishment when she nursed her dying father. Putnam (1992, p. 36) reports that when Pappenheim died of cancer in 1936, "It is said that she left two wills, each written in a different hand."

Statistics

Jonah had 4 identities and Anna O. only 2, but the average number of alter personalities is reported by clinicians as closer to 15 (Ross, 1997; Sackeim & Devanand, 1991). Of people with DID, the ratio of females to males is as high as 9:1, although these data are based on accumulated case studies rather than survey research (Maldonado, Butler, & Spiegel, 1998). The onset is almost always in childhood, often as young as 4 years of age, although it is usually approximately 7 years after the appearance of symptoms before the disorder is identified (Maldonado et al., 1998; Putnam et al., 1986). Once established, the disorder tends to last a lifetime in the absence of treatment. The form DID takes does not seem to vary substantially over the person's lifespan, although some evidence indicates the frequency of switching decreases with age (Sackeim & Devanand, 1991). Different personalities may emerge in response to new life situations, as was the case with Jonah.

There are not good epidemiological studies on the prevalence of the disorder in the population at large, although investigators now think it is more common than previously estimated (Kluft, 1991; Ross, 1997). For example, semistructured interviews of large numbers of severely disturbed inpatients found prevalence rates of DID of between 3% and 6% in North America (Ross, 1997; Ross, Anderson, Fleisher, & Norton, 1991; Saxe et al., 1993) and approximately 2% in Holland (Friedl & Draijer, 2000). In the best survey to date in a nonclinical (community) setting, a prevalence of 1.5% was found during the previous year (Johnson et al., 2006).

A large percentage of DID patients have simultaneous psychological disorders that may include anxiety, substance abuse, depression, and personality disorders (Giesbrecht et al., 2008; Johnson et al., 2006; Kluft, 1999; Ross et al., 1990). In one sample of more than 100 patients, more than seven additional diagnoses were noted on the average (Ellason & Ross, 1997). Another study of 42 patients documented a pattern of severe comorbid personality disorders, including severe borderline features (Dell, 1998). In some cases, this high rate of comorbidity may reflect that certain disorders, such as borderline personality disorder, share many features with DID—for example, self-destructive, sometimes suicidal behavior, and emotional instability. Some investigators believe that most of DID symptoms can be best accounted for by characteristics of borderline personality disorder (Lilienfeld & Lynn, 2003). Because auditory hallucinations are common, DID is often misdiagnosed as a psychotic disorder. But the voices in DID are reported by patients as coming from inside their heads, not outside as in psychotic disorders. Because patients with DID are usually aware the voices are hallucinations, they don't report them and try to suppress them. These voices often encourage doing something against the person's will, so some individuals, particularly in other cultures, appear to be possessed by demons (Putnam, 1997). Although systematic studies are lacking, DID seems to occur in a variety of cultures throughout the world, particularly in terms of experiencing possession, which is one manifestation of DID (Boon & Draijer, 1993; Coons, Bowman, Kluft, & Milstein, 1991; Ross, 1997). Coons and colleagues (1991) found reports of DID in 21 different countries.

Causes

It is informative to examine current evidence on causes for all dissociative disorders, as we do later, but our emphasis here is on the etiology of DID. Life circumstances that encourage the development of DID seem quite clear in at least one respect. Almost every patient presenting with this disorder reports to their mental health professional being horribly, often unspeakably, abused as a child.

Imagine you are a child in a situation like this. What can you do? You're too young to run away. You're too young to call the authorities. Although the pain may be unbearable, you have no way of knowing it is unusual or wrong. But you can do one thing. You can escape into a fantasy world; you can be somebody else. If the escape blunts the physical and emotional pain just for a minute or makes the next hour bearable, chances are you'll escape again. Your mind learns there is no limit to the identities that can be created as needed. Fifteen? Twenty-five? A hundred? Such numbers have been recorded in some cases. You do whatever it takes to get through life. Most surveys report a high rate of childhood trauma in cases of DID (Gleaves, 1996; Ross, 1997). Putnam and colleagues (1986) examined 100 cases and found that 97% of the patients had experienced significant trauma, usually sexual or physical abuse. Sixty-eight percent reported incest. Ross and colleagues (1990) reported that, of 97 cases, 95% reported physical or sexual abuse. Some children reported being buried alive. Some were tortured with matches, steam irons, razor blades, or glass. Investigators have corroborated the existence of at least some early sexual abuse in 12 patients with DID, whose backgrounds were extensively investigated by examining early records, interviewing relatives and acquaintances, and so on (Lewis, Yeager, Swica, Pincus, & Lewis, 1997), although Kluft (1996, 1999) notes that some reports by patients are not true but have been confabulated (made up).

Not all the trauma is caused by abuse. Putnam (1992) describes a young girl in a war zone who saw both her parents blown to bits in a minefield. In a heart-wrenching response, she tried to piece the bodies back together, bit by bit.

Such observations have led to wide-ranging agreement that DID is rooted in a natural tendency to escape or "dissociate" from the unremitting negative affect associated with severe abuse (Kluft, 1984, 1991). A lack of social support during or after the abuse also seems implicated. A study of 428 adolescent twins demonstrated that a surprisingly major portion of the cause of dissociative experience could be attributed to a chaotic, nonsupportive family environment. Individual experience and personality factors also contributed to dissociative experiences (Waller & Ross, 1997).

The behavior and emotions that make up dissociative disorders seem related to otherwise normal tendencies present in all of us to some extent. It is quite common for otherwise normal individuals to escape in some way from emotional or physical pain (Butler, Duran, Jasiukaitis, Koopman, & Spiegel, 1996; Spiegel et al., 2013). Noyes and Kletti (1977) surveyed more than 100 survivors of various life-threatening situations and found that most had experienced some type of dissociation, such as feelings of unreality, a blunting of emotional and physical pain, and even separation from their bodies. Dissociative amnesia and fugue states are clearly reactions to severe life stress. But the life stress or trauma is in

the present rather than the past, as in the case of the overwrought mother who suffered from dissociative amnesia. Many patients are escaping from legal difficulties or severe stress at home or on the job (Sackeim & Devanand, 1991). But sophisticated statistical analyses indicate that "normal" dissociative reactions differ substantially from the pathological experiences we've described (Waller, Putnam, & Carlson, 1996; Waller & Ross, 1997) and that at least some people do not develop severe pathological dissociative experiences, no matter how extreme the stress. These findings are consistent with our diathesis-stress model in that only with the appropriate vulnerabilities (the diathesis) will someone react to stress with pathological dissociation.

You may have noticed that DID seems similar in its etiology to posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Both conditions feature strong emotional reactions to experiencing a severe trauma (Butler et al., 1996). But remember that not everyone goes on to experience PTSD after severe trauma. Only people who are biologically and psychologically vulnerable to anxiety are at risk for developing PTSD in response to moderate levels of trauma. As the severity of the trauma increases, however, a greater percentage of people develop PTSD as a consequence, some with the dissociative subtype of PTSD (see Chapter 5). Still, some people do not become victims of the disorder even after the most severe traumas, suggesting that individual psychological and biological factors interact with the trauma to produce PTSD.

One perspective suggests that DID is an extreme subtype of PTSD, with a much greater emphasis on the process of dissociation than on symptoms of anxiety, although both are present in each disorder (Butler et al., 1996). Some evidence also shows that the "developmental window" of vulnerability to the abuse that leads to DID closes at approximately 9 years of age (Putnam, 1997). After that, DID is unlikely to develop, although severe PTSD might. If true, this is a particularly good example of the role of development in the etiology of psychopathology.

We also must remember that we know relatively little about DID. Our conclusions are based on retrospective case studies or correlations rather than on the prospective examination of people who may have undergone the severe trauma that seems to lead to DID (Kihlstrom, 2005a; Kihlstrom, Glisky, & Anguilo, 1994). Therefore, it is hard to say what psychological or biological factors might contribute, but there are hints concerning individual differences that might play a role.

Suggestibility

Suggestibility is a personality trait distributed normally across the population, much like weight and height. Some people are more suggestible than others; some are relatively immune to suggestibility; and the majority fall in the midrange.

Did you ever have an imaginary childhood playmate? Many people did, and it is one sign of the ability to lead a rich fantasy life, which can be helpful and adaptive. But it also seems to correlate with being suggestible or easily hypnotized (some people equate the terms *suggestibility* and *hypnotizability*). A hypnotic trance is also similar to dissociation (Butler et al., 1996; Spiegel et al., 2013). People in a trance tend to be focused on one aspect of their world, and

they become vulnerable to suggestions by the hypnotist. There is also the phenomenon of self-hypnosis, in which individuals can dissociate from most of the world around them and “suggest” to themselves that, for example, they won’t feel pain in one of their hands.

According to the *autohypnotic model*, people who are suggestible may be able to use dissociation as a defense against extreme trauma (Putnam, 1991). As many as 50% of DID patients clearly remember imaginary playmates in childhood (Ross et al., 1990); whether they were created before or after the trauma is not entirely clear. According to this view, when the trauma becomes unbearable, the person’s very identity splits into multiple dissociated identities. Children’s ability to distinguish clearly between reality and fantasy as they grow older may be what closes the developmental window for developing DID at approximately age 9. People who are less suggestible may develop a severe posttraumatic stress reaction but not a dissociative reaction. Once again, these explanations are all speculative because there are no controlled studies of this phenomenon (Giesbrecht et al., 2008; Kihlstrom, 2005b).

Biological Contributions

As in PTSD, where the evidence is more solid, there is almost certainly a biological vulnerability to DID, but it is difficult to pinpoint. For example, in the large twin study mentioned earlier (Waller & Ross, 1997), none of the variance or identifiable causal factors was attributable to heredity: All of it was environmental. As with anxiety disorders, more basic heritable traits, such as tension and responsiveness to stress, may increase vulnerability. On the other hand, much as in PTSD, there is some evidence of smaller hippocampal and amygdala volume in patients with DID compared with “normals” (Vermetten, Schmahl, Lindner, Loewenstein, & Bremner, 2006).

Interesting observations may provide some hints about brain activity during dissociation. Individuals with certain neurological disorders, particularly seizure disorders, experience many dissociative symptoms (Bowman & Coons, 2000; Bob, 2003; Cardeña, Lewis-Fernandez, Bear, Pakianathan, & Spiegel, 1996). Temporal

lobe epileptic seizure especially can be associated with dissociative symptoms (Bob, 2003). Patients with dissociative experiences who have seizure disorders are clearly different from those who do not (Ross, 1997). The seizure patients develop dissociative symptoms in adulthood that are not associated with trauma, in clear contrast to DID patients without seizure disorders. This is an area for future study (Hara et al., 2015).

Head injury and resulting brain damage may induce amnesia or other types of dissociative experience. But these conditions are usually easily diagnosed because they are generalized and irreversible and are associated with an identifiable head trauma (Butler et al., 1996). Finally, strong evidence exists that sleep deprivation produces dissociative symptoms such as marked hallucinatory activity (Giesbrecht et al., 2007; van der Kloet, Giesbrecht, Lynn, Merckelbach, & de Zutter, 2012). In fact, the symptoms of individuals with DID seem to worsen when they feel tired. Simeon and Abuagal (2006) report that patients with DID “often liken it to bad jet lag and feel much worse when they travel across time zones” (p. 210).

Real Memories and False

Again, retrospective case studies suggest that individuals presenting with dissociation, and particularly DID, may have experienced severe trauma, such as sexual abuse, early in their lives but that they have dissociated themselves from this experience and “repressed” the memory. But some clinical scientists suggest that many such memories are simply the result of strong suggestions by careless therapists who assume people with this condition have been abused. One of the most controversial issues in the field of abnormal psychology today concerns the extent to which memories of early trauma, particularly sexual abuse, are accurate or not. This issue is not specific to any one particular mental disorder. Rather, whenever clinical decisions are based on a person’s memory, it is important to consider the fact that memories are not always very accurate or even true, even if they *feel* true. Sometimes, we can’t remember important things that did happen and other times, we seem to remember things that actually never happened. But this controversy often arises in the context of studying traumatic memories, particularly as identified in DID, so we discuss the research, both pros and cons, bearing on this important topic, because the stakes in this controversy are enormous, with considerable opportunity for harm to innocent people on each side of the controversy.

On the one hand, if early sexual abuse did occur but is not remembered because of dissociative amnesia, it is crucially important to reexperience aspects of the trauma under the direction of a skilled therapist to relieve current suffering. Without therapy, the patient is likely to suffer from PTSD or a dissociative disorder indefinitely. It is also important that perpetrators are held accountable for their actions because abuse of this type is a crime and prevention is an important goal.

On the other hand, if memories of early trauma are inadvertently created in response to suggestions by a careless therapist but seem real to the patient, false accusations against loved ones could lead to irreversible family breakup and, perhaps, unjust prison sentences for those falsely accused as perpetrators. In recent years, allegedly inaccurate accusations based on false memories have



A person in a hypnotic trance is suggestible and may become absorbed in a particular experience.

led to substantial lawsuits against therapists, resulting in awards of millions of dollars in damages. As with most issues that reach this level of contention and disagreement, it is clear that the final answer will not involve an all-or-none resolution. There is irrefutable evidence that false memories *can* be created by reasonably well-understood psychological processes (Bernstein & Loftus, 2009; Ceci, 2003; Frenda, Nichols, & Loftus, 2011; Geraerts et al., 2009; Lilienfeld et al., 1999; Loftus & Davis, 2006; McNally, 2003, 2012a; Shaw & Porter, 2015; Toth, Harris, Goodman, & Cicchetti, 2011; Wilson, Mickes, Stolarz-Fantino, Evrard, & Fantino, 2015). Some authors content that early traumatic experiences can cause selective dissociative amnesia, with substantial implications for psychological functioning (Dahlenberg et al., 2012; Gleaves, Smith, Butler, & Spiegel, 2004; Kluft, 1999; Spiegel et al., 2013). In contrast, others question the assumption that people can encode traumatic experiences without being able to recall them (e.g., Lynn et al., 2014).

Evidence supporting the existence of distorted or illusory memories comes from experiments like one by the distinguished cognitive psychologist Elizabeth Loftus and her colleagues (Loftus, 2003; Loftus & Davis, 2006). Loftus, Coan, and Pickrell (1996) successfully convinced a number of individuals that they had been lost for an extended period when they were approximately 5 years old, which was not true. A trusted companion was recruited to “plant” the memory. In one case, a 14-year-old boy was told by his older brother that he had been lost in a nearby shopping mall when he was 5 years old, rescued by an older man, and reunited with his mother and brother. Several days after receiving this suggestion, the boy reported remembering the event and even that he felt frightened when he was lost. As time went by, the boy remembered more and more details of the event, beyond those described in the “plant,” including an exact description of the older man. When he was finally told the incident never happened, the boy was surprised, and he continued to describe details of the event as if they were true. More recently, Bernstein & Loftus (2009) reviewed a series of experiments demonstrating that, for example, creating a false memory of becoming ill after eating egg salad led to eating less egg salad and reporting a distaste for egg salad up to 4 months later during a test in which the participants didn’t know they were being tested for food preferences.

Young children are quite unreliable in reporting accurate details of events (Bruck, Ceci, Francouer, & Renick, 1995), particularly emotional events (Howe, 2007; Toth et al., 2011). In one study (Bruck et al., 1995), 35 3-year-old girls were given a genital exam as part of their routine medical checkup; another 35 girls were not (the control group). Shortly after the exam, with her mother present, each girl was asked to describe where the doctor had touched her. She was then presented with an anatomically correct doll and asked again to point out where the doctor had touched her. The findings indicated that the children were inaccurate in reporting what happened. Approximately 60% of those who were touched in the genital region refused to indicate this, whether the dolls were used or not. On the other hand, of the children in the control group, approximately 60% indicated genital insertions or other intrusive acts by the doctor, even though nothing of the sort had occurred.

In another set of studies (Ceci, 2003), preschool children were asked to think about actual events that they had experienced, such as an accident, and about fictitious events, such as having to go to the hospital to get their fingers removed from a mousetrap. Each week for 10 consecutive weeks, an interviewer asked each child to choose one of the scenes and to “think very hard and tell me if this ever happened to you.” The child thus experienced thinking hard and visualizing both real and fictitious scenes over an extended period. After 10 weeks, the children were examined by a new interviewer who had not participated in the experiment.

Ceci and his colleagues conducted several experiments using this paradigm (Ceci, 1995, 2003). In one study, 58% of the preschool children described the fictitious event as if it had happened. Another 25% of the children described the fictitious events as real a majority of the time. Furthermore, the children’s narratives were detailed, coherent, and embellished in ways that were not suggested originally. More telling was that in one study, 27% of the children, when told their memory was false, claimed that they really did remember the event.

Clancy and colleagues, in a fascinating experiment, studied the process of false memory creation in a group who reported having recovered memories of traumatic events unlikely to have occurred: abduction by space aliens. Among three groups—those reporting recovered memories of alien abduction, those who believe they were abducted but have no memories of it (repressed memories), and people who have no such beliefs or memories—some interesting differences emerged (Clancy, McNally, Schacter, Lenzenweger, & Pitman, 2002; McNally 2012). Those reporting recovered and repressed memories of abduction also evidenced more false recall and recognition on some cognitive tasks in the laboratory and scored higher on measures of suggestibility and depression than control participants. These studies collectively indicate that memories are malleable and easily distorted, particularly in some individuals with certain personality traits and characteristics such as vivid imaginal capabilities (absorption), and an openness to unusual ideas (McNally, 2012a).

But there is also plenty of evidence that therapists need to be sensitive to signs of trauma that may not be fully remembered in patients presenting with symptoms of dissociative disorder or PTSD. Even if patients are unable to report or remember early trauma, it can sometimes be confirmed through corroborating evidence (Coons, 1994). In one study, Williams (1994) interviewed 129 women with documented histories, such as hospital records, of having been sexually abused as children. Thirty-eight percent did not recall the incidents that had been reported to authorities at least 17 years earlier, even with extensive probing of their abuse histories. This lack of recall was more extensive if the victim had been young and knew the abuser. But Goodman and colleagues (2003) interviewed 175 individuals with documented child sexual abuse histories and found that most participants (81%) remembered and reported the abuse. Older age when the abuse ended and emotional support following initial disclosure of the abuses were associated with higher rates of disclosures. McNally and Geraerts (2009) also present evidence suggesting that some people, after many years, simply forget these early experiences and recall them after encountering some reminders outside of therapy. In this group, then, it’s not necessary to invoke the concepts of

repression, trauma, or false memory. It is simple forgetting. In summary, among those individuals reporting memories of sexual abuse, some may have experienced it and remembered it all along, some people may have false memories, some may have recovered memories in therapy of “repressed” sexual abuse, and some may have simply forgotten the incident, but remember later.

How will this controversy be resolved? Because false memories can be created through strong repeated suggestions by an authority figure, therapists must be fully aware of the conditions under which this is likely to occur, particularly when dealing with young children. This requires extensive knowledge of the workings of memory and other aspects of psychological functioning and illustrates, again, the dangers of dealing with inexperienced or inadequately trained psychotherapists. Elaborate tales of satanic abuse of children under the care of elderly women in day care centers are most likely cases of memories implanted by aggressive and careless therapists or law enforcement officials (Lilienfeld et al., 1999; Loftus & Davis, 2006; McNally, 2003). In some cases, elderly caregivers have been sentenced to life in prison.

On the other hand, many people with dissociative disorder and PTSD have suffered documented extreme abuse and trauma, which could then become dissociated from awareness. It may be that future research will find that the severity of dissociative amnesia is directly related to the severity of the trauma in vulnerable individuals with certain specific coping styles (Toth et al., 2011), and this type of severe dissociative reaction is also likely to be proved as qualitatively different from “normal” dissociative experiences we all have occasionally, such as feeling unreal or not here for a moment or two (see, for example, Kluft, 1999; Waller et al., 1996). Advocates on both sides of this issue agree that clinical science must proceed as quickly as possible to specify the processes under which the implantation of false memories is likely and to define the presenting features that indicate a real but dissociated traumatic experience (Frenda et al., 2011; Goodman, Quas, & Ogle, 2010; Kihlstrom, 1997, 2005a; Lilienfeld et al., 1999; Pope, 1996, 1997). Until then, mental health professionals must be extremely careful not to prolong unnecessary suffering among both victims of actual abuse and victims falsely accused as abusers (e.g., Lynn et al., 2014).

Attempts to reintegrate identities through long-term psychotherapy (Brand et al., 2009; Ellason & Ross, 1997; Kluft, 2009). Nevertheless, the prognosis for most people remains guarded. Coons (1986) found that only 5 of 20 patients achieved a full integration of their identities. Ellason and Ross (1997) reported that 12 of 54 (22.2%) patients had achieved integration 2 years after presenting for treatment, which in most cases had been continuous. These results could be attributed to other factors than therapy because no experimental comparison was present (Powell & Howell, 1998).

The strategies that therapists use today in treating DID are based on accumulated clinical wisdom, as well as on procedures that have been successful with PTSD (Gold & Seibel, 2009; Keane et al., 2011; Maldonado et al., 1998; see Chapter 5). The fundamental goal is to identify cues or triggers that provoke memories of trauma, dissociation, or both, and to neutralize them. More important, the patient must confront and relive the early trauma and gain control over the horrible events, at least as they recur in the patient’s mind (Kluft, 2009; Ross, 1997). To instill this sense of control, the therapist must skillfully, and slowly, help the patient visualize and relive aspects of the trauma until it is simply a terrible memory instead of a current event. Because the memory is unconscious, aspects of the experience are often not known to either the patient or the therapist until they emerge during treatment. Hypnosis is often used to access unconscious memories and bring various alters into awareness. Because the process of dissociation may be similar to the process of hypnosis, the latter may be a particularly efficient way to access traumatic memories (Maldonado et al., 1998). (There is as yet no evidence that hypnosis is a *necessary* part of treatment.) DID seems to run a chronic course and seldom improves spontaneously, which confirms that current treatments, primitive as they are, have some effectiveness.

It is possible that reemerging memories of trauma may trigger further dissociation. The therapist must be on guard against this happening. Trust is important to any therapeutic relationship, but it is essential in the treatment of DID. Occasionally, medication is combined with therapy, but there is little indication that it helps much. What little clinical evidence there is indicates that antidepressant drugs might be appropriate in some cases (Kluft, 1996; Putnam & Loewenstein, 1993).

Treatment

Individuals who experience dissociative amnesia or a fugue state usually get better on their own and remember what they have forgotten. The episodes are so clearly related to current life stress that prevention of future episodes usually involves therapeutic resolution of the distressing situations and increasing the strength of personal coping mechanisms. When necessary, therapy focuses on recalling what happened during the amnesic or fugue states, often with the help of friends or family who know what happened, so that patients can confront the information and integrate it into their conscious experience.

For DID, however, the process is not so easy. With the person’s very identity shattered into many elements, reintegrating the personality might seem hopeless. Fortunately, this is not always the case. Although no controlled research has been reported on the effects of treatment, there are some documented successes of

DSM Controversies: Radical Changes in Classification

As noted in the beginning of this chapter, somatic symptom and related disorders and dissociative disorders are among the oldest recognized mental disorders. And yet, recent evidence indicates that we have much to learn about the nature of these disorders and that neither grouping of disorders may comprise a uniform category that reflects shared characteristics for purposes of classification (Mayou et al., 2005). For example, the grouping of somatic symptom disorders was based until recently on the assumption that "somatization" is a common process in which a mental disorder manifests itself in the form of physical symptoms. The specific disorders, then, simply reflect the different ways in which symptoms can be expressed physically. But major questions arose concerning the classification of these disorders (Noyes, Stuart, & Watson, 2008; Voigt et al., 2010; Voigt et al., 2012).

Specifically, and as noted at the beginning of the chapter, the somatic symptom disorders all share presentations of somatic symptoms accompanied by cognitive distortions in the form of misattributions of or excessive preoccupation with symptoms.


These cognitive distortions may include excessive anxiety about health or physical symptoms, a tendency to think the worst or "catastrophize" about these symptoms, and very strong beliefs that physical symptoms might be more serious than health-care professionals have recognized. Also, people presenting with these disorders often make health concerns a very central part of their lives; in other words, they adopt the "sick role." For this reason, *DSM-5* has changed very substantially the definitions of these disorders to focus on two major factors: the severity and number of physical symptoms, as well as the severity of anxiety focused on the symptoms and the degree of behavior change as a consequence of the symptoms. In illness anxiety disorder, physical symptoms need not even be present beyond just mild complaints and the focus is solely on severe anxiety over the prospect that one is ill or will become ill. Preliminary explorations of the validity and utility of this strategy indicate that this new dimensional approach, reflecting both physical and psychological symptom severity, may be very helpful to clinicians in predicting the course of the disorder as well as selecting

among possible treatments (Noyes et al., 2008; Voigt et al., 2010; Voigt et al., 2012; Wollburg et al., 2013).

Another advantage of this approach is that there is less burden on physicians to make very tricky determinations on whether the symptoms have physical causes as was the case in *DSM-IV*. Rather, the combination of chronic physical symptoms accompanied by the psychological factors of misattributing the meaning of the symptoms and excessive concern is sufficient to make the diagnosis. This new category also includes psychological factors affecting medical condition (see Chapter 9) and the factitious disorders because all involve the presentation of physical symptoms and/or concern about medical illness. Needless to say, the very radical nature of change in this major category of disorders is proving to be very controversial, primarily because so little data exist on the validity of these new categories or even the reliability with which they can be diagnosed. But they appear to be an improvement, and clinical investigators are already busy attempting to confirm or disconfirm the utility of this new approach.

DISSOCIATIVE DISORDERS

Characterized by detachment from the self (depersonalization) and objective reality (derealization)



Controversy

The scientific community is divided over the question of whether multiple identities are a genuine experience or faked. Studies have shown that “false memories” can be created (“implanted”) by therapists. Other tests confirm that various alters are physiologically distinct.

Disorder	Characteristics	Treatment
Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Affected person adopts new identities, or alters, that coexist simultaneously; the alters may be complete and distinct personalities or only partly independent■ Average number of alters is 15■ Childhood onset; affects more women than men■ Patients often suffer from other psychological disorders simultaneously■ Rare outside of Western cultures	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Long-term psychotherapy may reintegrate separate personalities in 25% of patients■ Treatment of associated trauma similar to posttraumatic stress disorder; lifelong condition without treatment
Depersonalization-Derealization Disorder	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Severe and frightening feelings of detachment dominate the person’s life■ Affected person feels like an outside observer of his or her own mental or body processes■ Causes significant distress or impairment in functioning, especially emotional expression and deficits in perception■ Some symptoms are similar to those of panic disorder■ Rare; onset usually in adolescence	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Psychological treatments similar to those for panic disorder may be helpful■ Stresses associated with onset of disorder should be addressed■ Tends to be lifelong
Dissociative Amnesia	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Generalized: Inability to remember anything, including identity; comparatively rare■ Localized: Inability to remember specific events (usually traumatic); frequently occurs in war■ More common than general amnesia■ Usually adult onset for both types■ Dissociative Fugue Subtype: Memory loss is accompanied by purposeful travel or bewildered wandering	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Usually self-correcting when current life stress is resolved■ If needed, therapy focuses on retrieving lost information
Dissociative Trance	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Sudden changes in personality accompany a trance or “possession”■ Causes significant distress and/or impairment in functioning■ Often associated with stress or trauma■ Prevalent worldwide, usually in a religious context; rarely seen in Western cultures■ More common in women than in men	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Little is known

CHAPTER OUTLINE

Understanding and Defining Mood Disorders

- An Overview of Depression and Mania
- The Structure of Mood Disorders
- Depressive Disorders
- Additional Defining Criteria for Depressive Disorders
- Other Depressive Disorders
- Bipolar Disorders
- Additional Defining Criteria for Bipolar Disorders

Prevalence of Mood Disorders

- Prevalence in Children, Adolescents, and Older Adults
- Life Span Developmental Influences on Mood Disorders
- Across Cultures
- Among Creative Individuals

Causes of Mood Disorders

- Biological Dimensions
- Additional Studies of Brain Structure and Function
- Psychological Dimensions
- Social and Cultural Dimensions
- An Integrative Theory

Treatment of Mood Disorders

- Medications
- Electroconvulsive Therapy and Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation
- Psychological Treatments for Depression
- Combined Treatments for Depression
- Preventing Relapse of Depression
- Psychological Treatments for Bipolar Disorder

Suicide

- Statistics
- Causes
- Risk Factors
- Is Suicide Contagious?
- Treatment



wavebreakmedia/Shutterstock.com

Use scientific reasoning to interpret behavior:

- Identify basic biological, psychological, and social components of behavioral explanations (e.g., inferences, observations, operational definitions and interpretations) (APA SLO 2.1a) (see textbook pages 218–219, 239–249, 250)

Describe key concepts, principles, and overarching themes in psychology:

- Analyze the variability and continuity of behavior and mental processes within and across animal species (APA SLO 1.2d2) (see textbook pages 223, 241, 244–245)

Engage in innovative and integrative thinking and problem solving:

- Describe problems operationally to study them empirically (APA SLO 2.3a) (see textbook pages 219–220, 223–225, 228–230, 232–234)

Develop a working knowledge of the content domains of psychology:

- Recognize major historical events, theoretical perspectives, and figures in psychology and their link to trends in contemporary research (APA SLO 1.2c) (see textbook pages 245–246, 255–257)

Describe applications that employ discipline-based problem solving:

- Correctly identify antecedents and consequences of behavior and mental processes (APA SLO 1.3c) (see textbook pages 238–250)
- Describe examples of relevant and practical applications of psychological principles to everyday life (APA SLO 1.3a) (see textbook pages 217–218, 231, 268)

*Portions of this chapter cover learning outcomes suggested by the American Psychological Association (2013) in its guidelines for the undergraduate psychology major. Chapter coverage of these outcomes is identified above by APA Goal and APA Suggested Learning Outcome (SLO).

Understanding and Defining Mood Disorders

Think back over the last month of your life. It may seem normal in most respects; you studied during the week, socialized on the weekend, and thought about the future once in a while. Perhaps you were anticipating with some pleasure the next school break or seeing an old friend. But maybe sometime during the past month you also felt kind of down, because you got a lower mark than you expected on a test after studying hard, or broke up with your boyfriend or girlfriend, or worse yet, one of your grandparents died. Think about your feelings during this period. Were you sad? Perhaps you remember crying. Maybe you felt listless, and you couldn't seem to get up the energy to study or go out with your friends. It may be that you feel this way once in a while for no good reason you can think of and your friends think you're moody.

If you are like most people, you know that such a mood will pass. You will be back to your old self in a few days or a week. If you never felt down and always saw only what was good in a situation, it would be more unusual (and would also seem so to your friends) than if you were depressed once in a while. Feelings of depression (and joy) are universal, which makes it all the more difficult to understand disorders of mood, disorders that can be so incapacitating that violent suicide may seem by far a better option than living. Consider the case of Katie.

Katie... Weathering Depression

Katie was an attractive but shy 16 year old who came to our clinic with her parents. For several years, Katie had seldom interacted with anybody outside her family because of her considerable social anxiety. Going to school was difficult, and as her social contacts decreased, her days became empty and dull. By the time she was 16, a deep, all-encompassing depression blocked the sun from her life. Here is how she described it later:

The experience of depression is like falling into a deep, dark hole that you cannot climb out of. You scream as you fall, but it seems like no one hears you. Some days you float upward without even trying; on other days, you wish that you would hit bottom so that you would never fall again. Depression affects the way you interpret events. It influences the way you see yourself and the way you see other people. I remember looking in the mirror and thinking that I was the ugliest creature in the world. Later in life, when some of these ideas would come back, I learned to remind myself that I did not have those thoughts yesterday and chances were that I would not have them tomorrow or the next day. It is a little like waiting for a change in the weather.

(Continued next page)

But at 16, in the depths of her despair, Katie had no such perspective. She often cried for hours at the end of the day. She had begun drinking alcohol the year before, with the blessing of her parents, strangely enough, because the pills prescribed by her family doctor did no good. A glass of wine at dinner had a temporary soothing effect on Katie, and both she and her parents, in their desperation, were willing to try anything that might make her a more functional person. But one glass was not enough. She drank increasingly more often. She began drinking herself to sleep. It was a means of escaping what she felt: “I had very little hope of positive change. I do not think that anyone close to me was hopeful, either. I was angry, cynical, and in a great deal of emotional pain.” Katie’s life continued to spiral downward.

For several years, Katie had thought about suicide as a solution to her unhappiness. At 13, in the presence of her parents, she reported these thoughts to a psychologist. Her parents wept, and the sight of their tears deeply affected Katie. From that point on, she never expressed her suicidal thoughts again, but they remained with her. By the time she was 16, her preoccupation with her own death had increased.

I think this was just exhaustion. I was tired of dealing with the anxiety and depression, day in and day out. Soon I found myself trying to sever the few interpersonal connections that I did have, with my closest friends, with my mother, and my oldest brother. I was almost impossible to talk to. I was angry and frustrated all the time. One day I went over the edge. My mother and I had a disagreement about some unimportant little thing. I went to my bedroom where I kept a bottle of whiskey or vodka or whatever I was drinking at the time. I drank as much as I could until I could pinch myself as hard as I could and feel nothing. Then I got out a very sharp knife that I had been saving and slashed my wrist deeply. I did not feel anything but the warmth of the blood running from my wrist.

The blood poured out onto the floor next to the bed that I was lying on. The sudden thought hit me that I had failed, that this was not enough to cause my death. I got up from the bed and began to laugh. I tried to stop the bleeding with some tissues. I stayed calm and frighteningly pleasant. I walked to the kitchen and called my mother. I cannot imagine how she felt when she saw my shirt and pants covered in blood. She was amazingly calm. She asked to see the cut and said that it was not going to stop bleeding on its own and that I needed to go to the doctor immediately. I remember as the doctor shot novocaine into the cut he remarked that I must have used an anesthetic before cutting myself. I never felt the shot or the stitches.

After that, thoughts of suicide became more frequent and more real. My father asked me to promise that I would never do it again, and I said I would not, but that promise meant nothing to me. I knew it was to ease his pains and fears and not mine, and my preoccupation with death continued. •

Think for a moment about your own experience of depression. What are the major differentiating factors between your feelings and Katie’s? Clearly, Katie’s depression was outside the boundaries of normal experience because of its intensity and duration. In addition, her severe or “clinical” depression interfered substantially with her ability to function. Finally, a number of associated psychological and physical symptoms accompany clinical depression.

Sometimes, mood disorders lead to tragic consequences. So developing a full understanding is key. In the following sections, we describe how various emotional experiences and symptoms interrelate to produce specific mood disorders. We offer detailed descriptions of different mood disorders and examine the many criteria that define them. We discuss the relationship of anxiety and depression and the causes and treatment of mood disorders. We conclude with a discussion of suicide.

An Overview of Depression and Mania

The disorders described in this chapter used to be categorized under several general labels, such as “depressive disorders,” “affective disorders,” or even “depressive neuroses.” Beginning with the third edition of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM-III)*, published by the American Psychiatric Association in 1980, these problems have been grouped under the heading **mood disorders** because they are characterized by gross deviations in mood.

The fundamental experiences of depression and mania contribute, either singly or together, to all the mood disorders. We describe each state and discuss its contributions to the various mood disorders. Then we briefly describe the additional defining criteria, features, or symptoms that define the specific disorders.

The most commonly diagnosed and most severe depression is called a **major depressive episode**. The *DSM-5* criteria describes it as an extremely depressed mood state that lasts at least 2 weeks and includes cognitive symptoms (such as feelings of worthlessness and indecisiveness) and disturbed physical functions (such as altered sleeping patterns, significant changes in appetite and weight, or a notable loss of energy) to the point that even the slightest activity or movement requires an overwhelming effort. The episode is typically accompanied by a general loss of interest in things and an inability to experience any pleasure from life, including interactions with family or friends or accomplishments at work or at school. Although all symptoms are important, evidence suggests that the most central indicators of a full major depressive episode are the physical changes (sometimes called *somatic* or *vegetative* symptoms) (Bech, 2009; Buchwald & Rudick-Davis, 1993; Keller et al., 1995; Kessler & Wang, 2009), along with the behavioral and emotional “shutdown,” as reflected by low behavioral activation (Dimidjian, Barrera, Martell, Muñoz, & Lewinsohn, 2011). *Anhedonia* (loss of energy and inability to engage in pleasurable activities or have any “fun”) is more characteristic of these severe episodes of depression than are, for example, reports of sadness or distress (Pizzagalli, 2014). Nor does the tendency to cry, which occurs equally in depressed and nondepressed individuals (mostly women in both cases) reflect severity—or even the presence of a depressive episode (Vingerhoets, Rottenberg, Ceaal, & Nelson, 2007). This anhedonia reflects that these episodes represent a state of low positive affect and not just high negative affect (Brown

TABLE 7.1

Criteria for Major Depressive Episode

5

- A.** Five (or more) of the following symptoms have been present during the same 2-week period and represent a change from previous functioning; at least one of the symptoms is either (1) depressed mood or (2) loss of interest or pleasure.
- Note:* Do not include symptoms that are clearly due to a general medical condition or mood-incongruent delusions or hallucinations.
1. Depressed mood most of the day, nearly every day, as indicated by either subjective report (e.g., feels sad or empty) or observation made by others (e.g., appears tearful). *Note:* in children and adolescents can be irritable mood.
 2. Markedly diminished interest or pleasure in all, or almost all, activities most of the day, nearly every day (as indicated by either subjective account or observation made by others).
 3. Significant weight loss when not dieting or weight gain (e.g., a change of more than 5% of body weight in a month), or decrease or increase in appetite nearly every day. *Note:* in children, consider failure to make expected weight gains.
 4. Insomnia or hypersomnia nearly every day.
 5. Psychomotor agitation or retardation nearly every day (observable by others, not merely subjective feelings of restlessness or being slowed down).
 6. Fatigue or loss of energy nearly every day.
 7. Feelings of worthlessness or excessive or inappropriate guilt (which may be delusional) nearly every day (not merely self-reproach or guilt about being sick).
 8. Diminished ability to think or concentrate, or indecisiveness, nearly every day (either by subjective account or as observed by others).
 9. Recurrent thoughts of death (not just fear of dying), recurrent suicidal ideation without a specific plan, or a suicide attempt or a specific plan for committing suicide.
- B.** The symptoms cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.
- C.** The symptoms are not due to the direct physiological effects of a substance (e.g., a drug of abuse, a medication) or a general medical condition (e.g., hypothyroidism).

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

& Barlow, 2009). The duration of a major depressive episode, if untreated, is approximately 4 to 9 months (Hasin, Goodwin, Stinson, & Grant, 2005; Kessler & Wang, 2009).

The second fundamental state in mood disorders is abnormally exaggerated elation, joy, or euphoria. In **mania**, individuals find extreme pleasure in every activity; some patients compare their daily experience of mania with a continuous sexual orgasm. They become extraordinarily active (hyperactive), require little sleep, and may develop grandiose plans, believing they can accomplish anything they desire. *DSM-5* highlights this feature by adding “persistently increased goal-directed activity or energy” to the

“A” criteria (see *DSM-5* Table 7.2; American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Speech is typically rapid and may become incoherent, because the individual is attempting to express so many exciting ideas at once; this feature is typically referred to as *flight of ideas*.

DSM-5 criteria for a manic episode require a duration of only 1 week, less if the episode is severe enough to require hospitalization. Hospitalization could occur, for example, if the individual was engaging in a self-destructive buying spree, charging thousands of dollars in the expectation of making a million dollars the next day. Irritability is often part of a manic episode, usually near the end. Paradoxically, being anxious or depressed is also commonly part of mania, as described later. The duration of an untreated manic episode is typically 3 to 4 months (Angst, 2009; Solomon et al., 2010).

DSM-5 also defines a **hypomanic episode**, a less severe version of a manic episode that does not cause marked impairment in social or occupational functioning and need last only 4 days rather than a full week. (*Hypo* means “below”; thus the episode is below the level of a manic episode.) A hypomanic episode is not in itself necessarily problematic, but its presence does contribute to the definition of several mood disorders.

The Structure of Mood Disorders

Individuals who experience either depression or mania are said to suffer from a **unipolar mood disorder**, because their mood remains at one “pole” of the usual depression–mania continuum. Mania by itself (unipolar mania) probably does occur (Angst & Grobler, 2015; Baek, Eisner, & Nierenberg, 2014; Tazici, 2014) but seems to be rare, because most people with a unipolar mood disorder eventually develop depression. On the other hand, manic episodes alone may be somewhat more frequent in adolescents (Merikangas et al., 2012). Someone who alternates between depression and mania is said to have a **bipolar mood disorder** traveling from one “pole” of the depression–elation continuum to the other and back again. This label is somewhat misleading, however, because depression and elation may not be at exactly opposite ends of the same mood state; although related, they are often relatively independent. An individual can experience manic symptoms but feel somewhat depressed or anxious at the same time, or be depressed with a few symptoms of mania. This episode is characterized as having “**mixed features**” (Angst, 2009; Angst, et al., 2011; Hantouche, Akiskal, Azorin, Chatenet-Duchene, & Lancrenon, 2006; Swann et al., 2013). Research suggests that manic episodes are characterized by dysphoric (anxious or depressive) features more commonly than was thought, and dysphoria can be severe (Cassidy, Yatham, Berk, & Grof, 2008; Swann et al., 2013). In one study, 30% of 1,090 patients hospitalized for acute mania had mixed episodes (Hantouche et al., 2006). In another carefully constructed study of more than 4,000 patients, as many as two-thirds of patients with bipolar depressed episodes also had manic symptoms, most often racing thoughts (flight of ideas), distractibility, and agitation. These patients were also more severely impaired than those without concurrent depression and manic symptoms (Goldberg et al., 2009; Swann et al., 2013). The rare individual who suffers from manic episodes alone also meets criteria for

bipolar mood disorder because experience shows that most of these individuals can be expected to become depressed at a later time. In general, newer models view bipolar disorder as an evolving condition, proceeding through different “at risk” stages with mild symptoms early in the disease progressing to a later chronic disorder (Frank, Nimagonkar, Philips, & Kupfer, 2015; Kupfer, Frank, & Ritchey, 2015). In *DSM-5*, the term “mixed features” requires specifying whether a predominantly manic or predominantly depressive episode is present and then noting if enough symptoms of the opposite polarity are present to meet the mixed features criteria.

It is important to determine the course or temporal patterning of the depressive or manic episodes. For example, do they tend to recur? If they do, does the patient recover fully for at least two months between episodes (termed “full remission”) or only partially recover retaining some depressive symptoms (“partial remission”)? Do the depressive episodes alternate with manic or hypomanic episodes or not? All these patterns for mood disorders are important to note, since they contribute to decisions on which diagnosis is appropriate.

The importance of temporal course (patterns of recurrence and remittance) makes the goals of treating mood disorders somewhat different from those for other psychological disorders. Clinicians want to do everything possible to relieve people like Katie from their current depressive episode, but an equally important goal is to prevent future episodes—in other words, to help people like Katie stay well for a longer period. Studies have been conducted that evaluate the effectiveness of treatment in terms of this second goal (Cuijpers, 2015; Fava et al., 2004; Hollon, Stewart, & Strunk, 2006; Otto & Applebaum, 2011; Teasdale et al., 2001).

Depressive Disorders

DSM-5 describes several types of depressive disorders. These disorders differ from one another in the frequency and severity with which depressive symptoms occur and the course of the symptoms (chronic—meaning almost continuous—or non-chronic). In fact, a strong body of evidence indicates that the two factors that most importantly describe mood disorders are severity and chronicity (Klein, 2010, and see below).

Clinical Descriptions

The most easily recognized mood disorder is **major depressive disorder**, defined by the presence of depression and the absence of manic, or hypomanic episodes, before or during the disorder. An occurrence of just one isolated depressive episode in a lifetime is now known to be relatively rare (Angst, 2009; Eaton et al., 2008; Kessler & Wang, 2009).

If two or more major depressive episodes occurred and were separated by at least 2 months during which the individual was not depressed, the major depressive disorder is noted as being **recurrent**. Recurrence is important in predicting the future course of the disorder, as well as in choosing appropriate treatments. From 35% to 85% of people with single-episode occurrences of major depressive disorder later experience a second episode (Angst, 2009; Eaton et al., 2008; Judd, 2000; Souery, et al., 2012), based on follow-ups as long as 23 years (Eaton et al., 2008). In the first year following an episode, the risk of recurrence is 20%, but it rises as high as 40% in the second year (Boland & Keller, 2009). Because of this finding and others reviewed later, clinical scientists have recently concluded that unipolar depression is often a chronic condition that waxes and wanes over time but seldom disappears

DSM
5

TABLE 7.2

Criteria for Manic Episode

- A.** A distinct period of abnormally and persistently elevated, expansive, or irritable mood and abnormally and persistently increased goal-directed activity or energy, lasting at least 1 week and present most of the day, nearly every day (or any duration if hospitalization is necessary).
- B.** During the period of mood disturbance and increased energy or activity, three (or more) of the following symptoms (four if the mood is only irritable) are present to a significant degree and represent a noticeable change from usual behavior:
- 1.** Inflated self-esteem or grandiosity
 - 2.** Decreased need for sleep (e.g., feels rested after only 3 hours of sleep)
 - 3.** More talkative than usual or pressure to keep talking
 - 4.** Flight of ideas or subjective experience that thoughts are racing
 - 5.** Distractibility (i.e., attention too easily drawn to unimportant or irrelevant external stimuli), as reported or observed
 - 6.** Increase in goal-directed activity (either socially, at work or school, or sexually) or psychomotor agitation (e.g., purposeless non-goal-directed activity)
 - 7.** Excessive involvement in activities that have a high potential for painful consequences (e.g., engaging in unrestrained buying sprees, sexual indiscretions, or foolish business investments)
- C.** The mood disturbance is sufficiently severe to cause marked impairment in social or occupational functioning or to necessitate hospitalization to prevent harm to self or others, or there are psychotic features.
- D.** The episode is not attributable to the physiological effects of a substance (e.g., a drug of abuse, a medication, other treatment) or to another general medical condition.

Note: A full manic episode that emerges during antidepressant treatment (e.g., medication, electroconvulsive therapy) but persists at a fully syndromal level beyond the physiological effect of that treatment is sufficient evidence of a manic episode and, therefore, a bipolar I diagnosis.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

(Judd, 2012). The median lifetime number of major depressive episodes is 4 to 7; in one large sample, 25% experienced six or more episodes (Angst, 2009; Kessler & Wang, 2009). The median duration of recurrent major depressive episodes is 4 to 5 months (Boland & Keller, 2009; Kessler et al., 2003), somewhat shorter than the average length of the first episode.

On the basis of these criteria, how would you diagnose Katie? Katie suffered from a severely depressed mood, feelings of worthlessness, difficulty concentrating, recurrent thoughts of death, sleep difficulties, and loss of energy. She clearly met the criteria for major depressive disorder, recurrent. Katie's depressive episodes were quite severe when they occurred, but she tended to cycle in and out of them.

Persistent depressive disorder (dysthymia) shares many of the symptoms of major depressive disorder but differs in its course. There may be fewer symptoms (as few as 2, see *DSM-5* Table 7.4), but depression remains relatively unchanged over long periods, sometimes 20 or 30 years or more (Angst, 2009; Cristancho, Kocsis, & Thase, 2012; Klein, 2008; Klein, Shankman, & Rose, 2006; Murphy & Byrne, 2012).

Persistent depressive disorder is defined as depressed mood that continues at least 2 years, during which the patient cannot be symptom free for more than 2 months at a time even though they may not experience all of the symptoms of a major depressive episode. It identifies patients who were formerly diagnosed with dysthymic disorder and other depressive disorders (Rhebergen & Graham, 2014). Persistent depressive disorder differs from a major depressive disorder in the number of symptoms required, but mostly it is in the chronicity. It is considered more severe, since patients with persistent depression present with higher rates of comorbidity with other mental disorders, are less responsive to treatment, and show a slower rate of improvement over time. Klein and colleagues (2006), in a 10-year prospective follow-up study, suggest that chronicity (versus nonchronicity) is the most important distinction in diagnosing depression independent of whether the symptom presentation meets criteria for a major depressive disorder (as noted above), because these two groups (chronic and nonchronic) seem different, not only in course over time but also in family history and cognitive style. About 20% of patients with a major depressive episode report chronicity of this episode for at least two years, thereby meeting criteria for persistent depressive disorder (Klein, 2010).

Also, 22% of people suffering from persistent depression with fewer symptoms (specified as "with pure dysthymic syndrome," see below) eventually experienced a major depressive episode (Klein et al., 2006). These individuals who suffer from

Major Depressive Disorder: Barbara



Abnormal Psychology: Inside Out, Vol. 111,
produced by Ira Wohl, Only Child Motion
Pictures

"I've been sad, depressed most of my life. . . . I had a headache in high school for a year and a half. . . . There have been different periods in my life when I wanted to end it all. . . . I hate me, I really hate me. I hate the way I look, I hate the way I feel. I hate the way I talk to people. . . . I do everything wrong. . . . I feel really hopeless."

Go to MindTap at
www.cengagebrain.com
to watch this video.

both major depressive episodes and persistent depression with fewer symptoms are said to have **double depression**. Typically, a few depressive symptoms develop first, perhaps at an early age, and then one or more major depressive episodes occur later only to revert to the underlying pattern of depression once the major depressive episode has run its course (Boland & Keller, 2009; Klein et al., 2006). Identifying this particular pattern is important because it is associated with even more severe psychopathology and a problematic future course (Boland & Keller, 2009; Klein et al., 2006; Rubio, Markowitz, Alegria, Perez-Fuentes, Liu, Lin, & Blanco, 2011). For example, Klein et al. (2006) found that the relapse rate of depression among people meeting criteria for *DSM IV* dysthymia was 71.4%. Consider the case of Jack.

Persistent depressive disorder is further specified depending on whether a major depressive episode is part of the picture or not. Thus, one might meet criteria

Jack... A Life Kept Down

Jack was a 49-year-old divorced white man who lived at his mother's home with his 10-year-old son. He complained of chronic depression, saying he finally realized he needed help. Jack reported that he had been a pessimist and a worrier for much of his adult life. He consistently felt kind of down and depressed and did not have much fun. He had difficulty making decisions, was generally pessimistic about the future, and thought little of himself. During the past 20 years, the longest period he could remember in which his mood was "normal" or less depressed lasted only 4 or 5 days.

Despite his difficulties, Jack had finished college and obtained a master's degree in public administration. People told him his future was bright and he would be highly valued in state government. Jack did not think so. He took a job as a low-level clerk in a state agency, thinking he could always work his way up. He never did, remaining at the same desk for 20 years.

Jack's wife, fed up with his continued pessimism, lack of self-confidence, and relative inability to enjoy day-to-day events, became discouraged and divorced him. Jack moved in with his mother so that she could help care for his son and share expenses.


About 5 years before coming to the clinic, Jack had experienced a bout of depression worse than anything he had previously known. His self-esteem went from low to nonexistent. From indecisiveness, he became unable to decide

(Continued next page)

anything. He was exhausted all the time and felt as if lead had filled his arms and legs, making it difficult even to move. He became unable to complete projects or to meet deadlines. Seeing no hope, he began to consider suicide. After tolerating a listless performance for years from someone they had expected to rise through the ranks, Jack's employers finally fired him.

After about 6 months, the major depressive episode resolved and Jack returned to his chronic but milder state of depression. He could get out of bed and accomplish some things, although he still doubted his own abilities. He was unable to obtain another job, however. After several years of waiting for something to turn up, he realized he was unable to solve his own problems and that without help his depression would continue. After a thorough assessment, we determined that Jack suffered from a classic case of double depression. •

or hearing things that aren't there) and **delusions** (strongly held but inaccurate beliefs) (Rothschild, 2013). Patients may also have *somatic (physical) delusions*, believing, for example, that their bodies are rotting internally and deteriorating into nothingness. Some may hear voices telling them how evil and sinful they are (*auditory hallucinations*). Such hallucinations and delusions are called *mood congruent*, because they seem directly related to the depression. On rare occasions, depressed individuals might have other types of hallucinations or delusions such as *delusions of grandeur* (believing, for example, they are supernatural or supremely gifted) that do not seem consistent with the depressed mood. This is a *mood-incongruent* hallucination or delusion. Although quite rare, this condition signifies a serious type of depressive episode that may progress to schizophrenia (or may be a symptom of schizophrenia to begin with). Delusions of grandeur accompanying a manic episode are mood congruent. Conditions in which psychotic symptoms accompany depressive episodes are relatively rare, occurring in 5% to 20% of identified cases of depression (Flores & Schatzberg, 2006; Ohayon & Schatzberg, 2002). Psychotic features in general are associated with a poor response to treatment, greater impairment, and fewer weeks with minimal symptoms, compared with



● FIGURE 7.1

Pictorial representation of various course configurations of non-bipolar depression. The horizontal axis represents time and the vertical axis represents mood, with the horizontal black line representing euthymic, or normal, mood, and the magnitude of downward deflection (the blue area) reflecting severity of depressive symptoms. Panel (a) is nonchronic major depressive disorder (in this case, recurrent, as two depressive episodes are depicted). Panel (b) is persistent depressive disorder with pure dysthymic syndrome. Panel (c) is double depression (major depressive episode occurring within the course of persistent depressive disorder or dysthymia). Panel (d) is chronic major depressive episode. Panel (e) is major depressive episode in partial remission. Panel (f) is recurrent major depression without full interepisode recovery. (Based on Klein, D. N. (2010). Chronic depression: Diagnosis and classification. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 19(2), 96–100.)

Additional Defining Criteria for Depressive Disorders

Look again at *DSM-5* Table 7.3, on the diagnostic criteria for major depressive disorder; notice the section at the bottom that asks the clinician to specify the features of the latest depressive episode. These instructions are here because these symptoms, or *specifiers*, may or may not accompany a depressive disorder; when they do, they are often helpful in determining the most effective treatment or likely course.

In addition to rating severity of the episode as mild, moderate, or severe, clinicians use eight basic specifiers to describe depressive disorders. These are (1) with psychotic features (mood-congruent or mood-incongruent), (2) with anxious distress (mild to severe), (3) with mixed features, (4) with melancholic features, (5) with atypical features, (6) with catatonic features, (7) with peripartum onset, and (8) with seasonal pattern. Some of these specifiers apply only to major depressive disorder. Others apply to both major depressive disorder and persistent depressive disorder. Each is described briefly below.

1. *Psychotic features specifiers*. Some individuals in the midst of a major depressive (or manic) episode may experience psychotic symptoms, specifically **hallucinations** (seeing

TABLE 7.3

Diagnostic Criteria for Major Depressive Disorder

5

- A.** At least one major depressive episode (*DSM-5* Table 7.1 Criteria A–C).
- B.** The occurrence of the major depressive episode is not better explained by schizoaffective disorder, schizophrenia, schizophreniform disorder, delusional disorder, or other specified and unspecified schizophrenia spectrum and other psychotic disorders.
- C.** There has never been a manic episode or hypomanic episode. *Note:* This exclusion does not apply if all of the manic-like or hypomanic-like episodes are substance-induced or are attributable to the direct physiological effects of another medical condition.
- Specify the clinical status and/or features of the current or most recent major depressive episode:
- Single episode or recurrent episode
 - Mild, moderate, severe
 - With anxious distress
 - With mixed features
 - With melancholic features
 - With atypical features
 - With mood-congruent psychotic features
 - With mood-incongruent psychotic features
 - With catatonia
 - With peripartum onset
 - With seasonal pattern (recurrent episode only)
 - In partial remission, in full remission

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

nonpsychotic depressed patients over a 10-year period (Busatto, 2013; Flint, Schaffer, Meyers, Rothschild, & Mulsant, 2006).

2. *Anxious distress specifier.* The presence and severity of accompanying anxiety, whether in the form of comorbid anxiety disorders (anxiety symptoms meeting the full criteria for an anxiety disorder) or anxiety symptoms that do not meet all the criteria for disorders (Goldberg & Fawcett, 2012; Murphy & Byrne, 2012). This is perhaps the most important addition to specifiers for mood disorders in *DSM-5*. For all depressive and bipolar disorders, the presence of anxiety indicates a more severe condition, makes suicidal thoughts and completed suicide more likely, and predicts a poorer outcome from treatment.
3. *Mixed features specifier.* Predominantly depressive episodes that have several (at least three) symptoms of mania as described above would meet this specifier, which applies to major depressive episodes both within major depressive disorder and persistent depressive disorder.
4. *Melancholic features specifier.* This specifier applies only if the full criteria for a major depressive episode have been met, whether in the context of a persistent depressive disorder or not. Melancholic specifiers include some of the more

severe somatic (physical) symptoms, such as early-morning awakenings, weight loss, loss of libido (sex drive), excessive or inappropriate guilt, and anhedonia (diminished interest or pleasure in activities). The concept of “melancholic” does seem to signify a severe type of depressive episode. Whether this type is anything more than a different point on a continuum of severity remains to be seen (Johnson, Cueller, & Miller, 2009; Klein, 2008; Parker, McCraw, et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2012).

5. *Catatonic features specifier.* This specifier can be applied to major depressive episodes whether they occur in the context of a persistent depressive order or not, and even to manic episodes, although it is rare—and rarer still in mania. This serious condition involves an absence of movement (a stuporous state) or **catalepsy**, in which the muscles are waxy and semirigid, so a patient’s arms or legs remain in any position in which they are placed. Catatonic symptoms may also involve excessive but random or purposeless movement. Catalepsy was thought to be more commonly associated with schizophrenia, but some recent studies have suggested it may be more common in depression than in schizophrenia (Huang, Lin, Hung, & Huang, 2013). In recent theorizing, this response may be a common “end state” reaction to feelings of imminent doom and is found in many animals about to be attacked by a predator (Moskowitz, 2004).
6. *Atypical features specifier.* This specifier applies to both depressive episodes, whether in the context of persistent depressive disorder or not. While most people with depression sleep less and lose their appetite, individuals with this specifier consistently oversleep and overeat during their depression and therefore gain weight, leading to a higher incidence of diabetes (Glaus et al., 2012; Kessler & Wang, 2009). Although they



Bettmann/Getty Images

While his bride awaited him, Abraham Lincoln was suffering from a depressive episode that was so severe he was unable to proceed with the wedding until several days later.

TABLE 7.4

Diagnostic Criteria for Persistent Depressive Disorder (Dysthymia)

- A.** Depressed mood for most of the day, for more days than not, as indicated by either subjective account or observation by others, for at least 2 years.
Note: In children and adolescents, mood can be irritable and duration must be at least 1 year.
- B.** Presence, while depressed, of two (or more) of the following:
- 1.** Poor appetite or overeating
 - 2.** Insomnia or hypersomnia
 - 3.** Low energy or fatigue
 - 4.** Low self-esteem
 - 5.** Poor concentration or difficulty making decisions
 - 6.** Feelings of hopelessness
- C.** During the 2-year period (1 year for children or adolescents) of the disturbance, the person has never been without the symptoms in criteria A and B for more than 2 months at a time.
- D.** Criteria for major depressive disorder may be continuously present for 2 years.
- E.** There has never been a manic episode or a hypomanic episode, and criteria have never been met for cyclothymic disorder.
- F.** The disturbance is not better explained by a persistent schizoaffective disorder, schizophrenia, delusional disorder, or other specified or unspecified schizophrenia spectrum and other psychotic disorder.
- G.** The symptoms are not attributable to the physiological effects of a substance (e.g., a drug of abuse, a medication) or another medical condition (e.g., hypothyroidism).
- H.** The symptoms cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.

Specify if:

Current severity: Mild, moderate, severe

With anxious distress

With mixed features

With melancholic features

With atypical features

With mood-congruent psychotic features

With mood-incongruent psychotic features

With peripartum onset

Early onset: If onset is before age 21 years

Late onset: If onset is at age 21 years or older

Specify (for most recent 2 years of dysthymic disorder):

With pure dysthymic syndrome: if full criteria for a major depressive episode have not been met in at least the preceding 2 years

With persistent major depressive episode: if full criteria for a major depressive episode have been met throughout the preceding 2-year period

With intermittent major depressive episodes, with current episode: if full criteria for a major depressive episode are currently met, but there have been periods of at least 8 weeks in at least the preceding 2 years with symptoms below the threshold for a full major depressive episode

With intermittent major depressive episodes, without current episode: if full criteria for a major depressive episode are not currently met, but there has been one or more major depressive episodes in at least the preceding 2 years

In full remission, in partial remission

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

also have considerable anxiety, they can react with interest or pleasure to some things, unlike most depressed individuals. In addition, depression with atypical features, compared with more typical depression, is associated with a greater percentage of women and an earlier age of onset. The atypical group also has more symptoms, more severe symptoms, more suicide attempts, and higher rates of comorbid disorders including alcohol abuse (Bech, 2009; Blanco et al., 2012; Glaus et al., 2012; Matza, Revicki, Davidson, & Stewart, 2003).

7. *Peripartum onset specifier.* *Peri* means “surrounding,” in this case the period of time just before and just after the birth.

This specifier can apply to both major depressive and manic episodes. Between 13% and 19% of all women giving birth (one in eight) meet criteria for a diagnosis of depression, referred to as *peripartum depression*. In one study, 7.2% met criteria for a full major depressive episode (Gavin et al., 2005). Typically a somewhat higher incidence of depression is found postpartum (after the birth) than during the period of pregnancy itself (Viguera et al., 2011). In another recent important study, 14% of 10,000 women who gave birth screened positively for depression, and fully 19.3% of those depressed new mothers had serious thoughts of

harming themselves (Wisner et al., 2013). During the peripartum period (pregnancy and the 6 month period immediately following childbirth), early recognition of possible psychotic depressive (or manic) episodes is important, because in a few tragic cases a mother in the midst of an episode has killed her newborn child (Purdy & Frank, 1993; Sit, Rothschild, & Wisner, 2006). Fathers don't entirely escape the emotional consequences of birth. Ramchandani and colleagues (Ramchandani, Stein, Evans, O'Connor, & the ALSPAC Study Team, 2005) followed 11,833 mothers and 8,431 fathers for 8 weeks after the birth of their children. Of the mothers, 10% showed a marked increase in depressive symptoms on a rating scale, but so did 4% of the fathers. If you extend the period from the first trimester to one year after birth, the rate of depression is approximately 10% for fathers and as high as 40% for mothers. And depression in fathers was associated with adverse emotional and behavioral outcomes in children 3.5 years later (Paulson & Bazemore, 2010).

More minor reactions in adjustment to childbirth—called the “baby blues”—typically last a few days and occur in 40% to 80% of women between 1 and 5 days after delivery. During this period, new mothers may be tearful and have some temporary mood swings, but these are normal responses to the stresses of childbirth and disappear quickly; the peripartum onset specifier does not apply to them (O'Hara & McCabe, 2013; Wisner, Moses-Kolko, & Sit, 2010). However, in peripartum depression, most people, including the new mother herself, have difficulty understanding why she is depressed, because they assume this is a joyous time. Many people forget that extreme stress can be brought on by physical exhaustion, new schedules, adjusting to nursing, and other changes that follow the birth. There is also some evidence that women with a history of peripartum depression meeting full criteria for an episode of major depression may be affected differently by the rapid decline in reproductive hormones that occurs after delivery (Wisner, Parry, & Piontek, 2002; Workman, Barha, & Galea, 2012) or may have elevated corticotrophin-releasing hormone (see Chapter 2) in the placenta (Meltzer-Brody et al., 2011; Yim et al., 2009) and that these factors may contribute to peripartum depression. But these findings need replication because all women experience very substantial shifts in hormone levels after delivery, but only a few develop a depressive disorder. Nor is there strong evidence that hormonal levels are significantly different in peripartum depressed and nondepressed women (Workman et al., 2012). A close examination of women with peripartum depression revealed no essential differences between the characteristics of this mood disorder and others (O'Hara & McCabe, 2013; Wisner et al., 2002). Therefore, peripartum depression did not require a separate category in *DSM-5* and is simply a specifier for a depressive disorder. (Approaches to treatment for peripartum depression do not differ from those for non-peripartum depression.)

8. *Seasonal pattern specifier.* This temporal specifier applies to recurrent major depressive disorder (and also to bipolar disorders). It accompanies episodes that occur during certain seasons (for example, winter depression). The most usual

pattern is a depressive episode that begins in the late fall and ends with the beginning of spring. (In bipolar disorder, individuals may become depressed during the winter and manic during the summer.) These episodes must have occurred for at least two years with no evidence of nonseasonal major depressive episodes occurring during that period of time. This condition is called **seasonal affective disorder (SAD)**.

Although some studies have reported seasonal cycling of manic episodes, the overwhelming majority of seasonal mood disorders involve winter depression, which has been estimated to affect as many as 2.7% of North Americans (Lam et al., 2006; Levitt & Boyle, 2002). But fully 15% to 25% of the population might have some vulnerability to seasonal cycling of mood that does not reach criteria for a disorder (Kessler & Wang, 2009; Sohn & Lam, 2005). Unlike more severe melancholic types of depression, people with winter depressions tend toward excessive sleep (rather than decreased sleep) and increased appetite and weight gain (rather than decreased appetite and weight loss), symptoms shared with atypical depressive episodes. Although SAD seems a bit different from other major depressive episodes, family studies have not yet revealed any significant differences that would suggest winter depressions are a separate type (Lam, & Lavitan, 2000).

Emerging evidence suggests that SAD may be related to daily and seasonal changes in the production of melatonin, a hormone secreted by the pineal gland. Because exposure to light suppresses melatonin production, it is produced only at night. Melatonin production also tends to increase in winter, when there is less sunlight. One theory is that increased production of melatonin might trigger depression in vulnerable people (Goodwin & Jamison, 2007; Lee et al., 1998). Wehr and colleagues (2001) have shown that melatonin secretion does increase in winter but only in patients with SAD and not healthy controls. (We return to this topic when we discuss biological contributions to depression.) Another possibility is that circadian rhythms, which occur in approximately 24-hour periods, or cycles, and are thought to have some relationship to mood, are delayed in winter. According to this “phase shift hypothesis,” SAD is a result of phase-delayed circadian misalignment, meaning that the patient's circadian rhythm is misaligned with the environmental day–night cycle. Bright light exposure and melatonin at wake time can, therefore, realign the patient's circadian rhythm (Lewy, Tutek, Havel, & Nikia, 2014). We come back to these treatment options below.

Cognitive and behavioral factors are also associated with SAD (Rohan, 2009; Rohan, Sigmon, & Dorhofer, 2003). Women with SAD, compared with well-matched nondepressed women, reported more autonomous negative thoughts throughout the year and greater emotional reactivity to light in the laboratory, with low light associated with lower mood. Severity of worrying, or rumination, in the fall predicted symptom severity in the winter.

As you might expect, the prevalence of SAD is higher in extreme northern and southern latitudes because there is less winter sunlight. A popular name for this type of reaction is *cabin fever*. SAD is quite prevalent in Fairbanks, Alaska, where 9% of the population appears to meet criteria for the disorder and another 19%

have some seasonal symptoms of depression. The disorder also seems quite stable. In one group of 59 patients, 86% experienced a depressive episode each winter during a 9-year period of observation, with only 14% recovering during that time. For 26 (44%) of these patients, whose symptoms were more severe to begin with, depressive episodes began to occur during other seasons as well (Schwartz, Brown, Wehr, & Rosenthal, 1996). Rates in children and adolescents are between 1.7% and 5.5%, according to one study, with higher rates in postpubertal girls (Swedo et al., 1995).

Some clinicians reasoned that exposure to bright light might slow melatonin production in individuals with SAD (Lewy et al., 2014). In phototherapy, a current treatment, most patients are exposed to 2 hours of bright light (2,500 lux) immediately on awakening. If the light exposure is effective, the patient begins to notice a lifting of mood within 3 to 4 days and a remission of winter depression in 1 to 2 weeks. Patients are also asked to avoid bright lights in the evening (from shopping malls and the like), so as not to interfere with the effects of the morning treatments. But this treatment is not without side effects. Approximately 19% of patients experience headaches, 17% have eyestrain, and 14% just feel “wired” (Levitt et al., 1993). Several controlled studies generally support phototherapy’s effectiveness (Eastman, Young, Fogg, Liu, & Meaden, 1998; Reeves et al., 2012; Terman, Terman, & Ross, 1998; Golden et al., 2005; Martensson, Petterson, Berglund, & Ekselius, 2015). In these studies, morning light was compared with evening light, which was predicted to be less effective, or to a placebo. In two of these studies (Eastman et al., 1998; Terman et al., 1998), a clever “negative ion generator” served as a placebo treatment in which patients sat in front of the box for the same amount of time as in the phototherapy and “expected” the treatment would work following instructions from the investigator but did not see the light. The results, presented in Table 7.1, showed a significantly better response for morning light compared with evening light or placebo. Evening light was better than placebo. The mechanism of action of this treatment has not been fully established, but one study indicated that morning light is superior to evening light because morning light produced phase advances of the melatonin rhythm, suggesting that changes in circadian

TABLE 7.1 Summary of Remission Rates

	Remission Rate % (Number of Patients)		
	Morning Light	Evening Light	Placebo (Negative-Ion Generator)
Terman et al., 1998			
First treatment	54% (25 of 46)	33% (13 of 39)	11% (2 of 19)
Crossover	60% (28 of 47)	30% (14 of 47)	Not done
Eastman et al., 1998			
First treatment	55% (18 of 33)	28% (9 of 32)	16% (5 of 31)
Lewy et al., 1998			
First treatment	22% (6 of 27)	4% (1 of 24)	Not done
Crossover	27% (14 of 51)	4% (2 of 51)	Not done

rhythm are an important factor in treatment (Terman, Terman, Lo, & Cooper, 2001). In any case, it seems clear that light therapy is one important treatment for winter depression (Golden et al., 2005; Lam et al., 2006).

Seemingly unique cognitive and behavioral factors are associated with SAD, as noted earlier, which suggests a role for cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT). In an important study of 177 adults by Rohan and colleagues (2015), each participant received either light therapy or CBT (twice a week in groups for 6 weeks) and were assessed during the following winter and again two years following the treatment. Both treatments had a similar effect on depression during the winter after the first year. However, during the second winter, the CBT group, as compared to the light therapy group, showed less severe symptoms of depression, had a smaller proportion of people relapse (27.3% vs. 45.6%), and demonstrated a greater proportion of remissions (68.3% vs. 44.5%). These results suggest that CBT has greater durability than light therapy for SAD, replicating and extending an earlier study (Rohan et al., 2007).

Onset and Duration

Generally the risk for developing major depression is fairly low until the early teens, when it begins to rise in a steady (linear) fashion (Rohde, Lewinsohn, Klein, Seeley, & Gau, 2013). A longitudinal study with 2,320 individuals from the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging spanning from age 19 to 95 showed that symptoms of depression followed a U-shaped pattern, such that symptoms of depression were highest in young adults, decreased across middle adulthood, and then increased again in older age, with older people also experiencing an increase in distress associated with these symptoms (Sutin et al., 2013). Another alarming finding is that the incidence of depression and consequent suicide seem to be steadily increasing. Kessler and colleagues (2003) compared four age groups and found that fully 25% of people 18 to 29 years had already experienced major depression, a rate far higher than



© Image Point Fr/Shutterstock.com

Light therapy is a promising treatment for seasonal affective disorder, often providing relief from depressive symptoms in just a few days.

the rate for older groups when they were that age. Rohde and colleagues (2013) also looked at the incidence of major depressive disorder and four age groups spanning a longer period of time. They found that in children ages 5 to 12, 5% had experienced major depressive disorder. The corresponding figures in adolescence (ages 13 to 17) was 19%; in emerging adulthood (ages 18 to 23), 24%; and in young adulthood (ages 24 to 30), 16%.

As we noted previously, the length of depressive episodes is variable, with some lasting as little as 2 weeks; in more severe cases, an episode might last for several years, with the typical duration of the first episode being 2 to 9 months if untreated (Angst, 2009; Boland & Keller, 2009; Rohde et al., 2013). Although 9 months is a long time to suffer with a severe depressive episode, evidence indicates that, even in the most severe cases, the probability of remission of the episode within 1 year approaches 90% (Kessler & Wang, 2009). In those severe cases in which the episode lasts 5 years or longer, 38% can be expected to eventually recover (Mueller et al., 1996). Occasionally, however, episodes may not entirely clear up, leaving some residual symptoms. In this case, the likelihood of a subsequent episode with another incomplete recovery is much higher (Boland & Keller, 2009; Judd, 2012). Awareness of this increased likelihood is important to treatment planning, because treatment should be continued much longer in these cases.

Investigators have found a lower (0.07%) prevalence of persistent mild depressive symptoms in children compared with adults (3% to 6%) (Klein, Schwartz, Rose, & Leader, 2000), but symptoms tend to be stable throughout childhood (Garber, Gallerani, & Frankel, 2009). Kovacs, Akiskal, Gatsonis, and Parrone (1994) found that 76% of a sample of children with persistent mild depressive symptoms later developed major depressive disorder.

Persistent depressive disorder may last 20 to 30 years or more, although studies have reported a median duration of approximately 5 years in adults (Klein et al., 2006) and 4 years in children (Kovacs et al., 1994). Klein and colleagues (2006), in the study mentioned earlier, conducted a 10-year follow-up of 97 adults with *DSM-IV* dysthymia (now known as persistent depressive disorder, which is characterized by fewer or more mild symptoms of depression) and found that 74% had recovered at some point but 71% of those had relapsed. The whole sample of 97 patients spent approximately 60% of the 10-year follow-up period meeting full criteria for a mood disorder. This compares with 21% of a group of patients with major depressive disorder also followed for 10 years. Even worse, patients with persistent depressive disorder with less severe depressive symptoms (dysthymia) were more likely to attempt suicide than a comparison group with (nonpersistent) episodes of major depressive disorder during a 5-year period. As noted above, it is relatively common for major depressive episodes and dysthymia (now persistent depressive disorder) to co-occur (double depression) (Boland & Keller, 2009; McCullough et al., 2000). Among those who have had persistent depressive disorder, as many as 79% have also had a major depressive episode at some point in their lives. ● Figure 7.2 presents data on the 10-year course of patients presenting with *DSM-IV* persistent depressive disorder alone, nonchronic major depressive disorder, or double depression. The group with persistent depressive disorder, on the average, stays depressed. The double depression group (a subset of persistent depressive disorder), starts off more severe, recovers




FIGURE 7.2

Hamilton Depression Rating Scale scores of dysthymic disorder patients with and without concurrent major depressive disorder episode and patients with nonchronic major depressive disorder over a 10-year follow-up period. (Based on Klein, D., Shankman, S., & Rose, S. [2006]. Ten-year prospective follow-up study of the naturalistic course of dysthymic disorder and double depression. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 163, 872–880. © American Psychiatric Association.)

from its major depressive episode, as did Jack, but remains the most severely depressed after 10 years. The nonchronic major depressive disorder group evidences the most recovery (on average). Once again, these results emphasize the overriding importance of considering chronicity or persistence when diagnosing depressive disorders.

From Grief to Depression

At the beginning of the chapter, we asked whether you had ever felt down or depressed. Almost everyone has. But if someone you love has died—particularly if the death was unexpected and the person was a member of your immediate family—you may, after your initial reaction to the trauma, have experienced a number of depressive symptoms as well as anxiety, emotional numbness, and denial (Shear, 2012; Shear et al., 2011; Simon, 2012). Sometimes individuals experience very severe symptoms requiring immediate treatment, such as a full major depressive episode, perhaps with psychotic features, suicidal ideation, or severe weight loss and so little energy that the individual cannot function (Maciejewski,

Zhang, Block, & Prigerson, 2007). We must confront death and process it emotionally. All religions and cultures have rituals, such as funerals and burial ceremonies, to help us work through our losses with the support and love of our relatives and friends (Bonanno & Kaltman, 2001; Gupta & Bonanno, 2011; Shear, 2012). Usually the natural grieving process has peaked within the first 6 months, although some people grieve for a year or longer (Currier, Neimeyer, & Berman, 2008; Maciejewski et al., 2007). The acute grief most of us would feel eventually evolves into what is called **integrated grief**, in which the finality of death and its consequences are acknowledged and the individual adjusts to the loss. New, bittersweet, but mostly positive memories of the deceased person that are no longer dominating or interfering with functioning are then incorporated into memory (Shear et al., 2011).

Integrated grief often recurs at significant anniversaries, such as the birthday of the loved one, holidays, and other meaningful occasions, including the anniversary of the death. This is all a very normal and positive reaction. In fact, mental health professionals are concerned when someone does not grieve after a death, because grieving is our natural way of confronting and handling loss. When grief lasts beyond typical time, mental health professionals again become concerned (Neimeyer & Currier, 2009). After 6 months to a year or so, the chance of recovering from severe grief without treatment is considerably reduced, and for approximately 7% of bereaved individuals, a normal process becomes a disorder (Kersting, Brahler, Glaesmer, & Wagner, 2011; Shear et al., 2011). At this stage, suicidal thoughts increase substantially and focus mostly on joining the beloved deceased (Stroebe, Stroebe, & Abakoumkin, 2005). The ability to imagine events in the future is generally impaired, since it is difficult to think of a future without the deceased (MacCallum & Bryant, 2011; Robinaugh, & McNally, 2013). Individuals also have difficulty regulating their own emotions, which tend to become rigid and inflexible (Gupta & Bonanno, 2011). Many of the psychological and social factors related to mood disorders in general, including a history of past depressive episodes, also predict the development of what is called the syndrome of **complicated grief**, although this reaction can develop without a preexisting depressed state (Bonanno, Wortman, & Nesse, 2004).



Queen Victoria remained in such deep mourning for her husband, Prince Albert, that she was unable to perform as monarch for several years after his death. In April 2013, Catherine Zeta-Jones again sought help for bipolar II disorder, a condition for which she has received years of treatment.



©s_bukley/Shutterstock.com

In children and young adults, the sudden loss of a parent makes them particularly vulnerable to severe depression beyond the normal time for grieving, suggesting the need for immediate intervention for some (Brent, Melhem, Donohoe, & Walker, 2009; Melhem, Porta, Shamseddine, Payne, & Brent, 2011). Features of normal grief, integrated grief, and complicated grief are listed in Table 7.2 (Shear et al., 2011). Indeed, some have proposed that this unique cluster of symptoms, combined with other differences, should be sufficient to make complicated grief a separate diagnostic category distinct from depression (Bonanno, 2006; Shear et al., 2011). For example, the very strong yearning in complicated grief seems to be associated with the activation of the dopamine neurotransmitter system; this is in contrast to major depressive disorder, in which activation is reduced in this system (O'Connor et al., 2008). Also, brain-imaging studies indicate that areas of the brain associated with close relationships and attachment are active in grieving people,

TABLE 7.2 Normal and Complicated Grief

Common symptoms of acute grief that are within normal limits within the first 6-12 months after:

- Recurrent, strong feelings of yearning, wanting very much to be reunited with the person who died; possibly even a wish to die in order to be with deceased loved one
- Pangs of deep sadness or remorse, episodes of crying or sobbing, typically interspersed with periods of respite and even positive emotions
- Steady stream of thoughts or images of deceased, may be vivid or even entail hallucinatory experiences of seeing or hearing deceased person
- Struggle to accept the reality of the death, wishing to protest against it; there may be some feelings of bitterness or anger about the death
- Somatic distress, e.g., uncontrollable sighing, digestive symptoms, loss of appetite, dry mouth, feelings of hollowness, sleep disturbance, fatigue, exhaustion or weakness, restlessness, aimless activity, difficulty initiating or maintaining organized activities, and altered sensorium
- Feeling disconnected from the world or other people, indifferent, not interested, or irritable with others

Symptoms of integrated grief that are within normal limits:

- Sense of having adjusted to the loss
- Interest and sense of purpose, ability to function, and capacity for joy and satisfaction are restored
- Feelings of emotional loneliness may persist
- Feelings of sadness and longing tend to be in the background but still present
- Thoughts and memories of the deceased person accessible and bittersweet but no longer dominate the mind
- Occasional hallucinatory experiences of the deceased may occur
- Surges of grief in response to calendar days or other periodic reminders of the loss may occur

Complicated grief

- Persistent intense symptoms of acute grief
- The presence of thoughts, feelings, or behaviors reflecting excessive or distracting concerns about the circumstances or consequences of the death

Source: Shear, M. K., Simon, N., Wall, M., Zisook, S., Neimeyer, R., Duan, N., & Keshaviah, A. (2011). Complicated grief and related bereavement issues for DSM-5. *Depression and Anxiety*, 28, 103–117.

in addition to areas of the brain associated with more general emotional responding (Gündel, O'Connor, Littrell, Fort, & Lane, 2003). *Persistent Complex Bereavement Disorder* is now included as a diagnosis requiring further study in section III of *DSM-5*.

In cases of complicated grief, the rituals intended to help us face and accept death were ineffective. As with victims suffering from posttraumatic stress, one therapeutic approach is to help grieving individuals reexperience the trauma under close supervision (Shear et al., 2014). Usually, the grieving person is encouraged to talk about the loved one, the death, and the meaning of the loss while experiencing all the associated emotions, until that person can come to terms with reality. This would include incorporating positive emotions associated with memories of the relationship into the intense negative emotions connected with the loss, and arriving at the position that it is possible to cope with the pain and life will go on, thereby achieving a state of integrated grief (Currier et al., 2008). Several studies have demonstrated that this approach is successful compared to alternative psychological treatments that also focus on grief and loss (Bryant et al., 2014; Shear et al., 2014; Simon, 2013).

Other Depressive Disorders

Premenstrual dysphoric disorder (PMDD) and **disruptive mood dysregulation disorder**, both depressive disorders, were added to *DSM-5*.

Premenstrual Dysphoric Disorder (PMDD)

The history of the development of PMDD over the past several decades as a diagnosis was described in some detail in Chapter 3 (see pp. 100–101). Basically clinicians identified a small group of women, from 2% to 5%, who suffered from severe and sometimes incapacitating emotional reactions during the premenstrual period (Epperson et al., 2012). But strong objections to making this condition an official diagnosis were based on concerns that women who were experiencing a very normal monthly physiological cycle, as part of being female, would now be classified as having a disorder, which would be very stigmatizing. As noted above, the history of this controversy is described in Chapter 3. It has now been clearly established that this small group of women differs in a number of ways from the 20% to 40% of women who experience uncomfortable premenstrual symptoms (PMS) that, nevertheless, are not associated with impairment of functioning. Criteria defining PMDD are presented in *DSM-5* Table 7.5. As one can see, a combination of physical symptoms, severe mood swings, and anxiety are associated with incapacitation during this period of time (Hartlage, Freels, Gotman, & Yonkers, 2012). All of the evidence indicates that PMDD is best considered a disorder of mood as opposed to a physical disorder (such as an endocrine disorder), and, as pointed out in Chapter 3, the creation of this diagnostic category should greatly assist the thousands of women

TABLE 7.5

Diagnostic Criteria for Premenstrual Dysphoric Disorder

5

- A.** In the majority of menstrual cycles, at least five symptoms must be present in the final week before the onset of menses, start to improve within a few days after the onset of menses, and become minimal or absent in the week postmenses.
- B.** One (or more) of the following symptoms must be present:
- 1.** Marked affective lability (e.g., mood swings; feeling suddenly sad or tearful, or increased sensitivity to rejection).
 - 2.** Marked irritability or anger or increased interpersonal conflicts.
 - 3.** Marked depressed mood, feelings of hopelessness, or self-deprecating thoughts.
 - 4.** Marked anxiety, tension, and/or feelings of being keyed up or on edge.
- C.** One (or more) of the following symptoms must additionally be present, to reach a total of five symptoms when combined with symptoms from Criterion B above:
- 1.** Decreased interest in usual activities (e.g., work, school, friends, hobbies).
 - 2.** Subjective difficulty in concentration.
 - 3.** Lethargy, easy fatigability, or marked lack of energy.
 - 4.** Marked change in appetite; overeating; or specific food cravings.
 - 5.** Hypersomnia or insomnia.
 - 6.** A sense of being overwhelmed or out of control.
 - 7.** Physical symptoms such as breast tenderness or swelling, joint or muscle pain, a sensation of “bloating,” or weight gain.

Note: The symptoms in Criteria A–C must have been met for most menstrual cycles that occurred in the preceding year.

- D.** The symptoms are associated with clinically significant distress or interference with work, school, usual social activities, or relationships with others (e.g., avoidance of social activities; decreased productivity and efficiency at work, school, or home).
- E.** The disturbance is not merely an exacerbation of the symptoms of another disorder, such as major depressive disorder, panic disorder, persistent depressive disorder (dysthymia), or a personality disorder (although it may co-occur with any of these disorders).
- F.** Criterion A should be confirmed by prospective daily ratings during at least two symptomatic cycles.

Note: The diagnosis may be made provisionally prior to this confirmation.

- G.** The symptoms are not attributable to the physiological effects of a substance (e.g., a drug of abuse, a medication) or another medical condition (e.g., hypothyroidism).

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

suffering from this disorder to receive the treatment they need to relieve their suffering and improve their functioning.

Disruptive Mood Dysregulation Disorder

Children and adolescents are being diagnosed with bipolar disorder at greatly increasing rates over the past several years. In fact, from 1995 to 2005, the diagnosis of bipolar disorder in children increased 40-fold overall and has quadrupled in U.S. community hospitals (up to 40%) (Leibenluft & Rich, 2008; Moreno et al., 2007). Why the increase? Many clinicians are now using much broader diagnostic criteria that would not correspond to current definitions of bipolar I or bipolar II disorder, but rather fall under the relatively vague category of bipolar disorder not otherwise specified (NOS) and include children with chronic irritability, anger, aggression, hyperarousal, and frequent temper tantrums that are not limited to an occasional episode (as might be the case if the child were cycling into a manic episode, since irritability sometimes accompanies discrete manic episodes).

But the most important observation is that these children show no evidence of periods of elevated mood (mania), which has been a requirement for a diagnosis of bipolar disorder (Liebenluft, 2011). Additional research demonstrated that these children with chronic and severe irritability and difficulty regulating their emotions resulting in frequent temper tantrums are at increased risk for additional depressive and anxiety disorders rather than manic episodes and that there is no evidence of excessive rates of bipolar disorder in their families, which one would expect if this condition were truly bipolar disorder. It was also recognized that this severe irritability is more common than bipolar disorder but has not been well studied (Brotman et al., 2006). This irritability is associated with substantial suffering in the children themselves, reflecting as it does chronically high rates of negative affect and marked disruption of family life. Though these broader definitions of symptoms do display some similarities with more classic bipolar disorder symptoms (Biederman et al., 2005; Biederman et al., 2000), the danger is that these children are being misdiagnosed when they might better meet criteria for more classic diagnostic categories, such as ADHD or conduct disorder (see Chapter 14). In that case, the very potent drug treatments for bipolar disorder with substantial side effects would pose more risks for these children than they would benefit. But these cases also differ from more typical conduct or ADHD conditions as well, since it is the intense negative affect that seems to be driving the irritability and marked inability to regulate mood. In view of the distinctive features of this condition reviewed above, it seemed very important to better describe these children up to 12 years of age as suffering from a diagnosis termed **disruptive mood dysregulation disorder** rather than have them continue to be mistakenly diagnosed with bipolar disorder or perhaps conduct disorder (Roy, Lopes, & Klein, 2014). Criteria for this new disorder are presented in *DSM-5* Table 7.6. In one case seen at our clinic, a nine-year-old girl we will call Betsy was brought in by her father for evaluation for severe anxiety. The father described a situation in which Betsy, although a very bright child from an upper middle-class family who had done well in school, was continually irritable and increasingly unable to get along at home, engaging in intense arguments, particularly with her mother, at the slightest provocation.

Her mood would then deteriorate into a full-blown aggressive temper tantrum and she would run to her room and on occasion begin throwing things. She began refusing to eat meals with the family, since bitter arguments would often arise and it just became easier to allow her to eat in her room. Since nothing else seemed to work to calm her down, her father resorted to something he used to do when she was a baby: take her for a long ride in the family car. After a while, Betsy would begin to relax but during one long ride turned to her father and said, “Daddy, please help me feel better, because if I keep feeling like this I just want to die.”

Adults with a history of disruptive mood dysregulation disorder are at increased risk for developing mood and anxiety disorders as well as many other adverse health outcomes (Copeland, Shanahan, Egger, Angold, & Costello, 2014). Therefore, a very important objective for the immediate future will be developing and evaluating treatments for this difficult condition, both

TABLE 7.6
Diagnostic Criteria for Disruptive Mood Dysregulation Disorder

- DSM 5**
- A.** Severe recurrent temper outburst manifested verbally (e.g., verbal rages) and/or behaviorally (e.g., physical aggression toward people or property) that are grossly out of proportion in intensity or duration to the situation or provocation.
 - B.** The temper outbursts are inconsistent with developmental level.
 - C.** The temper outbursts occur, on average, three or more times per week.
 - D.** The mood between temper outbursts is persistently irritable or angry most of the day, nearly every day, and is observable by others (e.g., parents, teachers, peers).
 - E.** Criteria A–D have been present for 12 or more months. Throughout that time, the individual has not had a period lasting 3 or more consecutive months without all of the symptoms in Criteria A–D.
 - F.** Criteria A and D are present in at least two of three settings (i.e., at home, at school, with peers) and are severe in at least one of these.
 - G.** The diagnosis should not be made for the first time before age 6 years or after age 18 years.
 - H.** By history or observation, the age at onset of Criteria A–E is before 10 years.
 - I.** There has never been a distinct period lasting more than 1 day during which the full symptom criteria, except duration, for a manic or hypomanic episode have been met.
 - J.** The behaviors do not occur exclusively during an episode of major depressive disorder and are not better explained by another mental disorder (e.g., autism spectrum disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder, separation anxiety disorder, persistent depressive disorder [dysthymia]).
 - K.** The symptoms are not attributable to the physiological effects of a substance or to another medical or neurological condition.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

psychological and drug. For example, it is very possible that new psychological treatments under development for severe emotional dysregulation in children may be useful with this condition (Ehrenreich, Goldstein, Wright, & Barlow, 2009).

Bipolar Disorders

The key identifying feature of bipolar disorders is the tendency of manic episodes to alternate with major depressive episodes in an unending roller-coaster ride from the peaks of elation to the depths of despair. Beyond that, bipolar disorders are parallel in many ways to depressive disorders. For example, a manic episode might occur only once or repeatedly. Consider the case of Jane.

Jane... Funny, Smart, and Desperate

Jane was the wife of a well-known surgeon and the loving mother of three children. The family lived in an old country house on the edge of town with plenty of room for family members and pets. Jane was nearly 50; the older children had moved out; the youngest son, 16-year-old Mike, was having substantial academic difficulties in school and seemed anxious. Jane brought Mike to the clinic to find out why he was having problems.

As they entered the office, I observed that Jane was well dressed, neat, vivacious, and personable; she had a bounce to her step. She began talking about her wonderful and successful family before she and Mike even reached their seats. Mike, by contrast, was quiet and reserved. He seemed resigned and perhaps relieved that he would have to say little during the session. By the time Jane sat down, she had mentioned the personal virtues and material achievement of her husband, and the brilliance and beauty of one of her older children, and she was proceeding to describe the second child. But before she finished, she noticed a book on anxiety disorders and, having read voraciously on the subject, began a litany of various anxiety-related problems that might be troubling Mike.

In the meantime, Mike sat in the corner with a small smile on his lips that seemed to be masking considerable distress and uncertainty over what his mother might do next. It became clear as the interview progressed that Mike suffered from obsessive-compulsive disorder, which disturbed his concentration both in and out of school. He was failing all his courses.

It also became clear that Jane herself was in the midst of a hypomanic episode, evident in her unbridled enthusiasm, grandiose perceptions, “uninterruptable” speech, and report that she needed little sleep these days. She was also easily distracted, as when she quickly switched from describing her children to the book on the table. When asked about her own psychological state, Jane readily admitted that she was a “manic depressive” (the old name for bipolar disorder) and that she alternated rather rapidly between feeling on top of the world and feeling depressed; she was taking medication for her condition. I immediately wondered if Mike’s obsessions had anything to do with his mother’s condition.

Mike was treated intensively for his obsessions and compulsions but made little progress. He said that life at home was difficult when his mother was depressed. She sometimes went to bed and stayed there for 3 weeks. During this time, she seemed to be in a depressive stupor, essentially unable to move for days. It was up to the children to care for themselves and their mother, whom they fed by hand. Because the older children had now left home, much of the burden had fallen on Mike. Jane’s profound depressive episodes would remit after about 3 weeks, and she would immediately enter a hypomanic episode that might last several months or more. During hypomania, Jane was mostly funny, entertaining, and a delight to be with—if you could get a word in edgewise. Consultation with her therapist, an expert in the area, revealed that he had prescribed a number of medications but was so far unable to bring her mood swings under control. •

Jane suffered from **bipolar II disorder**, in which major depressive episodes alternate with hypomanic episodes rather than full manic episodes. As we noted earlier, hypomanic episodes are less severe. Although she was noticeably “up,” Jane functioned pretty well while in this mood state. The criteria for **bipolar I disorder** are the same, except the individual experiences a full manic episode. As in the criteria set for major depressive disorder, for the manic episodes to be considered separate, there must be a symptom-free period of at least 2 months between them. Otherwise, one episode is seen as a continuation of the last.

The case of Billy illustrates a full manic episode. This individual was first encountered when he was admitted to a hospital.

Billy... The World's Best at Everything

Before Billy reached the ward, you could hear him laughing and carrying on in a deep voice; it sounded as if he was having a wonderful time. As the nurse brought Billy down the hall to introduce him to the staff, he spied the Ping-Pong table. Loudly, he exclaimed, “Ping-Pong! I love Ping-Pong! I have only played twice, but that is what I am going to do while I am here; I am going to become the world’s greatest Ping-Pong player! And that table is gorgeous! I am going to start work on that table immediately and make it the finest Ping-Pong table in the world. I am going to sand it down, take it apart, and rebuild it until it gleams and every angle is perfect!” Billy soon went on to something else that absorbed his attention.

The previous week, Billy had emptied his bank account, taken his credit cards and those of his elderly parents with whom he was living, and bought every piece of fancy stereo equipment he could find. He thought that he would set up the best sound studio in the city and make millions of dollars by renting it to people who would come from far and wide. This episode had precipitated his admission to the hospital. •

During manic or hypomanic phases, patients often deny they have a problem, which was characteristic of Billy. Even after spending inordinate amounts of money or making foolish business decisions, these individuals, particularly if they are in the midst of a full manic episode, are so wrapped up in their enthusiasm and expansiveness that their behavior seems reasonable to them. The high during a manic state is so pleasurable that people may stop taking their medication during periods of distress or discouragement in an attempt to bring on a manic state again; this is a serious challenge to professionals.

Returning to the case of Jane, we continued to treat Jane's son Mike for several months. We made little progress before the school year ended. Because Mike was doing so poorly, the school administrators informed his parents that he would not be accepted back the next year. Mike and his parents wisely decided it might be a good idea if he got away from the house and did something different for a while, and he began working and living at a ski and tennis resort. Several months later, his father called to tell us that Mike's obsessions and compulsions had completely lifted since he'd been away from home. The father thought Mike should continue living at the resort, where he had entered school and was doing better academically. He now agreed with our previous assessment that Mike's condition might be related to his relationship with his mother. Several years later, we heard that Jane, in a depressive stupor, had killed herself, an all-too-tragic outcome in bipolar disorder.

A milder but more chronic version of bipolar disorder is called **cyclothymic disorder** (Akiskal, 2009; Parker, McCraw, & Fletcher, 2012). Cyclothymic disorder is a chronic alternation of mood elevation and depression that does not reach the severity of manic or major depressive episodes. Individuals with cyclothymic disorder tend to be in one mood state or the other for years with relatively few periods of neutral (or euthymic) mood. This pattern must last for at least 2 years (1 year for children and adolescents) to meet criteria for the disorder. Individuals with cyclothymic disorder alternate between the kinds of mild depressive symptoms Jack experienced during his dysthymic states and the sorts of hypomanic episodes Jane experienced. In neither case was the behavior severe enough to require hospitalization or immediate intervention. Much of the time, such individuals are just considered moody. However, the chronically fluctuating mood states are, by definition, substantial enough to interfere with functioning. Furthermore, people with cyclothymia should be treated because of their increased risk to develop the more severe bipolar I or bipolar II disorder (Akiskal, 2009; Goodwin & Jamison, 2007; Otto & Applebaum, 2011; Parker et al., 2012).

Additional Defining Criteria for Bipolar Disorders

For depressive disorders, we discussed additional defining criteria that may or may not accompany a mood disorder and noted that it was important to identify these specifiers or symptoms in order to plan the most effective treatment. All of these same specifiers apply to bipolar disorders (see *DSM-5* Table 7.5). Specifically, the catatonic features specifier applies mostly to major depressive episodes, though rarely may apply to a manic episode. The psychotic

features specifier may apply to manic episodes, during which it is common to have delusions of grandeur. The anxious distress specifier is also present in bipolar disorders, as it is in depressive disorders. New to *DSM-5* is the "mixed features" specifier which, as in depressive disorders, is meant to describe the major depressive or manic episode that has some symptoms from the opposite polarity—for example, a depressive episode with some manic symptoms. The seasonal pattern specifier may also apply to bipolar disorders. In the usual presentation, individuals may become depressed during the winter and manic during the summer. Finally, manic episodes may occur surrounding, but mostly after, childbirth in the peripartum period.

Just as for depression, it is important to determine if a patient suffering from a manic episode has had episodes of major depression or mania in the past as well as whether the individual has fully recovered between past episodes. Just as it is important to determine if persistent depressive disorder preceded a major depressive episode (double depression), it is also important to determine if cyclothymia preceded the onset of bipolar disorder. This is because the presence of cyclothymia predicts a decreased chance for a full inter-episode recovery (Akiskal, 2009).

Rapid-Cycling Specifier

There is one specifier that is unique to bipolar I and II disorders: rapid-cycling specifier. Some people move quickly in and out of depressive or manic episodes. An individual with bipolar disorder

DSM **5** **TABLE 7.7**
Diagnostic Criteria for Cyclothymic Disorder

- A.** For at least 2 years (at least 1 year in children and adolescents) there have been numerous periods with hypomanic symptoms that do not meet criteria for a hypomanic episode and numerous periods with depressive symptoms that do not meet criteria for a major depressive episode.
- B.** During the above 2-year period (1 year in children and adolescents), the hypomanic and depressive periods have been present for at least half the time and the individual has not been without the symptoms for more than 2 months at a time.
- C.** Criteria for a major depressive, manic, or hypomanic episode have never been met.
- D.** The symptoms in criterion A are not better explained by schizoaffective disorder, schizophrenia, schizopreniform disorder, delusional disorder, or other specified or unspecified schizophrenia spectrum and other psychotic disorder.
- E.** The symptoms are not attributable to the physiological effects of a substance (e.g., a drug of abuse, a medication) or another medical condition (e.g., hyperthyroidism).
- F.** The symptoms cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.

Specify if:

With anxious distress

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

who experiences at least four manic or depressive episodes within a year is considered to have a rapid-cycling pattern, which appears to be a severe variety of bipolar disorder that does not respond well to standard treatments (Angst, 2009; Kupka et al., 2005; Schneck et al., 2004; Schneck et al., 2008). Coryell and colleagues (2003) demonstrated a higher probability of suicide attempts and more severe episodes of depression in 89 patients with a rapid-cycling pattern compared with a non-rapid-cycling group. Kupka and colleagues (2005) and Nierenberg and colleagues (2010) also found these patients' symptoms were more severe on a number of measures. Some evidence indicates that alternative drug treatment, such as anticonvulsants and mood stabilizers, rather than antidepressants may be more effective with this group of patients (Kilzieh & Akiskal, 1999).

Approximately 20% to 50% of bipolar patients experience rapid cycling. From 60% to 90% are female, a higher rate than in other variations of bipolar disorder (see, for example, Altshuler et al., 2010; Coryell et al., 2003; Kupka et al., 2005; Schneck et al., 2004), and this finding is consistent across 10 studies (Kilzieh & Akiskal, 1999). In most cases, rapid cycling tends to increase in frequency over time and can reach severe states in which patients cycle between mania and depression without any break. When this direct transition from one mood state to another happens, it is referred to as *rapid switching* or *rapid mood switching* and is a particularly treatment-resistant form of the disorder (MacKinnon, Zandi, Gershon, Nurnberger, & DePaulo, 2003; Maj, Pirozzi, Magliano, & Bartoli, 2002). Interestingly, one precipitant of rapid cycling may be taking antidepressant medication, which is prescribed for some individuals with bipolar disorder, because the frequency of rapid cycling is considerably higher among those taking antidepressants compared with those who are not taking them (Schneck et al., 2008). Fortunately, rapid cycling does not seem to be permanent, because only 3% to 5% of patients continue with rapid cycling across a 5-year period (Schneck et al., 2008), with 80% returning to a non-rapid-cycling pattern within 2 years (Coryell et al., 2003). There are also cases of *ultra-rapid* cycle lengths that only last for days to weeks and *ultra-ultra-rapid* cycling in cases where cycle lengths are less than 24 hours (Wilk & Hegerl, 2010). In ultra-ultra-rapid cycling, switches into depression occurred at night and switches into mania occurred at daytime, suggesting that for patients with mood cycles of 48 hours or less, the switch process is closely linked to circadian aspects.

Onset and Duration

The average age of onset for bipolar I disorder is from 15 to 18 and for bipolar II disorder from 19 and 22, although cases of both

Bipolar Disorder: Mary



Abnormal Psychology: Inside Out, Vol. 111, produced by Ira Wohl, Only Child Motion Pictures

"Whoa, whoa, whoa—on top of the world! . . . It's going to be one great day! . . . I'm incognito for the Lord God Almighty. I'm working for him. I have been for years. I'm a spy. My mission is to fight for the American way . . . the Statue of Liberty. . . . I can bring up the wind, I can bring the rain, I can bring the sunshine, I can do lots of things. . . . I love the outdoors."

Go to MindTap at
www.cengagebrain.com
to watch this video.

can begin in childhood (Angst, 2009; Judd et al., 2003; Merikangas & Pato, 2009). This is somewhat younger than the average age of onset for major depressive disorder, and bipolar disorders begin more acutely; that is, they develop more suddenly (Angst & Sellaro, 2000; Johnson et al., 2009). About one third of the cases of bipolar disorder begin in adolescence, and the onset is often preceded by minor oscillations in mood or mild cyclothymic mood swings (Goodwin & Jamison, 2007; Merikangas et al., 2007). Between 10% and 25% of people with bipolar II disorder will progress to full bipolar I disorder (Birmaher et al., 2009; Coryell et al., 1995).

Though unipolar and bipolar disorder have been thought distinct disorders, Angst and Sellaro (2000), in reviewing some older studies, estimated the rate of depressed individuals later experiencing a full manic episode at closer to 25%. And Cassano and colleagues (2004), along with Akiskal (2006) and Angst and colleagues (2010), found that as many as 67.5% of patients with unipolar depression experienced some manic symptoms. These studies raise questions about the true distinction between unipolar depression and bipolar disorder and suggest they may be on a continuum (called a "spectrum" in psychopathology) (Johnson et al., 2009; Merikangas et al., 2011).

It is relatively rare for someone to develop bipolar disorder after the age of 40. Once it does appear, the course is chronic; that is, mania and depression alternate indefinitely. Therapy usually involves managing the disorder with ongoing drug regimens that prevent recurrence of episodes. Suicide is an all-too-common consequence of bipolar disorder, almost always occurring during depressive episodes, as it did in the case of Jane (Angst, 2009; Valtonen et al., 2007). A large Swedish study showed that, on average, people with bipolar disorder died 8 to 9 years earlier of various medical diseases and suicide compared to the general population (Crump, Sundquist, Winkleby, & Sundquist, 2013). However, when patients receive treatment early, the mortality rate was comparable to that of the general population. Bipolar disorder is associated with a high risk of suicide attempts and suicide death, the latter being associated with male sex and having a first-degree relative who committed suicide (Schaffer et al., 2015). The risk of suicide is not limited to Western countries but occurs in countries around the world (Merikangas et al., 2011).

In typical cases, cyclothymia is chronic and lifelong. In about one third to one half of patients, cyclothymic mood swings develop into full-blown bipolar disorder (Kochman et al., 2005; Parker et al., 2012). In one sample of cyclothymic patients, 60% were female, and the age of onset was often during the teenage years or before, with some data suggesting the most common age of onset to be 12 to 14 years (Goodwin & Jamison, 2007). The disorder is often not recognized, and sufferers are thought to be

high-strung, explosive, moody, or hyperactive (Akiskal, 2009; Goodwin & Jamison, 2007). One subtype of cyclothymia is based on the predominance of mild depressive symptoms, one on the predominance of hypomanic symptoms, and another on an equal distribution of both.

TABLE 7.8
Diagnostic Criteria for Bipolar II Disorder

DSM
5

- A.** Criteria have been met for at least one hypomanic episode *and* at least one major depressive episode. Criteria for a hypomanic episode are identical to those for a manic episode (see DSM-5 Table 7.2), with the following distinctions: 1) Minimum duration is 4 days; 2) Although the episode represents a definite change in functioning, it is not severe enough to cause marked social or occupational impairment or hospitalization; 3) There are no psychotic features.
- B.** There has never been a manic episode.
- C.** The occurrence of the hypomanic episode(s) and major depressive episode(s) is not better explained by schizoaffective disorder, schizophrenia, schizophreniform disorder, delusional disorder, or other specified or unspecified schizophrenia spectrum and other psychotic disorder.
- D.** The symptoms of depression or the unpredictability caused by frequent alternation between periods of depression and hypomania causes clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.

Specify current or most recent episode:

Hypomanic: If currently (or most recently) in a hypomanic episode
Depressed: If currently (or most recently) in a major depressive episode

Specify if:

- With anxious distress
With mixed features
With rapid cycling
With mood-congruent psychotic features
With mood-incongruent psychotic features
With catatonia
With peripartum onset
With seasonal pattern
Specify course if full criteria for a mood episode are not currently met:
In full remission, in partial remission
Specify severity if full criteria for a mood episode are currently met:
Mild, moderate, severe

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

Prevalence of Mood Disorders

Several large epidemiological studies estimating the prevalence of mood disorders have been carried out in recent years (Kessler & Bromet, 2013; Kessler & Wang, 2009; Merikangas & Pato, 2009). The best estimates of the worldwide prevalence of mood disorders suggest that approximately 16% of the population experience major depressive disorder over a lifetime and approximately 6% have experienced a major depressive disorder in the last year (Hasin et al., 2005; Kessler et al., 2003; Kessler, Chiu, Demler, & Walters, 2005). The prevalence rates for the combination of persistent depressive disorder and chronic major depression are approximately 3.5%, both for lifetime and in the past year (Kessler

& Wang, 2009). And for bipolar disorder, the estimates are 1% lifetime prevalence and 0.8% during the past year (Merikangas & Pato, 2009; Merikangas et al., 2011). The similarity of the lifetime and past-year rates for persistent depressive disorder (dysthymia) and bipolar disorders reflects the fact that these disorders are chronic conditions that last much of one's life. Studies indicate that women are twice as likely to have mood disorders as men (Kessler, 2006; Kessler & Wang, 2009), but the imbalance in prevalence between males and females is accounted for solely by major depressive disorder and persistent depressive disorder (dysthymia), because bipolar disorders are distributed approximately equally across gender (Merikangas & Pato, 2009). Although equally prevalent, there are some sex-based differences in bipolar disorder. As noted above,

women are more likely than men to experience rapid cycling, but also to be anxious, and to be in a depressive phase rather than a manic phase (Altshuler et al., 2010). It is interesting that the prevalence of major depressive disorder and persistent depressive disorder (dysthymia) is significantly lower among blacks than among whites (Hasin et al., 2005), although, again, no differences appear in bipolar disorders. One study of major depressive disorder in a community sample of African Americans found a prevalence of 3.1% during the previous year (Brown, Ahmed, Gary, & Milburn, 1995), and another found a prevalence of 4.52% during the previous year (Hasin et al., 2005), compared with 5.53% among whites. Fair or poor health status was the major predictor of depression in African Americans. Few of these individuals received appropriate treatment, with only 11% coming in contact with a mental health professional (Brown et al., 1995). Native Americans, on the other hand, present with a significantly higher prevalence of depression (Hasin et al., 2005), although difficulties in translating the concept of depression to Native American cultures suggest this finding needs more study (Beals et al., 2005; Kaufmann et al., 2013; see section later in this chapter on culture).

Prevalence in Children, Adolescents, and Older Adults

Estimates on the prevalence of mood disorders in children and adolescents vary widely, although more sophisticated studies are beginning to appear. The general conclusion is that depressive disorders occur less often in prepubertal children than in adults but rise dramatically in adolescence (Brent & Birmaher, 2009; Garber et al., 2009; Kessler et al., 2012; Rohde et al., 2013; Rudolph, 2009). Among children ages 2 to 5, rates of major depression are about 1.5% and a bit lower later in childhood (Garber et al., 2009), but as many as 20% to 50% of children experience some depressive symptoms that are not frequent or severe enough to meet diagnostic criteria, though are nevertheless impairing (Kessler, Avenevoli, & Ries Merikangas, 2001; Rudolph, 2009). Adolescents experience major depressive disorder about as often as adults (Kessler et al.,

2012; Rohde et al., 2013; Rudolph, 2009). In children, the sex ratio for depressive disorders is approximately 50:50, but this changes dramatically in adolescence. Major depressive disorder in adolescents is largely a female disorder (we'll further discuss sex differences in depression later in the chapter), as it is in adults, with puberty and greater interpersonal stress in adolescent girls than boys seemingly triggering this sex imbalance (Garber & Carter, 2006; Garber, Clarke et al., 2009; Hamilton, Stange, Abramson, & Alloy, in press; Nolen-Hoeksema & Hilt, 2009). Interestingly, this sex imbalance is not evident for more mild depression.

The overall prevalence of major depressive disorder for individuals over 65 is about half that of the general population (Blazer & Hybels, 2009; Byers, Yaffe, Covinsky, Friedman, & Bruce, 2010; Fiske, Wetherell, & Gatz, 2009; Hasin et al., 2005; Kessler et al., 2003), perhaps because stressful life events that trigger major depressive episodes decrease with age. But milder symptoms that do not meet criteria for major depressive disorder seem to be more common among the elderly (Beekman et al., 2002; Gotlib & Nolan, 2001), and may be associated with illness and infirmity (Delano-Wood & Abeles, 2005; Alexopoulos, 2005).

Bipolar disorder seems to occur at about the same rate (1%) in childhood and adolescence as in adults (Brent & Birmaher, 2009; Kessler et al., 2012; Merikangas & Pato, 2009). The rates of diagnosis of bipolar disorder in clinics has increased substantially, however, due to greater interest and a controversial tendency described above to broaden the diagnostic criteria in children to include what will now be subsumed under disruptive mood dysregulation disorder. Considering the chronicity and seriousness of mood disorders (Gotlib & Hammen, 2009), the prevalence in all age groups is high indeed, demonstrating a substantial impact not only on the affected individuals and their families but also on society.

Life Span Developmental Influences on Mood Disorders

The prevalence of mood disorders varies with age, and age and development also impact many of the characteristics of mood disorders. We review and highlight these developmental characteristics—first for children and adolescents, and then for older adults. You might assume that depression requires some experience with life, that an accumulation of negative events or disappointments might create pessimism, which then leads to depression. Like many reasonable assumptions in psychopathology, this one is not uniformly correct. There is some evidence that 3-month-old babies can become depressed! Infants of depressed mothers display marked depressive behaviors (sad faces, slow movement, lack of responsiveness) even when interacting



Among adolescents, severe major depressive disorder occurs mostly in girls.



Children of depressed mothers may show depressive behavior at less than a year old.

with a nondepressed adult (Garber et al., 2009; Guedeney, 2007). Whether this behavior or temperament is caused by a genetic tendency inherited from the mother, the result of early interaction patterns with a depressed mother or primary caregiver, or a combination is not yet clear.

Most investigators agree that mood disorders are fundamentally similar in children and in adults (Brent & Birmaher, 2009; Garber et al., 2009; Weiss & Garber, 2003). Therefore, no “childhood” mood disorders in *DSM-5* are specific to a developmental stage, with the exception of disruptive mood dysregulation disorder which can be diagnosed only up to 12 years of age. This is unlike the anxiety disorders in which a number of conditions occur only early in development. It seems clear, however, that the “look” of depression changes with age. For example, children under 3 years of age might manifest depression by sad facial expressions, irritability, fatigue, fussiness, and tantrums, as well as by problems with eating and sleeping. In the extreme, this could develop into disruptive mood dysregulation disorder. In children between the ages of 9 and 12, many of these features would not occur. Also, for preschool children (6 years old and under), Luby and colleagues (2003) report the necessity of setting aside the strict 2-week duration requirement for major depression, because it is normal for mood to fluctuate at this young age. However, once depression develops, it cannot be expected that children simply “grow out of it” (Luby, 2012). For example, a recent study by Luby and colleagues (2014) found that preschool depression was a significant and robust predictor for later depression at the ages of 6 to 13. Preschool depression was also a risk factor for other problems, such as anxiety disorders and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) at school age. These results are consistent with an earlier study showing that emotional and behavioral problems in general at age 3 were associated with an almost fivefold greater risk of having an emotional or behavioral disorder at age 6. Conversely, more than 50% of children who met criteria for a disorder at age 6 already had clinically significant problems by age 3 (Bufferd et al., 2012).

As far as mania is concerned, children under the age of 9 seem to present with more irritability and emotional swings as compared with classic manic states, particularly irritability (Fields & Fristad, 2009; Leibenluft & Rich, 2008), but it is also important to recognize that irritability alone is insufficient to diagnose mania, because it is associated with many different types of problems in childhood (it is nonspecific to mania). “Emotional swing,” or oscillating manic states that are less distinct than in adults, may also be characteristic of children, as are brief or rapid-cycling manic episodes lasting only part of a day (Youngstrom, 2009).

One developmental difference between children and adolescents compared with adults concerns patterns of comorbidity. For example, childhood depression (and mania) is often associated with and sometimes misdiagnosed as ADHD or, more often, conduct disorder in which aggression and even destructive behavior are common (Fields & Fristad, 2009; Garber et al., 2009). Conduct disorder and depression often co-occur in bipolar disorder. But, once again, many of these children might now meet criteria for disruptive mood dysregulation disorder, which would better account for this comorbidity. In any case, successful treatment of the underlying depression (or spontaneous recovery) may resolve

the associated ADHD or conduct disorder in these patients. Adolescents with bipolar disorder may also become aggressive, impulsive, sexually provocative, and accident prone (Carlson & Meyer, 2006; Carlson & Klein, 2014).

Whatever the presentation, mood disorders in children and adolescents are serious because of their likely consequences (Garber et al., 2009). Fergusson and Woodward (2002), in a large prospective study, identified 13% of a group of 1,265 adolescents who developed major depressive disorder between 14 and 16 years of age. Later, between ages 16 and 21, this group was significantly at risk for occurrence of major depression, anxiety disorders, nicotine dependence, suicide attempts, and drug and alcohol abuse, as well as educational underachievement and early parenting, compared with adolescents who were not depressed. Weissman and colleagues (1999) identified a group of 83 children with an onset of major depressive disorder before puberty and followed them for 10 to 15 years. Generally, there was also a poor adult outcome in this group, with high rates of suicide attempts and social impairment, compared with children without major depressive disorder. Interestingly, these prepubertal children were more likely to develop substance abuse or other disorders as adults than to continue with their depression, unlike adolescents with major depressive disorder. Fergusson, Horwood, Ridder, and Beauvais (2005) found that extent and severity of depressive symptoms as an adolescent predicted extent of depression and suicidal behaviors as an adult. Clearly, becoming depressed as a child or adolescent is a dangerous, threatening event to be treated immediately or prevented if possible. Fortunately, CBT can effectively prevent the onset of depressive episodes in at-risk youth (Beardslee et al., 2013)

Age-Based Influences on Older Adults

The problem of depression in the elderly has only been considered fairly recently (Blazer, 2003; Wang & Blazer, 2015; Wittchen, 2012). Some studies estimate that 14% to 42% of nursing home residents may experience major depressive episodes (Djernes, 2006; Fiske et al., 2009). In one large study, depressed elderly patients between 56 and 85 years of age were followed for 6 years; approximately 80% did not remit but continued to be depressed (or cycled in and out of depression) even if their depressive symptoms were not severe enough to meet diagnostic criteria for a disorder (Beekman et al., 2002). Late-onset depressions are associated with marked sleep difficulties, illness anxiety disorder (anxiety focused on possibly being sick or injured in some way), and agitation (Baldwin, 2009). It can be difficult to diagnose depression in older adults, because elderly people who become physically ill or begin to show signs of dementia might become depressed about it, but the signs of depression or mood disorder would be attributed to the illness or dementia and thus missed (see, for example, Blazer & Hybels, 2009; Delano-Wood & Abeles, 2005). As many as 50% of patients with Alzheimer’s disease suffer from comorbid depression, which makes life more difficult for their families (Lyketsos & Olin, 2002; Modrego, 2010).

Anxiety disorders accompany depression in from one third to one half of elderly patients, particularly generalized anxiety disorder and panic disorder (Fiske et al., 2009; Lenze et al., 2000),

and when they do, patients are more severely depressed. In DSM-5 as described above, clinicians now must specify the presence and severity of anxiety when diagnosing a mood disorder because of the implications for severity and course of the mood disorder, as well as treatment. One third will also suffer from comorbid alcohol abuse (Devanand, 2002). Several studies have shown that entering menopause also increases rates of depression among women who have never previously been depressed (Cohen, Soares, Vitonis, Otto, & Harlow, 2006; Freeman, Sammel, Lin, & Nelson, 2006). This may be because of biological factors, such as hormonal changes, or the experience of distressing physical symptoms or other life events occurring during this period. Depression can also contribute to physical disease and death in the elderly (Blazer & Hybels, 2009). Being depressed doubles the risk of death in elderly patients who have suffered a heart attack or stroke (Schulz, Drayer, & Rollman, 2002; Whooley & Wong, 2013). Wallace and O'Hara (1992), in a longitudinal study, found that elderly citizens became increasingly depressed over a 3-year period. They suggest, with some evidence, that this trend is related to increasing illness and reduced social support; in other words, as we become frailer and more alone, the psychological result is depression, which increases the probability that we will become even frailer and have even less social support (Wittchen, 2012). Bruce (2002) confirmed that death of a spouse, caregiving burden for an ill spouse, and loss of independence because of medical illness are among the strongest risk factors for depression in this age group. This vicious cycle is deadly, because suicide rates are higher in older adults than in any other age group (Conwell, Duberstein, & Caine, 2002), although rates have been decreasing lately (Blazer & Hybels, 2009). In contrast, optimism prevents depression after medical illnesses and promotes longevity. For example, Galatzer-Levy and Bonanno (2014) studied more than 2,000 older adults from 6 years prior to their first heart attack to 4 years after their first heart attack. People were more likely to die if they became depressed after their first heart attack, as compared with people who did not become depressed. However, optimism measured before the heart attack distinguished all people who became depressed from those who did not become depressed after the first heart attack, suggesting that optimism prospectively predicted depression and thereby also mortality following the first heart attack. Approximately half of the sample in this study was male, and the results were similar in both sexes.

The earlier gender imbalance in depression lessens considerably after the age of 65. In early childhood, boys are more likely to be depressed than girls, but an overwhelming surge of depression in adolescent girls produces an imbalance in the sex ratio that is maintained until old age, when just as many women are depressed but increasing numbers of men are also affected (Fiske et al., 2009). From the perspective of the life span, this is the first time since early childhood that the sex ratio for depression is more closely balanced.

Across Cultures

We noted the strong tendency of anxiety to take somatic (physical) forms in some cultures; instead of talking about fear, panic, or general anxiety, many people describe stomachaches, chest

pains or heart distress, and headaches. Much the same tendency exists across cultures for mood disorders (Kim & Lopez, 2014), which is not surprising given the close relationship of anxiety and depression (Kessler and Bromet, 2013). Feelings of weakness or tiredness particularly characterize depression that is accompanied by mental or physical slowing or retardation (Kleinman, 2004; Ryder et al., 2008). Some cultures have their own idioms for depression; for instance, the Hopi, a Native American tribe, say they are "heartbroken" (Manson & Good, 1993), whereas aboriginal men in central Australia who are clearly depressed attribute it to weakness or injury of the spirit (Brown, Scales, et al., 2012).

Although somatic symptoms that characterize mood disorders seem roughly equivalent across cultures, it is difficult to compare subjective feelings. The way people think of depression may be influenced by the cultural view of the individual and the role of the individual in society (Kleinman, 2004; Ryder et al., 2008). For example, in societies that focus on the *individual* instead of the *group*, it is common to hear statements such as, "I feel blue" or "I am depressed." In cultures where the individual is tightly integrated into the larger group, however, someone might say, "Our life has lost its meaning," referring to the group in which the individual resides (Manson & Good, 1993).

In specific locations, prevalence of depression can differ dramatically. A structured interview was used by Kinzie, Leung, Boehnlein, and Matsunaga (1992) to determine the percentage of adult members of a Native American village who met criteria for mood disorders. The lifetime prevalence for any mood disorder was 19.4% in men, 36.7% in women, and 28% overall, approximately 4 times higher than in the general population. Examined by disorder, almost all the increase is accounted for by greatly elevated rates of major depression. Findings in the same village for substance abuse are similar to the results for major depressive disorder (see Chapter 11). Hasin and colleagues (2005) found a somewhat lower overall percentage of 19.17% in a different village, which was still 1.5 times higher than the percentage found in Caucasians, a significant difference. Beals and colleagues (2005), on the other hand, reported a considerably lower prevalence in two tribes they studied, perhaps because of differences in interviewing methods or because conditions and culture can differ greatly from tribe to tribe. Still, appalling social and economic conditions on many reservations fulfill all requirements for chronic major life stress, which is so strongly related to the onset of mood disorders, particularly major depressive disorder.

Among Creative Individuals

Early in the history of the United States, Benjamin Rush, one of the signers of the Declaration of Independence and a founder of American psychiatry, observed something curious:

From a part of the brain preternaturally elevated, but not diseased, the mind sometimes discovers not only unusual strengths and acuteness, but certain talents it never exhibited before. Talents for eloquence, poetry, music and painting, and uncommon ingenuity in several of the mechanical arts, are often evolved in this state of madness." (Rush, 1812, p. 153)



Tinseltown/Shutterstock.com

Musician Demi Lovato was diagnosed with bipolar disorder while being treated for other mental health problems.

As you can see, all almost certainly had bipolar disorder. Many committed suicide. These 8 poets are among the 36 born in the 20th century who are represented in *The New Oxford Book of American Verse*, a collection reserved for the most distinguished poets in the country. It is certainly striking that about 20% of these 36 poets exhibited bipolar disorder, given the population prevalence of slightly less than 1%. Goodwin and Jamison (2007) think that 20% is probably a conservative estimate, because the 28 remaining poets have not been studied in sufficient detail to determine whether they also suffered from bipolar disorder. Andreasen (1987) reported results similar to those shown in Table 7.3 in a study of 30 other creative writers, and Kaufman (2001, 2002) observed that this effect was far more common in female poets, even when compared with other artists or leaders. Why female

This clinical observation has been made many times for thousands of years and applies not only to creativity but also to leadership. Aristotle pointed out that leading philosophers, poets, politicians, and artists all have tendencies toward “melancholia” (Ludwig, 1995).

Is there truth in the enduring belief that genius is allied to madness? Several researchers, including Kay Redfield Jamison and Nancy Andreasen, have attempted to find out. The results are surprising. Table 7.3 lists a group of famous American poets, many of whom won the coveted Pulitzer Prize.

poets in particular? Kaufman and Baer (2002) wonder whether the independent and sometimes rebellious qualities associated with creativity might be more stressful in a society that puts demands on women to be supportive and affiliative.

Many artists and writers, whether suspected of mood disorders or not, speak of periods of inspiration when thought processes quicken, moods lift, and new associations are generated (Jamison, 1989, 1993). Perhaps something inherent in manic states fosters creativity, and recent studies confirm that creativity is specifically associated with manic episodes and not depressive states (Soeiro-de-Souza, Dias, Bio, Post, & Moreno, 2011). On the other hand, it is possible that the genetic vulnerability to mood disorders is independently accompanied by a predisposition to creativity (Richards, Kinney, Lunde, Benet, & Merzel, 1988). In other words, the genetic patterns associated with bipolar disorder may also carry the spark of creativity. Subsequent studies have confirmed heightened creativity in bipolar (but not unipolar) patients on tests of creativity, even when not afflicted by manic or depressive states and functioning normally (referred to as being euthymic) (Santosa et al., 2007; Srivastava et al., 2010; Strong et al., 2007). These ideas need further confirmation, but the study of creativity and leadership, so highly valued in all cultures, may well be enhanced by a deeper understanding of “madness” (Goodwin & Jamison, 2007; Ludwig, 1995).

Causes of Mood Disorders

In Chapter 2, we described *equifinality* as the same product resulting from possibly different causes. Just as there may be many reasons for a fever, there may also be a number of reasons for depression. For example, a depressive disorder that arises in winter has a different precipitant than a severe depression following a death, even though the episodes might look quite similar. Nevertheless, psychopathologists are identifying biological, psychological, and social factors that seem strongly implicated in the

TABLE 7.3 Partial Listing of Major 20th-Century American Poets, Born between 1895 and 1935, with Documented Histories of Manic-Depressive Illness (Bipolar Disorder)

Poet	Pulitzer Prize in Poetry	Treated for Major Depressive Illness	Treated for Mania	Committed Suicide
Hart Crane (1899–1932)		×	×	×
Theodore Roethke (1908–1963)	×	×	×	
Delmore Schwartz (1913–1966)		×	×	
John Berryman (1914–1972)	×	×	×	×
Randall Jarrell (1914–1965)		×	×	×
Robert Lowell (1917–1977)	×	×	×	
Anne Sexton (1928–1974)	×	×	×	×
Sylvia Plath* (1932–1963)	×	×		

*Plath, although not treated for mania, was probably bipolar II.

Source: Goodwin, F. K., & Jamison, K. R. (1990). *Manic depressive illness*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

etiology of mood disorders, whatever the precipitating factor. An integrative theory of the etiology of mood disorders considers the interaction of biological, psychological, and social dimensions and notes the strong relationship of anxiety and depression. Before describing these interactions, we review evidence pertaining to each contributing factor.

presented in ● Figure 7.3 (McGuffin et al., 2003). As you can see, an identical twin is 2 to 3 times more likely to present with a mood disorder than a fraternal twin if the first twin has a mood disorder (66.7% of identical twins compared with 18.9% of fraternal twins if the first twin has bipolar disorder; 45.6% versus 20.2% if the first twin has unipolar disorder). But notice that if one identical twin has unipolar disorder, the chances of a co-twin having bipolar disorder are slim to none. In a large meta-analysis of twin studies, Sullivan et al. (2000) estimated the heritability of depression to be 37%. Shared environmental factors have little influence, whereas 63% of the variance in depression can be attributed to non-shared environmental factors.

Two reports have appeared suggesting sex differences in genetic vulnerability to depression. Bierut and colleagues (1999) studied 2,662 twin pairs in the Australian twin registry and found the characteristically higher rate of depressive disorders in women. Estimates of heritability in women ranged from 36% to 44%, consistent with other studies. But estimates for men were lower and ranged from 18% to 24%. These results mostly agree with an important study of men in the United States by Lyons and colleagues (1998). The authors conclude that environmental events play a larger role in causing depression in men than in women.

Note from the studies just described that bipolar disorder confers an increased risk of developing some mood disorder in close


Biological Dimensions

Conducting research to determine the genetic contribution to a particular disorder or class of disorders is complex and difficult to do. But several traditional strategies—such as family studies and twin studies—can help us estimate this contribution.

Familial and Genetic Influences

In *family studies*, we look at the prevalence of a given disorder in the first-degree relatives of an individual known to have the disorder (the *proband*). We have found that, despite wide variability, the rate in relatives of probands with mood disorders is consistently about 2 to 3 times greater than in relatives of controls who don't have mood disorders (Lau & Eley, 2010; Klein, Lewinsohn, Rohde, Seeley, & Durbin, 2002; Levinson, 2009). Increasing severity, recurrence of major depression, and earlier age of onset in the proband is associated with the highest rates of depression in relatives (Kendler, Gatz, Gardner, & Pedersen, 2007; Klein et al., 2002; Weissman et al., 2005).

The best evidence that genes have something to do with mood disorders comes from *twin studies*, in which we examine the frequency with which identical twins (with identical genes) have the disorder, compared with fraternal twins, who share only 50% of their genes (as do all first-degree relatives). If a genetic contribution exists, the disorder should be present in identical twins to a much greater extent than in fraternal twins. A number of twin studies suggest that mood disorders are heritable (see, for example, Hodgson & McGuffin, 2013; Kendler, Neale, Kessler, Heath, & Eaves, 1993; McGuffin et al., 2003). One strong study is



● FIGURE 7.3

Co-occurrence of types of mood disorders in twins for unipolar (UPD) and bipolar (BPD) affective disorder (AD). Source: Adapted from McGuffin, P., Rijsdijk, F., Andrew, M., Sham, P., Katz, R., & Cardno, A. (2003). The heritability of bipolar affective disorder and the genetic relationship to unipolar depression. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 60, 497–502, © 2003 American Medical Association.

relatives, but not necessarily bipolar disorder. This conclusion supports an assumption noted previously that bipolar disorder may simply be a more severe variant of mood disorders rather than a fundamentally different disorder. Then again, of identical twins both having (concordant for) a mood disorder, 80% are also concordant for polarity. In other words, if one identical twin is unipolar, there is an 80% chance the other twin is unipolar as opposed to bipolar. This finding suggests these disorders may be inherited separately and therefore be separate disorders after all (Nurnberger, 2012; Nurnberger & Gershon, 1992).

McGuffin and colleagues (2003) conclude that both points are partially correct. Basically, they found that the genetic contributions to depression in both disorders are the same or similar but that the genetics of mania are distinct from depression. Thus, individuals with bipolar disorder are genetically susceptible to depression and independently genetically susceptible to mania. This hypothesis still requires further confirmation.

Although these findings do raise continuing questions about the relative contributions of psychosocial and genetic factors to mood disorders, overwhelming evidence suggests that such disorders are familial and almost certainly reflect an underlying genetic vulnerability, particularly for women. As described in some detail in Chapter 2 (see pp. 33–40), studies are now beginning to identify different patterns of genes that may confer this vulnerability, at least for some types of depression (Bradley et al., 2008; Caspi et al., 2003; Garlow, Boone, Li, Owens, & Nemeroff, 2005; Kendler, Aggen, & Neale, 2013; Levinson, 2009; Nurnberger, 2012). In this complex field, it is likely that many additional patterns of gene combinations will be found to contribute to varieties of depression.

In conclusion, the best estimates of genetic contributions to depression fall in the range of approximately 40% for women but seem to be significantly less for men (around 20%). Genetic contributions to bipolar disorder seem to be somewhat higher. This means that from 60% to 80% of the causes of depression can be attributed to environmental factors. Also, recent findings underscore the enormous heterogeneity of genetic associations with any mental disorder. So these percentages (40% for women, 20% for men) may not reflect any one pattern of genetic contribution associated with specific groups of genes but perhaps many different patterns from different groups of genes (Kendler, Jaffee, & Roemer, 2011; McClellan & King, 2010). For example, one of our most distinguished behavioral geneticists, Ken Kendler, has recently reported, along with his colleagues, that three separate genetic factors underlie the syndrome of major depression with one factor associated with cognitive and psychomotor symptoms, a second factor associated with mood, and a third factor with neurovegetative (melancholic) symptoms (Kendler et al., 2013). As we noted in Chapter 4, behavioral geneticists break down environmental factors into events shared by twins (experiencing the same upbringing in the same house and, perhaps, experiencing the same stressful events) and events not shared. What part of our experience causes depression? There is wide agreement that it is the unique, nonshared events rather than the shared ones that interact with biological vulnerability to cause depression (Lau & Eley, 2010; Plomin, DeFries, McClearn, & Rutter, 1997).

Depression and Anxiety: Same Genes?

Although most studies have looked at specific disorders in isolation, a growing trend is to examine the heritability of related groups of disorders. Evidence supports the assumption of a close relationship among depression, anxiety, and panic (as well as other emotional disorders). For example, data from family studies indicate that the more signs and symptoms of anxiety and depression there are in a given patient, the greater the rate of anxiety, depression, or both in first-degree relatives and children (Hudson et al., 2003; Leyfer & Brown, 2011). In several important reports from a major set of data on more than 2,000 female twins, Kendler and his colleagues (Kendler, Heath, Martin, & Eaves, 1987; Kendler, Neale, Kessler, Heath, & Eaves, 1992b; Kendler et al., 1995) found that the same genetic factors contribute to both anxiety and depression. Social and psychological explanations seemed to account for the factors that differentiate anxiety from depression rather than genes. These findings again suggest that, with the possible exception of mania, the biological vulnerability for mood disorders may not be specific to that disorder but may reflect a more general predisposition to anxiety or mood disorders, or, more likely to a basic temperament underlying all emotional disorders, such as neuroticism (Barlow et al., 2013). The specific form of the disorder would be determined by unique psychological, social, or additional biological factors (Kilpatrick et al., 2007; Rutter, 2010; Slavich & Irwin, 2014).

Neurotransmitter Systems

Mood disorders have been the subject of more intense neurobiological study than almost any other area of psychopathology, with the possible exception of schizophrenia. In Chapter 2, we observed that we now know that neurotransmitter systems have many subtypes and interact in many complex ways with one another and with neuromodulators (products of the endocrine system). Research implicates low levels of serotonin in the causes of mood disorders, but only in relation to other neurotransmitters, including norepinephrine and dopamine (see, for example, Thase, 2005, 2009). Remember that the apparent primary function of serotonin is to regulate our emotional reactions. For example, we are more impulsive, and our moods swing more widely, when our levels of serotonin are low. This may be because one of the functions of serotonin is to regulate systems involving norepinephrine and dopamine. According to the “permissive” hypothesis, when serotonin levels are low, other neurotransmitters are “permitted” to range more widely, become dysregulated, and contribute to mood irregularities, including depression. Current thinking is that the balance of the various neurotransmitters and their interaction with systems of self-regulation are more important than the absolute level of any one neurotransmitter (Carver, Johnson, & Joormann, 2009; Whisman, Johnson, & Smolen, 2011; Yatham et al., 2012).

In the context of this delicate balance, there is continued interest in the role of dopamine, particularly in relationship to manic episodes, atypical depression, or depression with psychotic features (Dunlop & Nemeroff, 2007; Garlow & Nemeroff, 2003; Thase, 2009). For example, the dopamine agonist L-dopa seems to produce hypomania in bipolar patients (see, for instance, Van Praag

& Korf, 1975), along with other dopamine agonists (Silverstone, 1985). Chronic stress also reduces dopamine levels and produces depressive-like behavior (Thase, 2009). But, as with other research in this area, it is quite difficult to pin down any relationships with certainty.

The Endocrine System

During the past several years, most attention has shifted away from a focus on neurotransmitters to the endocrine system and the “stress hypothesis” of the etiology of depression (Nemeroff, 2004). This hypothesis focuses on overactivity in the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenocortical (HPA) axis (discussed later), which produces stress hormones. Again, notice the similarity with the description of the neurobiology of anxiety in Chapter 5 (see, for example, Barlow et al., 2014; Britton & Rauch, 2009; Charney & Drevets, 2002). Investigators became interested in the endocrine system when they noticed that patients with diseases affecting this system sometimes became depressed. For example, hypothyroidism, or Cushing’s disease, which affects the adrenal cortex, leads to excessive secretion of cortisol and often to depression (and anxiety).

In Chapter 2, and again in Chapter 5, we discussed the brain circuit called the HPA axis, beginning in the hypothalamus and running through the pituitary gland, which coordinates the endocrine system (see Figure 2.10, p. 49). Investigators have also discovered that neurotransmitter activity in the hypothalamus regulates the release of hormones that affect the HPA axis. These **neurohormones** are an increasingly important focus of study in psychopathology (see, for example, Garlow & Nemeroff, 2003; Hammen & Keenan-Miller, 2013; Nemeroff, 2004; Thase, 2009). There are thousands of neurohormones. Sorting out their relationship to antecedent neurotransmitter systems (as well as determining their independent effects on the central nervous system) is likely to be a complex task indeed. One of the glands influenced by the pituitary is the cortical section of the adrenal gland, which produces the stress hormone cortisol that completes the HPA axis. Cortisol is called a *stress hormone* because it is elevated during stressful life events. (We discuss this system in more detail in Chapter 9.) For now, it is enough to know that cortisol levels are elevated in depressed patients, a finding that makes sense considering the relationship between depression and severe life stress (Barlow et al., 2014; Bradley et al., 2008; Thase, 2009).

This connection led to the development of what was thought to be a biological test for depression, the *dexamethasone suppression test (DST)*. Dexamethasone is a glucocorticoid that suppresses cortisol secretion in normal participants. When this substance was given to patients who were depressed, however, much *less* suppression was noticed than in normal participants, and what did occur didn’t last long (Carroll, Martin, & Davies, 1968; Carroll et al., 1980). Approximately 50% of depressed patients show this reduced suppression, particularly if their depression is severe (Rush et al., 1997). The thinking was that in depressed patients, the adrenal cortex secreted enough cortisol to overwhelm the suppressive effects of dexamethasone. This theory was heralded as important, because it promised the first biological laboratory test for a psychological disorder. However, later research demonstrated that individuals with other disorders, particularly

anxiety disorders, also demonstrate nonsuppression (Feinberg & Carroll, 1984; Goodwin & Jamison, 2007), which eliminated its usefulness as a test to diagnose depression.

Over the past decade, research has taken some exciting turns. Recognizing that stress hormones are elevated in patients with depression (and anxiety), researchers have begun to focus on the consequences of these elevations. Preliminary findings indicate that these hormones can be harmful to neurons in that they decrease a key ingredient that keeps neurons healthy and growing. You saw in Chapter 5 on anxiety disorders that individuals experiencing heightened levels of stress hormones over a long period undergo some shrinkage of a brain structure called the *hippocampus*. The hippocampus, among other things, is responsible for keeping stress hormones in check and serves important functions in facilitating cognitive processes such as short-term memory. But the new finding, at least in animals, is that long-term overproduction of stress hormones makes the organism unable to develop new neurons (neurogenesis). Thus, some theorists suspect that the connection between high stress hormones and depression is the suppression of neurogenesis in the hippocampus (Gasper, Schoenfeld, & Gould, 2012; Heim, Plotsky, & Nemeroff, 2004; Snyder, Soumier, Brewer, Pickel, & Cameron, 2011; Thase, 2009). Evidence reveals that healthy girls at risk for developing depression because their mothers suffer from recurrent depression have reduced hippocampal volume compared with girls with nondepressed mothers (Chen, Hamilton, & Gotlib, 2010). This finding suggests that low hippocampal volume may precede and perhaps contribute to the onset of depression. Scientists have already observed that successful treatments for depression, including electroconvulsive therapy, seem to produce neurogenesis in the hippocampus, thereby reversing this process (Duman, 2004; Santarelli et al., 2003; Sapolsky, 2004). More recently it has been demonstrated in animal laboratories that exercise increases neurogenesis, which could possibly be one mechanism of action in successful psychological treatments utilizing exercise, such as behavioral activation described below (Speisman, Kumar, Rani, Foster, & Ormerod, 2013). Despite strong preliminary evidence, this is just a theory that must now undergo the slow process of scientific confirmation.

Sleep and Circadian Rhythms

We have known for several years that sleep disturbances are a hallmark of most mood disorders. Most important, in people who are depressed, there is a significantly shorter period after falling asleep before *rapid eye movement (REM) sleep* begins. As you may remember from your introductory psychology or biology course, there are two major stages of sleep: REM sleep and non-REM sleep. When we first fall asleep, we go through several substages of progressively deeper sleep during which we achieve most of our rest. After about 90 minutes, we begin to experience REM sleep, when the brain arouses, and we begin to dream. Our eyes move rapidly back and forth under our eyelids—hence the name *rapid eye movement sleep*. As the night goes on, we have increasing amounts of REM sleep. (We discuss the process of sleep in more detail in Chapter 8.) In addition to entering REM sleep more quickly, depressed patients experience REM activity that is more

intense, and the stages of deepest sleep, called *slow wave sleep*, don't occur until later, if at all (Jindal et al., 2002; Kupfer, 1995; Thase, 2009). It seems that some sleep characteristics occur only while we are depressed and not at other times (Riemann, Berger, & Voderholzer, 2001; Rush et al., 1986). But other evidence suggests that, at least in more severe cases with recurrent depression, disturbances in sleep continuity, as well as reduction of deep sleep, may be present even when the individual is not depressed (Kupfer, 1995; Thase, 2009). In addition, unusually short and long sleep durations were associated with an increased risk for depression in adults (Zhai, Zhang, & Zhang, 2015).

Sleep pattern disturbances in depressed children are less pronounced than in adults, perhaps because children are very deep sleepers, illustrating once again the importance of developmental stage to psychopathology (Brent & Birmaher, 2009; Garber et al., 2009). But sleep disturbances are even more severe among depressed older adults. In fact, insomnia, frequently experienced by older adults, is a risk factor for both the onset and persistence of depression (Fiske et al., 2009; Perlis et al., 2006; Talbot et al., 2012). In an interesting study, researchers found that treating insomnia directly in those patients who have both insomnia and depression may enhance the effects of treatment for depression (Manber et al., 2008). Sleep disturbances also occur in bipolar patients, where they are particularly severe and are characterized not only by decreased REM latency but also by severe insomnia and hypersomnia (excessive sleep) (Goodwin & Jamison, 2007; Harvey, 2008; Harvey, Talbot, & Gershon, 2009). Talbot and colleagues (2012) studied the relationship between sleep and mood in patients with bipolar disorder who were not currently in a depressed or manic state (inter-episode), compared with a group of patients suffering from insomnia. Both the bipolar and insomnia patients had greater sleep disturbance compared with a healthy control group. But the investigators discovered that the relationship between sleep and mood was bidirectional in both groups. That is, negative mood predicted sleep disruptions and sleep disruptions subsequently resulted in negative mood. Treating the insomnia of bipolar I patients between episodes with CBT has been shown to reduce the risk of relapse and improve sleep, mood, and functioning (Harvey et al., 2015). Thus, it seems that the relationship between sleep and mood may cut across different diagnoses and that treating sleep disruptions directly might positively affect mood not only in insomnia but also in mood disorders.

Another interesting finding is that depriving depressed patients of sleep, particularly during the second half of the night, causes temporary improvement in their condition (Giedke & Schwarzler, 2002; Thase, 2009), particularly for patients with bipolar disorder in a depressive state (Johnson et al., 2009; Harvey, 2008), although the depression returns when the patients start sleeping normally again. In any case, because sleep patterns reflect a biological rhythm, there may be a relationship among SAD, sleep disturbances in depressed patients, and a more general disturbance in biological rhythms (Soreca, Frank, & Kupfer, 2009). This would not be surprising if it were true, because most mammals are exquisitely sensitive to day length at the latitudes at which they live, and this "biological clock" controls eating, sleeping, and weight changes. Thus, substantial disruption in circadian rhythm

might be particularly problematic for some vulnerable individuals (Moore, 1999; Sohn & Lam, 2005; Soreca et al., 2009).

Finally, abnormal sleep profiles and, specifically, disturbances in REM sleep and poor sleep quality predict a somewhat poorer response to psychological treatment (Buysse et al., 1999; Thase, 2009; Thase, Simons, & Reynolds, 1996), further supporting the potential usefulness of treating disrupted sleep directly.

Additional Studies of Brain Structure and Function

Measuring electrical activity in the brain with electroencephalogram (EEG) was described in Chapter 3, where we also described a type of brain wave activity, alpha waves, that indicate calm, positive feelings. In the 1990s, Davidson (1993) and Heller and Nitschke (1997) demonstrated that depressed individuals exhibit greater right-sided anterior activation of their brains, particularly in the prefrontal cortex (and less left-sided activation and, correspondingly, less alpha wave activity) than nondepressed individuals (Davidson, Pizzagalli, Nitschke, & Putnam, 2002). Furthermore, right-sided anterior activation was also found in patients who are no longer depressed (Gotlib, Ranganath, & Rosenfeld, 1998; Tomarken & Keener, 1998), suggesting this brain function might also exist *before* the individual becomes depressed and represent a vulnerability to depression. Follow-up studies showed that adolescent offspring of depressed mothers tend to show this pattern, compared with offspring of nondepressed mothers (Tomarken, Dichter, Garber, & Simien, 2004), also suggesting that this type of brain functioning could become an indicator of a biological vulnerability to depression (Gotlib & Abramson, 1999). Interestingly and in contrast, one recent study suggests that bipolar spectrum patients (individuals with sub-threshold swings in mood) show elevated rather than diminished relative left-frontal EEG activity and that this brain activity predicts the onset of a full bipolar I disorder (Nusslock et al., 2012). In addition to studying the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus, neuroscientists are also studying the anterior cingulate cortex and the amygdala for clues to understanding brain function in depression and finding that some areas are less active, and other areas more active, in people with depression than in normals, confirming the EEG studies mentioned above (Davidson, Pizzagalli, & Nitschke, 2009). These areas of the brain are all interconnected and seem to be associated with increased inhibition as well as deficits in pursuing desired goals, which happen to be characteristics of depression. Scientists hope that further study of these brain circuits will lead to a deeper understanding of the origins of differences in depressed individuals, and whether these differences precede depression and may contribute to causing depression as some studies suggest, or are simply a consequence of being depressed.

Psychological Dimensions

Thus far we have reviewed genetic and biological factors, including findings from studies of neurotransmitters, the endocrine system, sleep and circadian rhythms, and relative activity in certain areas of the brain associated with depression. But these factors are all inextricably linked to psychological and social dimensions where

scientists are also discovering strong associations with depression. We now review some of these findings.

Stressful Life Events

Stress and trauma are among the most striking unique contributions to the etiology of all psychological disorders. This is reflected throughout psychopathology and is evident in the wide adoption of the diathesis–stress model of psychopathology presented in Chapter 2 (and referred to throughout this book), which describes possible genetic and psychological vulnerabilities. But in seeking what activates this vulnerability (diathesis), we usually look for a stressful or traumatic life event.


Stress and Depression

You would think it would be sufficient to ask people whether anything major had happened in their lives before they developed depression or some other psychological disorder. Most people who develop depression report losing a job, getting divorced, having a child, or graduating from school and starting a career. But, as with most issues in the study of psychopathology, the significance of a major event is not easily discovered (Carter & Garber, 2011; Hammen, 2005; Hammen & Keenan-Miller, 2013; Monroe & Reid, 2009; Monroe, Slavich, & Georgiades, 2009), so most investigators have stopped simply asking patients whether something bad (or good) happened and have begun to look at the context of the event, as well as the meaning it has for the individual.

For example, losing a job is stressful for most people, but it is far more difficult for some than others. A few people might even see it as a blessing. If you were laid off as a manager in a large corporation because of a restructuring but your wife is the president of another corporation and makes more than enough money to support the family, it might not be so bad. Furthermore, if you are an aspiring writer or artist who has not had time to pursue your art, becoming jobless might be the opportunity you have been waiting for, particularly if your wife has been telling you for years to devote yourself to your creative pursuits.

Now consider losing your job if you are a single mother of two young children living from paycheck to paycheck and, on account of a recent doctor's bill, you have to choose between paying the electric bill or buying enough food. The stressful life event is the same, but the context is different and transforms the significance of the event substantially. To complicate the scenario further, think for a minute about how various women in this situation might react to losing their job. One woman might well decide she is a total failure and thus become unable to carry on and provide for her children. Another woman might realize the job loss was not her fault and take advantage of a job training program while scraping by somehow. Thus, both the context of the life event and its meaning are important. This approach to studying life events, developed by George W. Brown (1989b) and associates in England, is represented in ● Figure 7.4.

Brown's study of life events is difficult to carry out, and the methodology is still evolving. Psychologists such as Scott Monroe and Constance Hammen (Hammen, 2005; Monroe et al., 2009; Monroe, Rohde, Seeley, & Lewinsohn, 1999; Dohrenwend



● FIGURE 7.4

Context and meaning in life stress situations. (Reprinted, with permission, from Brown, G. W. [1989b]. Life events and measurement. In G. W. Brown & T. O. Harris, Eds., *Life events and illness*. New York, NY: Guilford Press, © 1989 New York, NY: Guilford Press.)

& Dohrenwend, 1981) have developed new methods. One crucial issue is the bias inherent in remembering events. If you ask people who are currently depressed what happened when they first became depressed more than 5 years ago, you will probably get answers different from those that they would give if they were not currently depressed. Because current moods distort memories, many investigators have concluded that the only useful way to study stressful life events is to follow people *prospectively*, to determine more accurately the precise nature of events and their relation to subsequent psychopathology.

In any case, in summarizing a large amount of research, it is clear that stressful life events are strongly related to the onset of mood disorders (Grant, Compas, Thurm, McMahon, & Gipson, 2004; Hammen, 2005; Kendler & Gardner, 2010; Monroe et al., 2009; Monroe & Reid, 2009). Measuring the context of events and their impact in a random sample of the population, a number of studies have found a marked relationship between severe and, in some cases, traumatic life events and the onset of depression (Brown, 1989a; Brown, Harris, & Hepworth, 1994; Kendler et al., 1999b; Mazure, 1998). Severe events precede all types of depression except, perhaps, for a small group of patients with melancholic or psychotic features who are experiencing subsequent episodes where depression emerges in the absence of life events (Brown et al., 1994). For example, childhood sexual abuse (in addition to a family history of depression and anxiety disorders) is a significant predictor of a first onset of depression in young adults (Klein et al., 2013). In addition, for people with recurrent depression, the clear occurrence of a severe life stress before or early in the latest episode predicts a poorer response to treatment and a longer time before remission (Monroe et al., 2009; Monroe, Kupfer, & Frank, 1992), as well as a greater likelihood of recurrence (Monroe et al., 2009; Monroe, Roberts, Kupfer, & Frank, 1996). Although the context and meaning are often more important than the exact nature of the event itself, there are some events that are particularly likely to lead to depression. One of them is the breakup of a relationship, which is difficult for both adolescents (Carter & Garber, 2011; Monroe, Rohde, Seeley, & Lewinsohn, 1999) and adults (Kendler, Hettema, Butera, Gardner, & Prescott, 2003). Kendler and colleagues (2003) demonstrated in an elegant twin study that if one twin experienced a loss, such as the death of a

loved one, that twin was 10 times more likely to become depressed than the twin who didn't experience the loss. But if one twin is also humiliated by the loss, as when, for example, a boyfriend or husband leaves the twin for a best friend and the twin still sees them all the time, then that twin would be 20 times more likely to get depressed than a twin with the same genes who didn't experience the event. Scientists have confirmed that humiliation, loss, and social rejection are the most potent stressful life events likely to lead to depression (Monroe et al., 2009).

Clearly there is a strong relationship between stress and depression, and scientists are discovering that the cause-and-effect connection between the two might go both ways. Remember in Chapter 2 where we noted that our genetic endowment might increase the probability that we will experience stressful life events? We referred to this as the *gene-environment correlation model* (Kendler, 2011; Kendler, Jaffee, & Roemer, 2011). One example would be people who tend to seek difficult relationships because of genetically based personality characteristics that then lead to depression. Kendler and colleagues (1999a) report that about one third of the association between stressful life events and depression is not the usual arrangement where stress triggers depression but rather individuals vulnerable to depression who are placing themselves in high-risk stressful environments, such as difficult relationships or other risky situations where bad outcomes are common. What is important about the reciprocal model is that it can happen both ways in the same individual; stress triggers depression, and depressed individuals create or seek stressful events. Interestingly, if you ask mothers, they tend to say their depressed adolescents created the problem, but adolescents blame the stressful event itself (Carter, Garber, Cielsa, & Cole, 2006; Eley, 2011). According to the reciprocal model, the truth lies somewhere between these two views. Moreover, the relative importance of the contribution of genetic factors versus environmental effects seems to differ depending on age: Whereas heritability depression (and anxiety) symptoms is high during childhood, the importance of environmental effects increases with age (Nivard et al., 2015).

Stress and Bipolar Disorder

The relationship of stressful events to the onset of episodes in bipolar disorder is also strong (Alloy & Abramson, 2010; Goodwin & Jamison, 2007; Johnson, Gruber, & Eisner, 2007; Johnson et al., 2008). Several issues may be particularly relevant to the causes of bipolar disorders, however (Goodwin & Ghaemi, 1998). First, typically negative stressful life events trigger depression, but a somewhat different, more positive, set of stressful life events seems to trigger mania (Alloy et al., 2012; Johnson et al., 2008). Specifically, experience associated with striving to achieve important goals, such as getting accepted into graduate school, obtaining a new job or promotion, getting married, or any goal striving activity for popularity or financial success trigger mania in vulnerable individuals (Alloy et al., 2012). Second, stress seems to initially trigger mania and depression, but as the disorder progresses, these episodes seem to develop a life of their own. In other words, once the cycle begins, a psychological or pathophysiological process takes over and ensures the disorder will continue (see, for example, Post, 1992; Post et al., 1989). Third,

some precipitants of manic episodes seem related to loss of sleep, as in the postpartum period (Goodwin & Jamison, 2007; Harvey, 2008; Soreca et al., 2009) or as a result of jet lag—that is, disturbed circadian rhythms (Alloy, Nusslock, & Boland, 2015). In most cases of bipolar disorder, nevertheless, stressful life events are substantially indicated not only in provoking relapse but also in preventing recovery (Alloy, Abramson, Urosevic, Bender, & Wagner, 2009; Johnson & Miller, 1997).

Finally, although almost everyone who develops a mood disorder has experienced a significant stressful event, most people who experience such events do not develop mood disorders. Although the data are not yet as precise as we would like, somewhere between 20% and 50% of individuals who experience severe events develop mood disorders. Thus, between 50% and 80% of individuals do *not* develop mood disorders or, presumably, any other psychological disorder. Again, data strongly support the interaction of stressful life events with some kind of vulnerability: genetic, psychological, or, more likely, a combination of the two influences (Barlow, 2002; Haeffel & Hames, 2014; Kendler, Kuhn, Vittum, Prescott, & Riley, 2005; Thase, 2009).

Given a genetic vulnerability (diathesis) and a severe life event (stress), what happens then? Research has isolated a number of psychological and biological processes. To illustrate one, let's return to Katie. Her stressful life event was attending a new school. Katie's feeling of loss of control leads to another important psychological factor in depression: learned helplessness.

Katie... No Easy Transitions

I was a serious and sensitive 11-year-old at the edge of puberty and at the edge of an adventure that many teens and preteens embark on—the transition from elementary to junior high school. A new school, new people, new responsibilities, new pressures. Academically, I was a good student up to this point, but I didn't feel good about myself and generally lacked self-confidence.

Katie began to experience severe anxiety reactions. Then she became quite ill with the flu. After recovering and attempting to return to school, Katie discovered that her anxieties were worse than ever. More important, she began to feel she was losing control.

As I look back, I can identify events that precipitated my anxieties and fears, but then everything seemed to happen suddenly and without cause. I was reacting emotionally and physically in a way that I didn't understand. I felt out of control of my emotions and body. Day after day I wished, as a child does, that whatever was happening to me would magically end. I wished that I would awake one day to find that I was the person I was several months before. •

Learned Helplessness

As discussed in Chapter 2, Martin Seligman discovered that dogs and rats have an interesting emotional reaction to events over which they have no control. If rats receive occasional shocks, they

can function reasonably well as long as they can cope with the shocks by doing something to avoid them, such as pressing a lever. But if they learn that nothing they do helps them avoid the shocks, they eventually become helpless, give up, and manifest an animal equivalent of depression (Seligman, 1975).

Do humans react the same way? Seligman suggests we seem to, but only under one important condition: People become anxious and depressed when they decide that they have no control over the stress in their lives (Abramson, Seligman, & Teasdale, 1978; Miller & Norman, 1979). These findings evolved into an important model called the **learned helplessness theory of depression**. Often overlooked is Seligman's point that anxiety is the first response to a stressful situation. Depression may follow marked hopelessness about coping with the difficult life events (Barlow, 1988, 2002). The depressive attributional style is (1) *internal*, in that the individual attributes negative events to personal failings ("it is all my fault"); (2) *stable*, in that, even after a particular negative event passes, the attribution that "additional bad things will always be my fault" remains; and (3) *global*, in that the attributions extend across a variety of issues. Research continues on this interesting concept, but you can see how it applies to Katie. Early in her difficulties with attending school, she began to believe events were out of her control and that she was unable even to begin to cope. More important, in her eyes the bad situation was all her fault: "I blamed myself for my lack of control." A downward spiral into a major depressive episode followed.

But a major question remains: Is learned helplessness a cause of depression or a correlated side effect of becoming depressed? If it were a cause, learned helplessness would have to exist *before* the depressive episode. Results from a classic 5-year longitudinal study of children shed some light on this issue. Nolen-Hoeksema, Girgus, and Seligman (1992) reported that negative attributional style did not predict later symptoms of depression in young children; rather, stressful life events seemed to be the major precipitant of symptoms. As children under stress grew older, however, they tended to develop more negative cognitive styles, which did tend to predict symptoms of depression in reaction to additional negative events. Nolen-Hoeksema and colleagues speculate that meaningful negative events early in childhood may lead to negative attributional styles, making these children more vulnerable to future depressive episodes when stressful events occur. Indeed, most studies support the finding that negative cognitive styles precede and are a risk factor for depression (Alloy & Abramson, 2006; Garber & Carter, 2006; Garber et al., 2009).

This thinking recalls the types of psychological vulnerabilities theorized to contribute to the development of anxiety disorders (Barlow, 1988, 2002; Barlow et al., 2013). That is, in a person who has a nonspecific genetic vulnerability to either anxiety or depression, stressful life events activate a psychological sense that life events are uncontrollable (Barlow, 2002; Chorpita & Barlow, 1998). Evidence suggests that negative attributional styles are not specific to depression but also characterize people with anxiety (Barlow, 2002; Hankin & Abramson, 2001; Barlow et al., 2013). This may indicate that a psychological (cognitive) vulnerability is no more specific for mood disorders than a genetic vulnerability. Both types of vulnerabilities may underlie numerous disorders.



Jose Luis Pelaez/Iconica/Getty Images

According to the learned helplessness theory of depression, people become depressed when they believe they have no control over the stress in their lives.

Abramson, Metalsky, and Alloy (1989) revised the learned helplessness theory to deemphasize the influence of negative attributions and highlight the development of a sense of hopelessness as a crucial cause of many forms of depression. Attributions are important only to the extent that they contribute to a sense of hopelessness. This fits well with recent thinking on crucial differences between anxiety and depression. Both anxious and depressed individuals feel helpless and believe they lack control, but only in depression do they give up and become hopeless about ever regaining control (Alloy & Abramson, 2006; Barlow, 1991, 2002; Chorpita & Barlow, 1998).

Negative Cognitive Styles

In 1967, Aaron T. Beck (1967, 1976) suggested that depression may result from a tendency to interpret everyday events in a negative way. According to Beck, people with depression make the worst of everything; for them, the smallest setbacks are major catastrophes. In his extensive clinical work, Beck observed that all of his depressed patients thought this way, and he began classifying the types of "cognitive errors" that characterized this style. From the long list he compiled, two representative examples are *arbitrary inference* and *overgeneralization*. Arbitrary inference is evident when a depressed individual emphasizes the negative rather than the positive aspects of a situation. A high school teacher may assume he is a terrible instructor because two students in his class fell asleep. He fails to consider other reasons they might be sleeping (up all night partying, perhaps) and "infers" that his teaching style is at fault. As an example of overgeneralization, when your professor makes one critical remark on your paper, you then assume you will fail the class despite a long string of positive comments and good grades on other papers. You are overgeneralizing from one small remark. According to Beck, people who are depressed think like this all the time. They make cognitive errors in thinking negatively about themselves, their immediate world, and their future, three areas that together are called the **depressive cognitive triad** (see ● Figure 7.5).




FIGURE 7.5

Beck's cognitive triad for depression.

In addition, Beck theorized, after a series of negative events in childhood, individuals may develop a deep-seated *negative schema*, an enduring negative cognitive belief system about some aspect of life (Alloy et al., 2012; Beck, Epstein, & Harrison, 1983; Gotlib & Krasnoperova, 1998; Young, Rygh, Weinberger, & Beck, 2014). In a self-blame schema, individuals feel personally responsible for every bad thing that happens. With a negative self-evaluation schema, they believe they can never do anything correctly. In Beck's view, these cognitive errors and schemas are automatic, that is, not necessarily conscious. Indeed, an individual might not even be aware of thinking negatively and illogically. Thus, minor negative events can lead to a major depressive episode.

A variety of evidence supports a cognitive theory of emotional disorders in general and depression in particular (Gotlib, Joormann, & Foland-Ross, 2014; Hammen & Keenan-Miller, 2013; Ingram, Miranda, & Segal, 2006; Mazure, Bruce, Maciejewski, & Jacobs, 2000). The thinking of depressed individuals is consistently more negative than that of nondepressed individuals (Gotlib & Abramson, 1999; Gotlib et al., 2014) in each dimension of the cognitive triad—the self, the world, and the future (see, for example, Garber & Carter, 2006). Depressive cognitions seem to emerge from distorted and probably automatic methods of processing information. People prone to depression are more likely to recall negative events when they are depressed than when they are not depressed or than are nondepressed individuals (Gotlib et al., 2014).

The implications of this theory are important. By recognizing cognitive errors and the underlying schemas, we can correct them and alleviate depression and related emotional disorders. In developing ways to do this, Beck became the father of cognitive therapy, one of the most important developments in psychotherapy in the past 50 years (see pp. 255–256). Individuals with bipolar disorder also exhibit negative cognitive styles, but with a twist. Cognitive styles in these individuals are characterized by ambitious striving for goals, perfectionism, and self-criticism in addition to the more usual depressive cognitive styles (Alloy & Abramson, 2010; Johnson et al., 2008).

Cognitive Vulnerability for Depression: An Integration

Seligman and Beck developed their theories independently, and good evidence indicates their models are independent in that some people may have a negative outlook (dysfunctional attitudes), whereas others may explain things negatively (hopeless attributes) (Joiner & Rudd, 1996; Spangler, Simons, Monroe, & Thase, 1997). Nevertheless, the basic premises overlap a great deal and considerable evidence suggests depression is always associated with pessimistic explanatory style and negative cognitions. Evidence also exists that cognitive vulnerabilities predispose some people to view events in a negative way, putting them at risk for depression (see, for example, Abela et al., 2011; Alloy et al., 2012; Ingram, Miranda, & Segal, 2006; Reilly-Harrington et al., 1999).

Good evidence supporting this conclusion comes from the Temple-Wisconsin study of cognitive vulnerability to depression conducted by Lauren Alloy and Lyn Abramson (Alloy & Abramson, 2006; Alloy, Abramson, Safford, & Gibb, 2006). University freshmen who were not depressed at the time of the initial assessment were assessed every several months for up to 5 years to determine whether they experienced any stressful life events or diagnosable episodes of depression or other psychopathology. At the first assessment, the investigators determined whether the students were cognitively vulnerable to developing depression or not on the basis of their scores on questionnaires that measure dysfunctional attitudes and hopelessness attributions. Results indicated students at high risk because of dysfunctional attitudes reported higher rates of depression in the past compared with the low-risk group. But the really important results come from the prospective portion of the study. Negative cognitive styles do indicate a vulnerability to later depression. Even if participants had never suffered from depression before in their lives, high-risk participants (who scored high on the measures of cognitive vulnerability) were 6 to 12 times more likely than low-risk participants to experience a major depressive episode. In addition, 16% of the high-risk participants versus only 2.7% of the low-risk participants experienced major depressive episodes, and 46% versus 14% experienced minor depressive symptoms (Alloy & Abramson, 2006). In another important study, Abela and Skitch (2007) demonstrated that children at high risk for depression because of a depressed mother showed depressive cognitive styles when under minor stress, unlike children not at risk. Finally a somewhat frightening recent finding suggests that this cognitive vulnerability to depression can be contagious (Haeffel & Hames, 2014). In this study,

college students who happened to live with roommates with high levels of vulnerability began to develop a similar cognitive style over time and also evidenced increases in depressive symptoms. All of these data suggest that cognitive vulnerabilities to developing depression do exist and, when combined with biological vulnerabilities, create a slippery path to depression.

Social and Cultural Dimensions

A number of social and cultural factors contribute to the onset or maintenance of depression. Among these, marital relationships, gender, and social support are most prominent.

Marital Relations

Depression and bipolar disorder are strongly influenced by interpersonal stress (Sheets & Craighead, 2014; Vrshek-Schallhorn et al., 2015), and especially marital dissatisfaction, as suggested earlier when it was noted that disruptions in relationships often lead to depression (Davila, Stroud, & Starr, 2009). Bruce and Kim (1992) collected data on 695 women and 530 men and then re-interviewed them up to 1 year later. During this period, a number of participants separated from or divorced their spouses, although the majority reported stable marriages. Approximately 21% of the women who reported a marital split during the study experienced severe depression, a rate 3 times higher than that for women who remained married. Nearly 17% of the men who reported a marital split developed severe depression, a rate 9 times higher than that for men who remained married. When the researchers considered only those participants with no history of severe depression, however, 14% of the men who separated or divorced during the period experienced severe depression, as did approximately 5% of the women. In other words, *only the men* faced a heightened risk of developing a mood disorder for the first time immediately following a marital split. Is remaining married more important to men than to women? It would seem so.

Another finding with considerable support is that depression including bipolar disorder, particularly if it continues, may lead to substantial deterioration in marital relationships (Beach, Jones, & Franklin, 2009; Beach, Sandeen, & O'Leary, 1990; Davila et al., 2009; Uebelacker & Whisman, 2006). It is not hard to figure out why. Being around someone who is continually negative, ill tempered, and pessimistic becomes tiring after a while. Because emotions are contagious, the spouse probably begins to feel bad also. These kinds of interactions precipitate arguments or, worse, make the nondepressed spouse want to leave (Joiner & Timmons, 2009; Whisman, Weinstock, & Tolejko, 2006).

But conflict within a marriage seems to have different effects on men and women. Depression seems to cause men to withdraw or otherwise disrupt the relationship. For women,

on the other hand, problems in the relationship most often cause depression. Thus, for both men and women, depression and problems in marital relations are associated, but the causal direction is different (Fincham, Beach, Harold, & Osborne, 1997), a result also found by Spangler, Simons, Monroe, and Thase (1996). Given these factors, Beach, Jones, & Franklin (2009) suggest that therapists treat disturbed marital relationships at the same time as the mood disorder to ensure the highest level of success for the patient and the best chance of preventing future relapses. Individuals with bipolar disorder are less likely to be married at all and more likely to get divorced if they do marry, although those who stay married have a somewhat better prognosis perhaps because their spouses are helpful in regulating their treatments and keeping them on medications (Davila et al., 2009).

Mood Disorders in Women

Data on the prevalence of mood disorders indicate dramatic gender imbalances. Although bipolar disorder is evenly divided between men and women, almost 70% of the individuals with major depressive disorder and persistent depressive disorder (dysthymia) are women (Hankin & Abramson, 2001; Kessler, 2006; Kessler & Bromet, 2013). What is particularly striking is that this gender imbalance is constant around the world, even though overall rates of disorder may vary from country to country (Kessler & Bromet, 2013; Seedat et al., 2009; Weissman & Olfson, 1995; see Figure 7.6). Often overlooked is the similar ratio for most anxiety disorders, particularly panic disorder and generalized anxiety disorder. Women represent an even greater proportion of specific phobias, as we noted in Chapter 2. What could account for this?




FIGURE 7.6

The gender disparity in depression diagnoses worldwide, expressed as odds ratios. These odds ratios express the comparative likelihood of women experiencing a major depressive episode in the past year, relative to men. In Brazil, for example, women are 2.6 times more likely than men to report having had a major depressive episode in the last year. (Adapted from Bromet et al. [2011], p. 11 of 16.)

It may be that gender differences in the development of emotional disorders are strongly influenced by perceptions of uncontrollability (Barlow, 1988; Barlow et al., 2014). If you feel a sense of mastery over your life and the difficult events we all encounter, you might experience occasional stress, but you will not feel the helplessness central to anxiety and mood disorders. The source of these differences is cultural, in the sex roles assigned to men and women in our society. Males are strongly encouraged to be independent, masterful, and assertive; females, by contrast, are expected to be more passive, sensitive to other people, and, perhaps, to rely on others more than males do (needs for affiliation) (Cyranowski, Frank, Young, & Shear, 2000; Hankin & Abramson, 2001). Although these stereotypes are slowly changing, they still describe current sex roles to a large extent. But this culturally induced dependence and passivity may well put women at heightened risk for emotional disorders by increasing their feelings of uncontrollability and helplessness. Evidence has accumulated that parenting styles encouraging stereotypic gender roles are implicated in the development of early psychological vulnerability to later depression or anxiety (Chorpita & Barlow, 1998; Barlow et al., 2013; Suárez et al., 2009), specifically, a smothering, overprotective style that prevents the child from developing initiative. Also interesting is the “sudden surge” in depression among girls mentioned earlier that occurs during puberty. Many thought this might be biologically based. Kessler (2006) notes, however, that low self-esteem emerges quickly in girls in seventh grade if the school system has a seventh- through ninth-grade middle school, but low self-esteem among girls does not emerge until ninth grade when the school has a kindergarten through eighth-grade primary school and a 4-year high school (Simmons & Blyth, 1987). These results suggest that the younger girls just entering a new school, whether it is seventh, ninth, or some other grade, find it stressful. Also, girls who mature early physically have more distress and depression than girls who don’t (Ge, Conger, & Elder, 1996).

Women tend to place greater value on intimate relationships than men, which can be protective if social networks are strong, but it may also put them at risk. Disruptions in such relationships, combined with an inability to cope with the disruptions, seem to be far more damaging to women than to men (Kendler & Gardner, 2014; Nolen-Hoeksema & Hilt, 2009). Cyranowski and associates (2000) note that the tendency for adolescent girls to express aggression by rejecting other girls, combined with a greater sensitivity to rejection, may precipitate more depressive episodes in these adolescent girls compared with boys. Kendler, Myers, and Prescott (2005) also observed that women tend to have larger and more intimate social networks than men and that emotionally supportive groups of friends protect against depression. However, data from Bruce and Kim (1992), reviewed earlier, suggest that if the disruption in a marital relationship reaches the stage of divorce, men who had previously been functioning well are at greater risk for depression.

Another potentially important gender difference has been suggested by Susan Nolen-Hoeksema (1990, 2000; Nolen-Hoeksema, Wisco, & Lyubomirsky, 2008). Women tend to ruminate more than men about their situation and blame themselves for being depressed. This response style predicted the later development of depression when under stress (Abela

& Hankin, 2011). Men tend to ignore their feelings, perhaps engaging in activity to take their minds off them (Addis, 2008). This male behavior may be therapeutic because “activating” people (getting them busy doing something) is a common element of successful therapy for depression (Dimidjian, Martell, Herman-Dunn, & Hubley, 2014; Jacobson, Martell, & Dimidjian, 2001).

Women are at a disadvantage in our society: They experience more discrimination, poverty, sexual harassment, and abuse than do men. They also earn less respect and accumulate less power. Three quarters of the people living in poverty in the United States are women and children. Women, particularly single mothers, have a difficult time entering the workplace. Interestingly, married women employed full time outside the home report levels of depression no greater than those of employed married men. Single, divorced, and widowed women experience significantly more depression than men in the same categories (Davila et al., 2009). This does not necessarily mean that anyone should get a job to avoid becoming depressed. Indeed, for a man or woman, feeling mastery, control, and value in a strongly socially supported role of homemaker and parent should be associated with low rates of depression.

Finally, other disorders may reflect gender role stereotypes but in the opposite direction. Disorders associated with aggressiveness, overactivity, and substance abuse occur far more often in men than in women (Barlow, 1988, 2002). Identifying the reasons for gender imbalances across the full range of psychopathological disorders may prove important in discovering causes of disorders.

Social Support

In Chapter 2, we examined the powerful effect of social influences on our psychological and biological functioning. We cited several examples of how social influences seem to contribute to early death, such as the evil eye, or lack of social support in old age. It is not surprising, then, that social factors influence whether we become depressed (Beach et al., 2009). To take one example, the risk of depression for people who live alone is almost 80% higher than for people who live with others (Pulkki-Råback et al., 2012). In an early landmark study, Brown and Harris (1978) first suggested the important role of social support in the onset of depression. In a study of a large number of women who had experienced a serious life stress, they discovered that only 10% of the women who had a friend in whom they could confide became depressed, compared with 37% of the women who did not have a close supportive relationship. Later prospective studies have also confirmed the importance of social support (or lack of it) in predicting the onset of depressive symptoms at a later time (see, for instance, Joiner, 1997; Kendler, Kuhn et al., 2005; Monroe et al., 2009). The importance of social support in preventing depression holds true in China (Wang, Wang, & Shen, 2006) and every other country in which it has been studied. Other studies have established the importance of social support in speeding recovery from depressive episodes (Keitner et al., 1995; Sherbourne, Hays, & Wells, 1995). In an interesting twist, several studies examined the effects of social support in speeding recovery from both manic and depressive episodes in patients with bipolar disorder, and they came up with a surprising finding. A socially supportive network of friends



© Photographee.eu/Shutterstock.com

Self-blame and rumination may contribute to the higher rates of mood disorders among women compared with men.

and family helped speed recovery from depressive episodes but not from manic episodes (Johnson, Winett, Meyer, Greenhouse, & Miller, 1999; Johnson et al., 2008, 2009). This finding highlights the uniquely different quality of manic episodes (McGuffin et al., 2003). In any case, these and related findings on the importance of social support have led to an exciting new psychological therapeutic approach for emotional disorders called interpersonal psychotherapy, which we discuss later in this chapter.

Let's return again to Katie. In reflecting on her turbulent times and the days when death seemed more rewarding than life, one thing sticks out clearly in her mind:

My parents are the true heroes of these early years. I will always admire their strength, their love, and their commitment. My father is a high school graduate and my mother has an eighth-grade education. They dealt with complicated legal, medical, and psychological issues. They had little support from friends or professionals, yet they continued to do what they believed best. In my eyes, there is no greater demonstration of courage and love.

Katie's parents did not have the social support that might have helped them through these difficult years, but they gave it to Katie. We return to her case later.

An Integrative Theory

How do we put all this together? Basically, depression and anxiety may often share a common, genetically determined biological vulnerability (Barlow, 2002) that can be described as an overactive neurobiological response to stressful life events. One genetic pattern implicated in this vulnerability is in the serotonin transporter gene-linked polymorphic region described earlier. Again, this vulnerability is simply a general tendency to develop depression (or anxiety) rather than a specific vulnerability for depression or anxiety itself. To understand the causes of depression, we must look at psychological vulnerabilities as well as life experiences that interact with genetic vulnerabilities.

People who develop mood disorders also possess a psychological vulnerability experienced as feelings of inadequacy for coping

with the difficulties confronting them as well as depressive cognitive styles. As with anxiety, we may develop this sense of control in childhood (Barlow, 2002; Chorpita & Barlow, 1998). It may range on a continuum from total confidence to a complete inability to cope. When vulnerabilities are triggered, the pessimistic "giving up" process seems crucial to the development of depression (Alloy et al., 2000; Alloy & Abramson, 2006).


These psychological processes of inadequate coping and depressive cognitive style in combination with certain genetic patterns mentioned above comprise the temperament of neuroticism or negative affect (Barlow et al., 2014). You will remember from Chapter 5 that neuroticism is associated with biochemical markers of stress and depression (see, for example, Nemeroff, 2004; Thase, 2009), as well as differential levels of arousal in different hemispheres in the brain (hemispheric lateral asymmetry and activation of specific brain circuits (Barlow et al., 2013; Davidson et al., 2009; Liotti, Mayberg, McGinnis, Brannan, & Jerabek, 2002). Recent research illustrates the strong associations between the genetic and generalized psychological vulnerabilities (e.g., Whisman, Johnson, & Smolen, 2011). There is also good evidence that stressful life events trigger the onset of depression in most cases in these vulnerable individuals, particularly initial episodes (Jenness, Hankin, Abela, Young, & Smolen, 2011). How do these factors interact? Current thinking is that stressful life events in vulnerable individuals activate stress hormones, which, in turn, have wide-ranging effects on neurotransmitter systems, particularly those involving serotonin, norepinephrine, and the corticotropin-releasing factor system. Booij and Van der Does (2007) have illustrated how neurotransmitter function and negative cognitive styles interact. They collaborated with 39 patients who had suffered an episode of major depression but had recovered. These patients participated in two biological test or "challenge" procedures called acute tryptophan depletion (ATD) that had the effect of temporarily lowering levels of serotonin. This is accomplished fairly easily by altering diet for 1 day by restricting intake of tryptophan (a precursor to serotonergic functioning) and increasing a mixture of essential amino acids. Participants in the experiment, of course, were fully informed of these effects and collaborated willingly.

What Booij and Van der Does (2007) found was that this biological challenge was, as usual, effective in temporarily inducing a variety of depressive symptoms in some of these individuals, but that these symptoms were more pronounced in those who also had evidence of the cognitive vulnerability marker. That is, cognitive vulnerability assessed before the biological challenge clearly predicted a depressive response. Interestingly, a challenge with ATD causes no significant changes in mood in healthy samples; rather, it is limited to those individuals who are vulnerable to depression.

What we have so far is a possible mechanism for the diathesis-stress model. Finally, it seems clear that factors such as interpersonal relationships (Tsai, Lucas, & Kawachi, 2015) or cognitive style (Gotlib et al., 2014) may protect us from the effects of stress and therefore from developing mood disorders. Alternatively, these factors may at least determine whether we quickly recover from these disorders or not. But remember that bipolar disorder, and particularly activation of manic episodes, seems to have a somewhat different genetic basis, as well as a different response to

social support. Scientists are beginning to theorize that individuals with bipolar disorder, in addition to factors outlined so far, are also highly sensitive to the experience of life events connected with striving to reach important goals, perhaps because of an overactive brain circuit called the behavioral approach system (BAS) (Alloy & Abramson, 2010; Gruber, Johnson, Oveis, & Keltner, 2008). In these cases, stressful life events that are more positive but still stressful, such as starting a new job, or pulling all-nighters to finish an important term paper, might precipitate a manic episode in vulnerable individuals instead of a depressive episode. Individuals with bipolar disorder are also highly sensitive to disruptions in circadian rhythm. So individuals with bipolar disorder might possess brain circuits that predispose them to both depression and mania. Research of this hypothesis is just commencing.

In summary, biological, psychological, and social factors all influence the development of mood disorders, as depicted in Figure 7.7. This model does not fully account for the varied presentation of mood disorders—seasonal, bipolar, and so on—although mania in bipolar disorder seems to be associated with unique genetic contributions and is triggered by relatively unique life events as noted above. But why would someone with an underlying genetic vulnerability who experiences a stressful life event develop a mood disorder rather than an anxiety or somatic symptom disorder? As with the anxiety disorders and other stress disorders, specific psychosocial circumstances, such as early learning experiences, may interact with specific genetic vulnerabilities and personality characteristics to produce the rich variety of emotional disorders.



● FIGURE 7.7

An integrative model of mood disorders.

patients receive some benefit, with about half of the 50% coming very close to normal functioning (remission). If dropouts are excluded and only those who complete treatment are counted, the percentage of patients receiving at least some benefit increases to between 60% and 70% (American Psychiatric Association, 2010), but one thoroughgoing meta-analysis indicated that antidepressants were relatively ineffective for mild to moderate depression compared with placebo. Only in severely depressed patients is there a clear advantage for taking an antidepressant compared with placebo (Fournier et al., 2010).

The class of drugs currently considered the first choice in drug treatment for depression seems to have a specific effect on the serotonin neurotransmitter system (although such drugs affect other systems to some extent). These *selective*-serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) specifically block the presynaptic reuptake of serotonin. This temporarily increases levels of serotonin at the receptor site, but again the precise long-term mechanism of action is unknown, although levels of serotonin are eventually increased (Gitlin, 2009; Thase & Denko, 2008). Perhaps the best-known drug in this class is *fluoxetine* (Prozac). Like many other medications, Prozac was initially hailed as a breakthrough drug; it even made the cover of *Newsweek* (Cowley & Springen, 1990). Then reports began to appear that it might lead to suicidal preoccupation, paranoid reactions, and, occasionally, violence (see, for example, Mandalos & Szarek, 1990; Teicher, Glod, & Cole, 1990). Prozac went from being a wonder drug in the eyes of the press to a potential menace to modern society. Neither conclusion was true. Findings indicated that the risks of suicide with this drug for the general population were no greater than with any other antidepressant (Fava & Rosenbaum, 1991), and the effectiveness is about the same as that of other antidepressants, including the tricyclics.

Several years ago, concerns about suicidal risks (increased thoughts, and so on) surfaced again, particularly among adolescents, and this time it looks like the concerns are justified, at least for adolescents (Baldessarini, Pompili, & Tondo, 2006; Berman, 2009; Olfson, Marcus, & Schaffer, 2006). These findings have led to warnings from the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and other regulatory agencies around the world about these drugs. On the other hand, Gibbons, Hur, Bhaumik, and Mann (2006) found that actual suicide rates were lower in sections of the United States where prescriptions for SSRIs were higher. In addition, the SSRIs were also associated with a small but statistically significant *decrease* in actual suicides among adolescents compared with depressed adolescents not taking these drugs, based on a large community survey (Olfson, Shaffer, Marcus, & Greenberg, 2003). These findings are

Treatment of Mood Disorders

Researchers have learned a great deal about the neurobiology of mood disorders during the past several years. Findings on the complex interplay of neurochemicals are beginning to shed light on the nature of mood disorders. As we have noted, the principal effect of medications is to alter levels of these neurotransmitters and other related neurochemicals. Other biological treatments, such as electroconvulsive therapy, dramatically affect brain chemistry. A more interesting development, however, alluded to throughout this book, is that powerful psychological treatments also alter brain chemistry. The rate of outpatient treatment of depression increased substantially in the United States in the 20 years from 1987 to 2007. But almost all of this increase was due to treatment with antidepressant drugs (approximately 75% of all patients treated). The percentage receiving psychotherapy during this period actually declined somewhat (Marcus & Olfson, 2010). Despite these advances, most cases of depression go untreated because neither health care professionals nor patients recognize and correctly identify or diagnose depression. Similarly, many professionals and patients are unaware of the existence of effective and successful treatments (Delano-Wood & Abeles, 2005; Hirschfeld et al., 1997). Therefore, it is important to learn about treatments for depression.

Medications

A number of medications are effective treatments for depression. New information often becomes available on new medications or the latest estimates of effectiveness of older medications.

Antidepressants

Four basic types of antidepressant medications are used to treat depressive disorders: selective-serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), mixed reuptake inhibitors, tricyclic antidepressants, and monoamine oxidase (MAO) inhibitors. It is important to note at the outset that there are few, if any, differences in effectiveness among the different antidepressants; approximately 50% of



SCOTT CAVAZZI/Getty Images

Of the synthetic drugs for depression, fluoxetine (Prozac) is the most widely used.

correlational, meaning we can't conclude that increased prescriptions for SSRIs caused lower suicide rates. Research will continue on this important question. One possible conclusion is that SSRIs cause increased thoughts about suicide in the first few weeks in some adolescents but, once they start working after a month or more, may prevent the depression from leading to suicide (Berman, 2009; Simon, 2006). Prozac and other SSRIs have their own set of side effects, the most prominent of which are physical agitation, sexual dysfunction, low sexual desire (which is prevalent, occurring in 50% to 75% of cases), insomnia, and gastrointestinal upset. But these side effects, on the whole, seem to bother most patients less than the side effects associated with tricyclic antidepressants, with the possible exception of the sexual dysfunction. Another class of antidepressants (sometimes termed *mixed reuptake inhibitors*) seem to have somewhat different mechanisms of neurobiological action. The best known, venlafaxine (Effexor) is related to tricyclic antidepressants, but acts in a slightly different manner, blocking reuptake of norepinephrine as well as serotonin. Some side effects associated with the SSRIs are reduced with venlafaxine, as is the risk of damage to the cardiovascular system. Other typical side effects remain, including nausea and sexual dysfunction.

Table 7.4 shows the commonly prescribed antidepressants.

MAO inhibitors work differently. As their name suggests, they block the enzyme MAO that breaks down such neurotransmitters as norepinephrine and serotonin. The result is roughly equivalent to the effect of the tricyclics. Because they are not broken down, the neurotransmitters pool in the synapse, leading to a down-regulation. The MAO inhibitors seem to be as effective as the tricyclics (American Psychiatric Association, 2010), with somewhat fewer side effects. Some evidence suggests they are relatively

more effective for depression with atypical features (American Psychiatric Association, 2010; Thase & Kupfer, 1996). But MAO inhibitors are used far less often because of two potentially serious consequences: Eating and drinking foods and beverages containing tyramine, such as cheese, red wine, or beer, can lead to severe hypertensive episodes and, occasionally, death. In addition, many other drugs that people take daily, such as cold medications, are dangerous and even fatal in interaction with an MAO inhibitor. Therefore, MAO inhibitors are usually prescribed only when other antidepressants are not effective.

Tricyclic antidepressants were the most widely used treatments for depression before the introduction of SSRIs, but are now used less commonly (Gitlin, 2009; Thase & Denko, 2008). The best-known variants are probably imipramine (Tofranil) and amitriptyline (Elavil). It is not yet clear how these drugs work, but initially, at least, they block the reuptake of certain neurotransmitters, allowing them to pool in the synapse and, as the theory goes, desensitize or down-regulate the transmission of that particular neurotransmitter (so less of the neurochemical is transmitted). Tricyclic antidepressants seem to have their greatest effect by down-regulating norepinephrine, although other neurotransmitter systems, particularly serotonin, are also affected. This process then has a complex effect on both presynaptic and postsynaptic regulation of neurotransmitter activity, eventually restoring appropriate balance. Side effects include blurred vision, dry mouth, constipation, difficulty urinating, drowsiness, weight gain (at least 13 pounds on average), and, sometimes, sexual dysfunction. Therefore, as many as 40% of these patients may stop taking the drug, thinking the cure is worse than the disease. Nevertheless, with careful management, many side effects disappear over time.

TABLE 7.4 Most Commonly Prescribed Antidepressants: Classes, Drug Names, Dosages, and Side Effects

Class	Generic Name	Brand Name	Usual Dose (mg/day)	Prominent Side Effects
Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors (SSRIs)	Citalopram	Celexa	20–60	Nausea, diarrhea, insomnia, sexual dysfunction, agitation/restlessness, and daytime sedation
	Escitalopram	Lexapro	10–20	
	Fluoxetine	Prozac	20–60	
	Fluvoxamine	Luvox	100–300	
	Paroxetine	Paxil	20–50	
	Sertraline	Zoloft	50–100	
Mixed Reuptake Inhibitors	Bupropion	Wellbutrin	300–450	Nausea, vomiting, insomnia, headaches, seizures
	Venlafaxine	Effexor	7–225	Nausea, diarrhea, nervousness, increased sweating, dry mouth, muscle jerks, and sexual dysfunction
	Duloxetine	Celexa	60–80	Nausea, diarrhea, vomiting, nervousness, increased sweating, dry mouth, headaches, insomnia, daytime drowsiness, sexual dysfunction, tremor, and elevated liver enzymes

Adapted from Thase, M. E., & Denko, T. (2008). Pharmacotherapy of mood disorders. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 4, 53–91.

Another issue clinicians must consider is that tricyclics are *lethal* if taken in excessive doses; therefore, they must be prescribed with great caution to patients with suicidal tendencies.

Finally, there was a great deal of interest several years ago in the antidepressant properties of the natural herb St. John's wort (*hypericum*). St. John's wort is popular in Europe, and a number of preliminary studies demonstrated it was better than placebo and worked about as well as low doses of other antidepressants (American Psychiatric Association, 2010). St. John's wort produces few side effects and is relatively easy to produce. It is available at many drugstores and nutritional supplement stores, but there is no guarantee that any given brand of St. John's wort contains the appropriate ingredients. Some preliminary evidence suggests the herb also somehow alters serotonin function. But the National Institutes of Health in the United States completed a major study examining its effectiveness (*Hypericum Depression Trial Study Group*, 2002), and surprisingly, this large study found no benefits from St. John's wort compared with placebo.

Because the SSRIs and other drugs relieve symptoms of depression to some extent in about 50% of all patients treated but eliminate depression or come close to it in only 25% to 30% of all patients treated (termed *remission*) (Trivedi et al., 2006), the question remains: What do clinicians do when depression does not respond adequately to drug treatment, often called treatment-resistant depression? A large study called the Sequenced Treatment Alternatives to Relieve Depression (STAR*D) examined whether offering those individuals who did not achieve remission the alternatives of either adding a second drug or switching to a second drug is useful. Among those who were willing, approximately 20% (for switching) to approximately 30% (for adding a second drug) achieved remission. When repeating this with a third drug among those who had failed to achieve remission with the first two drugs, the results weren't as good (between 10% and 20% achieved remission) (Insel, 2006; Menza, 2006; Rush, 2007), and very few clinicians would go to a third drug in the same class after failing on the first two (Gitlin, 2009). The conclusion is that it's worth being persistent, as long as individuals with depression are still willing to try a second drug, because some people who don't improve with the first drug could improve with a different drug. Later, we report on combining psychological treatments with drugs. In summary, all antidepressant medications work about the same in large clinical trials, but sometimes a patient will not do well on one drug but respond better to another.

Current studies indicate that drug treatments effective with adults are not necessarily effective with children (American Psychiatric Association, 2010; Geller et al., 1992; Kaslow, Davis, & Smith, 2009; Ryan, 1992). Sudden deaths of children under 14 who were taking tricyclic antidepressants have been reported, particularly during exercise, as in routine school athletic competition (Tingelstad, 1991). Cardiac side effects have been implicated in these deaths. But evidence indicates that, unlike tricyclic antidepressants, at least one of the SSRIs, fluoxetine (Prozac), is safe and has some evidence for efficacy with adolescents both initially (Kaslow et al., 2009; Treatment for Adolescents with Depression Study [TADS] Team, 2004) and at follow-up (TADS Team,

2009), particularly if combined with cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) (March & Vitiello, 2009). Traditional antidepressant drug treatments are usually effective with the elderly, but administering them takes considerable skill because older people may suffer from a variety of side effects not experienced by younger adults, including memory impairment and physical agitation (Blazer & Hybels, 2009; Delano-Wood & Abeles, 2005; Fiske et al., 2009). Use of a depression care manager to deliver care to depressed elderly patients right in the office of their primary medical care doctor including encouraging compliance with drug taking, monitoring side effects unique to older adults, and delivering a bit of psychotherapy was more effective than usual care (Alexopoulos et al., 2005; Unutzer et al., 2002).

Clinicians and researchers have concluded that recovery from depression, although important, may not be the most important therapeutic outcome (Frank et al., 1990; Thase, 2009). Most people eventually recover from a major depressive episode, some rather quickly. A more important goal is often to delay the next depressive episode or even prevent it entirely (National Institute of Mental Health, 2003; Thase, 2009; Thase & Kupfer, 1996). This is particularly important for patients who retain some symptoms of depression or have a past history of chronic depression or multiple depressive episodes (Forand & DeRubeis, 2013; Hammen & Keenan-Miller, 2013). Because all these factors put people at risk for relapse, it is recommended that drug treatment go well beyond the termination of a depressive episode, continuing perhaps 6 to 12 months after the episode is over, or even longer (American Psychiatric Association, 2010; Insel, 2006). The drug is then gradually withdrawn over weeks or months. (We return later to strategies for maintaining therapeutic benefits.) Long-term administration of antidepressants has not been studied extensively, and there is even some evidence that long-term treatment lasting several years may worsen the course of depression (Fava, 2003).

Antidepressant medications have relieved severe depression and undoubtedly prevented suicide in tens of thousands of patients around the world, particularly in cases of more severe depression. Although these medications are readily available, many people refuse or are not eligible to take them. Some are wary of long-term side effects. Women of childbearing age must protect themselves against the possibility of conceiving while taking antidepressants, because of possible damage to the fetus. In one recent study of all births over a 10-year period in the country of Denmark, infants of mothers who were taking SSRIs during pregnancy, but not other antidepressants, had an almost twofold increased risk of having a low Apgar score (a measure of infant health immediately after birth that predicts IQ scores, performance in school, as well as neurological disability including cerebral palsy, epilepsy, and cognitive impairment lasting for many years after birth) (Jensen et al., 2013). On the other hand, a large population-based prospective study in the US showed that depressed mothers who were taking SSRIs during pregnancy had a *lower* risk for birth complications (e.g., preterm birth, cesarean delivery) than those who did not take SSRIs, suggesting that SSRIs may also have a protective effect on the process of giving birth (Malm et al., 2015). The current recommendation is to individualize clinical decision making.

Lithium

Another type of antidepressant drug, *lithium carbonate*, is a common salt widely available in the natural environment (Alda, 2015). It is found in our drinking water in amounts too small to have any effect. The side effects of therapeutic doses of lithium are potentially more serious, however, than the side effects of other antidepressants. Dosage has to be carefully regulated to prevent toxicity (poisoning) and lowered thyroid functioning, which might intensify the lack of energy associated with depression. Substantial weight gain is also common. Lithium, however, has one major advantage that distinguishes it from other antidepressants: It is also often effective in preventing and treating manic episodes. Therefore, it is most often referred to as a **mood-stabilizing drug**. Antidepressants can induce manic episodes, even in individuals without preexisting bipolar disorder (Goodwin & Ghaemi, 1998; Goodwin & Jamison, 2007), and lithium remains the gold standard for treatment of bipolar disorder, although the mechanism of action remains only partially understood (Alda, 2015; Nivoli, Murru, & Vieta, 2010). Other pharmacological treatments for acute bipolar depression include antidepressants, anticonvulsants, and antipsychotics (Vazques, Holtzman, Tondo, & Baldessarini, 2015).

Results indicate that 50% of bipolar patients respond well to lithium initially, meaning at least a 50% reduction in manic symptoms (Goodwin & Jamison, 2007). Thus, although effective, lithium provides many people with inadequate therapeutic benefit. Patients who don't respond to lithium can take other drugs with antimanic properties, including anticonvulsants such as carbamazepine and valproate (Divalproex), as well as calcium channel blockers such as verapamil (Keck & McElroy, 2002; Sachs & Rush, 2003; Thase & Denko, 2008). Valproate has recently overtaken lithium as the most commonly prescribed mood stabilizer for bipolar disorder (Thase & Denko, 2008) and is equally effective, even for patients with rapid-cycling symptoms (Calabrese et al., 2005). But newer studies show that these drugs have one distinct disadvantage: They are less effective than lithium in preventing suicide (Thase & Denko, 2008; Tondo, Jamison, & Baldessarini, 1997). Goodwin and colleagues (2003) reviewed records of more than 20,000 patients taking either lithium or valproate and found the rate of completed suicides was 2.7 times higher in people taking valproate than in people taking lithium. Thus, lithium remains the preferred drug for bipolar disorder although other mood stabilizing drugs are often combined with therapeutic doses of lithium (Dunlop, Rakofsky, & Rapaport, 2013; Goodwin & Jamison, 2007; Nierenberg et al., 2013). This finding on the importance of mood stabilizing drugs was confirmed in a large trial demonstrating no advantage to adding a traditional antidepressant drug such as an SSRI to a mood stabilizer such as lithium (Sachs et al., 2007).

For those patients who do respond to lithium, studies

following patients for up to 5 years report that approximately 70% relapse, even if they continue to take the lithium (Frank et al., 1999; Hammen & Keenan-Miller, 2013). Nevertheless, for almost anyone with recurrent manic episodes, maintenance on lithium or a related drug is recommended to prevent relapse (Yatham et al., 2006). Another problem with drug treatment of bipolar disorder is that people usually like the euphoric or high feeling that mania produces and they often stop taking lithium to maintain or regain the state; that is, they do not comply with the medication regimen. Because the evidence now clearly indicates that individuals who stop their medication are at considerable risk for relapse, other treatment methods, usually psychological in nature, are used to increase compliance.

Electroconvulsive Therapy and Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation

When someone does not respond to medication (or in an extremely severe case), clinicians may consider a more dramatic treatment, **electroconvulsive therapy (ECT)**, the most controversial treatment for psychological disorders after psychosurgery. In Chapter 1, we described how ECT was used in the early 20th century. Despite many unfortunate abuses along the way, ECT is considerably changed today. It is now a safe and reasonably effective treatment for those cases of severe depression that do not improve with other treatments (American Psychiatric Association, 2010; Gitlin, 2009; Kellner et al., 2012; National Institute of Mental Health, 2003).

In current administrations, patients are anesthetized to reduce discomfort and given muscle-relaxing drugs to prevent bone breakage from convulsions during seizures. Electric shock is administered directly through the brain for less than a second, producing a seizure and a series of brief convulsions that usually lasts for several minutes. In current practice, treatments are administered once every other day for a total of 6 to 10 treatments (fewer if the patient's mood returns to normal). Side effects are generally limited to short-term memory loss and confusion that disappear after a week or two, although some patients may have long-term memory problems. For severely depressed inpatients with psychotic features, controlled studies indicate that approximately 50% of those *not responding* to medication will benefit. Continued treatment with medication or psychotherapy is then necessary because the relapse rate approaches 60% or higher (American Psychiatric Association, 2010a; Gitlin, 2009). For example, Sackeim and colleagues (2001) treated 84 patients with ECT and then randomly assigned them to follow-up placebo or one of several antidepressant drug treatments. All patients assigned to placebo relapsed within 6 months, compared with 40% to 60% on medication. Thus, follow-up treatment with antidepressant drugs or psychological treatments is necessary, but relapse is still high. Nevertheless, it may not be in the best interest of psychotically depressed and acutely suicidal inpatients to wait 3 to 6 weeks to determine whether a drug or psychological treatment is working; in these cases, immediate ECT may be appropriate.

We do not really know why ECT works. Repeated seizures induce massive functional and perhaps structural changes in the brain, which seems to be therapeutic. There is some evidence that

Courtesy of Nubar Alexanian



Kay Redfield Jamison, an internationally respected authority on bipolar disorder, has suffered from the disease since adolescence.

ECT increases levels of serotonin, blocks stress hormones, and promotes neurogenesis in the hippocampus. Because of the controversial nature of this treatment, its use declined considerably during the 1970s and 1980s (American Psychiatric Association, 2001; De Raedt, Vanderhasselt, & Baeken, C., 2015).

Recently, another method for altering electrical activity in the brain by setting up a strong magnetic field has been introduced. This procedure is called *transcranial magnetic stimulation* (TMS), and it works by placing a magnetic coil over the individual's head to generate a precisely localized electromagnetic pulse. Anesthesia is not required, and side effects are usually limited to headaches. Initial reports, as with most new procedures, showed promise in treating depression (George, Taylor, & Short, 2013), and recent observations and reviews have confirmed that TMS can be effective (Mantovani et al., 2012; Schutter, 2009; De Raedt et al., 2015). But results from several important clinical trials with severe or treatment-resistant psychotic depression reported ECT to be clearly more effective than TMS (Eranti et al., 2007). It may be that TMS is more comparable to antidepressant medication than to ECT, and one study reported a slight advantage for combining TMS and medication compared to using either treatment alone (Brunoni et al., 2013; Gitlin, 2009).

Several other nondrug approaches for treatment-resistant depression are in development. Vagus nerve stimulation involves implanting a pacemaker-like device that generates pulses to the vagus nerve in the neck, which, in turn, is thought to influence neurotransmitter production in the brain stem and limbic system (Gitlin, 2009; Marangell et al., 2002). Sufficient evidence has accumulated so that the FDA has approved this procedure, but results are generally weak and it has been little-used. Deep brain stimulation has been used with a few severely depressed patients. In this procedure, electrodes are surgically implanted in the limbic system (the emotional brain). These electrodes are also connected to a pacemaker-like device (Mayberg et al., 2005). Initial results show some promise in treatment-resistant patients, but time will tell if this is a useful treatment (Kennedy et al., 2011; Lozano et al., 2012).

Psychological Treatments for Depression

Of the effective psychological treatments now available for depressive disorders, two major approaches have the most evidence supporting their efficacy. The first is a cognitive-behavioral approach; Aaron T. Beck, the founder of cognitive therapy, is most closely associated with this approach. The second approach, interpersonal psychotherapy, was developed by Myrna Weissman and Gerald Klerman.

Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy

Beck's **cognitive therapy** grew directly out of his observations of the role of deep-seated negative thinking in generating depression (Beck, 1967, 1976; Young et al., 2014). Clients are taught to examine carefully their thought processes while they are depressed and to recognize "depressive" errors in thinking. This task is not always easy, because many thoughts are automatic and beyond clients' awareness. Clients are taught that errors in thinking can directly cause depression. Treatment involves correcting cognitive

errors and substituting less depressing and (perhaps) more realistic thoughts and appraisals. Later in therapy, underlying negative cognitive schemas (characteristic ways of viewing the world) that trigger specific cognitive errors are targeted, not only in the clinic but also as part of the client's day-to-day life. The therapist purposefully takes a Socratic approach (teaching by asking questions—see the dialogue below), making it clear that therapist and client are working as a team to uncover faulty thinking patterns and the underlying schemas from which they are generated. Therapists must be skillful and highly trained. Following is an example of an actual interaction between Beck and a depressed client named Irene.

Beck and Irene... A Dialogue

Because an intake interview had already been completed by another therapist, Beck did not spend time reviewing Irene's symptoms in detail or taking a history. Irene began by describing her "sad states." Beck almost immediately started to elicit her automatic thoughts during these periods.

THERAPIST: What kind of thoughts go through your mind when you've had these sad feelings this past week?

PATIENT: Well . . . I guess I'm thinking what's the point of all this. My life is over. It's just not the same. . . . I have thoughts like, "What am I going to do? . . . Sometimes I feel mad at him, you know my husband. How could he leave me? Isn't that terrible of me? What's wrong with me? How can I be mad at him? He didn't want to die a horrible death. . . . I should have done more. I should have made him go to the doctor when he first started getting headaches. . . . Oh, what's the use. . . ."

T: It sounds like you are feeling quite bad right now. Is that right?

P: Yes.

T: Keep telling me what's going through your mind right now.

P: I can't change anything. . . . It's over. . . . I don't know. . . . It all seems so bleak and hopeless. . . . What do I have to look forward to. . . . sickness and then death. . . .

T: So one of the thoughts is that you can't change things and that it's not going to get any better?

P: Yes.

T: And sometimes you believe that completely?

P: Yeah, I believe it, sometimes.

T: Right now do you believe it?

P: I believe it—yes.

(Continued next page)

T: Right now you believe that you can't change things and it's not going to get better?

P: Well, there is a glimmer of hope but it's mostly....

T: Is there anything in your life that you kind of look forward to in terms of your own life from here on?

P: Well, what I look forward to—I enjoy seeing my kids, but they are so busy right now. My son is a lawyer and my daughter is in medical school. . . So, they are very busy. . . They don't have time to spend with me. •

& Schramm, 2015; Williams, Teasdale, Segal, & Kabat-Zinn, 2007; Segal, Williams, & Teasdale, 2002). MBCT has been evaluated and found effective for the most part in the context of preventing relapse or recurrence in patients who are in remission from their depressive episode. This approach seems particularly effective for individuals with more severe disorders, as indicated by a history of three or more prior depressive episodes (Segal et al., 2002; Segal et al., 2010).

The late Neil Jacobson and colleagues have shown that increased activities alone can improve self-concept and lift depression (Dimidjian, et al., 2014; Jacobson et al., 1996). This more behavioral treatment has been reformulated because initial evaluation suggests it is as effective as, or more effective than, cognitive approaches (Hollon, 2011; Jacobson, Martell, & Dimidjian, 2001). The new focus of this approach is on preventing avoidance of social and environmental cues that produce negative affect or depression and result in avoidance and inactivity. Rather, the individual is helped to face the cues or triggers and work through them and the depression they produce, with the therapist, by developing better coping skills. Similarly, programmed exercise over the course of weeks or months is surprisingly effective in treating depression (Mead et al., 2009; Stathopoulou, Powers, Berry, Smits, & Otto, 2006). Babyak and colleagues (2000) demonstrated that programmed aerobic exercise 3 times a week was as effective as treatment with antidepressive medication (Zoloft) or the combination of exercise and Zoloft after 4 months. More important, exercise was *better* at preventing relapse in the 6 months following treatment compared with the drug or combination treatment, particularly if the patients continued exercising. It was noted above that there is some new evidence that exercise increases neurogenesis in the hippocampus, which is known to be associated with resilience to depression. This general approach of focusing on fitness activities is also consistent with findings about the most powerful methods to change dysregulated emotions (Barlow, Allen, & Choate, 2004; Campbell-Sills, Ellard, & Barlow, 2015), and we are likely to see more research on this approach in the near future.



BSIP/Contributor/Universal Images Group/Getty Images

In interpersonal psychotherapy, the therapist and patient identify strategies for mitigating social conflict and forming new relationships.

Interpersonal Psychotherapy

We have seen that major disruptions in our interpersonal relationships are an important category of stresses that can trigger mood disorders (Joiner & Timmons, 2009; Kendler et al., 2003). In addition, people with few, if any, important social relationships seem at risk for developing and sustaining mood disorders (Beach et al., 2009). **Interpersonal psychotherapy (IPT)** (Bleiberg & Markowitz, 2014; Klerman, Weissman, Rounsaville, & Chevron, 1984; Weissman, 1995) focuses on resolving problems in existing relationships and learning to form important new interpersonal relationships.

Like cognitive-behavioral approaches, IPT is highly structured and seldom takes longer than 15 to 20 sessions, usually scheduled once a week (Cuijpers et al., 2011). After identifying life stressors that seem to precipitate the depression, the therapist and patient work

collaboratively on the patient's current interpersonal problems. Typically, these include one or more of four interpersonal issues: *dealing with interpersonal role disputes*, such as marital conflict; *adjusting to the loss of a relationship*, such as grief over the death of a loved one; *acquiring new relationships*, such as getting married or establishing professional relationships; and *identifying and correcting deficits in social skills* that prevent the person from initiating or maintaining important relationships.

To take a common example, the therapist's first job is to identify and define an interpersonal dispute (Bleiberg & Markowitz, 2014; Weissman, 1995), perhaps with a wife who expects her spouse to support her but has had to take an outside job to help pay bills. The husband might expect the wife to share equally in generating income. If this dispute seems to be associated with the onset of depressive symptoms and to result in a continuing series of arguments and disagreements without resolution, it would become the focus for IPT.

After helping identify the dispute, the next step is to bring it to a resolution. First, the therapist helps the patient determine the stage of the dispute.

1. *Negotiation stage*. Both partners are aware it is a dispute, and they are trying to renegotiate it.
2. *Impasse stage*. The dispute smolders beneath the surface and results in low-level resentment, but no attempts are made to resolve it.
3. *Resolution stage*. The partners are taking some action, such as divorce, separation, or recommitting to the marriage.

The therapist works with the patient to define the dispute clearly for both parties and develop specific strategies for resolving it. Along similar lines, Daniel O'Leary, Steve Beach, and their colleagues, as well as Neil Jacobson and his colleagues, have demonstrated that marital therapy is applicable to the large numbers of depressed patients they see, particularly women, who are in the midst of dysfunctional marriages (as is the case for as many as 50% of all depressed patients) (Beach & O'Leary, 1992; Beach et al., 2009; Jacobson, Fruzzetti, Dobson, Whisman, & Hops, 1993).

Studies comparing the results of cognitive therapy and IPT with those of antidepressant drugs and other control conditions have found that psychological approaches and medication are equally effective immediately following treatment, and all treatments are more effective than placebo conditions, brief psychodynamic treatments, or other appropriate control conditions for both major depressive disorder and persistent depressive disorder (Hollon, 2011; Hollon & Dimidjian, 2009; Miller, Norman, & Keitner, 1989; Paykel & Scott, 2009; Schulberg et al., 1996). Depending on how "success" is defined, approximately 50% or more of people benefit from treatment to a significant extent, compared with approximately 30% in placebo or control conditions (Craighead, Hart, Craighead, & Ilardi, 2002; Hollon, 2011; Hollon & Dimidjian, 2009).

Similar results have been reported in depressed children and adolescents (Kaslow et al., 2009). In one notable clinical trial, Brent and colleagues (2008) demonstrated that, in more than 300 severely depressed adolescents who had failed to respond to an SSRI antidepressant, CBT was significantly more effective

than switching to another antidepressant. Kennard and colleagues (2009) showed that this was particularly true if the adolescents received at least 9 sessions of the CBT.

Furthermore, studies have not found differences in treatment effectiveness based on severity of depression (Fournier et al., 2010; Hollon, Stewart, & Strunk, 2006; McLean & Taylor, 1992; Stangier et al., 2013). For example, DeRubeis, Gelfand, Tang, and Simons (1999) evaluated the effects of cognitive therapy versus medication in severely depressed patients only, across four studies, and found no advantage for one treatment or the other. Similarly, another quantitative review showed that baseline depression severity did not predict the efficacy of CBT and antidepressant medications (Weitz et al., in press). However, adding CBT to antidepressant medications only enhanced treatment efficacy in patients with severe and nonchronic depression (Hollon et al., 2014).

O'Hara, Stuart, Gorman, and Wenzel (2000) reported positive effects for IPT in a group of women with postpartum depression, demonstrating that this approach is a worthwhile strategy in patients with postpartum depression who are reluctant to go on medication because, for example, they are breastfeeding. In an important related study, Spinelli and Endicott (2003) compared IPT with an alternative psychological approach in 50 depressed pregnant women unable to take drugs because of potential harm to the fetus. Fully 60% of these women recovered, leading the authors to recommend that IPT should be the first choice for pregnant depressed women, although it is likely that CBT would produce similar results. IPT has also been successfully administered to depressed adolescents by school-based clinicians trained to deliver IPT right in the school setting (Mufson et al., 2004). This practical approach shows good promise of reaching a larger number of depressed adolescents. In general, these studies paint a complex picture, suggesting that depression identifies a heterogeneous group of patients. In the near future, we might be able to maximize treatment outcome by assigning a patient to the most suitable treatment, depending on the person's individual characteristics, in line with the general move toward precision medicine.

Prevention

In view of the seriousness of mood disorders in children and adolescents, work has begun on preventing these disorders in these age groups (Horowitz & Garber, 2006; Muñoz, Cuijpers, Smit, Barrera, & Leykin, 2010; Muñoz, Beardslee, & Leykin, 2012). The Institute of Medicine (IOM) delineated three types of programs: *universal* programs, which are applied to everyone; *selected* interventions, which target individuals at risk for depression because of factors such as divorce, family alcoholism, and so on; and *indicated* interventions, in which the individual is already showing mild symptoms of depression (Muñoz et al., 2009). As an example of selected interventions, Gillham and colleagues (2012) taught cognitive and social problem-solving techniques to more than 400 middle school children ages 10 to 15 who were at risk for depression because of negative thinking styles. Compared with children in a matched no-treatment control group, the prevention group reported fewer depressive symptoms during follow up. Seligman, Schulman, DeRubeis, and Hollon (1999) conducted a similar

course for university students who were also at risk for depression based on a pessimistic cognitive style. After 3 years, students taking the eight-session program experienced less anxiety and depression than a control group receiving the assessments only. This suggests that it might be possible to “psychologically immunize” at-risk children and adolescents against depression by teaching appropriate cognitive and social skills before they enter puberty.

Results from a major clinical trial that combined “selected” and “indicated” approaches have been reported targeting adolescents at risk for depression (Garber et al., 2009). Three hundred sixteen adolescent offspring of parents with current or prior depressive disorders were entered into the trial and randomized to a CBT prevention program or to usual care. To be included, adolescents had to have either a past history of depression, or current depressive symptoms that would not be severe enough to meet criteria for a disorder, or both. The adolescents in the CBT prevention group received eight weekly group sessions as well as six monthly continuation sessions. The usual care group included a fairly active use of mental health or other health care services that, however, did not include any of the procedures used in the CBT group. The results, shown in Figure 7.8 indicate that the CBT prevention program was significantly more effective than usual care in preventing future episodes of depression, but only for those adolescents whose parents were not currently in a depressive episode themselves. If the parents were in a depressive episode while the adolescents were receiving care, the adolescents became somewhat less depressed based on their own report but did not have significantly fewer depressive episodes during the follow-up period. These results are very important because they show not only that preventive programs are potentially powerful but also that living with a depressed parent lessens the power of preventive programs to some degree (Hammen, 2009). The results also suggest that to prevent future depressive episodes, it is necessary to treat depression in the whole family in a coordinated manner.

Another recent study also demonstrated that meeting in an integrated fashion with families that included parents who had a history of depression and included their 9- to 15-year-old children (who were at risk because of their parents’ depression) was successful in preventing depression in these families during a follow-up period (Compas et al., 2009). Additional studies have indicated that preventing depression is possible in older adults in primary care settings (van’t Veer-Tazelaar et al., 2009) and also in poststroke patients, a particularly high-risk group (Robinson et al., 2008; Reynolds, 2009). A recent review suggests that CBT, delivered during the acute phase, appears to have an enduring effect that protects some patients against relapse and others from recurrence following treatment termination. Furthermore, continuation CBT seems to reduce the risk for relapse, and maintenance CBT appears to reduce the risk for recurrence (Bockting, Hollon, Jarrett, Kuyken, & Dobson, 2015). However, more prevention studies are needed. In view of the enormous burden depression places on society, a consensus has developed that preventing depression is a global priority in public health (Cuijpers, Beekman, & Reynolds, 2012).

Combined Treatments for Depression

One important question is whether combining psychosocial treatments with medication is more effective than either treatment alone in treating depression or preventing relapse. In a large study reported by Keller and colleagues (2000) on the treatment of persistent (chronic) major depression, 681 patients at 12 clinics around the country were assigned to receive antidepressant medication (nefazodone), a CBT constructed specifically for chronically depressed patients (CBASP, discussed earlier) (McCullough, 2014), or the combination of two treatments. Researchers found that 48% of patients receiving each of the individual treatments went into remission or responded in a clinically satisfactory way, compared with 73% of the patients receiving combined treatment. Because this study was conducted with only a subset of depressed patients, those with persistent depression, the findings would need to be replicated before researchers could say combined treatment was useful for depression in general. In addition, because the study did not include a fifth condition in which the CBT was combined with placebo, we cannot rule out that the enhanced effectiveness of the combined treatment was the result of placebo factors. Nevertheless, the consensus is that combined treatment does provide some advantage. Notice how this conclusion differs from the conclusion in Chapter 5 on anxiety disorders, where no advantage of combining treatments was apparent. But combining two treatments is also expensive, so many experts think that it makes more sense to use a sequential strategy, in which you start with one treatment (maybe the one the patient prefers or the one that’s most convenient) and then switch to the other only if the first choice is not entirely satisfactory (see, for example, Lynch et al., 2011; Payne et al., in press; Schatzberg et al., 2005).




FIGURE 7.8


Risk of incident depression by intervention condition and baseline parental depression. (Adapted from Garber, J., Clarke, G. N., Weersing, V. R., Beardslee, W. R., Brent, D. A., Gladstone, T. R. G., Iyengar, S. [2009]. Prevention of depression in at-risk adolescents: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 301, 2215–2224.)

Preventing Relapse of Depression

Drugs and CBT seem to operate in different ways, but it remains uncertain which is more effective. It might just be that the most effective treatment depends on the particular individual, but we know little about these patient characteristics that could be used to personalize therapies. Until we develop such methods, studies continue to compare groups of individuals who share the same diagnosis. Moreover, it is possible that medication, when it works, does so more quickly than psychological treatments for the most part, which in turn have the advantage of increasing the patient's long-range social functioning (particularly in the case of IPT) and protecting against relapse or recurrence (particularly CBT). Combining treatments, therefore, might take advantage of the drugs' rapid action and the psychosocial protection against recurrence or relapse, thereby allowing eventual discontinuation of the medications.

Given the high rate of recurrence in depression, it is not surprising that more than 50% of patients on antidepressant medication relapse if their medication is stopped within 4 months after their last depressive episode (Thase, 1990). Therefore, one important question has to do with **maintenance treatment** to prevent relapse or recurrence over the long term. In a number of studies, cognitive therapy reduced rates of subsequent relapse in depressed patients by more than 50% over groups treated with antidepressant medication (see, for example, Hollon et al., 2005, 2006; Teasdale et al., 2000). A study by Jarrett and colleagues (Jarrett, Minhajuddin, Gershenson, Friedman, & Thase, 2013) compared CBT, an SSRI (fluoxetine) and a pill placebo as a relapse prevention strategy for people with recurrent major depressive disorder. The study first treated everybody with CBT and then randomized people to receive either continued CBT, or the SSRI, or the placebo for 8 months. Then, treatment was stopped and patients were followed up for 2 years to examine relapse rates. The study showed that, overall, both CBT and the SSRI prevented relapse equally well and more so than the placebo. Interestingly, relapse rates after having received CBT and fluoxetine did not differ.

In another study, patients were treated with either antidepressant medication or cognitive therapy compared with placebo (see DeRubeis et al., 2005), and then the study began (Hollon et al., 2005; Hollon, Stewart, & Strunk, 2006). All patients who had responded well to treatment were followed for 2 years. During the first year, one group of patients who were originally treated with antidepressant medication continued on the medication but then stopped for the second year. Also included in this figure is a subgroup of patients from the antidepressant medication group who took their medication exactly as prescribed and, therefore, should have received maximum benefit from the drugs (perfect adherence). A second group of patients originally receiving cognitive therapy were given up to three additional (booster) sessions during that first year but none after that. A third group was also originally treated with antidepressant medication but then switched to placebo. Outcomes during the 2 years are presented in Figure 7.9. During the first year, patients who were withdrawn from medication and placed onto pill placebo were considerably more likely to relapse over the ensuing 12-month interval than were patients continued on medication (23.8% did not relapse on placebo versus 52.8% on medication). In comparison, 69.2% of



● FIGURE 7.9

Cumulative proportion of depressed treatment responders who survived without relapse during continuation (first 12 months), and cumulative proportion of recovered patients who survived without recurrence during the subsequent follow-up (months 13–24). Prior cognitive therapy (CT) allowed only three booster sessions following acute response (first 12 months) and no sessions following recovery (months 13–24). Continuation antidepressant medication patients (drug condition) continued on active medications following acute responses (first 12 months) then withdrew from all pills following recovery (months 13–24); compliant refers to a subset of drug condition who took the medication exactly as prescribed and should have received maximum benefit during the continuation phase. Placebo patients withdrew from active medications onto pill placebo following acute responses (first 12 months) then withdrew from all pills following recovery (months 13–24). (Adapted, with permission, from Hollon, S., Stewart, M., & Strunk, D. [2006]. Enduring effects for cognitive behavior therapy in the treatment of depression and anxiety. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 57, 285–315, © 2006 American Medical Association.)

patients with a history of cognitive therapy did not relapse. At this point, there was no statistically significant difference in relapse rates among patients who had received cognitive therapy versus those who continued on antidepressant medication. This suggests that prior cognitive therapy has an enduring effect that is at least as large in magnitude as keeping the patients on medications. In the second year, when all treatments had stopped, patients who had received medications were more likely to experience a recurrence than patients who had originally received cognitive therapy. Thus, the adjusted recurrence rates were 17.5% for prior cognitive therapy versus 56.3% for prior continuation of antidepressant medication. Stangier and colleagues (2013) compared the effects of CBT with psychoeducation (a control condition) as a maintenance intervention for recurrent depression and found that CBT was only more effective than the control treatment in patients with 5 or more previous depressive episodes. These studies would seem to confirm that psychological treatments for depression are most notable for their enduring ability to prevent

relapse or recurrence, especially for the more chronic or severe cases.


Early intervention of high-risk children and adolescents is a particularly important area of future research. A recent trial compared CBT with usual care for preventing depression in high-risk adolescents (Beardslee et al., 2013; Brent et al., 2015). Subjects received 8 weekly sessions followed by 6 monthly continuation sessions. The results showed that CBT was more effective than usual care (consisting of family-initiated mental health treatment) at preventing the onset of depression within 3 years (Beardslee et al., 2013) and 6 years (Brent et al., 2015) following the treatment. These are important and encouraging results.

Psychological Treatments for Bipolar Disorder

Although medication, particularly lithium, seems a necessary treatment for bipolar disorder, most clinicians emphasize the need for psychological interventions to manage interpersonal and practical problems (for example, marital and job difficulties that result from the disorder) (Otto & Applebaum, 2011). Until recently, the principal objective of psychological intervention was to increase compliance with medication regimens such as lithium. We noted before that the “pleasures” of a manic state make refusal to take lithium a major therapeutic obstacle. Giving up drugs between episodes or skipping dosages during an episode significantly undermines treatment. Therefore, increasing compliance with drug treatments is important (Goodwin & Jamison, 2007). For example, Clarkin, Carpenter, Hull, Wilner, and Glick (1998) evaluated the advantages of adding a psychological treatment to medication in inpatients and found it improved adherence to medication for all patients and resulted in better overall outcomes for the most severe patients compared with medication alone.

More recently, psychological treatments have also been directed at psychosocial aspects of bipolar disorder.

Ellen Frank and her colleagues developed a psychological treatment that regulates circadian rhythms by helping patients regulate their eating and sleep cycles and other daily schedules as well as cope more effectively with stressful life events, particularly interpersonal issues (Frank et al., 2005; Frank et al., 1997; Frank et al., 1999). In an evaluation of this approach, called *interpersonal and social rhythm therapy* (IPSRT), patients receiving IPSRT survived longer without a new manic or depressive episode compared with



● FIGURE 7.10

Survival curves for bipolar patients assigned to family-focused treatment (FFT) and medication or crisis management (CM) and medication (intent-to-treat analysis, N = 101). Comparison of the curves revealed that patients undergoing FFT had longer survival intervals without experiencing disease relapse than patients undergoing CM (Wilcoxon $X^2_1 = 8.71, P = 0.003$). (Based on Miklowitz, D. J., George, E. L., Richards, J. A., Simoneau, T. L., & Suddath, R. L. [2003]. A randomized study of family-focused psychoeducation and pharmacotherapy in the outpatient management of bipolar disorder. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 60, 904–912.)

patients undergoing standard, intensive clinical management. Initial results with adolescents are also promising (Hlastala, Kotler, McClellan, & McCauley, 2010).

David Miklowitz and his colleagues found that family tension is associated with relapse in bipolar disorder. Preliminary studies indicate that treatments directed at helping families understand symptoms and develop new coping skills and communication styles do change communication styles (Simoneau, Miklowitz, Richards, Saleem, & George, 1999) and prevent relapse (Miklowitz, 2014). Miklowitz, George, Richards, Simoneau, and Suddath (2003) demonstrated that their family-focused treatment combined with medication results in significantly less relapse 1 year following initiation of treatment than occurs in patients receiving crisis management and medication over the same period (see ● Figure 7.10). Specifically, only 35% of patients receiving family therapy plus medication relapsed, versus 54% in the comparison group. Similarly, family therapy patients averaged over a year and a half (73.5 weeks) before relapsing, significantly longer than the comparison group. Rea, Tompson, and Miklowitz (2003) compared this approach to an individualized psychotherapy in which patients received the same number of sessions over the same period and continued to find an advantage for the family therapy after 2 years. Reilly-Harrington and colleagues (2007) found some evidence that CBT is effective for bipolar patients with the rapid-cycling feature. In view of the relative ineffectiveness of antidepressant medication for the depressive stage of bipolar disorder reviewed above, Miklowitz and colleagues (2007) reported an important study showing that up to 30 sessions of an intensive psychological treatment was significantly more effective than usual and customary best treatment in promoting recovery from bipolar depression and remaining well. A more recent trial compared the effects of a 4-month family-focused

Courtesy Dr Ellen Frank



Ellen Frank and colleagues have developed important new treatments to prevent recurrences of mood disorders.

therapy and an educational control condition on preventing on the 1-year course of mood symptoms in youths who are at high risk for developing bipolar disorder based on their family history and environment (Miklowitz et al., 2013). The study showed that participants who received the family-focused therapy had a more rapid recovery from their initial mood symptoms and were more often in remission over the 1 year following treatment than those in the education control condition. The specificity of this effect on bipolar depression, which is the most common stage of bipolar disorder, combined with the lack of effectiveness of antidepressants, suggest that these procedures will provide an important contribution to the comprehensive treatment of bipolar disorder. Otto and colleagues (2008a, 2008b) have synthesized these evidence-based psychological treatment procedures for bipolar disorder into a new treatment protocol.

Let us now return to Katie, who, you will remember, had made a serious suicide attempt amid a major depressive episode.

Katie... The Triumph of the Self

Like the overwhelming majority of people with serious psychological disorders, Katie had never received an adequate course of treatment, although she was evaluated occasionally by various mental health professionals. She lived in a rural area where competent professional help was not readily available. Her life ebbed and flowed with her struggle to subdue anxiety and depression. When she could manage her emotions sufficiently, she took an occasional course in the high school independent study program. Katie discovered that she was fascinated by learning. She enrolled in a local community college at the age of 19 and did extremely well, even though she had not progressed beyond her freshman year in high school. At the college, she earned a high school equivalency degree. She went to work in a local factory. But she continued to drink heavily and to take Valium; occasionally, anxiety and depression would return and disrupt her life.

Finally, Katie left home, attended college full time, and fell in love. But the romance was one-sided, and she was rejected.

One night after a phone conversation with him, I nearly drank myself to death. I lived in a single room alone in the dorm. I drank as much vodka as quickly as I could. I fell asleep. When I awoke, I was covered in vomit and couldn't recall falling asleep or being sick. I was drunk for much of the next day. When I awoke the following morning, I realized I could have killed myself by choking on my own vomit. More importantly, I wasn't sure if I fully wanted to die. That was the last of my drinking.

Katie decided to make some changes. Taking advantage of what she had learned in the little treatment she had received, she began looking at life and herself differently. Instead of dwelling on how inadequate and evil she was, she began to pay attention to her strengths. "But I now

realized that I needed to accept myself as is, and work with any stumbling blocks that I faced. I needed to get myself through the world as happily and as comfortably as I could. I had a right to that." Other lessons learned in treatment now became valuable, and Katie became more aware of her mood swings:

I learned to objectify periods of depression as [simply] periods of "feeling." They are a part of who I am, but not the whole. I recognize when I feel that way, and I check my perceptions with someone that I trust when I feel uncertain of them. I try to hold on to the belief that these periods are only temporary.

Katie developed other strategies for coping successfully with life:

I try to stay focused on my goals and what is important to me. I have learned that if one strategy to achieve some goal doesn't work, there are other strategies that probably will. My endurance is one of my blessings. Patience, dedication, and discipline are also important. None of the changes that I have been through occurred instantly or automatically. Most of what I have achieved has required time, effort, and persistence.

Katie dreamed that if she worked hard enough she could help other people who had problems similar to her own. Katie pursued that dream and earned her Ph.D. in psychology. •

Suicide

Most days we are confronted with news about the war on cancer or the frantic race to find a cure for AIDS. We also hear never-ending admonitions to improve our diet and to exercise more to prevent heart disease. But another cause of death ranks right up there with the most frightening and dangerous medical conditions. This is the seemingly inexplicable decision to kill themselves made by approximately 40,000 people a year in the United States alone.

Statistics

Consider a group of 1,000 people, randomly selected from the world's population. Each year, four of these people will commit suicide, seven will make plans to kill themselves, and twenty will seriously consider suicide (Borges et al., 2010).

Suicide is officially the 11th leading cause of death in the United States (Nock, Borges, Bromet, Cha, et al., 2008), and most epidemiologists agree that the actual number of suicides may be 2 to 3 times higher than what is reported. Many of these unreported suicides occur when people deliberately drive into a bridge or off a cliff (Blumenthal, 1990), and in the past, it was not uncommon to attribute deaths by suicide to medical causes out of respect to the deceased (Marcus, 2010). Around the world, suicide causes more deaths per year than homicide or HIV/AIDS (Nock, Borges, Bromet, Cha, et al., 2008).

Suicide is overwhelmingly a white phenomenon. Most minority groups, including African Americans and Hispanics, seldom resort to this desperate alternative. As you might expect from the incidence of depression in Native Americans, however, their suicide rate is extremely high, far outstripping the rates in other ethnic groups (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], 2015); although there is great variability across tribes—among the Apache, the rates are nearly 4 times the national average (Mullany et al., 2009). Even more alarming is the dramatic increase in death by suicide beginning in adolescence. In 2012 in the United States, the number of deaths by suicide per 100,000 people rose from 1.73 in the 10 to 14 age group to 14.26 in the 20 to 24 age group (CDC, 2015). Firearms account for almost half of all suicides in this age group (CDC, 2015). Sadly, adolescents who are at risk for suicide have just as easy access to firearms (1 in 3 adolescents live in a home with a firearm) as those who are not at risk (Simonetti et al., 2015). Prevalence rates for suicide in adolescents differ greatly depending on ethnic group. This fact underscores the importance of attending to cultural considerations in the prevention and treatment of adolescent suicide (Goldston et al., 2008).

There is also a dramatic increase in suicide rates among the elderly compared with rates for younger age groups. This rise has been connected to the growing incidence of medical illness in our oldest citizens and to their increasing loss of social support (Conwell, Duberstein, & Caine, 2002) and resulting depression (Fiske et al., 2009; Boen, Dalgard, & Bjertness, 2012). As we have noted, a strong relationship exists between illness or infirmity and hopelessness or depression.

Suicide is not attempted only by adolescents and adults. Several reports exist of children 2 to 5 years of age who had attempted suicide at least once, many injuring themselves severely (Rosenthal & Rosenthal, 1984; Tishler, Reiss, & Rhodes, 2007), and suicide is the fifth leading cause of death from ages 5 to 14 (Minino et al., 2002).

Regardless of age, in every country around the world except China, males are 4 times more likely to *commit* suicide than females (Nock et al., 2011; World Health Organization, 2010). This startling fact seems to be related partly to gender differences in the types of suicide *attempts*. Males generally choose far more violent methods, such as guns and hanging; females tend to rely on less violent options, such as drug overdose (Callanan & Davis, 2012; Nock et al., 2011). More men commit suicide during old age and more women during middle age, partly because most attempts by older women are unsuccessful (Berman, 2009; Kuo, Gallo, & Tien, 2001).

Uniquely in China, more women commit suicide than men, particularly in rural settings (Sun, 2011; Wu, 2009; Nock, Borges, Bromet, Cha, et al., 2008; Phillips, Li, & Zhang, 2002). What accounts for this culturally determined reversal? Chinese scientists agree that China's suicide rates, probably the highest in the world, are the result of an absence of stigma. Suicide, particularly among women, is often portrayed in classical Chinese literature as a reasonable solution to problems. A rural Chinese woman's family is her entire world, and suicide is an honorable solution if the family collapses. Furthermore, highly toxic farm pesticides are readily available, and it is possible that many women who did not necessarily intend to kill themselves die after accidentally swallowing poison.

In addition to completed suicides, three other important indices of suicidal behavior are **suicidal ideation** (thinking seriously about suicide), **suicidal plans** (the formulation of a specific method for killing oneself), and **suicidal attempts** (the person survives) (Kessler et al., 2005; Nock et al., 2011). Also, Nock and Kessler (2006) distinguish "attempters" (self-injurers with the intent to die) from "gesturers" (self-injurers who intend not to die but to influence or manipulate somebody or communicate a cry for help). In a carefully done cross-national study using consistent definitions, the prevalence of suicide ideation has been estimated at 9.2%; 3.1% reported a suicide plan, and 2.7% attempted suicide during their lifetime (Nock, Borges, Bromet, Alonso, et al., 2008). Although males *commit* suicide more often than females in most of the world, females *attempt* suicide at least 3 times as often (Berman & Jobes, 1991; Kuo et al., 2001). And the overall rate of nonlethal suicidal thoughts, plans, and (unsuccessful) attempts is 40% to 60% higher in women than in men (Nock et al., 2011). This high incidence may reflect that more women than men are depressed and that depression is strongly related to suicide attempts (Berman, 2009). It is also interesting that despite the much higher rate of completed suicides among whites, there are no significant ethnic or racial differences in rates of suicide ideation, plans, or attempts (Kessler et al., 2005). Among adolescents, the ratio of *thoughts* about suicide to *attempts* is also between 3:1 and 6:1. In other words, between 16% and 30% of adolescents who think about killing themselves actually attempt it (Kovacs, Goldston, & Gatsonis, 1993; Nock, Borges, Bromet, Cha, et al., 2008). "Thoughts" in this context does not refer to a fleeting philosophical type of consideration but rather to a serious contemplation of the act. The first step down the dangerous road to suicide is thinking about it.

In a study of college students (among whom suicide is the second leading cause of death), approximately 12% had serious thoughts about suicide during the past 12 months (Wilcox et al., 2010). Only a minority of these college students with thoughts of suicide (perhaps around 10%) attempt to kill themselves, and only a few succeed (Schwartz, 2011). Nevertheless, given the enormity of the problem, suicidal thoughts are taken seriously by mental health professionals.

Causes

In the spring of 2003, Bernard Loiseau, one of the all-time great French chefs, learned that an important French restaurant guide, *Gault Millau*, was reducing the rating on one of his restaurants. This was the first time in his career that any of his restaurants had a rating reduced. Later that week, he killed himself. Although police quickly ruled his death a suicide, most people in France did not consider it a suicide. Along with his fellow chefs, they accused the guidebook of murder! They claimed that he had been deeply affected by the ratings demotion, as well as speculation in the press that he might lose one of his three Michelin stars (Michelin publishes the most famous French restaurant guide). This series of events caused a sensation throughout France and, indeed, throughout the culinary world. But did *Gault Millau* kill Loiseau? Let's examine the causes of suicide.

Past Conceptions

The great sociologist Emile Durkheim (1951) defined a number of suicide types, based on the social or cultural conditions in which they occurred. One type is “formalized” suicides that were approved of, such as the ancient custom of *hara-kiri* in Japan, in which an individual who brought dishonor to himself or his family was expected to impale himself on a sword. Durkheim referred to this as *altruistic suicide*. Durkheim also recognized the loss of social supports as an important provocation for suicide; he called this *egoistic suicide*. (Older adults who kill themselves after losing touch with their friends or family fit into this category.) Magne-Ingvær, Ojehagen, and Traskman-Bendz (1992) found that only 13% of 75 individuals who had seriously attempted suicide had an adequate social network of friends and relationships. Similarly, a recent study found that suicide attempters perceived themselves to have lower social support than did non-attempters (Riihimaki, Vuorilehto, Melartin, Haukka, & Isometsa, 2013). *Anomic suicides* are the result of marked disruptions, such as the sudden loss of a high-prestige job. (*Anomie* is feeling lost and confused.) Finally, *fatalistic suicides* result from a loss of control over one’s own destiny. The mass suicide of 39 Heaven’s Gate cult members in 1997 is an example of this type because the lives of those people were largely in the hands of Marshall Applewhite, a supreme and charismatic leader. Durkheim’s work was important in alerting us to the social contribution to suicide. Sigmund Freud (1917/1957) believed that suicide (and depression, to some extent) indicated unconscious hostility directed inward to the self rather than outward to the person or situation causing the anger. Indeed, suicide victims often seem to be psychologically “punishing” others who may have rejected them or caused some other personal hurt. Current thinking considers social and psychological factors but also highlights the potential importance of biological contributions.


Risk Factors

Edward Shneidman pioneered the study of risk factors for suicide (Shneidman, 1989; Shneidman, Farberow, & Litman, 1970). Among the methods he and others have used to study those conditions and events that make a person vulnerable is **psychological autopsy**. The psychological profile of the person who committed suicide is reconstructed through extensive interviews with

friends and family members who are likely to know what the individual was thinking and doing in the period before death. This and other methods have allowed researchers to identify a number of risk factors for suicide.

Family History

If a family member committed suicide, there is an increased risk that someone else in the family will also (Brent et al., 2015; Mann et al., 2005; Nock et al., 2011). In fact, among depressed patients, the strongest predictor of suicidal behavior was having a family history of suicide (Hantouche et al., 2010). Brent and colleagues (2002) noted that offspring of family members who had attempted suicide had 6 times the risk of suicide attempts compared with offspring of nonattempters. If a sibling was also a suicide attempter, the risk increased even more (Brent et al., 2003). This may not be surprising, because so many people who kill themselves are depressed or have some related mental disorder, and these disorders run in families (Nock et al., 2011). Nevertheless, the question remains: Are people who kill themselves simply adopting a familiar solution that they’ve witnessed in family members, or does an inherited trait, such as impulsivity, account for increased suicidal behavior in families? It seems both factors may contribute. If individuals have an early onset of their mood disorder, as well as aggressive or impulsive traits, then their families are at a greater risk for suicidal behavior (Mann et al., 2005). The possibility that something is inherited is also supported by several adoption studies. One found an increased rate of suicide in the biological relatives of adopted individuals who had committed suicide compared with a control group of adoptees who had not committed suicide (Nock et al., 2011). Also, reviewing studies of adopted children and their biological and adopted families, Brent and Mann (2005) found that adopted individuals’ suicidal behavior was predicted only by suicidal behavior in their biological relatives. This suggests some biological (genetic) contribution to suicide, even if it is relatively small, although it may not be independent of genetic contribution to depression or associated disorders.



©Tinseltown/Shutterstock.com

Men often choose violent methods of committing suicide. Actor Robin Williams, shown here, hanged himself. Singer Kurt Cobain shot himself.

Neurobiology

A variety of evidence suggests that low levels of serotonin may be associated with suicide and with violent suicide attempts (Pompili et al., 2010; Asberg, Nordstrom, & Traskman-Bendz, 1986; Cremniter et al., 1999; Winchel, Stanley, & Stanley, 1990). As we have noted, extremely low levels of serotonin are associated with impulsivity,

instability, and the tendency to overreact to situations. It is possible then that low levels of serotonin may contribute to creating a vulnerability to act impulsively. This may include killing oneself, which is sometimes an impulsive act. The studies by Brent and colleagues (2002) and Mann and colleagues (2005) suggest that transmission of vulnerabilities for a mood disorder, including the trait of impulsivity, may mediate family transmission of suicide attempts.

Existing Psychological Disorders and Other Psychological Risk Factors

More than 80% of people who kill themselves suffer from a psychological disorder, usually mood, substance use, or impulse control disorders (Berman, 2009; Brent & Kolk, 1990; Conwell et al., 1996; Joe, Baser, Breeden, Neighbors, & Jackson, 2006; Nock, Hwang, Sampson, & Kessler, 2009). Suicide is often associated with mood disorders, and for good reason. As many as 60% of suicides (75% of adolescent suicides) are associated with an existing mood disorder (Berman, 2009; Brent & Kolk, 1990; Oquendo et al., 2004). But many people with mood disorders do not attempt suicide, and, conversely, many people who attempt suicide do not have mood disorders. Therefore, depression and suicide, although strongly related, are still independent. Looking more closely at the relationship of mood disorder and suicide, some investigators have isolated hopelessness, a specific component of depression, as strongly predicting suicide (Beck, 1986; Goldston, Reboussin, & Daniel, 2006). But hopelessness also predicts suicide among individuals whose primary mental health problem is not depression (David Klonsky et al., 2012; Simpson, Tate, Whiting, & Cotter, 2011), a finding that also holds true in China (Cheung, Law, Chan, Liu, & Yip, 2006). A recent important theoretical account of suicide termed the “interpersonal theory of suicide” cites a perception of oneself as a burden on others and a diminished sense of belonging as powerful predictors of hopelessness and subsequently suicide (van Orden et al., 2010).

Alcohol use and abuse are associated with approximately 25% to 50% of suicides and are particularly evident in suicide among college students (Lamis, Malone, Langhinrichsen-Rohling, & Ellis, 2010) and adolescents (Pompili et al., 2012; Berman, 2009; Conwell et al., 1996; Hawton, Houston, Haw, Townsend, & Harriss, 2003). In fact, Brent and colleagues (1988) found that about one third of adolescents who commit suicide were intoxicated when they died and that many more might have been under the influence of drugs. Combinations of disorders, such as substance abuse and mood disorders in adults or mood disorders and conduct disorder in children and adolescents, seem to create a stronger vulnerability than any one disorder alone (Conwell et al., 1996; Nock, Hwang, et al., 2010; Woods et al., 1997). For example, Nock, Hwang, and colleagues (2010) noticed that depression alone did not predict suicidal ideation or attempts, but depression combined with impulse control problems and anxiety/agitation did. Woods and colleagues (1997) also found that substance abuse with other risk-taking behaviors—such as getting into fights, carrying a gun, or smoking—were predictive of teenage suicide, possibly reflecting impulsivity in these troubled adolescents. A closely related trait termed *sensation-seeking* predicts teenage suicidal behavior as well, above and beyond its relationship with depression and substance use (Ortin, Lake, Kleinman, & Gould, 2012). Past suicide attempts are another strong risk factor

and must be taken seriously (Berman, 2009). Cooper and colleagues (2005) followed almost 8,000 individuals who were treated in the emergency room for deliberate self-harm for up to 4 years. Sixty of these people later killed themselves, which equates to 30 times the rate for the general population.

A disorder characterized more by impulsivity than depression is borderline personality disorder (see Chapter 12). Individuals with this disorder, known for making manipulative and impulsive suicidal gestures without necessarily wanting to destroy themselves, sometimes kill themselves by mistake in as many as 10% of the cases. The combination of borderline personality disorder and depression is particularly deadly (Perugi et al., 2013; Soloff, Lynch, Kelly, Malone, & Mann, 2000).


The association of suicide with severe psychological disorders, especially depression, belies the myth that it is a response to disappointment in people who are otherwise healthy.

Stressful Life Events

Perhaps the most important risk factor for suicide is a severe, stressful event experienced as shameful or humiliating, such as a failure (real or imagined) in school or at work, an unexpected arrest, or rejection by a loved one (Blumenthal, 1990; Conwell et al., 2002; Joiner & Rudd, 2000). Physical and sexual abuse are also important sources of stress (Wagner, 1997). Evidence confirms that the stress and disruption of natural disasters increase the likelihood of suicide (Stratta et al., 2012; Krug et al., 1998), particularly in the case of extreme catastrophes like massive earthquakes (Matsubayashi, Sawada, & Ueda, 2012). Based on data from 337 countries experiencing natural disasters in the 1980s, Krug and colleagues (1998) concluded that the rates of suicide increased 13.8% in the 4 years after severe floods, 31% in the 2 years after



Cognitive behavioral group therapy has been shown to decrease suicidal behavior in individuals who have previously attempted suicide.



PhotoDisc/Getty Images

● FIGURE 7.11

Threshold model for suicidal behavior. 5HIAA 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid. (Based on Blumenthal, S. J., & Kupfer, D. J. (1988). Clinical assessment and treatment of youth suicide. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 17, 1–24.)

hurricanes, and 62.9% in the first year after an earthquake. Given preexisting vulnerabilities—including psychological disorders, traits of impulsiveness, and lack of social support—a stressful event can often put a person over the edge. An integrated model of the causes of suicidal behavior is presented in ● Figure 7.11.

Is Suicide Contagious?

Most people react to hearing the news of a suicide with sadness and curiosity. Some people react by attempting suicide themselves, often by the same method they have just heard about. Gould (1990) reported an increase in suicides during a 9-day period after widespread publicity about a suicide, and a recent review found a positive relationship between suicidal behavior and exposure to media coverage related to suicide (Sisask & Varnik, 2012). Clusters of suicides (several people copying one person) seem to predominate among teenagers, with as many as 5% of all teenage suicides reflecting an imitation (Gould, 1990; Gould, Greenberg, Veling, & Shaffer, 2003).

Why would anyone want to copy a suicide? First, suicides are often romanticized in the media: An attractive young person under unbearable pressure commits suicide and becomes a martyr to friends and peers by getting even with the (adult) world for creating such a difficult situation. Also, media accounts often describe in detail the methods used in the suicide, thereby providing a guide to potential victims. Little is reported about the paralysis, brain damage, and other tragic consequences of the incomplete or failed suicide or about how suicide is almost always associated with a severe psychological disorder. More important, even less is said about the futility of this method of solving problems (Gould, 1990, 2001; O'Carroll, 1990). To prevent these tragedies, mental health professionals must intervene immediately in schools and other locations with people who might be depressed or otherwise vulnerable to the contagion of suicide (Boyce, 2011). But it isn't clear that suicide is "contagious" in the infectious disease sense. Rather, the stress of a friend's suicide or some other major stress

may affect several individuals who are vulnerable because of existing psychological disorders (Joiner, 1999; Blasco-Fontecilla, 2012).

Treatment

Despite the identification of important risk factors, predicting suicide is still an uncertain art. Individuals with few precipitating factors unexpectedly kill themselves, and many who live with seemingly insurmountable stress and illness and have little social support or guidance somehow survive and overcome their difficulties.

Mental health professionals are thoroughly trained in assessing for possible suicidal ideation (Fowler, 2012; Joiner et al., 2007). Others might be reluctant to ask leading questions for fear of putting the idea in someone's head. We know, however, it is far more important to check for these "secrets" than to do nothing, because the risk of inspiring suicidal thoughts is small to nonexistent and the risk of leaving them undiscovered is enormous (Berman, 2009). Gould and colleagues (2005) found that more than 1,000 high school students who were asked about suicidal thoughts or behaviors during a screening program showed no risk of increased suicidal thoughts compared with a second group of 1,000 students who had the screening program without the questions about suicide. Therefore, if there is any indication that someone is suicidal, the mental health professional will inquire, "Has there been any time recently when you've thought that life wasn't worth living or had some thoughts about hurting yourself or possibly killing yourself?"

One difficulty with this approach is that sometimes these thoughts are implicit or out of awareness. Now Cha, Najmi, Park, Finn, and Nock (2010) have developed measures of implicit (unconscious) cognition, adapted from the labs of cognitive psychology, to assess implicit suicidal ideation. In this assessment using the Stroop test described in Chapter 2, people who demonstrated an implicit association between the words *death/suicide* and *self*, even if they weren't aware of it, were 6 times more likely to make a suicide attempt in the next 6 months than those without this specific association; thus, this assessment is a better predictor of suicide attempts than both




FIGURE 7.12

Attentional biases toward suicide-related stimuli in suicide attempters versus nonattempters. Among adults presenting to a psychiatric emergency department ($N = 124$), those presenting following a suicide attempt displayed greater attentional bias to suicide-related words (as indicated by more interference and slower reaction times on the Stroop test) than those who did not attempt suicide. (Adapted from Cha, C. B., Najmi, S., Park, J. M., Finn, C. T., & Nock, M. K. [2010]. Attentional bias toward suicide-related stimuli predicts suicidal behavior. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 119, 616–622.)

patients' own predictions and clinicians' predictions (Nock, Park, et al., 2010). These results appear in Figure 7.12. This procedure holds considerable promise for improving screening for people at risk.

The mental health professional will also check for possible recent humiliations and determine whether any of the factors are present that might indicate a high probability of suicide. For example, does a person who is thinking of suicide have a detailed plan or just a vague fantasy? If a plan is discovered that includes a specific time, place, and method, the risk is high. Does the detailed plan include putting all personal affairs in order, giving away possessions, and other final acts? If so, the risk is higher still. What specific method is the person considering? Generally, the more lethal and violent the method (guns, hanging, poison, and so on), the greater the risk it will be used. Does the person understand what might actually happen? Many people do not understand the effects of the pills on which they might overdose. Finally, has the person taken any precautions against being discovered? If so, the risk is extreme (American Psychiatric Association, 2003). In summary, the clinician must assess for (1) suicidal desire (ideation, hopelessness, burdensomeness, feeling trapped); (2) suicidal capability (past attempts, high anxiety and/or rage, available means); and (3) suicidal intent (available plan, expressed intent to die,

preparatory behavior) (Joiner et al., 2007). If all three conditions are present, immediate action is required.

If a risk is present, clinicians attempt to get the individual to agree to or perhaps even sign a "no-suicide" contract. Usually this includes a promise not to do anything remotely connected with suicide without contacting the mental health professional first. Although signing a contract will not prevent a suicide attempt in someone who is determined, if the person at risk refuses a contract (or the clinician has serious doubts about the patient's sincerity) and the suicidal risk is judged to be high, immediate hospitalization is indicated, even against the will of the patient. Whether the person is hospitalized or not, treatment aimed at resolving underlying life stressors and treating existing psychological disorders should be initiated immediately.

In view of the public health consequences of suicide, a number of programs have been implemented to reduce the rates of suicide. Most research indicates that such curriculum-based programs targeting the general population (universal programs) in schools or organizations on how to handle life stress or increase social support are not effective (Berman, 2009; Garfield & Zigler, 1993). More helpful are programs targeted to at-risk individuals, including adolescents in schools where a student has committed suicide. The Institute of Medicine (2002) recommends making services available immediately to friends and relatives of victims. An important step is limiting access to lethal weapons for anyone at risk for suicide. A recent analysis suggests that this may be the most powerful part of a suicide prevention program (Mann and colleagues, 2005). Telephone hotlines and other crisis intervention services also seem to be useful. Nevertheless, as Garfield and Zigler (1993) point out, hotline volunteers must be backed up by competent mental health professionals who can identify potentially serious risks. One large health maintenance organization carefully screened all of its approximately 200,000 members who came in for services for suicide risk and then intervened if any risk was noted. Suicides were greatly reduced in this very promising program (Hampton, 2010).

Specific treatments for people at risk have also been developed. Suicide prevention programs for the elderly, for example, tend to focus on decreasing risk factors (e.g., treating depression) rather than shoring up protective factors like familial support, and could be improved by greater involvement of individuals' social networks (Lapierre et al., 2011). Other interventions target specific mental health problems associated with suicide. For instance, Marsha Linehan and colleagues developed a noteworthy treatment for borderline personality disorder that addresses impulsive suicidal behavior associated with this condition (see Chapter 12).

Empirical research indicates that cognitive-behavioral interventions can be efficacious in decreasing suicide risk. For example, David Rudd and colleagues developed a brief psychological treatment targeting young adults who were at risk for suicide because of the presence of suicidal ideation accompanied by previous suicidal attempts, mood or substance use disorders, or both (Rudd et al., 1996). Patients were assessed up to 2 years following treatment, and results indicated reductions in suicidal ideation and behavior, as well as marked improvement in problem-solving ability. This program was expanded into a psychological treatment for suicidal behavior with empirical support for its efficacy (Rudd, Joiner, & Rajab, 2001). One of the more important studies to date has demonstrated that 10 sessions of cognitive therapy for recent suicide attempters cuts the

risk of additional attempts by 50% over the next 18 months (Brown et al., 2005). Specifically, 24% of those in the cognitive therapy group made a repeat attempt compared with 42% in the care-as-usual group. Because cognitive therapy is relatively widely available, this is an important development in suicide prevention (Joiner, 2014).

With the increased rate of suicide, particularly in adolescents, the tragic and paradoxical act is receiving increased scrutiny from public health authorities. The quest will go on to determine more effective and efficient ways of preventing one of the most serious consequences of any psychological disorder, the taking of one's own life.

DSM Controversies: When Should Normal Grief Be Considered Major Depressive Disorder?

Prior to DSM-5, if you met criteria for a major depressive episode in the two months following the loss, you would not receive a diagnosis of major depressive disorder, even if you otherwise met criteria for it (unless you had very severe symptoms such as strong suicidal ideation or psychotic features). This was called the "bereavement exclusion." This exclusion was dropped in DSM-5 for several reasons (Zisook et al., 2012). For example, it was noted that major depressive episodes often are triggered by stressful events other than loss of a loved one in vulnerable individuals and, if all of the criteria are otherwise met for a major depressive episode, there seemed no reason to exclude people simply because the precipitating event was the death of a loved one. Furthermore, data from a number of sources suggested no differences between depressive episodes triggered by loss or not triggered by loss, and that the biological, psychological, and social factors that make one vulnerable to developing major depression are the same whether the trigger is loss of a loved one or not (Shear et al., 2011; Zisook et al., 2012). Finally, the data indicated that eliminating the two

months bereavement exclusion would not greatly increase the numbers of people requiring treatment for major depression (Gilman et al., 2012; Zisook et al., 2012).

Nevertheless, this change was controversial, since some concluded that DSM-5 would be making the natural grieving process a disorder resulting in, among other things, frequent prescriptions of antidepressant medication to those who might be undergoing a normal process of grieving (Fox & Jones, 2013; Maj, 2008)! This is one part of the larger criticism levied at DSM-5 that the major purpose of DSM is to increase business for mental health professionals and make sure that large drug companies remain profitable. Advocates for dropping the bereavement exclusion point out that the diagnosis of major depressive disorder or posttraumatic stress disorder in response to other major life stressors is not controversial, nor should the development of major depressive disorder in some people in response to the loss of a loved one. Furthermore, the advocates continue, there are differences between a major depressive episode and


grief. Individuals undergoing grief experience feelings of emptiness and loss, and these feelings come in waves sometimes referred to as the "pangs of grief," always triggered by thoughts of the loss of the loved one. Furthermore, grieving individuals are most usually able to experience some positive emotions, and even humor and self-esteem is generally intact. In a major depressive episode, feelings of depression are persistent and seldom accompanied by any positive emotions. Thought processes are typically very generally pessimistic and self-critical accompanied by very low self-esteem and a sense of worthlessness (APA 2013).

In response, some mental health professionals propose that all intense sadness or stress—or even depression that is proportionate to the loss, the trauma, or the stress—should not be considered a disorder, since it is a natural experience of being human (Wakefield, Schmitz, First, & Horwitz, 2007). Time will tell if removing the bereavement exclusion from the diagnosis of major depressive disorder is a positive or negative development.

Exploring Mood Disorders

People with mood disorders experience one or both of the following:

- **Mania:** A frantic “high” with extreme overconfidence and energy, often leading to reckless behavior
- **Depression:** A devastating “low” with extreme lack of energy, interest, confidence, and enjoyment of life



TYPES OF MOOD DISORDERS

Depressive

Major Depressive Disorder

Symptoms of major depressive disorder:

- begin suddenly, often triggered by a crisis, change, or loss
 - are extremely severe, interfering with normal functioning
 - can be long term, lasting months or years if untreated
- Some people have only one episode, but the pattern usually involves repeated episodes or lasting symptoms.


Persistent Depressive Disorder (Dysthymia)

Long-term unchanging symptoms of mild depression, sometimes lasting 20 to 30 years if untreated. Daily functioning not as severely affected, but over time impairment is cumulative.

Double Depression

Alternating periods of major depression and dysthymia

PhotoDisc/Getty Images



Bipolar

People who have a bipolar disorder live on an unending emotional roller coaster.

Types of Bipolar Disorders

- **Bipolar I:** major depression and full mania
- **Bipolar II:** mania
- **Cyclothymia:** mild depression with mild mania, chronic and long term

During the **Depressive Phase**, the person may:

- lose all interest in pleasurable activities and friends
- feel worthless, helpless, and hopeless
- have trouble concentrating
- lose or gain weight without trying
- have trouble sleeping or sleep more than usual
- feel tired all the time
- medical cause
- think about death or attempt suicide

During the **Manic Phase**, the person may:

- feel extreme pleasure and joy from every activity
- daily activities
- sleep little without getting tired
- behavior: unrestrained buying sprees, sexual indiscretions, foolish business investments, etc.
- have “racing thoughts” and talk on and on
- be easily irritated and distracted

TREATMENT OF MOOD DISORDERS

Treatment for mood disorders is most effective and easiest when it's started early. Most people are treated with a combination of these methods.

Treatment

Medication

Antidepressants can help to control symptoms and restore neurotransmitter functioning.

Common types of antidepressants:

- Tricyclics (Tofranil, Elavil)
- inhibitors can have severe side effects, especially when combined with certain foods or over-the-counter medications
- and cause fewer side effects than tricyclics or MAO inhibitors
- serious; and dosage must be carefully regulated



PhotoDisc/Getty Images

Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy

Helps depressed people:

- attributions with more positive ones
- develop more effective coping behaviors and skills



PhotoDisc/Getty Images

Interpersonal Psychotherapy

Helps depressed people:

- depression (such as the loss of a loved one)
- develop skills to resolve interpersonal conflicts and build new relationships

Electroconvulsive Therapy (ECT)

- For severe depression, ECT is used when other treatments have been ineffective. It usually has temporary side effects, such as memory loss and lethargy. In some patients, certain intellectual and/or memory functions may be permanently lost.

Light Therapy

- For seasonal affective disorder

CHAPTER OUTLINE

Major Types of Eating Disorders

- Bulimia Nervosa
- Anorexia Nervosa
- Binge-Eating Disorder
- Statistics

Causes of Eating Disorders

- Social Dimensions
- Biological Dimensions
- Psychological Dimensions
- An Integrative Model

Treatment of Eating Disorders

- Drug Treatments
- Psychological Treatments
- Preventing Eating Disorders

Obesity

- Statistics
- Disordered Eating Patterns in Cases of Obesity
- Causes
- Treatment

Sleep–Wake Disorders: The Major Dyssomnias

- An Overview of Sleep–Wake Disorders
- Insomnia Disorder
- Hypersomnolence Disorders
- Narcolepsy
- Breathing-Related Sleep Disorders
- Circadian Rhythm Sleep Disorder

Treatment of Sleep Disorders

- Medical Treatments
- Environmental Treatments
- Psychological Treatments
- Preventing Sleep Disorders
- Parasomnias and Their Treatment



Justin Pumfrey/The Image Bank/Getty Images

Use scientific reasoning to interpret behavior:

- Identify basic biological, psychological, and social components of behavioral explanations (e.g., inferences, observations, operational definitions and interpretations) [APA SLO 2.1a] (see textbook pages 276–279, 304–310)

Engage in innovative and integrative thinking and problem solving:

- Describe problems operationally to study them empirically [APA SLO 2.3a] (see textbook pages 273–283, 301–310)

Describe applications that employ discipline-based problem solving:

- Correctly identify antecedents and consequences of behavior and mental processes [APA SLO 1.3b]. Describe examples of relevant and practical applications of psychological principles to everyday life [APA SLO 1.3a] (see textbook pages 284–289, 291–295, 311–316)

*Portions of this chapter cover learning outcomes suggested by the American Psychological Association (2013) in its guidelines for the undergraduate psychology major. Chapter coverage of these outcomes is identified above by APA Goal and APA Suggested Learning Outcome (SLO).

We now begin a series of three chapters on the interaction of psychological and social factors in relation to physical functioning. Most of us take our bodies for granted. We wake up in the morning assuming we will be alert enough to handle our required daily activities; we eat two or three meals a day and perhaps a number of snacks; we may engage in some vigorous exercise and, on some days, in sexual activity. We don't focus on our functioning to any great degree unless it is disrupted by illness or disease. Yet psychological and social factors can significantly disrupt these "activities of survival."

In this chapter, we talk about psychological disruptions of two of our relatively automatic behaviors, eating and sleeping, which substantially affect the rest of our behavior. In Chapter 9, we discuss the psychological factors involved in physical malfunctioning: specifically, illness and disease. Finally, in Chapter 10, we discuss disordered sexual behavior.

Major Types of Eating Disorders

Although some disorders we discuss in this chapter can be deadly, many of us are not aware they are widespread among us. They began to increase during the 1950s or early 1960s and have spread insidiously over the ensuing decades. In **bulimia nervosa**, out-of-control eating episodes, or **binges**, are followed by self-induced vomiting, excessive use of laxatives, or other attempts to purge (get rid of) the food. In **anorexia nervosa**, the person eats only minimal amounts of food or exercises vigorously to offset food intake so body weight sometimes drops dangerously. In **binge-eating disorder**, individuals may binge repeatedly and find it distressing, but they do not attempt to purge the food. The chief characteristic of these related disorders is an overwhelming, all-encompassing drive to be thin. Of the people with anorexia nervosa who are followed over a sufficient period, up to 20% die as a result of their disorder, with slightly more than 5% dying within 10 years (see,

for example, Franko et al., 2013; Millar et al., 2005; Papadopoulos, Ekbom, Brandt, & Ekselius, 2009). In fact, anorexia nervosa has the highest mortality rate of any psychological disorder reviewed in this book, including depression (Park, 2007; Papadopoulos et al., 2009). From 20% to 30% of anorexia-related deaths are suicides, which is 50 times higher than the risk of death from suicide in the general population (Agras, 2001; Arcelus, Mitchell, Wales, & Nielsen, 2011; Chavez & Insel, 2007). Suicide attempts are very common among people with eating disorders, affecting between 30% to 40% of patients at least once during their lifetime (Bulik et al., 2008; Pisetsky, Thornton, Lichtenstein, Pedersen, & Bulik, 2013).

A growing number of studies in different countries indicate that eating disorders are widespread and increased dramatically in Western countries from about 1960 to 1995, before seeming to level off somewhat according to the most recent data we have (Bulik et al., 2006; Hoek, 2002; Russell, 2009; Steiger, Bruce, & Israel, 2013). Most dramatic are the data for bulimia nervosa (Russell, 2009). Garner and Fairburn (1988) reviewed rates of referral to a major eating disorder center in Canada. Between 1975 and 1986, the referral rates for anorexia rose slowly, but the rates for bulimia rose dramatically—from virtually none to more than 140 per year. Similar findings have been reported from other parts of the world (Hay & Hall, 1991; Lacey, 1992), although more recent surveys suggest that rates for bulimia may be leveling off or even beginning to drop from highs reached in the 1990s (Keel, Heatherton, Dorer, Joiner, & Zalta, 2006). Nevertheless, a large-scale population survey (Hudson, Hiripi, Pope, & Kessler, 2007) continues to show a higher prevalence of eating disorders in younger age groups born between 1972 and 1985 than for older age groups, particularly for bulimia. Also Favaro, Caregaro, Tenconi, Bosello, and Santonastaso (2009) found earlier ages of onset for both anorexia and bulimia in recent years, so the "leveling off," if it's real, is recent.

The figures on mortality mentioned above represent six times the increase in death rates from eating disorders compared with death rates in the normal population (Arcelus et al., 2011; Franko et al., 2013; Papadopoulos et al., 2009). Eating disorders were included for the first time as a separate group of disorders in the fourth edition of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM-IV)*, published by the American Psychiatric Association in 2000.

The increases in eating disorders during the second half of the 20th century would be puzzling enough if they occurred across the population. What makes them even more intriguing is that they tend to be culturally specific. Until recently, eating disorders, particularly bulimia, were not found in developing countries, where access to sufficient food is so often a daily struggle; only in the West, where food was generally plentiful, have they been rampant. Now this has changed; evidence suggests that eating disorders are going global. For example, recent studies show estimates of prevalence in China and Japan are approaching those in the United States and other Western countries (Chen & Jackson, 2008; Jackson & Chen, 2011; Chiswua & O'Dea, 2010; Steiger et al., 2013). Not everyone in the world is at risk. Eating disorders tend to occur in a relatively small segment of the population. More than 90% of the severe cases are young females who live in a socially competitive environment. Increasingly, this group of girls and young women with eating disorders seek one another out on the Internet through "pro-ana" (anorexia), "pro-mia" (bulimia), and "thinspiration" websites and social networks, where they find

support and, in some cases, inspiration (e.g., my-pro-ana, 2013; Peng, 2008), much to the detriment of their health.

The very specificity of these disorders in terms of sex and age is unparalleled and makes the search for causes all the more interesting. In these disorders, unlike most others, the strongest contributions to etiology seem to be sociocultural rather than psychological or biological factors.

Obesity is not considered an official disorder in the *DSM*, but we consider it here because it is thought to be one of the most dangerous epidemics confronting public health authorities around the world today. The latest surveys indicate that close to 70% of adults in the United States are overweight, more than 35% meet criteria for obesity (Flegal, Carroll, Kit, & Ogden, 2012) and over 100 million people in the United States alone are dieting at any one time (Mann, Tomiyama, & Ward, 2015). These rates have been increasing for decades, although they may now be leveling off, at least in North America (Flegal et al., 2012; Ogden et al., 2006). Definitions of underweight, overweight, and obesity will be discussed further later, but they are based in part on body mass index (BMI), which is highly correlated with body fat. To determine your own BMI, refer to Table 8.1, which is applicable to both men and women. Keep in mind that the table may be off for some people, such as a muscular football player who may look overweight on the charts or a person with normal weight who is out of shape and has a lot of fat. But this table is useful for most people and is in use around the world. In this chapter, we focus on serious undernourishment (BMI less than 18.5), as well as obesity (BMI 30 or greater).

TABLE 8.1 Body Mass Index (BMI) Table

Height in Feet and Inches	Weight in Pounds														
	120	130	140	150	160	170	180	190	200	210	220	230	240	250	
4'6"	29	31	34	36	39	41	43	46	48	51	53	56	58	60	
4'8"	27	29	31	34	36	38	40	43	45	47	49	52	54	56	
4'10"	25	27	29	31	34	36	38	40	42	44	46	48	50	52	
5'0"	23	25	27	29	31	33	35	37	39	41	43	45	47	49	
5'2"	22	24	26	27	29	31	33	35	37	38	40	42	44	46	
5'4"	21	22	24	26	28	29	31	33	34	36	38	40	41	43	
5'6"	19	21	23	24	26	27	29	31	32	34	36	37	39	40	
5'8"	18	20	21	23	24	26	27	29	30	32	34	35	37	38	
5'10"	17	19	20	22	23	24	26	27	29	30	32	33	35	36	
6'0"	16	18	19	20	22	23	24	26	27	28	30	31	33	34	
6'2"	15	17	18	19	21	22	23	24	26	27	28	30	31	32	
6'4"	15	16	17	18	20	21	22	23	24	26	27	28	29	30	
6'6"	14	15	16	17	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	27	28	29	
6'8"	13	14	15	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	28	

Underweight

Healthy weight

Overweight

Obese

The more overweight someone is at a given height, the greater the risks to health (Convit, 2012). These risks are widespread and involve greatly increased prevalence of cardiovascular disease, diabetes, hypertension, stroke, gallbladder disease, respiratory disease, muscular skeletal problems, and hormone-related cancers (Convit, 2012; Flegal, Graubard, Williamson, & Gail, 2005; Henderson & Brownell, 2004). And more recent evidence indicates that these risks may be even greater than previously thought (Stokes & Preston, 2015). Obesity is included in this chapter, because it is produced by the consumption of a greater number of calories than are expended in energy. The behavior that produces this distorted energy equation contradicts a common assumption—namely, that people with obesity do not necessarily eat more or exercise less than their lean counterparts. They do. Although the tendency to overeat and exercise too little unquestionably has a genetic component, as described later, the excessive eating at the core of the problem is the reason that obesity could be considered a disorder of eating.

We begin by examining bulimia nervosa, anorexia nervosa, and a closely related disorder, binge eating disorder, where individuals binge on food as they do in bulimia nervosa, but they don't compensate for the binging by purging. We then briefly review obesity.

Bulimia Nervosa

You are probably familiar with bulimia nervosa from your own experience or a friend's. It is one of the most common psychological disorders on college campuses. Consider the case of Phoebe.

Phoebe... Apparently Perfect

Phoebe was a classic all-American girl: popular, attractive, intelligent, and talented. By the time she was a senior in high school, she had accomplished a great deal. She was a class officer throughout high school, homecoming princess her sophomore year, and junior prom queen. She dated the captain of the football team. Phoebe had many talents, among them a beautiful singing voice and marked ability in ballet. Each year at Christmastime, her ballet company performed the *Nutcracker Suite*, and Phoebe attracted much attention with her poised performance in a lead role. She played on several school athletic teams. Phoebe maintained an A-minus average, was considered a model student, and was headed for a top-ranked university.

But Phoebe had a secret: She was haunted by her belief that she was fat and ugly. Every single bite of food that she put in her mouth was, in her mind, another step down the inexorable path that led to the end of her success and popularity. Phoebe had been concerned about her weight since she was 11. Ever the perfectionist, she began regulating her eating in junior high school. She would skip breakfast (over the protestations of her mother), eat a small bowl of pretzels at noon, and allow herself one half of whatever she was served for dinner.

This behavior continued into high school, but as Phoebe struggled to restrict her eating, occasionally she would binge on junk food. Sometimes she stuck her fingers down her

throat after a binge (she even tried a toothbrush once), but this tactic was unsuccessful. During her sophomore year in high school, Phoebe reached her full adult height of 5 feet 2 inches and weighed 110 pounds; she continued to fluctuate between 105 and 110 pounds throughout high school. By the time she was a senior, Phoebe was obsessed with what she would eat and when. She used every bit of her willpower attempting to restrict her eating, but occasionally she failed. One day during the fall of her senior year, she came home after school and, alone in front of the television, she ate two big boxes of candy. Depressed, guilty, and desperate, she went to the bathroom and stuck her fingers farther down her throat than she had ever before dared. She vomited. And she kept vomiting. Although so physically exhausted that she had to lie down for half an hour, Phoebe had never in her life felt such an overwhelming sense of relief from the anxiety, guilt, and tension that always accompanied her binges. She realized that she had gotten to eat all that candy and now her stomach was empty. It was the perfect solution to her problems.

Phoebe learned quickly what foods she could easily vomit. And she always drank lots of water. She began to restrict her eating even more and her bingeing increased.

This routine went on for about 6 months, until April of her senior year in high school. By this time, Phoebe had lost much of her energy, and her schoolwork was deteriorating. Her teachers noticed this and saw that she looked bad. She was continually tired, her skin was broken out, and her face puffed up, particularly around her mouth. Her teachers and mother suspected that she might have an eating problem. When they confronted her, she was relieved her problem was finally out in the open, and stopped binging for a while, but mortally afraid of gaining weight and losing her popularity, Phoebe resumed her pattern, but she was now much better at hiding it. For 6 months, Phoebe binged and purged approximately 15 times a week.

When Phoebe went away to college that fall, things became more difficult. Now she had a roommate to contend with, and she was more determined than ever to keep her problem a secret. Although the student health service offered workshops and seminars on eating disorders for the freshman women, Phoebe knew that she could not break her cycle without the risk of gaining weight. To avoid the communal bathroom, she went to a deserted place behind a nearby building to vomit.

She kept her secret until the beginning of her sophomore year, when her world fell apart. One night, after drinking beer and eating fried chicken at a party she attempted to cope with her guilt anxiety and tension in the usual manner, but when she tried to vomit, her gag reflex seemed to be gone. Breaking into hysterics, she called her boyfriend and told him she was ready to kill herself. Her loud sobbing and crying attracted the attention of her friends in her dormitory, who attempted to comfort her. She confessed her problem to them. She also called her parents. At this point, Phoebe realized that her life was out of control and that she needed professional help. •

Clinical Description

The hallmark of bulimia nervosa is eating a larger amount of food—typically, more junk food than fruits and vegetables—than most people would eat under similar circumstances (Fairburn & Cooper, 1993; 2014). Patients with bulimia readily identify with this description, even though the actual caloric intake for binges varies significantly from person to person (Franko, Wonderlich, Little, & Herzog, 2004). Just as important as the *amount* of food eaten is that the eating is experienced as *out of control* (Fairburn & Cooper, 2014; Sysko & Wilson, 2011), a criterion that is an integral part of the definition of binge eating. Both criteria characterized Phoebe.

Another important criterion is that the individual attempts to *compensate* for the binge eating and potential weight gain, almost always by **purgung techniques**. Techniques include self-induced vomiting immediately after eating, as in the case of Phoebe, and using laxatives (drugs that relieve constipation) and diuretics (drugs that result in loss of fluids through greatly increased frequency of urination). Some people use both methods; others attempt to compensate in other ways. Some exercise excessively (although rigorous exercising is more usually a characteristic of anorexia nervosa; Davis and colleagues (1997), found that 57% of a group of patients with bulimia nervosa exercised excessively while 81% of a group with anorexia did). Others fast for long periods between binges. Bulimia nervosa was subtyped in *DSM-IV-TR* into *purgung type* (e.g., vomiting, laxatives, or diuretics) or *nonpurgung type* (e.g., exercise and/or fasting). But the non-purgung type has turned out to be quite rare, accounting for only 6% to 8% of patients with bulimia (Hay & Fairburn, 1998; Striegel-Moore et al., 2001). Furthermore, these studies found little evidence of any differences between purgung and nonpurgung types of bulimia,

nor were any differences evident in severity of psychopathology, frequency of binge episodes, or prevalence of major depression and panic disorder (van Hoeken, Veling, Sinke, Mitchell, & Hoek, 2009). As a result, this distinction was dropped in *DSM-5*.

Purgung is not a particularly efficient method of reducing caloric intake (Fairburn, 2013). Vomiting reduces approximately 50% of the calories just consumed—less if it is delayed at all (Kaye, Weltzin, Hsu, McConaha, & Bolton, 1993); laxatives and related procedures have little effect, acting, as they do, so long after the binge (Fairburn, 2013).

One of the more important additions to the *DSM-IV* criteria in 1994 was the specification of a psychological characteristic clearly present in Phoebe. Despite her accomplishments and success, she felt her continuing popularity and self-esteem would largely be determined by the weight and shape of her body. Garfinkel (1992) noted that of 107 women seeking treatment for bulimia nervosa, only 3% did not share this attitude. Recent investigations confirm that the major features of the disorder (bingeing, purging, over-concern with body shape, and so on) “cluster together” in someone with this problem, which strongly supports the validity of the diagnostic category (Bulik, Sullivan, & Kendler, 2000; Fairburn, & Cooper, 2014; Fairburn, Stice, et al., 2003; Franko et al., 2004).

Medical Consequences

Chronic bulimia with purgung has a number of medical consequences (Mehler, Birmingham, Crow, & Jahraus, 2010; Russell, 2009). One is salivary gland enlargement caused by repeated vomiting, which gives the face a chubby appearance. This was noticeable with Phoebe. Repeated vomiting also may erode the dental enamel on the inner surface of the front teeth as well as tear the esophagus. More important, continued vomiting may upset the chemical balance of bodily fluids, including sodium and potassium levels. This condition, called an *electrolyte imbalance*, can result in serious medical complications if unattended, including cardiac arrhythmia (disrupted heartbeat), seizures, and renal (kidney) failure, all of which can be fatal. Surprisingly, young women with bulimia also develop more body fat than age- and weight-matched healthy controls (Ludescher et al., 2009), the very effect they are trying to avoid! Normalization of eating habits will quickly reverse the imbalance. Intestinal problems resulting from laxative abuse are also potentially serious; they can include severe constipation or permanent colon damage. Finally, some individuals with bulimia have marked calluses on their fingers or the backs of their hands caused by the friction of contact with the teeth and throat when repeatedly sticking their fingers down their throat to stimulate the gag reflex.

Associated Psychological Disorders

An individual with bulimia usually presents with additional psychological disorders, particularly anxiety and mood disorders (Steiger et al., 2013; Swanson, Crow, Le Grange, Swendsen, & Merikangas, 2011; Sysko & Wilson, 2011). Results from a definitive national survey on the prevalence of eating disorders and associated psychological disorders found that 80.6% of individuals with bulimia had an anxiety disorder at some point during their

TABLE 8.1
Diagnostic Criteria for Bulimia Nervosa

- A.** Recurrent episodes of binge eating. An episode of binge eating is characterized by both of the following:
 - 1.** Eating, in a discrete period of time (e.g., within any 2-hour period), an amount of food that is definitely larger than most people would eat during a similar period of time and under similar circumstances
 - 2.** A sense of lack of control over eating during the episode (e.g., a feeling that one cannot stop eating or control what or how much one is eating)
- B.** Recurrent inappropriate compensatory behavior in order to prevent weight gain, such as self-induced vomiting; misuse of laxatives, diuretics, or other medications; fasting; or excessive exercise.
- C.** The binge eating and inappropriate compensatory behaviors both occur, on average, at least once a week for 3 months.
- D.** Self-evaluation is unduly influenced by body shape and weight.
- E.** The disturbance does not occur exclusively during episodes of anorexia nervosa.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.



Karwai Tang/Getty Images

LADY GAGA TALKS EATING DISORDERS: Singer Reveals She Was Bulimic in High School

Lady Gaga battled bulimia during her teen years. In February 2012, she discussed her past eating disorders in an interview with Maria Shriver.

How and why did she have eating disorders? Gaga admitted, “I used to throw up all the time in high school. So I’m not that confident. I wanted to be a skinny

little ballerina, but I was a voluptuous little Italian girl whose dad had meatballs on the table every night. I used to come home and say, ‘Dad, why do you always give us this food? I need to be thin.’ And he’d say, ‘Eat your spaghetti.’”

What was her advice to those who have their own weight and body issues? Thoughtfully, she said, “It’s really hard, but . . . you’ve got to talk to somebody about it. It made my voice bad, so I had to stop. The acid on your vocal cords . . . it’s very bad.”

Lady Gaga is famous, successful, talented, and idolized by many. But her struggle with weight, body issues, and confidence continues:

“Weight is still a struggle. Every video I’m in, every magazine cover, they stretch you—they make you perfect,” she confessed. “It’s not real life. I’m gonna say this about girls: The dieting has got to stop. Everyone just knock it off. Because at the end of the day, it’s affecting kids your age—and it’s making girls sick.”

Interestingly, in 2010 Gaga told New York Magazine, “Pop stars should not eat.” The magazine dutifully mentioned that Gaga “looked flush from a strict diet of starvation.”

Source: Adapted from http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2012/02/09/lady-gaga-reveals-she-was-bulimic-in-highschool_n_1266646.html

lives (Hudson et al., 2007), and 66% of adolescents with bulimia presented with a co-occurring anxiety disorder when interviewed (Swanson et al., 2011). But patients with anxiety disorders, on the other hand, do not necessarily have elevated rates of eating disorders (Schwalberg, Barlow, Alger, & Howard, 1992). Mood disorders, particularly depression, also commonly co-occur with bulimia, with about 20% of bulimic patients meeting criteria for a mood disorder when interviewed, and between 50% and 70% meeting criteria at some point during the course of their disorder (Hudson et al., 2007; Swanson et al., 2011).

For a number of years, one prominent theory suggested that eating disorders are simply a way of expressing depression. But most evidence indicates that depression follows bulimia and may be a reaction to it (Brownell & Fairburn, 1995; Steiger et al., 2013). Finally, substance abuse commonly accompanies bulimia nervosa. For example, Hudson and colleagues (2007) reported that 36.8% of individuals with bulimia and 27% of individuals with anorexia were also substance abusers when interviewed, with even higher lifetime rates of substance abuse. Wade, Bulik, Prescott, and Kendler (2004), in a twin study, found that shared risk factors of novelty seeking and emotional instability accounted for the high rates of comorbidity between bulimia and anxiety and substance use disorder, although these factors differed somewhat between males and females. In summary, bulimia seems strongly related to anxiety disorders and somewhat less so to mood and substance use disorders. Underlying traits of emotional instability and novelty seeking in these individuals may account for these patterns of comorbidity.

Anorexia Nervosa

Like Phoebe, the overwhelming majority of individuals with bulimia are within 10% of their normal weight (Fairburn & Cooper,

2014; Hsu, 1990). In contrast, individuals with anorexia nervosa (which literally means a “nervous loss of appetite”—an incorrect definition because appetite often remains healthy) differ in one important way from individuals with bulimia. They are so successful at losing weight that they put their lives in considerable danger. Both anorexia and bulimia are characterized by a morbid fear of gaining weight and losing control over eating. The major difference seems to be whether the individual is successful at losing weight. People with anorexia are proud of both their diets and their extraordinary control. People with bulimia are ashamed of both their eating issues and their lack of control (Brownell & Fairburn, 1995). Consider the case of Julie.

Julie... The Thinner, the Better

Julie was 17 years old when she first came for help. If you looked hard enough past her sunken eyes and pasty skin, you could see that she had once been attractive. But at present, she looked emaciated and unwell. Eighteen months earlier she had been overweight, weighing 140 pounds at 5 feet 1 inch. Her mother, a well-meaning but overbearing and demanding woman, nagged Julie incessantly about her appearance. Her friends were kinder but no less relentless. Julie, who had never had a date, was told by a friend she was cute and would have no trouble getting dates if she lost some weight. So she did! After many previous unsuccessful attempts, she was determined to succeed this time.

After several weeks on a strict diet, Julie noticed she was losing weight. She felt a control and mastery that she had never known before. It wasn’t long before she received

(Continued next page)

positive comments, not only from her friends but also from her mother. Julie began to feel good about herself. The difficulty was that she was losing weight too fast. She stopped menstruating. But now nothing could stop her from dieting. By the time she reached our clinic, she weighed 75 pounds but she thought she looked fine and, perhaps, could even stand to lose a bit more weight. Her parents had just begun to worry about her. Julie did not initially seek treatment for her eating behavior. Rather, she had developed a numbness in her left lower leg and a left foot drop—an inability to lift up the front part of the foot—that a neurologist determined was caused by peritoneal nerve paralysis believed to be related to inadequate nutrition. The neurologist referred her to our clinic.

Like most people with anorexia, Julie said she probably should put on a little weight, but she didn't mean it. She thought she looked fine, but she had "lost all taste for food," a report that may not have been true because most people with anorexia crave food at least some of the time but control their cravings. Nevertheless, she was participating in most of her usual activities and continued to do extremely well in school and in her extracurricular pursuits. Her parents were happy to buy her most of the workout videotapes available, and she began doing one every day, and then two. When her parents suggested she was exercising enough, perhaps too much, she worked out when no one was around. After every meal, she exercised with a workout tape until, in her mind, she burned up all the calories she had just taken in. •

The tragic consequences of anorexia among young celebrities and within the modeling world have been well publicized in the media. In November 2010, 28-year-old French model and actress Isabelle Caro died, weighing 93 pounds. At 5 feet 5 inches, she had a BMI of 15.47 (16 is considered starvation). Around 2006, first Spain, then

Italy, Brazil, and India, instituted bans on models with BMIs less than 18 from their top fashion shows (30% of models in Spain were turned away). In 2015, France instituted a similar ban. It is not clear yet whether the bans have impacted popular perception of ideal body size in these countries.

Clinical Description

Anorexia nervosa is less common than bulimia, but there is a great deal of overlap. For example,

David Gray/Reuters/Landov/Getty Images



Before her death at age 28, French model Isabelle Caro contributed to advertisements warning against the dangers of anorexia nervosa.



Peter Widmann/Alamy Stock Photo
NMSB/Custom Medical Stock/Getty Images

These women are at different stages of anorexia.

many individuals with bulimia have a history of anorexia; that is, they once used fasting to reduce their body weight below desirable levels (Fairburn & Cooper, 2014; Fairburn, Welch, et al., 1997).

Although decreased body weight is the most notable feature of anorexia nervosa, it is not the core of the disorder. Many people lose weight because of a medical condition, but people with anorexia have an intense fear of obesity and relentlessly pursue thinness (Fairburn & Cooper, 2014; Hsu, 1990; Russell, 2009). As with Julie, the disorder most commonly begins in an adolescent who is overweight or who perceives herself to be. She then starts a diet that escalates into an obsessive preoccupation with being thin. As we noted, severe, almost punishing exercise is common (Davis et al., 1997; Russell, 2009), as with Julie. Dramatic weight loss is achieved through severe caloric restriction or by combining caloric restriction and purging.

DSM-5 specifies two subtypes of anorexia nervosa. In the *restricting type*, individuals diet to limit calorie intake; in the *binge-eating-purging type*, they rely on purging. Unlike individuals with bulimia, binge-eating-purging anorexics binge on relatively small amounts of food and purge more consistently, in some cases each time they eat. Approximately half the individuals who meet criteria for anorexia engage in binge eating and purging (Fairburn & Cooper, 2014). Prospective data collected over 8 years on 136 individuals with anorexia reveal few differences between these two subtypes on severity of symptoms or personality (Eddy et al., 2002). At that time, fully 62% of the restricting subtype had begun bingeing or purging. Another study showed few differences between these subtypes and co-morbidity with anxiety disorders (Kaye et al., 2014). Thus, subtyping may not be useful in predicting the future course of the disorder but rather may reflect a certain phase or stage of anorexia, a finding confirmed in a more recent study (Eddy et al., 2008). For this reason, *DSM-5* criteria specify that subtyping refer only to the past 3 months (Peat, Mitchell, Hoek, & Wonderlich, 2009).

Individuals with anorexia are never satisfied with their weight loss. Staying the same weight from one day to the next or gaining any weight is likely to cause intense panic, anxiety, and depression.

TABLE 8.2

Diagnostic Criteria for Anorexia Nervosa

- A.** Restriction of energy intake relative to requirements, leading to a significantly low body weight in the context of age, sex, developmental trajectory, and physical health. *Significantly low weight* is defined as a weight that is less than minimally normal or, for children and adolescents, less than that minimally expected.
- B.** Intense fear of gaining weight or of becoming fat, or persistent behavior that interferes with weight gain, even though at a significantly low weight.
- C.** Disturbance in the way in which one's body weight or shape is experienced, undue influence of body weight or shape on self-evaluation, or persistent lack of recognition of the seriousness of the current low body weight.

Specify type:

Restricting type: During the past 3 months, the individual has not engaged in recurrent episodes of binge eating or purging behavior (i.e., self-induced vomiting or the misuse of laxatives, diuretics, or enemas). This subtype describes presentations in which weight loss is accomplished primarily through dieting, fasting, and/or excessive exercise.

Binge-eating/purging type: During the past 3 months, the individual has engaged in recurrent episodes of binge eating or purging behavior (i.e., self-induced vomiting or the misuse of laxatives, diuretics, or enemas).

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

Only continued weight loss every day for weeks on end is satisfactory. Although *DSM-5* criteria specify only “significantly low” body weight 15% below that expected, one study suggests that BMI averages close to 15.8 by the time treatment is sought (Berner, Shaw, Witt, & Lowe, 2013). Another key criterion of anorexia is a marked disturbance in body image. When Julie looked at herself in the mirror, she saw something different from what others saw. They saw an emaciated, sickly, frail girl in the throes of semistarvation. Julie saw a girl who still needed to lose at least a few pounds from some parts of her body. For Julie, her face and buttocks were the problems. Other girls might focus on other parts, such as the arms or legs or stomach.

After seeing numerous doctors, people like Julie become good at mouthing what others expect to hear. They may agree they are underweight and need to gain a few pounds—but they do not really believe it themselves. Question further and they will tell you the girl in the mirror is fat. Therefore, individuals with anorexia seldom seek treatment on their own. Usually pressure from somebody in the family leads to the initial visit (Agras, 1987; Fairburn & Cooper, 2014), as in Julie’s case. Perhaps as a demonstration of absolute control over their eating, some individuals with anorexia show increased interest in cooking and food. Some have become expert chefs, preparing all food for the family. Others hoard food in their rooms, looking at it occasionally.

Medical Consequences

One common medical complication of anorexia nervosa is cessation of menstruation (amenorrhea), which also occurs relatively often in bulimia (Crow, Thuras, Keel, & Mitchell, 2002). This feature can be an objective physical index of the degree of food restriction, but is inconsistent because it does not occur in all cases (Franko et al., 2004). Because of this inconsistency, amenorrhea was dropped as a diagnostic criterion in *DSM-5* (Attia & Roberto, 2009; Fairburn & Cooper, 2014). Other medical signs and symptoms of anorexia include dry skin, brittle hair or nails, and sensitivity to or intolerance of cold temperatures. Also, it is relatively common to see *lanugo*, downy hair on the limbs and cheeks. Cardiovascular problems, such as chronically low blood pressure and heart rate, can also result. If vomiting is part of the anorexia, electrolyte imbalance and resulting cardiac and kidney problems can result, as in bulimia (Mehler et al., 2010).

Associated Psychological Disorders

As with bulimia nervosa, anxiety disorders and mood disorders are often present in individuals with anorexia (Agras, 2001; Russell, 2009; Sysko & Wilson, 2011), with rates of depression occurring at some point during their lives in as many as 71% of cases (Godart et al., 2007). Interestingly, one anxiety disorder that seems to co-occur often with anorexia is obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) (see Chapter 5; Cederlöf et al., 2015; Keel et al., 2004; Kaye et al., 2014). In anorexia, unpleasant thoughts are focused on gaining weight, and individuals engage in a variety of behaviors, some of them ritualistic, to rid themselves of such thoughts. Future research will determine whether anorexia and OCD are truly similar or simply resemble each other. Substance abuse is also common in individuals with anorexia nervosa (Keel et al., 2003; Root et al., 2010; Swanson et al., 2011), and, in conjunction with anorexia, is a strong predictor of mortality, particularly by suicide.

Binge-Eating Disorder

Beginning in the 1990s, research focused on a group of individuals who experience marked distress because of binge eating but do not engage in extreme compensatory behaviors and therefore cannot be diagnosed with bulimia (Castonguay, Eldredge, & Agras, 1995; Fairburn et al., 1998). These individuals have binge-eating disorder (BED). After classification in *DSM-IV* as a disorder needing further study, BED is now included as a full-fledged disorder in *DSM-5* (Wonderlich, Gordon, Mitchell, Crosby, & Engel, 2009). Evidence that supports its elevation to disorder status includes somewhat different patterns of heritability compared with other eating disorders (Bulik et al., 2000), as well as a greater likelihood of occurring in males and a later age of onset. There is also a greater likelihood of remission and a better response to treatment in BED compared with other eating disorders (Striegel-Moore & Franko, 2008; Wonderlich et al., 2009).

Individuals who meet preliminary criteria for BED are often found in weight-control programs. For example, Brody, Walsh, and Devlin (1994) studied mildly obese participants in a weight-control program and identified 18.8% who met criteria for BED.

In other programs, with participants ranging in degree of obesity, close to 30% met criteria (see, for example, Spitzer et al., 1993). But Hudson and colleagues (2006) concluded that BED is a disorder caused by a separate set of factors from obesity without BED and is associated with more severe obesity. The general consensus is that about 20% of obese individuals in weight-loss programs engage in binge eating, with the number rising to approximately 50% among candidates for bariatric surgery (surgery to correct severe or morbid obesity). Fairburn, Cooper, Doll, Norman, and O'Connor (2000) identified 48 individuals with BED and were able to prospectively follow 40 of them for 5 years. The prognosis was relatively good for this group, with only 18% retaining the full diagnostic criteria for BED at a 5-year follow-up. The percentage of this group that was obese, however, increased from 21% to 39% at the 5-year mark, and crossing over to bulimia is very common among individuals with BED (Allen, Byrne, Oddy, & Crosby, 2013; Stice, Marti, & Rohde, 2013).

About half of individuals with BED try dieting before bingeing, and half start with bingeing and then attempt to diet (Abbott et al., 1998); those who begin bingeing first become more severely affected by BED and are more likely to have additional disorders (Brewerton, Rance, Dansky, O'Neil, & Kilpatrick, 2014; Spurrell, Wilfley, Tanofsky, & Brownell, 1997). It's also increasingly clear

that individuals with BED have some of the same concerns about shape and weight as people with anorexia and bulimia, which distinguishes them from individuals who are obese without BED (Fairburn & Cooper, 2014; Goldschmidt et al., 2010; Grilo, Masheb, & White, 2010; Steiger et al., 2013). It also seems that approximately 33% of those with BED binge to alleviate "bad moods" or negative affect (see, for example, Grilo, Masheb, & Wilson, 2001; Steiger et al., 2013; Stice, Akutagawa, Gagger, & Agras, 2000). These individuals are more psychologically disturbed than the 67% who do not use bingeing to regulate mood (Grilo et al., 2001).

Statistics

Clear cases of bulimia have been described for thousands of years (Parry-Jones & Parry-Jones, 2002), but bulimia nervosa was recognized as a distinct psychological disorder only in the 1970s (Boskind-Lodahl, 1976; Russell, 1979). Therefore, information on prevalence has been acquired relatively recently.

Among those who present for treatment, the overwhelming majority (90% to 95%) of individuals with bulimia are women. Males with bulimia have a slightly later age of onset, and a large minority are predominantly gay males or bisexual (Matthews-Ewald, 2014; Rothblum, 2002). For example, Carlat, Camargo, and Herzog (1997) accumulated information on 135 male patients with eating disorders who were seen over 13 years and found that 42% were either gay males or bisexual, a far higher rate of eating disorders than found in heterosexual males (Feldman & Meyer, 2007). Male athletes in sports that require weight regulation, such as wrestling, are another large group of males with eating disorders (Ricciardelli & McCabe, 2004). During 1998, stories were widely published about the deaths of three wrestlers from complications of eating disorders. More recent studies suggest the incidence among males is increasing (Dominé, Berchtold, Akré, Michaud, & Suris, 2009) with one study showing 0.8% of a large group of males having at least some of the symptoms of bulimia with another 2.9% having at least some of the symptoms of BED (Field et al., 2014). Interestingly, the gender imbalance in bulimia was not always present. Historians of psychopathology note that for hundreds of years, the vast majority of (unsystematically) recorded cases were male (Parry-Jones & Parry-Jones, 1994, 2002). Because women with bulimia are more common today, most of our examples are women.

Among women, adolescent girls are most at risk. A prospective 8-year survey of 496 adolescent girls reported that more than 13% experienced some form of eating disorder by the time they were 20 (Stice, Marti, Shaw, & Jaconis, 2009; Stice et al., 2013). In another elegant prospective study, eating-related problems of 1,498 freshmen women at a large university were studied over the 4-year college experience. Only 28% to 34% had no eating-related concerns. But 29% to 34% consistently attempted to limit their food intake because of weight/shape concerns; 14% to 18% engaged in overeating and binge eating; another 14% to 17% combined attempts to limit intake with binge eating; and 6% to 7% had pervasive bulimic-like concerns. And these tendencies were stable for the most part throughout their 4 years of college (Cain, Epler, Steinley, & Sher, 2010).

TABLE 8.3
Diagnostic Criteria for Binge-Eating Disorder

- A. Recurrent episodes of binge eating. An episode of binge eating is characterized by both of the following:
 - 1. Eating, in a discrete period of time (e.g., within any 2-hour period), an amount of food that is definitely larger than what most people would eat in a similar period of time under similar circumstances.
 - 2. A sense of lack of control over eating during the episode (e.g., a feeling that one cannot stop eating or control what or how much one is eating).
- B. The binge-eating episodes are associated with three (or more) of the following:
 - 1. Eating much more rapidly than normal.
 - 2. Eating until feeling uncomfortably full.
 - 3. Eating large amounts of food when not feeling physically hungry.
 - 4. Eating alone because of feeling embarrassed by how much one is eating.
 - 5. Feeling disgusted with oneself, depressed, or very guilty afterward.
- C. Marked distress regarding binge eating is present.
- D. The binge eating occurs, on average, at least once a week for 3 months.
- E. The binge eating is not associated with the recurrent use of inappropriate compensatory behavior as in bulimia nervosa and does not occur exclusively during the course of bulimia nervosa or anorexia nervosa.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

A somewhat different view of the prevalence of bulimia comes from studies of the population rather than of specific groups of adolescents, with the most definitive study appearing in 2007 (Hudson et al., 2007). These results from the National Comorbidity Survey reflect lifetime and 12-month prevalence, not only for the three major eating disorders described here but also for “subthreshold” BED, where binge eating occurred at a high-enough frequency but some additional criteria, such as “marked distress” regarding the binge eating, were not met. Therefore, the disorder did not meet the diagnostic “threshold” for BED. Although the study was conducted prior to the publication of *DSM-5*, the 3-month duration required for BED (or subthreshold BED), found in *DSM-5*, rather than the 6 months required in *DSM-IV-TR*, was used. Finally, if binge eating occurred at least twice a week for 3 months—even if it was just a symptom of the four other disorders in Table 8.2 rather than a separate condition—the case was listed under “Any binge eating.” This latter category provides an overall picture of the prevalence of binge eating. These data are all presented in Table 8.2. As you can see, lifetime prevalence was consistently 2 to 3 times greater for females, with the exception of subthreshold BED. This sex ratio reflects a somewhat higher proportion of males than found in other samples, but it agrees with data from the study mentioned above by Field and colleagues, (2014) showing that some binge eating symptoms are relatively common in males. No 12-month cases of anorexia were found in this sample, but a large study in Finland based on a telephone survey found a higher lifetime prevalence of anorexia of 2.2%, and half those cases had not been detected in the health-care system (Keski-Rahkonen et al., 2007). So it is possible that the prevalence of anorexia is underrepresented in some surveys. In the adolescent supplement to the National Comorbidity Survey that reports

results just for adolescents from ages 13 to 18, lifetime prevalence rates were 0.3% for anorexia (compared with 0.6% for the full age range in Table 8.2), 0.9 % for bulimia (compared with 1.0 % in Table 8.2), and 1.6% for BED (compared with 2.8 % in Table 8.2) (Swanson et al., 2011). This suggests that many cases of anorexia and BED, but not bulimia, begin after age 18.

The median age of onset for all eating-related disorders occurred in a narrow range of 18 to 21 years (Hudson et al., 2007), which is consistent with more recent findings (Stice et al., 2013). For anorexia, this age of onset was fairly consistent, with younger cases tending to begin at age 15, but it was more common for cases of bulimia to begin as early as age 10, as it did for Phoebe.

Once bulimia develops, it tends to be chronic if untreated (Fairburn, Stice, et al., 2003; Hudson et al., 2007). In an important study of the course of bulimia, referred to earlier, Fairburn and colleagues (2000) identified a group of 102 females with bulimia nervosa and followed 92 of them prospectively for 5 years. About a third improved to the point where they no longer met diagnostic criteria each year, but another third who had improved previously relapsed. Between 50% and 67% exhibited serious eating disorder symptoms at the end of each year of the 5-year study, indicating this disorder has a relatively poor prognosis. In a follow-up study, Fairburn, Stice, and colleagues. (2003) reported that the strongest predictors of persistent bulimia were a history of childhood obesity and a continuing overemphasis on the importance of being thin. In addition, individuals tend to retain their bulimic symptoms instead of shifting to symptoms of other eating disorders (Eddy et al., 2008; Keel et al., 2000).

Similarly, once anorexia develops, its course seems chronic—although not so chronic as bulimia, based on data from Hudson and colleagues (2007), particularly if it is caught early and treated. But individuals with anorexia tend to maintain a low BMI over a long period, along with distorted perceptions of shape and weight, indicating that even if they no longer meet criteria for anorexia they continue to restrict their eating (Fairburn & Cooper, 2014). Perhaps for this reason, anorexia is thought to be more resistant to treatment than bulimia, based on clinical studies (Vitiello & Lederhendler, 2000). In one 7-year study following individuals who had received treatment, 33% of those with anorexia versus 66% of those with bulimia reached full remission at some point during the follow-up (Eddy et al., 2008).

Cross-Cultural Considerations

We have already discussed the highly culturally specific nature of anorexia and bulimia. A particularly striking finding is that these disorders develop in immigrants who have recently moved to Western countries (Anderson-Fye, 2009). One of the more interesting classic studies is Nasser's survey of 50 Egyptian women in London universities and 60 Egyptian women in Cairo universities (Nasser, 1988). There were no instances of eating disorders in Cairo, but 12% of the Egyptian women in England had developed eating disorders. Mumford, Whitehouse, and Platts (1991) found comparable results with Asian women living in the United States.

Later, we discuss the increase in obesity among recent immigrant groups to the United States that also seems to illustrate

TABLE 8.2 Lifetime and 12-Month Prevalence Estimates of *DSM-IV-TR* Eating Disorders and Related Problems

	Male	Female	Total
	%	%	%
I. Lifetime prevalence			
Anorexia nervosa	0.3	0.9	0.6
Bulimia nervosa	0.5	1.5	1.0
Binge-eating disorder	2.0	3.5	2.8
Subthreshold binge-eating disorder	1.9	0.6	1.2
Any binge eating	4.0	4.9	4.5
II. 12-month prevalence*			
Bulimia nervosa	0.1	0.5	0.3
Binge-eating disorder	0.8	1.6	1.2
Subthreshold binge-eating disorder	0.8	0.4	0.6
Any binge eating	1.7	2.5	2.1
(n) Number of participants	(1,220)	(1,760)	(2,980)

*None of the respondents met criteria for 12-month anorexia nervosa.


Source: From Hudson et al. (2007). The prevalence and correlates of eating disorders in the national comorbidity survey replication. *Biological Psychiatry*, 61, 348–358. © Society for Biological Psychiatry.

cultural contributions to eating problems (Goel, McCarthy, Phillips, & Wee, 2004). The prevalence of eating disorders varies somewhat among most North American minority populations, including African Americans, Hispanics, Native Americans, and Asians. Earlier surveys revealed that African American adolescent girls have less body dissatisfaction, fewer weight concerns, a more positive self-image, and perceive themselves to be thinner than they are, compared with the attitudes of Caucasian adolescent girls (Celio, Zabinski, & Wilfley, 2002). Another study (Hoek et al., 2005) on the small relatively isolated Caribbean island of Curacao in the Netherlands Antilles, where the population is only approximately 150,000, found that the incidence of anorexia from 1995 to 1998 was zero among the majority black population but approached levels observed in the Netherlands and United States for the minority white and mixed population.

Several years ago, Striegel-Moore and colleagues (2003) surveyed 985 white women and 1,061 black women who had participated in a 10-year government study on growth and health and who were now 21 years old on average. The number in each group who developed anorexia, bulimia, or BED during that 10-year period is presented in Figure 8.1. Major risk factors for eating disorders in all groups included being overweight, higher social class, and acculturation to the majority (Crago et al., 1997; Grabe & Hyde, 2006; Wilfley & Rodin, 1995). Greenberg and LaPorte (1996) observed in an experiment that young white males preferred somewhat thinner figures in women than African American males, which may contribute to the somewhat lower incidence of eating disorders in African American women. But a more recent survey suggests some of these ethnic differences may be changing. Marques and colleagues (2011) found that the prevalence

● FIGURE 8.1

Time to onset over 10 years of anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa, and BED for 2,046 white and black women, age 19–24 years, who ever met the DSM-IV criteria for each eating disorder (Reprinted, with permission, from Striegel-Moore, R. H., Dohm, F. A., Kraemer, H. C., Taylor, C. B., Daniels, S., Crawford, P. B., & Schreiber, G. B. (2003). Eating disorders in white and black women. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 160(7), 1329, © 2003 American Psychiatric Press.)



of eating disorders is now more similar among non-Hispanic whites, African American, Asian American, and Hispanic females. Eating disorders are generally more common among Native Americans than other ethnic groups (Crago, Shisslak, & Estes, 1997).

In conclusion, anorexia and bulimia are relatively homogeneous, and both—particularly bulimia—were overwhelmingly associated with Western cultures until recently. In addition, the frequency and pattern of occurrence among minority Western cultures differed somewhat in the past, but those differences seem to be diminishing (Marques et al., 2011; Pike, Hoek, & Dunne, 2014).

Developmental Considerations

Because the overwhelming majority of cases begin in adolescence, it is clear that anorexia and bulimia are strongly related to development (Smith, Simmons, Flory, Annus, & Hill, 2007; Steiger et al., 2013). As pointed out in classic studies by Striegel-Moore, Silberstein, and Rodin (1986) and Attie and Brooks-Gunn (1995), differential patterns of physical development in girls and boys interact with cultural influences to create eating disorders. After puberty, girls gain weight primarily in fat tissue, whereas boys develop muscle and lean tissue. As the ideal look in Western countries is tall and muscular for men and thin and prepubertal for women, physical development brings boys closer to the ideal and takes girls further away.

Eating disorders, particularly anorexia nervosa, occasionally occur in children under the age of 11 (Walsh, 2010). In those rare cases of young children developing anorexia, they are likely to restrict fluid intake, as well as food intake, perhaps not understanding the difference (Gislason, 1988; Walsh, 2010). This is particularly dangerous. Concerns about weight are somewhat less common in young children. Nevertheless, negative attitude toward being overweight emerges as early as 3 years of age, and more than half of girls age 6 to 8 would like to be thinner (Striegel-Moore & Franko, 2002). By 9 years of age, 20% of girls reported trying to lose weight, and by 14, 40% were trying to lose weight (Field et al., 1999). Another study that followed girls and boys for 10 years starting around age 12 found that about 55% of the girls at age 12 were dieting, and about 59% were dieting at age 22. Further, they found that extreme weight control behaviors increased over time in this group with a particular increase between adolescence and young adulthood (Neumark-Sztainer, Wall, Larson, Eisenberg, & Loth, 2011).

Both bulimia and anorexia can occur in later years, particularly after the age of 55. Hsu and Zimmer (1988) reported that most of these individuals had had an eating disorder for decades with little change in their behavior. In a few cases, however, onset did not occur until later years, and it is not yet clear what factors were involved. Generally, concerns about body image decrease with age (Peat, Peyerl, & Muehlenkamp, 2008; Tiggemann & Lynch, 2001; Whitbourne & Skultety, 2002).



© Olesia Bilkei/Shutterstock.com

Anorexia seldom occurs among North American black women.

Causes of Eating Disorders

As with all disorders discussed in this book, biological, psychological, and social factors contribute to the development of these serious eating disorders. The evidence is increasingly clear, however, that the most dramatic factors are social and cultural.

Social Dimensions

Remember that anorexia and particularly bulimia are the most culturally specific psychological disorders yet identified. What drives so many young people into a punishing and life-threatening routine of semistarvation or purging? For many young women, looking good is more important than being healthy. For young females in competitive environments, self-worth, happiness, and success are largely determined by body measurements and percentage of body fat, factors that have little or no correlation with personal happiness and success in the long run. The cultural imperative for thinness directly results in dieting, the first dangerous step down the slippery slope to anorexia and bulimia.

Levine and Smolak referred over 20 years ago (1996) to “the glorification of slenderness” in magazines and on television, where most females are thinner than the average American woman. Because overweight men are 2 to 5 times more common as television characters than overweight women, the message from the media to be thin is clearly aimed at women, and the message got through loud and clear and is still getting through. Grabe, Ward, and Hyde (2008), reviewing 77 studies, demonstrated a strong relationship between exposure to media images depicting the thin-ideal body and body image concerns in women. An analysis of prime-time situation comedies revealed that 12% of female characters were dieting and many were making disparaging comments about their body image

Anorexia Nervosa: Susan



“Basically . . . I don’t want to eat because it seems like, as soon as I eat, I just gain weight, get fat. . . . There are some times when I can’t stop it, I just have to, and then, once I eat, there is a strong urge to either purge or take a laxative. . . . It never stops. . . . It becomes very obsessive, where you’re getting on the scales ten times a day. . . . I weigh 96 pounds now.”

Go to MindTap at
www.cengagebrain.com
to watch this video.


(Tiggemann, 2002). Interestingly, a recent analysis of images of women in *Ebony* magazine, which has wide African-American readership, generally does not show this thin-ideal body image, seemingly reflecting the somewhat lower prevalence of body image disturbances in African-American women (Thompson-Brenner, Boisseau, & St. Paul, 2011). Finally, Thompson and Stice (2001) found that risk for developing eating disorders was directly related to the extent to which women internalize or “buy in” to media messages and images glorifying thinness, a finding also confirmed by Cafri, Yamamiya, Brannick, and Thompson (2005), as well as Keel and Forney (2013).

The problem with today’s standards is that they are increasingly difficult to achieve, because the size and weight of the average woman has increased over the years with improved nutrition; there is also a general increase in size throughout history (Brownell, 1991; Brownell & Rodin, 1994). Whatever the cause, the collision between our culture and our physiology (Brownell, 1991; Fairburn &

Brownell, 2002) has had some negative effects, one of which is that women became dissatisfied with their bodies.

In a classic case study, Fallon and Rozin (1985), studying male and female undergraduates, found that men rated their current size, their ideal size, and the size they figured would be most attractive to the opposite sex as approximately equal; indeed, they rated their ideal body weight as *heavier* than the weight females thought most attractive in men (see ● Figure 8.2). Women, however, rated their current figures as much heavier than what they judged the most attractive, which in turn, was rated as heavier than what they thought was ideal. This conflict between reality and fashion seems most closely related to the current epidemic of eating disorders.

Other researchers have presented interesting data that support Fallon and Rozin’s findings that men have different body



● FIGURE 8.2

Male and female ratings of body size. (Based on Fallon & Rozin [1985].)

image perceptions than women. Several studies confirmed that men generally desire to be heavier and more muscular than they are, (Field et al., 2014; Neumark-Sztainer & Eisenberg, 2014). Pope and colleagues, (2000) measured the height, weight, and body fat of college-age men in three countries—Austria, France, and the United States. They asked the men to choose the body image that they felt represented (1) their own body, (2) the body they ideally would like to have, (3) the body of an average man of their age, and (4) the male body they believed was preferred by women. In all three countries, men chose an ideal body weight that was approximately 28 pounds more muscular than their current one. They also estimated that women would prefer a male body about 30 pounds more muscular than their current one. In contradiction to this impression in men, Pope and colleagues (2000) demonstrated, in a pilot study, that most women preferred an ordinary male body without the added muscle. Men who abuse anabolic-androgenic steroids to increase muscle mass and “bulk up” possess these distorted attitudes toward muscles, weight, and the “ideal man” to a greater degree than men who don’t use steroids (Kanayama, Barry, & Pope, 2006), and utilizing these unhealthy substances can lead to other serious problems such as binge drinking or other drug addictions (Field et al., 2014; Neumark-Sztainer & Eisenberg, 2014).

We have some specific information on how these attitudes are socially transmitted in adolescent girls. In an early study, Paxton, Schutz, Wertheim, and Muir (1999) explored the influence of close friendship groups on attitudes concerning body image, dietary restraint, and extreme weight-loss behaviors. In a clever experiment, the authors identified 79 different friendship cliques in a group of 523 adolescent girls. They found that these friendship cliques tended to share the same attitudes toward body image, dietary restraint, and the importance of attempts to lose weight. They assumed from the study that these friendship cliques are significantly associated with individual body image concerns and eating behaviors. In other words, if your friends tend to use extreme dieting or other weight-loss techniques, there is a greater chance that you will, too (Hutchinson & Rapee, 2007). A recent, more definitive study concludes that while young girls do tend to share body image concerns,

Courtesy of Kelly Brownell

 these friendship cliques do not necessarily cause these attitudes or the disordered eating that follows. Rather, adolescent girls simply tend to choose friends who already share these attitudes (Rayner, Schniering, Rapee, Taylor, & Hutchinson, 2012). Nevertheless, any attempts to treat eating disorders must take into account the influence of the social network in maintaining these attitudes.

The abhorrence of fat can have tragic consequences. In one early study, toddlers with affluent parents appeared at hospitals with “failure to thrive” syndrome, in which growth and development are severely retarded


because of inadequate nutrition. In each case, the parents had put their young, healthy, but somewhat chubby toddlers on diets in the hope of preventing obesity at a later date (Pugliese, Weyman-Daun, Moses, & Lifshitz, 1987). Mothers who have anorexia restrict food intake in not only themselves but also their children, sometimes to the detriment of their children’s health (Russell, 2009).

Most people who diet don’t develop eating disorders, but Patton, Johnson-Sabine, Wood, Mann, and Wakeling (1990) determined in a prospective study that adolescent girls who dieted were 8 times more likely to develop an eating disorder 1 year later than those who weren’t dieting. And Telch and Agras (1993) noted marked increases in bingeing during and after rigorous dieting in 201 obese women.

Stice and colleagues (1999) demonstrated that one of the reasons attempts to lose weight may lead to eating disorders is that weight-reduction efforts in adolescent girls are more likely to result in weight gain than weight loss! To establish this finding, 692 girls, initially the same weight, were followed for 4 years. Girls who attempted dieting faced more than 300% greater risk of obesity than those who did not diet. Results are presented in ● Figure 8.3.

Why does dieting cause weight gain? Cottone and colleagues (2009) began feeding rats junk food, which the rats came to love, instead of a boring diet of pellets. They then withdrew the junk food but not the pellets. Based on observations of brain function compared with rats who never had junk food, it was clear that these rats became extremely stressed and anxious. Furthermore, the “junk food” rats began eating more of the pellets than the control group; which then seemed to relieve the stress. Thus, repeated cycles of “dieting” seems to produce stress-related withdrawal symptoms in the brain, much like other addictive substances, resulting in more eating than would have occurred without dieting.

Fairburn, Cooper, Doll, and Davies (2005) examined a group of 2,992 young women who were dieting and identified 104 who developed an eating disorder over the next 2 years. Among all of these dieters, several risk factors were identified. Those most at



● FIGURE 8.3

The onset of obesity over 4 years for self-labeled dieters versus self-labeled nondieters. (From Stice, E., Cameron, R. P., Killen, J. D., Hayward, C., & Taylor, C. B. [1999]. Naturalistic weight-reduction efforts prospectively predict growth in relative weight and onset of obesity among female adolescents. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 67, 967–974.)

Kelly Brownell documented the collision between culture and physiology that results in overwhelming pressure to be thinner.



Peter Willi/Superstock



© MICHAEL BUSH/UP/Landow/Shutterstock.com

Changing concepts of ideal weight are evident in a 17th-century painting by Peter Paul Rubens and in a photograph of a current fashion model.

risk for developing an eating disorder were already binge eating and purging, were eating in secret, expressed a desire to have an empty stomach, were preoccupied with food, and were afraid of losing control over eating.

Distortions of body image in some males can also have tragic consequences. Olivardia, Pope, and Hudson (2000) have described a syndrome in men, particularly male weight lifters, that they initially termed “reverse anorexia nervosa.” Men with this syndrome reported they were extremely concerned about looking small, even though they were muscular. Many of these men avoided beaches, locker rooms, and other places where their bodies might be seen. These men also were prone to using anabolic-androgenic steroids to bulk up, risking both the medical and the psychological consequences of taking steroids. Thus, although a marked gender difference in typical body image distortion is obvious, with many women thinking they’re too big and some men thinking they’re too small, both types of distortion can result in severe psychological and physical consequences (Corson & Andersen, 2002; Kanayama et al., 2006; Neumark-Sztainer & Eisenberg, 2014).

The conflict over body image would be bad enough if size were infinitely malleable, but it is not. Increasing evidence indicates a strong genetic contribution to body size; that is, some of us are born to be heavier than others, and we are all shaped differently. Although most of us can be physically fit, few can achieve the levels of fitness and shape so highly valued today. Biologically, it is nearly impossible (Brownell, 1991; Brownell & Fairburn, 2002). Nevertheless, many young people in our society fight biology to the point of starvation. In adolescence, cultural standards are often experienced as peer pressure and are more influential than reason and fact. The high number of males who are homosexual among the relatively small numbers of males with eating disorders has also been attributed to pressures among gay men to be physically trim (Carlat et al., 1997; Feldman & Meyer, 2007; Hadland, Austin, Goodenow, & Calzo, 2014). Conversely, pressure to appear more fit and muscular is also apparent for a substantial proportion of men (Neumark-Sztainer & Eisenberg, 2014; Pope et al., 2000).

Dietary Restraint

During World War II, in what has become a classic study, Keys and colleagues (Keys, Brozek, Henschel, Michelson, & Taylor, 1950) conducted a semistarvation experiment involving 36 conscientious objectors who volunteered for the study as an alternative to military service. For 6 months, these healthy men were given about half their former full intake of food. This period was followed by a 3-month rehabilitation phase, during which food was gradually increased. During the diet, the participants lost an average of 25% of their body weight. The results were carefully documented, particularly the psychological effects.

The investigators found that the participants became preoccupied with food and eating. Conversations, reading, and daydreams revolved around food. Many began to collect recipes and to hoard food-related items.

If cultural pressures to be thin are as important as they seem to be in triggering eating disorders, then such disorders would be expected to occur where these pressures are particularly severe, which is just what happens to ballet dancers, who are under extraordinary pressures to be thin. Garner, Garfinkel, Rockert, and Olmsted (1987) followed a group of 11- to 14-year-old female students in ballet school. The conservative estimate was that at least 25% of these girls developed eating disorders during the 2 years of the study. Similar results are apparent among athletes, particularly females, such as gymnasts. What goes on in ballet classes that has such a devastating effect on girls?

Consider the case of Phoebe again.

Phoebe... Dancing to Destruction

Phoebe remembered clearly that during her early years in ballet the older girls talked incessantly about their weight. Phoebe performed well and looked forward to the rare compliment. The ballet mistress seemed to comment more on weight than on dance technique, often remarking, “You’d dance better if you lost weight.” If one little girl lost a few pounds through heroic dieting, the instructor always pointed it out: “You’ve done well working on your weight; the rest of you had better follow this example.” One day, without warning, the instructor said to Phoebe, “You need to lose 5 pounds before the next class.” At that time, Phoebe was 5 feet 2 inches and weighed 98 pounds. The next class was in 2 days. After one of these admonitions and several days of restrictive eating, Phoebe experienced her first uncontrollable binge.

Early in high school, Phoebe gave up the rigors of ballet to pursue a variety of other interests. She did not forget the glory of her starring roles as a young dancer or how to perform the steps. She still danced occasionally by herself and retained the grace that serious dancers effortlessly display. But in college, as she stuck her head in the toilet bowl, vomiting her guts out for perhaps the third time that day, she realized there was one lesson she had learned in ballet class more deeply and thoroughly than any other—the life-or-death importance of being thin at all costs. •

Thus, dieting is one factor that can contribute to eating disorders (Polivy & Herman, 2002), and, along with dissatisfaction with one's body, is a primary risk factor for later eating disorders (Stice, Ng, & Shaw, 2010).

Family Influences

In the past, much has been made of the possible significance of family interaction patterns in cases of eating disorders. A number of clinicians and investigators in decades past (see, for example, Attie & Brooks-Gunn, 1995; Bruch, 1985; Humphrey, 1989; Minuchin, Rosman, & Baker, 1978) observed that the "typical" family of someone with anorexia is successful, hard-driving, concerned about external appearances, and eager to maintain harmony. To accomplish these goals, family members often deny or ignore conflicts or negative feelings and tend to attribute their problems to other people at the expense of frank communication among themselves (Fairburn, Shafran, & Cooper, 1999; Hsu, 1990).

Pike and Rodin (1991) confirmed some differences in interactions within the families of girls with disordered eating in comparison with control families. Basically, mothers of girls with disordered eating seemed to act as "society's messengers" in wanting their daughters to be thin, at least initially (Steinberg & Phares, 2001). They were likely to be dieting themselves and, generally, were more perfectionistic than comparison mothers in that they were less satisfied with their families and family cohesion (Fairburn, Cooper, et al., 1999; Fairburn, Welch, et al., 1997). But more recent evidence downplays the contribution of parents or family factors in causing eating disorders specifically (Steiger et al., 2013; Russell, 2009). Reflecting this development, the Academy for Eating Disorders (le Grange, Lock, Loeb, & Nicholls, 2010) concluded:

"It is the position of the Academy for Eating Disorders (AED) that whereas family factors can play a role in the genesis and maintenance of eating disorders, current knowledge refutes the idea that they are either the exclusive or even the primary mechanisms that underlie risk." (p. 1)

Whatever the preexisting relationships, after the onset of an eating disorder, particularly anorexia, family relationships can deteriorate quickly. Nothing is more frustrating than watching your daughter starve herself at a dinner table where food is plentiful. Educated and knowledgeable parents, including psychologists and psychiatrists with full understanding of the disorder, have reported resorting to physical violence (for example, hitting or slapping) in moments of extreme frustration, in a vain attempt to get their daughters to put some food, however little, in their mouths. The parents' guilt and anguish was considerable, and this kind of behavior is associated with poorer outcomes of the eating disorder (Duclos, Vibert, Mattar, & Godart, 2012).

Biological Dimensions

Like most psychological disorders, eating disorders run in families and thus seem to have a genetic component (Trace, Baker, Penas-Lledo, & Bulik, 2013). Studies suggest that relatives of patients

with eating disorders are 4 to 5 times more likely than the general population to develop eating disorders themselves, with the risks for female relatives of patients with anorexia higher (see, for example, Strober, Freeman, Lampert, Diamond, & Kaye, 2000). In important twin studies of bulimia by Kendler and colleagues (1991) and of anorexia by Walters and Kendler (1995), researchers used structured interviews to ascertain the prevalence of the disorders among 2,163 female twins. In 23% of identical twin pairs, both twins had bulimia, as compared with 9% of fraternal twins. Because no adoption studies have yet been reported, strong sociocultural influences cannot be ruled out, and other studies have produced inconsistent results (Fairburn, Cowen, & Harrison, 1999). For anorexia, numbers were too small for precise estimates, but the disorder in one twin did seem to confer a significant risk for both anorexia and bulimia in the co-twin. Bulik and colleagues (2006), in a large twin study, estimated heritability at 0.56. Thus, the consensus is that genetic makeup is about half of the equation among causes of anorexia and bulimia (Trace et al., 2013).

Again, there is no clear agreement on just *what* is inherited (Steiger et al., 2013; Trace et al., 2013). Hsu (1990) and Steiger and colleagues (2013) speculate that nonspecific personality traits such as emotional instability and, perhaps, poor impulse control might be inherited. In other words, a person might inherit a tendency to be emotionally responsive to stressful life events and, as one consequence, might eat impulsively in an attempt to relieve stress and anxiety (Kaye, 2008; Pearson, Wonderlich, & Smith, 2015; Strober, 2002). Klump and colleagues (2001) mention perfectionist traits, along with negative affect. This biological vulnerability might then interact with social and psychological factors to produce an eating disorder. Wade and colleagues (2008) found support for this idea in a study of 1,002 same-sex twins in which anorexia was associated with, and maybe a reflection of, a trait of perfectionism and a need for order that runs in families.

Biological processes are quite active in the regulation of eating and thus of eating disorders, and substantial evidence points to the hypothalamus as playing an important role. Investigators have studied the hypothalamus and the major neurotransmitter systems—including norepinephrine, dopamine, and, particularly, serotonin—that pass through it to determine whether something is malfunctioning when eating disorders occur (Kaye, 2008; Vitiello & Lederhendler, 2000). Low levels of serotonergic activity, the system most often associated with eating disorders (Russell, 2009; Steiger, Bruce, & Groleau, 2011), are associated with impulsivity generally and binge eating specifically (see Chapter 2). Thus, most drugs under study as treatments for eating disorders target the serotonin system (see, for example, Grilo, Crosby, Wilson, & Masheb, 2012; Kaye, 2008).



Courtesy of Tim Walsh

Tim Walsh has made significant scientific contributions to our understanding of eating disorders.



Photo by G.L. Kohuth

Dr. Kelly Klump and her colleagues have conducted research highlighting the importance of ovarian hormones in predicting disordered eating, particularly binge eating episodes.

Biological investigators are also interested in the influence of hormones on eating behavior, particularly binge eating, which is an important component of bulimia. In an impressive program of research, Kelly Klump and colleagues found strong associations between ovarian hormones and dysregulated or impulsive eating in women prone to binge eating episodes (Klump et al., 2014). Furthermore, emotional eating behavior (eating to relieve stress or anxiety) and binge eating frequencies peaked in the postovulatory phases of the menstrual cycle for all women whether they binged or not during other phases of their cycle. High levels of hormones at least partially accounted for these peaks. In an interesting bit of theorizing, Klump and her colleagues, noting the strong association between the onset of bulimia and puberty, speculate that the onset of puberty and associated hormonal changes may “turn on” certain hormone responsive risk genes in women prone to binge eating to begin with because they possess these genetic patterns. If true, this would be another example of the kind of gene-environment interactions discussed in Chapter 2.

If investigators do find a strong association between neurobiological functions and eating disorders, the question of cause or effect remains. At present, the consensus is that some neurobiological abnormalities do exist in people with eating disorders (e.g., Marsh et al., 2011; Mainz, Schulte-Rüther, Fink, Herpertz-Dahlmann, & Konrad, 2012) but that they may be a *result* of semi-starvation or a binge-purge cycle rather than a cause, although they may well contribute to the *maintenance* of the disorder once it is established. Bodell and Keel (2015) recently uncovered an interesting example of this type of finding while studying the biological effects of attempts to lose weight (weight suppression), a known risk factor for binge eating and bulimia. They hypothesized that reduced levels of leptin, a hormone acting in the hypothalamus to produce feelings of fullness (and therefore keep people from overeating) might be associated with excessive efforts to keep weight

down and therefore lead to increases in the reinforcing value of food and possibly binge eating. Contrary to their hypotheses, they found that patients with bulimia compared to control participants did not differ on leptin levels, which were therefore not significantly associated with the reinforcing value of food. So, while it's clear that weight suppression efforts increase the reinforcing value of food and binge eating, at a biological level it is not yet clear why.

Psychological Dimensions

Clinical observations over the years have indicated that many young women with eating disorders have a diminished sense of personal control and confidence in their own abilities and talents (Bruch, 1973, 1985; Striegel-Moore, Silberstein, & Rodin, 1993; Walters & Kendler, 1995). This may manifest as strikingly low self-esteem (Fairburn, Cooper, & Shafran, 2003). They also display more perfectionistic attitudes, perhaps learned or inherited from their families, which may reflect attempts to exert control over important events in their lives (Boone, Soenens, Vansteenkiste, & Braet, 2012; Bulik et al., 2014; Fairburn, Halmi et al., 2012; Martinez & Craighead, 2015; Welch, et al., 1997; Joiner et al., 1997). Shafran, Lee, Payne, and Fairburn (2006) artificially raised perfectionistic standards in otherwise normal women by instructing them to pursue the highest possible standards in everything they did for the next 24 hours. These instructions caused them to eat fewer high-calorie foods, to restrict their eating, and to have more regret after eating than women told to just do the minimum for 24 hours. This occurred even though eating was not specifically mentioned as part of pursuing the “highest standards.” Perfectionism alone, however, is only weakly associated with the development of an eating disorder, because individuals must consider themselves overweight and manifest low self-esteem before the trait of perfectionism makes a contribution (Vohs, Bardone, Joiner, Abramson, & Heatherton, 1999). But when perfectionism is directed to distorted perception of body image, a powerful engine to drive eating disorder behavior is in place (Lilenfeld, Wonderlich, Riso, Crosby, & Mitchell, 2006; Shafran, Cooper, & Fairburn, 2002).

Women with eating disorders are intensely preoccupied with how they appear to others (Fairburn, Stice, et al., 2003; Smith et al., 2007). They also perceive themselves as frauds, considering false any impressions they make of being adequate, self-sufficient, or worthwhile. In this sense, they feel like impostors in their social groups and experience heightened levels of social anxiety (Bardone-Cone et al., 2010; Clance & Imes, 1978; Smolak & Levine, 1996), which may explain why they choose social groups with similar attitudes towards eating and body shape (Rayner et al., 2012). Striegel-Moore and colleagues (1993) suggest these social self-deficits are likely to increase as a consequence of the



Courtesy of Christopher Fairburn

Christopher Fairburn developed an effective psycho-social treatment for bulimia nervosa.

eating disorder, further isolating the woman from the larger social world.

Specific distortions in perception of body shape change often, depending on day-to-day experience. McKenzie, Williamson, and Cubic (1993) found that women with bulimia judged that their bodies were larger after they ate a candy bar and soft drink, whereas the judgments of women in control groups were unaffected by snacks. Thus, rather minor events related to eating may activate fear of gaining weight, further distortions in body image, and corrective schemes such as purging.

Another important observation is that at least a subgroup of these patients has difficulty tolerating any negative emotion (mood intolerance) and may binge or engage in other behaviors, such as self-induced vomiting or intense exercise, in an attempt to regulate their mood (reduce their anxiety or distress by doing something they think will help them avoid being fat) (Haynos & Fruzzetti, 2011; Paul, Schroeter, Dahme, & Nutzinger, 2002). This seemed to be true for Phoebe. For example, Maufer, Hamm, Weike, and Tuschen-Caffier (2006) investigated reaction to food cues in women with bulimia and a normal comparison group who had been food deprived. They discovered that women with bulimia, when hungry, had more intense negative emotional reactions (distress, anxiety, and depression) when viewing pictures of food and subsequently ate more at a buffet, presumably to decrease their anxiety and distress and make themselves feel better, even though this overeating would cause problems in the long run. These individuals, understandably, then evidenced even more intense negative affect after overeating and seemed threatened by food cues, which could lead to the extreme food restriction or intense exercise noted above. Fairburn and Cooper (2014) also noted the importance in treatment of countering the tendency to overly restrict food intake and the associated negative attitudes about body image that lead to bingeing and purging. What all of these studies have in common is the role of intense emotions triggered by food cues and fear of becoming fat and faulty attempts to regulate these emotions as factors driving eating disorders.

An Integrative Model

Although the three major eating disorders are identifiable by their unique characteristics, and the specific diagnoses have some validity, it is becoming increasingly clear that all eating disorders have much in common in terms of causal factors. It may be more useful to lump the eating disorders into one diagnostic category, simply noting which specific features occur, such as dietary restraint, bingeing, or purging. Recently Christopher Fairburn and colleagues have attempted to develop this approach (see, for example, Fairburn et al., 2007; Fairburn & Cooper, 2014). Thus, we have integrated a discussion of the causes of eating disorders.

In putting together what we know about eating disorders, it is important to remember, again, that no one factor seems sufficient to cause them (see Figure 8.4). Individuals with eating disorders may have some of the same biological vulnerabilities (such as being highly responsive to stressful life events) as individuals with anxiety disorders (Kandler et al., 1995; Klump et al., 2014; Rojo, Conesa, Bermudez, & Livianos, 2006). Anxiety and mood disorders are also common in the families of individuals with eating

disorders (Steiger et al., 2013), and negative emotions, along with “mood intolerance,” seem to trigger binge eating in many patients. In addition, as you will see, drug and psychological treatments with proven effectiveness for anxiety disorders are also the treatments of choice for eating disorders. Indeed, we could conceptualize eating disorders as anxiety disorders focused exclusively on a fear of becoming overweight.

In any case, it is clear that social and cultural pressures to be thin motivate significant restriction of eating, usually through severe dieting. Remember, however, that many people, including adolescent females, go on strict diets, but only a small minority develops eating disorders, so dieting alone does not account for the disorders. It is also important to note that the social interactions in high-achieving families play at least some role. An emphasis in these families on looks and achievement, and perfectionistic tendencies, may help establish strong attitudes about the overriding importance of physical appearance to popularity and success, attitudes reinforced in peer groups. These attitudes result in an exaggerated focus on body shape and weight. Finally, there is the question of why a small minority of individuals with eating disorders can control their intake through dietary restraint, resulting in alarming weight loss (anorexia), whereas the majority are unsuccessful at losing weight and compensate in a cycle of bingeing and purging (bulimia; Eddy et al., 2002; Eddy et al., 2008). These differences, at least initially, may be determined by biology or physiology, such as a genetically determined disposition to be somewhat thinner initially. Then again, perhaps preexisting personality characteristics, such as a tendency to be overcontrolling, are important determinants of which disorder an individual develops. In any case, most individuals with anorexia do go on to bingeing and purging at some point.


Treatment of Eating Disorders

Only since the 1980s have there been treatments for bulimia; treatments for anorexia have been around much longer but were not initially well developed. Rapidly accumulating evidence indicates that at least one, and possibly two, psychological treatments are effective, particularly for bulimia nervosa. Certain drugs may also help, although the evidence is not so strong.

Drug Treatments

At present, drug treatments have not been found to be effective in the treatment of anorexia nervosa (see, for example, Crow, Mitchell, Roerig, & Steffen, 2009; Wilson & Fairburn, 2007). For example, one definitive study reported that fluoxetine (Prozac) had no benefit in preventing relapse in patients with anorexia after weight has been restored (Walsh et al., 2006).

On the other hand, there is some evidence that drugs may be useful for some people with bulimia, particularly during the bingeing and purging cycle. The drugs generally considered the most effective for bulimia are the same antidepressant medications proven effective for mood disorders and anxiety disorders (Broft, Berner, & Walsh, 2010; Shapiro et al., 2007; Wilson & Fairburn, 2007). The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 1996 approved Prozac as effective for eating disorders. Effectiveness



● FIGURE 8.4

An integrative causal model of eating disorders.

is usually measured by reductions in the frequency of binge eating, as well as by the percentage of patients who stop binge eating and purging altogether, at least for a while. In two studies, one utilizing several tricyclic antidepressant drugs and the other utilizing Prozac, researchers found the average *reduction* in binge eating and purging was, respectively, 47% and 65% (Walsh, 1991; Walsh, Hadigan, Devlin, Gladis, & Roose, 1991). A more recent review (meta-analysis) suggested that selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors are helpful in the treatment of bulimia (Tortorella, Fabrazzo, Monteleone, Steardo, & Monteleone, 2014). However, although antidepressants are more effective than placebo in the short term, and they may enhance the effects of psychological treatment somewhat (Whittal, Agras, & Gould, 1999; Wilson et al., 1999), the available evidence suggests that antidepressant drugs alone do not have substantial long-lasting effects on bulimia nervosa, and current expert

opinions suggest that medications are likely most useful in conjunction with psychological treatments (Reas & Grilo, 2014; Walsh, 1995; Wilson & Fairburn, 2007).

Psychological Treatments

Until the 1980s, psychological treatments for people with eating disorders were directed at the patient's low self-esteem and difficulties in developing an individual identity. Disordered patterns of family interaction and communication were also targeted for treatment. These treatments alone, however, did not have the effectiveness that clinicians hoped they might (see, for example, Minuchin et al., 1978; Russell, Szmukler, Dare, & Eisler, 1987). Short-term cognitive-behavioral treatments target problem eating behavior and associated attitudes about the overriding importance and significance of body weight and shape, and these strategies

became the treatment of choice for bulimia (Fairburn & Cooper, 2014; Sysko & Wilson, 2011).

More recently, this approach has been updated and improved in two major ways based on more than a decade of experience. First, a variety of new procedures intended to improve outcome have been added. Second, noting the common concern with body shape and weight at the core of all eating disorders, the treatment has become “transdiagnostic” in that it is applicable with minor alterations to all eating disorders. This is an important development because in *DSM-IV*, eating disorders, for the most part, were considered to be mutually exclusive. For example, according to *DSM-IV* guidelines, a person could not meet criteria for both anorexia and bulimia. But investigators working in this area discovered that features of the various eating disorders overlapped considerably (Fairburn, 2008; Keel, Brown, Holland, & Bodell, 2012). Furthermore, a large portion of patients, perhaps as many as 50% or more, who met criteria for a clinically severe eating disorder in *DSM-IV* did not meet criteria for anorexia or bulimia and were diagnosed with “eating disorder not otherwise specified” (eating disorder NOS) (Fairburn & Bohn, 2005). As described earlier in the chapter, some of these patients would now meet criteria for “binge eating disorder,” which is included as a full-fledged diagnostic category in *DSM-5*. As noted above, these eating disorders have very similar causal influences, including similar inherited biological vulnerabilities, similar social influences (primarily cultural influences glorifying thinness), and a strong family influence toward perfectionism in all things. Finally, all eating disorders seem to share anxiety focused on one’s appearance and presentation to others, as well as distorted body image.

In this treatment protocol, the essential components of cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) directed at causal factors common to all eating disorders are targeted in an integrated way. (Individuals with anorexia and a very low weight—BMI of 17.5 or less—who would need inpatient treatment would be excluded until their weight was restored to an adequate level when they could then benefit from the program.) Thus, the principal focus of this protocol is on the distorted evaluation of body shape and weight, and maladaptive attempts to control weight in the form of strict dieting, possibly accompanied by binge eating, and methods to compensate for overeating such as purging, laxative misuse, etc. Fairburn refers to this treatment as cognitive-behavioral therapy-enhanced (CBT-E) (Fairburn & Cooper, 2014). Nevertheless, since there are some differences in outcomes across the eating disorders, we will review treatment of each separately.

Bulimia Nervosa

In the CBT-E pioneered by Fairburn (2008), the first stage is teaching the patient the physical consequences of binge eating and purging, as well as the ineffectiveness of vomiting and laxative abuse for weight control. The adverse effects of dieting are also described, and patients are scheduled to eat small, manageable amounts of food five or six times per day with no more than a 3-hour interval between any planned meals and snacks, which eliminates the alternating periods of overeating and dietary restriction that are hallmarks of bulimia. In later stages of treatment, CBT-E focuses on altering dysfunctional thoughts and attitudes

about body shape, weight, and eating. Coping strategies for resisting the impulse to binge and/or purge are also developed, including arranging activities so that the individual will not spend time alone after eating during the early stages of treatment (Fairburn & Cooper, 2014). Evaluations of the earlier versions of short-term (approximately 3 months) cognitive-behavioral treatments for bulimia have been good, showing superior efficacy to credible alternative psychological treatments not only for bingeing and purging but also for distorted attitudes and accompanying depression.

Furthermore, these results seem to last (Pike, Walsh, Vitousek, Wilson, & Bauer, 2003; Thompson-Brenner, Glass, & Westen, 2003), although there were, of course, a number of patients who improved only modestly or did not benefit.

In a widely noted study using the earlier version of treatment, Agras, Walsh, Fairburn, Wilson, and Kraemer (2000) randomly assigned 220 patients meeting diagnostic criteria for bulimia nervosa to 19 sessions of either cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) or interpersonal psychotherapy (IPT) focused on improving interpersonal functioning. The investigators found that, for those who completed treatment, CBT was significantly superior to IPT at the end of treatment. The percentage who remitted (no longer met diagnostic criteria for an eating disorder but still had some problems) was 67% in the CBT group versus 40% in the IPT group. After 1 year, however, these differences again were no longer significant, as patients in the IPT group tended to “catch up” to patients in the CBT group. More recent evaluations of CBT-E are very promising, particularly since a wider range of patients with bulimia-like symptoms can be included (e.g. Fairburn et al., 2009). Results from a major clinical trial comparing 20 weeks of CBT-E with 2 years of weekly long-term psychoanalytic psychotherapy (PPT) in 70 patients with bulimia revealed that patients in each group were comfortable with their treatment, but at 5 months (when the CBT-E treatment concluded), 42% of CBT-E patients were recovered compared to 6% of PPT patients. After two years (when the PPT treatment concluded), the comparable figures were 44% and 15% (Poulsen et al., 2014). Thus, CBT-E was more efficient in terms of the number of sessions required and more effective at each time point assessed, with evidence for the durability in improvement among those who responded to CBT-E. Now, results from a major clinical trial similar to the Agras and colleagues (2000) study described above, but comparing the transdiagnostic version of CBT (CBT-E) to IPT in 130 patients with any form of eating disorder have been reported (Fairburn et al., 2015). Just after treatment, 65.5% of the CBT-E participants met criteria for remission compared to 33.3% of the IPT participants. One year later, the figures were 69.4% for CBT-E compared to 49% for IPT, with IPT catching up somewhat but still significantly less effective.



Courtesy of Stewart Agras

Stewart Agras has made many important contributions to our understanding of eating disorders.

Therefore, at the present time, CBT-E would seem to be the treatment of choice for adults based on these studies.

There is also good evidence that family therapy directed at the painful conflicts present in families with an adolescent who has an eating disorder can be helpful (le Grange, Crosby, Rathouz, & Leventhal, 2007). Integrating family and interpersonal strategies into CBT is a promising new direction (Sysko & Wilson, 2011). Clearly, we need to understand more about how to improve such treatments to deal more successfully with the growing number of patients with eating disorders. One of the problems with the best treatment, CBT, is that access to the treatment is limited because trained therapists are not always available. Guided self-help programs that use CBT principles also seem to be effective, at least for less severe cases (Schmidt et al., 2007; Wagner et al., 2013).

Phoebe... Taking Control

During her sophomore year in college, Phoebe entered a short-term CBT program similar to the program discussed here. She made good progress during the first several months and worked carefully to eat regularly and gain control over her eating. She also made sure that she was with somebody during her high-risk times and planned alternative activities that would reduce her temptation to purge if she felt she had eaten too much at a restaurant or drunk too much beer at a party. During the first 2 months, Phoebe had three slips, and she and her therapist discussed what led to her temporary relapse. Much to Phoebe's surprise, she did not gain weight on this program, even though she did not have time to increase her exercise. Nevertheless, she still was preoccupied with food, was concerned about her weight and appearance, and had strong urges to vomit if she thought she had overeaten the slightest amount.

During the 9 months following treatment, Phoebe reported that her urges seemed to decrease somewhat, although she had one major slip after eating a big pizza and drinking a lot of beer. She reported that she was thoroughly disgusted with herself for purging and was quite careful to return to her program after this episode. Two years after finishing treatment, Phoebe reported that her urges to vomit had disappeared, a report confirmed by her parents. All that remained of her problem were some bad but increasingly vague and distant memories. •

Binge-Eating Disorder

Early studies adapting CBT for bulimia to obese binge eaters were quite successful (Smith, Marcus, & Kaye, 1992). To take one example, Agras, Telch, Arnow, Eldredge, and Marnell (1997) followed 93 obese individuals with BED for 1 year and found that immediately after treatment with CBT, 41% of the participants abstained from bingeing and 72% binged less frequently. After 1 year, binge eating was reduced by 64%, and 33% of the group refrained from bingeing altogether. Importantly, those who had stopped binge eating during CBT maintained a weight loss of approximately

9 pounds over this 1-year follow-up period; those who continued to binge gained approximately 8 pounds. Thus, stopping binge eating is critical to sustaining weight loss in obese patients, a finding consistent with other studies of weight-loss procedures (Marcus et al., 1990).

In contrast to results with bulimia, it appears that IPT is every bit as effective as CBT for binge eating. Wilfley and colleagues (2002) treated 162 overweight or obese men and women with BED with either CBT or IPT and found comparable results from each treatment. Fully 60% refrained from bingeing at a 1-year follow-up. But, in a study examining the effectiveness of the anti-depressant drug Prozac compared with CBT for BED, Prozac was ineffective (compared with placebo) and Prozac did not add anything to CBT when the two treatments were combined (Grilo, Mashayeb, & Wilson, 2005). The positive results from CBT were reasonably durable at follow up 1 year later (Grilo et al., 2012). If individuals began to respond rapidly to CBT treatment (by the 4th week), the response was particularly good, both short term and long term (Grilo, Mashayeb, & Wilson, 2006).

Interestingly, widely available behavioral weight loss programs for obese patients with BED, such as Weight Watchers, have some positive effect on binging, but not nearly so much as CBT (Grilo, Mashayeb, Wilson, Gueorguieva, & White, 2011). Some racial and ethnic differences are apparent in people with BED seeking treatment (Franko et al., 2012). African American participants tend to have higher BMI, and Hispanic participants have greater concerns with shape and weight than Caucasian participants. Thus, it would seem that tailoring treatment to these ethnic groups would be useful. Also, males may respond somewhat differently than females to treatment since one recent study demonstrated that men with lower shape/weight concerns responded well to brief treatments, whereas females with shape/weight concerns at any level of severity, and men at more severe levels, all required longer treatment (Shingleton, Thompson, Pratt, & Franko, 2015).

Fortunately, it appears that self-help procedures may be useful in the treatment of BED (Carter & Fairburn, 1998; Wilson & Zandberg, 2012). For example, CBT delivered as guided self-help was demonstrated to be more effective than a standard behavioral weight-loss program for BED both after treatment and at a 2-year follow up (Wilson, Wilfley, Agras, & Bryson, 2010), and this same program is effective when delivered out of a doctor's office in a primary care setting (Striegel-Moore et al., 2010). In view of these results, it would seem a self-help approach should probably be the first treatment offered for BED before engaging in more expensive and time-consuming therapist-led treatments. Much as with bulimia, however, more severe cases may need the more intensive treatment delivered by a therapist, particularly cases with multiple (comorbid) disorders in addition to BED, as well as low self-esteem (Wilson et al., 2010). Interestingly a recent report following up the Wilson and colleagues (2010) study indicated that rapid response (at least 70% reduction in binge eating by week 4) was a specific positive indicator of greater rates of remission compared to nonrapid responders up to two years later in the CBT guided self-help treatment but not in the IPT or the behavioral weight-loss group. (Hilbert, Hildebrandt, Agras, Wilfley, and Wilson, 2015). The authors suggest that since IPT was effective for both rapid and nonrapid responders, participants who do not show a

rapid response to CBT might be switched over to IPT. Matching treatment to individuals on the basis of their personal characteristics or patterns of responding (personalized medicine) is regarded by many as the next important step for improving success rates of our treatments. It is also important to emphasize again that if an obese person is bingeing, standard weight-loss procedures will be ineffective without treatment directed at bingeing.

Anorexia Nervosa

In anorexia, the most important initial goal is to restore the patient's weight to a point that is at least within the low-normal range (American Psychiatric Association, 2010b). If body weight is below approximately 75% of the average healthy body weight for a given individual, or if weight has been lost rapidly and the individual continues to refuse food, inpatient treatment is recommended (Schwartz, Mansbach, Marion, Katzman & Forman, 2008; Russell, 2009) because severe medical complications, particularly acute cardiac failure, could occur if weight is not restored immediately. If the weight loss has been more gradual and seems to have stabilized, weight restoration can be accomplished on an outpatient basis.

Restoring weight, although often a difficult task, is probably the easiest part of treatment. Clinicians who treat patients in different settings, as reported in a variety of studies, find that at least 85% will be able to gain weight. The gain is often as much as a half-pound to a pound a day until weight is within the normal range. Knowing they cannot leave the hospital until their weight gain is adequate is often sufficient to motivate adolescents with anorexia (Agras, Barlow, Chapin, Abel, & Leitenberg, 1974). Julie gained about 18 pounds during her 5-week hospital stay. Weight gain is very important, since starvation induces loss of gray matter and hormonal dysregulation in the brain (Mainz et al., 2012), changes that are reversible when normal weight is restored.

Then the more difficult stage begins. As Hsu (1988) and others have demonstrated, initial weight gain is a poor predictor of long-term outcome in anorexia. Without attention to the patient's underlying dysfunctional attitudes about body shape, as well as interpersonal disruptions in her life, she will almost always relapse. For restricting anorexics, the focus of treatment must shift to their marked anxiety over becoming obese and losing control of eating, as well as to their undue emphasis on thinness as a determinant of self-worth, happiness, and success. In this regard, effective treatments for restricting anorexics are similar to those for patients with bulimia nervosa, particularly in the "transdiagnostic" approach (CBT-E) described earlier (Fairburn & Cooper, 2014). In one well-done earlier study (Pike, Walsh, Vitousek, Wilson, & Bauer, 2003), extended (1-year) outpatient CBT was found to be significantly better than continued nutritional counseling in preventing relapse after weight restoration, with only 22% failing (relapsing or dropping out) with CBT versus 73% failing with nutritional counseling. Carter and colleagues (2009) reported similar findings, and both studies demonstrate the ineffectiveness of nutritional counseling alone. More recently, results from 99 adults with anorexia treated with CBT-E suggest the efficiency of this transdiagnostic treatment (only "suggest" because there was no control or comparison group). In the 64% who completed treatment after 40 sessions, weight increased substantially, and eating

disorder features improved markedly; this improvement was stable at a 60-week follow-up (Fairburn et al., 2013). In any case, one recent study underscored dramatically the importance of reducing anxiety and general emotion dysregulation concerning shape/weight in the treatment of individuals with anorexia. Racine and Wildes (2015) followed 191 patients who had received intensive inpatient or day hospital treatment who had recovered sufficiently to be discharged and demonstrated that the presence of continued emotion dysregulation at discharge predicted an increase in anorexia psychopathology over the following year, whereas low emotion dysregulation predicted a decrease in anorexics' symptoms. These results are presented in Figure 8.5.

In addition, every effort is made to include the family to accomplish two goals. First, the negative and dysfunctional communication in the family regarding food and eating must be eliminated and meals must be made more structured and reinforcing. Second, attitudes toward body shape and image distortion are discussed at some length in family sessions. Unless the therapist attends to these attitudes, individuals with anorexia are likely to face a lifetime preoccupation with weight and body shape, struggle to maintain marginal weight and social adjustment, and be subject to repeated hospitalization. Family therapy directed at the goals mentioned above seems effective, particularly with young girls (less than 19 years of age) with a short history of the disorder (Eisler et al., 2000; Lock, le Grange, Agras, & Dare, 2001). Until recently, the long-term results of treatment for anorexia have been more discouraging than for bulimia, with substantially lower rates of full recovery than for bulimia over a 7.5-year period (Eddy et al., 2008; Herzog et al., 1999). But this may be changing. In a recent important clinical trial, 121 adolescents with anorexia received 24 sessions of either family-based




FIGURE 8.5

Patients with emotion dysregulation (high DERS) show elevated eating disorder symptoms compared to patients with less emotion dysregulation (low DERS) over the year following discharge from a treatment facility.

Source: Racine, S. E., & Wildes, J. E. (2015). Dynamic longitudinal relations between emotion regulation difficulties and anorexia nervosa symptoms over the year following intensive treatment. *Journal of Clinical and Consulting Psychology*, 83(4), 785-795.

treatment (FBT) in which the parents became intimately involved in the treatment program with a focus on facilitating weight gain, or individual psychotherapy. At treatment conclusion, 42% met criteria for remission in the FBT condition and 49% at a one year follow up, compared with 23% at both points in time in the individual psychotherapy condition (Lock et al., 2010). A subsequent study demonstrated that FBT was at least as effective and less costly than other forms of family therapy addressing general family processes (Agras et al., 2014). As in some studies of bulimia, a positive early response (gaining at least 5 pounds in the first four weeks) predicts a better outcome in the long run (Lock et al., 2015). At present, FBT has the most support from clinical trials for treating adolescents with anorexia (Lock et al., 2015), but there is some support for its efficacy in treating bulimia (Le Grange, Lock, Agras, Bryson, & Jo, 2015). Also, promising results have recently been reported with CBT-E for adolescents with anorexia (Dalle Grave, Calugi, Doll, & Fairburn, 2013).

Preventing Eating Disorders

Attempts are being made to prevent the development of eating disorders (Field et al., 2012; Stice, Rohde, Shaw, & Marti, 2012). If successful methods are confirmed, they will be important, because many cases of eating disorders are resistant to treatment, and most individuals who do not receive treatment suffer for years, in some cases all of their lives (Eddy et al., 2008). The development of eating disorders during adolescence is a risk factor for a variety of additional problems and disorders during adulthood, including cardiovascular symptoms, chronic fatigue and infectious diseases, binge drinking and drug use, and anxiety and mood disorders (Field et al., 2012; Johnson, Cohen, Kasen, & Brook, 2002). Before implementing a prevention program, however, it is necessary to target specific behaviors to change. Stice, Shaw, and Marti (2007) concluded after a review of prevention programs that selecting girls age 15 or over and focusing on eliminating an exaggerated focus on body shape or weight and encouraging acceptance of one's body stood the best chance of success in preventing eating disorders. This finding is similar to results from prevention efforts for depression, where a "selective" approach of targeting high-risk individuals was most successful rather than a "universal" approach targeting everyone in a certain age range (Stice & Shaw, 2004). Using this selective approach, a program developed by Stice and colleagues (2012) called "Healthy Weight" was compared with just handing out educational material in 398 college women at risk for developing eating disorders because of weight and shape concerns. During 4 weekly hour-long group sessions with 6,210 participants, the women were educated about food and eating habits (and motivated to alter these habits using motivational enhancement procedures). Eating disorder risk factors and symptoms were substantially reduced in the "Healthy Weight" group compared with the comparison group, particularly for the most severely at risk women, and the effect was durable at a 6-month follow up.

Could these preventive programs be delivered over the Internet? It seems they can! Winzelberg and colleagues (2000) studied a group of university women who did not have eating disorders at the time of the study but were concerned about their body image and the possibility of being overweight. College women

in general are a high-risk group, and sorority women in particular are often the targets of important prevention studies (Becker, Smith, & Ciao, 2005). The investigators developed the "student bodies" program (Winzelberg et al., 1998), a structured, interactive health education program designed to improve body image satisfaction and delivered through the Internet. The results indicated this program was markedly successful, because participants, compared to controls, reported a significant improvement in body image and a decrease in drive for thinness. Subsequently, these investigators developed innovations to improve compliance with this program to levels of 85% (Celio, Winzelberg, Dev, & Taylor, 2002). More recently, the developers have added an online-guided discussion group to accompany the program with initial results indicating more substantially reduced weight/shape concerns in students at risk for eating disorders who participated in this online discussion group (Kass et al., 2014).

A briefer and more efficient program termed "The Body Project" developed independently from the "Student Bodies Program" has now been adapted as a standalone intervention delivered over the Internet (eBody Project; Stice, Rohde, Durant, & Shaw, 2012), with no clinician required. Initial results indicate that this program is just as good as the program delivered in groups by a clinician, and it avoids problems with relying on institutions (in this case universities) to sustain these programs after the initial trial (Rohde, Shaw, Butryn, & Stice, 2015), a problem often encountered in disseminating new treatment programs.

In view of the severity and chronicity of eating disorders, preventing these disorders through widespread educational and intervention efforts would be clearly preferable to waiting until the disorders develop.




FIGURE 8.6

Mortality rates in relation to the BMI of nonsmoking men and women (of all ages) who participated in the American Cancer Society study. (Reprinted, with permission, from Vanitallie, T. B., & Lew, E. A. [1992]. Assessment of morbidity and mortality risk in the overweight patient. In T. A. Wadden and T. B. Vanitallie, Eds., *Treatment of the seriously obese patient* [p. 28]. New York: Guilford Press, © 1992 Guilford Press.)

Obesity

As noted at the beginning of the chapter, obesity is not formally considered an eating disorder in the *DSM*. Rates of anxiety and mood disorders are only somewhat elevated over the normal population among individuals with obesity, and rates of substance abuse are actually somewhat lower (Phelan & Wadden, 2004; Simon et al., 2006). But in the year 2000, the human race reached a historic landmark. For the first time in human evolution the number of adults with excess weight worldwide surpassed the number of those who were underweight (Caballero, 2007). Indeed, the prevalence of obesity is so high that one might consider it statistically “normal” if it weren’t for the serious implications for health, as well as for social and psychological functioning.

Statistics

The prevalence of obesity (BMI 30 or greater) among adults in the United States in 2000 was fully 30.5% of the population, increasing to 30.6% in 2002, 32.2% in 2004, 33.8% in 2008, 35.7% in 2010, and 37.7% in 2013–2014 with no difference in prevalence between men and women (Flegal et al., 2010; Flegal et al., 2012; Flegal, Kruszon-Moran, Carroll, Fryar, & Ogden, 2016; Ogden et al., 2006). What is particularly disturbing is that this prevalence of obesity represents close to a tripling from 12% of adults in 1991. Medical costs for obesity and overweight are estimated at \$147 billion, or 9.1% of U.S. health-care expenditures (Brownell et al., 2009). This condition accounts for significantly higher mortality across the population as a whole (Flegal, Kit, Orpana, & Graubard, 2013). The direct relationship between obesity and mortality (dying prematurely) is shown in Figure 8.6. At a BMI of 30, risk of mortality increases by 30%, and at a BMI of 40 or more, risk of mortality is 100% or more (Manson et al., 1995; Wadden, Brownell, & Foster, 2002). Because 6.3% of the adult population has a BMI over 40 (Flegal et al., 2012), a substantial number of people, perhaps 10 million or more in the United States alone, are in serious danger, and recent evidence indicates that this may be an underestimate (Stokes & Preston, 2015). Interestingly, in spite of overwhelming evidence pointing to the deleterious effects of obesity, some research is starting to suggest that the distribution of one’s fat tissue is more important than BMI when it comes to predicting health outcomes, but more research is needed (Ahima & Lazar, 2013).

For children and adolescents, the numbers are even worse, with the number of overweight youngsters tripling in the past 25 years (Critser, 2003). In the past decade, the obesity rates for children ages 2 to 19 (defined as above the 95th

percentile for sex-specific BMI for that age) have increased from 13.9% in 2000 to 17.1% in 2004 (Ogden et al., 2006), but they now may be leveling off with a 16.9% rate in 2008, 2010, and 2011 (Ogden, Carroll, Curtin, Lamb, & Flegal, 2010; Ogden, Carroll, Kit, & Flegal, 2012; 2014; Ogden et al., 2016). Rates may be even dropping a bit in preschoolers (Ogden et al., 2016; Pan, Blanck, Sherry, Dalenius, & Grummer-Strawn, 2012), indicating, perhaps, that public education campaigns are just beginning to have an effect. If one looks at children and adolescents either overweight (above the 85th percentile in BMI) or obese, the rate is 30.4%. The stigma of obesity has a major impact on quality of life (Gearhardt et al., 2012; Neumark-Sztainer & Haines, 2004). For example, most overweight individuals are subjected to prejudice and discrimination in college, at work, and in housing (Gearhardt et al., 2012). Further, the experience of weight discrimination is associated with increased mortality risk (Sutin, Stephan, & Terracciano, 2015). Ridicule and teasing in children may increase obesity through depression and binge eating (Schwartz & Brownell, 2007).

Obesity is not limited to North America. Rates of obesity in eastern and southern European nations are as high as 50% (Berghöfer et al., 2008; Björntorp, 1997; Ng et al., 2014), and the rate is greatly increasing in developing nations. In Japan, although still comparatively low, obesity rates in men have doubled since 1992 and have nearly doubled in young women (Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2012). Although less extreme, increases in obesity are also occurring in China

(Henderson & Brownell, 2004), where the proportion of Chinese who are overweight increased from 6% to 8% in a 7-year period (Holden, 2005). Obesity is also the main driver of type 2 diabetes, which has reached epidemic status (Yach, Stuckler, & Brownell, 2006). Additional facts documenting the global epidemic of obesity and its consequences are presented in Figure 8.7 (Brownell & Yach, 2005).

Ethnicity also is a factor in rates of obesity. In the United States, fully 47.8% of African American women and 42% of Hispanic American women are obese, compared with 33.4% of Caucasian women (Ogden et al., 2014). Rates among minority adolescents are even more concerning. Table 8.3 shows considerably higher rates of obesity and being overweight among black and Hispanic adolescents compared with white adolescents.

Disordered Eating Patterns in Cases of Obesity

There are two forms of maladaptive eating patterns in people who are obese. The first is binge eating, and the second is **night eating syndrome** (Lundgren, Allison, & Stunkard, 2012; Vander Wal, 2012). We discussed BED earlier in the chapter, but it is important to note that only a minority of patients with obesity, between 7% and 19%, present with patterns of binge eating. When they do,


TABLE 8.3 Percentage of U.S. Adolescents Ages 12–19 Who Are Overweight or Obese by Race/Ethnicity

	Hispanic Adolescents	Black Adolescents	Non-Hispanic White Adolescents
BMI for age $\geq 95^{\text{th}}$ percentile	19.8%	24.8%	14.7%
BMI for age $\geq 85^{\text{th}}$ percentile	41.9%	45.1%	27.6%

Adapted from Ogden, C. L., Carroll, M. D., Kit, B. K., & Flegal, K. M. (2012). Prevalence of obesity and trends in body mass index among US children and adolescents, 1999–2010. *Journal of American Medical Association*, 307, 483–490.

treatment for binge eating reviewed earlier should be integrated into weight-loss programs.

More interesting is the pattern of night eating syndrome that occurs in between 6% and 16% of obese individuals seeking weight-loss treatment but in as many as 55% of those with extreme obesity seeking bariatric surgery (discussed later; Colles & Dixon, 2012; Lamberg, 2003; Sarwer, Foster, & Wadden, 2004; Stunkard, Allison, & Lundgren, 2008). Individuals with night eating syndrome consume a third or more of their daily intake after their



AP Images

FIGURE 8.7

Worldwide prevalence and consequences of obesity. (From Brownell, K. D., & Yach, D. [2005]. The battle of the bulge. *Foreign Policy*, 26–27.)

evening meal and get out of bed at least once during the night to have a high-calorie snack. In the morning, however, they are not hungry and do not usually eat breakfast. These individuals do not binge during their night eating and seldom purge. Occasionally, nonobese individuals will engage in night eating, but the behavior is overwhelmingly associated with being overweight or obese (Gallant, Lundgren, & Drapeau, 2012; Lundgren et al., 2012; Striegel-Moore et al., 2010). Notice the relationship of night eating syndrome with increasing levels of obesity in Figure 8.8 (Colles, Dixon, & O'Brien, 2007). This condition is not the same as the nocturnal eating syndrome described later in the chapter in the section about sleep disorders. In that condition, individuals get up during the night and raid the refrigerator but never wake up. They also may eat uncooked or other dangerous foods while asleep. On the contrary, in night eating syndrome, the individuals are awake as they go about their nightly eating patterns. Night eating syndrome is an important target for treatment in any obesity program to reregulate patterns of eating so that individuals eat more during the day, when their energy expenditure is highest.

Causes

Henderson and Brownell (2004) make a point that this obesity epidemic is clearly related to the spread of modernization. In other words, as we advance technologically, we are getting fatter. That is, the promotion of an inactive, sedentary lifestyle and the consumption of a high-fat, energy-dense diet is the largest single contributor to the obesity epidemic (Caballero, 2007; Levine et al., 2005). Kelly Brownell (2003; Brownell et al., 2010) notes that in our modern society, individuals are continually exposed to heavily advertised, inexpensive fatty foods that have low nutritional value. When consumption of these is combined with an increasingly inactive lifestyle, it is not surprising that the prevalence of obesity is increasing (Esparza-Romero et al., 2015;

Gearhardt et al., 2012). Brownell has referred to this as the “toxic environment” (Schwartz & Brownell, 2007). He notes that the best example of this phenomenon comes from a classic study of the Pima Indians from Mexico. A portion of this tribe of Indians migrated to Arizona relatively recently. Examining the result of this migration, Ravussin, Valencia, Esparza, Bennett, and Schulz (1994) determined that Arizona Pima women consumed 41% of their total calories in fat on the average and weighed 44 pounds on average more than Pima women who stayed in Mexico, who consumed 23% of their calories from fat. Because this relatively small tribe retains a strong genetic similarity, it is likely that the “toxic environment” in the more modern United States has contributed to the obesity epidemic among the Arizona Pima women. Immigrants to the United States in general more than doubled their prevalence of obesity from 8% to 19% after at least 15 years of living in this country (Goel et al., 2004; Ro, Geronimus, Bound, Griffith, & Gee, 2015).

Not everyone exposed to the modernized environment such as that in the United States becomes obese, and this is where genetics, physiology, and personality come in. On average, genetic contributions may constitute a smaller portion of the cause of obesity than cultural factors, but it helps explain why some people become obese and some don't when exposed to the same environment. For example, genes influence the number of fat cells an individual has, the likelihood of fat storage, satiety, and, most likely, activity levels (Cope, Fernandez, & Allison, 2004; Hetherington & Cecil, 2010; Llewellyn, Trzaskowski, Jaarsveld, & Plomin, 2014). Generally, genes are thought to account for about 30% of the equation in causation of obesity (Bouchard, 2002), but this is misleading because it takes a “toxic” environment to turn on these genes. Physiological processes, particularly hormonal regulation of appetite, play a large role in the initiation and maintenance of eating and vary considerably from individual to individual (Friedman, 2009; Smith & Gibbs, 2002; Yeo & Heisler, 2012). Indeed, individuals with addictive obese eating behavior, which includes less control over eating and feelings of withdrawal if access to food is limited, show similar patterns of reward neurocircuitry in the brain as do individuals with substance use disorders (Gearhardt et al., 2011). Psychological processes of emotional regulation (for example, eating to try to cheer yourself up when you're feeling down), impulse control, attitudes and motivation toward eating, and responsiveness to the consequences of eating are also important (Blundell, 2002; Stice, Presnell, Shaw, & Rohde, 2005). In some lower income groups, particularly African American communities, unhealthy eating and drinking in readily available fast food outlets actually does seem to reduce stress, but with damaging physical consequences (Jackson, Knight, & Rafferty, 2010). Many of these attitudes as well as eating habits are strongly influenced by family and close friends. In an important study, Christakis and Fowler




FIGURE 8.8

Prevalence of night eating syndrome by level of obesity. (Colles, S.L., Dixon, J. B., & O'Brien, P.E. [2007]. Night eating syndrome and nocturnal snacking: Association with obesity, binge eating, and psychological distress. *International Journal of Obesity*, 31, 1722–1730.)

(2007) studied the social networks (close friends and neighbors) of more than 12,000 people for more than 30 years. They found that a person's chance of becoming obese increased from 37% to 57% if a spouse, sibling, or even a close friend was obese, but it did not if a neighbor or coworker with whom the person did not socialize was obese. Thus, it seems that obesity spreads through social networks. Although the etiology of obesity is extraordinarily complex, as with most disorders, an interaction of biological and psychological factors with a notably strong environmental and cultural contribution provides the most complete account.

Treatment

The treatment of obesity is only moderately successful at the individual level (Bray, 2012; Ludwig, 2012), with somewhat greater long-term evidence for effectiveness in children compared to adults (Sarwer et al., 2004; Waters et al., 2011). Treatment is usually organized in a series of steps from least intrusive to most intrusive depending on the extent of obesity. The first step is usually a self-directed weight-loss program in individuals who buy a popular diet book. The most usual result is that some individuals may lose some weight in the short term but almost always regain that weight (Mann, Tomiyama, & Ward, 2015). Furthermore, these books do little to change lifelong eating and exercise habits (Freedman, King, & Kennedy, 2001) and few individuals successfully achieve long-term results on these diets, which is one of the reasons the latest one is always on the best-seller list. Similarly there is little evidence that physician counseling results in any changes (Wing, 2010). Nevertheless, physicians can play an important role by providing specific treatment recommendations, including referral to professionals (Sarwer et al., 2004).

Several studies have compared the most popular diet programs, such as the Atkins (carbohydrate restriction), Ornish (fat restriction), Zone (macronutrients balance), and Weight Watchers (calorie restriction) diets. Generally, there were few differences among groups, with weight loss averaging between 4 and 7 pounds after 1 year. But only 50% to 65% stuck with the diet (Dansinger, Gleason, Griffith, Secker, & Schaefer, 2005; Gardiner et al., 2007; Johnston et al., 2014). The Atkins diet did seem safe in these studies, contrary to some previous assumptions about carbohydrate restrictions.

The next step is commercial self-help programs such as Weight Watchers and Jenny Craig. Weight Watchers reports that, in 2014, more than 800,000 people attended more than 36,000 meetings weekly around the world (Weight Watchers International, 2014). These programs stand a better chance of achieving some success, at least compared with self-directed programs (Jakicic et al., 2012; Johnston, Rost, Miller-Kovach, Moreno, & Foreyt, 2013; Wing, 2010). In an earlier study (Heshka et al., 2003) among members who successfully lost weight initially and kept their weight off for at least 6 weeks after completing the program, between 19% and 37% weighed within 5 pounds of their goal weight at least 5 years after treatment (Lowe, Miller-Kovach, Frie, & Phelan, 1999; Sarwer et al., 2004). But this means that up to 80% of individuals, even if they are initially successful, are not successful in the long run.

But a recent clinical trial showed that there is one modification that not only keeps almost everyone in the program but produces

results that are as much as twice as good after two years (Rock et al., 2010). What is this modification? The program, including the food, was provided free of charge, thereby providing a strong incentive. Of course, many would question why people should be paid to stay in weight-loss programs. But given the substantial medical costs associated with obesity, particularly in lower-income groups, many public health professionals would judge that these incentives save the health care system and taxpayers far more money than they cost, particularly since other studies have shown the value of incentives on initial weight loss (John et al., 2011).

The most successful programs are professionally directed behavior modification programs. A recent study suggested that the combination of restricted calorie intake, increased physical activity, and behavior therapy tends to lead to more weight loss than any of these components on their own (Wadden, Butryn, Hong, & Tsai, 2014). Other research has suggested that behavior modification programs are particularly effective if patients attend group maintenance sessions periodically in the year following initial weight reduction (Bray, 2012; Wing, 2010). In a major study, Svetkey and colleagues (2008) randomly assigned 1,032 overweight or obese adults who had lost at least 10 pounds (4 kilograms) during a 6-month behavior modification program to one of three weight-loss maintenance conditions for 30 months: (1) once-a-month contact with a counselor to help them maintain their program (personal contact group), (2) a website they could log on to when they wanted to maintain their program (interactive technology group), and (3) a control comparison in which they were on their own (self-directed group). Overall, 71% remained below their entry weights, which was a very good result, but the group with once-a-month contact gained back less weight than the interactive technology and the control comparison groups. Nevertheless, even these programs do not produce impressive results. Although participants lost 19 pounds (8 kilograms) on average during the initial 6-month program, individuals in the control group and the interactive technology group gained back approximately 12 pounds (5.2–5.5 kilograms), and those in the once-a-month contact group gained back approximately 9 pounds (4 kilograms) after 2.5 years.

For those individuals who have become more dangerously obese, very-low-calorie diets and possibly drugs, combined with behavior modification programs, are recommended (Yanovski & Yanovski, 2014). Patients lose as much as 20% of their weight on very-low-calorie diets, which typically consist of 4 to 6 liquid meal replacement products, or "shakes," a day. At the end of 3 or 4 months, they are then placed on a low-calorie balanced diet. As with all weight-loss programs, patients typically regain up to 50% of their lost weight in the year following treatment (Ames, et al., 2014; Wadden & Osei, 2002). But more than half of them are able to maintain a weight loss of at least 5%, which is important in very obese people (Sarwer et al., 2004). Similarly, drug treatments that reduce internal cues signaling hunger may have some effect, particularly if combined with a behavioral approach targeting lifestyle change, but concerns about cardiovascular side effects have plagued these medications (Morrato & Allison, 2012; Yanovski & Yanovski, 2014). Currently the FDA has only a few approved drugs approved for this purpose, such as lorcaserin (Belviq), and phentermine/topiramate (Osymia). Another drug,

sibutramine (Meridia), was removed from the market in 2010 due to adverse cardiovascular side effects (Kuehn, 2010). Finally, the surgical approach to extreme obesity—called **bariatric surgery**—is an increasingly popular approach for individuals with a BMI of at least 40 (Adams et al., 2012; Courcoulas, 2012; Livingston, 2012; Arterburn & Fisher, 2014). This surgery has been performed on several celebrities, such as music producer/*American Idol* judge Randy Jackson, and television personalities Sharon Osbourne and Al Roker. As noted earlier, 6.3% of the population in the United States now falls into this BMI of 40 or above category (Flegal et al., 2012). Up to 220,000 individuals received bariatric surgery in 2009 (American Society for Metabolic & Bariatric Surgery, 2010). Furthermore, it is usually more successful than diets, with patients losing approximately 20% to 30% of their body weight postoperatively and maintaining these results over a number of years (Adams et al., 2012; Buchwald et al., 2004; Courcoulas et al., 2013). Sjöström et al. (2012) studied a large group of more than 2,000 patients receiving surgery and reported an average 23% weight loss after 2 years and 18% after 20 years, compared with essentially no weight loss on average for 2,000 severely obese individuals who did not have the surgery. Bariatric surgery is also associated with lower mortality in obese individuals up to 14 years after the procedure (Arterburn et al., 2015). Surprisingly few studies report long-term outcomes from this procedure, and more research is needed in this area (Ikramuddin & Livingston, 2013; Puzziferri et al., 2014). This surgery is reserved only for the most severely obese individuals for whom the obesity is an imminent health risk because the surgery is permanent. Typically, patients must have one or more obesity-related physical conditions, such as heart disease or diabetes. In the most common surgery, part of the stomach is removed to create a sleeve or tube-like structure (Reames, Finks, Bacal, Carline, & Dimick, 2014). Alternatively, a gastric bypass operation creates a bypass of the stomach, as the name implies, which limits not only food intake but also absorption of calories.

Approximately 15% of patients who have bariatric surgery fail to lose significant weight, or they regain lost weight after surgery

(Latfi, Kellum, DeMaria, & Sugarman, 2002; Livhits et al., 2012). A small percentage of individuals, from 0.1% to 0.5%, do not survive the operation, and an additional 15% to 20% experience severe complications requiring rehospitalization and additional surgery within the first year after surgery and in each of the next 2 years after that (Birkmeyer et al., 2013; O'Brien et al., 2010; Zingmond, McGory, & Ko, 2005). The mortality rate may increase to as much as 2% in hospitals where the surgery is done less often (usually fewer than 100 operations for a given surgeon), but the 30-day mortality rate averages about 1% and nearly 6% after 5 years (Omalu et al., 2007). There is some evidence that these rates are not different from severely obese individuals who do not have the surgery at the 5-year point (Livingston, 2007). Furthermore, if the surgery is successful, risk of death from obesity-related diseases such as diabetes is reduced substantially, as much as 90% in some studies (Adams et al., 2007). Although recent data from the very large Sjöström et al. (2012) study mentioned above also indicates that reductions in mortality, at least from cardiovascular events, are significant but relatively small, more puzzling is the finding that reduction in cardiovascular events was not related simply to the weight loss itself, which implies that some other life change may be responsible. This suggests that this surgery should not become routine until we know more about it (Livingston, 2012; Wolfe & Belle, 2014). In any case, surgeons typically require patients to exhaust all other treatment options and to undergo a thorough psychological assessment to ascertain whether they can adapt to the radically changed eating patterns required postsurgery (Kral, 2002; Livingston, 2010; Sarwer et al., 2004). New psychological programs have been designed specifically to prepare patients for this surgery and help them adapt following surgery (Apple, Lock, & Peebles, 2006). With these new programs, surgery may be the best bet for severely obese individuals, but only a small proportion of those eligible are getting the surgery, because of its controversial nature (Livingston, 2007; Santry, Gillen, & Lauderdale, 2005). This may change in the coming years as the surgical procedures become more sophisticated and we learn more about the precise nature of the benefits of surgery. But we are also learning more about diet and exercise programs and, contrary to earlier assumptions, find that well-designed programs will benefit even severely obese individuals (Goodpaster et al., 2010; Ryan & Kushner, 2010).

Turning to public health policy approaches, many states are removing soft drink machines and other sources of unhealthy snack foods from public schools. New York City attempted to ban the sale of sweetened soda in sizes over 16 oz altogether in 2012, but, after big beverage companies and others objected this proposed regulation was struck down by New York Supreme Court (Grynbaum, 2014). Nine states have also requested permission from the federal government to eliminate the option of buying sugar-sweetened beverages with food stamps, a proposal that is supported by the American Medical Association (Brownell & Ludwig, 2011).

Food taxes have also been discussed as a way to raise revenue that could be used in the fight against the obesity epidemic to promote education and policy changes on food consumption. Could the government make it more attractive to eat healthy foods and less attractive to eat unhealthy foods by making the former less expensive and the latter more expensive? The Rudd Center for



Celebrities Star Jones and Al Roker have admitted to having weight loss surgery to address their morbid obesity.

Food Policy and Obesity at Yale is studying the issue intensely. By 2009, 40 states had put into place some taxes on snack foods or soft drinks (Brownell & Frieden, 2009). The thinking is that taxes would have to be increased considerably to provide a substantial disincentive. Several years ago, a prestigious group of scientists proposed in the *New England Journal of Medicine* that an excise tax of 1 cent per ounce be placed on beverages that have any added caloric sweetener. This would raise the cost of a 20-ounce soft drink by approximately 20%. Of course, any substantial tax, with its implications of government control of our behavior, would be controversial and roundly criticized by many. But counterarguments point out the obligation of the government to create policy that supports the individual efforts of all people to be healthy (Brownell et al., 2010).

These debates are now raging around the country and around the world. What would you do? Would you propose that governments intervene economically to change the nutrition of our citizens? Or would you rely solely on education and other means of persuasion? Governments will have to make these choices because it seems clear that the obesity epidemic is one of the greatest threats to health in recent history.

Another public health approach is choice architecture—that is, designing different ways in which choices can be presented to consumers. One line of research has explored the effects of labeling foods with traffic light colors (i.e., red, yellow, or green) based on their nutritional value. In two studies (Thorndike, Sonnenberg, Riis, Baraclough, & Levy, 2012; Thorndike, Riis, Sonnenberg, & Levy, 2014) researchers found that labeling food in this manner led to decreases in purchases of red (i.e., unhealthy) foods and increases in purchases of green (i.e., healthy foods). While promising, more research is needed in this area.

In contrast to adults, the treatment of obesity in children and adolescents has achieved somewhat better outcomes both short term and long term (Cooperberg & Faith, 2004; Epstein, Myers, Raynor, & Saelens, 1998; Oude Luttkhuis et al., 2011), particularly for children. A number of studies report that behavior modification programs, especially those that include parents, may produce a 20% reduction in overweight children or adolescents, a change maintained for at least several years after the end of the study. Again, these behavior modification programs include a number of strategies to change dietary habits, particularly decreasing high-calorie,

CAN WE PREVENT OBESITY?

Society is increasingly turning its attention to ways in which we might prevent continuation of the obesity epidemic. The greatest benefits may come from strategies that focus on prevention by altering factors in the “toxic environment” that strongly encourage the intake of unhealthy foods and a sedentary lifestyle (Brownell, 2002; Gearhardt et al., 2012).

For example, Figure 8.9 shows the relative price over the past 30 years of sugar and sweets versus healthy fresh food. Several years ago, Kelly Brownell, now Professor and Dean of the School of Public Policy at Duke University, proposed in the *New York Times* that we should consider taxing high-calorie, high-fat, or high-sugar foods as a means of addressing the obesity epidemic. This proposal sparked a firestorm of controversy and came to be known as the “Twinkie tax.” And yet, taxation is a powerful and commonly used tactic by governments around the world to set policy and shape the behavior of its citizens (Brownell & Frieden, 2009). A rapid increase in taxes on cigarettes has the goal of reducing

smoking in our citizens and improving health. Increasing taxes on fossil fuels, including gasoline, is conceived by many as one tool for promoting conservation and diminishing the release of harmful chemicals into

our environment that contribute to global warming. Substantial tax breaks on alternative sources of energy such as wind power and solar energy are another government tool for promoting these sources.




FIGURE 8.9

Price changes for fruits and vegetables versus sugar and sweets and carbonated drinks, 1978–2009. (From Brownell, K. D., & Frieden, T. R. [2009]. Ounces of prevention: The public policy case for taxes on sugared beverages. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 360, 1805–1808.)

high-fat snacks. These programs also target reduction of sedentary habits in children and adolescents, such as viewing television, playing video games, and sitting in front of a computer. These programs may be more successful than with adults because parents are typically fully engaged in the program in a constructive way and provide constant and continuing support (Ludwig, 2012; Altman & Wilfey, 2015). This is important because many parents who are not part of structured program have a tendency to continually pressure their overweight children not to eat, which has the opposite effect of causing them to eat more (Agras et al., 2012). Also, dietary habits in children are less engrained than in adults. In addition, children are generally more physically active if provided with appropriate activities (Cooperberg & Faith, 2004). In addition, programs that directly involve children and their parents seem to have a better chance of working to some extent if they are brief, intensive, and focused on just eating and exercise, as opposed to focused on general health issues (Stice, Shaw, & Marti, 2006). For the most severely obese adolescents with a BMI greater than 35, a less intrusive and safer bariatric surgical procedure than the one typically done on adults is now being evaluated, and initial results suggest short-term reduction in weight, but the long-term effects remain to be seen (Black, White, Viner, & Simmons, 2013; O'Brien et al., 2010).

Most of us recognize that eating is essential to our survival. Equally important is sleep, a still relatively mysterious process crucial to everyday functioning and strongly implicated in many psychological disorders. We turn our attention to this additional survival activity in an effort to understand better how and why we can be harmed by sleep disturbances.

people do not get enough sleep, and 28% of people in the United States report feeling excessively sleepy during the day (Ohayon, Dauvilliers, & Reynolds, 2012). Most of us know what it's like to have a bad night's sleep. The next day we're a little groggy, and as the day wears on, we may become irritable. Research tells us that even minor sleep deprivation over only 24 hours impedes our ability to think clearly (Joo, Yoon, Koo, Kim, & Hong, 2012). Now imagine, if you can, that it has been years since you've had a good night's sleep. Your relationships suffer, it is difficult to do your schoolwork, and your efficiency and productivity at work are diminished. Lack of sleep also affects you physically. People who do not get enough sleep are more susceptible to illnesses such as the common cold, perhaps because immune system functioning is reduced with the loss of even a few hours of sleep (Ruiz et al., 2012).

Here you might ask yourself how sleep–wake disorders fit into a textbook on abnormal psychology. Different variations of disturbed sleep clearly have physiological bases and therefore could be considered purely medical concerns. Like other physical disorders, however, sleep problems interact in important ways with psychological factors.

An Overview of Sleep–Wake Disorders

The study of sleep has long influenced concepts of abnormal psychology. Moral treatment, used in the 19th century for people with severe mental illness, included encouraging patients to get adequate amounts of sleep as part of therapy (Charland, 2008). Sigmund Freud greatly emphasized dreams and discussed them with patients as a way of better understanding their emotional lives (Ursano, Sonnenberg, & Lazar, 2008). Researchers who prevented people from sleeping for prolonged periods found that chronic sleep deprivation often had profound effects. An early study in this area looked at the effects of keeping 350 volunteers awake for 112 hours (Tyler, 1955). Seven volunteers engaged in bizarre behavior that seemed psychotic. Subsequent research suggested that it was interfering with the sleep of people with pre-existing psychological problems that can create these disturbing results (Brauchi & West, 1959). A number of the disorders covered in this book are often associated with sleep complaints, including autism spectrum disorder, schizophrenia, major depression, bipolar disorder, and anxiety-related disorders. You may think at first that a sleep problem is the result of a psychological disorder. For example, how often have you been anxious about a future event (an upcoming exam, perhaps) and not been able to fall asleep? The relationship between sleep disturbances and mental health is more complex, however (Pires, Tufik & Andersen, 2015; McEwen & Karatsoreos, 2015). Sleep problems may cause the difficulties people experience in everyday life (e.g., Kreutzmann, Havekes, Abel, & Meerlo, 2015; Almklov, Drummond, Orff, & Alhassoon, 2015; McKenna & Eyler, 2012; Talbot et al., 2012; van der Kloet, Giesbrecht, Lynn, Merckelbach, & de Zutter, 2012), or they may result from some disturbance common to a psychological disorder. For example, the disordered behavior of persons with borderline personality disorder (see Chapter 12) may be attributed to the genes associated with circadian rhythms (circadian CLOCK genes) (Fleischer, Schäfer, Coogan, Hässler, & Thome, 2012).

Sleep–Wake Disorders: The Major Dyssomnias

We spend about one third of our lives asleep. That means most of us sleep nearly 3,000 hours per year. For many of us, sleep is energizing, both mentally and physically. Unfortunately, most

In Chapter 5, we explained how a brain circuit in the limbic system may be involved with anxiety. We know that this region of the brain is also involved with our dream sleep, which is called **rapid eye movement (REM) sleep** (Steiger, 2008). This mutual neurobiological connection suggests that anxiety and sleep may be interrelated in important ways, although the exact nature of the relationship is still unknown. Insufficient sleep, for example, can stimulate overeating and may contribute to the epidemic of obesity (Hanlon & Knutson, 2014). Similarly, REM sleep seems related to depression, as noted in Chapter 7 (Wiebe, Cassoff, & Gruber, 2012). Sleep abnormalities are preceding signs of serious clinical depression, which may suggest that sleep problems can help predict who is at risk for later mood disorders (Murphy & Peterson, 2015). In an intriguing study, researchers found that CBT improved symptoms among a group of depressed men and normalized REM sleep patterns (Nofzinger et al., 1994). Furthermore, sleep deprivation has temporary antidepressant effects on some people, although in people who are not already depressed, sleep deprivation may bring on a depressed mood (Voderhozer et al., 2014). We do not fully understand how psychological disorders are related to sleep, yet accumulating research points to the importance of understanding sleep if we are to complete the broader picture of abnormal behavior.

Sleep-wake disorders are divided into two major categories: **dyssomnias** and **parasomnias** (see Table 8.4). Dyssomnias involve difficulties in getting enough sleep, problems with sleeping when you want to (not being able to fall asleep until 2 A.M. when

you have a 9 A.M. class), and complaints about the quality of sleep, such as not feeling refreshed even though you have slept the whole night. Parasomnias are characterized by abnormal behavioral or physiological events that occur during sleep, such as nightmares and sleepwalking.

The clearest and most comprehensive picture of your sleep habits can be determined only by a **polysomnographic (PSG) evaluation** (Mindell & Owens, 2015). The patient spends one or more nights sleeping in a sleep laboratory and being monitored on a number of measures, including respiration and oxygen desaturation (a measure of airflow); leg movements; brain wave activity, measured by an *electroencephalogram*; eye movements, measured by an *electrooculogram*; muscle movements, measured by an *electromyogram*; and heart activity, measured by an *electrocardiogram*. Daytime behavior and typical sleep patterns are also noted—for example, whether the person uses drugs or alcohol, is anxious about work or interpersonal problems, takes afternoon naps, or has a psychological disorder. Collecting all these data can be both time consuming and costly, but it is important to ensure an accurate diagnosis and treatment plan. One alternative to the comprehensive assessment of sleep is to use a wristwatch-size device called an **actigraph**. This instrument records the number of arm movements, and the data can be downloaded into a computer to determine the length and quality of sleep. Several studies have now tested the usefulness of this type of device in measuring the sleep of astronauts during space travel, and they find it can reliably detect when they fall asleep, when they wake up, and how restful their in-space sleep is (e.g., Barger et al., 2014). The use of apps available through smart phones and separate wristband devices can also provide some of this information (de Zambotti, Claudatos, Inkelis, Colrain, & Baker, 2015). In addition, clinicians and researchers find it helpful to know the average number of hours the individual sleeps each day, taking into account **sleep efficiency (SE)**, the percentage of time actually spent asleep, not just lying in bed trying to sleep. SE is calculated by dividing the amount of time sleeping by the amount of time in bed. A SE of 100% would mean you fall asleep as soon as your head hits the pillow and do not wake up during the night. In contrast, a SE of 50% would mean half your time in bed is spent trying to fall asleep—that is, you are awake half the time. Such measurements help the clinician determine objectively how well you sleep.

One way to determine whether a person has a problem with sleep is to observe his daytime sequelae, or behavior while awake. For example, if it takes you 90 minutes to fall asleep at night, but this doesn't bother you and you feel rested during the day, then you do not have a problem. A friend who also takes 90 minutes to fall asleep but finds this delay anxiety-provoking and is fatigued the next day might be considered to have a sleep problem. It is, to some degree, a subjective decision, partly depending on how the person perceives the situation and reacts to it.

Insomnia Disorder

Insomnia is one of the most common sleep-wake disorders. You may picture someone with insomnia as being awake all the time. It isn't possible to go completely without sleep, however. For example, after being awake for one or two nights, a person begins



Burger/Phanie/Science Source

This participant is undergoing a polysomnograph, an overnight electronic evaluation of sleep patterns.

TABLE 8.4

Summary of DSM-5 Sleep-Wake Disorders

Sleep Disorder	Description
DYSSOMNIAS	(Problems in the amount, timing, or quality of sleep)
Insomnia Disorder	Difficulty falling asleep at bedtime, problems staying asleep throughout the night, or sleep that does not result in the person feeling rested even after normal amounts of sleep.
Hypersomnolence Disorders	Excessive sleepiness that is displayed as either sleeping longer than is typical or frequent falling asleep during the day.
Narcolepsy	Episodes of irresistible attacks of refreshing sleep occurring daily, accompanied by episodes of brief loss of muscle tone (cataplexy).
Breathing-Related Sleep Disorders (Obstructive Sleep Apnea Hypopnea Syndrome, Central Sleep Apnea and Sleep-Related Hypoventilation)	A variety of breathing disorders that occur during sleep and that lead to excessive sleepiness or insomnia.
Circadian Rhythm Sleep–Wake Disorder	A discrepancy between the sleep–wake schedule required by a person to be rested and the requirements of the person’s environment (e.g., work schedules) that leads to excessive sleepiness or insomnia.
PARASOMNIAS	
Disorder of Arousal	Motor movements and behaviors that occur during NREM sleep including incomplete awakening (confusional arousals), sleep walking, or sleep terrors (abrupt awakening from sleep that begins with a panicky scream).
Nightmare Disorder	Frequently being awakened by extended and extremely frightening dreams that cause significant distress and impaired functioning.
Rapid Eye Movement Sleep Behavior Disorder	Episodes of arousal during REM sleep that result in behaviors that can cause harm to the individual or others.
Restless Legs Syndrome	Irresistible urges to move the legs as a result of unpleasant sensations (sometimes labeled “creeping,” “tugging,” or “pulling” in the limbs) (otherwise referred to as Willis-Ekbom disease).
Substance-Induced Sleep Disorder	Severe sleep disturbance that is the result of substance intoxication or withdrawal.

Source: Adapted from American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th Edition)*. Washington, D.C.: American Psychiatric Association.

having **microsleeps** that last several seconds or longer (Morin et al., 2012). In the rare occurrences of fatal familial insomnia (a degenerative brain disorder), total lack of sleep eventually leads to death (Parchi, Capellari, & Gambetti, 2012). Despite the common use of the term *insomnia* to mean “not sleeping,” it actually applies to a number of complaints. People are considered to have insomnia if they have trouble falling asleep at night (difficulty initiating sleep), if they wake up frequently or too early and can’t go back to sleep (difficulty maintaining sleep), or even if they sleep a reasonable number of hours but are still not rested the next day (nonrestorative sleep). Consider the case of Sonja.

Sonja... School on Her Mind

Sonja was a 23-year-old law student with a history of sleep problems. She reported that she never really slept well, both having trouble falling asleep at night and usually awakening again in the early morning. She had been using the nighttime cold medication Nyquil several times per week

over the past few years to help her fall asleep. Unfortunately, since she started law school last year, her sleep problems had grown even worse. She would lie in bed awake until the early morning hours thinking about school, getting only 3 to 4 hours of sleep on a typical night. In the morning, she had a great deal of difficulty getting out of bed and was frequently late for her early morning class.

Sonja’s sleep problems and their interference with her schoolwork were causing her to experience increasingly severe depression. In addition, she recently reported having a severe anxiety attack that woke her in the middle of the night. All of these difficulties caused her to be increasingly isolated from family and friends, who finally convinced her to seek help.

We return to Sonja later in this chapter. •

Clinical Description

Sonja’s symptoms meet the *DSM-5* criteria for **insomnia disorder** because her sleep problems were not related to other medical or psychiatric problems (also referred to as **primary insomnia**).

Looking at sleep-wake disorders as primary recalls the overlap of sleep problems with psychological disorders such as anxiety and depression. Because not sleeping makes you anxious and anxiety further interrupts your sleep—which makes you more anxious, and so on—it is uncommon to find a person with a simple sleep-wake disorder and no related problems.

Sonja's is a typical case of insomnia disorder. She had trouble both initiating and maintaining sleep. Other people sleep all night but still feel as if they've been awake for hours. Although most people can carry out necessary day-to-day activities, their inability to concentrate can have serious consequences, such as debilitating accidents when they attempt to drive long distances (like bus drivers) or handle dangerous material (like electricians). Students with insomnia like Sonja's may do poorly in school because of difficulty concentrating.

Statistics

Approximately one third of the population reports some symptoms of insomnia during any given year (Chung et al., 2015). For many of these individuals, sleep difficulties are a lifetime affliction (Lind, Aggen, Kirkpatrick, Kendler, & Amstadter, 2015). Approximately 15% of older adults report excessive daytime sleepiness, with older black men reporting the most problems, and this contributes to increased risk for falling (Green, Ndaa-Brumblay, & Hart-Johnson, 2009; Hayley et al., 2015).

A number of psychological disorders are associated with insomnia. Total sleep time often decreases with depression, substance use disorders, anxiety disorders, and neurocognitive disorder due to Alzheimer's disease. The interrelationship between alcohol use and sleep disorders can be particularly troubling. Alcohol is often used to initiate sleep (Morin et al., 2012). In small amounts, it helps make people drowsy, but it also interrupts ongoing sleep. Interrupted sleep causes anxiety, which often leads to repeated alcohol use and an obviously vicious cycle.

Women report insomnia twice as often as men. Women more often report problems initiating sleep, which may be related to hormonal differences or to differential reporting of sleep problems, with women generally more negatively affected by poor sleep than men (Jaussent et al., 2011). Interestingly, there may be some protective factors that can improve sleep in women, including moderate alcohol and caffeine use and following a Mediterranean diet (e.g., high intake of vegetables, legumes, fruits, and unsaturated fatty acids mostly in the form of olive oil) (Jaussent et al., 2011). Just as people's needs concerning normal sleep change over time, complaints of insomnia differ in frequency among people of different ages. Children who have difficulty falling asleep usually throw a tantrum at bedtime or do not want to go to bed. Many children cry when they wake in the middle of the night. Approximately one in five young children experiences insomnia (Calhoun, Fernandez-Mendoza, Vgontzas, Liao, & Bixler, 2014). Growing evidence points to both biological and cultural explanations for poor sleep among adolescents. As children move into adolescence, their biologically determined sleep schedules shift toward a later bedtime (Skeldon, Dirks, & Dijk, 2015). At least in the United States, however, children are still expected to rise early for school, causing chronic sleep deprivation. This problem is not

observed among all adolescents, with ethnocultural differences reported among youth from different backgrounds. One study, for example, found that Chinese American youth reported the fewest problems with insomnia, and Mexican American adolescents reported the most difficulty sleeping (Roberts, Roberts, & Chen, 2000). The percentage of individuals who complain of sleep problems increases as they become older adults. A national sleep poll revealed that among adults from 55 to 64 years of age, 26% complain of sleep problems, but this decreases to about 21% for those from 65 to 84 years (National Sleep Foundation, 2009). This higher rate in reports of sleeping problems among older people makes sense when you remember that the number of hours we sleep decreases as we age. It is not uncommon for someone over 65 to sleep fewer than 6 hours and wake up several times each night.

Causes

Insomnia accompanies many medical and psychological disorders, including pain and physical discomfort, physical inactivity during the day, and respiratory problems. Sometimes insomnia

DSM
5

TABLE 8.4

Diagnostic Criteria for Insomnia Disorder

- A.** A predominant complaint of dissatisfaction with sleep quantity or quality associated with one or more of the following symptoms:
 - 1.** Difficulty initiating sleep. (In children, this may manifest as difficulty initiating sleep without caregiver intervention.)
 - 2.** Difficulty maintaining sleep, characterized by frequent awakenings or problems returning to sleep after awakenings. (In children, this may manifest as difficulty returning to sleep without caregiver intervention.)
 - 3.** Early-morning awakening with inability to return to sleep.
- B.** The sleep disturbance causes clinically significant distress in social, occupational, educational, academic, behavioral, or other important areas of functioning.
- C.** The sleep difficulty occurs at least 3 nights per week.
- D.** The sleep difficulty is present for at least 3 months.
- E.** The sleep difficulty occurs despite adequate opportunity for sleep.
- F.** The insomnia is not better explained by and does not occur exclusively during the course of another sleep-wake disorder (e.g., narcolepsy, breathing-related sleep disorder, a circadian rhythm sleep-wake disorder, a parasomnia).
- G.** The insomnia is not attributable to the physiological effects of a substance (e.g., a drug abuse, a medication).
- H.** Coexisting mental disorders and medical conditions do not adequately explain the predominant complaint of insomnia.

Specify if:

Episodic: Symptoms last at least 1 month but less than 3 months

Persistent: Symptoms last 3 months or longer

Recurrent: Two (or more) episodes within the space of 1 year

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

is related to problems with the biological clock and its control of temperature. Some people who can't fall asleep at night may have a delayed temperature rhythm: Their body temperature doesn't drop, and they don't become drowsy until later at night. As a group, people with insomnia seem to have higher body temperatures than good sleepers, and their body temperatures seem to vary less; this lack of fluctuation may interfere with sleep (Taylor, Gehrman, Dautovich, Lichstein, & McCrae, 2014).

Among the other factors that can interfere with sleeping are drug use and a variety of environmental influences such as changes in light, noise, or temperature. People admitted to hospitals often have difficulty sleeping, because the noises and routines differ from those at home. Other sleep disorders, such as *sleep apnea* (a disorder that involves obstructed nighttime breathing) or *periodic limb movement disorder* (excessive jerky leg movements), can cause interrupted sleep and may seem similar to insomnia.

Finally, various psychological stresses can also disrupt your sleep. For example, one study looked at how medical and dental school students were affected by a particularly stressful event—in this case, participating in cadaver dissection (Snelling, Sahai, & Ellis, 2003). Among the effects reported by the students was a decrease in their ability to sleep.

People with insomnia may have unrealistic expectations about how much sleep they need ("I need a full 8 hours") and about how disruptive disturbed sleep will be ("I won't be able to think or do my job if I sleep for only 5 hours") (Hiller, Johnston, Dohnt, Lovato, & Gradisar, 2015). The actual amount of sleep each person needs varies, and is assessed by how it affects you during the day. It is important to recognize the role of cognition in insomnia; our thoughts alone may disrupt our sleep.

Is poor sleeping a learned behavior? It is generally accepted that people suffering from sleep problems associate the bedroom and bed with the frustration and anxiety that go with insomnia. Eventually, the arrival of bedtime itself may cause anxiety (Morin & Benca, 2012). Interactions associated with sleep may contribute to children's sleep problems. For example, one study found that a parent's depression and negative thoughts about child sleep negatively influenced infant night waking (Teti & Crosby, 2012). Researchers think that some children learn to fall asleep only with a parent present; if they wake up at night, they are frightened at

finding themselves alone, and their sleep is disrupted. Despite widespread acceptance of the role of learning in insomnia, relatively little research has been done on this phenomenon, perhaps partly because this type of research would involve going into homes and bedrooms at an especially private time.

Cross-cultural sleep research has focused primarily on children. In the predominant culture in the United States, infants are expected to sleep on their own, in a separate bed, and, if possible, in a separate room (see Table 8.5). In other cultures as diverse as rural Guatemala and Korea and urban Japan, however, the child spends the first few years of life in the same room and sometimes the same bed as the mother (Burnham & Gaylor, 2011). In many cultures, mothers report that they do not ignore the cries of their children (Giannotti & Cortesi, 2009), in stark contrast to the United States, where many pediatricians recommend that parents ignore the cries of their infants over a certain age at night (Moore, 2012). One conclusion from this research is that sleep can be affected by cultural norms. Unmet demands can result in stress that negatively affects the ultimate sleep outcome for children (Durand, 2008, 2014).

An Integrative Model

An integrative view of sleep disorders includes several assumptions. The first is that, at some level, both biological and psychological factors are present in most cases. A second assumption is that these multiple factors are reciprocally related. This can be seen in the study we noted earlier, where a parent's depression and negative thoughts about child sleep negatively influenced infant night waking (Teti & Crosby, 2012). In other words, personality characteristics, sleep difficulties, and parental reaction interact in a reciprocal manner to produce and maintain sleep problems.

People may be biologically vulnerable to disturbed sleep. This vulnerability differs from person to person and can range from mild to more severe disturbances. For example, a person may be a light sleeper (easily aroused at night) or have a family history of insomnia, narcolepsy, or obstructed breathing. All these factors can lead to eventual sleeping problems. Such influences have been referred to as *predisposing conditions* (Spielman & Glovinsky, 1991); they may not, by themselves, always cause problems, but



In the United States, children usually sleep alone (left). In many cultures, all family members share the same bed (right).



Daniel Boag/Lonely Planet Images/Getty Images

TABLE 8.5 Cross-Cultural Differences in Child Sleep

When Children Sleep	
U.S.	Non-Caucasian children will often go to bed later, get up later, and sleep less than Caucasian children.
Italy	Italian children have a shorter nightly sleep duration—going to bed later and waking up earlier—than children in the United States.
Japan	Japanese children sleep less, sometimes napping after dinner but waking up to study late into the night.
Netherlands	Dutch infants sleep longer, going to bed earlier.
Bali	Balinese children may participate in occasional spiritual observances throughout the night and sleep on and off.
China	Chinese children's sleep changes seasonally, presumably to coincide with family work patterns.
Bedtime Routines	
Guatemala (Mayan), Spain, Greece, and Italy	In these countries, there is no bedtime routine and children are often allowed to fall asleep during family activities and then be put to bed.
Bali	Balinese infants are held all day long and sleep as needed.
Where Children Sleep	
Italy	It is common for Italian children to sleep in the same room as the parents.
Japan	Japanese children often sleep in the same bed as parents.


Adapted from Durand, V. M. (2008). *When children don't sleep well: Interventions for pediatric sleep disorders, a therapist guide*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press. Jenni, O. G., & O'Connor, B. B. (2005). Children's sleep: An interplay between culture and biology. *Pediatrics*, 115(1), 204–216.

they may combine with other factors to interfere with sleep (see ● Figure 8.10).

Biological vulnerability may, in turn, interact with *sleep stress* (Durand, 2008), which includes a number of events that can negatively affect sleep. For example, poor bedtime habits (such

as having too much alcohol or caffeine) can interfere with falling asleep (Morin et al., 2012). Note that biological vulnerability and sleep stress influence each other (as shown in ● Figure 8.10). Although we may intuitively assume that biological factors come first, extrinsic influences such as poor sleep hygiene (the daily activities that affect how we sleep) can affect the physiological activity of sleep. One of the most striking examples of this phenomenon is jet lag, in which people's sleep patterns are disrupted, sometimes seriously, when they fly across several time zones. Whether disturbances continue or become more severe may depend on how they are managed. For example, many people react to disrupted sleep by taking over-the-counter sleeping pills. Unfortunately, most people are not aware that **rebound insomnia**—where sleep problems reappear, sometimes worse—may occur when the medication is withdrawn. This rebound leads people to think they still have a sleep problem, readminister the medicine, and go through the cycle repeatedly. In other words, taking sleep aids can perpetuate sleep problems.

Other ways of reacting to poor sleep can also prolong problems. It seems reasonable that a person who hasn't had enough sleep can make up for this loss by napping during the day. Unfortunately, naps that alleviate fatigue during the day can also disrupt sleep that night. Anxiety can also extend the problem. Lying in bed worrying about school, family problems, or even about not being able to sleep will interfere with sleep (O'Kearney & Pech, 2014). The behavior of parents can also help maintain these problems in children. Children who receive a great deal of positive attention at night when they wake up may wake up during the night more

**● FIGURE 8.10**

An integrative, multidimensional model of sleep disturbance.

often (Durand, 2014). Such maladaptive reactions, when combined with a biological predisposition to sleep problems and sleep stress, may account for continuing problems.

Hypersomnolence Disorders

Insomnia disorder involves not getting enough sleep (the prefix *in* means “lacking” or “without”), and **hypersomnolence disorders** involve sleeping too much (*hyper* means “in great amount” or “abnormal excess”). Many people who sleep all night find themselves falling asleep several times the next day. Consider the case of Ann.

Ann...

Sleeping in Public

Ann, a college student, came to my office to discuss her progress in class. We talked about several questions that she got wrong on the last exam, and as she was about to leave she said that she never fell asleep during my class. This seemed like faint praise, but I thanked her for the feedback. “No,” she said, “you don’t understand. I usually fall asleep in *all* of my classes, but not in yours.” Again, I didn’t quite understand what she was trying to tell me and joked that she must pick her professors more carefully. She laughed. “That’s probably true. But I also have this problem with sleeping too much.”

As we talked more seriously, Ann told me that excessive sleeping had been a problem since her teenage years. In situations that were monotonous or boring, or when she couldn’t be active, she fell asleep. This could happen several times a day, depending on what she was doing. Recently, large lecture classes had become a problem unless the lecturer was particularly interesting or animated. Watching television and driving long distances were also problematic.

Ann reported that her father had a similar problem. He had recently been diagnosed with narcolepsy (which we discuss next) and was now getting help at a clinic. Both she and her brother had been diagnosed with hypersomnolence disorder. Ann had been prescribed Ritalin (a stimulant medication) about 4 years ago and said that it was only somewhat effective in keeping her awake during the day. She said the drug helped reduce the sleep attacks but did not eliminate them altogether. •

The *DSM-5* diagnostic criteria for hypersomnolence include not only the excessive sleepiness that Ann described but also the subjective impression of this problem (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Remember that whether insomnia disorder is a problem depends on how it affects each person. Ann found her disorder disruptive because it interfered with driving and paying attention in class. Hypersomnolence caused her to be less successful academically and upset her personally, both of which are defining features of this disorder. She slept approximately 8 hours each night, so her daytime sleepiness couldn’t be attributed to insufficient sleep.

DSM
5

TABLE 8.5

Diagnostic Criteria for Hypersomnolence Disorder

- A. Self-reported excessive sleepiness (hypersomnolence) despite a main sleep period lasting at least 7 hours, with at least one of the following symptoms:
1. Recurrent periods of sleep or lapses into sleep within the same day.
 2. A prolonged main sleep episode of more than 9 hours per day that is non-restorative (i.e., unrefreshing).
 3. Difficulty being fully awake after abrupt awakening.
- B. The hypersomnolence occurs at least three times per week, for at least 3 months.
- C. The hypersomnolence is accompanied by significant distress or impairment in cognitive, social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.
- D. The hypersomnolence is not better explained by and does not occur exclusively during the course of another sleep disorder (e.g., narcolepsy, breathing-related sleep disorder, a circadian rhythm sleep-wake disorder, a parasomnia).
- E. The hypersomnolence is not attributable to the physiological effects of a substance (e.g., a drug abuse, a medication).
- F. Coexisting mental and medical disorders do not adequately explain the predominance complaint of hypersomnolence.

Specify if:

Acute: Duration of less than 1 month

Subacute: Duration of 1–3 months

Persistent: Duration of more than 3 months

Specify current severity:

Specify severity based on degree of difficulty maintaining daytime alertness as manifested by the occurrence of multiple attacks of irresistible sleepiness within any given day occurring, for example, while sedentary, driving, visiting with friends, or working.

Mild: Difficulty maintaining daytime alertness 1–2 days/week

Moderate: Difficulty maintaining daytime alertness 3–4 days/week

Severe: Difficulty maintaining daytime alertness 5–7 days/week

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC

Several factors that can cause excessive sleepiness would not be considered hypersomnolence. For example, people with insomnia disorder (who get inadequate amounts of sleep) often report being tired during the day. In contrast, people with hypersomnolence sleep through the night and appear rested upon awakening but still complain of being excessively tired throughout the day. Another sleep problem that can cause a similar excessive sleepiness is a breathing-related sleep disorder called **sleep apnea**. People with this problem have difficulty breathing at night. They often snore loudly, pause between breaths, and wake in the morning with a dry mouth and headache. In identifying hypersomnolence, the clinician needs to rule out insomnia, sleep apnea, or other reasons for sleepiness during the day (American Psychiatric Association, 2013).

We are just beginning to understand the nature of hypersomnolence, so relatively little research has been done on its causes. Genetic influences seem to be involved in a portion of cases,



Excessive sleepiness can be disruptive.

with individuals having an increased likelihood of having certain genetic factors (HLA-Cw2 and HLA-DR11) (Buysse et al., 2008). A significant subgroup of people diagnosed with hypersomnolence disorder previously were exposed to a viral infection such as mononucleosis, hepatitis, and viral pneumonia, which suggests there may be more than one cause (Hirshkowitz, Sephlowitz, & Sharafkhaneh, 2009).

Narcolepsy

Ann described her father as having **narcolepsy**, a different form of the sleeping problem she and her brother shared (Goodrick, 2014). In addition to daytime sleepiness, some people with narcolepsy experience *cataplexy*, a sudden loss of muscle tone. Cataplexy occurs while the person is awake and can range from slight weakness in the facial muscles to complete physical collapse. Cataplexy lasts from several seconds to several minutes; it is usually preceded by strong emotion such as anger or happiness. Imagine that while cheering for your favorite team, you suddenly fall asleep; while arguing with a friend, you collapse to the floor in a sound sleep. You can imagine how disruptive this disorder can be!

Cataplexy appears to result from a sudden onset of REM sleep. Instead of falling asleep normally and going through the four non-rapid eye movement (NREM) stages that typically precede REM sleep, people with narcolepsy periodically progress right to this dream-sleep stage almost directly from the state of being awake. One outcome of REM sleep is the inhibition of input to the muscles, and this seems to be the process that leads to cataplexy.

Two other characteristics distinguish people who have narcolepsy (Ahmed & Thorpy, 2012). They commonly report *sleep paralysis*, a brief period after awakening when they can't move or speak that is often frightening to those who go through it. The last characteristic of narcolepsy is *hypnagogic hallucinations*, vivid and often terrifying experiences that begin at the start of sleep and are said to be unbelievably realistic because they include not only visual aspects but also touch, hearing, and even the sensation of body movement. Examples of hypnagogic hallucinations,

which, like sleep paralysis, can be quite terrifying, include the vivid illusion of being caught in a fire or flying through the air. Narcolepsy is relatively rare, occurring in 0.03% to 0.16% of the population, with the numbers approximately equal among males and females. Although some cases have been reported in young children, the problems associated with narcolepsy usually are first seen during the teenage years. Excessive sleepiness usually occurs first, with cataplexy appearing either at the same time or with a delay of up to 30 years. Fortunately, the cataplexy, hypnagogic hallucinations, and sleep paralysis often decrease in frequency over time, although sleepiness during the day does not seem to diminish with age.

Sleep paralysis and hypnagogic hallucinations may serve a role in explaining a phenomenon—unidentified flying object (UFO) or alien abduction experiences (Sharpless & Doghramji, 2015). Each year, numerous people report sighting UFOs, and some even tell of visiting with inhabitants of other planets. A group of scientists examined people who had had such experiences, separating them into those who had nonintense experiences (seeing only lights and shapes in the sky) and those with intense experiences (seeing and communicating with aliens) (Spanos, Cross, Dickson, & DuBreuil, 1993). They found that a majority of the reported UFO incidents occurred at night and that 60% of the intense UFO stories were associated with sleep episodes. Specifically, the reports of these intense accounts were often described in ways that resembled accounts of people experiencing a frightening episode of sleep paralysis and hypnagogic hallucination, as illustrated by the following account (Spanos et al., 1993):

I was lying in bed facing the wall, and suddenly my heart started to race. I could feel the presence of three entities standing beside me. I was unable to move my body but could move my eyes. One of the entities, a male, was laughing at me, not verbally but with his mind. He made me feel stupid. He told me telepathically, “Don’t you know by now that you can’t do anything unless we let you?” (p. 627)

The realistic and frightening stories of people who have had UFO sightings may not be the products of an active imagination or the results of a hoax, but at least in some cases they may be a disturbance of sleep. Sleep paralysis and hypnagogic hallucinations do occur in a portion of people without narcolepsy, a phenomenon that may help explain why not everyone with these “otherworldly” experiences has narcolepsy. Sleep paralysis commonly co-occurs with anxiety disorders, in which case the condition is termed *isolated sleep paralysis* (see p. 138).

Specific genetic models of narcolepsy are now being developed (Peall & Robertson, 2014). Previous research with Doberman pinschers and Labrador retrievers, which also inherit this disorder, suggests that narcolepsy is associated with a cluster of genes on chromosome 6, and it may be an autosomal recessive trait. It appears that there is a significant loss of a certain type of nerve cell (hypocretin neurons) in those with narcolepsy. These neurons create peptides that appear to play an important role in wakefulness, although why these individuals lack just these specific neurons is not yet understood (Burgess & Scammell, 2012).

TABLE 8.6

Diagnostic Criteria for Narcolepsy

- A.** Recurrent periods of irrepressible need to sleep, lapsing into sleep, or napping occurring within the same day. These must have been occurring at least three times per week over the past 3 months.
- B.** The presence of at least one of the following:
- 1.** Episodes of cataplexy defined as either (a) or (b), occurring at least a few times per month:
 - (a)** In individuals with long standing disease, brief (seconds to minutes) episodes of sudden bilateral loss of muscle tone with maintained consciousness, precipitated by laughter or joking.
 - (b)** In children or in individuals within 6 months of onset, spontaneous grimaces or jaw-opening episodes with tongue thrusting or a global hypotonia, without any obvious emotional triggers.
 - 2.** Hypocretin deficiency, as measured using cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) hypocretin-1 immunoreactivity values (less than or equal to one third of values obtained in healthy subjects tested using the same assay or less than or equal to 110 pg/ml). Low CSF levels of hypocretin-1 must not be observed in the context of acute brain injury, inflammation or infection.
 - 3.** Nocturnal sleep polysomnography showing rapid eye movement (REM) sleep latency less than or equal to 15 minutes, or a multiple sleep latency test showing a mean sleep latency less than or equal to 8 minutes and two or more sleep onset REM periods.

Specify current severity:

Mild: Infrequent cataplexy (less than once per week), need for naps only once or twice per day, and less disturbed nocturnal sleep

Moderate: Cataplexy once daily or every few days, disturbed nocturnal sleep, and need for multiple naps daily

Severe: Drug-resistant cataplexy with multiple attacks daily, nearly constant sleepiness, and disturbed nocturnal sleep (i.e., movements, insomnia, and vivid dreaming)

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

Breathing-Related Sleep Disorders

For some people, sleepiness during the day or disrupted sleep at night has a physical origin—namely, problems with breathing while asleep. In *DSM-5*, these problems are diagnosed as **breathing-related sleep disorders**. People whose breathing is interrupted during their sleep often experience numerous brief arousals throughout the night and do not feel rested even after 8 or 9 hours asleep (Mindell & Owens, 2015). For all of us, the muscles in the upper airway relax during sleep, constricting the passageway somewhat and making breathing a little more difficult. For some, unfortunately, breathing is constricted a great deal and may be labored (*hypoventilation*) or, in the extreme, there may be short periods (10 to 30 seconds) when they stop breathing altogether, called *sleep apnea*. Often the affected person is only minimally aware of breathing difficulties and doesn't attribute the sleep problems to the breathing. A bed partner usually notices loud snoring (which is one sign of this problem), however, or will have noticed frightening episodes of interrupted breathing. Other signs that a person has breathing difficulties are heavy sweating during the night, morning headaches, and episodes of falling asleep during the day (*sleep attacks*) with no resulting feeling of being rested (Overeem & Reading, 2010).

There are three types of apnea, each with different causes, daytime complaints, and treatment: obstructive, central, and mixed sleep apnea. *Obstructive sleep apnea hypopnea syndrome* occurs when airflow stops despite continued activity by the respiratory system (Mbata & Chukwuka, 2012). In some people, the airway is too narrow; in others, some abnormality or damage interferes with the ongoing effort to breathe. Everyone in a group of people with obstructive sleep apnea hypopnea syndrome reported snoring at night (Goel, Talwar, & Jain, 2015). Obesity is sometimes associated with this problem, as is increasing age. Some work now suggests

that the use of MDMA (ecstasy) can lead to obstructive apnea hypopnea syndrome even in young and otherwise healthy adults (McCann, Sgambati, Schwartz, & Ricaurte, 2009). Obstructive sleep apnea is most common in males and is thought to occur in approximately 20% of the population (Franklin & Lindberg, 2015).

TABLE 8.7

Diagnostic Criteria for Obstructive Sleep Apnea Hypopnea

- A.** Either (1) or (2):
- 1.** Evidence by polysomnography of at least five obstructive apneas or hypopneas per hour of sleep and either of the following sleep symptoms:
 - (a)** Nocturnal breathing disturbances: snoring, snorting/gasping or breathing pauses during sleep.
 - (b)** Daytime sleepiness, fatigue, or unrefreshing sleep despite sufficient opportunities to sleep that is not better explained by another mental disorder (including a sleep disorder) and is not attributable to another medical condition.
 - 2.** Evidence by polysomnography of 15 or more obstructive apneas and/or hypopneas per hour of sleep regardless of accompanying symptoms.

Specify current severity:

Mild: Apnea hypopnea index is less than 15

Moderate: Apnea hypopnea index is 15–30

Severe: Apnea hypopnea index is greater than 30

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

TABLE 8.8

Diagnostic Criteria for Central Sleep Apnea

- A. Evidence by polysomnography of five or more central apneas per hour of sleep.
- B. The disorder is not better explained by another current sleep disorder.

Specify current severity:

Severity of central sleep apnea is graded according to the frequency of the breathing disturbances as well as the extent of associated oxygen desaturation and sleep fragmentation that occur as a consequence of repetitive respiratory disturbances.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

TABLE 8.9

Diagnostic Criteria for Sleep-Related Hypoventilation

- A. Polysomnography demonstrates episodes of decreased respiration associated with elevated CO₂ levels. (Note: In the absence of objective measurement of CO₂, persistent low levels of hemoglobin oxygen saturation unassociated with apneic/hypopneic events may indicate hypoventilation.)
- B. The disorder is not better explained by another current sleep disorder.

Specify current severity:

Severity is graded according to the degree of hypoxemia and hypercarbia present during sleep and evidence of end organ impairment due to these abnormalities (e.g., right-sided heart failure). The presence of blood gas abnormalities during wakefulness is an indicator of greater severity.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

The second type of apnea, *central sleep apnea*, involves the complete cessation of respiratory activity for brief periods and is often associated with certain central nervous system disorders, such as cerebral vascular disease, head trauma, and degenerative disorders (Badr, 2012). Unlike people with obstructive sleep apnea hypopnea syndrome, those with central sleep apnea wake up frequently during the night but they tend not to report excessive daytime sleepiness and often are not aware of having a serious breathing problem. Because of the lack of daytime symptoms, people tend not to seek treatment, so we know relatively little about this disorder's prevalence or course. The third breathing disorder, *sleep-related hypoventilation*, is a decrease in airflow without a complete pause in breathing. This tends to cause an increase in carbon dioxide (CO₂) levels, because insufficient air is exchanged with the environment. All these breathing difficulties interrupt sleep and result in symptoms similar to those of insomnia.

Circadian Rhythm Sleep Disorder

"Spring ahead; fall back": People in most of the United States use this mnemonic device to remind themselves to turn the clocks ahead 1 hour in the spring and back again 1 hour in the fall. Most of us consider the shift to daylight saving time a minor inconvenience and are thus surprised to see how disruptive this time change can be. For at least a day or two, we may be sleepy during the day and have difficulty falling asleep at night, almost as if we had jet lag. The reason for this disruption is not just that we gain or lose 1 hour of sleep; our bodies adjust to this fairly easily. The difficulty has to do with how our biological clocks adjust to this change in time. Convention says to go to sleep at this new time while our brains are saying something different. If the struggle continues for any length of time, you may have what is called a **circadian rhythm sleep disorder**. This disorder is characterized by disturbed sleep (either insomnia or excessive sleepiness during the day) brought on by the brain's inability to synchronize its sleep patterns with the current patterns of day and night.

In the 1960s, German and French scientists identified several bodily rhythms that seem to persist without cues from the environment, rhythms that are self-regulated (Aschoff & Wever, 1962; Siffre, 1964). Because these rhythms don't exactly match our 24-hour day, they are called circadian (from *circa* meaning "about" and *dian* meaning "day"). If our circadian rhythms don't match the 24-hour day, why isn't our sleep completely disrupted over time?

Fortunately, our brains have a mechanism that keeps us in sync with the outside world. Our biological clock is in the *suprachiasmatic nucleus* in the hypothalamus. Connected to the suprachiasmatic nucleus is a pathway that comes from our eyes. The light we see in the morning and the decreasing light at night signal the brain to reset the biological clock each day. Unfortunately, some people have trouble sleeping when they want to because of problems with their circadian rhythms. The causes may be outside the person (for example, crossing several time zones in a short amount of time) or internal.

Not being synchronized with the normal wake and sleep cycles causes people's sleep to be interrupted when they do try to sleep during the day. There are several types of circadian rhythm sleep disorders. *Jet lag type* is, as its name implies, caused by rapidly crossing multiple time zones (Abbott, Soca, & Zee, 2014). People with jet lag usually report difficulty going to sleep at the proper time and feeling fatigued during the day. Traveling more than two time zones westward usually affects people the most. Traveling eastward and/or less than three time zones are usually tolerated better (Kolla, Auger, & Morgenthaler, 2012). Research with mice suggests that the effects of jet lag can be quite serious—at least among older adults. When older mice were exposed to repeated artificial jet lag, a significant number of them lived shorter lives (Davidson et al., 2006), and artificial jet lag has also been shown to increase cancer risk in mice (van Dycke et al., 2015). *Shift work type* sleep problems are associated with work schedules (Abbott et al., 2012). Many people, such as hospital employees, police, or emergency personnel, work at night or must work irregular hours; as a result, they may have problems sleeping or experience excessive sleepiness during waking hours.

TABLE 8.10**Diagnostic Criteria for Circadian Rhythm Sleep–Wake Disorders**

- A. A persistent or recurrent pattern of sleep disruption that is primarily due to an alteration of the circadian system or to a misalignment between the endogenous circadian rhythm and the sleep–wake schedule required by an individual’s physical environment or social or professional schedule.
- B. The sleep disruption leads to excessive sleepiness or insomnia, or both.
- C. The sleep disturbance causes clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, and other important areas of functioning.

Specify if:

Episodic: Symptoms last at least 1 month but less than 3 months

Persistent: Symptoms last 3 months or longer

Recurrent: Two or more episodes occur within the space of 1 year

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

Unfortunately, the problems of working (and thus staying awake) at unusual times can go beyond sleep and may contribute to cardiovascular disease, ulcers, and breast cancer in women (Truong et al., 2014). Working rotating shifts is consistently predictive of poor sleep (Linton et al., 2015).

In contrast with jet lag and shift work sleep-related problems, which have external causes such as long-distance travel and job selection, several circadian rhythm sleep disorders seem to arise from within the person experiencing the problems. Extreme night owls, people who stay up late and sleep late, may have a problem known as *delayed sleep phase type*, where sleep is delayed or there is a later than normal bedtime. At the other extreme, people with an *advanced sleep phase type* of circadian rhythm disorder are “early to bed and early to rise.” Here, sleep is advanced or earlier than normal bedtime. Finally, two other types, *irregular sleep-wake type* (people who experience highly varied sleep cycles) and *non-24-hour sleep-wake type* (e.g., sleeping on a 25- or 26-hour cycle with later and later bedtimes ultimately going throughout the day), illustrate the diversity of circadian rhythm sleep–wake problems some people experience.

Research on why our sleep rhythms are disrupted is advancing at a great pace, and we are beginning to understand the circadian rhythm process. Scientists believe the hormone *melatonin* contributes to the setting of our biological clocks that tell us when to sleep. This hormone is produced by the pineal gland in the center of the brain. Melatonin (don’t confuse this with *melanin*, the chemical that determines skin color) has been nicknamed the “Dracula hormone” because its production is stimulated by darkness and ceases in daylight. When our eyes see that it is nighttime, this information is passed on to the pineal gland, which, in turn, begins producing melatonin. Researchers believe that both light and melatonin help set the biological clock (Stevens & Zhu, 2015) (see ● Figure 8.11).


Treatment of Sleep Disorders

When we can’t fall asleep or when we awaken frequently or when sleep does not restore our energy and vitality, we need help. A number of biological and psychological interventions have been designed and evaluated to help people regain the benefits of normal sleep.

Medical Treatments

Perhaps the most common treatments for insomnia are medical. People who complain of insomnia to a medical professional are likely prescribed one of several benzodiazepine or related medications (see Table 8.6), which include short-acting drugs such as triazolam (Halcion), zaleplon (Sonata), and zolpidem (Ambien) and long-acting drugs such as flurazepam (Dalmane). Short-acting

Although light is the main setter of the human biological clock, researchers believe melatonin influences the time-keeping center, too. Darkness stimulates production of the hormone melatonin. Production is abruptly suppressed in bright light.



C Squared Studios/Getty Images

FIGURE 8.11

Understanding the hormone of darkness. (Based on *New York Times*, 1992, November 3.)

drugs (those that cause only brief drowsiness) are preferred, because the long-acting drugs sometimes do not stop working by morning and people report more daytime sleepiness. The long-acting medications are sometimes preferred when negative effects such as daytime anxiety are observed in people taking the short-acting drugs (Neubauer, 2009). Newer medications, such as those that work directly with the melatonin system (e.g., ramelteon [Rozerem]), are also being developed to help people fall and stay asleep. People over the age of 65 are most likely to use medication to help them sleep, although people of all ages, including young children (Durand, 2008), have been prescribed medications for insomnia.

There are several drawbacks to medical treatments for insomnia. First, benzodiazepine medications can cause excessive sleepiness. Second, people can easily become dependent on them and rather easily misuse them, deliberately or not. Third, these medications are meant for short-term treatment and are not recommended for use longer than 4 weeks. Longer use can cause dependence and rebound insomnia. A newer concern for some medications

(e.g., Ambien) is that they may increase the likelihood of sleep-walking-related problems, such as sleep-related eating disorder (Nzwalo, Ferreira, Peralta, & Bentes, 2013). Therefore, although medications may be helpful for sleep problems that will correct themselves in a short period (e.g., insomnia because of anxiety related to hospitalization), they are not intended for long-term chronic problems.

To help people with hypersomnolence or narcolepsy, physicians usually prescribe a stimulant such as methylphenidate (Ritalin, the medication Ann was taking) or modafinil (Nevsimalova, 2009). Cataplexy, or loss of muscle tone, can be treated with antidepressant medication, not because people with narcolepsy are depressed, but because antidepressants suppress REM (or dream) sleep. Also, sodium oxybate is recommended to treat cataplexy (Bogan, Roth, Schwartz, & Miloslavsky, 2014).

Treatment of breathing-related sleep disorders focuses on helping the person breathe better during sleep. For some, this means recommending weight loss. In some people who are obese,

TABLE 8.6 Medications for Insomnia

Class	Example*	Elimination Half-Life in Hours**
Immediate-Release Benzodiazepines		
	Estazolam/ <i>ProSom</i>	8–24
	Flurazepam/ <i>Dalmane</i>	48–120
	Quazepam/ <i>Doral</i>	48–120
	Temazepam/ <i>Restoril</i>	8–20
	Triazolam/ <i>Halcion</i>	2–4
Immediate-Release Non-Benzodiazepines		
	Eszopiclone/ <i>Lunesta</i>	5–7
	Zaleplon/ <i>Sonata</i>	1
	Zolpidem/ <i>Ambien</i>	1.5–2.4
Modified-Release Non-Benzodiazepines		
	Zolpidem ER/ <i>Ambien CR</i>	2.8–2.9
	Selective Melatonin Receptor Agonist	
	Ramelteon/ <i>Rozerem</i>	1–2.6

ER = extended release; CR = controlled release

*The trade name is in italics.

**Half-life refers to how long it takes to no longer affect the user.

Source: Adapted from Neubauer, D. (2009). New directions in the pharmacologic treatment of sleep disorders. *Primary Psychiatry*, 16(2), 54.

the neck's soft tissue compresses the airways. Unfortunately, as we have seen earlier in this chapter, voluntary weight loss is rarely successful in the long term; as a result, it is not an adequate stand-alone treatment for breathing-related sleep disorders (Anandam, Akinnusi, Kufel, Porhomayon, & El-Soh, 2013).

The gold standard for the treatment of obstructive sleep apnea involves the use of a mechanical device—called the continuous positive air pressure (CPAP) machine—that improves breathing (Patel, White, Malhotra, Stanchina, & Ayas, 2003). Patients wear a mask that provides slightly pressurized air during sleep and it helps them breathe more normally throughout the night. Unfortunately, many people have difficulty using the device because of issues of comfort, and some even experience a form of claustrophobia. To assist these individuals, a variety of strategies are tried, including the use of psychological interventions including desensitization for claustrophobia, patient and partner education, and motivational interviewing (a counseling technique used to help patients match their goals with their behaviors) (Olsen, Smith, Oei, & Douglas, 2012). Severe breathing problems may require surgery to help remove blockages in parts of the airways.

An interesting treatment for people with mild apnea was developed by researchers in collaboration with a Swiss didgeridoo instructor. A didgeridoo is a long instrument constructed from tree limbs hollowed out by termites. The instructor observed that people who practiced using this wind instrument had less daytime sleepiness. Evidence points to the effectiveness of several months of daily practice using this instrument in improving the sleep of people with interrupted breathing (Puhan et al., 2006; Sutherland & Cistulli, 2015).

Environmental Treatments

Because medication as a primary treatment isn't usually recommended, other ways of getting people back in step with their sleep rhythms are usually tried. One general principle for treating circadian rhythm disorders is that *phase delays* (moving bedtime later) are easier than *phase advances* (moving bedtime earlier). In other words, it is easier to stay up several hours later than usual than to force yourself to go to sleep several hours earlier. Scheduling shift changes in a clockwise direction (going from day to evening schedule) seems to help workers adjust better. People can best readjust their sleep patterns by going to bed several hours later each night until bedtime is at the desired hour (Sack et al., 2007). A drawback of this approach is that it requires the person to sleep during the day for several days, which is difficult for people with regularly scheduled responsibilities.

Another strategy to help people with sleep problems involves using bright light to trick the brain into readjusting the biological clock. (In Chapter 7, we described light therapy for seasonal affective disorder.) Research indicates that bright light (also referred to as *phototherapy*) may help people with circadian rhythm problems readjust their sleep patterns (Burkhalter et al., 2015). People typically sit in front of a bank of fluorescent lamps that generate light greater than 2,000 lux, an amount significantly different from normal indoor light (250 lux). Several hours of exposure to this bright light have successfully reset the circadian rhythms of many individuals. This type of treatment provides some hope for people with schedule-related sleep problems.

Psychological Treatments

As you can imagine, the limitations of using medication to help people sleep better have led to the development of psychological treatments. Table 8.7 briefly describes some psychological approaches to insomnia. Different treatments help people with different kinds of sleep problems. For example, relaxation treatments reduce the physical tension that seems to prevent some people from falling asleep at night. Some people report that their anxiety about work, relationships, or other situations prevents them from sleeping or wakes them up in the middle of the night. To address this problem, cognitive treatments are used. Cognitive treatment may also focus on worries about sleep itself, such as by helping patients to change their assumptions that they can't function well on little sleep, which can trigger anxiety that disrupts falling asleep.

Research shows that some psychological treatments for insomnia may be more effective than others. For adult sleep problems, stimulus control may be recommended. People are instructed to use the bedroom only for sleeping and for sex and *not* for work or other anxiety-provoking activities (for example, watching the news on television). Progressive relaxation or sleep hygiene (changing daily habits that may interfere with sleep) alone may not be as effective as stimulus control alone for some people (Means & Edinger, 2006). Because sleep problems are so widespread, there is a growing interest in developing Internet-based treatments to determine if certain sufferers can help themselves with appropriate guidance. One study, for example, randomly assigned adults to a control group or an Internet-based education group (Ritterband et al., 2009). The Internet group received online instruction on the

proper use of several of the psychological treatments (e.g., sleep restriction, stimulus control, sleep hygiene, cognitive restructuring, and relapse prevention). The findings were striking, suggesting that not only could the treatment be delivered over the Internet but also that sleep improved in this group even 6 months later. Under certain circumstances people are able to use *evidence-based instruction* (education on the use of a treatment that has empirical support) to improve a variety of psychological problems.

Often, psychological treatment of insomnia takes the form of a “package” of different skills known as cognitive-behavioral therapy for insomnia (CBT-i; Trauer, Qian, Doyle, Rajaratnam, & Cunnington, 2015). Such was the case for Sonja, the law student we profiled in the beginning of this section, who was helped with her sleep problems using several techniques. She was instructed to limit her time in bed to about 4 hours of sleep time (sleep restriction), about the amount of time she slept each night. The period was lengthened when she began to sleep through the night. Sonja was also asked not to do any schoolwork while in bed and to get out of bed if she couldn’t fall asleep within 15 minutes (stimulus control). Finally, therapy involved confronting her unrealistic expectations about how much sleep was enough for a person of her age (cognitive therapy). Within about 3 weeks of treatment, Sonja was sleeping longer (6 to 7 hours per night as opposed to

4 to 5 hours previously) and had fewer interruptions in her sleep. Also, she felt more refreshed in the morning and had more energy during the day. Sonja’s results mirror those of studies that find combined treatments to be effective in older adults with insomnia (Savard, Savard, & Morin, 2011). One important study, using a randomized placebo-control design, found that cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) may be more successful treating sleep disorders in older adults than a medical (drug) intervention (Siddiqui & D’Ambrosio, 2012). In contrast, a recent review of insomnia treatments found almost no differences in the effectiveness of drug interventions compared to CBT interventions, except that behavioral interventions more effectively reduced sleep latency (the time it takes to fall asleep) (Smith et al., 2014).

For young children, some cognitive treatments may not be possible. Instead, treatment often includes setting up bedtime routines such as a bath, followed by a parent’s reading a story, to help children go to sleep at night. Graduated extinction (described in Table 8.8) has been used with some success for bedtime problems, as well as for waking up at night (Durand, 2014; Hill, 2011).

Preventing Sleep Disorders

Sleep professionals generally agree that a significant portion of the sleep problems people experience daily can be prevented by following a few steps during the day. Referred to as *sleep hygiene*, these changes in lifestyle can be relatively simple to follow and can help avoid problems such as insomnia for some people (Goodman & Scott, 2012). Some sleep hygiene recommendations rely on allowing the brain’s normal drive for sleep to take over, replacing the restrictions we place on our activities that interfere with sleep. For example, setting a regular time to go to sleep and awaken each day can help make falling asleep at night easier. Avoiding the use of caffeine and nicotine—which are both stimulants—can also help prevent problems such as nighttime awakening. Table 8.8 illustrates a number of the sleep hygiene steps recommended for preventing sleep problems. Although there is little controlled prospective research on preventing sleep disorders, practicing good sleep hygiene appears to be among the most promising techniques available.

A few studies have investigated the value of educating parents about the sleep of their young children in an effort to prevent later difficulties (Malow et al., 2014). Adachi and colleagues (2009), for example, provided 10 minutes of group guidance and a simple educational booklet to the parents of 4-month-old children. They followed up on these children 3 months later and found that, compared with a randomly selected control group of children, the ones whose parents received education about sleep experienced fewer sleep problems. Because so many children display disruptive sleep problems, this type of preventive effort could significantly improve the lives of many families.

Parasomnias and Their Treatment

Have you ever been told that you walk in your sleep? Talk in your sleep? Have you ever had troublesome nightmares? Do you grind your teeth in your sleep? If you answered yes to one or more of these questions (and it’s likely you did), you have experienced sleep problems in the category of parasomnias. Parasomnias are

TABLE 8.7 Psychological Treatments for Insomnia

Sleep Treatment	Description
Cognitive	This approach focuses on changing the sleepers’ unrealistic expectations and beliefs about sleep (“I must have 8 hours of sleep each night”; “If I get less than 8 hours of sleep, it will make me ill”). The therapist attempts to alter beliefs and attitudes about sleeping by providing information on topics such as normal amounts of sleep and a person’s ability to compensate for lost sleep.
Guided imagery relaxation	Because some people become anxious when they have difficulty sleeping, this approach uses meditation or imagery to help with relaxation at bedtime or after a night waking.
Graduated extinction	Used for children who have tantrums at bedtime or wake up crying at night, this treatment instructs the parent to check on the child after progressively longer periods until the child falls asleep on his or her own.
Paradoxical intention	This technique involves instructing individuals in the opposite behavior from the desired outcome. Telling poor sleepers to lie in bed and try to stay awake as long as they can is used to try to relieve the performance anxiety surrounding efforts to try to fall asleep.
Progressive relaxation	This technique involves relaxing the muscles of the body in an effort to introduce drowsiness.

Copyright © Cengage Learning®

TABLE 8.8 Good Sleep Habits

Establish a set bedtime routine.
Develop a regular bedtime and a regular time to awaken.
Eliminate all foods and drinks that contain caffeine 6 hours before bedtime.
Limit any use of alcohol or tobacco.
Try drinking milk before bedtime.
Eat a balanced diet, limiting fat.
Go to bed only when sleepy and get out of bed if you are unable to fall asleep or back to sleep after 15 minutes.
Do not exercise or participate in vigorous activities in the hours before bedtime.
Do include a weekly program of exercise during the day.
Restrict activities in bed to those that help induce sleep.
Reduce noise and light in the bedroom.
Increase exposure to natural and bright light during the day.
Avoid extreme temperature changes in the bedroom (that is, too hot or too cold).

Source: Adapted, with permission, from Durand, V. M. (2014). Good sleep habits. In V. M. Durand (Ed.), *Sleep better: A guide to improving sleep for children with special needs* (p. 60). Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes.

not problems with sleep itself but abnormal events that occur either during sleep or during that twilight time between sleeping and waking. Some events associated with parasomnias are not unusual if they happen while you are awake (e.g., walking to the kitchen to look into the refrigerator) but can be distressing if they take place while you are sleeping.

DSM-5 identifies a number of different parasomnias (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). As you might have guessed, **nightmares** (or nightmare disorder) occur during REM or dream sleep (Augedal, Hansen, Kronhaug, Harvey, & Pallesen, 2013). About 10% to 50% of children and about 9% to 30% of adults experience them regularly (Schredl, 2010). To qualify as a nightmare disorder, according to DSM-5 criteria, these experiences must be so distressful that they impair a person's ability to carry on normal activities (such as making a person too anxious to try to sleep at night). Some researchers distinguish nightmares from bad dreams by whether or not you wake up as a result. Nightmares are defined as disturbing dreams that awaken the sleeper; bad dreams are those that do not awaken the person experiencing them. Based on this definition, college students report an average of 30 bad dreams and 10 nightmares per year (Zadra & Donderi, 2000).

Nightmares are thought to be influenced by genetics (Barclay & Gregory, 2013), trauma, medication use, and are associated with some psychological disorders (e.g., substance abuse, anxiety, borderline personality disorder, and schizophrenia spectrum disorders) (Augedal et al., 2013). Research on the treatment of nightmares suggests that both psychological intervention (e.g., cognitive-behavioral therapy) and pharmacological treatment (i.e., prazosin) can help reduce these unpleasant sleep events (Augedal et al., 2013; Aurora et al., 2010).

Disorder of arousal includes a number of motor movements and behaviors during NREM sleep such as sleepwalking, sleep terrors, and incomplete awakening. **Sleep terrors**, which most commonly afflict children, usually begin with a piercing scream. The child is extremely

upset, often sweating, and frequently has a rapid heartbeat. On the surface, sleep terrors appear to resemble nightmares—the child cries and appears frightened—but they occur during NREM sleep and therefore are not caused by frightening dreams. During sleep terrors, children cannot be easily awakened and comforted, as they can during a nightmare. Children do not remember sleep terrors, despite their often dramatic effect on the observer (Durand, 2008). As many as one third of 18-month-old children may experience sleep terrors, but this number drops to 13% by age 5 and just 5% by age 13 (Petit et al., 2015). We know relatively little about sleep terrors, although several theories have been proposed—including the possibility of a genetic component, because the disorder tends to occur in families (Durand, 2008). Treatment for sleep terrors usually begins with a recommendation to wait and see if they disappear on their own.

One approach to reducing chronic sleep terrors is the use of *scheduled awakenings*. In the first controlled study of its kind, Durand and Mindell (1999) instructed parents of children who were experiencing almost nightly sleep terrors to awaken their child briefly approximately 30 minutes before a typical episode (these usually occur around the same time each evening). This simple technique, which was faded out over several weeks, was successful in almost eliminating these disturbing events.

It might surprise you to learn that **sleepwalking** (also called **somnambulism**) occurs during NREM sleep (Perrault, Carrier, Desautels, Montplaisir & Zadra, 2014). This means that when



Katrina Wittkamp/Photodisc/Jupiter Images

A nightmare is distressing for both child and parent.

TABLE 8.11

Diagnostic Criteria for Non-Rapid Eye Movement Sleep Arousal Disorders

- A.** Recurrent episodes of incomplete awakening from sleep usually occurring during the first third of the major sleep episode, accompanied by either one of the following:
 - 1.** Sleepwalking: Repeated episodes of rising from bed during sleep and walking about. While sleepwalking, the person has a blank, staring face; is relatively unresponsive to the efforts of others to communicate with him or her; and can be awakened only with great difficulty.
 - 2.** Sleep terrors: Recurrent episodes of abrupt terror arousals from sleep, usually beginning with a panicky scream. There is intense fear and signs of autonomic arousal, such as mydriasis, tachycardia, rapid breathing, and sweating, during each episode. There is relative unresponsiveness to efforts of others to comfort the person during the episode.
- B.** No or little (e.g., only a single-visual-scene) dream imagery is recalled.
- C.** Amnesia for the episodes is present.
- D.** The episodes cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.
- E.** The disturbance is not attributable to the physiological effects of a substance (e.g., a drug of abuse, a medication).
- F.** Coexisting mental and medical disorders do not explain the episodes of sleepwalking or sleep terrors.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

TABLE 8.12

Diagnostic Criteria for Nightmare Disorder

- A.** Repeated occurrences of extended, extremely dysphoric, and well-remembered dreams that usually involve efforts to avoid threats to survival, security, or physical integrity and that generally occur during the second half of the major sleep episode.
- B.** On awakening from the dysphoric dreams, the person rapidly becomes oriented and alert.
- C.** The sleep disturbance causes clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.
- D.** The nightmare symptoms are not attributable to the physiological effects of a substance (e.g., a drug of abuse, a medication).
- E.** Coexisting mental and medical disorders do not adequately explain the predominant complaint of dysphoric dreams.

Specify current severity:

Severity can be rated by the frequency with which the nightmares occur:

Mild: Less than one episode per week on average

Moderate: One or more episodes per week but less than nightly

Severe: Episodes nightly

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

people walk in their sleep, they are probably not acting out a dream. This parasomnia typically occurs during the first few hours while a person is in the deep stages of sleep. The *DSM-5* criteria for sleepwalking require that the person leave the bed, although less active episodes can involve small motor behaviors, such as sitting up in bed and picking at the blanket or gesturing. Because sleepwalking occurs during the deepest stages of sleep, waking someone during an episode is difficult; if the person is wakened, she typically will not remember what has happened. It is not true, however, that waking a sleepwalker is somehow dangerous.

Sleepwalking is primarily a problem during childhood, affecting more than 10% of school-aged children (Petit et al., 2015), but a small proportion of adults are affected. Mostly, the course of sleepwalking is short, and few people over the age of 15 continue to exhibit this parasomnia.

We do not yet clearly understand why some people sleepwalk, although factors such as extreme fatigue, previous sleep deprivation, the use of sedative or hypnotic drugs, and stress have been implicated (Shatkin & Ivanenko, 2009). On occasion, sleepwalking episodes have been associated with violent behavior, including homicide and suicide (Cartwright, 2006). In one case, a man drove to his in-laws' house, succeeded in killing his mother-in-law, and attempted to kill his father-in-law. He was acquitted of the charges of murder, using sleepwalking as his legal defense (Broughton, Billings, & Cartwright, 1994). These cases are still controversial, although there is evidence for the legitimacy of some violent behavior coinciding with sleepwalking episodes. There also seems to be a genetic component to sleepwalking, with a higher incidence observed and within families (Petit et al., 2015).

TABLE 8.13

Diagnostic Criteria for Rapid Eye Movement Sleep Behavior Disorder

- A.** Repeated episodes of arousal during sleep associated with vocalization and/or complex motor behaviors.
- B.** These behaviors arise during rapid eye movement (REM) sleep and therefore usually occur greater than 90 minutes after sleep onset, are more frequent during the later portions of the sleep period, and uncommonly occur during daytime naps.
- C.** Upon awakening from these episodes, the individual is completely awake, alert, and not confused or disoriented.
- D.** Either of the following:
 - 1.** REM sleep without atonia on polysomnographic recording.
 - 2.** A history suggestive of REM sleep behavior disorder and an established synucleinopathy diagnosis (e.g., Parkinson's disease, multiple system atrophy).
- E.** The behaviors cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning (which may include injury to self or the bed partner).
- F.** The disturbance is not attributable to the physiological effects of a substance (e.g., a drug of abuse, a medication) or another medical condition.
- G.** Coexisting mental and medical disorders do not explain the episodes.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

A related disorder, *nocturnal eating syndrome*, is when individuals rise from their beds and eat while they are still asleep (Yamada, 2015). This problem, which is different than the *night eating syndrome* discussed earlier in the chapter in the eating disorders section, may be more frequent than previously thought; it was found in almost 6% of individuals in one study who were referred because of insomnia complaints (Manni, Ratti, & Tartara, 1997; Winkelman, 2006). Another uncommon parasomnia is *sexsomnia*; acting out sexual behaviors such as masturbation and sexual intercourse with no memory of the event (Béjot et al., 2010). This rare problem can cause relationship problems and, in extreme cases, legal problems when cases occur without consent or with minors (Howell, 2012; Schenck, Arnulf, & Mahowald, 2007).

There is an increasing awareness that sleep is important for both our mental and our physical well-being. Sleep problems are also comorbid with many other disorders and therefore can compound the difficulties of people with significant psychological difficulties. Researchers are coming closer to understanding the basic nature of sleep and its disorders, and we anticipate significant treatment advances in the years to come.

DSM Controversies: *Binge Eating Disorder*

Binge eating disorder is a new diagnosis in DSM-5, but as with most new disorders, some controversy has surrounded its inclusion. Alan J. Frances, the psychiatrist who chaired the task force that created DSM-IV, has criticized binge eating disorder as he has many of the new disorders introduced into DSM-5. He notes that the history of our system of nosology in mental health has been littered with fad diagnoses that in retrospect have done more harm than good (Frances, 2012). And, in his view, binge eating disorder may be one of those fads. He notes that eating excessively at least 12 times over a period of three months (part of the criteria for binge eating disorder; see DSM-5 Table 8.3) could really be considered just a manifestation of modern-day gluttony

caused by the easy availability of great-tasting food (Frances, 2012). How many of us have “overeaten” approximately once a week over the past month? If you continued that for three months, you might be eligible for this diagnosis.


But look again at the description of binge eating disorder. Notice that only a small minority of individuals who are obese and have joined commercial weight control programs to deal with their problem also evidence binge eating. Notice also that the percentage of obese individuals who binge rises considerably in groups who are so severely obese that they would be candidates for bariatric surgery. Also note that these individuals, but not those who are obese without binging, share the same concerns about shape and weight as people

with anorexia and bulimia, and their binging is often driven by attempts to alleviate bad moods. This, along with other factors such as the tendency of binge eating to run in families and have a heritable component and its responsiveness to the same types of treatments effective for other eating disorders (something that is not the case for individuals who are obese without binging) was sufficient to convince the eating disorders workgroup and the DSM-5 task force that this condition should be a diagnosis. With this designation, this condition will now achieve greater recognition and its treatment can be reimbursed by health insurance, thereby increasing the likelihood that individuals suffering from this disorder will receive appropriate care.

Exploring Eating Disorders

Individuals with eating disorders:

- Feel a relentless, all-encompassing drive to be thin
- Are overwhelmingly young females from middle- to upper-class families, who live in socially competitive environments
- Lived only in Western countries until recently



EATING DISORDERS

Disorder	Characteristics	Treatment
Bulimia Nervosa	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Out-of-control consumption of excessive amounts of mostly non-nutritious food within a short time ■ Elimination of food through self-induced vomiting and/or abuse of laxatives or diuretics ■ To compensate for binges, some bulimics exercise excessively or fast between binges ■ Vomiting may enlarge salivary glands (causing a chubby face), erode dental enamel, and cause electrolyte imbalance resulting in cardiac failure or kidney problems ■ Weight usually within 10% of normal ■ Age of onset is typically 18 to 21 years of age, although it can be as early as 10 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Drug treatment, such as antidepressants ■ Short-term cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) to address behavior and attitudes on eating and body shape ■ Interpersonal psychotherapy (IPT) to improve interpersonal functioning ■ Tends to be chronic if left untreated
Anorexia Nervosa	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Intense fear of obesity and persistent pursuit of thinness; perpetual dissatisfaction with weight loss ■ Severe caloric restriction, often with excessive exercise and sometimes with purging, to the point of semi-starvation ■ Severely limiting caloric intake may cause cessation of menstruation, downy hair on limbs and cheeks, dry skin, brittle hair or nails, sensitivity to cold, and danger of acute cardiac or kidney failure ■ Weight at least 15% below normal ■ Average age of onset is between 18 and 21 years of age, with younger cases tending to begin at 15 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Hospitalization (at 75% below normal weight) ■ Outpatient treatment to restore weight and correct dysfunctional attitudes on eating and body shape ■ Family therapy ■ Tends to be chronic if left untreated; more resistant to treatment than bulimia
Binge-Eating	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Similar to bulimia with out-of-control food binges, but no attempt to purge the food (vomiting, laxatives, diuretics) or compensate for excessive intake ■ Marked physical and emotional stress; some sufferers binge to alleviate bad moods ■ Binge eaters share some concerns about weight and body shape as individuals with anorexia and bulimia ■ Tends to affect more older people than either bulimia or anorexia 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Short-term CBT to address behavior and attitudes on eating and body shape ■ IPT to improve interpersonal functioning ■ Drug treatments that reduce feelings of hunger ■ Self-help approaches




Photodisc/Getty Images

Disorder	Characteristics	Treatment
Obesity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Up to 70% of U.S. adults are overweight, and over 35% are obese ■ Worldwide problem; increased risk in urban rather than rural settings ■ Two forms of maladaptive eating patterns associated with obesity—binge eating and night eating syndrome ■ Increases risk of cardiovascular disease, diabetes, hypertension, stroke, and other physical problems. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Self-directed weight loss programs ■ Commercial self-help programs, such as Weight Watchers ■ Professionally directed behavior modification programs, which are the most effective treatment ■ Surgery, as a last resort



Stockbyte/Getty Images



Exploring Sleep-Wake Disorders

Characterized by extreme disruption in the everyday lives of affected individuals, and are an important factor in many psychological disorders.

SLEEP-WAKE DISORDERS

Diagnosing Sleep-Wake Disorders

A polysomnographic (PSG) evaluation assesses an individual's sleep habits with various electronic tests to measure airflow, brain activity, eye movements, muscle movements, and heart activity. Results are weighed with a measure of sleep efficiency (SE), the percentage of time spent asleep.



Burger/Phanie/Science Source

Dyssomnias

Disturbances in the timing, amount, or quality of sleep

Disorder	Characteristics	Causes	Treatment
Insomnia Disorder	Characteristics include difficulty initiating sleep, difficulty maintaining sleep, or nonrestorative sleep.	Causes include pain, insufficient exercise, drug use, environmental influences, anxiety, respiratory problems, and biological vulnerability.	(benzodiazepines) or psychological (anxiety reduction, improved sleep hygiene); combined approach is usually most effective.
Narcolepsy	Characteristics include sudden daytime onset of REM sleep combined with cataplexy, a rapid loss of muscle tone that can be quite mild or result in complete collapse. Often accompanied by sleep paralysis and/or hypnagogic hallucinations.	Causes are likely to be genetic.	Treatment is medical (stimulant drugs).
Hypersomnolence Disorder	Characteristics include abnormally excessive sleep and sleepiness, and involuntary daytime sleeping. Classified as a disorder only when it's subjectively perceived as disruptive.	Causes may involve genetic link and/or excess serotonin.	Treatment is usually medical (stimulant drugs).
Breathing-Related Sleep Disorders	Characteristics include disturbed sleep and daytime fatigue resulting from hypoventilation (labored breathing) or sleep apnea (suspended breathing).	Causes may include narrow or obstructed airway, obesity, and increasing age.	air pressure (CPAP) machines is the gold standard; weight loss is also often prescribed.
Circadian Rhythm Sleep-Wake Disorders	Characteristics include sleepiness or insomnia.	Caused by inability to synchronize sleep patterns with current pattern of day and night due to jet lag, shift work, delayed sleep, or advanced sleep (going to bed earlier than normal bedtime).	Treatment includes phase delays to adjust bedtime and bright light to readjust biological clock.

Parasomnias

Abnormal behaviors that occur during sleep

Nightmares

Frightening REM dreams that awaken the sleeper. Nightmares qualify as nightmare disorder when they are stressful enough to impair normal functioning. Causes are unknown, but they tend to decrease with age.



Sleep Terrors

Occur during non-REM (nondreaming) sleep and most commonly afflict children. Sleeping child screams, cries, sweats, sometimes walks, has rapid heartbeat, and cannot easily be awakened or comforted. More common in boys than girls, and possible genetic link since they tend to run in families. May subside with time.

Sleepwalking

Occurs at least once during non-REM sleep in 15% to 30% of children under age 15. Causes may include extreme fatigue, sleep deprivation, sedative or hypnotic drugs, and stress. Adult sleepwalking is usually associated with other psychological disorders. May have a genetic link.

CHAPTER OUTLINE

Psychological and Social Factors That Influence Health

- Health and Health-Related Behavior
- The Nature of Stress
- The Physiology of Stress
- Contributions to the Stress Response
- Stress, Anxiety, Depression, and Excitement
- Stress and the Immune Response

Psychosocial Effects on Physical Disorders

- AIDS
- Cancer
- Cardiovascular Problems
- Hypertension
- Coronary Heart Disease
- Chronic Pain
- Chronic Fatigue Syndrome

Psychosocial Treatment of Physical Disorders

- Biofeedback
- Relaxation and Meditation
- A Comprehensive Stress- and Pain-Reduction Program
- Drugs and Stress-Reduction Programs
- Denial as a Means of Coping
- Modifying Behaviors to Promote Health



© Phil Date/Shutterstock.com

Use scientific reasoning to interpret behavior:

- Identify basic biological, psychological, and social components of behavioral explanations (e.g., inferences, observations, operational definitions and interpretations) (APA SLO 2.1a) (see textbook pages 323–326, 342)
- Evaluate how the mind and body interact to influence psychological and physical health (APA SLO 1.3b) (see textbook pages 326–348)

Engage in innovative and integrative thinking and problem solving:

- Describe problems operationally to study them empirically (APA SLO 2.3a) (see textbook pages 341–348)
- Correctly identify antecedents and consequences of behavior and mental processes (APA SLO 1.3c) (see textbook pages 325–326, 342, 347)

Describe applications that employ discipline-based problem solving:

- Summarize psychological factors that can influence the pursuit of a healthy lifestyle (APA SLO 1.3b) (see textbook pages 340, 348–355)

*Portions of this chapter cover learning outcomes suggested by the American Psychological Association (2013) in their guidelines for the undergraduate psychology major. Chapter coverage of these outcomes is identified above by APA Goal and APA Suggested Learning Outcome (SLO).

Psychological and Social Factors That Influence Health

The U.S. Surgeon General and others have pointed out that at the beginning of the 20th century, the leading causes of death were infectious diseases such as influenza, pneumonia, diphtheria, tuberculosis, typhoid fever, measles, and gastrointestinal infections. Since then, the percentage of yearly total deaths from these diseases has been reduced greatly, from 38.9% to 4% (see Table 9.1). This reduction represents the first revolution in public health that eliminated many infectious diseases and controlled many more. But the enormous success of our health-care system in reducing mortality from disease has revealed a more complex and challenging problem: At present, some major contributing factors to illness and death in this country are *psychological* and *behavioral* (Ezzati & Riboli, 2012; Marteau, Hollands, & Fletcher, 2012).

In Chapter 2, we described the profound effects of psychological and social factors on brain structure and function. These factors seem to influence neurotransmitter activity, the secretion of neurohormones in the endocrine system, and, at a more fundamental level, gene expression. We have repeatedly looked at the complex interplay of biological, psychological, and social factors in the production and maintenance of psychological disorders. But psychological and social factors are important to a number of additional disorders, including endocrinological disorders such as diabetes, cardiovascular disorders, and disorders of the immune system such as acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS). These and the other disorders discussed in this chapter are clearly *physical disorders*. They have known (or strongly inferred) physical causes and mostly observable physical pathology (for example, genital herpes, damaged heart muscle, malignant tumors, or measurable hypertension). Contrast

this with the somatic symptom disorders discussed in Chapter 6—in conversion disorders, for example, clients complain of physical damage or disease but show no physical pathology.

The study of how psychological and social factors affect physical disorders used to be distinct and somewhat separate from the remainder of psychopathology. Early on, the field was called *psychosomatic medicine* (Alexander, 1950), which meant that *psychological* factors affected *somatic* (physical) function. The label *psychophysiological disorders* was used to communicate a similar idea. Such terms are less often used today because they are misleading. Describing as psychosomatic a disorder with an obvious physical component gave the impression that psychological (mental) disorders of mood and anxiety did not have a strong biological component. As we now know, this assumption is not viable. Biological, psychological, and social factors are implicated in the cause and maintenance of virtually every disorder, both mental and physical.

The contribution of psychosocial factors to the etiology and treatment of physical disorders is widely studied. Some of the discoveries are among the more exciting findings in all of psychology and biology. For example, we described briefly in Chapter 2 that lowering stress levels and having a rich social network of family and friends are associated with better health, living longer, and less cognitive decline as one ages (Cohen & Janicki-Deverts, 2009). Remember, too, the tragic physical and mental deterioration among elderly people who are removed from social networks of family and friends (Hawley & Cacioppo, 2007).

Health and Health-Related Behavior

The shift in focus from infectious disease to psychological factors has been called the second revolution in public health. Two closely

TABLE 9.1 The 10 Leading Causes of Death in the United States in 1900 and in 2010 (Percentage of Total Deaths)

1900	Percentage	2010	Percentage
Pneumonia and Influenza	11.8	Heart disease	24.2
Tuberculosis	11.3	Cancer	23.3
Diarrhea, enteritis, and ulceration of the intestines	8.3	Chronic lower respiratory disease	5.6
Diseases of the heart	8.0	Stroke (cerebrovascular diseases)	5.2
Intracranial lesions of vascular origin	6.2	Accidents (unintentional injury)	4.9
Nephritis (Kidney Disease)	5.2	Alzheimer's disease	3.4
Accidents (Unintentional Injury)	4.2	Diabetes	2.8
Cancer and other malignant tumors	3.7	Nephritis, nephritic syndrome, and nephrosis	2.0
Senility	2.9	Influenza and pneumonia	2.0
Diphtheria	2.3	Intentional self-harm (suicide)	1.6
Other	36.1	Other	25.0

Source: Figures for 1900 from Historical Tables: Center for Disease Control, National Vital Statistics System. *Leading Causes of Death, 1900–1998*. Figures for 2010 from Murphy, S. L., Xu, J., & Kochanek, K. D. (2013). Deaths: Final data for 2010. National Vital Statistics Reports, 61(4). Retrieved from http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr61/nvsr61_04.pdf.

related new fields of study have developed. In the first, **behavioral medicine** (Feldman & Christensen, 2014), knowledge derived from behavioral science is applied to the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of medical problems. This is an interdisciplinary field in which psychologists, physicians, and other health professionals work closely together to develop new treatments and preventive strategies. A second field, **health psychology**, is not interdisciplinary, and it is usually considered a subfield of behavioral medicine. Practitioners study psychological factors that are important to the promotion and maintenance of health; they also analyze and recommend improvements in health-care systems and health-policy formation within the discipline of psychology (Nicassio, Greenberg, & Motivala, 2010; Taylor, 2009).

Psychological and social factors influence health and physical problems in two distinct ways (see Figure 9.1). First, they can affect the basic biological processes that lead to illness and disease. Second, long-standing behavior patterns may put people at risk to develop certain physical disorders. Sometimes both these avenues contribute to the etiology or maintenance of disease (Ezzati & Riboli, 2012; Miller & Blackwell, 2006; Schneiderman, 2004; Williams, Barefoot, & Schneiderman, 2003). Consider the example of *genital herpes*. There's a chance that someone you know has genital herpes and hasn't told you about it. It's not difficult to understand why: Genital herpes is an incurable sexually transmitted infection. Estimates indicate that more than 15.5% of Americans aged 14 to 49 years are infected by the herpes simplex virus affecting either oral or genital areas (Center of Disease Control, 2015). Because the disease is concentrated in young adults, the percentage in that group is much higher. The virus remains dormant until it is reactivated

- 1 Psychosocial factors (such as negative emotions and stress) disrupt basic biological processes, which may lead to physical disorders and disease.



- 2 "Risky" behaviors cause or contribute to a variety of physical disorders and disease.

**FIGURE 9.1**

Psychosocial factors directly affect physical health in two ways.

periodically. When it recurs in the genital region, infected individuals usually experience any of a number of symptoms, including pain, itching, vaginal or urethral discharge, and, most commonly, ulcerative lesions (open sores) in the genital area. Lesions recur approximately four times each year but can appear more often. Cases of genital herpes have increased dramatically over the years, for reasons that are as much psychological and behavioral as biological. Although genital herpes is a biological disease, it spreads rapidly because people choose not to reduce their risk by changing their behavior, such as by simply using a condom.

Stress also plays a role in triggering herpes recurrences (Chida & Mao, 2009; Coe 2010; Goldmeier, Garvey, & Barton, 2008). Stress-control procedures, particularly stress management, seem to decrease recurrences of genital herpes, as well as the duration of each episode, most likely through the positive effects of such practices on the immune system (Pereira, Antoni, Danielson, Simon, Efantis-Potter, Carver, et al, 2003; Goldmeier, Garvey, & Barton).

Consider also the tragic example of AIDS. AIDS is a disease of the immune system that is directly affected by stress (Kennedy, 2000), so stress may promote the deadly progression of AIDS. This is an example of how psychological factors may directly influence biological processes. We also know that a variety of things we may choose to do put us at risk for AIDS—for example, having unprotected sex or sharing contaminated needles. Because there is no medical cure for AIDS yet, our best weapon is large-scale behavior modification to *prevent acquisition* of the disease (Fauci & Folkers, 2012; Mermin & Fenton, 2012).

Other behavioral patterns contribute to disease. The roots of many of the leading causes of death, such as heart disease, cancer, and diabetes, can be traced to lifestyle factors, principally smoking, diet, and physical activity (Ford et al., 2013).

Smoking is the leading preventable cause of death in the United States and has been estimated to cause nearly half a million of premature deaths every year in the nation and reduces life expectancy by more than a decade (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2014). Other unhealthy behaviors include poor eating habits, lack of exercise, and insufficient injury control (not wearing seat belts, for example). These behaviors are grouped under the label *lifestyle* because they are mostly enduring habits that are an integral part of a person's daily living pattern (Lewis, Statt, & Marcus, 2011; Oldenburg, de Courten, & Frean, 2010). We return to lifestyles in the closing pages of this chapter when we look at efforts to modify them and promote health.

We have much to learn about how psychological factors affect physical disorders and disease. Available evidence suggests that the same kinds of causal factors active in psychological disorders—social, psychological, and biological—play a role in some physical disorders

(Mostofsky & Barlow, 2000; Uchino, 2009). But the factor attracting the most attention is *stress*, particularly the neurobiological components of the stress response.

The Nature of Stress

In 1936, a young scientist in Montreal, Canada, named Hans Selye noticed that one group of rats he injected with a certain chemical extract developed ulcers and other physiological problems, including atrophy of immune system tissues. But a control group of rats who received a daily saline (salty water) injection that should not have had any effect developed the *same* physical problems. Selye pursued this unexpected finding and discovered that the daily injections themselves seemed to be the culprit rather than the injected substance. Furthermore, many types of environmental changes produced the same results. Borrowing a term from engineering, he decided the cause of this nonspecific reaction was *stress*. As so often happens in science, an accidental or serendipitous observation led to a new area of study, in this case, *stress physiology* (Selye, 1936).

Selye theorized that the body goes through several stages in response to *sustained stress*. The first phase is a type of *alarm* response to immediate danger or threat. With continuing stress, we seem to pass into a stage of *resistance*, in which we mobilize various coping mechanisms to respond to the stress. Finally, if the stress is too intense or lasts too long, we may enter a stage of *exhaustion*, in which our bodies suffer permanent damage or death (Selye, 1936, 1950). Selye called this sequence the **general adaptation syndrome (GAS)**. Although Selye was not correct in all of the details of his theory, the idea that chronic stress may inflict permanent bodily damage or contribute to disease has been confirmed and elaborated on in later years (Kemeny, 2003; Robles, Glaser, & Kiecolt-Glaser, 2005; Sapolsky, 1990, 2000b).

The word *stress* means many things in modern life. In engineering, stress is the strain on a bridge when a heavy truck drives across it; stress is the *response* of the bridge to the truck's weight. But stress is also a *stimulus*. The truck is a “*stressor*” for the bridge, just as being fired from a job or facing a difficult final exam is a stimulus or stressor for a person. These varied meanings can create some confusion, but we concentrate on *stress* as the physiological response of the individual to a stressor.

The Physiology of Stress

In Chapter 2, we described the physiological effects of the early stages of stress, noting in particular its activating effect on the sympathetic nervous system, which mobilizes our resources during times of threat or danger by activating internal organs to prepare the body for immediate action, either fight or flight. These changes increase our strength and mental activity. We also noted in Chapter 2 that the activity of the endocrine system increases when we are stressed, primarily through activation of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenocortical (HPA) axis (see p. 49). Although a variety of neurotransmitters begin flowing in the nervous system, much attention has focused on the endocrine system's neuromodulators or neuropeptides, hormones affecting the nervous system that are secreted by the glands directly into the bloodstream (Chaouloff & Groc, 2010; Owens, Mulchahey, Taylor,



Courtesy of Hans Selye

Hans Selye suggested in 1936 that stress contributes to certain physical problems.

Maloney, Dearborn, & Weiss, 2009). These neuromodulating hormones act much like neurotransmitters in carrying the brain's messages to various parts of the body. One of the neurohormones, *corticotropin-releasing factor* (CRF), is secreted by the hypothalamus and stimulates the pituitary gland. Farther down the chain of the HPA axis, the pituitary gland (along with the autonomic nervous system) activates the adrenal gland, which secretes, among other things, the hormone *cortisol*. Because of their close relationship to the stress response, cortisol and other related hormones are known as the *stress hormones*.

Remember that the HPA axis is closely related to the limbic system. The hypothalamus, at the top of the brain stem, is right next to the limbic system, which contains the hippocampus and seems to control our emotional memories. The hippocampus is responsive to cortisol. When stimulated by this hormone during HPA axis activity, the hippocampus helps to *turn off* the stress response, completing a feedback loop between the limbic system and the various parts of the HPA axis (see ● Figure 9.2).

This loop may be important for a number of reasons. Working with primates, Robert Sapolsky and his colleagues (see, for example, Sapolsky & Meaney, 1986; Sapolsky, 2000b, 2007) showed that increased levels of cortisol in response to chronic stress may kill nerve cells in the hippocampus. If hippocampal activity is thus compromised, excessive cortisol is secreted and, over time, the ability to turn off the stress response decreases, which leads to further aging of the hippocampus. These findings indicate that chronic stress leading to chronic secretion of cortisol may have long-lasting effects on physical function, including brain damage. Cell death may, in turn, lead to deficient problem-solving abilities among the aged and, ultimately,

dementia. This physiological process may also affect susceptibility to infectious disease and recovery from it in other pathophysiological systems. Sapolsky's work is important because we now know that hippocampal cell death associated with chronic stress and anxiety occurs in humans with, for example, posttraumatic stress disorder (see Chapter 5) and depression (see Chapter 7). The long-term effects of this cell death are not yet known.


Contributions to the Stress Response

Stress physiology is profoundly influenced by psychological and social factors (Adler, 2013; Lovallo, 2010; Taylor et al., 2009). This link has been demonstrated by Sapolsky (1990, 2000b, 2007; Gesquiere et al., 2011). He studied baboons living freely in a national reserve in Kenya because their primary sources of stress, like those of humans, are psychological rather than physical. As with many species, baboons arrange themselves in a social hierarchy with dominant members at the top and submissive members at the bottom. And life is tough at the bottom! The lives of subordinate animals are made difficult (Sapolsky calls it "stressful") by continual bullying from the dominant animals, and they have less access to food, preferred resting places, and sexual partners. Particularly interesting are Sapolsky's findings on levels of cortisol in the baboons as a function of their social rank in a dominance hierarchy. Remember from our description of the HPA axis that the secretion of cortisol from the adrenal glands is the final step in a cascade of hormone secretion that originates in the limbic system in the brain during periods of stress. The secretion of cortisol contributes to our arousal and mobilization in the short run but, if produced chronically, it can

damage the hippocampus. In addition, muscles atrophy, fertility is affected by declining testosterone, hypertension develops in the cardiovascular system, and the immune response is impaired. Sapolsky discovered that dominant males in the baboon hierarchy ordinarily had *lower* resting levels of cortisol than subordinate males. When an emergency occurred, however, cortisol levels rose more quickly in the dominant males than in the subordinate males.

Sapolsky and his colleagues sought the causes of these differences by working backward up the HPA axis. They found an excess secretion of CRF by the hypothalamus in subordinate animals, combined with a diminished sensitivity of the pituitary gland (which is stimulated by CRF). Therefore, subordinate animals, unlike dominant animals, continually secrete cortisol, probably because their lives are so stressful. In addition, their HPA system is less sensitive to the effects of cortisol and therefore less efficient in turning off the stress response.

Sapolsky also discovered that subordinate males have fewer circulating lymphocytes (white blood cells) than dominant males, a sign of immune system suppression. In addition, subordinate males evidence less circulating high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, which puts them



● FIGURE 9.2

Effects of psychological stress on the HPA axis and the hippocampus. (Adapted from Sapolsky [1992, 2007] and Sapolsky and Ray [1989].)



Thomas Dabner 2006/Alamy Stock photo

Baboons at the top of the social hierarchy have a sense of predictability and control that allows them to cope with problems and maintain physical health; baboons at the bottom of the hierarchy suffer the symptoms of stress because they have little control over access to food, resting places, and mates.


at higher risk for atherosclerosis and coronary heart disease, a subject we discuss later in this chapter.

What is it about being on top that produces positive effects? Sapolsky concluded that it is primarily the psychological benefits of having *predictability* and *controllability* concerning events in one's life. Parts of his data were gathered during years in which a number of male baboons were at the top of the hierarchy, with no clear "winner." Although these males dominated the rest of the animals in the group, they constantly attacked one another. Under these conditions, they displayed hormonal profiles more like those of subordinate males. Thus, dominance combined with stability produced optimal stress hormone profiles. But the most important factor in regulating stress physiology seems to be a sense of control (Sapolsky & Ray, 1989), a finding strongly confirmed in subsequent research (Kemeny, 2003; Sapolsky, 2007). Control of social situations and the ability to cope with

any tension that arises go a long way toward blunting the long-term effects of stress.

Stress, Anxiety, Depression, and Excitement

If you have read the chapters on anxiety, mood, and related psychological disorders, you might conclude, correctly, that stressful life events combined with psychological vulnerabilities such as an inadequate sense of control are a factor in both psychological and physical disorders. Is there any relationship between psychological and physical disorders? There seems to be a strong one. In a classic study, George Vaillant (1979) studied more than 200 Harvard University sophomore men between 1942 and 1944 who were mentally and physically healthy. He followed these men closely for more than 30 years. Those who developed psychological disorders or who were highly stressed became chronically ill or died at a significantly higher rate than men who remained well adjusted and free from psychological disorders, a finding that has been repeatedly confirmed (see, for example, Katon, 2003; Robles et al., 2005; Scott et al., *in press*). The study by Scott and colleagues (*in press*) surveyed more than 47,000 individuals across 17 countries to examine the temporal relationship between 16 different mental disorders (e.g., mood and anxiety disorders, substance use disorders, etc.) and 10 chronic physical conditions (such as arthritis, cancer, chronic pain, heart disease, etc.). The results showed that mental disorders of all kinds were associated with an increased risk for developing chronic physical conditions. This suggests that the same types of stress-related psychological factors that contribute to psychological disorders may contribute to the later development of physical disorders and that stress, anxiety, and depression are closely related. Can you tell the difference among feelings of stress, anxiety, depression, and excitement? You might say, "No problem," but these four states have a lot in common. Which one you experience may depend on your *sense of control* at the moment or how well you think you can cope with the threat or challenge you are facing (Barlow, 2002; Barlow, Sauer-Zavala, Carl, Bullis, & Ellard, 2014; Suárez, Bennett, Goldstein, & Barlow, 2009). This continuum of feelings from excitement to stress to anxiety to depression is shown in ● Figure 9.3.



● FIGURE 9.3

Responses to threats and challenges. Our feelings range along a continuum from depression to anxiety to stress to excitement, depending partly on our sense of control and ability to cope. (Adapted, with permission, from Barlow, D. H., Rapee, R. M., & Perini, S. (2014). *10 steps to mastering Stress: A lifestyle approach*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.)

Consider how you feel when you are excited. You might experience a rapid heartbeat, a sudden burst of energy, or a jumpy stomach. But if you're well prepared for the challenge—for example, if you're an athlete, up for the game and confident in your abilities, or a musician, sure you are going to give an outstanding performance—these feelings of *excitement* can be pleasurable and might even enhance your performance.

Sometimes when you face a challenging task, you feel you could handle it if you only had the time or help you need, but because you don't have these resources, you feel pressured. In response, you may work harder to do better and be perfect, even though you think you will be all right in the end. If you are under too much pressure, you may become tense and irritable or develop a headache or an upset stomach. This is what *stress* feels like. If something really is threatening and you believe there is little you can do about it, you may feel *anxiety*. The threatening situation could be anything from a physical attack to making a fool of yourself in front of someone. As your body prepares for the challenge, you worry about it incessantly. In some cases, there may not be a difficult situation. Sometimes we are anxious for no reason except that we feel certain aspects of our lives are out of control. Finally, individuals who always perceive life as threatening may lose hope about ever having control and slip into a state of *depression*, no longer trying to cope.

To sum up, the underlying physiology of these particular emotional states seems relatively similar in some basic ways. This is why we refer to a similar pattern of sympathetic arousal and activation of specific neurotransmitters and hormones in discussing anxiety, depression, and stress-related physical disorders. On the other hand, there seem to be some differences. Blood pressure may increase when the challenges seem to overwhelm coping resources, resulting in a low sense of control (anxiety, depression), but blood pressure will be unchanged during excitement or marked stress (Blascovich & Tomaka, 1996). Nevertheless, it is psychological factors—specifically a sense of control and confidence that we can cope with stress or challenges, called **self-efficacy** by Bandura (1986)—that differ most markedly among these emotions, leading to different feelings (Taylor et al., 1997).

Stress and the Immune Response

Have you had a cold during the past several months? How did you pick it up? Did you spend the day with someone else who had a cold? Did someone sneeze nearby while you were sitting in class? Exposure to cold viruses is a necessary factor in developing a cold, but, as mentioned briefly in Chapter 2, the level of stress you are experiencing at the time seems to play a major role in whether the exposure results in a cold. Sheldon Cohen and his associates (Cohen, 1996; Cohen, Doyle, & Skoner, 1999) exposed volunteer

Studying the Effects of Emotions on Physical Health



"People with the lowest level of sociability are most likely to get a cold, while people with the highest level of sociability are least likely to develop a cold."

Go to MindTap at
www.cengagebrain.com
to watch this video.

participants to a specific dosage of a cold virus and followed them closely. They found that the chance a participant would get sick was directly related to how much stress the person had experienced during the past year. Cohen and colleagues (1995) also linked the intensity of stress and negative affect at the time of exposure to the later *severity* of the cold, as measured by mucus production. In an interesting twist, Cohen, Doyle, Turner, Alper, and Skoner (2003) have demonstrated that how sociable you are—that is, the quantity and quality of your social relationships—affects whether you come down with a cold when exposed to the virus, perhaps because socializing with friends relieves stress (Cohen & Janicki-Deverts, 2009). Finally, a positive and optimistic cognitive style protects against developing a cold (Cohen & Pressman, 2006). These are among the

first well-controlled studies to demonstrate that stress and related factors increase the risk of infection.

Think back to your last exam. Did you (or your roommate) have a cold? Exam periods are stressors that have been shown to produce increased infections, particularly of the upper respiratory tract (Glaser et al., 1987, 1990). Therefore, if you are susceptible to colds, maybe one way out is to skip final exams! A better solution is to learn how to control your stress before and during exams. Almost certainly, the effect of stress on susceptibility to infections is mediated through the **immune system**, which protects the body from any foreign materials that may enter it, including cold viruses.

Research dating back to the original reports of Selye (1936) demonstrates the detrimental effects of stress on immune system functioning. Humans under stress show clearly increased rates of infectious diseases, including colds, herpes, and mononucleosis (Coe 2010; Taylor, 2009). Direct evidence links a number of stressful situations to lowered immune system functioning, including marital discord or relationship difficulties (Kiecolt-Glaser et al., 2005; Kiecolt-Glaser & Newton, 2001; Uchino, 2009), job loss, and the death of a loved one (Hawley & Cacioppo, 2007). Furthermore, these stressful events affect the immune system rapidly. Studies in laboratories have demonstrated weakened immune system response within 2 hours of exposure to stress (Glaser & Kiecolt-Glaser, 2005; Weisse, Pato, McAllister, Littman, & Breier, 1990; Zakowski, McAllister, Deal, & Baum, 1992). Cohen and colleagues (1999) infected 55 participants with the influenza A virus. As expected, higher psychological stress was associated with a more severe case of the flu. But Cohen and colleagues (1999; Coe, 2010) also demonstrated that the stress hormones triggered cytokine interleukin-6, an immune system component that produces inflammation of tissues. It seems that this inflammatory response may be one mechanism through which stress breaks down resistance to the infection and injury. There is also evidence to suggest that early-life stress contributes to inflammation in adulthood, which in turn might contribute to cardiovascular disease,

type 2 diabetes, and even cancer (Morey et al., 2015; Fugundes & Way, 2014).

We have already noted that psychological disorders seem to make us more susceptible to developing physical disorders (Katon, 2003; Robles et al., 2005; Scott et al., *in press*; Vaillant, 1979). In fact, direct evidence indicates that depression lowers immune system functioning (Herbert & Cohen, 1993; Miller & Blackwell, 2006), particularly in older adults (Herbert & Cohen, 1993), whereas optimism and positive affect is associated with a stronger immune system (Segerstrom & Sephton, 2010). It may be that the level of depression—and, more importantly, the underlying sense of uncontrollability that accompanies most depressions—is the crucial mechanism in lowering immune system functioning, a mechanism present during most negative stressful life events, such as job loss (Miller & Blackwell, 2006; Robles et al., 2005). Depression can also lead to poor self-care and a tendency to engage in riskier behaviors. For humans, like Sapolsky's baboons, the ability to retain a sense of control over events in our lives may be one of the most important psychological contributions to good health.

Most studies concerning stress and the immune system have examined a sudden or acute stressor. But *chronic stress* may be more problematic because the effects, by definition, last longer (Schneiderman, 2004). For example, lowered immune system functioning has been reported for people who care for chronically ill family members, such as Alzheimer's disease patients (Holland & Gallagher-Thompson, 2011; Mills et al., 2004).

To understand how the immune system protects us, we must first understand how it works. We take a brief tour of the immune system next, using ● Figure 9.4 as a visual guide, and then we examine psychological contributions to the biology of


two diseases strongly related to immune system functioning: AIDS and cancer.

How the Immune System Works

The immune system identifies and eliminates foreign materials, called **antigens**, in the body. Antigens can be any of a number of substances, usually bacteria, viruses, or parasites. But the immune system also targets the body's own cells that have become aberrant or damaged in some way, perhaps as part of a malignant tumor. Donated organs are foreign, so the immune system attacks them after surgical transplant; consequently, it is necessary to suppress the immune system temporarily after transplant surgery.

The immune system has two main parts: the humoral and the cellular. Specific types of cells function as agents of both. White blood cells, called *leukocytes*, do most of the work. There are several types of leukocytes. *Macrophages* might be considered one of the body's first lines of defense: They surround identifiable antigens and destroy them. They also signal *lymphocytes*, which consist of two groups, B cells and T cells.

The *B cells* operate within the humoral part of the immune system, releasing molecules that seek antigens in blood and other bodily fluids with the purpose of neutralizing them. The B cells produce highly specific molecules called *immunoglobulins* that act as *antibodies*, which combine with the antigens to neutralize them. After the antigens are neutralized, a subgroup called *memory B cells* are created so that the next time that antigen is encountered, the immune system response will be even faster. This action accounts for the success of inoculations, or vaccinations, you may have received for mumps or measles as a child.



● FIGURE 9.4

An overview of the immune system.



Robert Ader demonstrated that the immune system is responsive to environmental cues.

memory T cells are created to speed future responses to the same antigen. Other subgroups of T cells help regulate the immune system. For example, *T₄* cells are called *helper T cells* because they enhance the immune system response by signaling B cells to produce antibodies and telling other T cells to destroy the antigen. *Suppressor T cells* suppress the production of antibodies by B cells when they are no longer needed.

We should have twice as many *T₄* (helper) cells as suppressor T cells. With too many *T₄* cells, the immune system is overreactive and may attack the body's normal cells rather than antigens. When this happens, we have what is called an **autoimmune disease**, such as **rheumatoid arthritis**. With too many suppressor T cells, the body is subject to invasion by a number of antigens. The human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) directly attacks the helper T cells, lymphocytes that are crucial to both humoral and cellular immunity, thereby severely weakening the immune system and causing AIDS.

Aside from autoimmune disease and AIDS, the immune system has become a central focus for other fields in modern medicine as well. In fact, some of the most promising new treatments for cancer involve the immune system. This approach directly builds on clinical observations during the course of more than a century. Physicians in the 1800s noted that occasionally their cancer patients unexpectedly went into remission after a viral infection. In the 1950s and 1960s, these case reports then inspired doctors to inject cancer patients with a menagerie of viruses. Sometimes, the therapy destroyed the tumor, but often it also killed the person (Ledford, 2015). Today, anticancer viruses are genetically engineered to specifically enter the cancer cells, stimulating the patient's own immune system to destroy the cancer throughout the patient's body. In the field of cancer research, *immunotherapy* is one of the most promising new developments.


Until the mid-1970s, most scientists believed the brain and the immune system operated independently of each other. However, in 1974, the late Robert Ader and his colleagues (see, for example, Ader & Cohen, 1975, 1993) made a startling discovery. Working with a classical conditioning paradigm, they gave sugar-flavored water to rats, together with a drug that suppresses the immune system. Ader and Cohen then demonstrated that giving the same

An inoculation contains small amounts of the targeted organism but not enough to make you sick. Your immune system then "remembers" this antigen and prevents you from coming down with the full disease when you are exposed to it.

Members of the second group of lymphocytes, called *T cells*, operate in the cellular branch of the immune system. These cells don't produce antibodies. Instead, one subgroup, *killer T cells*, directly destroys viruses and cancer cells (Dustin & Long, 2010; Wan, 2010). When the process is complete,

rats only the sweet-tasting water produced similar changes in the immune system. In other words, the rats had "learned" (through classical conditioning) to respond to the water by suppressing their immune systems. We now know there are many connections between the nervous system and the immune system. These findings have generated a field of study known as **psychoneuroimmunology**, or PNI (Ader & Cohen, 1993; Coe, 2010), which simply means the object of study is *psychological influences on the neurological responding implicated in our immune response*.

Researchers have learned a great deal about pathways through which psychological and social factors may influence immune system functioning. Direct connections among the brain (central nervous system), the HPA axis (hormonal), and the immune system have already been described. Behavioral changes in response to stressful events, such as increased smoking or poor eating habits, may also suppress the immune system (Cohen & Herbert, 1996) (see ● Figure 9.5). Now scientists have uncovered a chain of molecules that connects stress to the onset of disease by turning on certain genes (Cole et al., 2010). Basically, stress seems to activate certain molecules in cells that activate genes (called a transcription factor), in this case the GABA-1 transcription factor that activates the interleukin-6 gene. This gene makes a protein that turns on the inflammatory response, which brings infection-fighting cells of the immune system to the area. This is great if you've cut yourself, but very damaging if it occurs over a long period of time. It is this chronic inflammatory response that exacerbates



● FIGURE 9.5

Pathways through which psychological factors might influence onset and progression of immune system-mediated disease. For simplicity, arrows are drawn in only one direction, from psychological characteristics to disease. No lack of alternative paths is implied. CNS = central nervous system. (From Cohen, S., & Herbert, T. B. [1996]. Health psychology: Psychological factors and physical disease from the perspective of human psychoneuroimmunology. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 47, 113–142.)

cancer, heart disease, and diabetes, and shortens life. Of course other genes, such as the serotonin transporter gene mentioned in Chapter 2, are also implicated in making one vulnerable to certain types of stressors (Way & Taylor, 2010). Undoubtedly many more groups of genes and integrative psychobiological paths implicated in the effects of the stress response will be discovered (Segerstrom & Sephton, 2010).

2012). In the hardest hit regions in southern Africa, between 15% and 28% of the adult population are believed to be HIV positive, comprising two thirds of cases worldwide, with approximately 18 million children orphaned by the disease (Kates et al., 2012; Klimas, Koneru, & Fletcher, 2008). AIDS is also spreading rapidly to the densely populated regions of India and China (Normile, 2009), and in Latin America, rates are projected to rise from 2 million in 2006 to 3.5 million by 2015 (Cohen, 2006). About a decade after the HIV/AIDS pandemic swept through central Africa, Europe, the Caribbean, and North America, it began to expand rapidly in southern Africa, making it now the highest priority region for HIV prevention and care (Vermund, Sheldon, & Sidat, 2015). Examining ● Figure 9.6 again, you can see the distribution of people living with AIDS around the world as well as the rates of new diagnoses in the United States broken down by race and ethnicity. With the exception of Asians, minority groups are disproportionately affected by this deadly disease. In fact, the epidemic is very clearly concentrated in the United States in disenfranchised sexual minorities and communities of color, with effective treatment alternatives few and far between (Pellowski, Kalichman, Matthews, & Adler, 2013). And yet, the perceived urgency of this problem in the United States and around the world has decreased considerably over the past decade.

Although intravenous drug use and homosexual activity remain the primary modes of acquiring HIV in the United States, in most of the world (and particularly the underdeveloped world), it is heterosexual activity that brings people in contact with HIV (see Table 9.2). Once a person is infected with HIV, the course of the disease is quite variable. After several months to several years with no symptoms, patients may develop minor health problems such as weight loss, fever, and night sweats—symptoms that make up the condition known as **AIDS-related complex (ARC)**. A diagnosis of AIDS itself is not made until one of several serious diseases appears, such as pneumocystis pneumonia, cancer, dementia, or a wasting syndrome in which the body literally withers away. The median time from initial infection to the development of full-blown AIDS has been estimated to range from 7.3 to 10 years or more (Pantaleo, Graziosi, & Fauci, 1993). Clinical scientists have developed powerful new combinations of drugs referred to as highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) that suppress the virus in those infected with HIV, even in advanced cases (Hammer et al., 2006; Thompson et al., 2010). This has been a very positive development that has slowed disease progression and decreased mortality. For example, most people with AIDS die within 1 year of diagnosis without treatment, as is the case in many developing countries (Zwahlen & Egger, 2006). But the proportion of people who receive treatment surviving with AIDS 2 years or longer increased to 85% by 2005, and the death rates from AIDS from 2002 to 2010 declined by at least 50% in the United States, where the drugs are most available (Fauci & Folkers, 2012). Some of these data are presented in ● Figure 9.7, which shows death rates from AIDS in white and black men in the United States from 2002 to 2010 (CDC, 2013). Nevertheless, HAART does not seem to be a cure, because the evidence suggests the virus is not eliminated but rather lies dormant in reduced numbers; thus, infected patients face a lifetime of taking multiple medications (Buscher

Psychosocial Effects on Physical Disorders

With an enhanced understanding of the effects of emotional and behavioral factors on the immune system, we can now examine how these factors influence specific physical disorders. We begin with AIDS.

AIDS

The ravages of the AIDS epidemic have made this disease the highest priority of public health systems around the world. The number of people worldwide living with HIV continues to grow, reaching an estimated 34.2 million in 2011 (see ● Figure 9.6), which is 22% higher than in 2000 (Kaiser Family Foundation, Kates, Carbaugh, Rousseau, & Jankiewicz, 2012). Only in 2004 did adult and child deaths begin to level off with aggressive treatment and prevention efforts in some parts of the world (Bongaarts & Over, 2010; Fauci & Folkers, 2012). Despite this modest success, 1.8 million people died of AIDS in 2010 alone (Fauci & Folkers,




FIGURE 9.6

Global prevalence and distribution of HIV and rates of new diagnoses by race in the United States. (From Kaiser Family Foundation, Kates, J., Carbaugh, A., Rousseau, D., & Jankiewicz, A. [2012]. HIV/AIDS: the state of the epidemic after 3 decades. *JAMA*, 308[4], 330).

& Giordano, 2010; Thompson et al., 2012). Also, the percentage who drop out of HAART because of severe side effects, such as nausea and diarrhea, is high—61% in one study (O'Brien, Clark, Besch, Myers, & Kissinger, 2003; Thompson et al., 2012). For this reason, earlier recommendations were to postpone treatment until those infected are in imminent danger of developing symptomatic disease (Cohen, 2002; Hammer et al., 2006), but in view of the success of this treatment regimen with cases of newly acquired HIV, current recommendations are to start as early as possible after detecting infection and to work closely with patients to increase adherence to the schedule for the medication (Mermin & Fenton, 2012; Thompson et al., 2012). Some studies have even shown that this treatment regimen can prevent acquisition of the virus in high-risk populations (Cohen, 2011; Mermin & Fenton, 2012). Unfortunately, drug-resistant strains of HIV are now being transmitted.

Because AIDS is a relatively new disease and takes at least several years to develop, we are still learning about the factors, including possible psychological factors, that extend survival (Klimas et al., 2008; Taylor, 2009). Investigators identified a group of people who have been exposed repeatedly to the AIDS virus but have not contracted the disease. A major distinction of these people is that their immune systems, particularly the cellular branch, are robust and strong (Ezzel, 1993), partly due to genetic factors (Kaiser, 2006), but psychological factors also seem to play a role. For example, one study demonstrated that people with the virus who have not progressed to AIDS were characterized by particularly strong confidence in their clinical providers and strong social support from their loved ones, factors that have been linked to stronger immune system functioning (Ruffin, Ironson, Fletcher, Balbin, & Schneiderman, 2012). Therefore, efforts to boost the immune system may contribute to the prevention of AIDS.

Because psychological factors impact immune system functioning, investigators have begun to examine whether these psychological factors influence the progression of HIV. For example, high levels of stress and depression and low levels of social support have been associated with a faster progression to disease (Leserman, 2008; Leserman et al., 2000). One reason for this faster progression is that depression is very strongly associated with decreased adherence to the drug regimen (Gonzalez, Batchelder, Psaros, & Safren, 2011). But an even more intriguing question is whether psychological interventions can slow the progression of the disease, even among those who are symptomatic (Cole, 2008; Gore-Felton & Koopman, 2008). In fact, several important studies suggest that cognitive-behavioral stress-management

(CBSM) programs may have positive effects on the immune systems of individuals who are already symptomatic (Antoni et al., 2000; Carrico & Antoni, 2008; Lerner, Kibler, & Zeichner, 2013; Lutgendorf et al., 1997). Specifically, Lutgendorf and colleagues (1997) used an intervention program that significantly decreased depression and anxiety compared with a control group that did not receive the treatment. More important, there was a significant reduction in antibodies to the herpes simplex virus II in the treatment group compared with the control group, which reflects the greater ability of the cellular component of the immune system to control the virus. In a study by Antoni and colleagues (2000), 73 gay or bisexual men already infected with HIV and symptomatic with the disease were assigned to a CBSM program or a control group receiving usual care without the program. As in previous studies, men receiving the stress-management treatment showed significantly lower posttreatment levels of anxiety, anger, and

TABLE 9.2

AIDS Cases by Mode of Transmission (World, 2009; U.S., 2008) Percentage Estimates of Total Cases

Transmission Category	World	United States
Male-to-male sexual contact	5–10%	50%
Injection drug use	10%	17%
Heterosexual contact	59–69%	32%
Other*	16–21%	1%

*Includes hemophilia, blood transfusion, perinatal exposure, transmission within health-care settings, and risk not reported or identified.

Source: Figures for the world adapted from UNAIDS (2009, November), *AIDS epidemic update*. Figures for the U.S. adapted from Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2010), *Diagnosis of HIV infection and AIDS in the U.S. and dependent areas, 2008 (HIV Surveillance Report, Volume 20)*.




FIGURE 9.7

From 2000 to 2010, HIV disease death rates decreased approximately 70% for both black and white men aged 25–44 years. Rates decreased by 53% for black men aged 45–54 years and 34% for white men aged 45–54 years. Throughout the period, HIV disease death rates for black men were at least six times the rates for white men. (From Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [2013]. Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) disease death rates among men aged 25–54 years, by race and age group—national vital statistics. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 62[9], 175.)

perceived stress than those in the control group, indicating the treatment was effective. More important, as long as a year after the intervention had ended, men who had received the treatment evidenced better immune system functioning as indicated by higher levels of T cells. These findings are presented in Figure 9.8. Similarly, Goodkin and colleagues (2001) reported that a 10-week psychological treatment significantly buffered against an increase in HIV viral load, which is a powerful and reliable predictor of progression to full-blown AIDS, while a control group had no such result. Antoni and colleagues (2006) took their important line of research a step further. HIV-positive men on HAART drug regimens received 10 weeks of training in how to take their medication properly by taking the exact amount prescribed as closely as possible to the assigned times. Half of this group also received the investigators' CBSM program. Men receiving CBSM actually showed a




FIGURE 9.8

Means for T suppressor/cytotoxic cells at preintervention (baseline), postintervention (10 weeks), and follow up (6 to 12 months) in HIV-positive gay men assigned to CBSM ($n = 47$) versus control ($n = 26$). (Adapted from Antoni, M. H., Cruess, D. G., Cruess, S., Lutgendorf, S., Kumar, M., Ironson, G., Klimas, N., Fletcher, M. A., & Schneiderman, N. [2000]. Cognitive-behavioral stress management intervention effects on anxiety, 24-hr urinary norepinephrine output, and T-cytotoxic/suppressor cells over time among symptomatic HIV-infected gay men. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 68, 31–45.)

decrease in viral load 15 months later compared with those with medication training only, who showed no change. This reduction in viral load was primarily the result of decreases in depression, which, in turn, reduced the stress hormone cortisol. Thus, even in progressed, symptomatic HIV disease, psychological interventions may not only enhance psychological adjustment but also influence immune system functioning, and this effect may be long lasting.

It is too early to tell whether these results will be strong or persistent enough to translate into increased survival time for AIDS patients, although results from Antoni and colleagues (2000, 2006) suggest they might. A review of randomized controlled trials examining the efficacy of psychological interventions on neuroendocrine hormone regulation and immune status in HIV-positive individuals showed little support for differential efficacy of different interventions (Antoni, 2012; Carrico & Antoni, 2008). However, regardless of the treatment modality, the treatments that are successful in improving psychological adjustment are more likely to have beneficial effects on neuroendocrine regulation and immune status. If stress and related variables are clinically significant to immune response, functioning, and disease progression in HIV-infected patients, as suggested by a number of studies (Cole, 2008; Leserman, 2008), then psychosocial interventions to bolster the immune system might increase survival rates and, in the most optimistic scenario, prevent the slow deterioration of the immune system (Carrico & Antoni,

2008; Kennedy, 2000). Of course, the most effective interventions focus on changing behavior to prevent acquiring HIV in the first place, such as reducing risky behavior and promoting safe sexual practices (Mermin & Fenton, 2012; Temoshok, Wald, Synowski, & Garzino-Demo, 2008), particularly in minority groups such as Latinos and African-Americans (Gonzalez, Hendriksen, Collins, Duran, & Safren, 2009). Beyond that, and in view of the disparities in healthcare provided to the socially marginalized groups most likely to acquire HIV, robust combined intervention strategies directed at these populations are needed. This would include strategies to make patients more aware of positive HIV status earlier, linking them to treatment earlier, and maximizing adherence to treatment, all goals that rely primarily on behavior change (Grossman, Purcell, Rotheram-Borus, & Veniegas, 2013). Few areas of study in behavioral medicine and health psychology are more urgent.

Cancer

Among the more mind-boggling developments in the study of illness and disease is the discovery that the development and course of different varieties of **cancer** are subject to psychosocial influences (Emery, Anderson, & Andersen, 2011; Fagundes et al., 2012; Giese-Davis et al., 2011; Williams & Schneiderman, 2002). This has resulted in a new field of study called **psychoncology** (Antoni & Lutgendorf, 2007; Helgeson, 2005; Lutgendorf, Costanzo, & Siegel, 2007). **Oncology** means the study of cancer. In a widely noted study, David Spiegel, a psychiatrist at Stanford University, and his colleagues (Spiegel, Bloom, Kramer, & Gotheil, 1989; Spiegel, 2013) studied 86 women with advanced breast cancer that had metastasized to other areas of their bodies and was expected to kill them within 2 years. Clearly, the prognosis was poor indeed. Although Spiegel and his colleagues had little hope of affecting the disease itself, they thought that by treating these people in group psychotherapy at least they could relieve some of their anxiety, depression, and pain.

All patients had routine medical care for their cancer. In addition, 50 patients (of the 86) met with their therapist for psychotherapy once a week in small groups. Much to everyone's surprise, including Spiegel's, the therapy group's survival time was significantly longer than that of the control group who did not receive psychotherapy but otherwise benefited from the best care available. The group receiving therapy lived twice as long



Breast cancer cell.

Breast Cancer Support and Education



Abnormal Psychology Inside Out.
Produced by Ira Wolf, Only Child
Motion Pictures

"Women who had low self-esteem, low body image, feelings of low control, low optimism, and a lack of support at home were even more likely to benefit from an education intervention."

Go to MindTap at
www.cengagebrain.com
to watch this video.

on average (approximately 3 years) as the controls (approximately 18 months). Four years after the study began, one third of the therapy patients were still alive, and all the patients receiving the best medical care available *without* therapy had died. Subsequently, a careful reanalysis of medical treatment received by each group revealed no differences that could account for the effects of psychological treatment (Kogon, Biswas, Pearl, Carlson, & Spiegel, 1997). These findings do not mean that psychological interventions cured advanced cancer. At 10 years, only three patients in the therapy group still survived.

Subsequent studies seemed to support these findings on increased survival and reduced recurrence with different types of cancer (Fawzy, Cousins, et al., 1990; Fawzy, Kemeny, et al., 1990). But other studies did not replicate the finding that psychological treatments prolong life (Coyne, Stefanek, & Palmer, 2007). One such study confirmed that psychological treatments reduced depression and pain and increased well-being, but did not find the survival-enhancing effects of treatment (Goodwin et al., 2001).

In another important study, Andersen and colleagues (2008) randomized 227 patients who had been surgically treated for breast cancer to a psychological intervention plus assessment or to an assessment-only condition. The intervention included strategies to reduce stress, improve mood, alter important health behaviors (reducing smoking, increasing exercise, etc.), and maintain adherence to cancer treatment and care. The treatment was successful in reducing stress and increasing positive mood and healthy behavior (Andersen et al., 2007). More important, after a median of 11 years follow up, patients receiving the psychological intervention reduced their risk of dying by breast cancer by 56% and their risk of breast cancer recurrence by 45%, supporting once again the survival-enhancing potential of psychological treatments (see ● Figure 9.9). Similarly, the positive effects of reducing depressive symptoms on survival in patients with metastatic breast cancer has been demonstrated (Giese-Davis et al., 2011).

As a result of these studies, psychosocial treatment for various cancers to reduce stress, improve quality of life, and perhaps even to increase survival and reduce recurrence, are now more readily available (Jacobsen & Andrykowski, 2015; Lewis et al., 2015; Lutgendorf & Andersen, 2015; Manne & Ostroff, 2008; McDonald, O'Connell, & Suls, 2015; Penedo, Antoni, & Schneiderman, 2008). The initial success of these psychological treatments on length of survival in at least some studies generated a great deal of interest in exactly how they might work (Antoni et al., 2009; Antoni & Lutgendorf, 2007; Emery et al., 2011; Nemeroff, 2013). Possibilities include better health habits, closer adherence to medical treatment, and improved endocrine functioning and response to stress, all of which may improve immune function (Antoni et al., 2006, 2009; Foley, Baillie, Huxter, Price, & Sinclair, 2010; Emery et al., 2011; Nezu et al., 1999). For example, the experience of a severely




FIGURE 9.9

Recurrence and survival rates in months following a psychological intervention for breast cancer. (From Andersen et al. [2008]. Psychologic intervention improves survival for breast cancer patients. *Cancer*, 15, 3456.)

stressful life event in the past year, particularly in individuals with troubled early parent-child relationships, predicted greatly decreased immune system reactivity to a basal cell carcinoma tumor (skin cancer) (Fagundes et al., 2012). Also, anything that promotes closer, more supportive relationships in cancer patients is very important, because it buffers stress (Hostinar, Sullivan, & Gunnar, 2013) and slows disease progression (Antoni et al., 2006; Foley, Baillie, Huxter, Price, & Sinclair, 2010; Nezu et al., 1999). There is even preliminary evidence that psychological factors may contribute not only to the *course* but also to the *development* of cancer and other diseases (Antoni & Lutgendorf, 2007; Lutgendorf et al., 2007). Perceived lack of control, inadequate coping responses, overwhelmingly stressful life events, or the use of inappropriate coping responses (such as denial) may all contribute to the development of cancer, probably through changes in immune function.

but also through regulating the activity of cancer-causing viruses, deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) repair processes, and the expression of genes that control the growth of tumors (Antoni & Lutgendorf, 2007; Lutgendorf et al., 2007; Nemerooff, 2013). For example, chronic psychological stress is associated with accelerated cellular aging as indexed by short telomere length. Telomeres are basically DNA protein complexes located on the ends of chromosomes that protect against damage to the DNA that encodes genetic information. But once again, it is not the absolute level of stress



Psychological preparation reduces suffering and facilitates recovery in children who undergo surgery.

that is responsible for cellular aging but rather negative interpretations and appraisals of the stressful situations (O'Donovan et al., 2012).

These studies have also led to a renewed emphasis on an overlooked result of cancer: Some people discover some positive consequences. For example, many patients with breast cancer experience an enhanced sense of purpose, deepening spirituality, closer ties to others, and changes in life priorities (Lechner & Antoni, 2004; Park, Edmondson, Fenster, & Blank, 2008; Yanez et al., 2009). These experiences have been called “benefit finding” and may reflect the types of traits, such as coping skills, a sense of control, and optimism that underlie resiliency and reduce the harmful effect of stress (Bower, Moskowitz, & Epel, 2009). It is these traits and skills that are among the most important goals of psychological treatment. Antoni and colleagues (2006) targeted these goals in 199 women with nonmetastatic breast cancer using a CBSM program, and they found substantially improved quality of life in the year following treatment.

Psychological factors are also prominent in treatment and recovery from cancer in children (Kazak & Noll, 2015). Many types of cancer require invasive and painful medical procedures; the suffering can be difficult to bear, not only for children but also for parents and health-care providers. Children usually struggle and cry hysterically, so to complete many of the procedures, they must be physically restrained. Not only does their behavior interfere with successful completion, but also the stress and anxiety associated with repeated painful procedures may have their own detrimental effect on the disease process. Psychological procedures designed to reduce pain and stress in these children include breathing exercises, watching films of exactly what happens to take the uncertainty out of the procedure, and rehearsal of the procedure with dolls, all of which make the interventions more tolerable and therefore more successful for young patients (Brewer, Gleditsch, Syblik, Tietjens, & Vacik, 2006; Hubert, Jay, Saltoun, & Hayes, 1988). Much of this work is based on the pioneering efforts of Barbara Melamed and her colleagues, who demonstrated the importance of incorporating psychological procedures into children’s medical care, particularly children about to undergo surgery (see, for example, Melamed & Siegel, 1975). In any case, pediatric psychologists are making more routine use of these procedures.

Reducing stress in parents who could then provide more supportive care is important because almost all parents develop post-traumatic stress symptoms after hearing that their children have cancer (Kazak, Boeving, Alderfer, Hwang, & Reilly, 2005). Sahler and colleagues (2005) treated mothers of children with newly diagnosed cancer with a cognitive-behavioral problem-solving intervention and compared the results to the usual care available to these mothers. Mothers in the problem-solving group became less negative, less stressed, and better problem solvers, certainly a positive outcome in parents who have to deal with the tragedy of cancer in their own children.

Cardiovascular Problems

The *cardiovascular system* consists of the heart, blood vessels, and complex control mechanisms for regulating their function. Many things can go wrong with this system and lead to **cardiovascular disease**. For example, many individuals, particularly older individuals, suffer **strokes**, also called **cerebral vascular accidents (CVAs)**. Strokes are temporary blockages of blood vessels leading to the brain or a rupture of blood vessels in the brain that result in temporary or permanent brain damage and loss of functioning. People with Raynaud's disease lose circulation to peripheral parts of their bodies such as their fingers and toes, suffering some pain and continual sensations of cold in their hands and feet. The cardiovascular problems receiving the most attention these days are hypertension and coronary heart disease, and we look at both. It has been shown that heightened responsivity to acute mental stress has adverse effects on cardiovascular health (Chida & Steptoe, 2010). But chronic stress and personality factors are also very important. First, let's consider the case of John.

John... The Human Volcano

John is a 55-year-old business executive, married, with two teenage children. For most of his adult life, John has smoked about a pack of cigarettes each day. Although he maintains a busy and active schedule, John is mildly obese, partly from regular meals with business partners and colleagues. He has been taking several medications for high blood pressure since age 42. John's doctor has warned him repeatedly to cut down on his smoking and to exercise more often, especially because John's father died of a heart attack. Although John has episodes of chest pain, he continues his busy and stressful lifestyle. It is difficult for John to slow down, as his business has been doing extremely well during the past 10 years.

Moreover, John believes that life is too short, that there is no time to slow down. He sees relatively little of his family and works late most evenings. Even when he's at home, John typically works into the night. It is difficult for him to relax; he feels a constant urgency to get as many things done as possible and prefers to work on several tasks simultaneously. For instance, John often proofreads a document, engages in a phone conversation, and eats lunch all at the same time. He attributes much of the success of his business to his working style. Despite his success, John is not well liked by his peers. His coworkers and employees often find him to be overbearing, easily frustrated, and, at times, even hostile. His subordinates in particular claim he is overly impatient and critical of their performance.

Do you think John has a problem? Most people would recognize that his behaviors and attitudes make his life unpleasant and possibly lethal. Some of these behaviors and attitudes appear to operate directly on the cardiovascular system and may result in hypertension and coronary heart disease. John's

personality could be described as a *type A personality*. We will come back to this further below when we discuss coronary heart disease.

Hypertension

Hypertension (high blood pressure) is a major risk factor not only for stroke and heart disease but also for kidney disease. This makes hypertension an extremely serious medical condition. Blood pressure increases when the blood vessels leading to organs and peripheral areas constrict (become narrower), forcing increasing amounts of blood to muscles in central parts of the body. Because so many blood vessels have constricted, the heart muscles must work much harder to force the blood to all parts of the body, which causes the increased pressure. These factors produce wear and tear on the ever-shrinking blood vessels and lead to cardiovascular disease. A small percentage of cases of hypertension can be traced to specific physical abnormalities, such as kidney disease or tumors on the adrenal glands (Chobanian et al., 2003; Papillo & Shapiro, 1990), but the overwhelming majority (close to 90%) have no specific verifiable physical cause and are considered **essential hypertension**. Blood pressure is defined as high by the World Health Organization if it exceeds 160 over 95 (Papillo & Shapiro, 1990), although measures of 140/90 or higher are cause for concern and more usually used to define hypertension (Chobanian et al., 2003; James et al., 2013; Taylor, 2009; Wolf-Maier et al., 2003). The first value is called the *systolic blood pressure*, the pressure when the heart is pumping blood. The second value is the *diastolic blood pressure*, the pressure between beats when the heart is at rest. Elevations in systolic pressure seem to be more worrisome in terms of risk of disease.

According to a comprehensive survey, 27.6% of individuals between the ages of 35 and 64 suffer from hypertension in North America, with a corresponding and shocking figure of 44.2% in six European countries (Wolf-Maier et al., 2003). One survey examined hypertension rates by county in the United States, and found a staggering median prevalence of 38% for men and 40% for women (Olives, Myerson, Mokdad, Murray, & Lim, 2013). These data, along with the percentage treating and controlling their hypertension broken down by race, are presented in Table 9.3. These are extraordinary numbers when you consider that hypertension, contributing to as many fatal diseases as it does, has been called the "silent killer" because there are few—if any—symptoms and most people don't know they have it. These numbers are much higher than for any single psychological disorder. Data showing the relationship of hypertension to risk of death from stroke in each country are presented in Figure 9.10. These data illustrate that hypertension is associated with premature mortality. Even more striking is that African Americans, both men and women, are between 1.5 and two times as likely to develop hypertension as whites (CDC, 2011; Egan et al., 2010; Lewis et al., 2006; Yan et al., 2003). Furthermore, among people with hypertension and other cardiovascular concerns, minority groups have poorer management of these conditions compared with white Americans (McWilliams, Meara, Zaslavsky, & Ayanian, 2009). Prevalence rates of hypertension in blacks, whites, and Hispanics are presented in Figure 9.11. More important, African Americans have

■ Hypertension Prevalence, %
 ■ Mortality per 100,000 inhabitants




FIGURE 9.10

Prevalence (per 100,000 inhabitants) of hypertension versus stroke mortality in six European and two North American countries in men and women 35 to 64 years old, age adjusted. Across eight developed countries, higher rates of hypertension were generally associated with higher mortality from stroke. (Adapted from Wolf-Maier, K., Cooper, R. S., Banegas, J. R., Giampaoli, S., Hense, H., Joffres, M., Kastarinen, M., Poulter, N., et al. (2003). Hypertension prevalence and blood pressure levels in six European countries, Canada, and the United States. *JAMA: Journal of the American Medical Association*, 289, 2367 [Figure 4], ©2003 American Medical Association.)




FIGURE 9.11

Clinical prevalence of hypertension by race in 2-year blocks for 1988–1994 and 1999–2008. (From Egan et al. [2010]. U.S. trends in prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension, 1988–2008. *JAMA: Journal of the American Medical Association*, 303, 2048.)

hypertensive vascular diseases at a rate 5 to 10 times greater than whites. Another study confirms that hypertension is a principal disorder of concern within the African American population (Safford et al., 2012). Saab and colleagues (1992) demonstrated in a classic study that during laboratory stress tests, African

Americans without high blood pressure show greater vascular responsiveness, including heightened blood pressure. Thus, African Americans in general may be at greater risk to develop hypertension. Interestingly, other research has suggested that African Americans' risk for hypertension may be augmented by chronic experiences of stereotype threat (i.e., situations in which an individual fears that she will confirm negative beliefs about her demographic group), which increase blood pressure both during and after the experience (Blascovich, Spencer, Quinn, & Steele, 2001).

You will not be surprised to learn there are biological, psychological, and social contributions to the development of this potentially deadly condition. It has long been clear that hypertension runs in families and likely is subject to marked genetic influences (e.g., Padmanabhan, Caufield, & Dominiczak, 2015). When stressed in the laboratory, even individuals with *normal* blood pressure show greater reactivity in their blood pressure if their parents have high blood pressure than do individuals with normal blood pressure whose parents also had normal blood pressure (Clark, 2003; Fredrikson & Matthews, 1990). In other words, it doesn't take much to activate an inherited vulnerability to hypertension. The offspring of parents with hypertension are at twice the risk of developing hypertension as children of parents with normal blood pressure (Taylor, 2009). Nevertheless, others have pointed out that genetics (as opposed to other related factors, such as upbringing) may not be the whole story; specific gene variations account for only a small percentage of hypertension risk (Kurtz, 2010).

Studies examining neurobiological causes of hypertension have centered on two factors central to the regulation of blood pressure: autonomic nervous system activity and mechanisms regulating sodium in the kidneys. When the sympathetic branch

TABLE 9.3 Prevalence, Treatment, and Control of Hypertension Among American Adults, 2001/2009

	Prevalence		Treatment		Control	
	2001	2009	2001	2009	2001	2009
Men	32.58	37.56	64.96	73.05	47.25	57.69
	(23.56–47.25)	(26.53–54.43)	(44.74–75.03)	(55.04–82.01)	(32.03–55.49)	(43.42–65.86)
White	32.35	37.23	64.17	72.29	49.32	58.63
	(23.75–40.93)	(26.83–46.95)	(41.72–74.75)	(51.04–82.23)	(29.89–58.1)	(39.37–66.31)
Black	45.57	50.84	64.73	72.24	47.07	55.68
	(34.94–54.97)	(38.67–60.92)	(43.41–74.78)	(52.19–81.77)	(29.24–54.96)	(38.12–62.7)
Hispanic	33.65	38.13	58.99	67.41	40.95	50.46
	(25.03–42.10)	(27.72–47.70)	(37.21–70.32)	(45.86–78.67)	(23.37–49.83)	(31.84–58.84)
Other	36.48	41.39	61.7	69.86	45.87	55.1
	(27.27–45.29)	(30.43–51.22)	(39.63–72.52)	(48.56–80.28)	(27.26–54.61)	(36.22–62.95)
Women	36.94	40.08	67.56	74.08	43.83	57.06
	(26.75–52.97)	(28.52–57.88)	(50.87–81.53)	(57.68–86.43)	(30.86–53.48)	(43.04–65.46)
White	35.69	38.85	68.95	75.53	43.2	57.93
	(26.6–42.95)	(28.35–48.01)	(51.62–78.76)	(59.06–84.53)	(29.78–53.46)	(43.98–67.66)
Black	50.6	54.39	80.32	84.8	50.46	63.7
	(39.16–58.89)	(41.85–64.18)	(67.44–86.65)	(73.72–90.13)	(39.55–58.13)	(54.29–69.86)
Hispanic	39.19	42.64	69.11	75.8	45.23	59.53
	(29.58–46.7)	(31.68–51.98)	(51.96–78.82)	(59.76–84.53)	(31.61–55.32)	(45.81–68.76)
Other	42.66	46.03	65.12	71.98	42.16	56.10
	(33.19–50.01)	(35.27–55.16)	(47.55–75.51)	(55.03–81.64)	(28.48–52.52)	(41.77–65.98)

Source: Adapted from Olives, C., Myerson, R., Mokdad, A. H., Murray, C. J., & Lim, S. S. (2013). Prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in United States counties, 2001/2009. *PLoS One*, 8(4), e60308. Note: *Prevalence* refers to the rates of hypertension in 2009; *Treatment* refers to the rates of treated hypertension; and *Control* lists the rates of hypertension control (systolic BP < 140 mmHg).

of the autonomic nervous system becomes active, one consequence is the constriction of blood vessels, which produces greater resistance against circulation; that is, blood pressure is elevated (Chida & Steptoe, 2010; Joyner, Charkoudian, & Wallin, 2010). Because the sympathetic nervous system is responsive to stress, many investigators have long assumed that stress is a major contributor to essential hypertension. Sodium and water regulation, one of the functions of the kidneys, is also important in regulating blood pressure. Retaining too much salt increases blood volume and heightens blood pressure. This is one reason that people with hypertension are often told to restrict their intake of salt.

Psychological factors, such as personality, coping style, and, again, level of stress, have been used to explain individual differences in

blood pressure (Lehman, Taylor, Kiefe, & Seeman, 2009; Taylor, 2009; Winters & Schneiderman, 2000). In addition, social support emerges as an important contributor to cardiovascular health (Cuffee et al., 2014; Hawkley, Thisted, Masi, & Cacioppo, 2010). Similarly, loneliness, depression, and feelings of uncontrollability are psychological factors that contribute to cardiovascular problems (Wooley & Wong, 2013), whereas happiness and optimism are associated with cardiovascular health (Boehm & Kubzansky, 2012). For example, one study with married couples found that practicing a “warm touch” (frequent affectionate touching) as a way of communicating love and support significantly decreased blood pressure (Holt-Lunstad, Birmingham, & Light, 2008). Similarly, it has been shown that hugging has a stress-buffering effect and can prevent the outbreak of the symptoms of a viral infection and reduce the severity of the symptoms (Cohen et al., 2015).

A long-term study identified two psychological factors, each of which almost doubles the risk of hypertension: hostility, particularly in interpersonal relations, and a sense of time urgency or impatience. To reach this conclusion, more than 5,000 adults (including both blacks and whites) were followed for 15 years in the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) study (Yan et al., 2003). It is likely that the combination of these two factors creates an even more powerful risk. Also, both anger and hostility have been associated with increases in blood pressure in laboratory and applied settings (Brondolo et al., 2009; Mezick et al., 2010; Miller, Smith, Turner, Guijarro, & Hallet, 1996).

The notion that hostility or repressed hostility predicts hypertension (and other cardiovascular problems) can be traced back to Alexander (1939), who suggested that an inability to express anger could result in hypertension and other cardiovascular problems. What may be more important is not whether anger is suppressed but rather how often anger and hostility are experienced during stressful situations and expressed to others (Brondolo et al., 2009; Miller et al., 1996; Winters & Schneiderman, 2000). Let's return to the case of John for a moment. John clearly suffered from hypertension. Do you detect any anger in John's case study? John's hypertension may well be related to his stressful lifestyle, frustration levels, and hostility. The ability to control anger by expressing these feelings constructively is associated with markedly lower blood pressure in the general population (Haukkala, Konttinen, Laatikainen, Kawachi, & Utela, 2010; Taylor, 2009), suggesting it might help patients with hypertension, too. So the causes of hypertension seem to include the interaction of high stress reactivity (possibly genetically based), high exposure to stress, and inappropriate coping skills and reactions, often involving hostility and anger (Brondolo et al., 2009; al'Absi & Wittmers, 2003; Taylor, 2009).

Coronary Heart Disease

It may not surprise you that psychological and social factors contribute to high blood pressure, but can changes in behavior and attitudes prevent heart attacks?

The answers are still not entirely clear, but increasing evidence indicates that psychological and social factors are implicated in coronary heart disease (Kivimaki et al., 2012; Clark et al., 2012; Emery et al., 2011; Gulliksson et al., 2011; Winters & Schneiderman, 2000). Why is this important? Heart disease is the number one cause of death in Western cultures, as noted in Table 9.1.

Coronary heart disease (CHD), quite simply, is a blockage of the arteries supplying blood to the heart muscle (the *myocardium*). A number of terms describe heart disease.

Creatas/Superstock



African Americans suffer from hypertension in disproportionately high numbers.

Chest pain resulting from partial obstruction of the arteries is called *angina pectoris* or, usually, just *angina*. *Atherosclerosis* occurs when a fatty substance or plaque builds up inside the arteries and causes an obstruction. *Ischemia* is the name for deficiency of blood to a body part caused by the narrowing of the arteries by too much plaque. And *myocardial infarction*, or *heart attack*, is the death of heart tissue when a specific artery becomes clogged with plaque. Arteries can constrict or become blocked for a variety of reasons other than plaque. For example, a blood clot might lodge in the artery.

It seems clear that we inherit a vulnerability to CHD (and to many other physical disorders), and other factors such as diet, exercise, and culture make important contributions to our cardiovascular status (Allender, Peto, Scarborough, Boxer, & Rayner, 2007; Cuffee et al., 2014). But what sort of psychological factors contribute to CHD?

A variety of studies suggest strongly that stress, anxiety, and anger, combined with poor coping skills and low social support, are implicated in CHD (Jiang et al., 2013; Emery et al., 2011; Matthews, 2005; Suls & Bunde, 2005; Taylor, 2009). Severe stress, as in learning that a family member suddenly died, can lead on rare occasions to a condition called *myocardial stunning*, which is basically heart failure (Wittstein et al., 2005). Some evidence suggests that heart attacks in response to emotional triggers, though rare, are found with disproportionate frequency among individuals high in negative affectivity and social inhibition to begin with (Compare et al., 2013). Some studies indicate that even healthy men who experience stress are later more likely to experience CHD than low-stress groups (Rosengren, Tibblin, & Wilhelmsen, 1991). For such individuals, stress-reduction procedures may prove to be an important preventive technique. There is a great deal of evidence on the value of stress-reduction procedures in preventing future heart attacks and prolonging life (Orth-Gomer et al., 2009; Emery et al., 2011; Williams & Schneiderman, 2002). In one report summarizing results from 37 studies and using analytic procedures that combine the results from these studies (meta-analysis), the effects of stress-reduction programs on CHD were quite apparent. Specifically, as a group, these studies yielded a 34% reduction in death from heart attacks; a 29% reduction in the recurrence of heart attacks; and a significant positive effect on blood pressure, cholesterol levels, body weight, and other risk factors for CHD (Dusseldorp, van Elderen, Maes, Meulman, & Kraaij, 1999). Clinical studies confirmed the benefits of CBT focusing on stress reduction and exercise in reducing emotional distress and improving heart function and risk for future attacks in a group of individuals with established heart disease (Blumenthal et al., 2005; Gulliksson et al., 2011). For example, the study by Gulliksson and colleagues (2011) showed that a CBT program focusing on stress management can prevent recurrent heart problems in patients who experienced their first cardiovascular events. This study randomized 362 men and women aged 75 or younger after a coronary heart disease event to receive either 20 sessions of CBT in addition to traditional care, or traditional care alone. During the 94 months follow-up period, patients who received CBT had a 41% lower rate of fatal or nonfatal recurrence of cardiovascular events (such as a stroke or heart attacks) than those who received only traditional care. Similar results were reported in a Swedish study (Orth-Gomer et al., 2013), which randomized 237 women aged 75

or younger with a first cardiovascular event to receive either CBT for stress reduction or usual care. Approximately 7 years after randomization, patients in the CBT group were 3 times more likely to survive than people in the usual care group.

This brings us to an important question: Can we identify, before an attack, people who are under a great deal of stress that might make them susceptible to a first heart attack? The answer seems to be yes, but the answer is more complex than we first thought.

Clinical investigators reported several decades ago that certain groups of people engage in a cluster of behaviors in stressful situations that seem to put them at considerable risk for CHD. These behaviors include excessive competitive drive, a sense of always being pressured for time, impatience, incredible amounts of energy that may show up in accelerated speech and motor activity, and angry outbursts. This set of behaviors, which came to be called the **type A behavior pattern**, was first identified by two cardiologists, Meyer Friedman and Ray Rosenman (1959, 1974). The **type B behavior pattern**, also described by these clinicians, applies to people who basically do not have type A attributes. In other words, the type B individual is more relaxed, less concerned about deadlines, and seldom feels the pressure or, perhaps, the excitement of challenges or overriding ambition.

The concept of the type A personality or behavior pattern is widely accepted in our hard-driving, goal-oriented culture. Indeed, some early studies supported the concept of type A behavior as putting people at risk for CHD (Friedman & Rosenman, 1974; Matthews, 2013), and individual elements of the type A personality, such as anger, continue to emerge as presenting increased cardiovascular risk (Chida & Steptoe, 2009). But the most convincing evidence came from two large prospective studies that followed thousands of patients over a long period to determine the relationship of their behavior to heart disease. The first study was the Western Collaborative Group Study (WCGS). In this project, 3,154 healthy men, aged 39 to 59, were interviewed at the beginning of the study to determine their typical behavioral patterns. They were then followed for 8 years. The basic finding was that the men who displayed a type A behavior pattern at the

beginning of the study were at least twice as likely to develop CHD as the men with a type B behavior pattern. When the investigators analyzed the data for the younger men in the study (aged 39 to 49), the results were even more striking, with CHD developing approximately 6 times more often in the type A group than in the type B group (Rosenman et al., 1975).

A second major study is the Framingham Heart Study that has been ongoing for more than 40 years (Haynes, Feinleib, & Kannel, 1980) and has taught us much of what we know about the development and course of CHD. In this study, 1,674 healthy men and women were categorized by a type A or type B behavior pattern and followed for 8 years. Again, both men and women with a type A pattern were more than twice as likely to develop CHD as their type B counterparts (in men, the risk was nearly 3 times as great). For women with type A behavior pattern, the likelihood of developing CHD was highest for those with a low level of education (Eaker, Pinsky, & Castelli, 1992).

Population-based studies in Europe essentially replicated these results (De Backer, Kittel, Kornitzer, & Dramaix, 1983; French-Belgian Collaborative Group, 1982). It is interesting that a large study of Japanese men conducted in Hawaii did *not* replicate these findings (Cohen & Reed, 1985). The prevalence of type A behavior among Japanese men is much lower than among men in the United States (18.7% versus approximately 50%). Similarly, the prevalence of CHD is equally low in Japanese men (4%, compared with 13% in U.S. men in the Framingham study) (Haynes & Matthews, 1988). In a study that illustrates the effects of culture more dramatically, 3,809 Japanese Americans were classified into groups according to how “traditionally Japanese” they were (in other words, they spoke Japanese at home, retained traditional Japanese values and behaviors, and so on). Japanese Americans who were the “most Japanese” had the lowest incidence of CHD, not significantly different from Japanese men in Japan. In contrast, the group that was the “least Japanese” had a 3 to 5 times greater incidence of CHD levels (Marmot & Syme, 1976; Matsumoto, 1996). Clearly, sociocultural differences are important.

Despite these straightforward results, at least in Western cultures, the type A concept has proved more complex and elusive than scientists had hoped. First, it is difficult to determine whether someone is type A from structured interviews, questionnaires, or other measures of this construct, because the measures often do not agree with one another. Many people have *some* characteristics of type A but not all of them, and others present with a mixture of types A and B. The notion that we can divide the world into two types of people—an assumption underlying the early work in this area—has long since been discarded. As a result, subsequent studies did not necessarily support the relationship of type A behavior to CHD (Dembroski & Costa, 1987; Hollis, Connell, Stevens, & Greenlick, 1990).

The Role of Chronic Negative Emotions

At this point, investigators decided that something might be wrong with the type A construct itself (Matthews, 1988; Rodin & Salovey, 1989). A consensus developed that some behaviors and emotions representative of the type A personality might be important in the development of CHD, but not all of them. One factor that seems to be responsible for much of the type A–CHD relationship is anger




Both type A behavior and CHD seem to be culturally determined.

(Chida & Steptoe, 2009; Miller et al., 1996), which will come as no surprise if you read the Ironson study in Chapter 2 and the previous section on hypertension. As you may remember, Ironson and colleagues (1992) compared increased heart rate when they instructed individuals with heart disease to imagine situations or events in their own lives that made them angry with heart rates when they imagined other situations, such as exercise. They found that anger impaired the pumping efficiency of the heart, putting these individuals at risk for dangerous disturbances in heart rhythm (arrhythmias). This study confirms earlier findings relating the frequent experience of anger to later CHD (Houston, Chesney, Black, Cates, & Hecker, 1992; Smith, 1992). Results from an important study strengthen this conclusion. Iribarren and colleagues (2000) evaluated 374 young, healthy adults—both white and African American—over a period of 10 years. Those with high hostility and anger showed evidence of coronary artery calcification, an early sign of CHD.

Is type A irrelevant to the development of heart disease? Most investigators conclude that some components of the type A construct are important determinants of CHD, particularly a chronically high level of negative affect (such as anger) and the time urgency or impatience factor (Matthews, 2005; Thoresen & Powell, 1992; Williams, Barefoot, & Schneiderman, 2003; Winters & Schneiderman, 2000). Recall again the case of John, who had all the type A behaviors, including time urgency, but also had frequent angry outbursts. But what about people who experience closely related varieties of negative affect on a chronic basis? Look back to Figure 9.3 and notice the close relationship between stress, anxiety, and depression. Some evidence indicates that the

physiological components of these emotions and their effects on the cardiovascular system may be identical, or at least similar (Suls & Bunde, 2005). We also know that the emotion of anger, so commonly associated with stress, is closely related to the emotion of fear, as evidenced in flight or fight response. Fight is the typical behavioral action tendency associated with anger, and flight or escape is associated with fear. But our bodily alarm response, activated by an immediate danger or threat, is associated with both emotions.

Some investigators, after reviewing the literature, have concluded that anxiety and depression are as important as anger in the development of CHD (Albert, Chae, Rexrode, Manson, & Kawachi, 2005; Barlow, 1988; Frasure-Smith & Lesperance, 2005; Strike & Steptoe, 2005; Suls & Bunde, 2005), even anxious and depressive features noticeable at an early age (Grossardt, Bower, Geda, Colligan, & Rocca, 2009). In a study of 896 people who had suffered heart attacks, Frasure-Smith and colleagues (Frasure-Smith, Lesperance, Juneau, Talajic, & Bourassa, 1999) found that patients who were depressed were 3 times more likely to die in the year following their heart attacks than those who were not depressed, regardless of how severe their initial heart disease was. In a study of 1,017 patients with CHD, Whooley and colleagues (2008) found a 31% higher rate of cardiovascular events such as heart attacks or arrhythmias in patients with depressive symptoms compared with those without depressive symptoms. Severe depression, as in major depressive episodes, is particularly implicated in cardiovascular damage (Agatston et al., 2005; Emery et al., 2011). Also in a study of 80,000 women aged 54 to 79, those women with a history of depression had a 29% greater risk of having a stroke than women who were not



● FIGURE 9.12

The reserve capacity model for associations among environments of low socioeconomic status (SES), stressful experiences, psychosocial resources, and emotions and cognitions, which represent pathways to increased risk for CHD. Note: Arrow A depicts the direct influence of SES on exposure to stressful experiences. Arrow B indicates the direct impact of stress experiences on emotion and cognition. Arrow C shows that SES conditions and shapes the bank of resources (that is, the reserve capacity) available to manage stress. Arrow D shows that reserve capacity represents a potential moderator of the association between stress and emotional-cognitive factors. Arrow E indicates the direct effects of emotional-cognitive factors on intermediate pathways and risk for atherosclerosis and CHD. The dashed lines note the possible reverse influences. (Adapted from Gallo, L. C., & Matthews, K. A. [2003]. Understanding the association between socioeconomic status and physical health: Do negative emotions play a role? *Psychological Bulletin*, 129, 34 (Figure 1), ©2003 American Psychological Association. Reprinted, with permission, from Matthews, K. A. [2005]. Psychological perspectives on the development of coronary heart disease. *American Psychologist*, 60(8), 791 (Figure 2), ©2005 American Psychological Association.)



Bob Daemmrich/The Image Works

Some people with chronic pain or disability can cope extremely well and become high achievers.

depressed (Pan et al., 2011). Thus, it may be that the chronic experience of the negative emotions of stress (anger), anxiety (fear), and depression (ongoing) and the neurobiological activation that accompanies these emotions provide the most important psychosocial contributions to CHD, and perhaps to other physical disorders. Indeed, several studies have confirmed that not only depression but also anxiety predicts future arrhythmias, myocardial infarction, and other cardiovascular events in people with CHD (Martens et al., 2010; Shen et al., 2008; Todaro, Shen, Raffa, Tilkemeier, & Niaura, 2007). A large study following nearly 50,000 Swedish men over four decades found that early-onset anxiety was predictive of later coronary heart disease (Janszky, Ahnve, Lundberg, & Hemmingsson, 2010).

Partly because of these findings, some investigators are proposing another personality type, type D, which is characterized by social inhibition and heightened negative emotions. Type D personality has been implicated in CHD in some research (Compare et al., 2013), although not all studies have found an association between D-type behavior and cardiovascular risk (Larson, Barger, & Sydeman, 2013), highlighting a need for further research (Hausteiner, Klupsch, Emeny, Baumert, & Ladwig, 2010).

Investigators are also learning more about the process through which negative emotions contribute to CHD. Once again, the inflammatory processes associated with the stress response (and with all negative emotions) play a major role, because inflammation directly contributes to atherosclerosis and heart failure (Matthews et al., 2007; Taylor, 2009). Matthews and colleagues (Gallo & Matthews, 2003; Matthews, 2005, 2013) provide a model of the contribution of psychosocial factors to CHD (see Figure 9.12). Lower socioeconomic status and relatively few resources or low prestige is in the first box. Stressful life events are in the second.

Coping skills and social support contribute to a reserve capacity that may buffer the effects of stress, as represented in the third box. Both negative emotions and negative cognitive styles then constitute a major risk factor. Positive emotions and an optimistic style, on the other hand, reduce the risk of CHD (Davidson, Mostofsky, & Whang, 2010; Giltay, Geleijnse, Zitman, Hoekstra, & Schouten, 2004) and may turn out to be just as important as negative emotions in their effects on CHD. Both negative and positive emotions are in the fourth box. This model summarizes nicely what we know about the influence of psychosocial factors on CHD.

Chronic Pain

Pain is not in itself a disorder, yet for most of us it is the fundamental signal of injury, illness, or disease. The importance of pain in our lives cannot be underestimated. Without low levels of pain providing feedback on the functioning of the body and its various systems, we would incur substantially more injuries. For example, you might lie out in the hot sun a lot longer and be badly burned. You might not roll over while sleeping or shift your posture while sitting, thereby affecting your circulation in a way that might be harmful. Reactions to this kind of pain are mostly automatic; that is, we are not aware of the discomfort. When pain crosses the threshold of awareness, which varies a great deal from one person to another, we are forced to take action. If we can't relieve the pain ourselves or we are not sure of its cause, we usually seek medical help. The National Institutes of Health has identified chronic pain as the costliest medical problem in the United States, affecting at least 100 million individuals and costing the nation 560 to 635 billion dollars each year in medical treatment and lost productivity (Institute of Medicine, 2014). This report, which reflects data from 2008, shows that 2.1 million people every year visit the ER for acute headache alone, and the majority (62%) of nursing home residents suffer from pain, with arthritis being the most common painful condition. Clearly, pain is a common, undertreated, and costly problem.

There are two kinds of clinical pain: acute and chronic. **Acute pain** typically follows an injury and disappears once the injury heals or is effectively treated, often within a month. **Chronic pain**, by contrast, may begin with an acute episode but *does not decrease* over time, even when the injury has healed or effective treatments have been administered. Typically, chronic pain is in the muscles, joints, or tendons, particularly in the lower back. Vascular pain because of enlarged blood vessels may be chronic, as may headaches; pain caused by the slow degeneration of tissue, as in some terminal diseases; and pain caused by the growth of cancerous tumors that impinge on pain receptors (Otis & Pincus, 2008; Taylor, 2009).

To better understand the experience of pain, clinicians and researchers generally make a clear distinction between the subjective experience termed *pain*, reported by the patient, and the overt manifestations of this experience, termed *pain behaviors*. Pain behaviors include changing the way one sits or walks, continually complaining about pain to others, grimacing, and, most important, avoiding various activities, particularly those involving work or leisure. Finally, an emotional component of pain called *suffering* sometimes accompanies pain and sometimes does not (Fordyce, 1988; Liebeskind, 1991). We first review psychological and social contributions to pain, because they are so important.

Psychological and Social Aspects of Pain

In mild forms, chronic pain can be an annoyance that eventually wears you down and takes the pleasure out of your life. Severe chronic pain may cause you to lose your job, withdraw from your family, give up the fun in your life, and focus your entire awareness on seeking relief. What is interesting for our purposes is that the *severity* of the pain does not seem to predict the *reaction* to it. Some individuals experience intense pain frequently yet continue to work productively, rarely seek medical services, and lead reasonably normal lives; others become invalids. These differences appear to be primarily the result of psychological factors (Dersh et al., 2002; Flor & Turk, 2011; Gatchel, 2005; Gatchel & Turk, 1999). It will come as no surprise that these factors are the same as those implicated in the stress response and other negative emotional states, such as anxiety and depression (Ohayon & Schatzberg, 2003; Otis, Pincus, and Murawski, 2011) (see Chapters 5 and 7). The determining factor seems to be the individual's general sense of control over the situation: whether or not he or she can deal with the pain and its consequences in an effective and meaningful way. When a positive sense of control is combined with a generally optimistic outlook about the future, there is substantially less distress and disability (Keefe & France, 1999; Otis & Pincus, 2008; Zautra, Johnson, & Davis, 2005). Positive psychological factors are also associated with active attempts to cope, such as exercise and other regimens, as opposed to suffering passively (Gatchel & Turk, 1999; Otis et al., 2011; Zautra et al., 2005), and successfully treating depression diminishes the experience of chronic pain (Teh, Zaslavsky, Reynolds, & Cleary, 2009).

In one classic example, Philips and Grant (1991) studied 117 patients who suffered from back and neck pain after an injury. Almost all were expected to recover quickly, but fully 40% of them still reported substantial pain at 6 months, thereby qualifying for "chronic pain" status. Of the 60% who reported no pain at the 6-month point, most had been pain free since approximately 1 month after the accident. Furthermore, Philips and Grant reported that the relationship between the experience of pain and the subsequent disability was not as strongly related to the intensity of the pain as other factors, such as personality and socioeconomic differences and whether the person planned to initiate a lawsuit concerning the injury. Preexisting anxiety and personality problems predict who will suffer chronic pain (Flor & Turk, 2011; Taylor, 2009). Generally, a profile of negative emotion such as anxiety and depression, poor coping skills, low social support, and the possibility of being compensated for pain through disability claims predict most types of chronic pain (Dersh et al., 2002; Gatchel et al., 2007; Gatchel & Dersh, 2002). Conversely, developing a greater sense of control and less anxiety focused on the pain results in less severe pain and less impairment (Burns, Glenn, Bruehl, Harden, & Lofland, 2003; Edwards et al., 2009; Otis et al., 2011). Finally, Zautra and colleagues (2005) observed that positive affect in a group of 124 women with severe pain from arthritis or fibromyalgia predicted that they would have less pain in subsequent weeks than would women with lower levels of positive affect.

That the experience of pain can be largely disconnected from disease or injury is perhaps best exemplified by *phantom limb pain*. In this not uncommon condition, people who have lost an arm or

leg feel excruciating pain in the limb that is no longer there. Furthermore, they can describe in exquisite detail the exact location of the pain and its type, such as a dull ache or a sharp cutting pain. They are fully aware the limb is amputated, but this does nothing to relieve the pain. Unfortunate victims of the Boston Marathon bombing in April 2013 have reported this experience and have benefited from sharing their feelings among themselves and ways they had discovered to cope with it. These coping methods include looking in a full-length mirror for several minutes each morning so that the brain can register that the limb is no longer there. Evidence suggests that changes in the sensory cortex of the brain may contribute to this phenomenon (Flor et al., 1995; Katz & Gagliese, 1999; Ramachandran, 1993). Generally, someone who thinks pain is disastrous, uncontrollable, or reflective of personal failure experiences more intense pain and greater psychological distress than someone who does not feel this way (Edwards et al., 2009; Gatchel et al., 2007). Thus, treatment programs for chronic pain concentrate on psychological factors.

Social factors also influence how we experience pain (Koban & Wager, 2015). For example, family members who were formerly critical and demanding may become caring and sympathetic (Kerns, Rosenberg, & Otis, 2002; Otis & Pincus, 2008). This phenomenon is referred to as *operant* control of pain behavior because the behavior clearly seems under the control of social consequences (Flor & Turk, 2011). But these consequences have an uncertain relation to the amount of pain being experienced.

By contrast, a strong network of social support may reduce pain. Jamison and Virts (1990) studied 521 chronic pain patients (with back, abdominal, and chest conditions) and discovered that those who lacked social support from their families reported more pain sites and showed more pain behavior, such as staying in bed. These patients also exhibited more emotional distress without rating their pain as any more intense than participants with strong socially supportive families. The participants with strong support returned to work earlier, showed less reliance on medications, and increased their activity levels more quickly than the others. Even



Pat Greenhouse/The Boston Globe via Getty Images

It is not uncommon for people to feel specific pain in limbs that are no longer part of them.

having just a photo of a loved one to look at reduces the experience of pain (Master et al., 2009).

Although these results may seem to contradict studies on the operant control of pain, different mechanisms may be at work (Burns et al., 2014). General social support may reduce the stress associated with pain and injury and promote more adaptive coping procedures and control. Specifically reinforcing pain behaviors, however, particularly in the absence of social supports, may powerfully increase such behavior.

Biological Aspects of Pain

No one thinks pain is entirely psychological, just as no one thinks it is entirely physical. As with other disorders, we must consider how psychological and physical factors interact.

Mechanisms of Pain Experience and Pain Control


The *gate control theory of pain* (Melzack & Wall, 1965, 1982) accommodates both psychological and physical factors. According to this theory, nerve impulses from painful stimuli make their way to the spinal column and from there to the brain. An area called the *dorsal horns of the spinal column* acts as a “gate” and may open and transmit sensations of pain if the stimulation is sufficiently intense. Specific nerve fibers referred to as *small fibers* (A-delta and C fibers) and *large fibers* (A-beta fibers) determine the pattern, as well as the intensity, of the stimulation. Small fibers tend to open the gate, thereby increasing the transmission of painful stimuli, whereas large fibers tend to close the gate.

Most important for our purpose is that the brain sends signals back down the spinal cord that may affect the gating mechanism. For example, a person with strong negative emotions such as fear or anxiety may experience pain more intensely because the basic message from the brain is to be vigilant against possible danger or threat. Then again, in a person whose emotions are more positive or who is absorbed in an engaging activity (such as a runner intent on finishing a long race), the brain sends down an inhibitory signal that closes the gate, thus reducing their experience of pain. Although many think that the gate control theory is overly simplistic (and it has been updated periodically; see Melzack, 1999, 2005), research findings continue to support its basic elements, particularly as it describes the complex interaction of psychological and biological factors in the experience of pain (Edwards et al., 2009; Gatchel et al., 2007; Otis & Pincus, 2007).

Endogenous Opioids

The neurochemical means by which the brain inhibits pain is an important discovery (Taylor, 2009). Drugs such as heroin and morphine are manufactured from opioid substances. It now turns out that **endogenous (natural) opioids**

exist within the body. Called *endorphins* or *enkephalins*, they act much like neurotransmitters. Because endogenous opioids are distributed widely throughout the body, they may be implicated in a variety of psychopathological symptoms and conditions, including tolerance and dependence, eating disorders, and stress reactions (Bodnar, 2012). They are commonly associated with the “runner’s high” that occurs after intense (and sometimes painful) physical activity. The brain uses endorphins to shut down pain, even in the presence of marked tissue damage or injury. Bandura and colleagues (1987) found that people with a greater sense of self-efficacy and control had a higher tolerance for pain than individuals with low self-efficacy and that they increased their production of endogenous opioids when they were confronted with a painful stimulus. Edwards and colleagues (2009) have articulated the neurobiological processes underlying the effectiveness of psychological coping procedures that successfully alter the experience of pain (see ● Figure 9.13). Certain procedures, such as reappraising the significance of the pain instead of catastrophizing or thinking the worst about it, activate a variety of brain circuits that modulate or diminish pain experience and allow for more normal functioning. ● Figure 9.13 schematically illustrates the pain-modulatory pathways. Distraction or reappraisal are associated with activation of prefrontal cortical regions (DLPFC = dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, VLPFC = ventrolateral prefrontal cortex) that modulate activity in cortical regions responsible for the processing of pain-related information, including the insula; primary somatosensory cortex, SI; and secondary somatosensory cortex, SII; and thalamus. In addition, brainstem areas such as the periaqueductal gray (PAG) are important sites for opioid-based inhibition of ascending pain-related (nociceptive) neural input. Adaptive cognitive coping appears to have a generally inhibitory effect on cortical pain processing and an excitatory effect on PAG activity,



● FIGURE 9.13

The neurobiological underpinnings of coping with pain. (Adapted from Wiech, K. M., Ploner, M., & Tracey, I. [2008]. Neurocognitive aspects of pain perception. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 12, 306–313.)

which reduces subsequent ascending pain-related input from the spinal cord. In the figure, modulatory areas are in orange and pain processing areas are in blue. The anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) is involved in both pain processing and pain modulation.

Gender Differences in Pain

Most animal and human studies have been conducted on males to avoid the complications of hormonal variation. But men and women seem to experience different types of pain. On the one hand, in addition to menstrual cramps and labor pains, women suffer more often than men from migraine headaches, arthritis, carpal tunnel syndrome, and temporomandibular joint (TMJ) pain in the jaw (Lipchik, Holroyd, & Nash, 2002; Smitherman, Burch, Sheikh, & Loder, 2013). Men, on the other hand, have more cardiac pain and backache. Both males and females have endogenous opioid systems, although in males it may be more powerful. But women seem to have additional pain-regulating mechanisms that may be different. The female neurochemistry may be based on an estrogen-dependent neuronal system that may have evolved to cope with the pain associated with reproductive activity (Mogil, Sternberg, Kest, Marek, & Liebeskind, 1993). It is an “extra” pain-regulating pathway in females that, if taken away by removing hormones, has no implications for the remaining pathways, which continue to work. One implication of this finding is that males and females may benefit from different kinds of drugs, different kinds of psychological interventions, or unique combinations of these treatments to best manage and control pain.

The Inseparability of Psychological and Physical Experience of Pain

In this chapter and earlier chapters, we have described the profound influence of psychological factors on brain function and structure, showing how, for example, psychological interventions may affect physical illnesses such as CHD and AIDS. The fascinating study of the placebo response adds another layer to the discussion. To take one example, do “phony” placebo pills really decrease pain, or is it just that individuals think or report that they are feeling less pain? This is one of the major controversies in the study of placebo responses, not only for pain but also for other mental health conditions, such as depression.

With the help of the latest brain-imaging technology, several experiments have demonstrated that when pain is induced in some volunteers (for example, by injecting salt water in their jaws) after they are given a placebo, their brains operate in such a way that they actually feel less pain as opposed to simply thinking they feel less pain or reporting that they feel less pain (Wager, 2005; Zubieta et al., 2005). Specifically, broad areas of the brain are affected, but the most important system that is activated may be the endogenous opioid system (or endorphins), which, among other functions, suppresses pain. Increased endorphin activity across broad areas of the brain was associated with lower ratings of pain intensity, as well as reductions in the sensations of pain and emotional reactions to it. One study examined whether distraction, a procedure well known for reducing pain as when people become totally absorbed in their work or some other task,

operates through the same brain circuits as the placebo response (Buhle, Stevens, Friedman, & Wager, 2012). Participants were subjected to thermal pain on their arms while they were either absorbed in a distracting task, received a placebo (a cream that was applied to the participants’ skin that, they were told, would reduce pain), or assigned to a control condition in which they were told that a different cream would have no pain reducing effects. Both the placebo and the distraction task separately reduced the experience of pain, but when they were combined, there was an additive effect in that pain was reduced substantially more than it was with either condition alone. This suggests that these procedures operate through somewhat different brain circuits. Thus, the studies show that the placebo effect is certainly not “all in your head.” “Phony” pills or other placebo substances really do spur chemical changes in the brain that reduce pain, even if the pill only contains sugar.

But does it also work the other way? Do medical treatments, such as drugs, affect what are clearly psychological processes, and if they do, are drugs affecting different regions in the brain compared with purely psychological interventions to achieve the same end? For example, we know that drugs can relieve anxiety and depression, but the presumption is that these medications are having their effects in different areas of the brain compared with psychological treatments. Several studies have demonstrated that physical pain (such as that caused by physical injury) and social pain (such as hurt feelings caused by social rejection) may rely on some of the same behavioral and neural mechanisms (DeWall et al., 2010; Eisenberger, 2012). In one experiment, participants took a drug commonly used for physical pain, acetaminophen (Tylenol), while another group took a placebo. They then recorded on a form their hurt feelings every day for 3 weeks. Subjects taking the acetaminophen reported substantially fewer hurt feelings than the placebo group. In a second experiment, the investigators found that the acetaminophen reduced neural responses to social rejection in brain regions known to be associated with both social pain as well as physical pain (the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex and the anterior insula). These findings indicate substantial overlap between social and physical pain (Eisenberger, 2012; Wager, 2005). Finally, another fascinating study indicated that stimulating the right ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, an area of the brain implicated in emotion regulation to negative stimuli, reduced pain following social exclusion (Riva, Lauro, DeWall, & Bushman, 2012). All of these findings illustrate again the theme of this book: You cannot easily separate brain function induced biochemically from brain function induced by psychological factors, including expectancies and appraisals. The body and the mind are indeed inseparable, and only a multidimensional integrative approach focusing on the full spectrum of responding will produce a complete understanding of behavior, either normal or pathological.

Chronic Fatigue Syndrome

In the mid-19th century, a rapidly growing number of patients suffered from lack of energy, marked fatigue, a variety of aches and pains, and occasionally low-grade fever. No physical pathology could be discovered, and George Beard (1869) labeled the condition

neurasthenia, literally “lack of nerve strength” (Abbey & Garfinkel, 1991; Costa e Silva & De Girolamo, 1990). The disease was attributed to the demands of the time, including a preoccupation with material success, a strong emphasis on hard work, and the changing role of women. Neurasthenia disappeared in the early 20th century in Western cultures but continues to remain one of the most common psychological diagnoses in China (Good & Kleinman, 1985; Kleinman, 1986). Now **chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS)** is prevalent throughout the Western world (Brown, Bell, Jason, Christos, & Brown, 2012; Jason, Fennell, & Taylor, 2003; Prins, van der Meer, & Bleijenberg, 2006). The symptoms of CFS, listed in Table 9.4, were initially attributed to XMRV (xenotropic murine leukemia virus-related virus), a retrovirus with some similarities to HIV and the so-called polytropic murine leukemia virus (pMLV) (Lombardi et al., 2009; Lo et al., 2010). However, after unusually heated scientific debates, which even resulted in the imprisonment of a senior scientist who manipulated the data (Dr. Judy Mikovits) and a retraction of original studies (one published in the prestigious journal *Science*), the evidence now clearly shows that XMRV and pMLV are not related to CFS (Alter et al., 2012).

Jason and colleagues (1999) conducted a sophisticated study of the prevalence of CFS in the community and reported that 0.4% of their sample was determined to have CFS, with higher rates in Latino and African American respondents compared with whites. CFS occurs in up to 3% of patients in a primary care clinic, predominantly in women, and usually begins in early adulthood (Afari & Buchwald, 2003), but it can occur in children as young as 7 years (Sankey, Hill, Brown, Quinn, & Fletcher, 2006). A study of 4,591 twins yielded a 2.7% prevalence rate (Furberg et al., 2005), and a prospective study of a larger birth cohort revealed that by age 53, 1.1% reported a diagnosis of CFS (Harvey, Wadsworth, Wessely, & Hotopf, 2008). To get a better idea of prevalence, psychologists will need to do large-scale population studies.

People with CFS suffer considerably and often must give up their careers, because the disorder runs a chronic course (Clayton, 2015; Taylor et al., 2003). In a group of 100 patients followed for 18 months, chronic fatigue symptoms did not decrease significantly in fully 79% of cases. Better mental health to begin with—as well as less use of sedating medications and a more “psychological” as opposed to medical attribution for causes—led to better outcomes (Schmaling, Fiedelak, Katon, Bader, & Buchwald, 2003). Results from longer-term follow ups are a bit more encouraging. Of 25 patients diagnosed with CFS 25 years ago, only 5 self-reported that they maintained a diagnosis of CFS, while 20 reported no longer having a diagnosis. But even those 20 patients were significantly more impaired than a control group that had never had the diagnosis (Brown et al., 2012). Of course this was a very small study so results must be interpreted cautiously. Fortunately, CFS patients do not seem to be at risk for increased mortality (death) through disease or suicide compared with the general population (Smith, Noonan, & Buchwald, 2006).


As Abbey and Garfinkel (1991) and Sharpe (1997) point out, both neurasthenia in the 19th century and CFS in the 20th century through the present have been attributed to an extremely stressful environment, the changing role of women, and the rapid dissemination of new technology and information. Both disorders are most common in women. It is possible that a virus or a specific immune system dysfunction will someday be found to account for CFS, although, as noted above, the search has been very disappointing so far. Another possibility suggested by Abbey and Garfinkel (1991) is that the condition represents a rather nonspecific response to stress, and Heim and colleagues (2006) found a higher level of adverse early stressful events in people with CFS compared with nonfatigued controls, reminiscent of Sapolsky’s monkeys (discussed earlier in the chapter). Furthermore, a large study looking at personality factors that may contribute to CFS found preexisting stress and emotional instability to be important factors (Kato, Sullivan, Evengard, & Pederson, 2006). But it is not clear why certain individuals respond to stress with chronic fatigue instead of some other psychological or physical disorder. Michael Sharpe (1997) has developed one of the first models of the causes of CFS that accounts for all of its features (see Figure 9.14). Sharpe theorizes that individuals with particularly achievement-oriented lifestyles (driven, perhaps, by a basic sense of inadequacy) undergo a period of extreme stress or acute illness. They misinterpret the lingering symptoms of fatigue, pain, and inability to function at their usual high levels as a continuing disease that is worsened by activity and improved by rest. This results in behavioral avoidance, helplessness, depression, and frustration. They think they should be able to conquer the problem and cope with its symptoms. Chronic inactivity leads to lack of stamina, weakness, and increased feelings of depression and helplessness that in turn result in episodic bursts of long activity followed by further fatigue. Genetic factors probably influence the impact of stress and psychological variables in causing CFS, as is the case with all disorders (Kaiser, 2006). Harvey and colleagues (2008) studied 34 individuals with CFS and found very high levels of exercise prior to the development of CFS and increased long bursts of exercise even after the onset of CFS, perhaps as an attempt to compensate for feelings of fatigue. On the

TABLE 9.4 Definition of Chronic Fatigue Syndrome

Inclusion Criteria

Clinically evaluated, medically unexplained fatigue of at least 6 months duration that is:
of new onset (not lifelong)
not resulting from ongoing exertion
not substantially alleviated by rest
a substantial reduction in previous level of activities
The occurrence of four or more of the following symptoms:
Subjective memory impairment
Sore throat
Tender lymph nodes
Muscle pain
Joint pain
Headache
Unrefreshing sleep
Postexertional malaise lasting more than 24 hours

Source: Adapted from Fukuda, K., Straus, S. E., Hickie, I., Sharpe, M. B., Dobbins, J. G., & Komaroff, A. L. (1994). Chronic fatigue syndrome: A comprehensive approach to its diagnosis and management. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 121, 953–959.



● FIGURE 9.14

A complex-specific model of CFS. (Adapted, with permission, from Sharpe, M. [1997]. Chronic fatigue syndrome. In D. M. Clark & C. G. Fairburn, Eds., *Science and Practice of Cognitive Behavior Therapy*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, pp. 381–414, ©1997 Oxford University Press.)

other hand, physical activity that is too vigorous can perpetuate CFS symptoms (Nijs, Paul, & Wallman, 2008). As a result, some patients restrict their physical activity, which can also perpetuate fatigue. A meta-analytic review suggests that a behavioral intervention that encourages patients to slowly increase and maintain their physical activities (such as aerobic exercise and housework)

without leading to exertion has sustained benefits on CFS symptoms (Marques, De Gucht, Gouveia, Leal, & Maes, 2015).

Pharmacological treatment has not proved effective for CFS (Afari & Buchwald, 2003; Chalder et al., 2000), but Sharpe has developed a cognitive-behavioral program that includes procedures to increase activity, regulate periods of rest, and direct cognitive therapy at the cognitions specified in ● Figure 9.14. This treatment also includes relaxation, breathing exercises, and general stress-reduction procedures, interventions we describe in the next section (Sharpe, 1992, 1993, 1997). In an early controlled trial evaluating this approach, 60 patients were assigned to the cognitive-behavioral treatment or to treatment as usual. Seventy-three percent of the patients in the cognitive-behavioral treatment group improved on measures of fatigue, disability, and illness belief, a result far superior to that in the control group (Sharpe et al., 1996). In a second, more sophisticated, large-scale evaluation of a similar cognitive-behavioral approach to CFS (Deale, Chalder, Marks, & Wessely, 1997), 60 patients with CFS were randomly assigned to cognitive-behavioral therapy or relaxation exercises alone. The results indicated that fatigue diminished and overall functioning improved significantly more in the group that received cognitive-behavioral therapy. As is evident in Table 9.5, 70% of individuals who completed cognitive-behavioral therapy achieved substantial improvement in physical functioning at a 6-month follow up, compared with only 19% of those in the relaxation-only group. A 5-year follow up indicates the gains were largely maintained (Deale, Husain, Chalder, & Wessely, 2001). Subsequent studies confirm the value of this basic approach in adults (Knoop, Prins, Moss-Morris, & Bleijenberg, 2010; Price, Mitchell, Tidy, & Hunot, 2008) and adolescents (Chalder, Deary, Husain, & Walwyn, 2010). Increasing emphasis is now placed on preventing bursts of overexercise (e.g., Harvey & Wessely, 2009; Jason et al., 2010). There is some evidence that moderate and graded as opposed to intense exercise can be useful in treatment, but CBT seems clearly indicated when patients have comorbid anxiety and depression (Castell, Kazantzis, & Moss-Morris, 2011; White et al., 2011), since cognitive reappraisal of the meaning of fatigue in one's life and increased self-efficacy seem important (Friedberg & Sohl, 2009).

TABLE 9.5 Patients with Chronic Fatigue Syndrome Who Had Good Outcomes at 6-Month Follow Up*

Study Group	N	%
Treatment completers		
Cognitive-behavioral therapy (N = 27)	19	70
Relaxation (N = 26)	5	19
Completers plus dropouts		
Cognitive-behavioral therapy (N = 30)	19	63
Relaxation (N = 30)	5	17

*An increase of 50 or more, from pretreatment to 6-month follow up, or an end score of 83 or more on the physical functioning scale of the Medical Outcome Study Short-Form General Health Survey.

Source: Reprinted, with permission, from Deale, A., Chalder, T., Marks, I., & Wessely, S. (1997). Cognitive behavior therapy for chronic fatigue syndrome: A randomized controlled trial. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 154, 408–414, © 1997 American Psychiatric Association.

cancer patients in the United States receive sufficient pain relief. Direct evidence is available on the benefits of early pain relief in patients undergoing surgery (Coderre, Katz, Vaccarino, & Melzack, 1993; Keefe & France, 1999; Taylor, 2009). Patients receiving pain medication before surgery reported less pain after surgery and requested less pain medication. Adequate pain-management procedures, either medical or psychological, are an essential part of the management of chronic disease.

Modern pain therapy is based on a biopsychosocial model of pain that involves a multidimensional and interdisciplinary plan of pain management as described below (Kerns, Sellinger, & Goodin, 2011). A variety of psychological treatments have been developed for physical disorders and pain, including biofeedback, relaxation procedures, and hypnosis (Kerns, Sellinger, & Goodin, 2011; Otis & Pincus, 2008; Otis et al., 2011). But because of the overriding role of stress in the cause and maintenance of many physical disorders, comprehensive stress-management programs are increasingly incorporated into medical centers where such disorders are treated. We briefly review specific psychosocial approaches to physical disorders and describe a typical comprehensive stress-management program.

Biofeedback

Biofeedback is a process of making patients aware of specific physiological functions that, ordinarily, they would not notice consciously, such as heart rate, blood pressure, muscle tension in specific areas of the body, electroencephalogram rhythms (brain waves), and patterns of blood flow (Kerns et al., 2011; Schwartz & Andrasik, 2003). Conscious awareness is the first step, but the second step is more remarkable. In the 1960s, Neal Miller reported that rats could *learn to directly control* many of these responses. He used a variation of operant-conditioning procedures in which the animals were reinforced for increases or decreases in their physiological responses (N. E. Miller, 1969). Although it was subsequently difficult to replicate these findings with animals, clinicians applied the procedures with some success to humans who suffered from various physical disorders or stress-related conditions, such as hypertension and headache.

Clinicians use physiological monitoring equipment to make the response, such as heart rate, visible or audible to the patient. The patient then works with the therapist to learn to control the response. A successful response produces some type of signal. For example, if the patient is successful in lowering her blood pressure by a certain amount, the pressure reading will be visible on a gauge and a tone will sound. It wasn't long before researchers discovered that humans could discriminate changes in autonomic nervous system activity with a high degree of accuracy (Blanchard & Epstein, 1977). The question then became this: Why are people ordinarily so poor at discriminating their internal states? Zillmann (1983) suggested that our abilities have always been highly developed in this regard but that over the millennia we have simply lost our skills through lack of practice. Shapiro (1974) suggests that, in an evolutionary sense, it might have been adaptive to turn our attention from precise monitoring of our internal responses. He proposes that whether humans function as hunter-gatherers or in the home or office, they would be far less efficient if they were continually distracted by a turmoil of internal stimuli. In other words, to focus successfully on the task at hand, we may have found it

Psychosocial Treatment of Physical Disorders

Certain experiments suggest that pain not only is bad for you but also may kill you. Several years ago, John Liebeskind and his colleagues (Page, Ben-Eliyahu, Yirmiya, & Liebeskind, 1993) demonstrated that postsurgical pain in rats doubles the rate at which a certain cancer metastasizes (spreads) to the lungs. Rats undergoing abdominal surgery *without* morphine developed twice the number of lung metastases as rats who were given morphine for the same surgery. The rats undergoing surgery with the pain-killing drug had even lower rates of metastases than rats that did not have surgery.

This effect may result from the interaction of pain with the immune system. Pain may reduce the number of natural killer cells in the immune system, perhaps because of the general stress reaction to the pain. Thus, if a rat is in *extreme* pain, the associated stress may further enhance the pain, completing a vicious circle. Because this finding also seems to apply to humans (Flor & Turk, 2011; Taylor, 2009), it is important, because the consensus is that we are reluctant to use pain-killing medication in chronic diseases such as cancer. Some estimates suggest that fewer than half of all

necessary to ignore our internal functioning and leave it to the more automatic and less aware parts of the brain. Still, internal sensations often take control of our consciousness and make us fully aware of our needs. Consider, for example, the compelling sensations that signal the need to urinate or the insistence of hunger pangs. In any case, it does seem that through precise physiological feedback we can learn to control our responses, although the mechanisms by which we do so are not yet clearly known.

One goal of biofeedback has been to reduce tension in the muscles of the head and scalp, thereby relieving headaches. Pioneers such as Ed Blanchard, Ken Holroyd, and Frank Andrasik found that biofeedback was successful in this area (Holroyd, Andrasik, & Noble, 1980), although no more successful than deep muscle relaxation procedures (Andrasik, 2000; Blanchard & Andrasik, 1982; Holroyd & Penzien, 1986). Because of these results, some have thought that biofeedback might achieve its effects with tension headaches by simply teaching people to relax. However, Holroyd and colleagues (1984) concluded instead that the success of biofeedback, at least for headaches, may depend not on the reduction of tension but on the extent to which the procedures instill a sense of *control* over the pain. (How do you think this relates to the study of stress in baboons described in the beginning of the chapter?) Whatever the mechanism, biofeedback and relaxation are more effective treatments than, for example, placebo medication interventions, and the results of these two treatments are not altogether interchangeable, in that some people benefit more from biofeedback and others benefit from relaxation procedures. Therefore, applying one treatment or the other is a safe strategy (Andrasik, 2000; Kerns et al., 2011). Several reviews have found that 38% to 63% of patients undergoing relaxation or biofeedback achieve significant reductions in headaches compared with approximately 35% who receive placebo medication (Blanchard, 1992; Holroyd & Penzien, 1986). Furthermore, the effects of biofeedback and relaxation seem to be long lasting (Kerns et al., 2011; Andrasik, 2000).

Relaxation and Meditation

Various types of relaxation and meditation procedures have also been used, either alone or with other procedures, to treat physical disorder and pain patients (Kerns et al., 2011). In *progressive muscle relaxation*, devised by Edmund Jacobson in 1938, people purposely tense different muscle groups in a sequential fashion (lower arm, upper arm, etc., see Table 9.6) followed by relaxing each specific muscle group. In this way they learn to recognize tension in different muscle groups and how to reduce it. A number of meditation-based procedures focus attention either on a specific part of the body or on a single thought or image. This attentional focus is often accompanied by regular, slowed breathing.

Herbert Benson developed a brief procedure he calls the **relaxation response**, in which a person silently repeats a mantra (focusing attention solely on a repeated syllable) to minimize distraction by

closing the mind to intruding thoughts. Although Benson suggested focusing on the word *one*, any neutral word or phrase would do. Individuals who meditate for 10 or 20 minutes a day report feeling calmer or more relaxed throughout the day. These brief, simple procedures can be powerful in reducing the flow of certain neurotransmitters and stress hormones, an effect that may be mediated by an increased sense of control and mastery (Benson, 1975, 1984). Benson's ideas are popular and have traditionally been taught in 60% of U.S. medical schools and offered by many major hospitals (Roush, 1997). Relaxation has generally positive effects on headaches, hypertension, and acute and chronic pain, although the results are sometimes relatively modest (Taylor, 2009). In addition, and as we discussed in Chapter 7, mindfulness meditation appears to be effective for a range of problems, including stress, and especially anxiety and depression (Goyal et al., 2014; Hofmann, et al., 2010; Khouri et al., 2013; Kuyken et al., 2015) Therefore, relaxation and meditation are almost always part of a comprehensive pain-management program.



Courtesy of Edward Blanchard

Edward Blanchard was a pioneer in the development and testing of biofeedback.

A Comprehensive Stress-and Pain-Reduction Program

In our own stress-management program (Barlow, Rapee, & Parini, 2014), individuals practice a variety of stress-management procedures presented to them in a workbook. First, they learn to monitor



Rachel Epstein/PhotoEdit
In biofeedback, the patient learns to control physiological responses that are visible on a screen.

TABLE 9.6 Suggestions for Tensing Muscles

Large Muscle Groups	Suggestions for Tensing Muscles
Lower arm	Make fist, palm down, and pull wrist toward upper arm.
Upper arm	Tense biceps; with arms by side, pull upper arm toward side without touching. (Try not to tense lower arm while doing this; let lower arm hang loosely.)
Lower leg and foot	Point toes upward to knees.
Thighs	Push feet hard against floor.
Abdomen	Pull in stomach toward back.
Chest and breathing	Take deep breath and hold it about 10 seconds, then release.
Shoulders and lower neck	Shrug shoulders, bring shoulders up until they almost touch ears.
Back of neck	Put head back and press against back of chair.
Lips	Press lips together; don't clench teeth or jaw.
Eyes	Close eyes tightly but don't close too hard (be careful if you have contacts).
Lower forehead	Pull eyebrows down and in (try to get them to meet).
Upper forehead	Raise eyebrows and wrinkle forehead.

Source: Adapted, with permission, from Barlow, D. H., Rapee, R. M., & Perini, S. (2014). *10 steps to mastering Stress: A lifestyle approach*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

their stress closely and to identify the stressful events in their daily lives. (A sample of a daily stress record is in Figure 9.15.) Note that clients are taught to be specific about recording the times they experience stress, the intensity of the stress, and what seems to trigger the stress. They also note the somatic symptoms and thoughts that occur when they are stressed. All this monitoring becomes important in carrying through with the program, but it can be helpful in itself because it reveals precise patterns and causes of stress and helps clients learn what changes to make to cope better.

After learning to monitor stress, clients are taught deep muscle relaxation, which first involves tensing various muscles to identify the location of different muscle groups. (Instructions for tensing specific muscle groups are included in Table 9.6.) Clients are then systematically taught to relax the muscle groups beyond the point of inactivity, that is, to actively let go of the muscle so that no tension remains in it. Appraisals and attitudes are an important part of stress, and clients learn how they exaggerate the negative impact of events in their day-to-day lives. In the program, therapist and client use cognitive therapy to develop more realistic appraisals and attitudes, as exemplified in the case of Sally.

Sally... Improving Her Perception

(Sally is a 45-year-old real estate agent.)

PATIENT: My mother is always calling just when I'm in the middle of doing something important and it makes me so angry, I find that I get short with her.

THERAPIST: Let's try and look at what you just said in another way. When you say that she *always* phones in the middle of

something, it implies 100% of the time. Is that true? How likely is it really that she will call when you are doing something important?

P: Well, I suppose that when I think back over the last 10 times she's called, most of the times I was just watching TV or reading. There was once when I was making dinner and it burned because she interrupted me. Another time, I was busy with some work I had brought home from the office, and she called. I guess that makes it 20% of the time.

T: OK, great; now let's go a bit further. So what if she calls at an inconvenient time?

P: Well, I know that one of my first thoughts is that she doesn't think anything I do is important. But before you say anything, I know that is a major overestimation since she obviously doesn't know what I'm doing when she calls. However, I suppose I also think that it's a major interruption and inconvenience to have to stop at that point.

T: Go on. What is the chance that it is a major inconvenience?


P: When I was doing my work, I forgot what I was up to and it took me 10 minutes to work it out again. I guess that's not so bad; it's only 10 minutes. And when the dinner burned, it was really not too bad, just a little burned. Part of that was my fault anyway, because I could have turned the stove down before I went to the phone.

T: So, it sounds like quite a small chance that it would be a major inconvenience, even if your mother does interrupt you.

P: True. And I know what you are going to say next. Even if it is a major inconvenience, it's not the end of the world. I have handled plenty of bigger problems than this at work. •

In this program, individuals work hard to identify unrealistic negative thoughts and to develop new appraisals and attitudes almost instantaneously when negative thoughts occur. Such assessment is often the most difficult part of the program. After the session just related, Sally began using what she had learned in cognitive therapy to reappraise stressful situations. Finally, clients in stress-reduction programs develop new coping strategies, such as time management and assertiveness training. During *time-management training*, patients are taught to prioritize their activities and pay less attention to nonessential demands. During *assertiveness training*, they learn to stand up for themselves in an appropriate way. Clients also learn other procedures for managing everyday problems.

A number of studies have evaluated some version of this comprehensive program. The results suggest that it is generally more effective than individual components alone, such as relaxation or biofeedback, for chronic pain (Keefe et al., 1992; Otis & Pincus, 2008; Turk & Monarch, 2002), CFS (Deale et al., 1997), tension headaches (Lipchik et al., 2002), hypertension (Ward, Swan, & Chesney, 1987), temporomandibular joint (jaw) pain (Turner, Mancl, & Aaron, 2006), and cancer pain (Andersen et al., 2007; Crichton & Morey, 2003). A summary "meta-analysis" of 22 studies of treatments for chronic lower back pain also found comprehensive psychological treatments effective (Hoffman, Papas, Chatkoff, & Kerns, 2007).



● FIGURE 9.15

Methods for monitoring stress. (Adapted, with permission, from Barlow, D. H., Rapee, R. M., & Perini, S. (2014). *10 steps to mastering Stress: A lifestyle approach*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Drugs and Stress-Reduction Programs

We have already noted the enormous nationwide reliance on over-the-counter analgesic medication for pain, particularly headaches. Some evidence suggests that *chronic* reliance on these medications lessens the efficacy of comprehensive programs in the treatment of headache and may make headaches worse because patients experience *increased* headache pain every time the medication wears off or is stopped (rebound headaches) (Capobianco, Swanson, & Dodick, 2001). In a classic study, Michultka, Blanchard, Appelbaum, Jaccard, and Dentinger (1989) matched high analgesic users (people who took a lot of pain pills) to low analgesic users (people who took few or no pain pills) in terms of age, duration of headache activity, and response to comprehensive treatment. Only 29% of high users versus 55% of low users achieved at least a 50% reduction in a measure of frequency and severity of headaches.

Traditional medical advice for people suffering from headache is to avoid the triggers leading to headache. However, it is possible that avoiding triggers is not as effective as learning how to cope with the triggers (Martin & MacLeod, 2009; Martin et al., 2014), which includes general stress-reduction techniques and “planned exposures” to achieve desensitization and to examine whether an alleged trigger does, in fact, precipitate headaches.

Pain medication is an obvious and commonly practiced strategy to avoid pain. Despite its frequent use, it is not overly effective and can lead to overuse and even dependency. Grazzi

and colleagues (2002) treated 61 patients with migraine headaches and analgesic overuse by withdrawing the patients from analgesics and then starting them on a more comprehensive but nonaddicting medication regimen, either with biofeedback and relaxation or without these (drugs only). After 3 years, significantly more individuals in the medication-only condition had relapsed by resuming analgesic use and were experiencing more headache pain. It is important that psychological treatment also seems to reduce drug consumption fairly consistently as it did in the study by Grazzi and colleagues (2002), not only for headaches but also for severe hypertension.

Denial as a Means of Coping

We have emphasized the importance of confronting and working through our feelings, particularly after stressful or traumatic events. Beginning with Sigmund Freud, mental health professionals have recognized the importance of reliving or processing intense emotional experiences to put them behind us and to develop better coping responses. For example, individuals undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery who were optimistic recovered more quickly, returned to normal activities more rapidly, and reported a stronger quality of life 6 months after surgery than those who were not optimistic (Scheier et al., 1989). Scheier and colleagues also discovered that optimistic people are less likely

to use denial as a means of coping with a severe stressor such as surgery. Bruce Compas and colleagues (2006) studied anxiety and pain complaints in 164 adolescents with recurrent abdominal pain. Adolescents who regularly used denial, avoidance, and wishful thinking had higher levels of anxiety and somatic complaints than those who attempted to cope more directly with the pain. Most mental health professionals work to eliminate denial because it has many negative effects. For example, people who deny the severe pain connected with disease may not notice meaningful variations in their symptoms, and they typically avoid treatment regimens or rehabilitation programs.

But is denial always harmful? The well-known health psychologist Shelley Taylor (2009) points out that most individuals who are functioning well deny the implications of a potentially serious condition, at least initially. A common reaction is to assume that what they have is not serious or will go away quickly. Most people with serious diseases react this way, including those with cancer and CHD. Several groups of investigators (see, for example, Hackett & Cassem, 1973; Meyerowitz, 1983) have found that during that extremely stressful period when a person is first diagnosed, denial may help patients endure the shock more easily. They are then better able to develop coping responses later. The value of denial as a coping mechanism may depend more on timing than on anything else. In the long run, however, all evidence indicates that at some point we must face the situation, process our emotions, and come to terms with what is happening (Compas et al., 2006).

Modifying Behaviors to Promote Health

In the beginning of the chapter, we talked about two distinct ways in which psychological and social factors influence health and physical problems: by directly affecting biological processes and through unhealthy lifestyles. In this section, we consider the effects of an unhealthy lifestyle.

As early as 1991, the director of the National Institutes of Health said, "Our research is teaching us that many common diseases can be prevented and others can be postponed or controlled simply by making possible lifestyle changes" (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 1991). Unhealthy eating habits, lack of exercise, and smoking are three of the most common behaviors that put us at risk in the long term for a number of physical disorders (Lewis et al., 2011). Other high-risk behaviors and conditions include unprotected sex, failure to take precautions to avoid injuries, excessive use of alcohol, and excessive exposure to the sun, just to name a few. Many of these behaviors contribute to diseases and physical disorders that are among the leading causes of death, including not only CHD and cancer but also accidents of various kinds (related to consumption of alcohol and the nonuse of safety restraints), cirrhosis of the liver (related to excessive consumption of alcohol), and a variety of respiratory diseases, including influenza and pneumonia (related to smoking and stress) (Lewis et al., 2011). Even now, fully 21% of adults in the United States are regular smokers (CDC, 2007), and smoking is the leading preventable cause of death, accounting for 20% of deaths from all causes and killing approximately 443,000 people each year (CDC, 2008; Ezzati & Roboli, 2012). Considerable work is ongoing to develop effective behavior modification procedures that improve diet, increase

adherence to drug and medical treatment programs, and develop optimal exercise programs. Here we review briefly four areas of interest: injury control, the prevention of AIDS, efforts to reduce smoking in China, and a major community intervention known as the Stanford Three Community Study.

Injury Prevention

Accidents are the leading cause of death for people age 1 to 45 and the fifth leading cause of death among all causes in the United States (see Table 9.1). Furthermore, the loss of productivity to the individual and society, as well as years of life lost from injuries, is far greater than from the other four leading causes of death: heart disease, cancer, stroke, and respiratory disease (Institute of Medicine, 1999; National Safety Council, 2013). For example, the National Safety Council estimates that when an individual dies in an automobile accident, the average cost to society, including economic costs and loss of quality of life, is a staggering \$4.5 million! Even nonfatal automobile injuries carry associated costs of between \$50,000 and \$225,000 (National Safety Council, 2013). Therefore, the U.S. government has become interested in methods for reducing injury (Scheidt, Overpeck, Trifiletti, & Cheng, 2000; CDC, 2010). Spielberger and Frank (1992) pointed out that psychological variables are crucial in leading to virtually all factors that lead to injury. A good example is the work of the late Lizette Peterson and her colleagues (see, for example, Peterson & Roberts, 1992; Damashek, Williams, Sher, & Peterson, 2009). Peterson was particularly interested in preventing accidents in children. Injuries kill more children than the next six causes of childhood death combined (Scheidt et al., 1995; Taylor, 2009), and nearly half of all cases of poisoning each year occur in children under 6 (CDC, 2006). Yet most people, including parents, don't think too much about prevention, even in their own children, because they usually consider injuries to be fated and, therefore, out of their hands (Peterson & Roberts, 1992). Furthermore, some parents believe that there is value in children experiencing minor injuries as they grow up, which may compromise caregivers' injury prevention efforts (Lewis, DiLillo, & Peterson, 2004).

A variety of programs focusing on behavior change, however, have proved effective for preventing injuries in children. For example, children have been systematically and successfully taught to prevent burns, escape fires, and prevent other serious injuries (Gielen, McDonald, & Shields, 2015; Gielen, Sleet, & DiClemente, 2006; Kendrick et al., 2012; Sleet, Hammond, Jones, Thomas, & Whitt, 2003; Taylor, 2009; Turner et al., 2004). In many of these programs, the participating children maintained the safety skills they had learned for months after



Courtesy of Lizette Peterson

Lizette Peterson developed important behavior-change procedures for preventing injuries in children.

the intervention—as long as assessments were continued, in most cases. Because little evidence indicates that repeated warnings are effective in preventing injuries, programmatic efforts to change behavior are important. Such programs, however, are nonexistent in most communities. Fortunately, parental responses to childhood injury can be improved through cognitive behavioral interventions, leading to more informed care for recovering children (Marsac, Kassam-Adams, Hildenbrand, Kohser, & Winston, 2011).

AIDS Prevention

Earlier we documented the horrifying spread of AIDS, particularly in developing countries. Table 9.2 illustrates modes of transmission of AIDS in the United States and the world as they existed through 2008 and 2009. In developing countries, such as Africa, for instance, AIDS is almost exclusively linked to heterosexual

intercourse with an infected partner. There is no vaccine for the disease. *Changing high-risk behavior is the most effective prevention strategy* (Grossman, Purcell, Rotheram-Borus, & Venegas, 2013; Mermin & Fenton, 2012).

Comprehensive programs are particularly important because testing alone to learn whether one is HIV positive or HIV negative does little to change behavior (see, for example, Grossman et al., 2013). Even educating at-risk individuals is generally ineffective in changing high-risk behavior. One of the most successful behavior-change programs was carried out in San Francisco relatively early in the development of the AIDS epidemic. Table 9.7 shows what behaviors were specifically targeted and what methods were used to achieve behavior change in various groups. Before this program was introduced, frequent unprotected sex was reported by 37.4% of one sample of gay men and 33.9% of another sample (Stall, McKusick, Wiley, Coates, & Ostrow, 1986). At a follow-up point in

TABLE 9.7 The San Francisco Model: Coordinated Community-Level Program to Reduce New HIV Infection

Information	
Media Educate about how HIV is and is not transmitted.	<i>STI, Family Planning, and Drug Abuse Treatment Centers</i> Distribute materials and video models about HIV transmission.
Health-Care Establishments and Providers Provide educational materials and classes about HIV transmission.	<i>Community Organizations (Churches, Clubs)</i> Make guest speakers, materials, and videos available.
Schools Distribute materials about HIV transmission and prevention.	
Worksites Distribute materials about HIV transmission and prevention.	<i>Antibody Testing Centers</i> Distribute materials and instruction about HIV transmission.
Motivation	
Provide examples of different kinds of individuals who have become HIV infected.	Provide examples of co-workers who became infected with HIV.
Ask all patients about risk factors for HIV transmission.	Make detailed assessment of HIV risk.
Advise high-risk patients to be tested for HIV antibodies.	Advise about testing for antibodies to HIV.
Provide models of teens who became infected with HIV.	Provide examples that HIV-infected individuals are similar to club or organization membership.
Skills	
Model how to clean needles and use condoms and spermicides.	Provide classes and models for safe-sex and drug injection skills.
Model skills for safe-sex and needle negotiation.	Instruct and rehearse safe-sex and drug injection skills during medical and counseling encounters.
Provide classes and videos to demonstrate safe-sex skills.	Provide classes and videos for AIDS risk-reduction skills.
Norms	
Publicize the low prevalence of high-risk behaviors.	Publicize student perceptions about desirability of safe-sex.
Publicize public desirability of safe-sex classes and condom advertisements.	Create a climate of acceptance for HIV-infected people.
Advise patients about prevalent community norms.	Provide classes and videos for AIDS risk-reduction skills.
Create a climate of acceptance for HIV-infected students and teachers.	

Continued

The San Francisco Model: Coordinated Community-Level Program to Reduce New HIV Infection—cont'd

TABLE 9.7

Policy and Legislation

Generate concern and action about policy.	Mobilize clients to request additional treatment slots and facilities.
Advocate policies and laws that will prevent spread of HIV.	Advocate beneficial laws and policies.
Mobilize students and faculty to work to allow sex-education to take place in the schools.	Advocate confidentiality and nondiscrimination.
Install condom machines in public bathrooms.	
Allow HIV-infected people to work.	

STI = sexually transmitted infection

Source: Reprinted, with permission, from Coates, T. J. (1990). Strategies for modifying sexual behavior for primary and secondary prevention of HIV disease. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 58(1), 57-69.

1988, the incidence had dropped to 1.7% and 4.2%, respectively, in the same two samples (Ekstrand & Coates, 1990). These changes did not occur in comparable groups where a program of this type had not been instituted.

It is crucial that these programs be extended to minorities and women, who often do not consider themselves at risk, probably because most media coverage in the United States has primarily focused on gay white males. In 2003, women accounted for 50% of new AIDS cases (World Health Organization, 2003). Furthermore, the age of highest risk for women is between 15 and 25 years; the peak risk for men is during their late 20s and early 30s. In view of the different circumstances in which women put themselves at risk for HIV infection—for example, prostitution in response to economic deprivation—effective behavior-change programs for them must be different from those developed for men (World Health Organization, 2000).

One very strong program focused on inner-city African-American adolescent females is called SiHLE (Sistas Informing, Healing, Living, Empowering) (DiClemente et al., 2004, 2008). It is clear that HIV and other sexually transmitted infections are highly prevalent among adolescents, particularly among African American adolescents (Weinstock, Berman, & Cates, 2004). In the SiHLE program, HIV-related interpersonal and social processes that are more characteristic of inner-city African American adolescent females are targeted, such as having older male sex partners who are more demanding, having violent dating partners, being stereotyped by the media, perceiving society as having limited regard for African American teens, and a reluctance to negotiate about safer sex. Unlike many prevention programs that focus only on cognitive decision-making skills, SiHLE also focuses on developing relational skills; building motivation through instilling pride, self-efficacy, perceived value, and importance in the community; and modifying the usual and customary peer influences these girls experience. The purpose of this intervention is to create an environment that enhances adolescents' likelihood of reducing risky sexual behavior and adopting and sustaining preventative behaviors. Five hundred and twenty-two sexually experienced African American girls aged 14 to 18 participated, with half randomized to SiHLE and half to a comparison condition. The program consisted of four 1-hour

group sessions emphasizing ethnic and gender pride, HIV knowledge, communication, condom-use skills, and healthy relationships. A comparison condition also meeting in groups emphasized exercise and nutrition. Results from this program were very promising (DiClemente et al., 2004, 2008). Girls receiving the SiHLE intervention used condoms more often, had less unprotected sex, fewer sexual partners, and reduced sexually transmitted infections and unwanted pregnancies at a 1-year follow up than girls in the comparison groups. Some initiatives under way focus on integrating the family as behavioral change agents by working together with counselors to help delay adolescents' first experience with sexual intercourse, limit the number of sexual partners, and support health-promoting behaviors, such as protected sex.

In Africa, where the primary mode of transmission of HIV is heterosexual, a greater focus on the interpersonal and social system of the individual at risk has also begun. One important new initiative is to focus prevention techniques on couples rather than individuals (Grabbe & Bunnell, 2010). This is important, because studies demonstrate that only 22% of adults aged 15 to 49 years know their HIV



Meryl Levin

Women are increasingly at risk for AIDS.

status in Africa, and condom use within regular partnerships is very low because the assumption is that their partner is “safe” and they are at low risk. Because 55% to 93% of new HIV infections occur within cohabiting relationships, this means that most transmissions occur within couples who are unaware of their HIV status. The successful initiation of couples counseling and testing has occurred in Rwanda, Uganda, and Kenya. Couples counseling for HIV prevention also provides opportunities for delivering and providing more comprehensive maternal and child health services.

Smoking in China

Despite efforts by the government to reduce smoking among its citizens, China has one of the most tobacco-addicted populations in the world. Approximately 320 million people in China are habitual smokers, a number that is greater than the entire population of the United States. About 90 percent of China’s smokers are male. China consumes 33% of all cigarettes in the world, and smoking is projected to kill 100 million Chinese people in the next 50 years (Gu et al., 2009; Lam, Ho, Hedley, Mak, & Peto, 2001; Zhang & Cai, 2003).

Unger and colleagues (2001) reported that 47% of Chinese boys in the seventh through ninth grades—but only 16% of girls—had already smoked cigarettes. In one early attempt to reach these individuals, health professionals took advantage of the strong family ties in China and decided to persuade the *children* of smokers to intervene with their fathers. In so doing, they conducted the largest study yet reported of attempted behavior modification to promote health. In 1989, they developed an antismoking campaign in 23 primary schools in Hangzhou, capital of Zhejiang province. Children took home antismoking literature and questionnaires to almost 10,000 fathers. They then wrote letters to their fathers asking them to quit smoking, and they submitted monthly reports on their fathers’ smoking habits to the schools. Approximately 9 months later, the results were assessed. Indeed, the children’s intervention had some effect. Almost 12% of the fathers in the intervention group had quit smoking for at least 6 months. By contrast, in a control group of another 10,000 males, the quit rate was only 0.2%.


Since then, the Chinese government has become more involved in smoking prevention efforts. For example, Ma and colleagues (2008) identified several myths that characterize Chinese smokers. These include (1) the identification of smoking as a symbol of personal freedom, (2) a perception that tobacco is important in social and cultural interactions, (3) the perception that the health effects of smoking can be controlled through reasonable and measured use, and (4) the importance of tobacco to the economy. At present, the Chinese government is considering ways to counter these prevailing misconceptions as a prelude to developing more effective preventative programs.

Stanford Three Community Study

One of the best-known and most successful efforts to reduce risk factors for disease in the community is the Stanford Three Community Study (Meyer, Nash, McAlister, Maccoby, & Farquhar, 1980). Although it was conducted several decades ago, it remains a model program. Rather than assemble three groups of people, these investigators studied three entire communities in central California that

were reasonably alike in size and type of residents between 1972 and 1975. The target was reduction of risk factors for CHD. The positive behaviors that were introduced focused on smoking, high blood pressure, diet, and weight reduction. In Tracy, the first community, no interventions were conducted, but detailed information was collected from a random sample of adults to assess any increases in their knowledge of risk factors, as well as any changes in risk factors over time. In addition, participants in Tracy received a medical assessment of their cardiovascular factors. The residents of Gilroy and part of Watsonville were subjected to a media blitz on the dangers of behavioral risk factors for CHD, the importance of reducing these factors, and helpful hints for doing so. Most residents of Watsonville, the third community, also had a face-to-face intervention in which behavioral counselors worked with the townspeople judged to be at particularly high risk for CHD. Participants in all three communities were surveyed once a year for a 3-year period following the intervention. Results indicate that the interventions were markedly successful at reducing risk factors for CHD in these communities (see Figure 9.16). Furthermore, for the residents of Watsonville who also received individual counseling, risk factors were substantially lower than for people in Tracy or even for those in Gilroy and people in the part of Watsonville that received only the media blitz, and their knowledge of risk factors was substantially higher.

A similar community-wide study on CHD (Record et al., 2015) was conducted in Franklin County in rural Maine over a period of 40 years (1970–2010). Franklin County is a low-income community with fewer than 31,000 inhabitants. Using modest grants and many volunteers, a community-wide program was implemented to reduce hypertension, cholesterol and smoking, and to improve diet and exercise. Compared to other areas of Maine and also compared to the same county 10 years prior to implementing the program, these efforts resulted in fewer hospitalizations and



● FIGURE 9.16

Results of the Stanford Three Community Study. (Reprinted, with permission, from Meyer, A. J., Nash, J. D., McAlister, A. L., Maccoby, N., & Farquhar, J. W. [1980]. Skills training in a cardiovascular health education campaign. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 48, 129–142, © 1980 American Psychological Association.)


mortality rates over a period of 40 years. These results show that mounting an effort like this is worthwhile to individuals, to the community, and to public health officials because many lives will be saved and disability leave will be decreased to an extent that will more than cover the original cost of the program. Unfortunately, implementation of these types of programs is still not widespread. Perhaps the fairly recently instituted Affordable Care Act and the expansion of Medicare and Medicaid might bring about a sea change by focusing on preventing rather treating disorders.

Exploring Physical Disorders and Health Psychology

Psychological and behavioral factors are major contributors to illness and death.


- Behavioral medicine applies behavioral science to medical problems.
- Health psychology focuses on psychological influences on health and improving health care.

PSYCHOLOGICAL AND SOCIAL FACTORS INFLUENCE BIOLOGY



AIDS (Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome)

- The human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) attacks the immune system and opportunistic infections develop uncontrollably.
- Psychological treatments focus on strengthening the immune system and gaining a sense of control.
- Although drug therapy may control the virus, there is so far no biological means of prevention and the disease is still always fatal.




Cardiovascular Problems

- The heart and blood vessels can be damaged by
 - **Stroke:** Blockage or rupture of blood vessels in the brain
 - **Hypertension:** Constriction of blood vessels at organs and extremities puts extra pressure on the heart, which eventually weakens
 - **Coronary heart disease:** Blockage of arteries supplying blood to the heart
- Biological, psychological, and social factors contribute to all these conditions and are addressed in treatment.

Chronic Pain

- May begin with an acute episode but does not diminish when injury heals.
- Typically involves joints, muscles, and tendons; may result from enlarged blood vessels, tissue degeneration, or cancerous tumors.
- Psychological and social influences may cause and maintain chronic pain to a significant degree.




Cancer

- Abnormal cell growth produces malignant tumors.
- Psychosocial treatments may prolong life, alleviate symptoms, and reduce depression and pain.
- Different cancers have different rates of recovery and mortality.
- Psychoncology is the study of psychosocial factors involved in the course and treatment of cancer.

PSYCHOSOCIAL TREATMENTS FOR PHYSICAL DISORDERS

The stress reaction associated with pain may reduce the number of natural killer cells in the immune system.



Biofeedback

- such as heartbeat visible on a computer screen.
- Patient learns to increase or decrease the response, thereby improving functioning (decreasing tension).
 - Developing a sense of control may be therapeutic.



PhotoDisc/Getty Images

Relaxation and Meditation

- **Progressive muscle relaxation:** Person learns to locate physical tension and to counteract it by relaxing a specific muscle group.
- **Meditation:** Focusing attention on a specific body part or process or on an affirming thought or image; in some forms, focusing on a single silently repeated syllable (mantra) “empties” the mind. Meditation is accompanied by slow, regular breathing.
 - Meditating daily for at least 10 to 20 minutes imparts calm and relaxation by reducing certain neurotransmitters and stress hormones and increasing a sense of control.



PhotoDisc/Getty Images

BEHAVIOR MODIFICATION TO PROMOTE HEALTH

Many injuries and diseases can be prevented or controlled through lifestyle changes involving diet, substance use, exercise, and safety precautions.

Injury Control

- death for people age 1 to 45, especially children.
- be out of their control and therefore do not change high-risk behaviors.
- In children, prevention focuses on
 - escaping fires
 - crossing streets
 - using car seats, seat belts, and bicycle helmets
 - first aid



PhotoDisc/Getty Images

AIDS Prevention

- individual and community education is the only effective strategy.
 - Eliminate unsafe sexual practices through cognitive-behavioral self-management training and social support networks.
 - Show drug abusers how to clean needles and make safe injections.
- not perceive themselves to be at risk.
 - Media coverage focuses on gay white males.
 - More women are infected through heterosexual interactions than through intravenous drug use.



PhotoDisc/Getty Images

CHAPTER OUTLINE

What Is Normal Sexuality?

- Gender Differences
- Cultural Differences
- The Development of Sexual Orientation

An Overview of Sexual Dysfunctions

- Sexual Desire Disorders
- Sexual Arousal Disorders
- Orgasm Disorders
- Sexual Pain Disorder

Assessing Sexual Behavior

- Interviews
- Medical Examination
- Psychophysiological Assessment

Causes and Treatment of Sexual Dysfunction

- Causes of Sexual Dysfunction
- Treatment of Sexual Dysfunction

Paraphilic Disorders: Clinical Descriptions

- Fetishistic Disorder
- Voyeuristic and Exhibitionistic Disorders
- Transvestic Disorder
- Sexual Sadism and Sexual Masochism Disorders
- Pedophilic Disorder and Incest
- Paraphilic Disorders in Women
- Causes of Paraphilic Disorders

Assessing and Treating Paraphilic Disorders

- Psychological Treatment
- Drug Treatments

Gender Dysphoria

- Defining Gender Dysphoria
- Causes
- Treatment



Elke Seizle/Photographer's Choice RF/Getty Images

Use scientific reasoning to interpret behavior:

- Identify basic biological, psychological, and social components of behavioral explanations (e.g., inferences, observations, operational definitions, and interpretations) [APA SLO 2.1a] (see textbook pages 361–366, 373–379, 389–390, 395–396)

Engage in innovative and integrative thinking and problem solving:

- Describe problems operationally to study them empirically. [APA SLO 2.3a] (see textbook pages 369, 370, 372, 377, 383–386, 388, 390, 394)

Describe applications that employ discipline-based problem solving:

- Correctly identify antecedents and consequences of behavior and mental processes [APA SLO 1.3c (see textbook pages 367, 376, 377, 379, 389, 390, 396)]
- Describe examples of relevant and practical applications of psychological principles to everyday life [APA SLO 1.3a] (see textbook pages 371, 379–381, 390–392, 396–399)

*Portions of this chapter cover learning outcomes suggested by the American Psychological Association (2013) in their guidelines for the undergraduate psychology major. Chapter coverage of these outcomes is identified above by APA Goal and APA Suggested Learning Outcome (SLO).

What Is Normal Sexuality?


You may have read magazine or online surveys reporting sensational information on sexual practices. According to one, men can reach orgasm 15 or more times a day (in reality, such ability is rare), and women fantasize about being raped. (Women do have idealized fantasies of submission in the context of being desired, but these fantasies are far from imagining an actual rape [Critelli & Bivona, 2008].) Surveys like this fail us on two counts: First, they claim to reveal sexual norms, but they are actually reporting mostly distorted half-truths. Second, the facts they present typically are not based on any scientific methodology that would make them reliable, although they do sell magazines.

What is normal sexual behavior? As you will see, it depends. More to the point, when is sexual behavior that is somewhat different from the norm a disorder? Again, it depends. Current views tend to be quite tolerant of a variety of sexual expressions, even if they are unusual, unless the behavior is associated with a substantial impairment in functioning or involves nonconsenting individuals such as children. Two kinds of sexual behavior meet this definition. Individuals with *sexual dysfunction* find it difficult to function adequately while having sex; for example, they may not become aroused or achieve orgasm. In *paraphilic* disorders, the relatively new term for sexual deviation, sexual arousal occurs primarily in the context of inappropriate objects or individuals. *Philia* refers to a strong attraction or liking, and *para* indicates the attraction is abnormal. Paraphilic arousal patterns tend to be focused rather narrowly, often precluding mutually consenting adult partners, even if desired. In actuality, paraphilic disorders have little to do with sexual dysfunctions except for the fact that they both involve sexual behavior. For this reason, paraphilic disorders now comprise a separate category of disorders in *DSM-5*. Another condition that has been separated from sexual disorders

altogether is *gender dysphoria*. In gender dysphoria there is incongruence and psychological distress and dissatisfaction with the gender one has been assigned at birth (boy or girl). The disorder is not sexual but rather a disturbance in the person's sense of being a male or a female. Before describing these three conditions, we return to our initial question, "What is normal sexual behavior?" to gain an important perspective, particularly on sexual dysfunctions and paraphilic disorders. We spend a bit more time on what is "normal" in this chapter, compared to other chapters since so many misconceptions exist.

Determining the prevalence of sexual practices accurately requires careful surveys that randomly sample the population. In a scientifically sound survey, Mosher, Chandra, and Jones (2005) reported data from 12,571 men and women in the United States ages 15 to 44, as part of the National Survey of Family Growth by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). These data are presented in ● Figure 10.1. The participants were interviewed, which is more reliable than having them fill out a questionnaire, and the responses were analyzed in detail. In part, the purpose of this survey was to ascertain risk factors for sexually transmitted infections, including AIDS, among adults and teenagers. The most recent survey from the National Survey of Family Growth sponsored by CDC was reported in 2011 (Chandra, Mosher, & Copen, 2011). More than 13,000 men and women participated in this study, which provides some updated data, although the areas of sexual behavior sampled were more limited.

Virtually all men and women studied by Mosher and colleagues and Chandra and colleagues were sexually experienced, with vaginal intercourse a nearly universal experience, even for those who had never been married. Even by age 15, over a quarter of males and females have engaged in vaginal intercourse, and the prevalence rate increases steadily with the age of individuals. In the overall sample, 81.3 percent of men and 80.1 percent of women in



● FIGURE 10.1

Results of a survey of male and female sexual experiences: United States, 2006-2008. (Chandra, A., Mosher, W. D., & Copen, C. [2011]. *Sexual behavior, sexual attraction, and sexual identity in the United States: data from the 2006-2008 National Survey of Family Growth*. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.)

the study by Chandra and colleagues (2011) also engaged in oral sex, but only 35.8 and 30.7 percent, respectively, had ever engaged in anal sex, a particularly high-risk behavior for AIDS transmission. Slightly more troublesome is an earlier finding by Billy and colleagues (1993) that 23.3% of men had had sex with 20 or more partners, which is another high-risk behavior. Then again, more than 70% had had only 1 sexual partner during the previous year, and fewer than 10% had had 4 or more partners during the same period. The Chandra and colleagues study (2011) reports similar figures, with 21.4% of men having sex with 15 or more partners during their lifetime (compared with 8.3% of women). Also, only 6.0% of men and 2.9% of women reported 4 or more partners during the past year. The overwhelming majority of the men in the Mosher and colleagues study (2005) had engaged exclusively in **heterosexual behavior** (sex with the opposite sex), with only 6.5 percent of adult men having ever engaged in any **homosexual behavior** (sex with the same sex). In this sample, 92 percent of men reported being attracted only to females, 3.9 percent mostly to females, 1.0 percent to both males and females, and 2.2 percent were attracted only to males, with similar numbers reported

by women. Similarly, another sophisticated survey found that nearly 9% of women and 10% of men reported some homosexual attractions or behavior. For adolescents, 5% of male teenagers and 11% of female teenagers report some homosexual behavior, albeit mostly in addition to heterosexual behavior, and most of these teenagers identify as heterosexual (Diamond, Butterworth, & Savin-Williams, 2011; Mosher, Chandra, & Jones, 2005). Interestingly, when given the option of “something else,” about 1 percent of men and women reported that they were neither heterosexual, homosexual, nor bisexual, indicating that current categories may not fully capture the true range of sexual orientations (Chandra, et al., 2011). For example, recent descriptors used by some people include asexual (having a lack or low levels of sexual attraction to others or desire for sex) and pansexual (experiencing sexual, romantic, physical, and/or spiritual attraction for members of all gender identities/expressions), among others (Killerman, 2016).

One study from Britain (Johnson, Wadsworth, Wellings, Bradshaw, & Field, 1992) and one from France (Spira et al., 1992) surveyed sexual behavior and practices among more than 20,000 men and women in each country. The results were surprisingly similar to those reported for U.S. men. More than 70% of the respondents from all age groups in the British and French studies reported no more than one sexual partner during the past year. Women were somewhat more likely than men to have had fewer than two partners. Only 4.1% of French men and 3.6% of British men reported ever having had a male sexual partner, and this figure drops to 1.5% for British men if we consider only the past 5 years. Almost certainly, the percentage of males engaging exclusively in homosexual behavior would be considerably lower. The consistency of these data across three countries suggests strongly



Ryuhei Shindou/Fancy/Photolibrary/Getty Images

Sexual behavior often continues well into old age.

that the results represent something close to the norm, at least for Western countries. This has been confirmed in similar surveys (Mosher et al., 2005; Norris, Marcus, & Green, 2015). An update of the British survey (Johnson et al., 2001) indicates a small increase in number of partners over 5 years but also an increase in condom use. Still, more than 53% of males and 62% of females of all ages reported no more than one sexual partner over the past 5 years. Also interesting is that sexual practices and the determinants of sexual satisfaction are now remarkably similar around the world, as recently demonstrated in a large survey of Chinese urban adults (Garcia, Kincannon, Poston, & Walther, 2014).

Another interesting set of data counters the many views we have of sexuality among older adults. Sexual behavior can continue well into old age, even past 80 for some people. Table 10.1 presents the percentage by age group of older individuals in a community sample who were sexually active and continuing to have sexual intercourse (Lindau et al., 2007). Notably, 38.5% of men and 16.7% of women aged 75 to 85 were sexually active. Reasons for the discrepancy between men and women are not clear, although given the earlier mortality of men, many older women lack a suitable partner; it is also possible that some women are married to men in an older age bracket. Many older women also indicated that sex was “not at all important” and generally reported less interest in sex than their male counterparts. Decreases in sexual activity are mostly correlated with decreases in general mobility and various disease processes and consequent medication, which may reduce arousal. Furthermore, the speed and intensity of various vasocongestive responses decrease with age. A large study of older individuals around the world, aged 40 to 80, found men were generally more satisfied with their sexuality than women, particularly in non-Western countries, and that good physical and mental health, as well as a good relationship with a partner, were the best predictors of sexual well-being (Laumann et al., 2006).

Gender Differences

Although both men and women tend toward a monogamous (one partner) pattern of sexual relationships, gender differences in sexual behavior do exist, and some of them are quite dramatic. Most recently, Petersen and Hyde (2010) reported a sophisticated

analysis summarizing results from hundreds of studies examining gender differences in sexual attitudes and behaviors. Their findings will be reviewed in the following paragraphs. One common finding among sexual surveys is a much higher percentage of men than women report that they masturbate (self-stimulate to orgasm; Oliver & Hyde, 1993; Peplau, 2003; Petersen & Hyde, 2010). When Richters and colleagues (2014) surveyed Australian adults, they also found this discrepancy (72% of men versus only 42% of women reported masturbating in the past year).

An earlier study had shown that masturbation was not related to later sexual functioning; that is, whether individuals masturbated or not during adolescence had no influence on whether they had experienced intercourse, the frequency of intercourse, the number of partners, or other factors reflecting sexual adjustment (Leitenberg, Detzer, & Srebnik, 1993).

Why women masturbate less frequently than men puzzles sex researchers, particularly when other long-standing gender differences in sexual behavior, such as the probability of engaging in premarital intercourse, have virtually disappeared (Clement, 1990; Petersen & Hyde, 2010). One traditional view accounting for differences in masturbatory behavior is that women have been taught to associate sex with romance and emotional intimacy, whereas men are more interested in physical gratification. But the discrepancy continues despite decreases in gender-specific attitudes toward sexuality. A more likely reason is anatomical. Because of the nature of the erectile response in men and their relative ease in providing sufficient stimulation to reach orgasm, masturbation may simply be more convenient for men than for women. This may explain why gender differences in masturbation are also evident in primates and other animals (Ford & Beach, 1951). In any case, incidence of masturbation continues to be the largest gender difference in sexuality.

Another continuing gender difference is reflected in the incidence of casual sex, attitudes toward casual premarital sex, and pornography use, with men expressing more permissive attitudes and behaviors than women (e.g., England & Bearak, 2014). The most current term for casual sex, particularly among college students, is “hooking up,” which refers specifically to a range of physically intimate behaviors outside of a committed relationship (Owen, Rhoades, Stanley, & Fincham, 2010). Studies of “hooking up” demonstrate similar findings to older studies of casual sex, in that it is often precipitated by alcohol, and women are less likely to consider it a positive experience than men (Olmstead, Pasley, & Fincham, 2013; Strokkoff, Owen, & Fincham, 2014). For example, Owen & Fincham (2011) found that greater alcohol use leads to greater engagement in “friends with benefits” relationships (a particular type of “hooking up” that involves an ongoing nonromantic relationship), and this was especially true for women. Interestingly, even when women deliberately engage in casual sex, having a greater number of partners is associated with greater worry and vulnerability on the part of the woman, while the opposite was true for men (Furman & Collibee, 2014; Townsend & Wasserman, 2011). It is then not so surprising that, despite the high prevalence of hooking up, with 40% of the female students engaging in it during their first year at college in one study, sex within a romantic relationship was still twice as common as “hook-up” sex for young women (Fielder, Carey, & Carey, 2013). However, longitudinal

TABLE 10.1 Prevalence of Sexual Activity in Older Adults Classified by Age and Sex

Age	Sexual activity with a partner				
	In previous 12 months		≥2-3 times per month ^a		
	Men (%)	Women (%)	Men (%)	Women (%)	
57–64	83.7	61.6	67.5	62.6	
65–74	67.0	39.5	65.4	65.4	
75–85	38.5	16.7	54.2	54.1	

^aRespondents were asked about this activity or behavior if they reported having sex in the previous 12 months.

From Lindau, S. T., Schumm, L. P., Laumann, E. O., Levinson, W., O'Muircheartaigh, C. A., & Waite, L. J. A study of sexuality and health among older adults in the United States. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 357(8), 762–774. Copyright © 2007 Massachusetts Medical Society. Reprinted with permission from Massachusetts Medical Society.

studies show no association between the number of sex partners and later anxiety or depression, although there is an association with increased risk for substance abuse (Ramrakha et al., 2013).

By contrast, results from a large number of studies suggest that *no* gender differences are currently apparent in attitudes about homosexuality (generally acceptable), the experience of sexual satisfaction (important for both), or attitudes toward masturbation (generally accepting). Small-to-moderate gender differences were evident in attitudes toward premarital intercourse when the couple was engaged or in a committed relationship (with men more approving than women) and in attitudes toward extramarital sex (sex outside of the marital relationship, which men also approved of more than women). As in the British and French studies, the number of sexual partners and the frequency of intercourse were slightly greater for men, and men were slightly younger at age of first sexual intercourse. Examining trends from 1943 to 1999, we find that almost all existing gender differences became smaller over time, especially in regard to attitudes toward premarital sex. Specifically, only 12% of young women approved of premarital sex in 1943, compared with 73% in 1999. The figures for men were 40% in 1943 and 79% in 1999 (Wells & Twenge, 2005). More recently, in the late 1990s and after 2000, investigators have noted a *decrease* in number of sexual partners and a tendency to delay sexual intercourse among adolescent boys, perhaps due to a fear of AIDS. Few changes over this time period were noted for adolescent girls (Petersen & Hyde, 2010).

Although they are decreasing, differences still exist between men and women in sexual behavior and attitudes toward sexuality (Peplau, 2003; Petersen & Hyde, 2010). For example, differences seem to exist in patterns of sexual arousal (Chivers, Rieger, Latty, & Bailey, 2004; Samson & Janssen, 2014). Men are more specific and narrow in their patterns of arousal. That is, heterosexual men are aroused by female sexual stimuli but not male sexual stimuli. For gay men, it's the opposite. Men with gender dysphoria (discussed later) who had surgery to become female retained this specificity (attracted to males but not females). Females, on the other hand, whether heterosexual or lesbian, experience arousal to both male and female sexual stimuli, demonstrating a broader, more general pattern of arousal.

In an impressive series of studies, Barbara Andersen and her colleagues have assessed gender differences in basic or core beliefs about sexual aspects of one's self. These core beliefs about sexuality are referred to as "sexual self-schemas." Specifically, in a series of studies (Andersen & Cyranowski, 1994; Andersen, Cyranowski, & Espindle, 1999; Cyranowski, Aarestad, & Andersen, 1999), Andersen and colleagues demonstrated that women tend to report the experience of passionate and romantic feelings as an integral part of their sexuality, as well as an openness to sexual experience. A substantial number of women, however, also hold an embarrassed, conservative, or self-conscious schema that sometimes conflicts with more positive aspects of their sexual attitudes. Men, on the other hand, evidence a strong component of feeling powerful, independent, and aggressive as part of their sexuality, in addition to being passionate, loving, and open to experience. Also, men do not generally possess negative core beliefs reflecting self-consciousness, embarrassment, or feeling behaviorally inhibited. Peplau (2003) summarizes research to date on gender differences in human sexuality as highlighting four

themes: (1) men show more sexual desire and arousal than women; (2) women emphasize committed relationships as a context for sex more than men; (3) men's sexual self-concept, unlike women's, is characterized partly by power, independence, and aggression; and (4) women's sexual beliefs are more "plastic" in that they are more easily shaped by cultural, social, and situational factors. For example, women are more likely to change sexual orientation over time (Diamond, 2007; Diamond et al., 2011; Mock & Eibach, 2012) or may be more variable in frequency of sex, alternating periods of high frequency with low frequency if a sexual partner leaves.

In addition to gender differences in sexual behavior, it also seems that there are differences in sexual behavior based on sexual orientation. For example, in one study using U.S. college students (Oswalt & Wyatt, 2013), researchers found that men who identified "unsure" had more partners than those who identified as gay, bisexual, or heterosexual and that heterosexual men had fewer partners than gay, bisexual, and "unsure" men. On the other hand, women who identified as bisexual had more partners than women who identified with other orientations.

What happened to the sexual revolution? Where are the effects of the "anything goes" attitude toward sexual expression and fulfillment that supposedly began in the 1960s and 1970s? Clearly there has been some change. The double standard has disappeared, in that most women no longer feel constrained by a stricter and more conservative social standard of sexual conduct. The sexes are definitely drawing together in their attitudes and behavior, although some differences in attitudes, core beliefs, and behavior remain. Regardless, the overwhelming majority of individuals engage in heterosexual, vaginal intercourse in the context of a relationship with one partner. Based on these data, the sexual revolution may be largely a creation of the media, focusing as it does on extreme or sensational cases.

Cultural Differences

What is normal in Western countries may not necessarily be normal in other parts of the world (McGoldrick, Loonan, & Wohlsifer, 2007). The Sambia in Papua New Guinea believe semen is an essential substance for growth and development in young boys of the tribe. They also believe semen is *not* produced naturally; that is, the body is incapable of producing it spontaneously. Therefore, all young boys in the tribe, beginning at approximately age 7, become semen recipients by engaging exclusively in homosexual oral sex with teenage boys. Only oral sexual practices are permitted; masturbation is forbidden and absent. Early in adolescence, the boys switch roles and become semen providers to younger boys. Heterosexual relations and



John Bancroft

John Bancroft was one of the first researchers to describe the interaction of biology and psychology as determinants of sexual behavior.

even contact with the opposite sex are prohibited until the boys become teenagers. Late in adolescence, the boys are expected to marry and begin exclusive heterosexual activity. And they do, with no exceptions (Herdt, 1987; Herdt & Stoller, 1989). By contrast, the Munda of northeast India require adolescents and children to live together. But in this group, both male and female children live in the same setting, and the sexual activity, consisting mostly of petting and mutual masturbation, is all heterosexual (Bancroft, 1989).

Even within Western cultures, there are some variations. Schwartz (1993) surveyed attitudes surrounding the first premarital experience of sexual intercourse in nearly 200 female undergraduates in the United States and compared them with a similar sample in Sweden, where attitudes toward sexuality are somewhat more permissive. The average age at the time of first intercourse for the woman and the age of her partner are presented in Table 10.2, as well as the age the women thought it would be socially acceptable in their culture for them to have sexual intercourse. Acceptable perceived ages for both men and women were significantly younger in Sweden—and unlike the United States, roughly equal—but few other differences existed, with one striking exception: 73.7% of Swedish women and only 56.7% of American women used some form of contraception during their first sexual intercourse, a significant difference. Surveys since then show few changes (Herlitz & Forsberg, 2010; Weinberg, Lottes, & Shaver, 1995). In about half of more than 100 societies surveyed worldwide, premarital sexual behavior is culturally accepted and encouraged; in the remaining half, premarital sex is unacceptable and discouraged (Bancroft, 1989; Broude & Greene, 1980). In terms of sex in midlife, both in the context of a marriage and not, there are also different attitudes towards and engagement in sexual behavior even among Americans. For example, a large survey of multi-ethnic middle-aged women in the United States found that Chinese and Japanese women were less likely than Caucasian women to report sex as very important, while African-American women were more likely to do so (Cain et al., 2003). Moreover, of those who had sex in the past 6 months, Hispanic women were less likely to cite “for pleasure” as their reason for doing so compared with other ethnic groups. Thus, what is normal sexual behavior in

one culture is not necessarily normal in another, even among cultures in the same country, and the range of sexual expression must be considered in diagnosing the presence of a disorder.

The Development of Sexual Orientation

In studying the development of sexual orientation, the recent emphasis is on the breadth and variety of sexual arousal patterns within otherwise normal and adaptive sexual expression. This was not always the case. Until the 1970s, many forms of sexual expression, including homosexuality, were considered pathological. After homosexuality was eliminated as a diagnosis, many societies began to reevaluate the nature and origins of consensual sexual behavior in adults, but because of the “taboos” on sexual research by government granting agencies and other funding sources, this inquiry is still in its infancy. Most of the research to date has occurred in the context of the development of homosexual arousal patterns.

Some reports suggest that there may be a genetic component to sexual orientation as homosexuality runs in families (Bailey & Benishay, 1993), and concordance for homosexuality is more common among identical twins than among fraternal twins or natural siblings (Jannini, Burri, Jern, & Novelli, 2015). In two well-done twin studies, homosexual orientation was shared in approximately 50% of identical twins, compared with 16% to 22% of fraternal twins. Approximately the same or a slightly lower percentage of nontwin brothers or sisters were gay (Bailey & Pillard, 1991; Bailey, Pillard, Neale, & Agyei, 1993; Whitnam, Diamond, & Martin, 1993). Other studies on the causes of homosexual behavior reveal that in men, genes account for approximately 34% to 39% of the cause, and in women, 18% to 19%, with the remainder accounted for by environmental influences (Långström, Rahman, Carlström, & Lichtenstein, 2010). Remember from Chapter 2 that environmental influences might include unique biological experiences, for example, differential hormone exposure in utero (before birth). Other reports indicate that homosexuality and also gender atypical behavior during childhood is associated with differential exposure to hormones, particularly atypical androgen levels in utero (Auyeng et al., 2009; Ehrhardt et al., 1985; Gladue, Green, & Hellman, 1984; Hershberger & Segal, 2004) and that the actual structure of the brain might be different in individuals with homosexual as compared with heterosexual arousal patterns (Allen & Gorski, 1992; Byne et al., 2000; LeVay, 1991).

Several findings lend some support to the theory of differential hormone exposure in utero. One is the observation that individuals with homosexual orientations have a 39% greater chance of being non-right handed (left handed or mixed handed) than those with heterosexual orientations (Lalumière, Blanchard, & Zucker, 2000), although these findings were not replicated in a later study (Mustanski, Bailey, & Kaspar, 2002). There is also the finding that gay/bisexual men are significantly shorter and lighter than heterosexual men, though no differences were found for women (Bogart, 2010). Another is the intriguing findings that heterosexual males and masculine (“butch”) lesbians tend to have a longer fourth (“ring”) finger than index (second) finger but that heterosexual females and gay males show less of a difference or even have a longer index finger than fourth finger (Brown, Finn, Cooke, &

TABLE 10.2 Group Differences Between U.S. and Swedish Female Undergraduates Regarding Premarital Sex

Variable	United States	Sweden
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)
Age at first coitus	16.97 (1.83)	16.80 (1.92)
Age of first coital partner	18.77 (2.88)	19.10 (2.96)
Perceived age of social acceptance for females to engage in premarital coitus	18.76 (2.57)	15.88 (1.43)
Perceived age of social acceptance for males to engage in premarital coitus	16.33 (2.13)	15.58 (1.20)

Source: Reprinted, with permission, from Schwartz, I. M. (1993). Affective reactions of American and Swedish women to their first premarital coitus: A cross-cultural comparison. *Journal of Sex Research*, 30(1), 18–26, © 1993 Society for the Scientific Study of Sex.

Breedlove, 2002; Hall & Love, 2003), although this finding seems to be influenced by ethnic group membership (Loehlin, McFadden, Medland, & Martin, 2006; McFadden et al., 2005). Yet another report from the 1990s had suggested a possible gene (or genes) for homosexuality on the X chromosome (Hamer, Hu, Magnuson, Hu, & Pattatucci, 1993). The principal conclusion drawn in the media over the years is that sexual orientation has a biological cause. Initially, gay rights activists were decidedly split on the significance of these findings. Some were pleased with the biological interpretation, because people could no longer assume as they used to in past decades that gays had made a “morally depraved” choice of supposedly “deviant” arousal patterns. Others, however, noted how quickly some members of the public, particularly in past decades, pounced on the implication that something was biologically wrong with individuals with homosexual arousal patterns, assuming that someday the abnormality would be detected in the fetus and prevented, perhaps through genetic engineering.

Do such arguments over biological causes sound familiar? Think back to studies described in Chapter 2 that attempted to link complex behavior to particular genes. In almost every case, these studies could not be replicated, and investigators fell back on a model in which genetic contributions to behavioral traits and psychological disorders come from many genes, each making a relatively small contribution to a *vulnerability*. This generalized biological vulnerability then interacts in a complex way with various environmental conditions, personality traits, and other contributors to determine behavioral patterns. We also discussed reciprocal gene–environment interactions in which certain learning experiences and environmental events may affect brain structure and function and genetic expression.

The same thing is now happening with sexual orientation. For example, neither Bailey and colleagues (1999) nor Rice, Anderson, Risch, and Ebers (1999) in later studies could replicate the report suggesting a specific gene for homosexuality (Hamer et al., 1993). Most theoretical models outlining these complex interactions for sexual orientation imply that there may be many pathways to the development of heterosexuality or homosexuality and that no one factor—biological or psychological—can predict the outcome (Bancroft, 1994; Brakefield et al., 2014; Byne & Parsons, 1993). One of the more intriguing findings from the twin studies of Bailey and his colleagues is that approximately 50% of the identical twins with exactly the same genetic structure, as well as the same environment (growing up in the same house), *did not* have the same sexual orientation (Bailey & Pillard, 1991). Also intriguing is the finding in a study of 302 gay males that those growing up with older brothers are more likely to be gay, whereas having older sisters, or younger brothers or sisters, is not correlated with later sexual orientation. This study found that each additional older brother increased the odds of being gay by one third. This finding, which has been replicated several times and is referred to as the “fraternal birth order hypothesis,” may suggest the importance of environmental influences (Blanchard, 2008; Blanchard & Bogaert, 1996, 1998; Cantor, Blanchard, Paterson, & Bogaert, 2002). Although the mechanism has not been definitively identified, some research has implicated the importance of the mother’s immunological response to Y-linked proteins (a substance important in male fetal development) as a potential explanation for this

finding (Bogaert & Skorska, 2011). Finally, more recent genetic research has found that epigenetic effects (chemical modifications of the genome without changing the actual DNA sequence) may influence sexual orientation (Balter, 2015). This would be consistent with emerging findings in other areas of development as reviewed in Chapter 2.

It is likely, too, that different types of homosexuality (and, perhaps, heterosexuality), with different patterns of cause, may be discovered (Diamond et al., 2011; Savin-Williams, 2006). It may even be that sexual orientation is malleable or changeable over time, at least for some people (Mock & Eibach, 2012). Dr. Lisa Diamond has studied women over time (longitudinal studies) and discovered that interpersonal and situational factors exert a substantial influence on women’s patterns of sexual behavior and sexual identities, a finding much less true for men (Diamond, 2007, 2012; Diamond et al., 2011). Among women who initially identified themselves as heterosexual, lesbian, bisexual, or “unlabeled,” after 10 years more than two thirds of women had changed their identity label a few times. When women changed their sexual identities, they typically broadened rather than narrowed their potential range of attractions and relationships.


Why is this true for women but not so much for men? Researchers don’t know for certain, but these innovative longitudinal studies have already taught us a lot about the origins of sexual orientation.

In any case, the simple one-dimensional claims that homosexuality is caused by a gene or that heterosexuality is caused by healthy early developmental experiences will continue to appeal to certain segments of the general population. Neither explanation is likely to be proved correct. Almost certainly, biology sets certain limits within which social and psychological factors affect development. Scientists will ultimately pin down biological contributions to the formation of sexual orientation—both heterosexual and homosexual—and the environment and experience will be found to powerfully influence how these patterns of potential sexual arousal develop (Diamond, 1995; Diamond et al., 2011; Långström et al., 2010).

An Overview of Sexual Dysfunctions

Before we describe **sexual dysfunction**, it’s important to note that the problems that arise in the context of sexual interactions may occur in both heterosexual and homosexual relationships. Inability to become aroused or reach orgasm seems to be as common in homosexual as in heterosexual relationships, but we discuss them in the context of heterosexual relationships, which are the majority of cases we see in our clinic. Of the different stages in the sexual response cycle, three of them—desire, arousal, and orgasm (see ● Figure 10.2)—are each associated with specific sexual dysfunctions. In addition, pain can become associated with sexual functioning in women, which leads to an additional dysfunction.

An overview of the *DSM-5* categories of the sexual dysfunctions we examine is in Table 10.3. As you can see, both males and females can experience parallel versions of most disorders, which take on specific forms determined by anatomy and other gender-specific characteristics. However, two disorders are sex specific: Premature (early) ejaculation occurs only in males, and



● FIGURE 10.2

The human sexual response cycle. (Based on Kaplan, H. S. [1979]. *Disorders of sexual desire*. New York, NY: Brunner/Mazel, and Masters, W. H., & Johnson, V. E., [1966]. *Human sexual response*. Boston, MA: Little, Brown.)

TABLE 10.3 Categories of Sexual Dysfunction Among Men and Women

Type of Disorder	Sexual Dysfunction	
	Men	Women
Desire	Male hypoactive sexual desire disorder (little or no desire to have sex)	Female sexual interest/arousal disorder (little or no desire to have sex)
Arousal	Erectile disorder (difficulty attaining or maintaining erections)	Female sexual interest/arousal disorder (little or no desire to have sex)
Orgasm	Delayed ejaculation; premature (early) ejaculation	Female orgasmic disorder
Pain		Genito-pelvic pain/penetration disorder (pain, anxiety, and tension associated with sexual activity; vaginismus, i.e., muscle spasms in the vagina that interfere with penetration)

Source: American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed). Washington, DC: Author.

genito-pelvic pain/penetration disorder—which includes difficulties with penetration during intercourse due in many cases to painful contractions or spasms of the vagina—appears only in females. Sexual dysfunctions can be either lifelong or acquired. *Lifelong* refers to a chronic condition that is present during a person's entire sexual life; *acquired* refers to a disorder that begins after sexual activity has been relatively normal. In addition,

disorders can either be *generalized*, occurring every time the individual attempts sex, or they can be *situational*, occurring with some partners or at certain times but not with other partners or at other times. Before we describe the prevalence of specific sexual dysfunctions, we need to note a classic study by Ellen Frank and her colleagues (1978), who carefully interviewed 100 well-educated, happily married couples who were not seeking treatment. More

than 80% of these couples reported that their marital and sexual relations were happy and satisfying. Surprisingly, 40% of the men reported occasional erectile and ejaculatory difficulties, and 63% of the women reported occasional dysfunctions of arousal or orgasm. But the crucial finding was that these dysfunctions did not detract from the respondents' overall sexual satisfaction. In another study, only 45% of women experiencing difficulties with orgasm reported the issue as problematic (Fugl-Meyer & Sjogren Fugl-Meyer, 1999). Bancroft, Loftus, and Long (2003) extended this analysis in a survey of close to 1,000 women in the United States involved in a heterosexual relationship for at least 6 months. The interesting results indicate that, although 44.3% met objective criteria for one of the disorders in Table 10.3, only 24.4% of these individuals were distressed about it. Many of these women just did not consider the issue to be a problem. Indeed, the best predictor of sexual distress among these women were deficits in general emotional well-being or emotional relationships with the partner during sexual relations, not lack of lubrication or orgasm. These studies indicate that sexual satisfaction and occasional sexual dysfunction are not mutually exclusive categories (Bradford & Meston, 2011; Graham, 2010). In the context of a healthy relationship, occasional or partial sexual dysfunctions are easily accommodated. But this does raise problems for diagnosing sexual dysfunctions. Should a sexual problem be identified as a diagnosis when dysfunction is clearly present but the person is not distressed about it (Balon, Segraves, & Clayton, 2007; Zucker, 2010)? In *DSM-5*, the symptoms must clearly cause clinically significant distress in the individual or in the couple.

Sexual Desire Disorders

Three disorders reflect problems with the desire or arousal phase of the sexual response cycle. Two of these disorders are characterized by little or no interest in sex that is causing significant distress in the individual or couple. In males, this disorder is called **male hypoactive sexual desire disorder**. In females, low sexual interest is almost always accompanied by a diminished ability to become excited or aroused by erotic cues or sexual activity. Thus, deficits in interest or the ability to become aroused in women is combined in a disorder called **female sexual interest/arousal disorder** (Basson, Wierman, van Lankveld, & Brotto, 2010; Brotto, 2010a; Brotto & Luria, 2014). For males, there is a specific disorder of arousal—erectile dysfunction.

Male Hypoactive Sexual Desire Disorder and Female Sexual Interest/Arousal Disorder

Males with **hypoactive sexual desire disorder** and females with sexual interest/arousal disorder have little or no interest in any type of sexual activity. It is difficult to assess low sexual desire, and a great deal of clinical judgment is required (Leiblum, 2010; Segraves & Woodard, 2006; Wincze, Bach, & Barlow, 2008; Wincze, 2009; Wincze & Weisberg, 2015). You might gauge it by frequency of sexual activity—say, less than twice a month for a married couple. Or you might determine whether someone ever thinks about sex or has sexual fantasies. Then there is the person who has sex twice a week but really doesn't want to and thinks about it only because his wife is

on his case to live up to his end of the marriage and have sex more often. This individual might have no desire, despite having frequent sex. Consider the cases of Judy and Ira and of Mr. and Mrs. C.

Judy and Ira... A Loving Marriage?

Judy, a married woman in her late 20s, reached a clinic staff member on the phone and reported that she thought her husband, Ira, was having an affair and that she was upset about it. The reason for her assumptions? He had demonstrated no interest in sex during the past 3 years, and they had not had sex for 9 months. Ira was willing to come into the clinic.

When he was interviewed, it became clear that Ira was not having an affair. In fact, he did not masturbate and hardly ever thought about sex. He noted that he loved his wife but that he had not been concerned about the issue until she raised it because he had too many other things to think about and he assumed they would eventually get back to having sex. He now realized that his wife was quite distressed about the situation, particularly because they were thinking about having children.

Although Ira did not have extensive sexual experience, he had engaged in several erotic relationships before his marriage, which Judy knew. During a separate interview, Ira confided that during his premarital affairs he would get a “hard-on” just thinking about his lovers, each of whom was quite promiscuous. His wife, in contrast, was a pillar of the community and otherwise unlike these women, although attractive. Because he did not become aroused by thinking about his wife, he did not initiate sex. •

Mr. and Mrs. C... Getting Started

Mrs. C., a 31-year-old successful businesswoman, was married to a 32-year-old lawyer. They had two children, ages 2 and 5, and had been married 8 years when they entered therapy. The presenting problem was Mrs. C.'s lack of sexual desire. Mr. and Mrs. C. were interviewed separately during the initial assessment, and both professed attraction to and love for their partner. Mrs. C. reported that she could enjoy sex once she got involved and almost always was orgasmic. The problem was her lack of desire to get involved. She avoided her husband's sexual advances and looked on his affection and romanticism with great skepticism and, usually, anger and tears. Mrs. C. was raised in an upper-middle-class family that was supportive and loving. From age 6 to age 12, however, she had been repeatedly pressured into sexual activity by a male cousin who was 5 years her senior. This sexual activity was always initiated by the cousin, always against her will. She did not tell her parents because she felt guilty, as the boy did not use physical force to make her comply. It appeared that romantic advances by Mr. C. triggered memories of abuse by her cousin. •

The treatment of Mr. and Mrs. C. is discussed later in this chapter.

Problems of sexual interest or desire used to be considered marital rather than sexual difficulties. Since the recognition in the late 1980s of low sexual desire as a distinct disorder, however, increasing numbers of couples present to sex therapy clinics with one of the partners reporting this problem (Kleinplatz, Moser, & Lev, 2012; Leiblum, 2010; Pridal & LoPiccolo, 2000). Best estimates suggest that more than 50% of patients who come to sexuality clinics for help complain of low sexual desire or interest (Leiblum 2010; Pridal & LoPiccolo, 2000). In many clinics, it is the most common presenting complaint of women; men present more often with erectile dysfunction (Hawton, 1995). The U.S. survey confirmed that 22% of women and 5% of men suffer from low sexual interest (hypoactive sexual disorder in man). But in a larger international survey, as many as 43% of women reported this problem (Laumann et al., 2005). For men, the prevalence increases with age; for women, it decreases with age (DeLamater & Sill, 2005; Fileborn, et al., 2015; Laumann, Paik, & Rosen, 1999). Schreiner-Engel and Schiavi (1986) noted that patients with this disorder rarely have sexual fantasies, seldom masturbate (35% of the women and 52% of the men never masturbated, and most of the rest in their sample masturbated no more than once a month), and attempt intercourse once a month or less.

Sexual Arousal Disorders

Erectile disorder is a specific disorder of arousal. The problem here is not desire. Many males with erectile dysfunction have frequent sexual urges and fantasies and a strong desire to have sex.

TABLE 10.1

Criteria for Male Hypoactive Sexual Desire Disorder

DSM 5

- A. Persistently or recurrently deficient (or absent) sexual/erotic thoughts or fantasies and desire for sexual activity. The judgment of deficiency is made by the clinician, taking into account factors that affect sexual functioning, such as age and general and socio-cultural contexts of the person's life.
- B. The symptoms in Criterion A have persisted for a minimum duration of approximately 6 months.
- C. The symptoms in Criterion A cause clinically significant distress in the individual.
- D. The sexual dysfunction is not better explained by a nonsexual mental disorder or as a consequence of severe relationship distress or other significant stressors and is not attributable to the effects of a substance/medication or another medical condition.

Specify whether:

Lifelong type

Acquired type

Specify whether:

Generalized type

Situational type

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

Their problem is in becoming physically aroused: For females who are also likely to have low interest, deficits in arousal are reflected in an inability to achieve or maintain adequate lubrication (Basson, 2007; Rosen, 2007; Wincze, 2009; Wincze et al., 2008; Wincze & Weisberg, 2015). Consider the case of Bill.

Bill... Long Marriage, New Problem

Bill, a 58-year-old white man, was referred to our clinic by his urologist. He was a retired accountant who had been married for 29 years to his 57-year-old wife, a retired nutritionist. They had no children. For the past several years, Bill had had difficulties obtaining and maintaining an erection. He reported a rather rigid routine he and his wife had developed to deal with the problem. They scheduled sex for Sunday mornings. Bill had to do a number of chores first, however, including letting the dog out, washing the dishes, and shaving. The couple's current behavior consisted of mutual hand stimulation. Bill was "not allowed" to attempt insertion until after his wife had climaxed. Bill's wife was adamant that she was not going to change her sexual behavior and "become a whore," as she put it. This included refusing to try K-Y jelly as a lubricant appropriate to her postmenopausal decrease in lubrication. She described their behavior as "lesbian sex."

Bill and his wife agreed that despite marital problems over the years, they had always maintained a good sexual relationship until the onset of the current problem and that sex had kept them together during their earlier difficulties. Useful information was obtained in separate interviews. Bill masturbated on Saturday night in an attempt to control his erection the following morning; his wife was unaware of this. In addition, he quickly and easily achieved a full erection when viewing erotica in the privacy of the sexuality clinic laboratory (surprising the assessor). Bill's wife privately acknowledged being angry at her husband for an affair that he had had 20 years earlier.

At the final session, three specific recommendations were made: for Bill to cease masturbating the evening before sex, for the couple to use a lubricant, and for them to delay the morning routine until after they had had sexual relations. The couple called back 1 month later to report that their sexual activity was much improved. •

The old and somewhat derogatory terms for male erectile disorder and female interest and arousal difficulties are *impotence* and *frigidity*, but these are imprecise labels that do not identify the specific phase of the sexual response in which the problems are localized. A man typically feels more impaired by his problem than a woman does by hers. Inability to achieve and maintain an erection makes intercourse difficult or impossible. Women who are unable to achieve vaginal lubrication, however, may be able to compensate by using a commercial lubricant (Leiblum 2010; Wincze, 2009). In women, arousal and lubrication may decrease

at any time but, as in men, such problems tend to accompany aging (Bartlik & Goldberg, 2000; Basson, 2007; DeLamater & Sill, 2005; Rosen, 2000; Shamloul & Ghanem, 2013). In addition, in years past, some women were not as concerned as men about experiencing intense pleasure during sex as long as they could consummate the act; this is generally no longer the case. It is unusual for a man to be completely unable to achieve an erection. More typical is a situation like Bill's, where full erections are possible during masturbation and partial erections occur during attempted intercourse, but with insufficient rigidity to allow penetration.

The prevalence of erectile dysfunction is startlingly high and increases with age. Although data from the U.S. survey indicate that 5% of men between 18 and 59 fully meet a stringent set of criteria for erectile dysfunction (Laumann et al., 1999), this figure certainly underestimates the prevalence because erectile dysfunction increases rapidly in men after age 60. Rosen, Wing, Schneider, and Gendrano (2005) reviewed evidence from around the world and found that 60% of men 60 and over suffered from erectile dysfunction. Data from another study (shown in Figure 10.3) suggest that at least some impairment is present in approximately 40% of men in their 40s and 70% of men in their 70s (Feldman, Goldstein, Hatzichristou, Krane, & McKunlay, 1994; Kim & Lipshultz, 1997; Rosen, 2007); incidence (new cases) increases dramatically with age, with 46 new cases reported each year for every 1,000 men in their 60s (Johannes et al., 2000). Erectile disorder is easily the most common problem for which men seek help, accounting for 50% or more of the men referred to specialists for sexual problems (Hawton, 1995).

The prevalence of female interest and arousal disorders is somewhat more difficult to estimate because many women still do not consider absence of arousal to be a problem, let alone a




FIGURE 10.3

Estimated prevalence and severity of erectile dysfunction in a sample of 1,290 men between 40 and 70 years of age. Adapted from Feldman et al. (1994). Impotence and its medical and psychosocial correlates: Results of the Massachusetts male aging study. *Journal of Urology*, 51, 54–61.

DSM
5

TABLE 10.2
Criteria for Female Sexual Interest/Arousal Disorder

- A. Lack of, or significantly reduced, sexual interest/arousal, as manifested by at least three of the following:
 - 1. Absent/reduced interest in sexual activity.
 - 2. Absent/reduced sexual/erotic thoughts or fantasies.
 - 3. No/reduced initiation of sexual activity, and typically unresponsive to a partner's attempts to initiate.
 - 4. Absent/reduced sexual excitement/pleasure during sexual activity in almost all or all (approximately 75%–100%) sexual encounters (in identified situational contexts or, if generalized, in all contexts).
 - 5. Absent/reduced sexual interest/arousal in response to any internal or external sexual/erotic cues (e.g., written, verbal, visual).
 - 6. Absent/reduced genital or nongenital sensations during sexual activity in almost all or all (approximately 75%–100%) sexual encounters (in identified situational contexts or, if generalized, in all contexts).
- B. The symptoms in Criterion A have persisted for a minimum duration of approximately 6 months.
- C. The symptoms in Criterion A cause clinically significant distress in the individual.
- D. The sexual dysfunction is not better explained by a nonsexual mental disorder or as a consequence of severe relationship distress or other significant stressors and is not attributable to the effects of a substance/medication or another medical condition.

Specify type:

Lifelong type

Acquired type

Specify type:

Generalized type

Situational type

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

disorder. The U.S. survey reports a prevalence of 14% of females experiencing an arousal disorder (Laumann et al., 1999). A more recent study (Rosen et al., 2014) reported a prevalence of 7.4%. Because disorders of desire, arousal, and orgasm often overlap, it is difficult to estimate precisely how many women with specific interest and arousal disorders present to sex clinics (Basson, 2007; Wincze & Weisberg, 2015; Wincze & Weisberg, 2015).

Orgasm Disorders

The orgasm phase of the sexual response cycle can also become disrupted in one of several ways. As a result, either the orgasm occurs at an inappropriate time or it does not occur.

An inability to achieve an orgasm despite adequate sexual desire and arousal is commonly seen in women and less commonly seen in men. Males who achieve orgasm only with great difficulty or not at all meet criteria for a condition called **delayed ejaculation**. In women the condition is referred to as **female orgasmic disorder** (Kleinplatz et al., 2013; Wincze, 2009; Wincze & Weisberg, 2015). Consider the case of Greta and Will.

Greta, a teacher, and Will, an engineer, were an attractive couple who came together to the first interview and entered the office clearly showing affection for each other. They had been married for 5 years and were in their late 20s. When asked about the problems that had brought them to the office, Greta quickly reported that she didn't think she had ever had an orgasm—"didn't think" because she wasn't really sure what an orgasm was. She loved Will and occasionally would initiate lovemaking, although with decreased frequency over the past several years.

Will certainly didn't think Greta was reaching orgasm. In any case, he reported, they were clearly going in "different directions" sexually, in that Greta's interest was decreasing. She had progressed from initiating sex occasionally early in their marriage to almost never doing so, except for an occasional spurt every 6 months or so, when she would initiate two or three times in a week. But Greta noted that it was the physical closeness she wanted most during these times rather than sexual pleasure. Further inquiry revealed that she did become sexually aroused occasionally but had never reached orgasm, even during several attempts at masturbation mostly before her marriage. Both Greta and Will reported that the sexual problem was a concern to them because everything else about their marriage was positive.

Greta had been brought up in a strict but loving and supportive Catholic family that more or less ignored sexuality. The parents were always careful not to display their affection in front of Greta, and when her mother caught Greta touching her genital area, she was cautioned rather severely to avoid that kind of activity. •

We discuss Greta and Will's treatment later.

An inability to reach orgasm is the most common complaint among women who seek therapy for sexual problems. Although the U.S. survey did not estimate the prevalence of **female orgasmic disorder** specifically, approximately 25% of women report significant difficulty reaching orgasm (Heiman, 2000; Laumann et al., 1999), although estimates vary widely (Graham, 2010). The problem is equally present in different age groups, and unmarried women were 1.5 times more likely than married women to experience orgasm disorder. In diagnosing this problem, it is necessary to determine that the women "never or almost never" reach orgasm (Wincze & Weisberg, 2015). This distinction is important because only approximately 20% of all women reliably experience regular orgasms during sexual intercourse (Graham, 2010; Lloyd, 2005). Therefore, approximately 80% do not achieve orgasm with every sexual encounter, unlike most men, who tend to experience orgasm more consistently. Thus, the "never or almost never" inquiry is important, along with establishing the extent of the woman's distress, in diagnosing orgasmic dysfunction.

In the U.S. survey, approximately 8% of men report having delayed ejaculation or none during sexual interactions (Laumann et al., 1999). Men seldom seek treatment for this condition. It is

quite possible that in many cases some men reach climax through alternative forms of stimulation and that this condition is accommodated by the couple (Apfelbaum, 2000).

Some men who are unable to ejaculate with their partners can obtain an erection and ejaculate during masturbation. Occasionally men suffer from *retrograde ejaculation*, in which ejaculatory fluids travel backward into the bladder rather than forward. This phenomenon is almost always caused by the effects of certain drugs or a coexisting medical condition and should not be confused with delayed ejaculation.

A far more common male orgasmic disorder is **premature ejaculation**, ejaculation that occurs well before the man and his partner wish it to (Althof, 2006; Polonsky, 2000; Wincze, 2009; Wincze & Weisberg, 2015), defined as approximately 1 minute after penetration in *DSM-5* (see *DSM Table 10.4*). Consider the rather typical case of Gary.

Gary... Running Scared

Gary, a 31-year-old sales representative, engaged in sexual activity with his wife three or four times a month. He noted that he would have liked to have had sex more often, but his busy schedule kept him working about 80 hours a week. His primary difficulty was an inability to control the timing of his ejaculation. Approximately 70% to 80% of the time, he ejaculated within seconds of penetration. This pattern had been constant since he met his wife approximately 13 years earlier. Previous experience with other women, although limited, was not characterized by premature ejaculation. In an attempt to delay his ejaculation, Gary distracted himself by thinking of nonsexual things (scores of ball games or work-related issues) and sometimes attempted sex soon after a previous attempt because he seemed not to climax as quickly under these circumstances. Gary reported masturbating seldom (three or four times a year at most). When he did masturbate, he usually attempted to reach orgasm quickly, a habit he acquired during his teens to avoid being caught by a family member.

One of his greatest concerns was that he was not pleasing his wife, and under no circumstances did he want her told that he was seeking treatment. Further inquiry revealed that he made many extravagant purchases at his wife's request, even though it strained their finances, because he wished to please her. He felt that if they had met recently, his wife probably would not even accept a date with him because he had lost much of his hair and she had lost weight and was more attractive than she used to be. •

Treatment for Gary and his wife is described shortly.

The frequency of premature ejaculation seems to be quite high. In the U.S. survey, 21% of all men met criteria for premature ejaculation, making it the most common male sexual dysfunction (Laumann et al., 1999; Serefoglu & Saitz, 2012). This difficulty is also a presenting complaint in as many as 60% of men who seek treatment for sexual dysfunction (Polonsky, 2000). (But many of

TABLE 10.3

Criteria for Female Orgasmic Disorder

- A.** Presence of either of the following symptoms and experienced on almost all or all (approximately 75%-100%) occasions of sexual activity (in identified situational contexts or, if generalized, in all contexts):
- 1.** Marked delay in, marked infrequency of, or absence of orgasm.
 - 2.** Markedly reduced intensity of orgasmic sensations.
- B.** The symptoms in Criterion A have persisted for a minimum duration of approximately 6 months.
- C.** The symptoms in Criterion A cause clinically significant distress in the individual.
- D.** The sexual dysfunction is not better explained by a nonsexual mental disorder or as a consequence of severe relationship distress or other significant stressors and is not attributable to the effects of a substance/medication or another medical condition.

Specify type:

Lifelong type

Acquired type

Specify type:

Generalized type

Situational type

Specify:

Never experienced an orgasm under any situation.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

TABLE 10.4

Criteria for Premature Ejaculation

- A.** A persistent or recurrent pattern of ejaculation occurring during partnered sexual activity within approximately 1 minute following vaginal penetration and before the person wishes it.
- Note:** Although the diagnosis of premature (early) ejaculation may be applied to individuals engaged in nonvaginal sexual activities, specific duration criteria have not been established for these activities.
- B.** The symptom in Criterion A must have been present for at least 6 months and must be experienced on almost all or all (approximately 75%-100%) occasions of sexual activity (in identified situational contexts or, if generalized, in all contexts).
- C.** The symptoms in Criterion A cause clinically significant distress in the individual.
- D.** The sexual dysfunction is not better explained by a nonsexual mental disorder or as a consequence of severe relationship distress or other significant stressors and is not attributable to the effects of a substance/medication or another medical condition.

Specify type:

Lifelong type

Acquired type

Specify type:

Generalized type

Situational type

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

these men also present with erectile dysfunction as their major problem.) In one clinic, premature ejaculation was the principal complaint of 16% of men seeking treatment (Hawton, 1995).

Although *DSM-5* specifies a duration of less than approximately 1 minute, it is difficult to define "premature." An adequate length of time before ejaculation varies from individual to individual. Patrick and colleagues (2005) found that men who complain of premature ejaculation ejaculated 1.8 minutes after penetration, compared with 7.3 minutes in individuals without this complaint. A perceived lack of control over orgasm, however, may be the more important psychological determinant of premature ejaculation (Wincze et al., 2008). Although occasional early ejaculation is normal, consistent premature ejaculation appears to occur primarily in inexperienced men with less education about sex (Laumann et al., 1999).

Sexual Pain Disorder

A sexual dysfunction specific to women refers to difficulties with penetration during attempted intercourse or significant pain during intercourse. This disorder is called **genito-pelvic pain/penetration disorder**. For some women, sexual desire is present, and arousal and orgasm are easily attained, but the pain during attempted intercourse is so severe that sexual behavior is disrupted. In other cases, severe anxiety or even panic attacks may occur in anticipation of possible pain during intercourse.

But the most usual presentation of this disorder is referred to as **vaginismus**, in which the pelvic muscles in the outer third of the vagina undergo involuntary spasms when intercourse is attempted (Binik et al., 2007; Kleinplatz et al., 2013). The spasm reaction of vaginismus may occur during any attempted penetration, including a gynecological exam or insertion of a tampon (Beck, 1993; Bradford & Meston, 2011). Women report sensations of "ripping, burning, or tearing during attempted intercourse" (Beck, 1993, p. 384). Consider the case of Jill.

Jill... Sex and Spasms

Jill was referred to our clinic by another therapist because she had not consummated her marriage of 1 year. At 23 years of age, she was an attractive and loving wife who managed a motel while her husband worked as an accountant. Despite numerous attempts in a variety of positions to engage in intercourse, Jill's severe vaginal spasms prevented penetration of any kind. Jill was also unable to use tampons. With great reluctance, she submitted to gynecological exams at infrequent intervals. Sexual behavior with her husband consisted of mutual masturbation or, occasionally, Jill had him rub his penis against her breasts to the point of ejaculation. She refused to engage in oral sex. Jill, an anxious young

woman, came from a family in which sexual matters were seldom discussed and sexual contact between the parents had ceased some years before. Although she enjoyed petting, Jill's general attitude was that intercourse was disgusting. Furthermore, she expressed some fears of becoming pregnant despite taking adequate contraceptive measures. She also thought that she would perform poorly when she did engage in intercourse, therefore embarrassing herself with her new husband. •

Although there are no data on the prevalence of vaginismus in community samples, best estimates are that it affects 6% of women (Bradford & Meston, 2011). Twenty-five percent of women who report suffering from some sexual dysfunction experience vaginismus, according to Crowley, Richardson, and Goldmeir (2006). Because vaginismus and the experience of pain during intercourse overlap quite a bit in women, these conditions have been combined in *DSM-5* into genito-pelvic pain/penetration disorder (Binik, 2010; Bradford & Meston, 2011; Payne et al., 2005). Results from the U.S. survey indicate that approximately 7% of women suffer from one or the other type of sexual pain disorder, with higher proportions of younger and less educated women reporting this problem (Laumann et al., 1999). Somewhat higher estimates of 15% of women in North America reporting recurring pain during intercourse have been reported in *DSM-5* (APA, 2013).

Assessing Sexual Behavior

There are three major aspects to the assessment of sexual behavior (Wiegel, Wincze, & Barlow, 2002):

1. *Interviews*, usually supported by numerous questionnaires because patients may provide more information on paper than in a verbal interview
2. A *thorough medical evaluation*, to rule out the variety of medical conditions that can contribute to sexual problems
3. A *psychophysiological assessment*, to directly measure the physiological aspects of sexual arousal

Interviews

All clinicians who conduct interviews for sexual problems should be aware of several useful assumptions (Wiegel et al., 2002; Wincze, 2009). For example, they must demonstrate to the patient through their actions and interviewing style that they are comfortable talking about these issues. Because many patients do not know the various clinical terms professionals use to describe the sexual response cycle and various aspects of sexual behavior, clinicians must always be prepared to use the vernacular (language) of the patient, realizing also that terms vary from person to person.

The following are examples of the questions asked in semistructured interviews in our sexuality clinic:

How would you describe your current interest in sex?
Do you avoid engaging in sexual behavior with a partner?

Do you have sexual fantasies?

How often do you currently masturbate?

How often do you engage in sexual intercourse?

How often do you engage in mutual caressing or cuddling without intercourse?

Have you ever been sexually abused or raped or had a negative experience associated with sex?

Do you have problems attaining an erection? (or) Do you have problems achieving or maintaining vaginal lubrication?

Do you ever have problems reaching orgasm?

Do you ever experience pain associated with sexual activity?

A clinician must be careful to ask these questions in a manner that puts the patient at ease. During an interview lasting approximately 2 hours, the clinician also covers nonsexual relationship issues and physical health and screens for the presence of additional psychological disorders. When possible, the partner is interviewed concurrently.

Patients may volunteer in writing some information they are not ready to talk about, so they are usually given a variety of questionnaires that help reveal sexual activity and attitudes toward sexuality.

Medical Examination

Human sexuality clinicians routinely inquire about medical conditions that affect sexual functioning. A variety of drugs, including some commonly prescribed for hypertension, anxiety, and depression, often disrupt sexual arousal and functioning. Recent surgery or concurrent medical conditions must be evaluated for their impact on sexual functioning; often the surgeon or treating physician may not have described possible side effects, or the patient may not have told the physician that a medical procedure or drug has affected sexual functioning. Some males with specific sexual dysfunctions such as erectile disorder have already visited a urologist—a physician specializing in disorders of the genitals, bladder, and associated structures—before coming to a sexuality clinic, and many females already have visited a gynecologist. These specialists may check levels of sexual hormones necessary for adequate sexual functioning and, in the case of males, evaluate vascular functioning necessary for an erectile response.



Ray Rosen (left) and Gayle Beck (right) pioneered research on the psychophysiological measurement of sexual arousal.

Psychophysiological Assessment

Many clinicians assess the ability of individuals to become sexually aroused under a variety of conditions by taking psychophysiological measurements while the patient is either awake or asleep. In men, penile erection is measured directly, using, for example, a *penile strain gauge* developed in our clinic (Barlow, Becker, Leitenberg, & Agras, 1970). As the penis expands, the strain gauge picks up the changes and records them on a polygraph. Note that participants are often not aware of these more objective measures of their arousal; that is, their self-report of how aroused they are differs from the objective measure, and this discrepancy increases or decreases as a function of the type of sexual problem they have. Measuring penile rigidity is also important in cases of erectile dysfunction, because large erections with insufficient rigidity will not be adequate for intercourse (Wiegel et al., 2002).

The comparable device for women is a *vaginal photoplethysmograph*, developed by James Geer and his associates (Geer, Morokoff, & Greenwood, 1974; Praise & Janssen, 2006; Rosen & Beck, 1988). This device, which is smaller than a tampon, is inserted by the woman into her vagina. A light source at the tip of the instrument and two light-sensitive photoreceptors on the sides of the instrument measure the amount of light reflected back from the vaginal walls. Because blood flows to the vaginal walls during arousal, the amount of light passing through them decreases with increasing arousal.

Typically in our clinic, individuals undergoing physiological assessment view an erotic videotape for 2 to 5 minutes or, occasionally, listen to an erotic audiotape (see, for example, Bach, Brown, & Barlow, 1999; Weisburg, Brown, Wincze, & Barlow, 2001). The patient's sexual responsivity during this time is assessed psychophysically using the strain gauge or photoplethysmograph just described. Patients also report subjectively on the amount of sexual arousal they experience. This assessment allows the clinician to carefully observe the conditions under which arousal is possible for the patient. For example, many individuals with psychologically based sexual dysfunctions may achieve strong arousal in a laboratory but be unable to become aroused with a partner (Bancroft, 1997; Bradford & Meston, 2011; Sakheim, Barlow, Abrahamson, & Beck, 1987).



©John Wincze

John Wincze developed new approaches for treating sexual dysfunction.

Causes and Treatment of Sexual Dysfunction

As with most disorders, biological, psychological, and social factors contribute to the development of sexual dysfunction. And these problems can be treated either psychologically or medically.

Causes of Sexual Dysfunction

Individual sexual dysfunctions seldom occur in isolation. Usually, a patient referred to a sexuality clinic complains of a wide assortment of sexual problems, although one may be of most concern (Rosen, 2007; Wincze, 2009). A 45-year-old man referred to our clinic had been free of problems until 10 years earlier, when he was under a great deal of pressure at work and was preparing to take a major career-related licensing examination. He began experiencing erectile dysfunction about 50% of the time, a condition that had progressed to approximately 80% of the time. In addition, he reported that he had no control over ejaculation, often ejaculating before penetration with only a semi-erect penis. Over the past 5 years, he had lost most interest in sex and was coming to treatment only at his wife's insistence. Thus, this man suffered simultaneously from erectile dysfunction, premature ejaculation, and low sexual desire.

Because of the frequency of such combinations, we discuss the causes of various sexual dysfunctions together, reviewing briefly the biological, psychological, and social contributions and specifying causal factors thought to be associated exclusively

and specifically with one or another dysfunction.

Biological Contributions

A number of physical and medical conditions contribute to sexual dysfunction (Basson, 2007; Bradford & Meston, 2011; Rosen, 2007; Wincze et al., 2008; Wincze & Weisburg, 2015). Although this is not surprising, most patients, and even many health professionals, are, unfortunately, unaware of the connection. Neurological diseases and other conditions that affect the nervous system, such as diabetes and kidney disease, may directly interfere with sexual functioning by reducing sensitivity in the genital area, and they are a common cause of erectile dysfunction in males (Rosen, 2007; Wincze, 2009; Wincze & Weisburg, 2015). Feldman and colleagues (1994) reported that 28% of men with diabetes experienced complete erectile failure and other studies have replicated these high prevalence rates (Phé & Rouprêt, 2012). Vascular disease is a major cause of sexual dysfunction, because erections in men and vaginal engorgement in women depend on adequate blood flow. The two relevant vascular problems in men are arterial insufficiency (constricted arteries), which makes it difficult for blood to reach the penis, and venous leakage (blood flows out too quickly for an erection to be maintained; Wincze & Weisburg, 2015).

Chronic illness can also indirectly affect sexual functioning. For example, it is not uncommon for individuals who have had heart attacks to be wary of the physical exercise involved in sexual activity to the point of preoccupation. They often become unable to achieve arousal despite being assured by their physicians that sexual activity is safe for them (Cooper, 1988). Also, coronary artery disease and sexual dysfunction commonly coexist, and it is now recommended that men presenting with erectile dysfunction should be screened for cardiovascular disease (Gandaglia et al., 2014; Jackson, Rosen, Kloner, & Kostis, 2006).

A major physical cause of sexual dysfunction is prescription medication. Drug treatments for high blood pressure, called *anti-hypertensive medications*, in the class known as beta-blockers, including propranolol, may contribute to sexual dysfunction. Selective-serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) antidepressant medications and other antidepressant and antianxiety drugs may also interfere with sexual desire and arousal in both men and women (Balon, 2006; Kleinplatz et al., 2013). A number of these drugs, particularly the psychoactive drugs, may dampen sexual desire and arousal by altering levels of certain subtypes of serotonin in the brain. Sexual dysfunction—specifically low sexual desire and arousal difficulties—is the most widespread side effect of the antidepressant SSRIs, such as Prozac (see Chapter 7), and

Erectile Dysfunction: Clark



"In the process of becoming aroused, all of a sudden it would be over. And I didn't understand that at all. So then everything is coupled with a bunch of depressing thoughts, like fear of failure. And so I begin to say, is this happening to me because I'm afraid I'm going to fail, and I don't want to be embarrassed by that? It's really very difficult to deal with emotionally . . . The worse I feel about myself, the slower I am sexually, and sometimes I describe it as the fear of losing masculinity."

Go to Psychology CourseMate at
www.cengagebrain.com
to watch this video.

& Barlow, 2006). Physically, alcohol is a central nervous system suppressant, and for men to achieve erection and women to achieve lubrication is more difficult when the central nervous system is suppressed (Schiavi, 1990). Chronic alcohol abuse may cause permanent neurological damage and may virtually eliminate the sexual response cycle. Such abuse may lead to liver and testicular damage, resulting in decreased testosterone levels and related decreases in sexual desire and arousal. This dual effect of alcohol (social disinhibition and physical suppression) has been recognized since the time of Shakespeare: "It provokes the desire, but it takes away the performance" (*Macbeth*, II, iii, 29).

Chronic alcoholism can also cause fertility problems in both men and women (Malatesta & Adams, 2001). Fahrner (1987) examined the prevalence of sexual dysfunction among male alcoholics and found that 75% had erectile dysfunction, low sexual desire, and premature or delayed ejaculation.

Many people report that cocaine or marijuana enhances sexual pleasure. Although little is known about the effects of marijuana across the range of use, it is unlikely that chemical effects increase pleasure. Rather, in those individuals who report some enhancement of sexual pleasure (and many don't), the effect may be psychological in that their attention is focused more completely and fully on sensory stimulation (Buffum, 1982), a factor that seems to be an important part of healthy sexual functioning. If so, imagery and attentional focus can be enhanced with nondrug procedures such as meditation, in which a person practices concentrating on something with as few distractions as possible.

Nicotine is similarly associated with impaired sexual performance. One report from Mannino, Klevens, and Flanders (1994),

as many as 80% of individuals who take these medications may experience some degree of sexual dysfunction, although estimates closer to 50% seem more reliable (Balon, 2006; Clayton, Croft, & Handiwala, 2014; Montejo-Gonzalez et al., 1997). Some people are aware that alcohol suppresses sexual arousal, but they may not know that most other drugs of abuse, such as cocaine and heroin, also produce widespread sexual dysfunction in frequent users and abusers, both male and female. Researchers reported that more than 60% of a large number of cocaine users had a sexual dysfunction (Cocores, Miller, Pottash, & Gold, 1988; Macdonald, Waldorf, Reinerman, & Murphy, 1988). In the Cocores group's study, some patients also abused alcohol.

There is also the misconception that alcohol facilitates sexual arousal and behavior. What actually happens is that alcohol at low and moderate levels reduces social inhibitions so that people feel more like having sex (and perhaps are more willing to request it; Wiegel, Scepkowski,

studying more than 4,000 male army veterans, found that cigarette smoking alone was associated with increased erectile dysfunction after controlling for other factors, such as alcohol and vascular disease (Wincze et al., 2008). Similarly, a more recent study found that nicotine used before viewing an erotic film was associated with reduced erectile response to the film for men (Harte & Meston, 2008a). A parallel study also found decreased arousal in women who used nicotine before viewing the film (Harte & Meston, 2008b).

Psychological Contributions

For years, most sex researchers and therapists thought the principal cause of sexual dysfunctions was anxiety (see, for example, Kaplan, 1979; Masters & Johnson, 1970). While evaluating the role of anxiety and sexual functioning in our own laboratory, we discovered it was not that simple. In certain circumstances, anxiety *increases* sexual arousal (Barlow, Sakheim, & Beck, 1983). We designed an experiment in which the same group of young, sexually functional men viewed erotic films under three conditions. Before viewing the film, all participants were exposed to a harmless but somewhat painful electric shock to the forearm. We then attempted to replicate the kinds of performance anxiety that males might experience during a sexual interaction. In the first condition, which served as a control condition, the participants were told to relax and enjoy the film and that there was no chance of shock. In the second condition, participants were told there was a 60% chance they would receive the shock at some time while they were watching the erotic film, no matter what they did (noncontingent shock threat). In the third condition, most closely paralleling the types of performance anxiety that some individuals might experience, the same group of participants were told there was a 60% chance they would receive a shock if they did not achieve the average level of erection achieved by the previous participants (contingent shock threat). No shocks were delivered during the viewing of the erotic films in any of the conditions, although participants believed they might be administered.

The results, presented in Figure 10.4, indicate that the noncontingent shock threat condition *increased* sexual arousal compared with the no-shock threat control condition. In an even more surprising development, however, the contingent shock threat condition (in which participants were told there was a 60% chance they would be shocked if they did not achieve adequate arousal) increased sexual response even more significantly than the no-shock threat control condition. Similar results for women were reported by Hoon, Wincze, and Hoon (1977), Palace (1995), and Palace and Gorzalka (1990),

who developed slightly different experimental paradigms, using the vaginal photoplethysmograph (Wiegel, Scepkowski, & Barlow, 2006).

These counterintuitive findings have some parallels outside the laboratory. In one unusual and startling report, Sarrel and Masters (1982) described the ability of men to perform sexually under threat of physical harm. These men, the victims of gang rape by women, reported later that they had been able to achieve erections and repeatedly engage in intercourse despite being constantly threatened with knives and other weapons if they failed. Certainly they experienced extreme levels of anxiety, yet they reported that their sexual performance was not impaired.

If anxiety does not necessarily decrease sexual arousal and performance, what does? A partial answer is distraction. In one experiment, participants were asked to listen to a narrative through earphones while they watched an erotic film and were told that they would later have to report on the narrative to make sure they were listening. Sexually functional males demonstrated significantly less arousal based on penile strain gauge measurements when they were distracted by the narrative than when they were not distracted (Abrahamson, Barlow, Sakheim, Beck, & Athanasiou, 1985). To any male who has tried to concentrate on baseball scores or some other nonsexual event to reduce unwanted arousal, this result will come as no surprise. Males with erectile dysfunction in whom physical disease processes had been ruled out reacted somewhat differently from functional men to both shock threat and distraction conditions. Anxiety induced by shock threat ("You'll be shocked if you don't get aroused") did seem to reduce sexual arousal in males who




FIGURE 10.4

Performance anxiety and sexual arousal in males. Shown here are the average changes in male sexual arousal (penile circumference change) during each of three conditions. An epoch is a period of 10 seconds. (From Barlow, D. H., Sakheim, D. K., & Beck, J. G. [1983]. Anxiety increases sexual arousal. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 92, 49–54.)

were dysfunctional. Remember that the reverse was true for the normally functioning males. By contrast, the kind of neutral distracting conditions present in the experiment by Abrahamson and colleagues (1985) did not reduce arousal in those males who were dysfunctional. This discovery is puzzling.

Two other findings from different experiments are important. One revealed that patients with erectile dysfunction consistently underreport their actual levels of arousal; that is, at the same level of erectile responses (as measured by the penile strain gauge), men who are dysfunctional report far less sexual arousal than do sexually functional men (Sakheim et al., 1987). This result seems to be true for dysfunctional women as well (Meston & Gorzalka, 1995; Morokoff & Heiman, 1980; Wiegel et al., 2006). Another finding showed that inducing positive or negative mood by playing joyful or sad music directly affected sexual arousal, at least in normals, with sad music decreasing sexual arousal (Mitchell, DiBartolo, Brown, & Barlow, 1998). Although the original studies described above were carried out mostly with men because of the early availability of the strain gauge measure, subsequent studies with women reveal a similar pattern of results (Bradford & Meston, 2006).

How do we interpret this complex series of experiments to account for sexual dysfunction from a psychological perspective?

Basically, we have to break the concept of performance anxiety into several components. One component is arousal, another is cognitive processes, and the third is negative affect (Wiegel et al., 2006; Wincze et al., 2008; Wincze & Weisburg, 2015).

When confronted with the possibility of having sexual relations, individuals who are dysfunctional tend to expect the worst and find the situation to be relatively negative and unpleasant (Weisburg et al., 2001; Wincze & Weisburg, 2015). As far as possible, they avoid becoming aware of any sexual cues (and therefore are not aware of how aroused they are physically, thus underreporting their arousal). They also may distract themselves with negative thoughts, such as, "I'm going to make a fool of myself; I'll never be able to get aroused; she [or he] will think I'm stupid." We know that as arousal increases, a person's attention focuses more intently and consistently. But the person who is focusing on negative thoughts will find it impossible to become sexually aroused.

People with normal sexual functioning react to a sexual situation positively. They focus their attention on the erotic cues and do not become distracted. When they become aroused, they focus even more strongly on the sexual and erotic cues, allowing themselves to become increasingly sexually aroused. The model presented in Figure 10.5 illustrates both functional and




FIGURE 10.5

A model of functional and dysfunctional sexual arousal. (Adapted from Barlow, D. H. [1986]. Causes of sexual dysfunction: The role of anxiety and cognitive interference. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 54, 140–148.)

dysfunctional sexual arousal (Barlow, 1986, 2002). These experiments demonstrate that sexual arousal is strongly determined by psychological factors, particularly cognitive and emotional factors, that are powerful enough to determine whether blood flows to the appropriate areas of the body, such as the genitals, confirming again the strong interaction of psychological and biological factors in most of our functioning.

In summary, normally functioning individuals show increased sexual arousal during “performance demand” conditions, experience positive affect, are not distracted by nonsexual stimuli, and have a good idea of how aroused they are. Individuals with sexual problems, such as erectile dysfunction in males, show decreased arousal during performance demand, experience negative affect, are distracted by nonsexual stimuli, and do not have an accurate sense of how aroused they are. This process seems to apply to most sexual dysfunctions, which, you will remember, tend to occur together, but it is particularly applicable to sexual arousal disorders (Wiegel et al., 2006).

Though little is known about the psychological (or biological) factors associated with premature ejaculation (Althof, 2007; Bradford & Meston, 2011; Malavige & Jayawickrema, 2015; Weiner, 1996), the condition is most prevalent in young men and that excessive physiological arousal in the sympathetic nervous system may lead to rapid ejaculation. These observations suggest some men may have a naturally lower threshold for ejaculation; that is, they require less stimulation and arousal to ejaculate. Unfortunately, the psychological factor of anxiety also increases sympathetic arousal. Thus, when a man becomes anxiously aroused about ejaculating too quickly, his concern only makes the problem worse. We return to the role of anxiety in sexual dysfunctions later.

Social and Cultural Contributions

The model of sexual dysfunction displayed in Figure 10.5 helps explain why some individuals may be dysfunctional *at the present time* but not how they *became* that way. Although it is not known for sure why some people develop problems, many people learn early that sexuality can be negative and somewhat threatening, and the responses they develop reflect this belief. Donn Byrne and his colleagues call this negative cognitive set *erotophobia*. They have demonstrated that erotophobia, presumably learned early in childhood from families, religious authorities, or others, seems to predict sexual difficulties later in life (Byrne & Schulte, 1990). Thus, for some individuals, sexual cues become associated early with negative affect. In other cases, both men and women may experience specific negative or traumatic events after a period of relatively well-adjusted sexuality. These negative events might include sudden failure to become aroused or actual sexual trauma such as rape, as well as early sexual abuse.

Laumann and colleagues (1999), in the U.S. sex survey, found a substantial impact of early traumatic sexual events on later sexual functioning, particularly in women. For example, if women were sexually victimized by an adult before puberty or were forced to have sexual contact of some kind, they were approximately twice as likely to have orgasmic dysfunction as women who had not been touched before puberty or forced to have sex at any time. For male victims of adult-child contact, the probability of experiencing

erectile dysfunction is more than 3 times greater than if they had not had the contact. Interestingly, men who admitted sexually assaulting women are 3.5 times as likely to report erectile dysfunction as those who did not. Thus, traumatic sexual acts of all kinds have long-lasting effects on subsequent sexual functioning, in both men and women, sometimes lasting decades beyond the occurrence of the original event (Hall, 2007; Meston & Lorenz, 2013). Such stressful events may initiate negative affect, in which individuals experience a loss of control over their sexual response cycle, throwing them into the kind of dysfunctional pattern depicted in Figure 10.5. It is common for people who experience erectile failure during a particularly stressful time to continue sexual dysfunction long after the stressful situation has ended.

In addition to generally negative attitudes or experiences associated with sexual interactions, a number of other factors may contribute to sexual dysfunction. Among these, the most common is a marked deterioration in close interpersonal relationships (Burri, Spector, & Rahman, 2013; Jiann, Su, Yu, Wu, & Huang, 2009; Wincze, Bach, & Barlow, 2008; Wincze & Weisberg, 2015). It is difficult to have a satisfactory sexual relationship in the context of growing dislike for a partner. Occasionally, the partner may no longer seem physically attractive. Finally, it is also important to feel attractive yourself. Koch, Mansfield, Thurau, and Carey (2005) found that the more a woman perceived herself as less attractive than before, the more likely she was to have sexual problems. Kelly, Strassberg, and Kircher (1990) found that anorgasmic women, in addition to displaying more negative attitudes toward masturbation, greater sex guilt, and greater endorsement of sex myths, reported discomfort in telling their partners what sexual activities might increase their arousal or lead to orgasm, such as direct clitoral stimulation. Poor sexual skills might also lead to frequent sexual failure and, ultimately, lack of desire. For example, men with erectile dysfunction report a greatly restricted range of sexual behaviors, compared with men without these problems (Wincze et al., 2008; Wincze & Weisberg, 2015).

Thus, social and cultural factors seem to affect later sexual functioning. John Gagnon has studied this phenomenon and constructed an important concept called *script theory* of sexual functioning, according to which we all operate by following “scripts” that reflect social and cultural expectations and guide our behavior (Gagnon, 1990; Laumann, Gagnon, Michael, & Michaels, 1994; Simon & Gagnon, 1986). Discovering these scripts, both in individuals and across cultures, will tell us much about sexual functioning. For example, a person who learns that sexuality is potentially dangerous, dirty, or forbidden is more vulnerable to developing sexual dysfunction later in life. This pattern is most evident in cultures with restrictive attitudes toward sex (McGoldrick et al., 2007). For example, vaginismus is relatively rare in North America but is considerably more prevalent in Ireland, Turkey, and Iran (Dogan, 2009; Farnam, Janghorbani, Merghati-Khoei, & Raisi, 2014; McGoldrick et al., 2007). Cultural scripts may also contribute to the type of sexual dysfunction reported. In India, for example, Verma, Khaitan, and Singh (1998) reported that 77% of a large number of male patients in a sexuality clinic in India reported difficulties with premature ejaculation. In addition, 71% of male patients complained of being extremely concerned about nocturnal emissions (“wet dreams”) associated with erotic dreams.

The authors note that this focus on problems with ejaculation is most likely the result of a strong culturally held belief in India that loss of semen causes depletion of physical and mental energy. It is also interesting that out of 1,000 patients presenting to this clinic, only 36 were female, most likely reflecting the devaluation of sexual experiences for females for religious and social reasons in India.

Even in our own culture, certain socially communicated expectations and attitudes may stay with us despite our relatively enlightened and permissive attitude toward sex. Barbara Andersen and her colleagues (see, for example, Cyranowski et al., 1999) have demonstrated that being emotional and self-conscious about sex (having a negative sexual self-schema, described earlier in the chapter) may later lead to sexual difficulties under stressful situations. The late Bernie Zilbergeld (1999), one of the foremost authorities on male sexuality, has described a number of myths about sex believed by many men, and Baker and DeSilva (1988) converted an earlier version of Zilbergeld's male myths into a questionnaire and presented it to groups of sexually functional and dysfunctional men. They found that men with dysfunctions showed significantly greater belief in the myths than did men who were sexually functional. We explore such myths further in our discussion of treatment.

Interaction of Psychological and Physical Factors

Having reviewed the various causes, we must now say that seldom is any sexual dysfunction associated exclusively with either psychological or physical factors (Bancroft, 1997; Rosen, 2007; Wiegel et al., 2006; Wincze & Weisburg, 2015). More often, there is a subtle combination of factors. To take a typical example, a young man, vulnerable to developing anxiety and holding to a certain number of sexual myths (the social contribution), may experience erectile failure unexpectedly after using drugs or alcohol, as many men do (the biological contribution). He will anticipate the next sexual encounter with anxiety, wondering if the failure might happen again. This combination of experience and apprehension activates the psychological sequence depicted in Figure 10.5, regardless of whether he's had a few drinks.

In summary, socially transmitted negative attitudes about sex may interact with a person's relationship difficulties and predispositions to develop performance anxiety and, ultimately, lead to sexual dysfunction. From a psychological point of view, it is not clear why some individuals develop one dysfunction and not another, although it is common for several dysfunctions to occur in the same patient. Possibly, an individual's specific biological predispositions interact with psychological factors to produce a specific sexual dysfunction.

Treatment of Sexual Dysfunction

Unlike most other disorders discussed in this book, one surprisingly simple treatment is effective for a large number of individuals who experience sexual dysfunction: education. Ignorance of the most basic aspects of the sexual response cycle and intercourse often leads to long-lasting dysfunctions (Bach, Wincze, & Barlow, 2001; Wincze et al., 2008; Wincze & Weisberg, 2015). Consider the case of Carl, who recently came to our sexuality clinic.

Carl, a 55-year-old white man, was referred to our clinic by his urologist because he had difficulty maintaining an erection. Although he had never been married, he was involved in an intimate relationship with a 50-year-old woman. This was only his second sexual relationship. He was reluctant to ask his partner to come to the clinic because of his embarrassment in discussing sexual issues. A careful interview revealed that Carl engaged in sex twice a week, but requests by the clinician for a step-by-step description of his sexual activities revealed an unusual pattern: Carl skipped foreplay and immediately proceeded to intercourse. Unfortunately, because his partner was not aroused and lubricated, he was unable to penetrate her. His valiant efforts sometimes resulted in painful abrasions for both of them. Two sessions of extensive sex education, including specific step-by-step instructions for carrying out foreplay, provided Carl with a new outlook on sex. For the first time, he had successful, satisfying intercourse, much to his and his partner's delight. •

In the case of hypoactive sexual desire disorder, a marked difference within a couple often leads to one partner being labeled as having low desire. For example, if one partner is quite happy with sexual relations once a week but the other partner desires sex every day, the latter partner may accuse the former of having low desire and, unfortunately, the former partner might agree. Facilitating better conditions often resolves these misunderstandings. Fortunately, for people with this and more complex sexual dysfunctions, treatments are now available, both psychosocial and medical. Advances in medical treatments, particularly for erectile dysfunction, have been dramatic in just the past few years. We look first at psychosocial treatments; then we examine the latest medical procedures.

Psychosocial Treatments

Among the many advances in our knowledge of sexual behavior, none was more dramatic than the publication in 1970 by William Masters and Virginia Johnson of *Human Sexual Inadequacy*. The procedures outlined in this book literally revolutionized sex therapy by providing a brief, direct, and reasonably successful therapeutic program for sexual dysfunctions. Underscoring again the common basis of most sexual dysfunctions, a similar approach to therapy is taken with all patients, male and female, with slight variations depending on the specific sexual problem (for example, premature ejaculation or orgasmic disorder). This intensive program involves a male and a female therapist to facilitate communication between the dysfunctional partners. (Masters and Johnson were the original male and female therapists.) Therapy is conducted daily over a 2-week period.

The actual program is quite straightforward. In addition to providing basic education about sexual functioning, altering deep-seated myths, and increasing communication, the clinicians'

primary goal is to eliminate psychologically based performance anxiety (refer back to Figure 10.5). To accomplish this, Masters and Johnson introduced *sensate focus* and *nondemand pleasuring*. In this exercise, couples are instructed to refrain from intercourse or genital caressing and simply to explore and enjoy each other's body through touching, kissing, hugging, massaging, or similar kinds of behavior. In the first phase, nongenital pleasuring, breasts and genitals are excluded from the exercises. After successfully accomplishing this phase, the couple moves to genital pleasuring but with a ban on orgasm and intercourse and clear instructions to the man that achieving an erection is not the goal.

At this point, arousal should be reestablished and the couple should be ready to attempt intercourse. So as not to proceed too quickly, this stage is also broken down into parts. For example, a couple might be instructed to attempt the beginnings of penetration; that is, the depth of penetration and the time it lasts are only gradually built up, and both genital and nongenital pleasuring continue. Eventually, full intercourse and thrusting are accomplished. After this 2-week intensive program, recovery was reported by Masters and Johnson for the vast majority of more than 790 sexually dysfunctional patients, with some differences in the rate of recovery depending on the disorder. Close to 100% of individuals with premature ejaculation recovered, whereas the rate for more difficult cases of lifelong generalized erectile dysfunction was closer to 60%.

After these results were published, specialty sexuality clinics based on the pioneering work of Masters and Johnson were established around the country to administer these new treatment techniques. Subsequent research revealed that many of the structural aspects of the program did not seem necessary. For example, one therapist seems to be as effective as two (LoPiccolo, Heiman, Hogan, & Roberts, 1985), and seeing patients once a week seems to be as effective as seeing them every day (Heiman & LoPiccolo, 1983). It has also become clear in the succeeding decades that the results achieved by Masters and Johnson were better than those achieved in clinics around the world using similar procedures. Reasons for this are not entirely clear. One possibility is that they were highly motivated because patients had to take at least 2 weeks off and fly to St. Louis to meet with Masters and Johnson.

Sex therapists have expanded on and modified these procedures over the years to take advantage of recent advances in knowledge (see, for example, Bradford & Meston, 2011; Rosen, 2007; Weiner & Avery-Clark, 2014; Wincze, 2009; Wincze et al., 2008). Results with sex therapy for erectile dysfunction indicate that as many as 60% to 70% of the cases show a positive treatment outcome for at least several years, although results are mixed and there may be some slipping after that (Fröhlauf, Gerger, Schmidt, Munder, & Barth, 2013; Rosen, 2007; Segraves & Althof, 1998). For better treatment of specific sexual dysfunctions, sex therapists integrate specific procedures into the context of general sex therapy. For example, to treat premature ejaculation, most sex therapists use a procedure developed by Semans (1956), sometimes called the *squeeze* technique, in which the penis is stimulated, usually by the partner, to nearly full erection. At this point, the partner firmly squeezes the penis near the top where the head of the penis joins the shaft, which quickly reduces arousal. These steps are repeated until (for heterosexual partners) eventually the

penis is briefly inserted in the vagina without thrusting. If arousal occurs too quickly, the penis is withdrawn and the squeeze technique is employed again. In this way, the man develops a sense of control over arousal and ejaculation. Reports of success with this approach over the past 20 years suggest that 60% to 90% of men benefit, but the success rates drop to about 25% after 3 years or more of follow up (Althof, 2007; Malavige & Jayawickrema, 2015; Polonsky, 2000). Gary, the 31-year-old sales representative, was treated with this method, and his wife was cooperative during the procedures. Brief marital therapy also persuaded Gary that his insecurity over his perception that his wife no longer found him attractive was unfounded. After treatment, he reduced his work hours somewhat, and the couple's marital and sexual relations improved.

Lifelong female orgasmic disorder may be treated with explicit training in masturbatory procedures (Bradford & Meston, 2011). For example, Greta was still unable to achieve orgasm with manual stimulation by her husband, even after proceeding through the basic steps of sex therapy. At this point, following certain standardized treatment programs for this problem (see, for example, Heiman, 2000; Heiman & LoPiccolo, 1988), Greta and Will purchased a vibrator and Greta was taught to let go of her inhibitions by talking out loud about how she felt during sexual arousal, even shouting or screaming if she wanted to. In the context of appropriate genital pleasuring and disinhibition exercises, the vibrator brought on Greta's first orgasm. With practice and good communication, the couple eventually learned how to bring on Greta's orgasm without the vibrator. Although Will and Greta were both delighted with her progress, Will was concerned that Greta's screams during orgasm would attract the attention of the neighbors! Summaries of results from a number of studies suggest 70% to 90% of women will benefit from treatment, and these gains are stable and even improve further over time (Fröhlauf et al., 2013; Heiman, 2007; Heiman & Meston, 1997; Segraves & Althof, 1998).

To treat vaginismus and pain related to penetration in genitopelvic pain/penetration disorder, the woman and, eventually, the partner gradually insert increasingly larger dilators at the woman's pace. After the woman (and then the partner) can insert the largest



©Romilly Lockyer/Brand X Pictures/PictureQuest/Jupiter Images

A therapist usually treats a dysfunction in one partner by seeing the couple together.

dilator, in a heterosexual couple, the woman gradually inserts the man's penis. These exercises are carried out in the context of genital and nongenital pleasuring so as to retain arousal. Close attention must be accorded to any increased fear and anxiety that may be associated with the process, which may trigger memories of early sexual abuse that may have contributed to the onset of the condition. These procedures are highly successful, with a large majority of women (80% to 100%) overcoming vaginismus in a relatively short period (Binik et al., 2007; Leiblum & Rosen, 2000; ter Kuile et al., 2007; ter Kuile, Melles, de Groot, Tuijnman-Raasveld, & van Lankveld, 2013).

A variety of treatment procedures have also been developed for low sexual desire (see, for example, Pridal & LoPiccolo, 2000; Wincze, 2009; Wincze & Weisberg, 2015). At the heart of these treatments are the standard reeducation and communication phases of traditional sex therapy with, possibly, the addition of masturbatory training and exposure to erotic material. Each case may require individual strategies. Remember Mrs. C., who was sexually abused by her cousin? Therapy involved helping the couple understand the impact of the repeated, unwanted sexual experiences in Mrs. C.'s past and to approach sex so that Mrs. C. was more comfortable with foreplay. She gradually lost the idea that once sex was started she had no control. She and her husband worked on starting and stopping sexual encounters. Cognitive restructuring was used to help Mrs. C. interpret her husband's amorousness in a positive rather than a skeptical light. In general, approximately 50% to 70% of individuals with low sexual desire benefit from sex therapy, at least initially (Basson, 2007; Brotto, 2006).

Medical Treatments

A variety of pharmacological and surgical techniques have been developed in recent years to treat sexual dysfunction, almost all focusing on male erectile disorder. The drug Viagra, introduced in 1998, and similar drugs such as Levitra and Cialis, introduced subsequently, are the best known. We look at the four most popular procedures: oral medication, injection of vasoactive substances directly into the penis, surgery, and vacuum device therapy. Before we begin, note that it is important to combine any medical treatment with a comprehensive educational and sex therapy program to ensure maximum benefit.

In 1998, the drug sildenafil (trade name Viagra) was introduced for erectile dysfunction. Approval from the Food and Drug Administration occurred early in 1998, and results from several clinical trials suggested that between 50% and 80% of a large number of men benefit from this treatment (Conti, Pepine, & Sweeney, 1999; Goldstein et al., 1998) in that erections become sufficient for intercourse, compared with approximately 30% who benefit from placebo. Results are similar with Cialis and Levitra (Carrier et al., 2005). As many as 30% of men may suffer severe headaches as a side effect, however, particularly at higher doses (Rosen, 2000, 2007; Virag, 1999), and reports of sexual satisfaction are not optimal. For example, Virag (1999) evaluated a large number of men treated with Viagra and found that 32% of the men were successful if success was defined as an erection sufficient to engage in intercourse and satisfaction of at least 7 on the 0-to-10 scale. Results

were categorized as fair for 29% who reported adequate erection but satisfaction from 4 to 6, and unsatisfactory for 39% with inadequate erection and satisfaction rated as 0 to 3. Thus, erections were sufficiently firm for intercourse in 61% of the men, consistent with other studies, but only 32% rated the results as at least good, suggesting the need for, perhaps, additional drug or psychological treatment. If men are particularly anxious about sex, results are not as good with the drug (Rosen et al., 2006). Also, the large majority of men stop using the drug after a trial of several months or a year, indicating less than satisfactory long-term results (Rosen, 2007). To address this issue, Bach, Barlow, and Wincze (2004) evaluated the addition of cognitive-behavioral treatment (CBT) to treatment with Viagra. Results were encouraging because couples reported greater satisfaction and increased sexual activity after combined drug therapy and CBT, compared with a period when only the drug was used.

There was also some hope that Viagra would be useful for dysfunction in postmenopausal women, but results were disappointing (Bradford & Meston, 2011; Kaplan et al., 1999). Now interest has centered on a new drug, flibanserin, as a possible treatment for hypoactive sexual desire in women. Indeed initial research findings suggest that this medication may be effective since there is preliminary evidence for increases in sexual desire and reduced distress associated with hypoactive sexual desire in women, (DeRogatis et al., 2012; Katz et al., 2013). These findings are also very controversial since the data show that the effects of what has been called "pink Viagra" are very modest so as to be relatively unnoticeable for many women leading some to question whether pharmaceutical companies may be misleading the public in order to cash in on a sexual enhancement drug for women given the enormous profits associated with Viagra and similar drugs for men (Brotto, 2015; Laan & Tiefer, 2014; Levine, 2015). This research is still in its infancy.

For some time, testosterone (Schiavi, White, Mandeli, & Levine, 1997) has been used to treat erectile dysfunction. But although it is safe and has relatively few side effects, only negligible effects on erectile dysfunction have been reported (Forti, Corona, Vignozzi, & Maggi, 2012; Mann et al., 1996). Some urologists teach patients to inject vasodilating drugs such as *papaverine* or *prostaglandin* directly into the penis when they want to have sexual intercourse. These drugs dilate the blood vessels, allowing blood to flow to the penis and thereby producing an erection within 15 minutes that can last from 1 to 4 hours (Rosen, 2007; Segraves & Althof, 1998). Because this procedure is a bit painful (although not as much as one might think), a substantial number of men, usually 50% to 60%, stop using it after a short time. In one study, 50 of 100 patients discontinued papaverine for various reasons (Lakin, Montague, Vanderbrug Medendorp, Tesar, & Schover, 1990; Segraves & Althof, 1998). A soft capsule that contains papaverine (called MUSE [Medical Urethral System for Erections]) can be inserted directly into the urethra, but this is somewhat painful, is less effective than injections, and remains awkward and artificial enough to preclude wide acceptance (Delizonna, Wincze, Litz, Brown, & Barlow, 2001). Insertion of *penile prostheses* or implants has been a surgical option for almost 100 years; only recently have they become good enough to approximate normal sexual functioning. One procedure involves implanting a semirigid silicone



An inflatable penile implant may be used for men with inadequate sexual functioning.

the pump outside the rod. However, surgical implants fall short of restoring presurgical sexual functioning or assuring satisfaction in most patients (Gregoire, 1992; Kim & Lipshultz, 1997); they are now generally used only if other approaches don't work. On the other hand, this procedure has proved useful for men who must have a cancerous prostate removed, because this surgery often causes erectile dysfunction, although newer "nerve-sparing" surgeries lessen the effect to some extent (Ramsawh, Morgentaler, Covino, Barlow, & DeWolf, 2005).

Another approach is *vacuum device therapy*, which works by creating a vacuum in a cylinder placed over the penis. The vacuum draws blood into the penis, which is then trapped by a specially designed ring placed around the base of the penis. Although using the vacuum device is rather awkward, between 70% and 100% of users report satisfactory erections, particularly if psychological sex therapy is ineffective (Segraves & Althof, 1998; Witherington, 1988). The procedure is also less intrusive than surgery or injections, but it remains awkward and artificial (Delizonna et al., 2001). However, in recent years, this therapy has gained traction as a first-line therapy for men who have been treated for prostate cancer (Pahlajani, Raina, Jones, & Zippe, 2012).

Summary

Treatment programs, both psychosocial and medical, offer hope to most people who suffer from sexual dysfunctions. Unfortunately, such programs are not readily available in many locations because few health and mental health professionals are trained to apply them, although the availability of drugs for male erectile dysfunction is widespread. Further, government agencies such as the NIH have been slow to fund research dedicated to understanding sexual dysfunctions and their treatments since any research focused on sex has occasionally proved to be controversial among some members of Congress serving on oversight committees for NIH funding. Psychological treatment of sexual arousal disorders requires further improvement, and treatments for low sexual desire are largely untested. Most treatments are still intrusive and clumsy, although drugs such as Viagra and Levitra exhibit some success for erectile dysfunction. New medical developments including medications, topical creams, and gene therapy are under investigation as potential treatments, but research on these interventions is just beginning.

Unfortunately, most health professionals tend to ignore the issue of sexuality in older adults. Along with the usual emphasis on communication, education, and sensate focus, appropriate lubricants for women and a discussion of methods to maximize the erectile response in men should be a part of any sexual counseling for older couples. More important, even with reduced physical capabilities, continued sexual relations, not necessarily including intercourse, should be an enjoyable and important part of an aging couple's relationship. Further research and development in the treatment of sexual dysfunction must address all these issues. Nevertheless, the overwhelming consensus is that a combination of psychological and drug treatment, when indicated, will continue to be the treatment strategy of choice.

Paraphilic Disorders: Clinical Descriptions

If you are like most people, your sexual interest is directed to other physically mature adults (or late adolescents), all of whom are capable of freely offering or withholding their consent. But what if you are sexually attracted to something or somebody other than another adult, such as animals (particularly horses and dogs; Williams & Weinberg, 2003) or a vacuum cleaner? (Yes, it does happen!) Or what if your only means of obtaining sexual satisfaction is to commit a brutal murder? Such patterns of sexual arousal and countless others exist in a large number of individuals, causing untold human suffering both for them and, if their behavior involves other people, for their victims. As



connell/Shutterstock.com

A crowded subway car is a typical setting for frotteuristic activity, in which a person takes advantage of forced physical contact with strangers to become aroused.

noted in the beginning of the chapter, these disorders of sexual arousal, if they cause distress or impairment to the individual, or cause personal harm, or the risk of harm to others are called **paraphilic disorders**. It is important to note that *DSM-5* does not consider a paraphilia a disorder unless it is associated with distress and impairment or harm or the threat of harm to others. Thus, unusual patterns of sexual attraction are not considered to be sufficient to meet criteria for a disorder. This is a controversial change in *DSM-5* (see *DSM Controversies* box on page 399).

Over the years, we have assessed and treated a large number of individuals with paraphilic disorders, ranging from the slightly eccentric and sometimes pitiful cases to some of the most dangerous killer-rapists encountered anywhere. As noted above, there are many harmless aberrations, such as some fetishistic arousal patterns (see next section), which harm no one, are not distressful or impairing, and therefore do not meet criteria for a disorder. We begin by describing briefly the major types of paraphilic disorders, using in all instances cases from our own files. As with sexual dysfunctions, it is unusual for an individual to have just one paraphilic pattern of sexual arousal (Bradford & Meston, 2011; Krueger & Kaplan, 2015; Laws & O'Donohue, 2008; Seto, Kingston, & Bourget, 2014). Many of our patients may present with two, three, or more patterns, although one is usually dominant (Abel, Becker, Cunningham-Rathner, Mittelman, & Rouleau, 1988; Brownell, Hayes, & Barlow, 1977; American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Furthermore, it is not uncommon for individuals with paraphilic disorder to also suffer from comorbid mood, anxiety, and substance abuse disorders (Kafka & Hennen, 2003; Krueger & Kaplan, 2015; Raymond, Coleman, Ohlerking, Christenson, & Miner, 1999). Although paraphilic disorders are not widely prevalent and estimates of their frequency are hard to come by, some disorders, such as transvestic disorder (cross-dressing, discussed later), seem relatively common (Bancroft, 1989; Mason, 1997). You may have been the victim of **frotteuristic disorder** in a large city, typically on a crowded subway or bus. (We mean really crowded, with people packed in like sardines.) In this situation, women have been known to experience more than the usual jostling and pushing from behind. What they discover, much to their horror, is a male with a frotteuristic arousal

pattern rubbing against them until he is stimulated to the point of ejaculation. Because the victims cannot escape easily, the frotteuristic act is usually successful (Lussier & Piché, 2008).

Fetishistic Disorder

In **fetishistic disorder**, a person is sexually attracted to nonliving objects. There are almost as many types of fetishes as there are objects, although women's undergarments and shoes are popular (Darcangelo, 2008; Kafka, 2010). Fetishistic arousal is associated with two classes of objects or activities: (1) an inanimate object or (2) a source of specific tactile stimulation, such as rubber, particularly clothing made out of rubber. Shiny black plastic is also used (Bancroft, 1989; Junginger, 1997). Most of the person's sexual fantasies, urges, and desires focus on this object. A third source of attraction (sometimes called *partialism*) is a part of the body, such as the foot, buttocks, or hair.

In one U.S. city for several months, bras hung out on a woman's backyard clothesline disappeared. The women in the neighborhood soon began talking to each other and discovered that bras were missing from every clothesline for blocks around. A police stakeout caught the perpetrator, who turned out to have a strong fetish for brassieres. As another example of fetishistic behavior



TABLE 10.5

Criteria for Genito-Pelvic Pain/Penetration Disorder

- A.** Persistent or recurrent difficulties with one (or more) of the following:
1. Vaginal penetration during intercourse.
 2. Marked vulvovaginal or pelvic pain during vaginal intercourse or penetration attempts.
 3. Marked fear or anxiety about vulvovaginal or pelvic pain in anticipation of, during, or as a result of vaginal penetration.
 4. Marked tensing or tightening of the pelvic floor muscles during attempted vaginal penetration.
- B.** The symptoms in Criterion A have persisted for a minimum duration of approximately 6 months.
- C.** The symptoms in Criterion A cause clinically significant distress in the individual.
- D.** The sexual dysfunction is not better explained by a nonsexual mental disorder or as a consequence of severe relationship distress or other significant stressors and is not attributable to the effects of a substance/medication or another medical condition.

Specify type:

Lifelong type

Acquired type

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

related to tactile stimulation, it is relatively common for a urologist to be called to the emergency room to remove surgically a long thin object, such as a pencil or the arm of an eyeglass frame, from a man's urethra. Men who insert such objects think that partially blocking the urethra in this way can increase the intensity of ejaculation during masturbation. If the entire object slips into the penis, however, major medical intervention is required.

Voyeuristic and Exhibitionistic Disorders

Voyeuristic disorder is the practice of observing, to become aroused, an unsuspecting individual undressing or naked. **Exhibitionistic disorder**, by contrast, is achieving sexual arousal and gratification by exposing genitals to unsuspecting strangers (Långström, 2010). Consider the case of Robert.

Robert... Outside the Curtains

Robert, a 31-year-old, married, blue-collar worker, reported that he first started "peeping" into windows when he was 14. He rode around the neighborhood on his bike at night, and when he spotted a female through a window he stopped and stared. During one of these episodes, he felt the first pangs of sexual arousal. Eventually he began masturbating while watching, thereby exposing his genitals, although out of sight. When he was older, he drove around until he spotted some prepubescent girls. He parked his car near them, unzipped his fly, called them over, and attempted to carry on a nonsexual conversation. Later he was sometimes able to talk a girl into mutual masturbation and *fellatio*, or oral stimulation of the penis. Although he was arrested several times, paradoxically, the threat of arrest increased his arousal (Barlow & Wincze, 1980). •

Remember that anxiety actually increases arousal under some circumstances. Many voyeurs just don't get the same satisfaction from attending readily available strip shows at a local bar. Exhibitionistic disorder is often associated with lower levels of education, but not always. Note again that the thrilling element of risk is an important part of exhibitionistic disorder.

DSM
5

TABLE 10.6
Criteria for Frotteuristic Disorder

- A. Over a period of at least 6 months, recurrent and intense sexual arousal from touching or rubbing against a nonconsenting person, as manifested by fantasies, urges, or behaviors.
- B. The person has acted on these sexual urges with a nonconsenting person, or the sexual urges or fantasies cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

The Lawyer Who Needed the Bus

Several years ago, a distinguished lawyer reported that he needed help and that his career was on the line. An intelligent, good-looking single man, he noted without bragging that he could have sex with any number of beautiful women in the course of his law practice. The only way he could become aroused, however, was to leave his office, go down to the bus stop, ride around the city until a reasonably attractive young woman got on, expose himself just before the next stop, and then run off the bus, often with people chasing after him. To achieve maximal arousal, the bus could not be full or empty; there had to be just a few people sitting on the bus, and the woman getting on had to be the right age. Sometimes hours would pass before these circumstances lined up correctly. The lawyer observed that if he was not fired for exhibitionism he would be fired for all the time he was missing from work. On several occasions, he had requested a girlfriend to role-play sitting on a bus in his apartment. Although he exposed himself to her, he could not achieve sexual arousal and gratification because the activity just wasn't exciting. •

Although prevalence is unknown (Murphy & Page, 2008), in a random sample of 2,450 adults in Sweden, 31% reported at least one incident of being sexually aroused by exposing their genitals to a stranger, and 7.7% reported at least one incident of being sexually aroused by spying on others having sex (Långström & Seto, 2006). To meet diagnosis for exhibitionistic disorder, the behavior must occur repeatedly and be compulsive or out of control.

Transvestic Disorder

In **transvestic disorder**, sexual arousal is strongly associated with the act of (or fantasies of) dressing in clothes of the opposite sex, or cross-dressing (Blanchard, 2010; Wheeler, Newring, & Draper, 2008). Consider the case of Mr. M.

Mr. M... Strong Man in a Dress

Mr. M., a 31-year-old married police officer, came to our clinic seeking treatment for uncontrollable urges to dress in women's clothing and appear in public. He had been doing this for 16 years and had been discharged from the Marine Corps for cross-dressing. Since then, he had risked public disclosure on several occasions. Mr. M.'s wife had threatened to divorce him because of the cross-dressing, yet she often purchased women's clothing for him and was "compassionate" while he wore them. •

Note that Mr. M. was in the Marine Corps before he joined the police force. It is not unusual for males who are strongly inclined to dress in female clothes to compensate by associating

TABLE 10.7
Criteria for Fetishistic Disorder

5

- A. Over a period of at least 6 months, recurrent and intense sexual arousal from the use of nonliving objects or a highly specific focus on nongenital body part(s), as manifested by fantasies, urges, or behaviors.
- B. The fantasies, sexual urges, or behaviors cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.
- C. The fetish objects are not limited to articles of clothing used in cross-dressing (as in transvestic disorder) or devices specifically designed for the purpose of tactile genital stimulation (e.g., a vibrator).

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

TABLE 10.8
Criteria for Voyeuristic and Exhibitionistic Disorders

5

Voyeuristic Disorder

- A. Over a period of at least 6 months, recurrent and intense sexual arousal from observing an unsuspecting person who is naked, in the process of disrobing, or engaging in sexual activity, as manifested by fantasies, urges, or behaviors.
- B. The person has acted on these sexual urges with a nonconsenting person, or the sexual urges or fantasies cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.
- C. The individual experiencing the arousal and/or acting on the urges is at least 18 years of age.

Exhibitionistic Disorder

- A. Over a period of at least 6 months, recurrent and intense sexual arousal from the exposure of one's genitals to an unsuspecting person, as manifested by fantasies, urges, or behaviors.
- B. The person has acted on these sexual urges with a nonconsenting person, or the sexual urges or fantasies cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

with so-called macho organizations. Some of our cross-dressing patients have been associated with various paramilitary organizations. Nevertheless, most individuals with this disorder do not seem to display any compensatory behaviors. The same survey in Sweden mentioned earlier found 2.8% of men and 0.4% of women reported at least one episode of transvestistic disorder (Långström & Zucker, 2005). The 3% prevalence rate in males, while a rough estimate, is generally accepted (APA, 2013).

Interestingly, the wives of many men who cross-dress have accepted their husbands' behavior and can be quite supportive if it is a private matter between them. Docter and Prince (1997)

reported that 60% of more than 1,000 men with transvestistic disorder were married at the time of the survey. Some people, both married and single, join cross-dressing clubs that meet periodically or subscribe to newsletters devoted to the topic. If sexual arousal is primarily focused on the clothing itself the diagnostic criteria require a specification "with fetishism." Research suggests that transvestism of this type is indistinguishable from other fetishes in most respects (Freund, Seto, & Kuban, 1996). Another specifier for transvestism describes a pattern of sexual arousal associated not with clothing itself but rather with thoughts or images of oneself as a female. This specifier is called "autogynephilia." Consider the case of Ron, recently seen in our clinic.

Ron and Rhonda... Sexual Confusion

Ron was a 47-year-old divorced male with a 6-year-old son who lived with his son's mother. For the past several years, Ron had been living with his girlfriend and his girlfriend's 7-year-old daughter, mother, and sister. He was large and muscular with a short but full beard. His initial complaint was severe social anxiety, which he felt had interfered with his ability to make friends and advance in his job since he sought out positions that required only limited social interaction. He reported that he loved his girlfriend and wanted to get married and was particularly concerned about being the best father he could be for his son. He was assigned to group treatment for social anxiety, but he showed up for the first session much to our surprise dressed in a jeans miniskirt, knee-high black leather boots, and a blouse. During the session he expressed considerable confusion about his sexuality, and we decided his needs would be better met in individual treatment.

At that point, he requested to be called Rhonda and began volunteering a previous history of cross-dressing and frequenting gay nightclubs from time to time. He reported that his first marriage had ended after his wife discovered photos of him wearing her wedding dress. Presently, the most sexually arousing scenario for him was the image of himself as a woman, such as imagining himself performing domestic chores or activities such as cooking for a male partner while wearing an apron. But he was clear that it was not the clothes that were arousing so much as the image in his own mind of himself as a woman. He also reported engaging in risky sexual behaviors such as unprotected sex, meeting up with strangers to engage in sexual behaviors in parking lots, texting naked/provocative photos of himself to potential partners, and engaging in sexual acts in public places such as the gym shower, all of which would begin with him dressed in his female clothes and assuming the role of a woman. He had kept this behavior from his girlfriend mostly by hiding his clothes in the trunk of his car or in a back closet at work. In spite of this behavior, he maintained a strong and frequent sexual relationship with his girlfriend and was terrified of contracting AIDS and infecting her. He also couldn't imagine giving up the strong relationship with his

(Continued next page)

son. Treatment focused on eliminating risky sexual behavior and clarifying with him the most important values in his life. He chose his girlfriend and his son and, after a course of treatment and occasional follow-up sessions, reported himself to be at peace with his decision and had given up his risky infidelities with no reports of slips or relapses. •

This specifier is very controversial because the “sexual confusion” experienced by Ron overlaps to some degree with gender dysphoria (described below), and some think this confusion is better captured by the concept of gender dysphoria. Indeed, there is a somewhat greater risk that individuals with this paraphilic disorder will develop gender dysphoria and request transition through sex reassignment surgery (Blanchard, 2010; Lawrence, 2013). But as one can see in the case of Ron/Rhonda, gender dysphoria was not a major component of his presentation, and he did not once consider surgical sex reassignment. Rather, he was very strongly sexually aroused by thoughts and images of himself as a woman.

Sexual Sadism and Sexual Masochism Disorders

Both **sexual sadism** and **sexual masochism** are associated with either inflicting pain or humiliation (sadism) or suffering pain or humiliation (masochism; Hücker, 2008; Krueger, 2010a, 2010b; Yates, Hücker, & Kingston, 2008), and becoming sexually aroused is specifically associated with violence and injury in these conditions (Seto, Lalumiere, Harris, & Chivers, 2012). Although Mr. M. was extremely concerned about his cross-dressing, he was also disturbed by another problem. To maximize his sexual pleasure during intercourse with his wife, he had her wear a collar and leash, tied her to the bed, and handcuffed her. He sometimes tied himself with ropes, chains, handcuffs, and wires, all while he was cross-dressed. Mr. M. was concerned he might injure himself seriously. As a member of the police force, he had heard of cases and even investigated one himself in which an individual was found dead, tightly and completely bound in harnesses, handcuffs, and ropes. In many such cases, something goes wrong and the individual accidentally hangs himself, an event that should be distinguished from the closely related condition called *hypoxiphilia*, which involves self-strangulation to reduce the flow of oxygen to the brain and enhance the sensation of orgasm. It may seem paradoxical that someone has to either inflict or receive pain to become sexually aroused, but these types of cases are not uncommon. On many occasions, the behaviors themselves are quite mild and harmless (Krueger, 2010a; 2010b), but they can become dangerous and costly. It was not unusual that Mr. M. presented with three patterns of deviant arousal—in his case, sexual masochism, sexual sadism, and transvestism.

Sadistic Rape

After murder, rape is the most devastating assault one person can make on another. It is not classified as a paraphilic disorder because most instances of rape are better characterized as an assault by a male (or, quite rarely, a female) whose patterns of sexual arousal are not paraphilic. Instead, many rapists meet criteria for antisocial personality



Many Main/Getty Images

Murderer Jeffrey Dahmer obtained sexual gratification from acts of sadism and cannibalism. (In prison, he was killed by fellow inmates.)

disorder (see Chapter 12) and may engage in a variety of antisocial and aggressive acts (Bradford & Meston, 2011; Davison & Janca, 2012; McCabe & Wauchope, 2005; Quinsey, 2010). Many rapes could be described as opportunistic, in that an aggressive or antisocial individual with a marked lack of empathy and disregard for inflicting pain on others (Bernat, Calhoun, & Adams, 1999) spontaneously took advantage of a vulnerable and unsuspecting woman. These unplanned assaults often occur during robberies or other criminal events. Rapes can also be motivated by anger and vindictiveness against specific women and may have been planned in advance (Hücker, 1997; McCabe & Wauchope, 2005; Rebocho & Silva, 2014; Quinsey, 2010).

A number of years ago, we determined in our sexuality clinic that certain rapists do closely fit definitions of paraphilic disorder and could probably better be described as sadists, a finding that has since been confirmed (McCabe & Wauchope, 2005; Quinsey, 2010; Seto et al., 2012). We constructed two audiotapes on which were described (1) mutually enjoyable sexual intercourse and (2) sexual intercourse involving force on the part of the male (rape). Each tape was played twice for selected listeners. The nonrapists became sexually aroused to descriptions of mutually consenting intercourse but not to those involving force. Rapists,

TABLE 10.9
Criteria for Transvestic Disorder

- DSM 5**
- A.** Over a period of at least 6 months, recurrent and intense sexual arousal from cross-dressing, as manifested by fantasies, urges, or behaviors.
 - B.** The fantasies, sexual urges, or behaviors cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.
- Specify if:
- With fetishism
 - With autogynephilia

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.



Paul/Gatty Images

In 2002, the Catholic church in the United States was forced to acknowledge a series of cover-ups involving pedophilia by a number of clergy, including one involving defrocked priest Paul Shanley (above).

however, became aroused by both types of descriptions (Abel, Barlow, Blanchard, & Guild, 1977).

Among the rapists we were evaluating, a subgroup seemed to be particularly aroused when force and acts of cruelty were involved. To assess this reaction more completely, we put together a third audiotape consisting of aggression and assault without any sexual content. A number of individuals displayed strong sexual arousal to nonsexual aggressive themes, as well as to rape, and little or no arousal to mutually enjoyable intercourse. One of our patients was the most brutal rapist we have ever encountered. By his own report, he had raped more than 100 times. His last victim spent 2 weeks in the hospital recovering from various injuries. He would bite his victim's breasts, burn her with cigarettes, beat her with belts and switches, and pull out her pubic hair while shoving objects in her vagina. Although some evidence indicated he had killed at least three of his victims, it was not sufficient to convict him. Nevertheless, he was convicted of multiple assaults and rapes and was about to begin a life sentence in a closely guarded area of the maximum-security state prison. Realizing his behavior was hopelessly out of control, he was eager to get there. He reported that all his waking hours were spent ruminating uncontrollably on sadistic fantasies. He knew he was going to spend the rest of his life in prison, probably in solitary confinement, but he hoped there was something we could do to relieve him of his obsession. By any definition, this man met criteria for sexual sadism disorder.

Pedophilic Disorder and Incest

Perhaps the most tragic sexual deviance is sexual attraction to children (or young adolescents generally aged 13 years or younger), called **pedophilia** (Blanchard, 2010; Seto, 2009, 2012). People around the world have become more aware of this problem following the well-publicized scandal in the Catholic Church, where priests, many of whom undoubtedly met criteria for pedophilic

disorder, abused children repeatedly, only to be transferred to another church and repeat these offences. Individuals with this pattern of arousal may be attracted to male children, female children, or both. In one survey, as many as 12% of men and 17% of women reported being touched inappropriately by adults when they were children (Fagan, Wise, Schmidt, & Berlin, 2002). A more recent study estimated the prevalence of sexual abuse before age 18 to be 10.14% (Pérez-Fuentes et al., 2013). Approximately 90% of abusers are male, and 10% are female (Fagan et al., 2002; Seto, 2009). Much as with adult rape, as many as 40% to 50% of sexual offenders do not have pedophilic arousal patterns and do not meet criteria for pedophilic disorder. Rather, their offenses are associated with brutal antisocial and aggressive opportunistic acts (Blanchard, 2010; Seto, 2009). Child pornography investigations have made much news lately, and individuals convicted of downloading child pornography often defend themselves by pointing out that they were "just looking" and are not pedophiles. But an important study indicates that being charged with a child pornography offense is one of the best diagnostic indications of pedophilic disorder (Seto, Cantor, & Blanchard, 2006).

If the children are the person's relatives, the pedophilia takes the form of **incest**. Although pedophilia and incest have much in common, victims of pedophilic disorder tend to be young children, and victims of incest tend to be girls beginning to mature physically (Rice & Harris, 2002). By using penile strain gauge measures, Marshall, Barbaree, and Christophe (1986) and Marshall (1997) demonstrated that incestuous males are, in general, more aroused by adult women than are males with pedophilic disorder, who tend to focus exclusively on children. Thus, incestuous relations may have more to do with availability and interpersonal issues ongoing in the family than pedophilia, as in the case of Tony.

Tony . . .

More and Less a Father

Tony, a 52-year-old married television repairman, came in depressed. About 10 years earlier, he had begun sexual activity with his 12-year-old daughter. Light kissing and some fondling gradually escalated to heavy petting and, finally, mutual masturbation. When his daughter was 16 years old, his wife discovered the ongoing incestuous relationship. She separated from her husband and eventually divorced him, taking her daughter with her. Soon, Tony remarried. Just before his initial visit to our clinic, Tony visited his daughter, then 22 years old, who was living alone in a different city. They had not seen each other for 5 years. A second visit, shortly after the first, led to a recurrence of the incestuous behavior. At this point, Tony became extremely depressed and told his new wife the whole story. She contacted our clinic with his full cooperation while his daughter sought treatment in her own city. •

We return to the case of Tony later, but several features are worth noting. First, Tony loved his daughter and was bitterly disappointed and depressed over his behavior. Occasionally, a child molester is abusive and aggressive, sometimes killing the victims; in these cases, the disorder is often both sexual sadism and pedophilia. But most child molesters are *not* physically abusive. Rarely is a child physically forced or injured. From the molester's perspective, no harm is done because there is no physical force or threats. Child molesters often rationalize their behavior as "loving" the child or teaching the child useful lessons about sexuality. The child molester almost never considers the psychological damage the victim suffers, yet these interactions often destroy the child's trust and ability to share intimacy. Child molesters rarely gauge their power over the children, who may participate in the molestation without protest yet be frightened and unwilling. Often children feel responsible for the abuse because no outward force or threat was used by the adult, and only after the abused children grow up are they able to understand they were powerless to protect themselves and not responsible for what was done to them.

Paraphilic Disorders in Women

Paraphilic disorders are seldom seen in women and were thought to be absent in women for many years, with the possible exception of sadomasochistic practices. But in recent years, several reports have appeared describing individual cases or small series of cases (Davis, 2014; Seto, 2009). Now estimates suggest that approximately 5% to 10% of all sexual offenders are women (Cortoni & Gannon, 2011; Logan, 2009; Wiegel, 2008). For example, Federoff, Fishell, and Federoff (1999) have reported 12 cases of women with paraphilic disorders seen in their clinic. Although some women had more than one paraphilic disorder, 5 of the 12 presented with pedophilia,

DSM 5
TABLE 10.10
Criteria for Sexual Sadism Disorder and Sexual Masochism Disorder

Sexual Sadism Disorder

- A. Over a period of at least 6 months, recurrent and intense sexual arousal from the psychological or physical suffering of another person, as manifested by fantasies, urges, or behaviors.
- B. The person has acted on these sexual urges with a nonconsenting person, or the sexual urges or fantasies cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.

Sexual Masochism Disorder

- A. Over a period of at least 6 months, recurrent and intense sexual arousal from the act of being humiliated, beaten, bound, or otherwise made to suffer, as manifested by fantasies, urges, or behaviors.
- B. The fantasies, sexual urges, or behaviors cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

DSM 5
TABLE 10.11
Criteria for Pedophilic Disorder

- A. Over a period of at least 6 months, recurrent, intense sexually arousing fantasies, sexual urges, or behaviors involving sexual activity with a prepubescent child or children (generally age 13 years or younger).
- B. The person has acted on these sexual urges, or the sexual urges or fantasies cause marked distress or interpersonal difficulty.
- C. The individual is at least age 16 years and at least 5 years older than the child or children in Criterion A.

Note: Do not include an individual in late adolescence involved in an ongoing sexual relationship with a 12- or 13-year-old.

Specify type:

Exclusive type (attracted only to children)

Nonexclusive type

Specify if:

Sexually attracted to males

Sexually attracted to females

Sexually attracted to both

Specify if:

Limited to incest

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

DSM 5
TABLE 10.12
Criteria for Other Specified Paraphilic Disorder

This category applies to presentations in which symptoms characteristic of a paraphilic disorder that cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning predominate but do not meet the full criteria for any of the disorders in the paraphilic disorders diagnostic class. Examples include, but are not limited to, telephone scatology (obscene phone calls), necrophilia (corpses), zoophilia (animals), coprophilia (feces), klismaphilia (enemas), and urophilia (urine).

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

4 presented with exhibitionism, and 3 presented with sadomasochistic tendencies. Female sexual offenders are often treated similarly to male sexual offenders; however, recent work suggests that more attention is needed to understand the differences between these offenders and the best ways to treat them (Cortoni & Gannon, 2016).

To take several examples, one heterosexual woman was convicted of sexually molesting an unrelated 9-year-old boy while she was babysitting. It seems she had touched the boy's penis and asked him to masturbate in front of her while she watched religious programs on television. It is not unusual for individuals with paraphilic disorders to rationalize their behavior by engaging in some other practices that they consider to be morally correct or uplifting at the same time, a practice sometimes referred to as "moral cleansing." Yet another woman came to treatment because of her "uncontrollable" rituals of undressing in front of her apartment window and masturbating approximately five times a month. In addition she would,

occasionally, drive her truck through the neighborhood, where she would attempt to befriend cats and dogs by offering them food. She would then place honey or other food substances on her genital area so that the animals would lick her. As with most people with paraphilic disorders, the woman was horrified by this activity and was seeking treatment to eliminate it, although she found it highly sexually arousing. Wiegel (2008) reported on more than 175 females who admitted sexually abusing children or adolescents.

Causes of Paraphilic Disorders

Although no substitute for scientific inquiry, case histories often provide hypotheses that can then be tested by controlled scientific observations. Let's return to the cases of Robert and Tony to see if their histories contain any clues.

Robert... Revenge on Repression

Robert (who sought help for exhibitionism) was raised by a stern authoritarian father and a passive mother in a small Texas town. His father, who was a firm believer in old-time religion, often preached the evils of sexual intercourse to his family. Robert learned little about sex from his father except that it was bad, so he suppressed any emerging heterosexual urges and fantasies and as an adolescent felt uneasy around girls his own age. By accident, he discovered a private source of sexual gratification: staring at attractive and unsuspecting females through the window. This led to his first masturbatory experience.

Robert reported in retrospect that being arrested was not so bad because it disgraced his father, which was his only way of getting back at him. The courts treated him lightly (which is not unusual), and his father was publicly humiliated, forcing the family to move from their small Texas town (Barlow & Wincze, 1980). •

Tony... Trained Too Young

Tony, who sought help because of an incestuous relationship with his daughter, reported an early sexual history that contained a number of interesting events. Although he was brought up in a reasonably loving and outwardly normal Catholic family, he had an uncle who did not fit the family pattern. When he was 9 or 10, Tony was encouraged by his uncle to observe a game of strip poker that the uncle was playing with a neighbor's wife. During this period, he also observed his uncle fondling a waitress at a drive-in restaurant and shortly thereafter was instructed by his uncle to fondle his young female cousin. Thus, he had an early model for mutual fondling and masturbation and obtained some pleasure from interacting in this way with young girls. Although the uncle never touched Tony, his behavior was clearly abusive. When Tony was about 13, he engaged in

mutual manipulation with a sister and her girlfriend, which he remembers as pleasurable. Later, when Tony was 18, a brother-in-law took him to a prostitute, and he first experienced sexual intercourse. He remembered this visit as unsatisfactory because, on that and subsequent visits to prostitutes, he ejaculated prematurely—a sharp contrast to his early experience with young girls. Other experiences with adult women were also unsatisfactory. When he joined the service and was sent overseas, he sought out prostitutes who were often as young as 12. •

These cases remind us that deviant patterns of sexual arousal often occur in the context of other sexual and social problems. Undesired kinds of arousal may be associated with deficiencies in levels of "desired" arousal with consensual adults; this was certainly true for both Tony and Robert, whose sexual relationships with adults were incomplete. In many cases, an inability to develop adequate social relations with the appropriate people for sexual relationships seems to be associated with a developing of inappropriate sexual outlets (Marshall, 1997). Indeed, integrated theories of the causes of paraphilic disorders all note the presence of disordered relationships during childhood and adolescence with resulting deficits in healthy sexual development (Marshall & Barbaree, 1990; Ward & Beech, 2008). Many people with deficient sexual and social skills do not develop deviant patterns of arousal, however.

Early experience seems to have an effect that may be quite accidental. Tony's early sexual experiences just happened to be of the type he later found sexually arousing. Many individuals with pedophilic disorder also report being abused themselves as children, which turns out to be a strong predictor of later sexual abuse by the victim (Fagan et al., 2002; Nunes, Hermann, Malcom, & Lavoie, 2013). Robert's first erotic experience occurred while he was "peeping." But many of us do not find our early experiences reflected in our sexual patterns.

Another factor may be the nature of the person's early sexual fantasies. For example, Rachman and Hodgson (1968; see also Bancroft, 1989) demonstrated that sexual arousal could become associated with a neutral object—a boot, for example—if the boot was repeatedly presented while the individual was sexually aroused. One of the most powerful engines for developing unwanted arousal may be early sexual fantasies that are repeatedly reinforced through the strong sexual pleasure associated with masturbation (Bradford & Meston, 2011). Before an individual with a pedophilic or sadism disorder ever acts on his behavior, he may fantasize about it thousands of times while masturbating. Expressed as a clinical or operant-conditioning paradigm, this is another example of a learning process in which a behavior (sexual arousal to a specific object or activity) is repeatedly reinforced through association with a pleasurable consequence (orgasm). This mechanism may explain why paraphilic disorders are almost exclusively male disorders. The basic differences in frequency of masturbation between men and women that exist across cultures may contribute to the differential development of paraphilic disorders. As you have seen,

on rare occasions, cases of women with paraphilic disorders do turn up (Cortoni & Gannon, 2011; Federoff et al., 1999; Ford & Cortoni, 2008; Hunter & Mathews, 1997; Logan, 2009), and a comprehensive national study of 175 female child sexual abusers exists (Wiegel, 2008).

However, if early experiences contribute strongly to later sexual arousal patterns, then what about the Sambia males who practice exclusive homosexual behavior during childhood and early adolescence and yet are exclusively heterosexual as adults? In such cohesive societies, the social demands or “scripts” for sexual interactions are stronger and more rigid than in our culture and thus may override the effects of early experiences (Baldwin & Baldwin, 1989).


In addition, therapists and sex researchers who work with individuals with paraphilic disorders have observed what seems to be an incredibly strong sex drive. It is not uncommon for some individuals to masturbate three or four times a day. In one case seen in our clinic, a sadistic rapist masturbated approximately every half hour all day long, just as often as it was physiologically possible. We have speculated elsewhere that activity this consuming may be related to the obsessional processes of obsessive-compulsive disorder (Barlow, 2002). In both instances, the very act of trying to suppress unwanted, emotionally charged thoughts and fantasies seems to have the paradoxical effect of *increasing* their frequency and intensity (see Chapter 5). This process is also ongoing in people with eating disorders and addictions, when attempts to restrict strong addictive cravings lead to uncontrollable increases in the undesired behaviors.

Psychopathologists are also becoming interested in the phenomenon of weak inhibitory control across these paraphilic disorders, which may indicate a weak biologically based behavioral inhibition system (BIS) in the brain (Ward & Beech, 2008) that might repress serotonergic functioning. (You may remember from Chapter 5 that the BIS is a brain circuit associated with anxiety and inhibition.)

The model shown in ● Figure 10.6 incorporates the factors thought to contribute to the development of paraphilic disorders. Nevertheless, all speculations, including the hypotheses we have described, have little scientific support at this time. For example, this model does not include the biological dimension. Excess arousal in paraphilic disorders could be biologically based. Before we can make any steadfast conclusions here, more research is needed.

Assessing and Treating Paraphilic Disorders

In recent years, researchers have developed sophisticated methods for assessing specific patterns of sexual arousal (Ponseti et al., 2012; Wincze, 2009; Wincze & Weisberg, 2015). This development is important in studying paraphilic disorder because sometimes even the individual presenting with the problem is not fully aware of what caused arousal. An individual once came in to our clinic complaining of uncontrollable arousal to open-toed white sandals worn by women. He noted that he was irresistibly drawn to any woman wearing such sandals and would follow her for miles. These urges occupied much of his summer.



● FIGURE 10.6

A model of the development of paraphilia.

Subsequent objective assessment revealed that the sandal itself had no erotic value for this individual; rather, he had a strong sexual attraction to women's feet, particularly moving in a certain way. He had no reason to hide this fact; it was just that he did not realize it himself.

Using the model of paraphilic disorders described previously, we assess each patient not only for the presence of paraphilic arousal but also for levels of desired arousal to adults, for social skills, and for the ability to form relationships. Tony had no problems with social skills: He was 52 years old, reasonably happily married, and generally compatible with his second wife. His major difficulty was his continuing strong, incestuous attraction to his daughter. Nevertheless, he loved his daughter and wished strongly to interact in a normal fatherly way with her.

Psychological Treatment

A number of treatment procedures are available for decreasing unwanted arousal. Most are behavior therapy procedures directed at changing the associations and context from arousing and pleasurable to neutral. One procedure, carried out entirely in the imagination of the patient, called **covert sensitization**, was first described by Joseph Cautela (1967; see also Barlow, 2004). In this treatment, patients associate sexually arousing images in

their imagination with some reasons why the behavior is harmful or dangerous. Before treatment, the patient knows about these reasons, but the immediate pleasure and strong reinforcement the sexual activity provides is enough to overcome any thoughts of possible harm or danger that might arise in the future. This process is what happens in much unwanted addictive behavior, where the short-term pleasure outweighs the long-term harm, including bulimia.


In imagination, harmful or dangerous consequences can be associated quite directly with the unwanted behavior and arousal in a powerful and emotionally meaningful way. One of the most powerful negative aspects of Tony's behavior was his embarrassment over the thought of being discovered by his current wife, other family members, or, most important, the family priest. Therefore, he was guided through the fantasy described here.

Tony... Imagining the Worst

You are alone with your daughter in your trailer. You realize that you want to caress her breasts. So you put your arm around her, slip your hand inside her blouse, and begin to caress her breasts. Unexpectedly the door to the trailer opens and in walks your wife with Father X (the family priest). Your daughter immediately jumps up and runs out the door. Your wife follows her. You are left alone with Father X. He is looking at you as if waiting for an explanation of what he has just seen. Seconds pass, but they seem like hours. You know what Father X must be thinking as he stands there staring at you. You are embarrassed and want to say something, but you can't seem to find the right words. You realize that Father X can no longer respect you as he once did. Father X finally says, "I don't understand this; this is not like you." You both begin to cry. You realize that you may have lost the love and respect of both Father X and your wife, who are important to you. Father X asks, "Do you realize what this has done to your daughter?" You think about this and you hear your daughter crying; she is hysterical. You want to run, but you can't. You are miserable and disgusted with yourself. You don't know if you will ever regain the love and respect of your wife and Father X. •

(Reproduced, with permission of the authors and publisher, from Harbert, T. L., Barlow, D. H., Hersen, M., & Austin, J. B. [1974]. Measurement and modification of incestuous behavior: A case study. *Psychological Reports*, 34, 79–86, © 1974 Psychological Reports.)

During six or eight sessions, the therapist narrates such scenes dramatically, and the patient is then instructed to imagine them daily until all arousal disappears. The results of Tony's treatment are presented in Figure 10.7. "Card-sort scores" are a measure of how much Tony wanted sexual interactions with his daughter in comparison with his wish for nonsexual fatherly interactions. His incestuous arousal was largely eliminated after 3 to 4 weeks, but the treatment did not affect his desire to interact with his daughter in a healthier manner. These results were confirmed by psychophysiological measurement of his arousal response. A



● FIGURE 10.7

Ratings of Tony's incestuous urges (deviant) and desire for normal interactions with his daughter (nondeviant) during covert sensitization treatment. (Reproduced, with permission, from Harbert, T. L., Barlow, D. H., Hersen, M., & Austin, J. B. [1974]. Measurement and modification of incestuous behavior: A case study. *Psychological Reports*, 34, 79–86, © 1974 Psychological Reports.)

return of some arousal at a 3-month follow up prompted us to ask Tony if anything unusual was happening in his life. He confessed that his marriage had taken a turn for the worse, and sexual relations with his wife had all but ceased. A period of marital therapy restored the therapeutic gains (see Figure 10.7). Several years later, after his daughter's therapist decided she was ready, she and Tony resumed a nonsexual relationship, which they both wanted.

Two major areas in Tony's life needed treatment: deviant (incestuous) sexual arousal and marital problems. Most individuals with paraphilic arousal patterns need a great deal of attention to family functioning or other interpersonal systems in which they operate (Fagan et al., 2002; Rice & Harris, 2002). In addition, many require intervention to help strengthen appropriate desired patterns of arousal. In **orgasmic reconditioning**, patients are instructed to masturbate to their usual fantasies but to substitute more desirable ones just before ejaculation. With repeated practice, patients should be able to begin the desired fantasy earlier in the masturbatory process and still retain their arousal. This technique, first described by Gerald Davison (1968), has been used with some success in a variety of settings (Brownell et al., 1977; Maletsky, 2002). Finally, as with most strongly pleasurable but undesirable behaviors (including addiction), care must be taken to provide the patient with coping skills to prevent slips or relapses. **Relapse prevention** treatment created for addictions (Laws & O'Donohue, 1997) does just that. Patients are taught to recognize the early signs of temptation and to institute a variety of self-control procedures before their urges become too strong.

Evidence on the effects of psychological treatments for sexual offenders is decidedly mixed at this time. For sexual offenders who have come into contact with the legal system, including those who are incarcerated (obviously a very severe group), the results are modest at best in terms of preventing later occurrences of offending (termed recidivism). Reviews of large numbers of studies with

these populations are hampered because of the substantially different methods and procedures in accessing recidivism rates. But several large surveys following up sexual offenders for a period of 4 to 5 years indicate reductions in sexual recidivism (that is, reoffending) among patients who have received psychological treatments of up to 11% to 20% over what would be expected with the usual and customary treatment, with cognitive-behavioral programs proving to be the most effective in reducing recidivism (Hanson et al., 2002; Lösel & Schmucker, 2005). On the other hand, a large study from the state of California with participants who were incarcerated for their sexual offense showed very little effect of any intervention in rates of sexual or violent offending over an 8-year follow-up period after these individuals were released (Marques, Wiederanders, Day, Nelson, & van Ommeren, 2005). Recently, new techniques have been incorporated to psychological treatments for sex offenders, including techniques to enhance motivation (motivational interviewing) described in Chapter 11, and a focus on the patient's strengths, with the hope that the addition of these techniques will increase the efficacy of treatment; however, more research is needed (Marshall & Marshall, 2014).

For outpatients, on the other hand, there is at least some evidence for success when treatment is carried out by an experienced professional. For example, Barry Maletzky, a psychiatrist at the University of Oregon Medical School, and his staff reported on the treatment of more than 8,000 sexual offenders of numerous types over 20 years (Maletzky, 2002). A variety of procedures were used in a program of 3 to 4 months in a clinic devoted exclusively to this type of treatment. What makes the report notable is that Maletzky collected objective physiological outcome measures using the penile strain gauge described earlier with almost every participant in the program, in addition to patients' reports of progress. In many cases, he also obtained corroborating information from families and legal authorities.

In his follow up of these patients, Maletzky (2002) defined a treatment as successful when someone had (1) completed all treatment sessions, (2) demonstrated no deviant sexual arousal on objective physiological testing at any annual follow-up testing session, (3) reported no deviant arousal or behavior at any time since treatment ended, and (4) had no legal record of any charges of deviant sexual activity, even if unsubstantiated. He defined as a treatment failure anyone who was not a success. Any offender who did not complete treatment for any reason was counted as a failure, even though some may well have benefited from the partial treatment and gone on to recover. Using this criteria, from 75% to 95% of individuals, depending on the type of sexual offense (such as pedophilia, rape, or voyeurism), had a successful outcome. Maletzky's results were not derived from a scientifically controlled clinical trial, however.

Men who rape had the lowest success rate among all offenders with a single diagnosis (75%), and individuals with multiple paraphilic disorders had the lowest success rate of any group. Maletzky (2002) also examined factors associated with failure. Among the strongest predictors were a history of unstable social relationships, an unstable employment history, strong denial the problem exists, a history of multiple victims, and a situation in which the offender continues to live with a victim (as might be typical in cases of

incest). Many of these problems characterize the presumably more severe incarcerated population mentioned above.

Nevertheless, other groups using similar treatment procedures have achieved comparable success rates (Abel, 1989; Becker, 1990; Fagan et al., 2002). Therapist knowledge and expertise seem to be important in successfully carrying out these treatments to prevent future sexual offenses among patients.

Judith Becker used the procedures described previously in a program for adolescent sexual offenders in an inner-city setting (see, for example, Becker, 1990; Morenz & Becker, 1995). Results indicate that a relatively low 10% of those who completed treatment had committed further sex crimes. These findings were important both because many adolescent offenders carry the AIDS virus and literally are putting their victims' lives in danger and because the recidivism rate of sexual offenders without treatment is high (see, for example, Hanson, Steffy, & Gauthier, 1993; Nagayama Hall, 1995), just as it is for all pleasurable but undesirable behavior, including substance abuse. More recently, an important study found that intervening with aggressive, victimizing, or highly inappropriate sexual behavior in children aged 5 to 12 with a CBT was effective in preventing sexual offending once they reached adolescence and adulthood 10 years later compared to a group receiving play therapy (Carpentier, Silovsky, & Chaffin, 2006). Only 2% of children receiving CBT had future sexual offenses. Preventing adult sexual offending would be an important advance if these results were replicated.

Drug Treatments

The most popular drug used to treat individuals with paraphilic disorders is an antiandrogen called *cypertropon acetate* (Bradford, 1997; Assumpção, Garcia, Garcia, Bradford, & Thibaut, 2014; Seto, 2009). This "chemical castration" drug eliminates sexual desire and fantasy by reducing testosterone levels dramatically, but fantasies and arousal return as soon as the drug is removed. A second drug is *medroxyprogesterone* (Depo-Provera is the injectable form), a hormonal agent that reduces testosterone (Assumpção et al., 2014; Fagan et al., 2002). These drugs may be useful for dangerous sexual offenders who do not respond to alternative treatments or to temporarily suppress sexual arousal in patients who require it, but it is not always successful. In an earlier report of the Maletzky series (1991), only 8 of approximately 5,000 patients required the drug because they showed no response whatsoever to psychological treatments. Rösler and Witztum (1998) report successful "chemical castration" of 30 men with severe long-standing paraphilic disorders using triptorelin, which inhibits gonadotropin secretion in men. This drug appears to be somewhat more effective than the other drugs mentioned here with fewer side effects, based on this one study. Of course, the drug is only effective if taken regularly, but most individuals, facing prison as the alternative, are highly motivated to comply with treatment. In another study, Maletzky, Tolan, and McFarland (2006) found that among sexual offenders released from prison for whom medication was considered to be possibly useful, a subset who actually received the medication had significantly fewer subsequent sexual offences than a group who, for a variety of reasons, did not receive the medication.

Summary

Based on evidence from a number of settings, evidence for the psychosocial treatment of paraphilic disorders is mixed, with more success reported in outpatient settings with presumably less severe, more stable patients. But most results are uncontrolled observations from a small number of clinical research centers, and results may not be as good in other clinics and offices. In any case, as with treatment for sexual dysfunctions, psychosocial approaches to paraphilic disorders are not readily available outside of specialized treatment centers. In the meantime, the outlook for most individuals with these disorders is bleak because paraphilic disorders run a chronic course and recurrence is common.

gender. While gender dysphoria can occur on a continuum (American Psychological Association [APA] Task Force of Gender Identity and Gender Variance, 2008; Cohen-Kettenis & Pfäfflin, 2010), at the extreme end of the continuum are individuals who reject their natal sex altogether and wish to change it. People with this disorder often feel trapped in a body of the wrong sex. Consider the case of Joe.

Joe... Trapped in the Wrong Body

Joe was a 17-year-old male and the last of five children. Although his mother had wanted a girl, he became her favorite child. His father worked long hours and had little contact with the boy. For as long as Joe could remember, he had thought of himself as a girl. He began dressing in girls' clothes of his own accord before he was 5 years old and continued cross-dressing into junior high school. He developed interests in cooking, knitting, crocheting, and embroidery, skills he acquired by reading an encyclopedia. His older brother often scorned him for his distaste of such "masculine" activities as hunting.

Joe associated mostly with girls during this period, although he remembered being strongly attached to a boy in the first grade. In his sexual fantasies, which developed around 12 years of age, he pictured himself as a female having intercourse with a male. His extremely effeminate behavior made him the object of scorn and ridicule when he entered high school at age 15. Usually passive and unassertive, he ran away from home and attempted suicide. Unable to continue in high school, he attended secretarial school, where he was the only boy in his class. During his first interview with a therapist, he reported, "I am a woman trapped in a man's body, and I would like to have surgery to become a woman." •

If the natal sex is female but the experienced gender (gender identity) is strongly male, the individual is typically referred to as a transsexual man or "transman," and a natal male would be a transwoman. If the individual has made the transition to full time living in their experienced gender (by interacting with people in their daily lives in a consistent manner in their desired gender) and they are preparing for, or have undergone sex reassignment surgery then they are referred to as "posttransition," and this is specified in the diagnostic criteria for gender dysphoria (see DSM Table 10.13). Some also prefer not to be referred to as a specific gender at all. For example, one person coming into our clinic recently preferred to be referred to as "hen" (a Swedish gender-neutral pronoun, used in place of "him" or "her").

Gender Dysphoria

What is it that makes you think you are a man? Or a woman? Clearly, it's more than your sexual arousal patterns or your anatomy. It's also more than the reactions and experiences of your family and society. The essence of your masculinity or femininity is a deep-seated personal sense called gender identity or the gender you actually experience. **Gender dysphoria** is present if a person's physical sex (male or female anatomy, also called "natal" sex) is not consistent with the person's sense of who he or she really is or with his or her experienced

Defining Gender Dysphoria

Gender dysphoria must be distinguished from transvestic fetishism, a paraphilic disorder (discussed earlier) in which individuals, usually males, are sexually aroused by wearing articles of clothing associated with the opposite sex. There is an occasional preference on the part of the male with transvestite patterns of sexual arousal

TABLE 10.13

Criteria for Gender Dysphoria

In Children:

- A.** A marked incongruence between one's experienced/expressed gender and assigned gender, of at least 6 months' duration, as manifested by at least six of the following (one of which must be Criterion A1)
1. A strong desire to be of the other gender or an insistence that one is the other gender (or some alternative gender different from one's assigned gender).
 2. In boys (assigned gender), a strong preference for cross-dressing or simulating female attire; or in girls (assigned gender), a strong preference for wearing only typical masculine clothing and a strong resistance to the wearing of typical feminine clothing.
 3. A strong preference for cross-gender roles in make-believe play or fantasy play.
 4. A strong preference for the toys, games, or activities stereotypically used or engaged in by the other gender.
 5. A strong preference for playmates of the other gender.
 6. In boys (assigned gender), a strong rejection of typically masculine toys, games, and activities and a strong avoidance of rough-and-tumble play or in girls (assigned gender), a strong rejection of typically feminine toys, games, and activities.
 7. A strong dislike of one's sexual anatomy.
 8. A strong desire for the primary and/or secondary sex characteristics that match one's experienced gender.

- B.** The condition is associated with clinically significant distress or impairment in social, school, or other important areas of functioning.

In Adolescents and Adults:

- A.** A marked incongruence between one's experienced/expressed gender and assigned gender, of at least 6 months' duration, as manifested by at least two of the following:
1. A marked incongruence between one's experienced/expressed gender and primary and/or secondary sex characteristics (or in young adolescents, the anticipated secondary sex characteristics).
 2. A strong desire to be rid of one's primary and/or secondary sex characteristics because of a marked incongruence with one's experienced/expressed gender (or in young adolescents, a desire to prevent the development of the anticipated secondary sex characteristics).
 3. A strong desire for the primary and/or secondary sex characteristics of the other gender.
 4. A strong desire to be of the other gender (or some alternative gender different from one's assigned gender).
 5. A strong desire to be treated as the other gender (or some alternative gender different from one's assigned gender).
 6. A strong conviction that one has the typical feelings and reactions of the other gender (or some alternative gender different from one's assigned gender).

- B.** The condition is associated with clinically significant distress or impairment in social, school, or other important areas of functioning.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

for the female role, but the primary purpose of cross-dressing is sexual gratification. In the case of gender dysphoria, the primary goal is not sexual gratification but rather the desire to live life openly in a manner consistent with that of the other gender.

Gender dysphoria can also occur among individuals with disorders of sex development (DSD), formerly known as *intersexuality* or *hermaphroditism* who are born with ambiguous genitalia associated with documented hormonal or other physical abnormalities. Depending on their particular mix of characteristics, individuals with DSDs are usually "assigned" to a specific sex at birth, sometimes undergoing surgery, as well as hormonal treatments, to alter their sexual anatomy. If gender dysphoria occurs in the context of a DSD, this should be specified when making a diagnosis. But most individuals with gender dysphoria have no demonstrated physical abnormalities. (We return to the issue of DSD later.)

Finally, gender dysphoria must be distinguished from the same-sex arousal patterns of a male who sometimes behaves effeminately, or a woman with same-sex arousal patterns and masculine mannerisms. Such an individual does not feel like a

woman trapped in a man's body or have any desire to be a woman, or vice versa. Note also, as the *DSM-5* criteria do, that gender identity is independent of sexual arousal patterns (APA, 2013; Savin-Williams, 2006). For example, a transwoman (a biological [natal] male with a strongly experienced feminine gender identity) may be sexually attracted to females. Similarly, Eli Coleman and his associates (Coleman, Bockting, & Gooren, 1993) reported on nine transmen in which the individuals were sexually attracted to men. Thus, heterosexual women before surgery were gay men after surgery. Chivers and Bailey (2000) compared a group of transmen who were attracted to men (a rare occurrence) with a group of transmen who were attracted to women (the usual pattern) both before and after surgery. They found the groups did not differ in the strength of their gender identity (as males), although the latter group was more sexually assertive and, understandably, more interested in surgery to create an artificial penis.

Lawrence (2005) studied 232 transwomen both before and after surgery and found that the majority (54%) were mostly heterosexual (attracted to women) before the surgery. This changed after surgery slightly for some and dramatically for a few, such

that only 25% remained attracted to women after surgery, thus making them technically gay. This latter group may constitute a distinct subset of transwomen with a different pattern of development called *autogynephilia*, in which gender dysphoria begins with a strong and specific sexual attraction to a fantasy of oneself (*auto*) as a female (*gyne*). This fantasy then progresses to a more comprehensive all-encompassing experienced gender as a female. Individuals in this subgroup of biological males were not effeminate as boys but became sexually aroused while cross-dressing and to fantasies of themselves as women. Over time, these fantasies progress to *becoming* a woman (Bailey, 2003; Carroll, 2007; Lawrence, 2013). This distinction is controversial, but it is supported by research (Carroll, 2007; see p. 379).

Gender dysphoria resulting in a rejection of natal sex is relatively rare. The estimated prevalence in natal males is between 5 and 14 per 1,000 and for natal females between 2 and 3 per 1,000 (American Psychiatric Association, 2013; 2015), occurring approximately 3 times more frequently in natal males than in natal females (American Psychological Association, 2008; Judge, O'Donovan, Callaghan, Gaoatswe, & O'Shea, 2014; Sohn & Bosinski, 2007). Many countries now require a series of legal steps to change gender. In Germany, between 2.1 and 2.4 per 100,000 in the population took at least the first legal step of changing their first names in the 1990s; in that country, the male:female ratio of people with gender dysphoria is 2.3:1 (Weitze & Osburg, 1996). Since 2006 in New York City, people may choose to alter the natal sex listed on their birth certificates following surgery.

In some cultures, individuals with a different gender experience are often accorded the status of "shaman" or "seer" and treated as wisdom figures. A shaman is almost always a male adopting a female role (see, for example, Coleman, Colgan, & Gooren, 1992). Stoller (1976) reported on two contemporary feminized Native American men who were not only accepted but also esteemed by their tribes for their expertise in healing rituals. Contrary to the respect accorded these individuals in some cultures, social tolerance for them remains relatively low in Western

cultures, although that is changing particularly as individuals such as Kaitlyn Jenner and Chaz Bono forthrightly and openly discuss gender dysphoria. In recent years, actors such as Laverne Cox, books such as "Becoming Nicole", and movies such as *The Danish Girl*, have also begun to raise awareness about gender dysphoria and encourage more discussion on the topic.

Causes

Research has yet to uncover any specific biological contributions to gender dysphoria or alternative gender experience for that matter, although it seems likely that a biological predisposition will be discovered. Coolidge, Thede, and Young (2002) estimated that genetics contributed about 62% to creating a vulnerability to experience gender dysphoria in their twin sample. Thirty-eight percent of the vulnerability came from nonshared (unique) environmental events. A study from the Netherlands twin registry suggested that 70% of the vulnerability for cross-gender behavior (behaving in a manner consistent with the opposite natal sex) was genetic as opposed to environmental, but this behavior is not the same as gender identity, which was not measured (as explained later) (van Beijsterveldt, Hudziak, & Boomsma, 2006). Gomez-Gil and colleagues (2010) found a somewhat higher prevalence of gender dysphoria than would be expected by chance in nontwin siblings of a larger group (995) of individuals with gender dysphoria. Segal (2006), on the other hand, found two monozygotic (identical) female twin pairs in which one twin had gender dysphoria and the other did not; no unusual medical or life history factors were identified to account for this difference. Nevertheless, genetic contributions are clearly part of the picture (Heylens et al., 2012).

Early research suggested that, as with sexual orientation, slightly higher levels of testosterone or estrogen at certain critical periods of development might masculinize a female fetus or feminize a male fetus (see, for example, Keefe, 2002). Variations in hormonal levels could occur naturally or because of medication that a pregnant mother is taking. Scientists have studied girls

aged 5 to 12 with an intersex condition known as congenital adrenal hyperplasia (CAH). In CAH, the brains of these chromosomal females are flooded with male hormones (androgens), which, among other results, produce mostly masculine external genitalia, although internal organs (ovaries and so on) remain female. Meyer-Bahlburg and colleagues (2004) studied 15 girls with CAH who had been correctly identified as female at birth and raised as girls and looked at their development. Compared with groups of girls and boys without CAH, the CAH girls were masculine in their behavior, but there were no differences in gender identity. Thus, scientists have yet to establish a link between prenatal hormonal influence and later gender identity, although it is still possible that one exists. Structural differences in the area of the brain that controls male sex hormones have also been observed in individuals with male-to-female gender dysphoria (Zhou, Hofman,

Featureflash Photo Agency/Shutterstock.com



Theo Wargo/Getty Images

Laverne Cox and Caitlin Jenner are both male-to-female transgender (trans women) who are strong and effective advocates for the transgender community.

Gooren, & Swaab, 1995; Hannema et al., 2014), with the result that the brains are comparatively more feminine. But it isn't clear whether this is a cause or an effect.

At least some evidence suggests that gender identity firms up between 18 months and 3 years of age (Ehrhardt & Meyer-Bahlburg, 1981; Money & Ehrhardt, 1972) and is relatively fixed after that. But newer studies suggest that possible preexisting biological factors have already had their impact. One interesting case illustrating this phenomenon was originally reported by Green and Money (1969), who described the sequence of events that occurred in the case of Bruce/Brenda. There do seem to be other case studies of children whose gender was reassigned at birth who adapted successfully (see, for example, Gearhart, 1989), but it certainly seems that biology expressed itself in Bruce's case.

Bruce/Brenda...

Gender and Biology

A set of male identical twins was born into a well-adjusted family. Several months later, an unfortunate accident occurred. Although circumcision went routinely for one of the boys, the physician's hand slipped so that the electric current in the device burned off the penis of the second baby. After working through their hostility toward the physician, the parents consulted specialists in children with intersexual problems and were faced with a choice. The specialists pointed out that the easiest solution would be to reassign their son Bruce as a girl, and the parents agreed. At the age of several months, Bruce became "Brenda." The parents purchased a new wardrobe and treated the child in every way possible as a girl. These twins were followed through childhood and, upon reaching puberty, the young girl was given hormonal replacement therapy. After 6 years, the doctors lost track of the case but assumed the child had adjusted well. However, Brenda endured almost intolerable inner turmoil. We know this because two clinical scientists found this individual and reported a long-term follow up (Diamond & Sigmundson, 1997). Brenda never adjusted to her assigned gender. As a child, she preferred rough-and-tumble play and resisted wearing girls' clothes. In public bathrooms, she often insisted on urinating while standing up, which usually made a mess. By early adolescence, Brenda was pretty sure she was a boy, but her doctors pressed her to act more feminine. When she was 14, she confronted her parents, telling them she was so miserable she was considering suicide. At that point, they told her the true story, and the muddy waters of her mind began to clear. Shortly thereafter, Brenda had additional surgery changing her back to Bruce, who married and became the father of three adopted children. But the turmoil of his early life never fully resolved. Perhaps because of this, perhaps because his twin brother had recently died and he had lost his job and was divorcing, or perhaps because of a combination of these factors, David Reimer (his real name) committed suicide at age 38 in 2004. •

Richard Green, a pioneering researcher in this area, has studied boys who behave in feminine ways and girls who behave in masculine ways, investigating what makes them that way and following what happens to them (Green, 1987). This set of behaviors and attitudes is referred to as **gender nonconformity** (see, for example, Skidmore, Linsenmeier, & Bailey, 2006). Green discovered that when most young boys spontaneously display "feminine" interests and behaviors, they are typically discouraged by most families, and these behaviors usually cease. Boys who consistently display these behaviors are not discouraged, however, and are sometimes encouraged.

Other factors, such as excessive attention and physical contact on the part of the mother, may also play some role, as may a lack of male playmates during the early years of socialization. These are just some factors identified by Green as characteristic of gender-nonconforming boys. Remember that as-yet-undiscovered biological factors may also contribute to the spontaneous display of cross-gender behaviors and interests. For example, one recent study found that exposure to higher levels of fetal testosterone was associated with more masculine play behavior in both boys and girls during childhood (Auyeng et al., 2009). In following up with these boys, however, Green discovered that few seem to develop the gender incongruence. The most likely outcome is the development of homosexual preferences, but even this particular sexual arousal pattern seems to occur exclusively in only approximately 40% of the gender-nonconforming boys. Another 32% show some degree of *bisexuality*, sexual attraction to both their own and the opposite sex. Looking at it from the other side, 60% were functioning heterosexually. These results were replicated in subsequent prospective studies of boys (Zucker, 2005). Girls with gender-nonconforming behavior are seldom studied, because their behavior attracts much less attention in Western societies. But one recent study followed 25 girls prospectively, beginning at approximately 9 years of age, whose behavior was extreme enough that they were referred to a gender identity clinic. Most of these girls met criteria for childhood gender dysphoria disorder or came very close to it. At a follow up, when these girls (now women) averaged 25 years of age, only three met criteria for gender dysphoria. Another six reported bisexual/homosexual behavior; eight more would have homosexual fantasies but not behavior. The remaining eight women were heterosexual (Drummond, Bradley, Peterson-Badali, & Zucker, 2008).

This finding of only a very loose relationship between gender-nonconforming behavior and later sexual development is not unique to American culture. For example, similar relationships between early gender-nonconforming behavior and later development exist among the Fa'afafine, a group of males with homosexual orientation in the Pacific Islands country of Samoa (Bartlett & Vasey, 2006). And even in strict Muslim societies where any hint of gender-nonconforming behavior is severely discouraged, gender-nonconforming behavior, gender dysphoria, or both may develop (Doğan & Doğan, 2006). We can safely say that the causes of the development of incongruent experienced gender is still something of a mystery.

Treatment

Treatment is available for gender dysphoria in specialty clinics around the world, although much controversy surrounds

treatment (Carroll, 2007; Meyer-Bahlburg, 2010). For adults requesting full sex transition treatment guidelines from both the American Psychiatric Association and the American Psychological Association have now been published (American Psychological Association, 2015; Byne et al., 2012). The treatment guidelines published by the American Psychological Association (2015) highlight the diversity of problems facing gender-nonconforming individuals and encourage therapists to take a holistic view of these patients (i.e., helping to build resilience, working within existing family structures, and collaborating with other care providers). Recommendations from the American Psychiatric Association guidelines, when addressing adult patients with gender dysphoria more specifically, begin with the least intrusive step of full psychological evaluation and education before proceeding to partially reversible steps such as administration of gonadal hormones to bring about desired secondary sex characteristics. The final nonreversible step is to alter anatomy physically to be consistent with gender identity through **sex reassignment surgery**.

Sex Reassignment Surgery

To qualify for surgery at a reputable clinic, individuals must live in the desired gender for 1 to 2 years so that they can be sure they want to change sex. They also must be stable psychologically, financially, and socially. In transwomen, hormones are administered to promote *gynecomastia* (the growth of breasts) and the development of other secondary sex characteristics. Facial hair is typically removed through electrolysis. If the individual is satisfied with the events of the trial period, the genitals are removed and a vagina is constructed.

For transmen, an artificial penis is typically constructed through plastic surgery, using sections of skin and muscle from elsewhere in the body, such as the thigh. Breasts are surgically removed. Genital surgery is more difficult and complex in natal females. Estimates of satisfaction with surgery indicate predominantly successful adjustment (between 75% and 100% generally satisfied) among those who could be reached for follow ups, with transmen generally adjusting better than transwomen (Blanchard & Steiner, 1992; Bodlund & Kullgren, 1996; Byne et al., 2012; Carroll, 2007; Costantino et al., 2013; Johansson, Sundbom, Höjerback, & Bodlund, 2010). Many people were not available for follow up, however. Approximately 1% to 7% of individuals who have sex reassignment surgery and were reached for follow-up later regret having the surgery to some extent (Bancroft, 1989; Byne et al., 2012; Dhejne, Öberg, Aryer, & Landén, 2014; Johansson et al., 2010; Lundstrom, Pauly, & Walinder, 1984). This is unfortunate, because the surgery is irreversible. Also, as many as 2% attempt suicide after surgery, a rate much higher than the rate for the general population. One problem may be incorrect diagnosis and assessment. For example, one study of 186 Dutch psychiatrists reporting on 584 patients presenting with gender dysphoria revealed little consensus on diagnostic features or the minimum age at which sex reassignment surgery is safe. Rather, the decision seemed to rest on personal preferences of the psychiatrist (Campo, Nijman, Merckelbach, & Evers, 2003). These assessments are complex and should always be done at highly specialized gender



Reuters/Sir Old

After gender reassignment as a baby and subsequently being raised as a girl, David Reimer reclaimed his male gender identity in his teens and lived his life as a man. He spoke out against infant gender reassignment until his death in 2004.

clinics. Predictors of regret in addition to misdiagnosis include the presence of comorbid diagnoses such as alcohol use and psychosis, and poor family support (Byne et al., 2012). Nevertheless, surgery has made life worth living for many people who suffered the effects of existing in what they felt to be the wrong body with rates of satisfaction in recent years averaging about 90% (Johansson et al., 2010).

Treatment of Gender Nonconformity in Children

Even more controversial is the treatment of gender-nonconforming children. On the one hand, some segments of society, particularly in more traditionally tolerant areas of the country such as San Francisco and New York, are becoming more open to gender variations in both children and adults. In some schools, children are being allowed and even encouraged to dress and appear in gender-nonconforming ways on the assumption that this gives freer rein to who they “really are” (Brown, 2006). Indeed, one study suggests that gender non-conforming children, who presented themselves according to their gender identity in everyday life exhibit cognitions more consistent with their expressed gender than their natal sex (Olson, Key, & Eaton, 2015). On the other hand, Skidmore and colleagues (2006) examined whether gender nonconformity was related to psychological distress in a community-based sample of gay men and lesbians. Gender nonconformity was measured by self-reports of childhood gender nonconformity, as well as ratings of current behavior. The researchers found that gender nonconformity was related to psychological distress (depression, anxiety), but only for gay men and not for lesbians. More recent research has also found that gender nonconformity is associated with lower levels of psychological well-being, but it has not found the same interaction with sexual orientation (Rieger & Savin-Williams, 2012); thus more research is needed in this area.

Although only a minority of gay men report gender nonconformity as boys; research indicates that many of these

gender-nonconforming boys defeminize as they reach adulthood, perhaps because of persistent social pressure from their family and peers. Also, interventions exist to alter gender-nonconforming behavior in young children to avoid the ostracism and scorn these children encounter in most school settings (e.g., Rekers, Kilgus, & Rosen, 1990). Other interventions exist to build resilience in children who exhibit gender-nonconforming behavior by strengthening their relationships with peers and caregivers, increasing their sense of self-control, and increasing their sense of belonging within a community or culture (Allan & Ungar, 2014).

Thus, society is faced with a dilemma that requires more research. Should the free expression of gender nonconformity be encouraged knowing that, in most parts of world, gender nonconformity will make for difficult social adaptation leading to substantial psychological distress for decades to come, particularly since the gender nonconformity is unlikely to persist into adolescence or adulthood? Or will psychological adjustment be more positive if gender nonconformity is allowed and facilitated? If research confirms that adjustment is more positive if individuals find their own place on a gender continuum, then large-scale campaigns to alter social norms may well occur along the lines of the successful campaigns of the past several decades for gay rights, after a consensus developed in the 1970s that homosexuality was not a disorder. Research will continue on this important and interesting topic.

Treatment guidelines developed by the American Psychiatric Association and the American Psychological Association for gender nonconformity in youth simply outline the options available (American Psychological Association, 2015; Byne et al., 2012). One option would be to work with the child and caregivers to lessen gender dysphoria and decrease cross-gender behaviors and identification on the assumption that these behaviors are unlikely to persist anyway and the negative consequences of social rejection could be avoided and that avoiding later intrusive surgery would be desirable. A second approach could be described as “watchful waiting” by letting expressed gender unfold naturally. This goal requires strong support from caregivers and the community because of the potential social and interpersonal risks and lack of integration with peer groups. Yet a third approach advocates actively affirming and encouraging cross-gender identification, but critics point out that gender nonconformity usually does not persist and that taking this course would increase the likelihood of persistence. There is very little hard scientific information on which course would be the most beneficial for a given child.

More recently, new treatment approaches have been developed in some clinics for children who more clearly identify as transsexual. Given the irreversible nature of many treatments for gender dysphoria, treatment for these children needs to be administered with caution. One specialty clinic for these children at well-known Children’s Hospital in Boston, has attracted attention for their treatment approach. In pre-pubescent children, first-line treatments include psychoeducation and therapy

to help clarify gender identity and navigate the complex social issues associated with cross-gender identification. In individuals closer to puberty, psychotherapy is also recommended. However, a medical intervention that blocks puberty is also available (if, after detailed assessment, it is determined that such treatment would be in the best interest of the patient based on the severity of the discordance between gender identity and natal sex as well as family and social considerations). This medication allows the adolescent time to continue exploring gender identity issues without the added stress of beginning puberty in a gender that is inconsistent with their identity (Tishelman et al., 2014). While this treatment has received some positive press in recent years, it still remains controversial in many parts of the United States.

Treatment of Disorders of Sex Development (Intersexuality)

As we noted, surgery and hormonal replacement therapy has been standard treatment for many individuals with DSDs who may be born with physical characteristics of both sexes in order to make their sexual anatomy match as closely to their assigned gender as possible. These procedures usually take place soon after birth. But in later years, gender dysphoria may also develop in these individuals, and, if it does, a similar sequence of treatment steps beginning with the least intrusive would be initiated as described above (Byne et al., 2012). Of course, treatment for gender dysphoria in any form has always been controversial and particularly so when a DSD is present. For example, one alternative approach has been proposed by Anne Fausto-Sterling, who suggests that there are actually five sexes: males; females; “herms,” who are named after true hermaphrodites, or people born with both testes and ovaries; “merms,” who are anatomically more male than female but possess some aspect of female genitalia; and “ferms,” who have ovaries but possess some aspect of male genitalia (Fausto-Sterling, 2000a, 2000b; 2015). She estimates, based on the best evidence available, that for every 1,000 children born, 17, or 1.7%, may be present with a DSD in some form. What Fausto-Sterling (2000b) and others have noted is that individuals in this group are often dissatisfied with surgery, much as Bruce was in the case we described. There have been instances in which doctors, upon observing anatomical sexual ambiguity after birth, treat it as an emergency and immediately perform surgery.

Fausto-Sterling suggests that an increasing number of pediatric endocrinologists, urologists, and psychologists are examining the wisdom of early genital surgery that results in an irreversible gender assignment. Instead, health professionals may want to examine closely the precise nature of the DSD and consider surgery only as a last resort, and only when they are quite sure the particular condition will lead to a specific psychological gender identity. Otherwise, psychological treatments to help individuals adapt to their particular sexual anatomy, or their emerging gender experience, might be more appropriate.

DSM Controversies: *Paraphilia or Paraphilic Disorder?*

In DSM-IV, a paraphilia was diagnosed based on the presence of intense and persistent sexual interest as determined by the presence of strong sexual fantasies, sexual urges, or behaviors generally involving nonhuman objects, the suffering or humiliation of oneself or one's partner, or children or other nonconsenting persons. It was also noted that these fantasies, urges, or behaviors cause clinically significant distress or impairment. In DSM-5, an explicit distinction is made between paraphilia, which denotes any intense and persistent sexual interest other than sexual interest and behaviors with phenotypically normal, physically mature, consenting human partners, and paraphilic disorder.

A paraphilic disorder is a paraphilia which either causes distress or impairment

to the individual or personal harm or risk of harm to others. Thus, one might have a strong and persistent sexual interest and arousal pattern in young children, but if it is not acted on and does not cause personal distress, it is not by definition a disorder; although abnormal, it is no different than being non-normally tall or short. This change was made to accommodate the high prevalence of "paraphilic" type fantasies that might occasionally occur among people without mental disorders (Ahlers et al., 2011). An example would be a harmless fetish for an article of clothing that is incorporated into sexual activity with a willing partner. Here, the individual would have a paraphilia but not a paraphilic disorder. But what if


the paraphilia focused on sex with small children or inflicting grievous harm on other individuals? Advocates of the change would point out that if these fantasies are not acted upon in any way, no harm is done and it is not a disorder, and that it does more harm to pathologize this very private behavior than to call it a mental disorder. Critics would point out that it is highly unlikely that anyone with such a strong and persistent pattern of sexual arousal would not at least watch an occasional video on the Internet if it were readily available, and in so doing, harm would occur. This example is yet another point of contention in the fundamental question of what defines a mental disorder, discussed in Chapter 1.

Exploring Sexual Disorders and Gender Dysphoria

- Sexual behavior is considered normal in our culture unless it is associated with one of three kinds of impaired functioning—gender dysphoria, sexual dysfunction, or paraphilic disorders.
- Sexual orientation probably has a strong biological basis that is influenced by environmental and social factors.


GENDER DYSPHORIA

Present when a person feels trapped in a body that is the “wrong” sex, that does not match his or her innate sense of personal identity. (Gender identity is independent of sexual arousal patterns.) Relatively rare.



Treatment

- Sex reassignment surgery: removal of breasts or penis; genital reconstruction
 - Requires rigorous psychological preparation and financial and social stability
- Psychosocial intervention to change gender identity
 - Usually unsuccessful except as temporary relief until surgery



PARAPHILIC DISORDERS

Sexual arousal occurs almost exclusively in the context of inappropriate objects or individuals.

Types

- **Fetishistic disorder:** Sexual attraction to nonliving objects
- **Voyeuristic disorder:** Sexual arousal achieved by viewing unsuspecting person undressing or naked
- **Exhibitionistic disorder:** Sexual gratification from exposing one's genitals to unsuspecting strangers
- **Transvestic disorder:** Sexual arousal from wearing opposite-sex clothing (cross-dressing)
- **Sexual sadism disorder:** Sexual arousal associated with inflicting pain or humiliation
- **Sexual masochism disorder:** Sexual arousal associated with experiencing pain or humiliation
- **Pedophilic disorder:** Strong sexual attraction to children
- **Incest:** Sexual attraction to family member



PhotoDisc/Getty Images

Causes

- Preexisting deficiencies
 - In levels of arousal with consensual adults
 - In consensual adult social skills
- Treatment received from adults during childhood
- Early sexual fantasies reinforced by masturbation
- Extremely strong sex drive combined with uncontrollable thought processes



Thinkstock/Getty Images

Treatment

- **Covert sensitization:** Repeated mental reviewing of aversive consequences to establish negative associations with behavior
- **Relapse prevention:** Therapeutic preparation for coping with future situations
- **Orgasmic reconditioning:** Pairing appropriate stimuli with masturbation to create positive arousal patterns
- **Medical:** Drugs that reduce testosterone to suppress sexual desire; fantasies and arousal return when drugs are stopped



PhotoDisc/Getty Images


SEXUAL DYSFUNCTIONS

Sexual dysfunctions can be

- **Lifelong:** Present during entire sexual history
- **Acquired:** Interrupts normal sexual pattern
- **Generalized:** Present in every encounter
- **Situational:** Present only with certain partners or at certain times

The Human Sexual Response Cycle

A dysfunction is an impairment in one of the sexual response stages.



Types of Sexual Dysfunctions

Sexual Desire Disorders

- **Male hypoactive sexual desire disorder:** Apparent lack of interest in sexual activity or fantasy

Sexual Arousal Disorders

- **Erectile disorder:** Recurring inability to achieve or maintain adequate erection
- **Female sexual interest/arousal disorder:** Recurring inability to achieve or maintain adequate lubrication

Orgasm Disorders

- **Female orgasmic disorder:** Inability to achieve orgasm despite adequate desire and arousal
- **Premature ejaculation:** Ejaculation before it is desired, with minimal stimulation

Sexual Pain Disorders

- **Genito-pelvic pain/penetration disorder:** Marked pain, anxiety, and tension associated with intercourse for which there is no medical cause; vaginismus (i.e., involuntary muscle spasms in the front of the vagina that prevent or interfere with intercourse); occurs in females

Psychological and Physical Interactions

- A combination of influences is almost always present
 - Specific biological predisposition and psychological factors may produce a particular disorder

Psychological Contributions

- Distraction
- Underestimates of arousal
- Negative thought processes

Biological Contributions

- Neurological or other nervous system problems
- Vascular disease
- Chronic illness
- Prescription medication
- Drugs of abuse, including alcohol

Sociocultural Contributions

- Erotophobia, caused by formative experiences of sexual cues as alarming
- Negative experiences, such as rape
- Deterioration of relationship

Treatment

- **Psychosocial:** Therapeutic program to facilitate communication, improve sexual education, and eliminate anxiety. Both partners participate fully.
- **Medical:** Almost all interventions focus on male erectile disorder, including drugs, prostheses, and surgery. Medical treatment is combined with sexual education and therapy to achieve maximum benefit.

©Romilly Lockyer/Brand X Pictures/
PictureQuest/Jupiter Images



Substance-Related, Addictive, and Impulse-Control Disorders

CHAPTER OUTLINE

Perspectives on Substance-Related and Addictive Disorders

- Levels of Involvement
- Diagnostic Issues

Depressants

- Alcohol-Related Disorders
- Sedative-, Hypnotic-, or Anxiolytic-Related Disorders

Stimulants

- Stimulant-Related Disorders
- Tobacco-Related Disorders
- Caffeine-Related Disorders

Opioid-Related Disorders

Cannabis-Related Disorders

Hallucinogen-Related Disorders

Other Drugs Of Abuse

Causes of Substance-Related Disorders

- Biological Dimensions
- Psychological Dimensions
- Cognitive Dimensions
- Social Dimensions
- Cultural Dimensions
- An Integrative Model

Treatment of Substance-Related Disorders

- Biological Treatments
- Psychosocial Treatments
- Prevention

Gambling Disorder

Impulse-Control Disorders

- Intermittent Explosive Disorder
- Kleptomania
- Pyromania



Jan Mika/Shutterstock.com

Use scientific reasoning to interpret behavior:

- Identify basic biological, psychological, and social components of behavioral explanations (e.g., inferences, observations, operational definitions and interpretations) [APA SLO 2.1a] (see textbook pages 420–426)

Engage in innovative and integrative thinking and problem solving:

- Describe problems operationally to study them empirically. [APA SLO 2.3a] (see textbook pages 408–428, 441–443)

Describe applications that employ discipline-based problem solving:

- Correctly identify antecedents and consequences of behavior and mental processes [APA SLO 5.3c] (see textbook pages 431–433) Describe examples of relevant and practical applications of psychological principles to everyday life [APA SLO 1.3c] (see textbook pages 435–440)

*Portions of this chapter cover learning outcomes suggested by the American Psychological Association (2013) in its guidelines for the undergraduate psychology major. Chapter coverage of these outcomes is identified above by APA Goal and APA Suggested Learning Outcome (SLO).

Would you be surprised if we told you that a group of psychological disorders costs U.S. citizens hundreds of billions of dollars each year, kills 500,000 Americans annually, and is implicated in street crime, homelessness, and gang violence? Would you be even more surprised to learn that most of us have behaved in ways characteristic of these disorders at some point in our lives? You shouldn't. Smoking cigarettes, drinking alcohol, and using illegal drugs are all related to these disorders, and they are responsible for astronomical financial costs and the tragic waste of hundreds of thousands of human lives each year. In this chapter, we explore **substance-related and addictive disorders**, which are associated with the abuse of drugs and other substances people take to alter the way they think, feel, and behave. In addition, the newly added disorder to this category in *DSM-5*—gambling disorder—will be discussed. These disorders have cursed us for centuries and continue to affect how we live, work, and play.

Equally disruptive to the people affected, **impulse-control disorders** represent a number of related problems that involve the inability to resist acting on a drive or temptation. Included in this group are those who cannot resist aggressive impulses or the impulse to steal, for example, or to set fires. Controversy surrounds substance-related, addictive, and impulse-control disorders because our society sometimes believes that these problems result simply from a lack of “will.” If you wanted to stop drinking, using cocaine, or gambling, well, you would just stop. We first examine those individuals who are being harmed by their use of a variety of chemical substances (substance-related disorders) or their addictive behaviors (gambling disorder) and then turn our attention to the puzzling array of disorders that are under the heading of impulse-control disorders.

Perspectives on Substance-Related and Addictive Disorders

The cost in lives, money, and emotional turmoil has made the issue of drug abuse a major concern worldwide. Currently, around

9.7% of the general population (12 years or older) are believed to use illegal drugs (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration [SAMHSA], 2013). Many U.S. presidential administrations have declared various “wars on drugs,” but the problem remains. The Roman Catholic Church issued a universal catechism in 1992 that officially declared drug abuse and drunk driving to be sins (Riding, 1992). Yet from the drug-related deaths of rock stars Jimi Hendrix and Janis Joplin in 1970 to contemporary celebrities such as Michael Jackson, Whitney Houston, and Amy Winehouse, drug use continues to negatively impact the lives of many. And stories such as these not only are about the rich and famous but are retold in every corner of our society.

As we have just seen, a significant number of people continue to use illicit drugs and abuse prescription drugs regularly. Consider the case of Danny, who has the disturbing but common habit of **alcohol use disorder**, and several substance use disorders.

Danny... Comorbid Substance Use Disorders

At the age of 43, Danny was in jail, awaiting trial on vehicular manslaughter charges stemming from a DUI accident that left one woman dead. Danny’s story illustrates the lifelong pattern that characterizes the behavior of many people who are affected by substance-related disorders.

Danny grew up in the suburbs in the United States, the youngest of three children. He was well liked in school and an average student. Like many of his friends, he smoked cigarettes in his early teens and drank beer with his friends at night behind his high school. Unlike most of his friends, however, Danny almost always drank until he was obviously drunk; he also experimented with many other drugs, including cocaine, heroin, “speed” (amphetamines), and “downers” (barbiturates).

(Continued next page)

After high school, Danny attended a local community college for one semester, but he dropped out after failing most of his courses. His dismal performance in school seemed to be related to his missing most classes rather than to an inability to learn and understand the material. He had difficulty getting up for classes after partying most of the night, which he did with increasing frequency. His moods were highly variable, and he was often unpleasant. Danny's family knew he occasionally drank too much, but they didn't know (or didn't want to know) about his other drug use. He had for years forbidden anyone to go into his room after his mother found little packets of white powder (probably cocaine) in his sock drawer. He said he was keeping them for a friend and that he would return them immediately. He was furious that his family might suspect him of using drugs. Money was sometimes missing from the house, and once some stereo equipment "disappeared," but if his family members suspected Danny they never admitted it.

Danny held a series of low-paying jobs, and when he was working his family reassured themselves that he was back on track and things would be fine. Unfortunately, he rarely held a job for more than a few months. The money he earned usually turned into drugs, and he was usually fired for poor job attendance and performance. Because he continued to live at home, Danny could survive despite frequent periods of unemployment. When he was in his late 20s, Danny seemed to have a personal revelation. He announced that he needed help and planned to check into an alcohol rehabilitation center; he still would not admit to using other drugs. His family's joy and relief were overwhelming, and no one questioned his request for several thousand dollars to help pay for the private program he said he wanted to attend. Danny disappeared for several weeks, presumably because he was in the rehabilitation program. However, a call from the local police station put an end to this fantasy: Danny had been found quite high, living in an abandoned building. As with many of these incidents, we never learned all the details, but it appears that Danny spent his family's money on drugs and had a 3-week binge with some friends. Danny's deceptiveness and financial irresponsibility greatly strained his relationship with his family. He was allowed to continue living at home, but his parents and siblings excluded him from their emotional lives. Following this, Danny seemed to straighten out, and he held a job at a gas station for almost 2 years. He became friendly with the station owner and his son, and he often went hunting with them during the season. Without any obvious warning, however, Danny resumed drinking and using drugs and was arrested for robbing the very place that had kept him employed for many months.

Although he received probation for that offense upon promising to attend drug treatment, his pattern continued. Years later while driving under the influence of multiple substances, he hit another car and the 28-year-old driver of that car was killed.

Why did Danny's drug use become so problematic when many of his friends' and siblings' use did not? Why did he steal from his family and friends? What ultimately became of him? We return to Danny's frustrating story later when we look at the causes and treatment of substance-related disorders. •

Levels of Involvement

Although each drug described in this chapter has unique effects, there are similarities in the ways they are used and how people who abuse them are treated. First, we present some concepts that apply to substance-related disorders in general, noting important terminology and addressing several diagnostic issues.

Can you use drugs without meeting criteria for a disorder? Can you use drugs and not become addicted to them? To answer these important questions, we first need to outline what we mean by *substance use*, *substance intoxication*, *substance use disorder*, and *substance dependence/addiction*. The term *substance* refers to chemical compounds that are ingested to alter mood or behavior. **Psychoactive substances** alter mood, behavior, or both. Although you might first think of drugs such as cocaine and heroin, this definition also includes more commonplace legal drugs such as alcohol, the nicotine found in tobacco, and the caffeine in coffee, soft drinks, and chocolate. As you will see, these so-called safe drugs also affect mood and behavior, they can be addictive, and they account for more health problems and a greater mortality rate than all illegal drugs combined. You could make a good argument for directing the war on drugs to cigarette smoking (nicotine use) because of its addictive properties and negative health consequences.



©Daily Mirror/Mirrorpix

Model Kate Moss was photographed in 2005 preparing and snorting cocaine. There is an increasing concern that celebrity use of illegal drugs glamorizes drug use without showing the negative effects.



IVASStudio/Shutterstock.com

Substance use.

Substance Use

Substance use is the ingestion of psychoactive substances in moderate amounts that does not significantly interfere with social, educational, or occupational functioning. Most of you reading this chapter probably use some sort of psychoactive substance occasionally. Drinking a cup of coffee in the morning to wake up or smoking a cigarette and having a drink with a friend to relax are examples of substance use, as is the occasional ingestion of illegal drugs such as cannabis, cocaine, amphetamines, or barbiturates.

Intoxication

Our physiological reaction to ingested substances—drunkenness or getting high—is **substance intoxication**. For a person to become intoxicated, many variables interact, including the type of drug taken, the amount ingested, and the person's individual biological reaction. For many of the substances we discuss here, intoxication is experienced as impaired judgment, mood changes, and lowered motor ability (for example, problems walking or talking).

Substance Use Disorders

Defining **substance use disorders** by how much of a substance is ingested is problematic. For example, is drinking two glasses of wine in an hour abuse? Three glasses? Six? Is taking one injection of heroin considered abuse? The fifth edition of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM-5)* (American Psychiatric Association, 2013) defines substance use disorders in terms of how significantly the use interferes with the user's life. If substances disrupt your education,

job, or relationships with others, and put you in physically dangerous situations (for example, while driving) you would be considered to have a disorder. Some evidence suggests that drug use can predict later job outcomes. In one study, researchers controlled for factors such as educational interests and other problem behavior, and still found that repeated hard drug use (using one or more of the following: amphetamines, barbiturates, crack, cocaine, PCP, LSD, other psychedelics, crystal meth, inhalants, heroin, or other narcotics) predicted poor job outcomes after college (Arria et al., 2013).

Danny seems to fit this definition of a disorder. His inability to complete a semester of community college was a direct result of drug use. Danny often drove while drunk or under the influence of other drugs, and he had already been arrested twice. Danny's use of multiple substances was so relentless and pervasive that he would probably be diagnosed with severe forms of the disorders.

Substance use disorder is usually described as addiction. Although we use the term *addiction* routinely when we describe people who seem to be under the control of drugs, there is some disagreement about how to define addiction (Rehm et al., 2013; Edwards, 2012). In order to meet criteria for a disorder, a person must meet criteria for at least two symptoms in the past year that interfered with his/her life or bothered him/her a great deal. When a person has four or five symptoms, he or she is considered to fall in the moderate range. A severe substance use disorder would be someone like Danny that has six or more symptoms. Symptoms for substance use disorders can include a **physiological dependence** on the drug or drugs, meaning the use of increasingly greater amounts of the drug to experience the same effect (**tolerance**), and a negative physical response when the substance is no longer



Monkey Business Images/Shutterstock.com

Intoxication.

ingested (**withdrawal**) (Higgins, Sigmon, & Heil, 2014). Tolerance and withdrawal are physiological reactions to the chemicals being ingested. Have you ever experienced a headache when you didn't get your morning coffee? You were probably going through caffeine withdrawal. In a more extreme example, withdrawal from alcohol can cause alcohol withdrawal delirium, in which a person can experience frightening hallucinations and body tremors (a condition described later in this chapter). Withdrawal from many substances can bring on chills, fever, diarrhea, nausea and vomiting, and aches and pains. Not all substances are physiologically addicting, however. For example, you do not go through severe physical withdrawal when you stop taking LSD. Cocaine withdrawal has a pattern that includes anxiety, sleep changes, lack of motivation, and boredom (DSM-5; American Psychiatric Association, 2013), and withdrawal from cannabis includes such symptoms as irritability, nervousness, appetite change, and sleep disturbance (DSM-5). We return to the ways drugs act on our bodies when we examine the causes of abuse and addiction.


Other symptoms that make up a substance use disorder include "drug-seeking behaviors." The repeated use of a drug, a desperate need to ingest more of the substance (stealing money to buy drugs, standing outside in the cold to smoke), and the likelihood that use will resume after a period of abstinence are behaviors that define the extent of substance use disorders. Such behavioral reactions are different from the physiological responses to drugs we described before and are sometimes referred to in terms of psychological dependence. The previous version of the *DSM* considered substance abuse and **substance dependence** as separate diagnoses. The *DSM-5* combines the two into the general definition of substance-related disorders based on research that suggests the two co-occur (American Psychiatric Association, 2013; Dawson, Goldstein, & Grant, 2012; O'Brien, 2011).

Let's go back to the questions we started with: "Can you use drugs and not abuse them?" and "Can you abuse drugs and not become addicted to them?" The answer to the first question is yes. Some people drink wine or beer regularly without drinking to excess. And contrary to popular belief, some people use drugs such as heroin, cocaine, or crack (a form of cocaine) occasionally (for instance, several times a year) without abusing them (Ray, 2012). What is disturbing is that we do not know ahead of time who might be likely to lose control and abuse these drugs and who is likely to become dependent with even a passing use of a substance.

It may seem counterintuitive, but dependence can be present without abuse. For example, cancer patients who take morphine for pain may become dependent on the drug—build up a tolerance and go through withdrawal if it is stopped—without abusing it (Flemming, 2010; Portenoy & Mathur, 2009). Later in this chapter, we discuss biological and psychosocial theories of the causes of substance-related disorders and why we have individualized reactions to these substances.

Diagnostic Issues

In early editions of the *DSM*, alcoholism and drug abuse weren't treated as separate disorders. Instead, they were categorized as "sociopathic personality disturbances"—a forerunner of the current *antisocial personality disorder* we discuss in Chapter 12,



Ace Stock Limited/Alamy Stock Photo

Substance abuse.

because substance use was seen as a symptom of other problems. It was considered a sign of moral weakness, and the influence of genetics and biology was hardly acknowledged. A separate category was created for **substance abuse** disorders in *DSM-III*, in 1980, and since then we have acknowledged the complex biological and psychological nature of the problem.

The *DSM-5* term *substance-related disorders* include 11 symptoms that range from relatively mild (e.g., substance use results in a failure to fulfill major role obligations) to more severe (e.g., occupational or recreational activities are given up or reduced because of substance use). *DSM-5* removed the previous symptom that related to substance-related legal problems and added a symptom that indicates the presence of craving or a strong desire to use the substance (Dawson et al., 2012). These distinctions help clarify the problem and focus treatment on the appropriate aspect of the disorder. Danny would be considered to have a cocaine use disorder in the severe range because of the tolerance he showed for the drug, his use of larger amounts than he intended, his unsuccessful attempts to stop using it, and the activities he gave up to buy it. His pattern of use was more pervasive than simple abuse, and the diagnosis provided a clear picture of his need for help.

Symptoms of other disorders can complicate the substance use disorder picture significantly. For example, do some people take drugs to excess because they are depressed, or does drug use and its consequences (for example, loss of friends, job) create depression? Researchers estimate that almost three quarters of the people in addiction treatment centers have an additional psychiatric disorder, with mood disorders (such as major depression) observed in more than 40% and anxiety disorders and posttraumatic stress disorder seen in more than 25% of the cases (Dawson et al., 2012; Lieb, 2015).

Substance use might occur concurrently with other disorders for several reasons. Substance-related disorders and anxiety and mood disorders are highly prevalent in our society and may occur together so often just by chance. Drug intoxication and withdrawal can cause symptoms of anxiety, depression, and psychosis. Disorders such as schizophrenia and antisocial personality disorder are highly likely to include a secondary problem of substance use.

Because substance-related disorders can be so complicated, *DSM-5* tries to define when a symptom is a result of substance use and when it is not. Basically, if symptoms seen in schizophrenia or in extreme states of anxiety appear during intoxication or within 6 weeks after withdrawal from drugs, they are not considered signs of a separate psychiatric disorder. So, for example, individuals who show signs of severe depression just after they have stopped taking heavy doses of stimulants would not be diagnosed with a major mood disorder. However, individuals who were severely depressed before they used stimulants and those whose symptoms persist more than 6 weeks after they stop might have a separate disorder (Sheperis, Lionetti, & Snook, 2015).

We now turn to the individual substances themselves, their effects on our brains and bodies, and how they are used in our society. We have grouped the substances into six general categories.

- **Depressants:** These substances result in behavioral sedation and can induce relaxation. They include alcohol (ethyl alcohol) and the sedative and hypnotic drugs in the families of barbiturates (for example, Seconal) and benzodiazepines (for example, Valium, Xanax).
- **Stimulants:** These substances cause us to be more active and alert and can elevate mood. Included in this group are amphetamines, cocaine, nicotine, and caffeine.
- **Opiates:** The major effect of these substances is to produce analgesia temporarily (reduce pain) and euphoria. Heroin, opium, codeine, and morphine are included in this group.
- **Hallucinogens:** These substances alter sensory perception and can produce delusions, paranoia, and hallucinations. Cannabis and LSD are included in this category.
- **Other drugs of abuse:** Other substances that are abused but do not fit neatly into one of the categories here include inhalants (for example, airplane glue), anabolic steroids, and other over-the-counter and prescription medications (for example, nitrous oxide). These substances produce a variety of psychoactive effects that are characteristic of the substances described in the previous categories.
- **Gambling disorder:** As with the ingestion of the substances just described, individuals who display gambling disorder are unable to resist the urge to gamble which, in turn, results in negative personal consequences (e.g., divorce, loss of employment).

Depressants

Depressants primarily *decrease* central nervous system activity. Their principal effect is to reduce our levels of physiological arousal and help us relax. Included in this group are alcohol and the sedative, hypnotic, and anxiolytic drugs, such as those prescribed for insomnia (see Chapter 8). These substances are among those most likely to produce symptoms of physical dependence, tolerance, and withdrawal. We first look at the most commonly used of these substances—**alcohol**—and the **alcohol-related disorders** that can result.

Alcohol-Related Disorders

Danny's substance abuse began when he drank beer with friends, a rite of passage for many teenagers. Alcohol has been widely used throughout history. For example, scientists have found evidence of wine or beer in pottery jars at the site of a Sumerian trading post in western Iran and the country of Georgia that date back 7,000 years (McGovern, 2007). For hundreds of years, Europeans drank large amounts of beer, wine, and hard liquor. When they came to North America in the early 1600s, they brought their considerable thirst for alcohol with them. In the United States during the early 1800s, consumption of alcohol (mostly whiskey) was more than 7 gallons per year for every person older than 15. This is more than three times the current rate of U.S. alcohol use (Smith, 2008; Rorabaugh, 1991).

Alcohol is produced when certain yeasts react with sugar and water and *fermentation* takes place. Historically, we have been creative about fermenting alcohol from just about any fruit or vegetable, partly because many foods contain sugar. Alcoholic drinks have included mead from honey, sake from rice, wine from palm, mescal and pulque from agave and cactus, liquor from maple syrup, liquor from South American jungle fruits, wine from grapes, and beer from grains (Lazare, 1989).

Clinical Description

Apparent stimulation is the initial effect of alcohol, although it is a depressant. We generally experience a feeling of well-being, our inhibitions are reduced, and we become more outgoing. This is because the inhibitory centers in the brain are initially depressed—or slowed. With continued drinking, however, alcohol depresses more areas of the brain, which impedes the ability to function properly. Motor coordination is impaired (staggering, slurred speech), reaction time is slowed, we become confused, our ability to make judgments is reduced, and even vision and hearing can be negatively affected, all of which help explain why driving while intoxicated is clearly dangerous.

Effects

Alcohol affects many parts of the body (see ● Figure 11.1). After it is ingested, it passes through the esophagus (1 in Figure 11.1) and into the stomach (2), where small amounts are absorbed. From there, most of it travels to the small intestine (3), where it is easily absorbed into the bloodstream. The circulatory system distributes

TABLE 11.1

Diagnostic Criteria for Alcohol Use Disorder


- A.** A problematic pattern of alcohol use leading to clinically significant impairment or distress, as manifested by at least two of the following, occurring within a 12-month period:
1. Alcohol is often taken in larger amounts or over a longer period than was intended.
 2. There is a persistent desire or unsuccessful efforts to cut down or control alcohol use.
 3. A great deal of time is spent in activities necessary to obtain alcohol, use alcohol, or recover from its effects.
 4. Craving, or a strong desire or urge to use alcohol.
 5. Recurrent alcohol use resulting in a failure to fulfill major role obligations at work, school, or home.
 6. Continued alcohol use despite having persistent or recurrent social or interpersonal problems caused or exacerbated by the effects of alcohol.
 7. Important social, occupational, or recreational activities are given up or reduced because of alcohol use.
 8. Recurrent alcohol use in situations in which it is physically hazardous.
 9. Alcohol use is continued despite knowledge of having a persistent or recurrent physical or psychological problem that is likely to have been caused or exacerbated by alcohol.
- 10.** Tolerance, as defined by either or both of the following:
- a. A need for markedly increased amounts of alcohol to achieve intoxication or desired effect.
 - b. A markedly diminished effect with continued use of the same amount of alcohol.
- 11.** Withdrawal, as manifested by either of the following:
- a. The characteristic withdrawal syndrome for alcohol (refer to Criteria A and B of the criteria set for alcohol withdrawal).
 - b. Alcohol (or a closely related substance such as benzodiazepine) is taken to relieve or avoid withdrawal symptoms.

Specify current severity:

Mild: Presence of 2-3 symptoms

Moderate: Presence of 4-5 symptoms

Severe: Presence of 6 or more symptoms

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.**FIGURE 11.1**

The path traveled by alcohol throughout the body (see text for complete description).

the alcohol throughout the body, where it contacts every major organ, including the heart (4). Some of the alcohol goes to the lungs, where it vaporizes and is exhaled, a phenomenon that is the basis for the *breathalyzer test* that measures levels of intoxication. As alcohol passes through the liver (5), it is broken down or metabolized into carbon dioxide and water by enzymes (Maher, 1997). ● Figure 11.2 shows how much time it takes to metabolize one to four drinks, with the dotted line showing when driving becomes impaired (National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, 1997).

Most substances we describe in this chapter, including cannabis, opiates, and tranquilizers, interact with specific receptors in the brain cells. The effects of alcohol, however, are more complex. Alcohol influences a number of neurotransmitter systems, which makes it difficult to study (Ray, 2012). For example, the *gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA)* system, which we discussed in Chapters 2 and 5, seems to be particularly sensitive to alcohol. GABA, as you will recall, is an inhibitory neurotransmitter. Its major role is to interfere with the firing of the neuron it attaches to. Because the GABA system seems to affect the emotion of anxiety, alcohol's antianxiety properties may result from its interaction with the GABA system. Also, when GABA attaches to its receptor, chloride ions enter the cell and make it less sensitive to the effects of other neurotransmitters. Alcohol seems to reinforce the movement of these chloride ions; as a result, the neurons have difficulty

firing. In other words, although alcohol seems to loosen our tongues and makes us more sociable, it makes it difficult for neurons to communicate with one another (Joslyn, Ravindranathan, Brush, Schuckit, & White, 2010). For example, there is some evidence from genetic research (further discussed below) that the genes responsible for communication between neurons may also be responsible for individual differences in response to alcohol.

The *glutamate system* is under study for its role in the effects of alcohol. In contrast to the GABA system, the glutamate system is excitatory, helping neurons fire. It is suspected to involve learning and memory, and it may be the avenue through which alcohol affects our cognitive abilities. Blackouts, the loss of memory for what happens during intoxication, may result from the interaction of alcohol with the glutamate system. The serotonin system also appears to be sensitive to alcohol. This neurotransmitter system affects mood, sleep, and eating behavior and is thought to be responsible for alcohol cravings (Sari, Johnson, & Weedman, 2011; Strain, 2009). Because alcohol affects so many neurotransmitter systems, we should not be surprised that it has such widespread and complex effects.

The long-term effects of heavy drinking are often severe. Withdrawal from chronic alcohol use typically includes hand tremors and, within several hours, nausea or vomiting, anxiety, transient hallucinations, agitation, insomnia, and, at its most extreme, **withdrawal delirium** (or **delirium tremens**—the DTs), a condition that can produce frightening hallucinations and body tremors. The devastating experience of delirium tremens can be reduced with adequate medical treatment (Schuckit, 2014b).

Substance-Related Disorder : Tim



Abnormal Psychology Inside Out. Produced by Ira Wohl, Only Child Motion Pictures

"When I drink, I don't care about anything, as long as I'm drinking. Nothing bothers me. The world doesn't bother me. So when I'm not drinking, the problems come back, so you drink again. The problems will always be there. You just don't realize it when you're drinking. That's why people tend to drink a lot."

Go to MindTap at
www.cengagebrain.com
to watch this video.

Whether alcohol will cause organic damage depends on genetic vulnerability, the frequency of use, the length of drinking binges, the blood alcohol levels attained during the drinking periods, and whether the body is given time to recover between binges. Consequences of long-term excessive drinking include liver disease, pancreatitis, cardiovascular disorders, and brain damage.

Part of the folklore concerning alcohol is that it permanently kills brain cells (neurons). As you will see later, this may not be true. Some evidence for brain damage comes from the experiences of people who are alcohol dependent and experience blackouts, seizures, and hallucinations. Memory and the ability to perform certain tasks may also be impaired. More seriously, two types of organic brain syndromes may result from long-term heavy alcohol use: dementia and **Wernicke-Korsakoff syndrome**. **Dementia**, (or *neurocognitive disorder*), which we discuss

more fully in Chapter 15, involves the general loss of intellectual abilities and can be a direct result of neurotoxicity or “poisoning of the brain” by excessive amounts of alcohol (Ridley, Draper, & Withall, 2013). **Wernicke-Korsakoff syndrome** results in confusion, loss of muscle coordination, and unintelligible speech (Isenberg-Grzeda, Kutner, & Nicolson, 2012); it is believed to be caused by a deficiency of thiamine, a vitamin metabolized poorly by heavy drinkers. The dementia caused by this disease does not go away once the brain is damaged. It is important to note that mild to moderate intake of alcohol (especially wine) may actually serve a protective role in cognitive decline as we age (Panza et al., 2012).

The effects of alcohol abuse extend beyond the health and well-being of the drinker. **Fetal alcohol syndrome (FAS)** is now generally recognized as a combination of problems that can occur in a


Southern Illinois University/Science Source



A healthy liver (left), and a cirrhotic liver scarred by years of alcohol abuse (right).



Martin M Rotker/Getty Images



● FIGURE 11.2

Blood alcohol concentration after the rapid consumption of different amounts of alcohol by eight adult, fasting, male subjects. 100 mg% is the legal level of intoxication in most states. 50 mg% is the level at which deterioration of driving skills begins. (From National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. (1997). *Alcohol Alert: Alcohol Metabolism*. No. 35, PH 371. Bethesda, MD: Author.)

child whose mother drank while she was pregnant. These problems include fetal growth retardation, cognitive deficits, behavior problems, and learning difficulties (Douzgou et al., 2012). In addition, children with FAS often have characteristic facial features.

We metabolize alcohol with the help of an enzyme called **alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH)** (Schuckit, 2009b, 2014a). Three different forms of this enzyme have been identified (beta-1, beta-2, and beta-3 ADH). Among children with FAS, beta-3 ADH may be prevalent according to new research. Beta-3 ADH is also found

most often in African Americans. What these two findings suggest is that, in addition to the drinking habits of the mother, the likelihood a child will have FAS may depend on whether there is a genetic tendency to have certain enzymes. Children from certain racial groups may thus be more susceptible to FAS than are others. If this research is confirmed, we may have a way of identifying parents who might put their unborn children at increased risk for FAS.


Statistics on Use and Abuse

Because alcohol consumption is legal in the United States, we know more about it than about most other psychoactive substances we discuss in this chapter (with the possible exception of nicotine and caffeine). Despite a national history of heavy alcohol use, most adults in the United States characterize themselves as light drinkers or abstainers. On the other hand, about half of all Americans over the age of 12 report being current drinkers of alcohol, and there are considerable differences among people from different racial and ethnic backgrounds (see ● Figure 11.3; SAMHSA, 2012). Caucasians report the highest frequency of drinking (56.8%); drinking is lowest among Asians (40.0%).

About 63 million Americans (24.6%) over the age of 18 report binge drinking (typically four or more drinks for women and five or more drinks for men over the span of 2 hours) in the past month—an alarming statistic (SAMHSA, 2013). Again, there are racial differences, with Asians reporting the lowest level of binge drinking (12.4%) and Caucasians (24.0%) and Hispanics or Latinos (24.1%) reporting the highest. Age seems to also be important given that peak lifetime alcohol use happens around late teens to early adolescence. In surveys across 100 four-year universities and colleges, about 36% of respondents said they had gone on a binge of heavy drinking once in the preceding 2 weeks (Johnston, O’Malley, Bachman, & Schulenberg, 2012). Unfortunately, this binge drinking trend seems to have increased in college students along with drunk driving

and alcohol-related deaths (Whiteside, Bittinger, Kilmer, Lostutter, & Larimer, 2015). Men, however, were more likely to report several binges in the 2-week period (White & Hingson, 2014; Presley & Meilman, 1992). The same survey found that students with a grade point average of A had no more than 3 drinks per week, whereas D and F students averaged 11 alcoholic drinks per week (Presley & Meilman, 1992). Overall, these data point to the popularity and pervasiveness of drinking in our society (Donath et al., 2012).

We know that not everyone who drinks develops an alcohol use disorder. Researchers estimate, however, that more than 16.6 million adults ages 18 and older meet criteria for an alcohol use disorder and the same is true of 697,000 adolescents ages 12 to 17 (SAMHSA, 2013). Lifetime prevalence rates for alcohol use disorders, meaning a person met criteria for an alcohol use disorder at some point in his or her life, are more than 29% (Grant et al., 2015). This means one in three people will meet criteria for an alcohol use disorder at some point in their lives.



The dark areas in the top brain images show the extensive loss of brain tissue caused by heavy alcohol use.




FIGURE 11.3

Alcohol use across racial groups. Binge drinking is defined as drinking five or more drinks on one occasion at least once per month, and heavy alcohol use is defined as binge drinking for five or more days in a month. (From Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Office of Applied Studies. (2012). *Results from the 2011 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: National Findings*, NSDUH Series H-44, DHHS Publication No. (SMA) 12-4713. Rockville, MD: Author.)

Outside the United States, rates of alcohol use problems and dependence vary widely. The prevalence of alcohol use disorders in 2004 as measured by the World Health Organization was highest in eastern European countries (for example, in Russia it was close to 19% in 2004), followed by Colombia at 13%, South Korea at 13.5%, and Thailand at 11%. The prevalence for alcohol use disorders in 2004 was lowest in Northern Africa (e.g., in Libya at 0.05%) and the Middle East (e.g., in Afghanistan at 0.2%) (World Health Organization, 2004). Such cultural differences can be accounted for by different attitudes toward drinking, the availability of alcohol, physiological reactions, and family norms and patterns.

Progression

Remember that Danny went through periods of heavy alcohol and drug use but also had times when he was relatively “straight” and did not use drugs. Similarly, many people with an alcohol use disorder fluctuate between drinking heavily, drinking “socially” without negative effects, and being abstinent (not drinking) (McCrady, 2014). It seems that about 20% of people with severe alcohol dependence have a spontaneous remission (they are able to stop drinking on their own) and do not reexperience problems with drinking.

It used to be thought that once problems arose with drinking they would become steadily worse, following a predictable downward pattern as long as the person kept drinking (Sobell & Sobell, 1993). In other words, like a disease that isn’t treated properly, alcoholism will get progressively worse if left unchecked.



David H. Wells/Getty Images

Physical characteristics of fetal alcohol syndrome (FAS) include skin folds at the corners of the eyes, low nasal bridge, short nose, no groove between nose and upper lip, small head circumference, small eye opening, small midface, and thin upper lip.

First championed by Jellinek more than 50 years ago, this view continues to influence the way people view and treat the disorder (Jellinek, 1946, 1952, 1960). Unfortunately, Jellinek based his model of the progression of alcohol use on a now famous but faulty study (Jellinek, 1946), which we briefly review.

In 1945, the newly formed self-help organization Alcoholics Anonymous (AA) sent out some 1,600 surveys to its members asking them to describe symptoms related to drinking, such as feelings of guilt or remorse and rationalizations about their actions, and to note when these reactions first occurred. Only 98 of the almost 1,600 surveys were returned, however. As you know, such a small response could seriously affect data interpretation. A group of 98 may be different from the group as a whole, so they may not represent the typical person with alcohol problems. Also, because the responses were retrospective (participants were recalling past

events), their reports may be inaccurate. Despite these and other problems, Jellinek agreed to analyze the data, and he developed a four-stage model for the progression of alcoholism based on this limited information (Jellinek, 1952). According to his model, individuals go through a *prealcoholic stage* (drinking occasionally with few serious consequences), a *prodromal stage* (drinking heavily but with few outward signs of a problem), a *crucial stage* (loss of control, with occasional binges), and a *chronic stage* (the primary daily activities involve getting and drinking alcohol). Attempts by other researchers to confirm this progression of stages have not been successful (Schuckit, Smith, Anthenelli, & Irwin, 1993).

It appears instead that the course of a severe alcohol use disorder may be progressive for most people. For example, early use of alcohol may predict later abuse. A study of almost 6,000 lifetime drinkers found that drinking at an early age—from ages 11 to 14—was predictive of later alcohol-related disorders (DeWitt, Adlaf, Offord, & Ogborne, 2000). Similarly, a study tracking alcohol use onset and later use found that those who started drinking at age 11 or earlier were at higher risk for chronic and severe alcohol use disorders (Guttmannova et al., 2011). A third study followed 636 male inpatients in an alcohol rehabilitation center (Schuckit et al., 1993). Among these chronically alcohol-dependent men, a general progression of alcohol-related life problems did emerge, although not in the specific pattern proposed by Jellinek. Three quarters of the men reported moderate consequences of their drinking, such as demotions at work, in their 20s. During their 30s, the men had more serious problems, such as regular blackouts and signs of alcohol withdrawal. By their late 30s and early 40s, these men demonstrated long-term serious consequences of their drinking, which included hallucinations, withdrawal convulsions, and hepatitis or pancreatitis. This study suggests a common pattern among people with chronic alcohol abuse and dependence, one with increasingly severe consequences. This progressive pattern is not inevitable for everyone who abuses alcohol, although we do not as yet understand what distinguishes those who are and those who are not susceptible (Krenek & Maisto, 2013).

Research on the mechanism responsible for the differences in early alcohol use suggests that one's response to the sedative effects of the substance affects later use.

In other words, those individuals who tend not to develop the slurred speech, staggering, and other sedative effects of alcohol use are more likely to abuse it in the future (Chung & Martin, 2009; Schuckit, 2014a). This is of particular concern given the trend to mix highly caffeinated energy drinks with alcohol (McKetin, Coen, & Kaye, 2015). This combination of drinks can reduce the sedative effect of alcohol, which may increase the likelihood of later abuse.

Finally, statistics often link alcohol with violent behavior

(Boden, Fergusson, & Horwood, 2012; Bye, 2007). Numerous studies have found that many people who commit such violent acts as murder, rape, and assault are intoxicated at the time of the crime (Rossow & Bye, 2012). We hope you are skeptical of this type of correlation. Just because drunkenness and violence overlap does not mean that alcohol will necessarily make you violent. Laboratory studies show that alcohol may increase participants' aggression (Bushman, 1993). Whether a person behaves aggressively outside the laboratory, however, probably involves a number of interrelated factors, such as the quantity and timing of alcohol consumed, the person's history of violence, expectations about drinking, and what happens to the individual while intoxicated. Alcohol does not *cause* aggression, but it may increase a person's likelihood of engaging in impulsive acts and it may impair the ability to consider the consequences of acting impulsively (Bye, 2007). Given the right circumstances, such impaired rational thinking may increase a person's risk of behaving aggressively.

Sedative-, Hypnotic-, or Anxiolytic-Related Disorders

The general group of depressants also includes sedative (calming), hypnotic (sleep-inducing), and anxiolytic (anxiety-reducing) drugs (Bond & Lader, 2012). These drugs include barbiturates and benzodiazepines. **Barbiturates** (which include Amytal, Seconal, and Nembutal) are a family of sedative drugs first synthesized in Germany in 1882 (Cozanitis, 2004). They were prescribed to help people sleep and replaced such drugs as alcohol and opium. Barbiturates were widely prescribed by physicians during the 1930s and 1940s, before their addictive properties were fully understood. By the 1950s, they were among the drugs most abused by adults in the United States (Franklin & Frances, 1999).

Benzodiazepines (which today include Valium, Xanax, and Ativan) have been used since the 1960s, primarily to reduce anxiety. These drugs were originally touted as a miracle cure for the anxieties of living in our highly pressured technological society. Although in 1980 the U.S. Food and Drug Administration ruled that they are not appropriate for reducing the tension and anxiety resulting from everyday stresses and strains, an estimated 85 million prescriptions are written for benzodiazepines in the United States each year (Olfson, King, & Schoenbaum, 2015). In general, benzodiazepines are considered much safer than barbiturates, with less risk of abuse and dependence. Reports on the misuse of Rohypnol, however, show how dangerous even some benzodiazepine drugs can be. Rohypnol (otherwise known as "forget-me-pill," "roofenol," "roofies," "ruffies") gained a following among teenagers in the 1990s because it has the same effect as alcohol without the telltale odor. There have been numerous incidents of men giving the drug to women without their knowledge, however, making it easier for them to engage in date rape (Albright, Stevens, & Beussman, 2012).

Clinical Description

At low doses, barbiturates relax the muscles and can produce a mild feeling of well-being. Larger doses can have results similar to those of heavy drinking: slurred speech and problems walking,



dean beroncelj/Shutterstock.com

Intoxication is often involved in cases of domestic violence.

concentrating, and working. At extremely high doses, the diaphragm muscles can relax so much that they cause death by suffocation. Overdosing on barbiturates is a common means of suicide.

Like the barbiturates, benzodiazepines are used to calm an individual and induce sleep. In addition, drugs in this class are prescribed as muscle relaxants and anticonvulsants (antiseizure medications) (Bond & Lader, 2012). People who use them for non-medical reasons report first feeling a pleasant high and a reduction of inhibition, similar to the effects of drinking alcohol. With continued use, however, tolerance and dependence can develop. Users who try to stop taking the drug experience symptoms like those of alcohol withdrawal (anxiety, insomnia, tremors, and delirium).

The *DSM-5* criteria for sedative-, hypnotic-, and anxiolytic-related disorders do not differ substantially from those for alcohol disorders. Both include maladaptive behavioral changes such as inappropriate sexual or aggressive behavior, variable moods, impaired judgment, impaired social or occupational functioning, slurred speech, motor coordination problems, and unsteady gait.

Sedative, hypnotic, and anxiolytic drugs affect the brain by influencing the GABA neurotransmitter system (Bond & Lader,

2012), although by mechanisms slightly different from those involving alcohol. As a result, when people use alcohol with any of these drugs or combine multiple types there can be synergistic effects. In other words, if you drink alcohol after taking a benzodiazepine or barbiturate or combine these drugs, the total effects can reach dangerous levels. One theory about actress Marilyn Monroe's death in 1962 is that she combined alcohol with too many barbiturates and unintentionally killed herself. Actor Heath Ledger's death in 2008 was attributed to the combined effects of oxycodone and a variety of barbiturates and benzodiazepines.

Statistics

Barbiturate use has declined and benzodiazepine use has increased since 1960 (SAMHSA, 2012). Of those seeking treatment for substance-related problems, less than 1% present problems with benzodiazepines compared with other drugs of abuse. Those who do seek help with abuse of these drugs tend to be female, Caucasian, and over the age of 35.

DSM
5
TABLE 11.2

Diagnostic Criteria for Sedative-, Hypnotic-, or Anxiolytic-Related Disorders

- A.** A problematic pattern of sedative, hypnotic, or anxiolytic use leading to clinically significant impairment or distress, as manifested by at least two of the following, occurring within a 12-month period:
- 1.** Sedatives, hypnotics, or anxiolytics are often taken in larger amounts or over a longer period than was intended.
 - 2.** There is a persistent desire or unsuccessful efforts to cut down or control sedative, hypnotic, or anxiolytic use.
 - 3.** A great deal of time is spent in activities necessary to obtain the sedative, hypnotic, or anxiolytic; use the sedative, hypnotic, or anxiolytic; or recover from its effects.
 - 4.** Craving, or a strong desire to use the sedative, hypnotic, or anxiolytic.
 - 5.** Recurrent sedative, hypnotic, or anxiolytic use resulting in a failure to fulfill major role obligations at work, school, or home (e.g., repeated absences from work or poor work performance related to sedative, hypnotic, or anxiolytic use; sedative-, hypnotic-, or anxiolytic-related absences, suspensions, or expulsions from school; neglect of children or household).
 - 6.** Continued sedative, hypnotic or anxiolytic use despite having persistent or recurrent social or interpersonal problems caused or exacerbated by the effects of sedatives, hypnotics or anxiolytics (e.g., arguments with a spouse about consequences of intoxication; physical fights).
 - 7.** Important social, occupational, or recreational activities are given up or reduced because of sedative, hypnotic or anxiolytic use.
 - 8.** Recurrent sedative, hypnotic or anxiolytic use in situations in which it is physically hazardous (e.g., driving in automobile or operating a machine when impaired by sedative, hypnotic, or anxiolytic use).
 - 9.** Sedative, hypnotic, or anxiolytic use is continued despite knowledge of having a persistent or recurrent physical or psychological problem that is likely to have been caused or exacerbated by the sedative, hypnotic, or anxiolytic.
 - 10.** Tolerance, as defined by either of the following:
 - a.** A need for markedly increased amounts of sedative, hypnotic, or anxiolytic to achieve intoxication or desired effect.
 - b.** A markedly diminished effect with continued use of the same amount of sedative, hypnotic or anxiolytic.

Note: This criterion is not considered to be met for individuals taking sedatives, hypnotics, or anxiolytics under medical supervision.

- 11.** Withdrawal, as manifested by either of the following:

- a.** The characteristic withdrawal syndrome for sedatives, hypnotics, or anxiolytics (refer to Criteria A and B of the criteria set for sedative, hypnotic or anxiolytic withdrawal).
- b.** Sedatives, hypnotics, or anxiolytics (or closely related substance, such as alcohol) are taken to relieve or avoid withdrawal symptoms.

Note: This criterion is not considered to be met for individuals taking sedatives, hypnotics, or anxiolytics under medical supervision.

Specify current severity:

Mild: Presence of 2-3 symptoms

Moderate: Presence of 4-5 symptoms

Severe: Presence of 6 or more symptoms

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

Stimulants

Of all the **psychoactive** drugs used in the United States, the most commonly consumed are stimulants. Included in this group are caffeine (in coffee, chocolate, and many soft drinks), nicotine (in tobacco products such as cigarettes), amphetamines, and cocaine. You probably used caffeine when you got up this morning. In contrast to the depressant drugs, stimulants—as their name suggests—make you more alert and energetic. They have a long history of use. Chinese physicians, for example, prescribed an amphetamine compound called ma-huang (*Ephedra sinica*) for more than 5,000 years for illnesses such as headaches, asthma, and the common cold (Fushimi, Wang, Ebisui, Cai, & Mikage, 2008). We describe several stimulants and their effects on behavior, mood, and cognition.

Stimulant-Related Disorders

Amphetamines

At low doses, **amphetamines** can induce feelings of elation and vigor and can reduce fatigue. You feel “up.” After a period of elevation, however, you come back down and “crash,” feeling depressed or tired. Amphetamines are manufactured in laboratories; they were first synthesized in 1887 and later used as a treatment for asthma and as a nasal decongestant (Carvalho et al., 2012). Because amphetamines also reduce appetite, some people take them to lose weight. Adolph Hitler, partly because of his other physical maladies, became addicted to amphetamines (Judge & Rusyniak, 2009). Long-haul truck drivers, pilots, and some college students trying to “pull all-nighters” use amphetamines to get an extra energy “boost” and stay awake. Amphetamines are prescribed for people with narcolepsy, a sleep disorder characterized by excessive sleepiness (discussed in Chapter 8). Some of these drugs (Ritalin, Adderall) are even given to children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) (discussed in Chapter 14). Amphetamines too are being misused for their psychostimulant effects. One large study found that almost two thirds of college students in their fourth year had been offered illegal prescription stimulants and 31% used them—usually to improve studying (Garnier-Dykstra, Caldeira, Vincent, O’Grady, & Arria, 2012).

DSM-5 diagnostic criteria for intoxication in amphetamine use disorders include significant behavioral symptoms, such as euphoria or affective blunting (a lack of emotional expression), changes in sociability, interpersonal sensitivity, anxiety, tension, anger, stereotyped behaviors, impaired judgment, and impaired social or occupational functioning. In addition, physiological symptoms occur during or shortly after amphetamine or related substances are ingested and can include heart rate or blood pressure changes, perspiration or chills, nausea or vomiting, weight loss, muscular weakness, respiratory depression, chest pain, seizures, or coma. Severe intoxication or overdose can cause hallucinations, panic, agitation, and paranoid delusions (Carvalho et al., 2012). Amphetamine tolerance builds quickly, making it doubly dangerous. Withdrawal often results in apathy, prolonged periods of sleep, irritability, and depression.

Periodically, certain “designer drugs” appear in local mini-epidemics. An amphetamine called methylene-dioxymethamphetamine (MDMA), first synthesized in 1912 in Germany, was used as an appetite suppressant (McCann & Ricaurte, 2009). Recreational use of this drug, now commonly called Ecstasy, rose sharply in the late 1980s. After cocaine and methamphetamine, MDMA is the club drug most often bringing people to emergency rooms, and it has passed LSD in frequency of use (SAMHSA,

2011). Its effects are described by users in a variety of ways: Ecstasy makes you “feel happy” and “love everyone and everything”; “music feels better” and “it’s more fun to dance”; “You can say what is on your mind without worrying what others will think” (Levy, O’Grady, Wish, & Arria, 2005, p. 1431). Recent years have also seen a rise in a variation of MDMA called “Molly” that has been marketed as a purified powder in capsules instead of the pressed pills of Ecstasy (National Institute of Drug Abuse, 2013).

A purified, crystallized form of amphetamine, called methamphetamine (commonly referred to as “crystal meth” or “ice”), is ingested through smoking. This drug causes marked aggressive tendencies and stays in the system longer than cocaine, making it particularly dangerous. This drug gained and dropped in popularity since it was invented in the 1930s, although its use has now spread wider than before (Maxwell & Brecht, 2011). However enjoyable these various amphetamines may be in the short term, the potential for users to become dependent on them is extremely high, with great risk for long-term difficulties. Some research also shows that repeated use of MDMA can cause lasting memory problems (Wagner, Becker, Koester, Gouzoulis-Mayfrank, & Daumann, 2013).

Amphetamines stimulate the central nervous system by enhancing the activity of norepinephrine and dopamine. Specifically, amphetamines help the release of these neurotransmitters and block

TABLE 11.3

Diagnostic Criteria for Stimulant Use Disorder

5

- A.** A pattern of amphetamine-type substance, cocaine, or other stimulant use leading to clinically significant impairment or distress, as manifested by at least two of the following, occurring within a 12-month period:
1. The stimulant is often taken in larger amounts or over a longer period than was intended.
 2. There is a persistent desire or unsuccessful efforts to cut down or control stimulant use.
 3. A great deal of time is spent in activities necessary to obtain the stimulant, use the stimulant, or recover from its effects.
 4. Craving, or a strong desire or urge to use the stimulant.
 5. Recurrent stimulant use resulting in a failure to fulfill major role obligations at work, school, or home.
 6. Continued stimulant use despite having persistent or recurrent social or interpersonal problems caused or exacerbated by the effects of the stimulant.
 7. Important social, occupational, or recreational activities are given up or reduced because of stimulant use.
 8. Recurrent stimulant use in situations in which it is physically hazardous.
 9. Stimulant use is continued despite knowledge of having a persistent or recurrent physical or psychological problem that is likely to have been caused or exacerbated by the stimulant.
 10. Tolerance, as defined by either of the following:
 - a. A need for markedly increased amounts of the stimulant to achieve intoxication or desired effect.
 - b. A markedly diminished effect with continued use of the same amount of the stimulant.

Note: This criterion is not considered to be met for those taking stimulant medications solely under appropriate medical supervision, such as medications for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder or narcolepsy

- 11.** Withdrawal, as manifested by either of the following:

- a. The characteristic withdrawal syndrome for the stimulant (refer to Criteria A and B of the criteria set for stimulant withdrawal).
- b. The stimulant (or a closely related substance) is taken to relieve or avoid withdrawal symptoms.

Note: This criterion is not considered to be met for those taking stimulant medications solely under appropriate medical supervision, such as medications for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder or narcolepsy.

Specify current severity:

Mild: Presence of 2-3 symptoms

Moderate: Presence of 4-5 symptoms

Severe: Presence of 6 or more symptoms

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.



For centuries, Latin Americans have chewed coca leaves for relief from hunger and fatigue.

their reuptake, thereby making more of them available throughout the system (Carvalho et al., 2012). Too much amphetamine—and therefore too much dopamine and norepinephrine—can lead to hallucinations and delusions. As we see in Chapter 13, this effect has stimulated theories on the causes of schizophrenia, which can also include hallucinations and delusions.

Cocaine

The use and misuse of drugs wax and wane according to societal fashion, moods, and sanctions. Cocaine replaced amphetamines as the stimulant of choice in the 1970s (Jaffe, Rawson, & Ling, 2005). Cocaine is derived from the leaves of the coca plant, a flowing bush indigenous to South America. In his essay “On Coca” (1885/1974, p. 60), a young Sigmund Freud wrote of cocaine’s magical properties: “I have tested [the] effect of coca, which wards off hunger, sleep, and fatigue and steals one to intellectual effort, some dozen times on myself.”

Latin Americans have chewed coca leaves for centuries to get relief from hunger and fatigue (Daamen, Penning, Brunt, & Verster, 2012). Cocaine was introduced into the United States in the late 19th century; it was widely used from then until the 1920s. In 1885, Parke, Davis & Co. manufactured coca and cocaine in 15 forms, including coca-leaf cigarettes and cigars, inhalants, and crystals. For people who couldn’t afford these products, a cheaper way to get cocaine was in Coca-Cola, which up until 1903 contained a small amount (60 mg of cocaine per 8-ounce serving) (Daamen et al., 2012).

Clinical Description

Like amphetamines, in small amounts cocaine increases alertness, produces euphoria, increases blood pressure and pulse, and causes insomnia and loss of appetite. Remember that Danny snorted (inhaled) cocaine when he partied through the night with his friends. He later said the drug made him feel powerful and invincible—the only way he really felt self-confident. The effects of cocaine are short lived; for Danny they lasted less than an hour,

and he had to snort repeatedly to keep himself up. During these binges, he often became paranoid, experiencing exaggerated fears that he would be caught or that someone would steal his cocaine. Such paranoia—referred to as *cocaine-induced paranoia*—is common among persons with **cocaine use disorders**, occurring in two thirds or more (Daamen et al., 2012). Cocaine also makes the heart beat more rapidly and irregularly, and it can have fatal consequences, depending on a person’s physical condition and the amount of the drug ingested.

We saw that alcohol can damage the developing fetus. It has also been suspected that the use of cocaine (especially crack) by pregnant women may adversely affect their babies. Crack babies appear at birth to be more irritable than normal babies and have long bouts of high-pitched crying. They were originally thought to have permanent brain damage, although recent research suggests that the effects are less dramatic than first feared (Buckingham-Howes, Berger, Scaletti, & Black, 2013; Schiller & Allen, 2005). Some work suggests that many children born to mothers who have used cocaine during pregnancy may have decreased birth weight and decreased head circumference, and are at increased risk for later behavior problems (Richardson, Goldschmidt, & Willford, 2009). Complicating the evaluation of children born to mothers who use cocaine is that their mothers almost always used other substances as well, including alcohol and nicotine. Many of these children are raised in disrupted home environments, which further complicates the picture (Barthelemy et al., 2016). Continuing research should help us better understand the negative effects of cocaine on children.



Statistics

Worldwide, almost 5% of adults report using cocaine at some point in their lives, and in the United States, more than 1.5 million people (0.6% of U.S. population) report using cocaine, including crack-cocaine, each year. Those aged 18 to 25 are about twice as likely to use cocaine compared with other age groups. Also, men are twice as likely to use cocaine as women (SAMHSA, 2014). Black individuals account for close to half of admissions to emergency rooms for cocaine-related problems (47%), followed by Caucasian individuals (37%) and Hispanic individuals (10%). Also, men were twice as likely as women to be in the emergency room (SAMHSA, 2011). Approximately 17% of cocaine users have also used crack cocaine (a crystallized form of cocaine that is smoked) (Closser, 1992). One estimate is that about 0.1% of people in the United States have tried crack and that an increasing proportion of the abusers seeking treatment are young, unemployed adults living in urban areas (SAMHSA, 2014).

Cocaine is in the same group of stimulants as amphetamines because it has similar effects on the brain. The “up” seems to come primarily from the effect of cocaine on the dopamine system. Look at ● Figure 11.4 to see how this action occurs. Cocaine enters the bloodstream and is carried to the brain. There the cocaine molecules block the reuptake of dopamine. As you know, neurotransmitters released at the synapse stimulate the next neuron and then are recycled back to the original neuron. Cocaine seems to bind to places where dopamine neurotransmitters reenter their home neuron, blocking their reuptake. The dopamine that cannot be

Researchers are beginning to understand how addictive drugs affect the brain. Some, including cocaine, intensify the transmission of signals among brain cells.

- 1 Drug user inhales cocaine molecules in smoke.
- 2 Cocaine enters bloodstream through lungs. Blood carries it throughout the body. Within seconds it reaches the brain.



- 3 Cocaine molecules act in the “pleasure pathway”—the limbic system in the middle of the brain. The effect occurs at synapses, where fibers from one nerve cell almost touch the surface of another.

4 Normally, a transmitting cell relays a signal by releasing dopamine molecules into the synaptic space. Dopamine drifts across the synapse and fits into receptors on the surface of the receiving cell, triggering an electrical signal that is relayed through the receiver. Then the dopamine molecules break away from the receptors and are recycled by the transmitter.




FIGURE 11.4

Anatomy of a high. (Reprinted, with permission, from Booth, W. (1990). The anatomy of a high. *Washington Post National Weekly Edition*, March 26–April 1, p. 38, © 1990 The Washington Post.)

taken in by the neuron remains in the synapse, causing repeated stimulation of the next neuron. This stimulation of the dopamine neurons in the “pleasure pathway” (the site in the brain that seems to be involved in the experience of pleasure) causes the high associated with cocaine use.

As late as the 1980s, many felt cocaine was a wonder drug that produced feelings of euphoria without being addictive (Weiss & Iannucci, 2009). Such a conservative source as the *Comprehensive Textbook of Psychiatry* in 1980 indicated that “taken no more than two or three times per week, cocaine creates no serious problems” (Grinspoon & Bakalar, 1980). Just imagine—a drug that gives you extra energy, helps you think clearly and more creatively, and lets you accomplish more throughout the day, all without any negative side

effects! In our highly competitive and complex technological society, this would be a dream come true. But, as you probably realize, such temporary benefits have a high cost. Cocaine fooled us. Addiction does not resemble that of many other drugs early on; typically, people find only that they have a growing inability to resist taking more (Weiss & Iannucci, 2009). Few negative effects are noted at first; however, with continued use, sleep is disrupted, increased tolerance causes a need for higher doses, paranoia and other negative symptoms set in, and the cocaine user gradually becomes socially isolated. Chronic use may result in premature aging of the brain (Ersche, Jones, Williams, Robbins, & Bullmore, 2012).

Again, Danny’s case illustrates this pattern. He was a social user for a number of years, using cocaine only with friends and

only occasionally. Eventually, he had more frequent episodes of excessive use or binges, and he found himself increasingly craving the drug between binges. After the binges, Danny would crash and sleep. Cocaine withdrawal isn't like that of alcohol. Instead of rapid heartbeat, tremors, or nausea, withdrawal from cocaine produces pronounced feelings of apathy and boredom. Think for a minute how dangerous this type of withdrawal is. First, you're bored with everything and find little pleasure from the everyday activities of work or relationships. The one that can "bring you back to life" is cocaine. As you can imagine, a particularly vicious cycle develops: Cocaine is abused, withdrawal causes apathy, cocaine abuse resumes. The atypical withdrawal pattern misled people into believing that cocaine was not addictive. We now know that cocaine abusers go through patterns of tolerance and withdrawal comparable to those experienced by abusers of other psychoactive drugs (Daamen et al., 2012).


Tobacco-Related Disorders

When you think of addicts, what image comes to mind? Do you see dirty and disheveled people huddled on an old mattress in an abandoned building, waiting for the next fix? Do you picture businesspeople huddled outside a city building on a rainy afternoon furtively smoking cigarettes? Both these images are accurate, because the nicotine in tobacco is a psychoactive substance that produces patterns of dependence, tolerance, and withdrawal—**tobacco-related disorders**—comparable to those of the other drugs we have discussed so far (Litvin, Ditre, Heckman, & Brandon, 2012). In 1942, the Scottish physician Lennox Johnson "shot up" nicotine extract and found after 80 injections that he liked it more than cigarettes and felt deprived without it (Kanigel, 1988). This colorless, oily liquid—called nicotine after Jean Nicot, who introduced tobacco to the French court in the 16th century—is what gives smoking its pleasurable qualities.

The tobacco plant is indigenous to North America, and Native Americans cultivated and smoked the leaves centuries ago. Today, about 20% of all people in the United States smoke, which is down from the 42.4% who were smokers in 1965 (Litvin et al., 2012).

DSM-5 does not describe an intoxication pattern for tobacco-related disorders. Rather, it lists withdrawal symptoms, which include depressed mood, insomnia, irritability, anxiety, difficulty concentrating, restlessness, and increased appetite and weight gain. Nicotine in small doses stimulates the central nervous system; it can relieve stress and improve mood. But it can also cause high blood pressure and increase the risk of heart disease and cancer (Litvin et al., 2012). High doses can blur your vision, cause confusion, lead to convulsions, and sometimes even cause death. Once smokers are dependent on nicotine, going without it causes withdrawal symptoms. If you doubt the addictive power of nicotine, consider that the rate of relapse among people trying to give up drugs is equivalent among those using alcohol, heroin, and cigarettes (see ● Figure 11.5).

Nicotine is inhaled into the lungs, where it enters the bloodstream. Only 7 to 19 seconds after a person inhales the smoke, the nicotine reaches the brain. Nicotine appears to stimulate specific receptors—nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (*nAChRs*)—in the midbrain reticular formation and the limbic system, the site of the brain's pleasure pathway (the dopamine system responsible for feelings of euphoria) (Litvin et al., 2012). Smokers dose themselves




● FIGURE 11.5

Relapse rates for nicotine compared to alcohol and heroin. Smokers trying to give up cigarettes backslide about as often as alcoholics and heroin addicts. Adapted from Kanigel, R. (1988, October/November). Nicotine becomes addictive. *Science Illustrated*, pp. 12–14, 19–21.

throughout the day in an effort to keep nicotine at a steady level in the bloodstream (see ● Figure 11.6; Dalack, Glassman, & Covey, 1993). Some evidence also points to how maternal smoking can predict later substance-related disorders in their children, but this appears to be an environmental (e.g., home environment) rather than biological influence (D'Onofrio et al., 2012).

Smoking has been linked with signs of negative affect, such as depression, anxiety, and anger (Rasmussen, Anderson, Krishnan-Sarin, Wu, & Paliwal, 2006). For example, many people who quit



● FIGURE 11.6

Smoking patterns and nicotine levels. This subject smoked one cigarette an hour, illustrating how smokers inhale more or less deeply or often, to get the desired blood levels of nicotine—on average 35 nanograms per milliliter. Adapted from Kanigel, R. (1988 October/November). Nicotine becomes addictive. *Science Illustrated*, pp. 12–14, 19–21.

TABLE 11.4

Diagnostic Criteria for Tobacco Use Disorder

5

- A. A problematic pattern of tobacco use leading to clinically significant impairment or distress, as manifested by at least two of the following, occurring within a 12-month period:
1. Tobacco is often taken in larger amounts or over a longer period than was intended.
 2. There is a persistent desire or unsuccessful efforts to cut down or control tobacco use.
 3. A great deal of time is spent in activities necessary to obtain or use tobacco.
 4. Craving, or a strong desire or urge to use tobacco.
 5. Recurrent tobacco use resulting in a failure to fulfill major role obligations at work, school, or home (e.g., interference with work).
 6. Continued tobacco use despite having persistent or recurrent social or interpersonal problems caused or exacerbated by the effects of tobacco (e.g., arguments with others about tobacco use).
 7. Important social, occupational, or recreational activities are given up or reduced because of tobacco use.
 8. Recurrent tobacco use in situations in which it is physically hazardous (e.g., smoking in bed).
 9. Tobacco use is continued despite knowledge of having a persistent or recurrent physical or psychological problem that is likely to have been caused or exacerbated by tobacco.
 10. Tolerance, as defined by either of the following:
 - a. A need for markedly increased amounts of tobacco to achieve the desired effect.
 - b. A markedly diminished effect with continued use of the same amount of tobacco.
 11. Withdrawal, as manifested by either of the following:
 - a. The characteristic withdrawal syndrome for tobacco (refer to Criteria A and B of the criteria set for tobacco withdrawal).
 - b. Tobacco (or a closely related substance such as nicotine) is taken to relieve or avoid withdrawal symptoms.

Specify current severity:

Mild: Presence of 2-3 symptoms

Moderate: Presence of 4-5 symptoms

Severe: Presence of 6 or more symptoms

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

smoking but later resume report that feelings of depression or anxiety were responsible for the relapse (Kahler, Litvin Bloom, Leventhal, & Brown, 2015). Due to this association between smoking and symptoms of depression and anxiety, relapse may be especially higher for women as compared to men, because women more than men tend to have these symptoms (Nakajima & al'Absi, 2012).

Severe depression is found to occur significantly more often among people with nicotine dependence. Does this mean that smoking causes depression or depression causes smoking? There is a complex and bi-directional relationship between cigarette smoking and

negative affect (Litvin et al., 2012). In other words, being depressed increases your risk of becoming dependent on nicotine, and at the same time, being dependent on nicotine will increase your risk of becoming depressed. Genetic studies suggest that a genetic vulnerability combined with certain life stresses may combine to make you vulnerable to both a nicotine use disorder and depression (e.g., Edwards & Kendler, 2012). (We discuss evidence for the genetics of smoking when we cover the causes of substance abuse later in this chapter.)

Caffeine-Related Disorders

Caffeine is the most common of the psychoactive substances; estimates indicate that upwards of 85% of the U.S. population has at least one caffeinated beverage per day. (Mitchell, Knight, Hockenberry, Teplansky, & Hartman, 2014). Called the “gentle stimulant” because it is thought to be the least harmful of all addictive drugs, caffeine can still lead to problems similar to that of other drugs (e.g., interfering with social and work obligations; Meredith, Juliano, Hughes & Griffiths, 2013). This drug is found in tea, coffee, many soda drinks, and cocoa products. High levels of caffeine are added to the “energy drinks” that are widely consumed in the United States today but are banned in some European countries (including France, Denmark, and Norway) due to health concerns (Price, Hilchey, Darredeau, Fulton, & Barrett, 2010; Throlton, Colby & Devine, 2014).

As most of you have experienced firsthand, caffeine in small doses can elevate your mood and decrease fatigue. In larger doses,

DSM
5**TABLE 11.5**

Diagnostic Criteria for Caffeine Intoxication

5

- A. Recent consumption of caffeine (typically a high dose well in excess of 250 mg).
- B. Five (or more) of the following signs or symptoms developing during, or shortly after, caffeine use:
1. Restlessness.
 2. Nervousness.
 3. Excitement.
 4. Insomnia.
 5. Flushed face.
 6. Diuresis.
 7. Gastrointestinal disturbance.
 8. Muscle twitching.
 9. Rambling flow of thought and speech.
 10. Tachycardia or cardiac arrhythmia.
 11. Periods of inexpressibility.
 12. Psychomotor agitation.
- C. The signs or symptoms in Criterion B cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.
- D. The signs or symptoms are not attributable to another medical condition and are not better explained by another mental disorder, including intoxication with another substance.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

it can make you feel jittery and can cause insomnia. Because caffeine takes a relatively long time to leave our bodies (about 6 hours), sleep can be disturbed if the caffeine is ingested in the hours close to bedtime. This effect is especially pronounced among those already suffering from insomnia (Byrne et al., 2012). As with the other psychoactive drugs, people react variously to caffeine; some are sensitive to it, and others can consume relatively large amounts with little effect. Research suggests that moderate use of caffeine (a cup of coffee per day) by pregnant women does not harm the developing fetus (Loomans et al., 2012).

DSM-5 includes caffeine use disorder—defined problematic caffeine use that causes significant impairment and distress—as a condition for further study (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). As with other stimulants, regular caffeine use can result in tolerance and dependence on the drug. Those of you who have experienced headaches, drowsiness, and a generally unpleasant mood when denied your morning coffee have had the withdrawal symptoms characteristic of this drug (Meredith et al., 2013). Caffeine's effect on the brain seems to involve the neuromodulator *adenosine* and, to a lesser extent, the neurotransmitter *dopamine* (Juliano, Ferré, & Griffiths, 2015). Caffeine seems to block adenosine reuptake. Adenosine plays an important role on the release of dopamine and glutamate in the striatum, which may explain the elation and increased energy that come with caffeine use (Juliano et al., 2015).


Opioid-Related Disorders

The word *opiate* refers to the natural chemicals in the opium poppy that have a narcotic effect (they relieve pain and induce sleep). In some circumstances, they can cause **opioid-related disorders**. The broader term *opioids* refers to the family of substances that includes natural opiates, synthetic variations (heroin, methadone, hydrocodone, oxycodone), and the comparable substances that occur naturally in the brain (enkephalins, beta-endorphins, and dynorphins) (Borg et al., 2015). References to the use of opium as a medicine date back more than 3,500 years (Strain, Lofwall, & Jaffe, 2009). In *The Wizard of Oz*, the Wicked Witch of the West puts Dorothy, Toto, and the Cowardly Lion to sleep by poisoning poppies in a field that is on the way to Oz, a literary allusion to the opium poppies used to produce morphine, codeine, and heroin.

Just as the poppies lull Dorothy, the Cowardly Lion, and Toto, opiates induce euphoria, drowsiness, and slowed breathing. High doses can lead to death if respiration is completely depressed. Opiates are also analgesics, substances that help relieve pain. People are sometimes given morphine before and after surgery to calm them and help block pain.

Withdrawal from opioids can be so unpleasant that people may continue to use these drugs despite a sincere desire to stop.

Nicotine Dependence



© Cengage Learning

"You can't simply focus on nicotine itself. Many medications do that—they focus on replacing the nicotine, such as nicotine gum or the patch—and that's valuable, but you really have to focus on all the triggers, the cues, and the environment."

Go to MindTap at
www.cengagebrain.com
to watch this video.

Barbiturate and alcohol withdrawal can be even more distressing, however. Even so, people who cease or reduce their opioid intake begin to experience symptoms within 6 to 12 hours; these include excessive yawning, nausea and vomiting, chills, muscle aches, diarrhea, and insomnia—temporarily disrupting work, school, and social relationships. The symptoms can persist for 1 to 3 days, and the withdrawal process is completed in about a week.

Addiction to heroin is reported in about almost a half million people in the United States, double the number estimated between 2002 and 2013. Illicit use of opioid-containing prescription medicines—the most commonly abused opiate class—has also risen in recent years with 4.13 million people over the age of 12 reporting non-medical use (SAMHSA, 2014). One survey found that 12.3% of high school seniors reported using opioids (e.g., hydrocodone,

oxycodone) for nonmedical reasons (McCabe, West, Teter, & Boyd, 2012). Illicit use of opioid-containing prescription was the second most common type of illicit drug use in 2014 after marijuana. This rise in opioid use over the past decade has been deemed an opioid epidemic and public health crisis in the United States. The rise is particularly problematic because 1.9 million met criteria for opioid use disorder in 2013 (SAMHSA, 2014). Additionally, the increase in number of deaths due to illicit opioid use was the leading cause of death for drug users in 2013, a 360% increase from 1999 (Centers for Disease Control, National Center for Health Statistics, 2014). Research also suggests that individuals who first became addicted to prescription pain medication transitioned to using heroin (Muhuri, Gfroerer & Davies, 2013). People who use opiates face risks beyond addiction and the threat of overdose. Because these drugs are usually injected intravenously, users are at increased risk for other chronic life-threatening illness such as Hepatitis C and HIV infection and therefore AIDS (Compton, Boyle & Wargo, 2015).

The life of an opiate addict can be bleak. Research suggests that mortality rates in this population range from 6 to 20 times higher than the general population's. And, those individuals who do live face much hardship recovering from addiction with stable abstinence rates as low as 30% with most individuals undergoing many relapses. Even those that discontinue opioids often use alcohol and other drugs in their place (Hser, Evans, Grella, Ling, & Anglin, 2015). Results from a 33-year follow-up study of more than 80 opioid users in an English town highlight this pessimistic view (Rathod, Addenbrooke, & Rosenbach, 2005). At the follow up, 22% of opioid users had died—about twice the national rate of about 12% for the general population. More than half the deaths were the result of drug overdose, and several took their own lives. The good news from this study was that of those who survived, 80% were no longer using opioids, and the remaining 20% were being treated with methadone. Persistence opioid use may be related to comorbid mental disorders and sexual or physical abuse. Long-term recovery has been shown to be associated with

family and social support, employment, and opioid abstinence of at least five years (Hser et al., 2015).

The high or “rush” experienced by users comes from activation of the body’s natural opioid system. In other words, the brain already has its own opioids—called enkephalins and endorphins—that provide narcotic effects (Ballantyne, 2012). Heroin, opium, morphine, and other opiates activate this system. The discovery of the natural opioid system was a major breakthrough in the field of psychopharmacology: Not only does it allow us to study the effects of addictive drugs on the brain, but it also has led to important discoveries that may help us treat people dependent on these drugs.

Cannabis-Related Disorders

Cannabis (marijuana) was the drug of choice in the 1960s and early 1970s. Although it has decreased in popularity, it is still the most routinely used illegal substance, with 5 to 15% of people in western countries reporting regular use (Jager, 2012). In the United States, 22.2 million individuals aged 12 or older used marijuana in the past 30 days (SAMHSA, 2014). Marijuana is the name given to the dried parts of the cannabis or hemp plant (its full scientific name is *Cannabis sativa*). Cannabis grows wild throughout the tropical and temperate regions of the world, which accounts for one of its nicknames, “weed.”

As demonstrated by the following parable, people who smoke marijuana often experience altered perceptions of the world.

Three men, so the story goes, arrived one night at the closed gates of a Persian city. One was intoxicated by alcohol, another was under the spell of opium, and the third was steeped in marijuana.



Kevin Mazur/Getty Images

The pop icon Prince died in 2016 at the age of 57 from an accidental overdose of the prescribed opioid, fentanyl.

The first blustered: “Let’s break the gates down.”

“Nay,” yawned the opium eater, “let us rest until morning, when we may enter through the wide-flung portals.”

“Do as you like,” was the announcement of the marijuana addict. “But I shall stroll in through the keyhole!” (Rowell & Rowell, 1939, p. 66)

Reactions to cannabis usually include mood swings. Otherwise-normal experiences seem extremely funny, or the person might enter a dreamlike state in which time seems to stand still. Users often report heightened sensory experiences, seeing vivid colors,

DSM
5

TABLE 11.6

Diagnostic Criteria for Opioid Use Disorder

- A. A problematic pattern of opioid use leading to clinically significant impairment or distress, as manifested by at least two of the following, occurring within a 12-month period:
1. Opioids are often taken in larger amounts or over a longer period than was intended.
 2. There is a persistent desire or unsuccessful efforts to cut down or control opioid use.
 3. A great deal of time is spent in activities necessary to obtain the opioid, use the opioid, or recover from its effects.
 4. Craving, or a strong desire or urge to use opioids.
 5. Recurrent opioid use resulting in a failure to fulfill major role obligations at work, school, or home.
 6. Continued opioid use despite having persistent or recurrent social or interpersonal problems caused or exacerbated by the effects of opioids.
 7. Important social, occupational, or recreational activities are given up or reduced because of opioid use.
 8. Recurrent opioid use in situations in which it is physically hazardous.
 9. Continued opioid use despite knowledge of having a persistent or recurrent physical or psychological problem that is likely to have been caused or exacerbated by the substance.
 10. Tolerance, as defined by either of the following:
 - a. A need for markedly increased amounts of opioids to achieve intoxication or desired effect.
 - b. A markedly diminished effect with continued use of the same amount of an opioid.

Note: This criterion is not considered to be met for those taking opioids solely under appropriate medical supervision.

11. Withdrawal, as manifested by either of the following:

- a. The characteristic opioid withdrawal syndrome (refer to Criteria A and B of the criteria set for opioid withdrawal).
- b. Opioids (or a closely related substance) are taken to relieve or avoid withdrawal symptoms.

Note: This criterion is not considered to be met for those taking opioids solely under appropriate medical supervision.

Specify current severity:

Mild: Presence of 2-3 symptoms

Moderate: Presence of 4-5 symptoms

Severe: Presence of 6 or more symptoms

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.



Triff/Shutterstock.com

Marijuana.

or appreciating the subtleties of music. Perhaps more than any other drug, however, cannabis can produce different reactions in people. It is not uncommon for someone to report having no reaction to the first use of the drug; it also appears that people can “turn off” the high if they are sufficiently motivated (Jager, 2012). The feelings of well-being produced by small doses can change to paranoia, hallucinations, and dizziness when larger doses are taken. High school-age marijuana smokers get lower grades and are less likely to graduate, although it is not clear if this is the direct result of cannabis use or concurrent other drug use (Jager, 2012). Research on frequent cannabis users suggests that impairments of memory, concentration, relationships with others, and employment may be negative outcomes of long-term use (possibly leading to **cannabis use disorders**), although some researchers suggest that some psychological problems precede usage—increasing the likelihood that someone will use cannabis (Heron et al., 2013; Macleod et al., 2004). The introduction of synthetic marijuana (referred to with a number of different names such as “fake weed,” “K2” or “Spice” and marketed as “herbal incense”) has caused alarm because in many places it can be purchased legally and the reaction to its use can be extremely harmful (e.g., hallucinations,

seizures, heart rhythm problems, etc.) (Palamar & Barratt, 2016; Wells & Ott, 2011).

The evidence for cannabis tolerance is contradictory. Chronic and heavy users report tolerance, especially to the euphoric high (Mennes, Ben Abdallah, & Cottler, 2009); they are unable to reach the levels of pleasure they experienced earlier. However, evidence also indicates “reverse tolerance,” when regular users experience more pleasure from the drug after repeated use. Major signs of withdrawal do not usually occur with cannabis. Chronic users who stop taking the drug report a period of irritability, restlessness, appetite loss, nausea, and difficulty sleeping (Jager, 2012).

Controversy surrounds the use of cannabis for medicinal purposes. However, there appears to be an increasing database documenting the successful use of cannabis and its by-products for the symptoms of certain diseases. In Canada and 24 states including Washington, D.C., cannabis products are available for medical use, including an herbal cannabis extract (Sativex—delivered in a nasal spray), dronabinol (Marinol), nabilone (Cesamet), and the herbal form of cannabis that is typically smoked (Borgelt, Franson, Nussbaum, & Wang, 2013; Wang, Collet, Shapiro, & Ware, 2008). These cannabis-derived products are prescribed for chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting, HIV-associated anorexia, neuropathic pain in multiple sclerosis, and cancer pain. Unfortunately, marijuana smoke may contain as many carcinogens as tobacco smoke, although one long-term study that followed more than 5,000 men and women over 20 years suggested that occasional use does not appear to have a negative effect on lung functioning (Pletcher et al., 2012).

Most cannabis users inhale the drug by smoking the dried leaves in marijuana cigarettes; others use preparations such as hashish, which is the dried form of the resin in the leaves of the female plant. Marijuana contains more than 80 varieties of the chemicals called *cannabinoids*, which are believed to alter mood and behavior. The most common of these chemicals includes the *tetrahydrocannabinols*, otherwise known as *THC*. An exciting finding in the area of cannabis research was that the brain makes its own version of THC, a neurochemical called *anandamide* after the Sanskrit word *ananda*, which means “bliss” (Sedlak & Kaplin, 2009; Volkow, Baler, Compton, & Weiss, 2014). Subsequent research points to several other naturally-occurring brain chemicals including 2-AG (2-arachidonoylglycerol), noladin ether, virodhamine, and N-arachidonoyldopamine (Mechoulam & Parker, 2013; Piomelli, 2003). Scientists continue to explore how this neurochemical affects the brain and behavior (Piomelli, 2014).

Hallucinogen-Related Disorders

On a Monday afternoon in April 1943, Albert Hoffmann, a scientist at a large Swiss chemical company, prepared to test a newly synthesized compound. He had been studying derivatives of ergot, a fungus that grows on diseased kernels of grain, and sensed that he had missed something important in the 25th compound of the lysergic acid series. Ingesting what he thought was an infinitesimally small amount of this drug, which he referred to in his notes as LSD-25, he waited to see what subtle changes might come over him as a result. Thirty minutes later, he reported no change, but some 40 minutes after taking the drug, he began to feel dizzy and

TABLE 11.7

Diagnostic Criteria for Cannabis Use Disorder

5

- A.** A problematic pattern of cannabis use leading to clinically significant impairment or distress, as manifested by at least two of the following, occurring within a 12-month period:
1. Cannabis is often taken in larger amounts or over a longer period than was intended.
 2. There is a persistent desire or unsuccessful efforts to cut down or control cannabis use.
 3. A great deal of time is spent in activities necessary to obtain cannabis, use cannabis, or recover from its effects.
 4. Craving, or a strong desire or urge to use cannabis.
 5. Recurrent cannabis use resulting in a failure to fulfill major role obligations at work, school, or home.
 6. Continued cannabis use despite having persistent or recurrent social or interpersonal problems caused or exacerbated by the effects of cannabis.
 7. Important social, occupational, or recreational activities are given up or reduced because of cannabis use.
 8. Recurrent cannabis use in situations in which it is physically hazardous.
 9. Cannabis use is continued despite knowledge of having a persistent or recurrent physical or psychological problem that is likely to have been caused or exacerbated by cannabis.
- 10.** Tolerance, as defined by either of the following:
- a. A need for markedly increased amounts of cannabis to achieve intoxication or desired effect.
 - b. A markedly diminished effect with continued use of the same amount of cannabis.
- 11.** Withdrawal, as manifested by either of the following:
- a. The characteristic withdrawal syndrome for cannabis (refer to Criteria A and B of the criteria set for cannabis withdrawal).
 - b. Cannabis (or a closely related substance) is taken to relieve or avoid withdrawal symptoms.

Specify current severity:

Mild: Presence of 2-3 symptoms

Moderate: Presence of 4-5 symptoms

Severe: Presence of 6 or more symptoms

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

had a noticeable desire to laugh. Riding his bicycle home, he hallucinated that the buildings he passed were moving and melting. By the time he arrived home, he was terrified he was losing his mind. Hoffmann was experiencing the first recorded “trip” on LSD (Jones, 2009).

LSD (*d-lysergic acid diethylamide*), sometimes referred to as “acid,” is the most common hallucinogenic drug. It is produced synthetically in laboratories, although naturally occurring derivatives of this grain fungus (ergot) have been found historically. In Europe during the Middle Ages, an outbreak of illnesses occurred as a result of people’s eating grain that was infected with the fungus. One version of this illness—later called *ergotism*—constricted the flow of blood to the arms or legs and eventually resulted in gangrene and the loss of limbs. Another type of illness resulted in convulsions, delirium, and hallucinations. Years later, scientists connected ergot with the illnesses and began studying versions of this fungus for possible benefits. This is the type of work Hoffmann was engaged in when he discovered LSD’s hallucinogenic properties.

LSD largely remained in the laboratory until the 1960s, when it was first produced illegally for recreational use. However, the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) tested LSD as a “truth serum” during interrogations though the agency abandoned their efforts after several serious incidents and no evidence of truth (Lee & Shlain, 1992). The mind-altering effects of the drug suited the social effort to reject established culture and enhanced the search for enlightenment that characterized the mood and behavior of many

people during that decade (Parrott, 2012). The late Timothy Leary, at the time a Harvard University research professor, first used LSD in 1961 and immediately began a movement to have every child and adult try the drug and “turn on, tune in, and drop out.”

There are a number of other hallucinogens, some occurring naturally in a variety of plants: *psilocybin* (found in certain species of mushrooms), *lysergic acid amide* (found in the seeds of the morning glory plant), *dimethyltryptamine (DMT)* (found in the bark of the Virola tree, which grows in South and Central America); and *mescaline* (found in the peyote cactus plant). Phencyclidine (or PCP) is snorted, smoked, or injected intravenously, and it causes impulsivity and aggressiveness.

The DSM-5 diagnostic criteria for hallucinogen intoxication are similar to those for cannabis: perceptual changes such as the subjective intensification of perceptions, depersonalization, and hallucinations. Physical symptoms include pupillary dilation, rapid heartbeat, sweating, and blurred vision (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Many users have written about hallucinogens, and they describe a variety of experiences. In one well-designed placebo-controlled study of hallucinogens, researchers at Johns Hopkins School of Medicine gave volunteers either the hallucinogen psilocybin or a control drug (the ADHD medication Ritalin) and assessed their reactions (Griffiths, Richards, McCann, & Jesse, 2006). Psilocybin ingestion resulted in individualized reactions including perceptual changes (for example, mild visual hallucinations) and mood changes (for example, joy or happiness, anxiety, or fearfulness). Interestingly, the drug increased reports

TABLE 11.8

Diagnostic Criteria for Other Hallucinogen Use Disorder

- A.** A problematic pattern of hallucinogen (other than phencyclidine) use leading to clinically significant impairment or distress, as manifested by at least two of the following, occurring within a 12-month period:
1. The hallucinogen is often taken in larger amounts or over a longer period than was intended.
 2. There is a persistent desire or unsuccessful efforts to cut down or control hallucinogen use.
 3. A great deal of time is spent in activities necessary to obtain the hallucinogen, use the hallucinogen, or recover from its effects.
 4. Craving, or a strong desire or urge to use the hallucinogen.
 5. Recurrent hallucinogen use resulting in a failure to fulfill major role obligations at work, school, or home (e.g., repeated absences from work or poor work performance related to hallucinogen use; hallucinogen-related absences, suspensions, or expulsions from school; neglect of children or household).
 6. Continued hallucinogen use despite having persistent or recurrent social or interpersonal problems caused or exacerbated by the effects of the hallucinogen (e.g., arguments with a spouse about consequences of intoxication; physical fights).
 7. Important social, occupational, or recreational activities are given up or reduced because of hallucinogen use.
 8. Recurrent hallucinogen use in situations in which it is physically hazardous (e.g., driving an automobile or operating a machine when impaired by hallucinogen).
 9. Hallucinogen use is continued despite knowledge of having a persistent or recurrent physical or psychological problem that is likely to have been caused or exacerbated by the hallucinogen.
 10. Tolerance, as defined by either of the following:
 - a. A need for markedly increased amounts of the hallucinogen to achieve intoxication or desired effect.
 - b. A markedly diminished effect with continued use of the same amount of the hallucinogen.

Note: Withdrawal symptoms and signs are not established for hallucinogens, and so this criterion does not apply.

Specify current severity:

Mild: Presence of 2-3 symptoms

Moderate: Presence of 4-5 symptoms

Severe: Presence of 6 or more symptoms

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

of mystical experiences (for example, deeply felt positive mood), and even 14 months later many rated the experience as having a spiritual significance (Griffiths, Richards, Johnson, McCann, & Jesse, 2008). More research is needed to explore how these types of drugs work with increased specificity, and this research may also tell us how our brains process experiences such as personal meaning and spirituality (Tylš, Páleníček, & Horáček, 2014).

Tolerance develops quickly to a number of hallucinogens, including LSD, psilocybin, and mescaline (**hallucinogen use disorders**) (Passie & Halpern, 2015). If taken repeatedly over a period of days, these drugs lose their effectiveness. Sensitivity returns after about a week of abstinence, however. For most hallucinogens, no withdrawal symptoms are reported. Even so, a number of concerns have been expressed about their use. One is the possibility of psychotic reactions. Stories in the popular press about people who jumped out of windows because they believed they could fly or who stepped into moving traffic with the mistaken idea that they couldn't be hurt have provided for sensational reading, but little evidence suggests that using hallucinogens produces a greater risk than being drunk or under the influence of any other drug. People do report having "bad trips"; these are the sort of frightening episodes in which clouds turn into threatening monsters or deep feelings of paranoia take over. Usually someone on a bad trip can be "talked down" by supportive people who provide constant reassurance that the experience

is the temporary effect of the drug and it will wear off in a few hours (Parrott, 2012).

Hallucinogens seem to affect the brain in diverse and non-specific ways, meaning by affecting multiple different receptors at one time in opposing ways. It is thought that this broad impact on brain receptors may lead to consciousness expanding experienced by some (Passie & Halpern, 2015). Most of these drugs bear some resemblance to neurotransmitters; LSD, psilocybin, lysergic acid amide, and DMT are chemically similar to serotonin; mescaline resembles norepinephrine; and a number of other hallucinogens we have not discussed are similar to acetylcholine. Psilocybin, for example, seems to increase serotonin as an agonist at 5HT2A/C and 5HT1A receptors to produce hallucinogenic effects but the remaining neural activity is less understood and it seems that psilocybin may also impact dopamine receptors. Recent fMRI studies show activation in "resting state networks" that are typically activated during a resting state or introspection, as well as networks that increase focused attention. Alternation and activation of these two networks typically happens during states like meditation or psychosis. Research in human and animal laboratory studies shows no short-term or long-toxicity, meaning one's body processes the substances without incurring any harm to organs including the brain. This may be in part why some researchers are exploring psilocybin as a "model" for psychosis as well as a substance with possible therapeutic potential (Tylš et al., 2014).

Other Drugs of Abuse

A number of other substances are used by individuals to alter sensory experiences. These drugs do not fit neatly into the classes of substances we just described but are nonetheless of great concern because they can be physically damaging to those who ingest them. We briefly describe inhalants, steroids, and a group of drugs commonly referred to as designer drugs.

Inhalants include a variety of substances found in volatile solvents—making them available to breathe into the lungs directly. Some common inhalants that are used abusively include spray paint, hair spray, paint thinner, gasoline, amyl nitrate, nitrous oxide (“laughing gas”), nail polish remover, felt-tipped markers, airplane glue, contact cement, dry-cleaning fluid, and spot remover (Ridenour & Howard, 2012). Inhalant use is highest during early adolescence, ages 13 to 14, especially in those in correctional or psychiatric institutions. Additionally, higher rates of inhalant use are found among Native Americans and Caucasians, as well as those who live in rural or small towns, come from disadvantaged backgrounds, have higher levels of anxiety and depression, and show more impulsive and fearless temperaments (Garland, Howard, Vaughn, & Perron, 2011; Halliburton & Bray, 2016). These drugs are rapidly absorbed into the bloodstream through the lungs when inhaled from containers or on a cloth held up to the mouth and nose. The high associated with the use of inhalants resembles

that of alcohol intoxication and usually includes dizziness, slurred speech, lack of coordination, euphoria, and lethargy (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Users build up a tolerance to the drugs, and withdrawal—which involves sleep disturbance, tremors, irritability, and nausea—can last from 2 to 5 days. Unfortunately, use can also increase aggressive and antisocial behavior, and long-term use can damage bone marrow, kidneys, liver, lung, nervous system, and the brain (for example, leading to cognitive impairment for the user and for infants born to mothers who use while pregnant) (Ford, Sutter, Owen, & Albertson, 2014). If users are startled, this can cause a cardiac event that can lead to death (called “sudden sniffing death”) (Ridenour & Howard, 2012).

Anabolic-androgenic steroids (more commonly referred to as steroids or “roids” or “juice”) are derived from or are a synthesized form of the hormone testosterone (Pope & Kanayama, 2012). The legitimate medical uses of these drugs focus on people with asthma, anemia, breast cancer, and males with inadequate

TABLE 11.9

Diagnostic Criteria for Inhalant Use Disorder

**DSM
5**

- A.** A problematic pattern of use of a hydrocarbon-based inhalant substance leading to clinically significant impairment or distress, as manifested by at least two of the following, occurring within a 12-month period:
1. The inhalant substance is often taken in larger amounts or over a longer period than was intended.
 2. There is a persistent desire or unsuccessful efforts to cut down or control use of the inhalant substance.
 3. A great deal of time is spent in activities necessary to obtain the inhalant, use it, or recover from its effects.
 4. Craving, a strong desire or urge to use the inhalant substance.
 5. Recurrent use of the inhalant substance resulting in a failure to fulfill major role obligations at work, school, or home.
 6. Continued use of the inhalant substance despite having persistent or recurrent social or interpersonal problems caused or exacerbated by the effects of its use.
 7. Important social, occupational, or recreational activities are given up or reduced because of use of the inhalant substance.
 8. Recurrent use of the inhalant substance in situations in which it is physically hazardous.
 9. Use of the inhalant substance is continued despite knowledge of having a persistent or recurrent physical or psychological problem that is likely to have been caused or exacerbated by the substance.
 10. Tolerance, as defined by either of the following:
 - a. A need for markedly increased amounts of inhalant substance to achieve intoxication or desired effect.
 - b. A markedly diminished effect with continued use of the same amount of the inhalant substance.


Specify current severity:

Mild: Presence of 2-3 symptoms

Moderate: Presence of 4-5 symptoms

Severe: Presence of 6 or more symptoms

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.



The proliferation of new recreational drugs such as Ecstasy inspires ever more vigilance on the part of the legal system.

sexual development. However, the anabolic action of these drugs (that can produce increased body mass) has resulted in their illicit use by those wishing to try to improve their physical abilities by increasing muscle bulk. Steroids can be taken orally or through injection, and some estimates suggest that approximately 2% to 6% of males will use the drug illegally at some point in their lives (Pope & Kanayama, 2012). Users sometimes administer the drug on a schedule of several weeks or months followed by a break from its use—called “cycling”—or combine several types of steroids—called “stacking.” Steroid use differs from other drug use because the substance does not produce a desirable high but instead is used to enhance performance and body size. Dependence on the substance therefore seems to involve the desire to maintain the performance gains obtained rather than a need to re-experience an altered emotional or physical state. Research on the long-term effects of steroid use seems to suggest that mood disturbances are common (for example, depression, anxiety, and panic attacks) (Pope & Kanayama, 2012), and there is a concern that more serious physical consequences may result from regular use.

one of a small but feared growing list of related substances that includes 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDEA, or Eve), and 2-(4-bromo-2,5-dimethoxy-phenyl)-ethylamine (BDMPEA, or Nexus) (Wu et al., 2009). Their ability to heighten a person’s auditory and visual perception, as well as the senses of taste and touch, has been incorporated into the activities of those who attend nightclubs, all-night electronic dance music (EDM) parties (raves), or large social gatherings of primarily gay men (called “circuit parties”). A drug related to phencyclidine and associated with the “drug club” scene is ketamine (street names include K, Special K, and Cat Valium), a dissociative anesthetic that produces a sense of detachment, along with a reduced awareness of pain (Wolff, 2012). Gamma-hydroxybutyrate (GHB, or liquid Ecstasy) is a central nervous system depressant that was marketed in health food stores in the 1980s as a means of stimulating muscle growth. Users report that, at low doses, it can produce a state of relaxation and increased tendency to verbalize but that at higher doses or with alcohol or other drugs it can result in seizures, severe respiratory depression, and coma. These drugs taken at high doses may be especially dangerous for the developing teenager brain due to their high toxicity, which may cause irreversible memory loss and other cognitive problems (Domino & Miller, 2015).

Since 2010 there has been a rise in the use of synthetic cathinones (“bath salts”) 3,4-methylenedioxypyrovalerone (MDPV), synthetic form of a stimulant found in the khat plant from East Africa and Saudi Arabia known for its stimulant effects (Baumann, 2014). The effects of synthetic cathiones are much stronger and though similar to stimulants, they have an excitatory or agitating effect that can include paranoia, delirium, hallucinations and panic attacks (Baumann et al., 2013). Use of all these drugs can result in tolerance and dependence, and their increasing popularity among adolescents and young adults raises significant public health concerns.

Causes of Substance-Related Disorders

People continue to use psychoactive drugs for their effects on mood, perception, and behavior despite the obvious negative consequences of abuse and dependence. We saw that despite his clear potential as an individual, Danny continued to use drugs to his detriment. Various factors help explain why people like Danny persist in using drugs. Drug abuse and dependence, once thought to be the result of moral weakness, are now understood to be influenced by a combination of biological and psychosocial factors.

Why do some people use psychoactive drugs without abusing or becoming dependent on them? Why do some people stop using these drugs or use them in moderate amounts after being dependent on them and others continue a lifelong pattern of dependence despite their efforts to stop? These questions continue to occupy the time and attention of numerous researchers throughout the world.

Biological Dimensions

In 2007, when American model and television personality Anna Nicole Smith died from an apparently accidental overdose of at least nine prescription medications—including methadone, Valium, and the sedative chloral hydrate—the unfortunate news created a media sensation. The tragedy was compounded by the

Another class of drugs—dissociative anesthetics—causes drowsiness, pain relief, and the feeling of being out of one’s body (Domino & Miller, 2015; Javitt & Zukin, 2009). Sometimes referred to as designer drugs, this growing group of drugs was originally developed by pharmaceutical companies to target specific diseases and disorders. It was only a matter of time before some began using the developing technology to design “recreational drugs.” We have already described one of the more common illicit designer drugs—MDMA, street names of Ecstasy or Molly—in the section on stimulants. This amphetamine is



Gregg DeGuire/Getty Images

Model and TV personality Anna Nicole Smith and her son Daniel both died from drug complications, raising questions about how environment and biology played roles in their drug use.

fact that, just months before, her only son Daniel had died, also from an apparent drug overdose. Did the son inherit a vulnerability to addiction from his mother? Did he pick up Anna Nicole's habits from living with her over the years? Is it just a coincidence that both mother and son were so involved with drugs?

Familial and Genetic Influences

As you already have seen throughout this book, many psychological disorders are influenced in important ways by genetics. Mounting evidence indicates that drug abuse follows this pattern. Researchers conducting twin, family, and adoption and other genetic studies have found that certain people are genetically vulnerable to drug abuse (Strain, 2009; Volkow & Warren, 2015). Twin studies of smoking, for example, indicate a moderate genetic influence (e.g., Hardie, Moss, & Lynch, 2006; Seglem, Waaktaar, Ask, & Torgersen, 2015). Most genetic data on substance abuse come from research on alcoholism, which is widely studied because alcohol use is legal and many people are dependent on it. Research in general suggests that genetic risk factors cut across all mood-altering drugs (Kendler et al., 2012).

In a major twin study, the role of the environment, as well as the role of genetics, was examined in substance use problems. Researchers studied more than 1,000 pairs of male twins and questioned them about their use of cannabis, cocaine, hallucinogens, sedatives, stimulants, and opiates (Kendler, Jacobson, Prescott, & Neale, 2003). The findings—which may have major implications for how we approach treatment and prevention—suggest that there are common genetic influences on the use of all of these drugs. Although it is clear that genetics plays an important role in substance-related disorders, specific genes and their influence on these disorders are still being explored (Ray, 2012; Volkow & Warren, 2015). As the search for the genes influencing substance

use disorders, the next obvious question is how these genes function when it comes to addiction—a field of research called *functional genomics* (Demers, Bogdan, & Agrawal, 2014; Khokhar, Ferguson, Zhu, & Tyndale, 2010).

Genetic factors may affect how people experience and metabolize certain drugs, which in turn may partly determine who will or will not become regular users (Volkow & Warren, 2015). Just to illustrate how complex these relationships can be, research has found that certain genes are associated with a greater likelihood of heroin addiction in Hispanic and African American populations (Nielsen et al., 2008). Other research points out that a pharmacological treatment for alcohol use disorder—naltrexone (an opioid antagonist)—may be most effective with individuals who have a particular genetic variant in their opioid receptors (the OPRM1 gene) (Ray, 2012). In other words, your genetics may not only influence whether you develop a substance-related disorder but also help predict which treatments may be effective in reducing these problems.

Neurobiological Influences

In general, the pleasurable experiences reported by people who use psychoactive substances partly explain why people continue to use them. In behavioral terms, people are positively reinforced for using drugs. But what mechanism is responsible for such experiences? Studies indicate the brain appears to have a natural “pleasure pathway” that mediates our experience of reward. All abused substances seem to affect this internal reward center in the same way as you experience pleasure from certain foods or from sex (Ray, 2012). In other words, what psychoactive drugs may have in common is their ability to activate this reward center and provide the user with a pleasurable experience, at least for a time.

The pleasure center was discovered more than 50 years ago by James Olds, who studied the effects of electrical stimulation on rat brains (Olds, 1956; Olds & Milner, 1954). If certain areas were stimulated with small amounts of electricity, the rats behaved as if they had received something pleasant, such as food. The exact location of the area in the human brain is still subject to debate. It is believed that the *dopaminergic system* and its *opioid-releasing neurons* known as MOP-r receptors are involved. Opioids have an agonist effect at MOP-r receptors, which are spread throughout the central nervous system and are encoded by mu opioid receptor gene of OPRM1. This means opioids encourage more production of the brains’ own opioids. The pleasure center of reward that keeps opioid users using is made up of MOP-r receptors mostly found in ventral and dorsal striatal areas and is highly influenced by the downstream activation of the dopaminergic mesocortico-limbic and nigrostriatal systems (Berridge & Kringelbach, 2015; Borg et al., 2015).

How do different drugs that affect different neurotransmitter systems all converge to activate the pleasure pathway, which is primarily made up of dopamine-sensitive neurons? Researchers are only beginning to sort out the answers to this question, but some surprising findings have emerged in recent years. For example, we know that amphetamines and cocaine act directly on the dopamine system. Other drugs, however, appear to increase the availability of dopamine in more roundabout and intricate ways.

For example, the neurons in the brain's ventral tegmental area are kept from continuous firing by GABA neurons. (Remember that the GABA system is an inhibitory neurotransmitter system that blocks other neurons from sending information.) One thing that keeps us from being on an unending high is the presence of these GABA neurons, which act as the "brain police," or super-egos of the reward neurotransmitter system. Opiates (opium, morphine, heroin) inhibit GABA, which in turn stops the GABA neurons from inhibiting dopamine, which makes more dopamine available in the brain's pleasure pathway. Drugs that stimulate the reward center directly or indirectly include not only amphetamine, cocaine, and opiates but also nicotine and alcohol (Strain, 2009; Volkow & Warren, 2015).

This complicated picture is far from complete. We now understand that other neurotransmitters in addition to dopamine—including serotonin and norepinephrine—are also involved in the brain's reward system (Khokhar et al., 2010; Volkow & Warren, 2015). The coming years should yield interesting insights into the interaction of drugs and the brain. One aspect that awaits explanation is how drugs not only provide pleasurable experiences (positive reinforcement) but also help remove unpleasant experiences such as pain, feelings of illness, or anxiety (negative reinforcement). Aspirin is a negative reinforcer: We take it not because it makes us feel good but because it stops us from feeling bad. In much the same way, one property of the psychoactive drugs is that they stop people from feeling bad, an effect as powerful as making them feel good.


With several drugs, negative reinforcement is related to the anxiolytic effect, the ability to reduce anxiety (discussed briefly in the section on the sedative, hypnotic, and anxiolytic drugs). Alcohol has an anxiolytic effect. The neurobiology of how these drugs reduce anxiety seems to involve the septal–hippocampal system (Ray, 2012), which includes a large number of GABA-sensitive neurons. Certain drugs may reduce anxiety by enhancing the activity of GABA in this region, thereby inhibiting the brain's normal reaction (anxiety or fear) to anxiety-producing situations.

- Figure 11.7 illustrates how a drug such as nicotine has a multifaceted impact on a variety of neurotransmitter systems and in turn their effects on the experience of smoking.

Researchers have identified individual differences in the way people respond to alcohol. Understanding these response differences is important because they may help explain why some people continue to use drugs until they acquire a dependence on them, whereas others stop before this happens. A number of studies compare individuals with and without a family history of alcoholism (Gordis, 2000). They concluded that, compared with the sons of nonalcoholics, the sons of alcoholics may be more sensitive to alcohol when it is first ingested and then become less sensitive to its effects as the hours pass after drinking. This finding is significant because the euphoric effects of alcohol occur just after drinking but the experience after several hours is often sadness and depression. People who are at risk for developing alcoholism (in this case, the sons of alcoholics) may be better able to appreciate the initial highs of drinking and be less sensitive to the lows that come later, making them ideal candidates for continued drinking. In support of this observation, follow-up research over a 10-year period found that those men who tended to be less sensitive to alcohol also tended to drink more heavily and more often (Schuckit, 1994, 1998).

One current line of research involves analyzing the brain wave patterns of people at risk for developing alcoholism. This research is studying the sons of people with alcohol problems because of their own increased likelihood of having alcohol problems. Participants are asked to sit quietly and listen for a particular tone. When they hear the tone, they are to signal the researcher. During this time, their brain waves are monitored and a particular pattern emerges called the *P300 amplitude*. Approximately 300 milliseconds (the origin of the "P300" designation) after the tone is presented, a characteristic spike in brain waves occurs that indicates the brain is processing this information. In general, researchers find this spike is lower among those with a family history of alcoholism (Tapert & Jacobus, 2012).

Is this brain wave difference somehow connected to the reasons people later develop a dependence on alcohol, or is it just a marker or sign that these individuals have in common but is not related to their drinking? One piece of evidence that argues against the P300 differences as a marker for alcoholism is that individuals with a variety of other substance use problems (for



● FIGURE 11.7

Nicotine influences multiple neurotransmitters, causing a number of different mood changes. (Figure from Benowitz, N. (2008). Neurobiology of nicotine addiction: Implications for smoking cessation treatment. *The American Journal of Medicine* 121(Suppl. 4), S1.)

example, opioid users) and psychological disorders (for example, schizophrenia and depression) also show lower P300 amplitude than control participants (Singh, Basu, Kohli, & Prabhakar, 2009). Researchers are continuing to try to understand this interesting but puzzling phenomenon.

Psychological Dimensions

We have shown that the substances people use to alter mood and behavior have unique effects. The high from heroin differs substantially from the experience of smoking a cigarette, which in turn differs from the effects of amphetamines or LSD. Nevertheless, it is important to point out the similarities in the way people mentally react to most of these substances.

Positive Reinforcement

The feelings that result from using psychoactive substances are pleasurable in some way, and people will continue to take the drugs to recapture the pleasure. Research shows quite clearly that many drugs used and abused by humans also seem to be pleasurable to animals (Young & Herling, 1986). Laboratory animals will work to have their bodies injected with drugs such as cocaine, amphetamines, opiates, sedatives, and alcohol, which demonstrates that even without social and cultural influences these drugs are pleasurable.

Human research also indicates that to some extent all psychoactive drugs provide a pleasurable experience (Ray, 2012). In addition, the social contexts for drug taking may encourage its use, even when the use alone is not the desired outcome. One study found that among volunteers who preferred not to take Valium, pairing money with pill taking caused participants to switch from a placebo to Valium (Alessi, Roll, Reilly, & Johanson, 2002). Positive reinforcement in the use and the situations surrounding the use of drugs contributes to whether or not people decide to try to continue using drugs.

Negative Reinforcement

Most researchers have looked at how drugs help reduce unpleasant feelings through negative reinforcement. Many people are likely to initiate and continue drug use to escape from unpleasantness in their lives. In addition to the initial euphoria, many drugs provide escape from physical pain (opiates), from stress (alcohol), or from panic and anxiety (benzodiazepines). This phenomenon has been explored under a number of different names, including *tension reduction*, *negative affect*, and *self-medication*, each of which has a somewhat different focus (Ray, 2012).

One premise is that substance use becomes a way for users to cope with the unpleasant feelings that go along with life circumstances. For example, one study of 1,252 U.S. Army soldiers returning home from Operation Iraqi Freedom found that those exposed to violent combat, human trauma, and having direct responsibility for taking the life of another person were at increased risk for risk-taking and for more frequent and greater alcohol use (Killgore et al., 2008; Stappenbeck, Hellmuth, Simpson, & Jakupcak, 2014). People who experience other types of trauma such as sexual abuse

are also more likely to abuse alcohol (Breckenridge, Salter, & Shaw, 2012). These observations emphasize the important role played by each aspect of abuse and dependence—biological, psychological, social, and cultural—in determining who will and who will not have difficulties with these substances.

In a study that examined substance use among adolescents as a way to reduce stress (Chassin, Pillow, Curran, Molina, & Barrera, 1993), researchers compared a group of adolescents who had alcoholic parents with a group whose parents did not have drinking problems. The average age of the adolescents was 12.7 years. The researchers found that just having a parent with alcohol dependence was a major factor in predicting who would use alcohol and other drugs. However, they also found that adolescents who reported negative affect, such as feeling lonely, crying a lot, or being tense, were more likely than others to use drugs. The researchers further determined that the adolescents from both groups tended to use drugs as a way to cope with unpleasant feelings. This study and others (see, for example, Pardini, Lochman, & Wells, 2004) suggest that one contributing factor to adolescent drug use is the desire to escape from unpleasantness. It also suggests that to prevent people from using drugs we may need to address influences such as stress and anxiety, a strategy we discuss in our section on treatment.

Many people who use psychoactive substances experience a crash after being high. If people reliably crash, why don't they just stop taking drugs? One explanation is given by Solomon and Corbit in an interesting integration of both the positive and the negative reinforcement processes (Solomon, 1980; Solomon & Corbit, 1974). The *opponent-process theory* holds that an increase in positive feelings will be followed shortly by an increase in negative feelings. Similarly, an increase in negative feelings will be followed by a period of positive feelings (Ray, 2012). Athletes often report feeling depressed after finally attaining a long-sought goal. The opponent-process theory claims that this mechanism is strengthened with use and weakened by disuse. So a person who has been using a drug for some time will need more of it to achieve the same results (tolerance). At the same time, the negative feelings that follow drug use tend to intensify. For many people, this is the point at which the motivation for drug taking shifts from desiring the euphoric high to alleviating the increasingly unpleasant crash. Unfortunately, they come to believe that the best remedy is more of the same drug. People who are hung over after drinking too much alcohol are often advised to have “the hair of the dog that bit you” (that is, have another drink). The sad irony here is that the very drug that can make you feel so bad is also the one thing that can take away your pain. You can see why people can become enslaved by this insidious cycle.

Researchers have also looked at substance abuse as a way of self-medicating for other problems (Bailey & Baillie, 2012). If people have difficulties with anxiety, for example, they may be attracted to barbiturates or alcohol because of their anxiety-reducing qualities. In one study, researchers were successful in treating a group of cocaine addicts who had ADHD with methylphenidate (Ritalin) (Dursteler et al., 2015; Levin, Evans, Brooks, & Garawi, 2007). They had hypothesized that these individuals used cocaine to help focus their attention. Once their ability to concentrate improved with the methylphenidate, the users reduced their use of cocaine.

Research is just beginning to outline the complex interplay among stressors, negative feelings, other psychological disorders, and negative reactions to the drugs themselves as causative factors in psychoactive drug use.

Cognitive Dimensions

What people expect to experience when they use drugs influences how they react to them. A person who expects to be less inhibited when she drinks alcohol will act less inhibited whether she actually drinks alcohol or a placebo she thinks is alcohol (Bailey & Baillie, 2012). This observation about the influence of how we think about drug use has been labeled an *expectancy effect* and has received considerable research attention.

Expectancies develop before people actually use drugs, perhaps as a result of parents' and peers' drug use, advertising, and media figures who model drug use (Campbell & Oei, 2013). In an important study, students in Canada in grades 7 to 11 were questioned each year for three years about their thoughts about alcohol and marijuana use (Fulton, Krank, & Stewart, 2012; Young, 2013). Included were instructions for them to list 3 or 4 things they expected would happen if they used a particular substance. Positive expectancies about the effects of alcohol or marijuana use predicted who was more likely to use and increase their use of these drugs three years later. These results suggest that adolescents may begin drinking or using other drugs partly because they believe these substances will have positive effects.

Expectations appear to change as people have more experience with drugs, although their expectations are similar for alcohol, nicotine, cannabis, and cocaine (Simons, Dvorak, & Lau-Barraco, 2009; Young, 2013). Some evidence points to positive expectancies—believing you will feel good if you take a drug—as an indirect influence on drug problems. In other words, what these beliefs may do is increase the likelihood you will take certain drugs, which in turn will increase the likelihood that problems will arise.

Once people stop taking drugs after prolonged or repeated use, powerful urges called "cravings" can interfere with efforts to remain off these drugs (Hollander & Kenny, 2012; Young, 2013). *DSM-5* includes cravings as one of the criteria for diagnosing a substance-related disorder. If you've ever tried to give up ice cream and then found yourself compelled to have some, you have a limited idea of what it might be like to crave a drug. These urges seem to be triggered by factors such as the availability of the drug, contact with things associated with drug taking (for example, sitting in a bar), specific moods (for example, being depressed), or having a small dose of the drug. For example, one study used a virtual reality apparatus to simulate visual, auditory, and olfactory (an alcohol-dipped tissue) cues (Lee et al., 2009) for alcohol-dependent adults. The participants could choose among kinds of alcoholic beverages (e.g., beer, whiskey, or wine), snacks, and drinking environments (beer garden,

restaurant, and pub). The researchers found significant increases in cravings for alcohol under these conditions (Lee et al., 2009). This type of technology may make it easier for clinicians to assess potential problem areas for clients, which can then be targeted to help keep them from relapsing. Research is under way to determine how cravings may work in the brain and if certain medications can be used to reduce these urges and help supplement treatment (Hollander & Kenny, 2012).

Social Dimensions

Exposure to psychoactive substances is a necessary prerequisite to their use and possible abuse, as previously discussed. You could probably list a number of ways people are exposed to these substances—through friends, through the media, and so on. Research on the consequences of cigarette advertising, for example, suggests the effects of media exposure may be more influential than peer pressure in determining whether teens smoke (Jackson, Brown, & L'Engle, 2007). In one large study, 820 adolescents (ages 14–17) were studied to assess what factors influenced the age at which they would have their first drink of alcohol (Kuperman et al., 2013). This study found several factors predicted early alcohol use including when their best friends started drinking, whether their family was at high risk for alcohol dependence, and the presence of behavior problems in these children.

Research suggests that drug-addicted parents spend less time monitoring their children than parents without drug problems (Dishion, Patterson, & Reid, 1988) and that this is an important contribution to early adolescent substance use (Kerr, Stattin, & Burk, 2010). When parents do not provide appropriate supervision, their children tend to develop friendships with peers who supported drug use (Van Ryzin, Fosco, & Dishion, 2012). Children influenced by drug use at home may be exposed to peers who use drugs as well. A self-perpetuating pattern seems to be associated with drug use that extends beyond the genetic influences we discussed previously.



Monkey Business Images/Shutterstock.com

Many young children are exposed to drug use.

How does our society view people who are dependent on drugs? This issue is of tremendous importance because it affects efforts to legislate the sale, manufacture, possession, and use of these substances. It also dictates how drug-dependent individuals are treated. Two views of substance-related disorders characterize contemporary thought: the moral weakness and the disease models of dependence. According to the *moral weakness model of chemical dependence*, drug use is seen as a failure of self-control in the face of temptation; this is a psychosocial perspective. Propponents of this model see drug users as lacking the character or moral fiber to resist the lure of drugs. We saw earlier, for example, that the Catholic Church made drug use an official sin—an indication of its disdain. The *disease model of physiological dependence*, in contrast, assumes that drug use disorders are caused by an underlying physiological cause; this is a biological perspective. Those who ascribe to this model think that just as diabetes or asthma can't be blamed on the afflicted individuals, neither should drug use disorders. AA and similar organizations see drug use disorders as an incurable disease over which the addict has no control (Kelly, Stout, Magill, Tonigan, & Pagano, 2010).

Neither perspective does justice to the complex interrelationship between the psychosocial and biological influences that affect substance disorders. Viewing drug use as moral weakness leads to punishing those afflicted with the disorder, whereas a disease model includes seeking treatment for a medical problem. Messages that the disorder is out of their control can at times be counterproductive. A comprehensive view of substance-related disorders that includes both psychosocial and biological influences is needed for this important societal concern to be addressed adequately.

Cultural Dimensions

Culture is a pervasive factor in the influence of drug use and treatment. For example, the extent to which and how well people

adapt to new cultures (*acculturation*) can be either a source of strength or a stress that can impact drug use. Cultural factors such as *machismo* (male dominance in Latin cultures), *marianismo* (female Latin role of motherly nurturance and identifying with the Virgin Mary), spirituality, and *tiu lien* ("loss of face" among Asians, that can lead to shame for not living up to cultural expectations) are just a few cultural viewpoints that can affect drug use and treatment in either a positive or negative way (Castro & Nieri, 2010). In addition, when we examine a behavior as it appears in different cultures, it is necessary to reexamine what is considered abnormal (Kohn, Wintrob, & Alarcón, 2009). Each culture has its own preferences for acceptable psychoactive drugs, as well as its own prohibitions for substances it finds unacceptable. Keep in mind that in addition to defining what is or is not acceptable, cultural norms affect the rates of substance use in important ways. For example, research suggests that alcohol may be more available in poorer Mexican towns (i.e., more stores or individuals selling alcohol), leading to higher rates of alcoholism in these areas (Parker, McCaffree, & Alaniz, 2013).

On the other hand, in certain cultures, including Korea, people are expected to drink alcohol heavily on certain social occasions (C. K. Lee, 1992). As we have seen before, exposure to these substances, in addition to social pressure for heavy and frequent use, may facilitate their abuse, and this may explain the high alcohol abuse rates in countries like Korea. This cultural influence provides an interesting natural experiment when exploring gene-environment interactions. People of Asian descent are more likely to have the ALDH2 gene, which produces a severe "flushing" effect (reddening and burning of the face) after drinking alcohol. This flushing effect was thought to be responsible for a relatively low rate of drinking in the population (de Wit & Phillips, 2012). However, between 1979 and 1992—when increased drinking became socially expected—there was an increase in alcohol abuse (Higuchi et al., 1994). The protective value of having the ALDH2 gene was diminished by the change in cultural norms (Rutter, Moffit, & Caspi, 2006).

Cultural factors not only influence the rates of substance abuse but also determine how it is manifested. Research indicates that alcohol consumption in Poland and Finland is relatively low, yet conflicts related to drinking and arrests for drunkenness in those countries are high compared with those in the Netherlands, which has about the same rate of alcohol consumption (Osterberg, 1986). Our discussion of expectancies may provide some insight into how the same amount of drinking can have different behavioral outcomes. Expectancies about the effects of alcohol use differ across cultures (for example, "Drinking makes me more aggressive" versus "Drinking makes me more withdrawn"); these differing expectancies may partially account for the variations in the consequences of drinking in Poland, Finland, and the Netherlands. Whether substance use is considered a



In many cultures, alcohol is used as part of certain rituals, demonstrated in this photo of Masai elders drinking ceremonial beer.




FIGURE 11.8

An integrative model of substance-related disorders.

harmful dysfunction often depends on the assumptions of the cultural group.

An Integrative Model

Any explanation of substance use disorders must account for the basic issue raised earlier in this chapter: Why do some people use drugs but not abuse them or become dependent? ● Figure 11.8 illustrates how the multiple influences we have discussed may interact to account for this process. Access to a drug is a necessary but not a sufficient condition for abuse or dependence. Exposure has many sources, including the media, parents, peers, and, indirectly, lack of supervision. Whether people use a drug depends also on social and cultural expectations, some encouraging and some discouraging, such as laws against possession or sale of the drug.

The path from drug use to abuse and dependence is more complicated (see Figure 11.8). As major stressors aggravate many disorders we have discussed, so do they increase the risk of abuse and dependence on psychoactive substances. Genetic influences may be of several types. Some individuals may inherit a greater sensitivity to the effects of certain drugs; others may inherit an ability to metabolize substances more quickly and are thereby able to tolerate higher (and more dangerous) levels (Young-Wolff, Enoch, & Prescott, 2011). Other psychiatric conditions may indirectly put someone at risk for substance abuse. Antisocial personality disorder, characterized by the frequent violation of social norms (see Chapter 12), is thought to include a lowered rate of arousal; this may account for the increased prevalence of substance abuse in

this group. People with mood disorders or anxiety disorders may self-medicate by using drugs to relieve the negative symptoms of their disorder, and this may account for the high rates of substance abuse in this group.

We know also that continued use of certain substances changes the way our brains work through a process called *neuroplasticity*. We tend to think of neuroplasticity—the brain's tendency to reorganize itself by forming new neural connections—when we hear stories of people recovering abilities after some brain damage. This ability to adapt to change is something we hope for when injury occurs to the brain. The other side of this ability shows itself in drug addiction. With the continued use of substances such as alcohol, cocaine, or the other drugs we explore in this chapter, the brain reorganizes itself to adapt. Unfortunately, this change in the brain increases the drive to obtain the drug and decreases the desire for other nondrug experiences—both of which contribute to continued use and relapse (Russo et al., 2010).

It is clear that abuse and dependence cannot be predicted from one factor, be it genetic, neurobiological, psychological, or cultural. For example, *some* people with the genes common to many with substance abuse problems do not become abusers. Many people who experience the most crushing stressors, such as abject poverty or bigotry and violence, cope without resorting to drug use. There are different pathways to abuse, and we are only now beginning to identify their basic outlines.

Once a drug has been used repeatedly, biology and cognition conspire to create dependence. Continual use of most drugs causes tolerance, which requires the user to ingest more of the drug to produce the same effect. Conditioning is also a factor. If

pleasurable drug experiences are associated with certain settings, a return to such a setting will later cause urges to develop, even if the drugs themselves are not available.

This complex picture still does not convey the intricate lives of people who develop substance-related disorders. Each person has a story and path to abuse and dependence. We have only begun to discover the commonalities of substance disorders; we need to understand a great deal more about how all the factors interact to produce them.

Treatment of Substance-Related Disorders

When we left Danny, he was in jail, awaiting the legal outcome of being arrested for vehicular manslaughter. At this point in his life, Danny needs more than legal help; he needs to free himself from his addiction to alcohol and cocaine. And the first step in his recovery has to come from him. Danny must admit he needs help, that he does indeed have a problem with drugs, and that he needs others to help him overcome his chronic dependence. The personal motivation to work on a drug problem appears to be important but not necessarily essential in the treatment of substance abuse (National Institute on Drug Abuse [NIDA], 2009). Unfortunately, although Danny's arrest seemed to shock him into realizing how serious his problems had become, he was not ready to confront them head-on. He spent many hours researching how the antidepressant medication he was also taking could have caused the deadly accident and did not own up to his drug use as the cause.

Treating people who have substance-related disorders is a difficult task. Perhaps because of the combination of influences that often work together to keep people hooked, the outlook for those who are dependent on drugs is often not positive. You will see in the case of heroin dependence, for example, that a best-case scenario is often just trading one addiction (heroin) for another (methadone). And even people who successfully cease taking drugs may feel the urge to resume drug use all their lives.

Treatment for substance-related disorders focuses on multiple areas (Higgins et al., 2014). The National Institute on Drug Abuse recommends 13 principles of effective treatment for illicit drug abuse based on more than 35 years of research (NIDA, 2009) (see Table 11.1). Sometimes the first step is to help someone through the withdrawal process; typically, the ultimate goal is abstinence. In other situations, the goal is to get a person to maintain a certain level of drug use without escalating its intake, and sometimes it is geared toward preventing exposure to drugs. Because substance abuse arises from so many influences, it should not be surprising that treating people with substance-related disorders is not a simple matter of finding just the right drug or the best way to change thoughts or behavior.

Importantly, it is estimated that fewer than 25% of the people who have significant problems with substance use seek treatment

TABLE 11.1 Principles of Effective Treatment

1. No single treatment is appropriate for all individuals.
2. Treatment needs to be readily available.
3. Effective treatment attends to multiple needs of the individual, not just his or her drug use.
4. An individual's treatment and services plan must be assessed continually and modified as necessary to ensure that the plan meets the person's changing needs.
5. Remaining in treatment for an adequate period of time is critical for treatment effectiveness (i.e., 3 months or longer).
6. Counseling (individual and/or group) and other behavioral therapies are critical components of effective treatment for addiction.
7. Medications are an important element of treatment for many patients, especially when combined with counseling and other behavioral therapies.
8. Addicted or drug-abusing individuals with coexisting mental disorders should have both disorders treated in an integrated way.
9. Medical detoxification is only the first stage of addiction treatment and by itself does little to change long-term drug use.
10. Treatment does not need to be voluntary to be effective.
11. Possible drug use during treatment must be monitored continuously.
12. Treatment programs should provide assessment for HIV/AIDS, hepatitis B and C, tuberculosis and other infectious diseases, and counseling to help patients modify or change behaviors that place themselves or others at risk of infection.
13. Recovery from drug addiction can be a long-term process and frequently requires multiple episodes of treatment.

Source: National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA). (2009). *Principles of addiction treatment: A research-based guide, 2nd edition* (NIH Publication No. 09-4180). Rockville, MD: National Institute on Drug Abuse.

for their problems (Dawson et al., 2005). In order to reach out to these individuals, efforts are under way to put in place routine screenings for substance use problems in settings such as doctor's offices, hospital emergency rooms, and even in college and university health clinics. This community-wide approach is an important part of identifying difficulties and bringing treatment to those in need (Tucker, Murphy, & Kertesz, 2011).

We discuss the treatment of substance-related disorders as a group because treatments have so much in common. For example, many programs that treat people for dependence on a variety of substances also teach skills for coping with life stressors. Some biological treatments focus on how to cancel out the effects of the ingested substances. We discuss the obvious differences among substances as they arise.

Biological Treatments

There have been a variety of biologically based approaches designed primarily to change the way substances are experienced. In other words, scientists are trying to find ways to prevent people from experiencing the pleasant highs associated with drug use or to find alternative substances that have some of the positive effects (for example, reducing anxiety) without their addictive properties. Table 11.2 lists the current recommended medical treatments for many of the more intractable substance dependence problems.

TABLE 11.2 Medical Treatments

	Substance Treatment Goal	Treatment Approach
Nicotine	Reduce withdrawal symptoms and cravings	Nicotine replacement therapy (patch, gum, spray, lozenge, and inhaler)
	Reduce withdrawal symptoms and cravings	Bupropion (Zyban)
Alcohol	Reduce reinforcing effects of alcohol	Naltrexone
	Reduce alcohol craving in abstinent individuals	Acamprosate (Campral)
	Maintenance of abstinence	Disulfiram (Antabuse)
Cannabis		No specific medical interventions recommended
Cocaine		No specific medical interventions recommended
Opioids	Maintenance of abstinence	Methadone
	Maintenance of abstinence	Buprenorphine (Subutex)

Source: From American Psychiatric Association. (2007). Practice guidelines for the treatment of patients with substance use disorders (2nd ed.). *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 164 (Suppl.), 1–14.

Agonist Substitution

Increased knowledge about how psychoactive drugs work on the brain has led researchers to explore ways of changing how they are experienced by people who are dependent on them. One method, **agonist substitution**, involves providing the person with a safe drug that has a chemical makeup similar to the addictive drug (therefore the name *agonist*). *Methadone* is an opiate agonist that is often given as a heroin substitute (Schwartz, Brooner, Montoya, Currens, & Hayes, 2010). Methadone is a synthetic narcotic developed in Germany during World War II when morphine was not available for pain control; it was originally called *adolphine* after Adolph Hitler (Martínez-Fernández, 2002). Although it does not give the quick high of heroin, methadone initially provides the same analgesic (pain reducing) and sedative effects. When users develop a tolerance for methadone, however, it loses its analgesic and sedative qualities. Because heroin and methadone have *cross-tolerance*, meaning they act on the same neurotransmitter receptors, a heroin addict who takes methadone may become addicted to the methadone instead, but this is not always the case (Maremmanni et al., 2009). Research suggests that when addicts combine methadone with counseling, many reduce their use of heroin and engage in less criminal activity (Schwartz et al., 2009). A newer agonist—buprenorphine—blocks the effects of opiates and seems to encourage better compliance than would a nonopiate or opiate antagonist (Strain et al., 2009).

Addiction to cigarette smoking is also treated by a substitution process. The drug—**nicotine**—is provided to smokers in the form of gum, patch, inhaler, or nasal spray, which lack the carcinogens included in cigarette smoke; the dose is later tapered off to lessen withdrawal from the drug. In general, these replacement strategies successfully help people stop smoking, although they work best with psychological therapy (Carpenter et al., 2013; Hughes, 2009). People must be taught how to use the gum properly, and a portion

of the people who successfully quit smoking become dependent on the gum itself (Etter, 2009). The **nicotine patch** requires less effort and provides a steadier nicotine replacement (Hughes, 2009). Another medical treatment for smoking—bupropion (Zyban)—is also commonly prescribed, under the trade name Wellbutrin, as an antidepressant. This drug curbs the cravings without being an agonist for nicotine (rather than helping smokers trying to quit by making them less depressed). All of these medical treatments have roughly the same effectiveness in helping people quit smoking, with a 6-month abstinence rate of approximately 20 to 25% (Litvin et al., 2012).

Antagonist Treatments

We described how many psychoactive drugs produce euphoric effects through their interaction with the neurotransmitter systems in the brain. What would happen if the effects of these drugs were blocked so that the drugs no longer produced the pleasant results? Would people stop using the drugs? **Antagonist drugs** block or counteract the effects of psychoactive drugs, and a variety of drugs that seem to cancel out the effects of opiates have been used with people dependent on a variety of substances. The most often prescribed opiate-antagonist drug, naltrexone, has had only limited success with individuals who are not simultaneously participating in a structured treatment program (Krupitsky & Blokhina, 2010). When it is given to a person who is dependent on opiates, it produces immediate withdrawal symptoms, an extremely unpleasant effect. A person must be free from these withdrawal symptoms completely before starting naltrexone, and because it removes the euphoric effects of opiates, the user must be highly motivated to continue treatment. Acamprosate also seems to decrease cravings in people dependent on alcohol, and it works best with highly motivated people who are also participating in psychosocial interventions (Kennedy et al., 2010). The brain mechanisms for the effects of this drug are not well understood (Oslin & Klaus, 2009).

Overall, naltrexone or the other drugs being explored are not the magic bullets that would shut off the addict's response to psychoactive drugs and put an end to dependence. They do appear to help some drug abusers handle withdrawal symptoms and the cravings that accompany attempts to abstain from drug use; antagonists may therefore be a useful addition to other therapeutic efforts.

Aversive Treatment

In addition to looking for ways to block the euphoric effects of psychoactive drugs, clinicians in this area may prescribe drugs that make ingesting the abused substances extremely unpleasant. The expectation is that a person who associates the drug with feelings of illness will avoid using the drug. The most commonly known aversive treatment uses disulfiram (Antabuse) with people who have an alcohol use disorder (Ivanov, 2009). Antabuse prevents the breakdown of acetaldehyde, a by-product of alcohol, and the resulting buildup of acetaldehyde causes feelings of illness. People who drink alcohol after taking Antabuse experience nausea, vomiting, and elevated heart rate and respiration. Ideally, Antabuse is taken each morning, before the desire to drink arises. Unfortunately, noncompliance is a major concern, and a person

who skips the Antabuse for a few days is able to resume drinking (Ellis & Dronsfield, 2013).

Efforts to make smoking aversive have included the use of silver nitrate in lozenges or gum. This chemical combines with the saliva of a smoker to produce a bad taste in the mouth. Research has not shown it to be particularly effective (Jensen, Schmidt, Pedersen, & Dahl, 1991). Both Antabuse for alcohol abuse and silver nitrate for cigarette smoking have generally been less than successful as treatment strategies on their own, primarily because they require that people be extremely motivated to continue taking them outside the supervision of a mental health professional.

Other Biological Approaches

Medication is often prescribed to help people deal with the often-disturbing symptoms of withdrawal. Clonidine, developed to treat hypertension, has been given to people withdrawing from opiates. Because withdrawal from certain prescribed medications such as sedative drugs can cause cardiac arrest or seizures, these drugs are gradually tapered off to minimize dangerous reactions. In addition, sedative drugs (benzodiazepines) are often prescribed to help minimize discomfort for people withdrawing from other drugs, such as alcohol (Sher, Martinez, & Littlefield, 2011).

Psychosocial Treatments

Most biological treatments for substance abuse show some promise with people who are trying to eliminate their drug habit. Not one of these treatments alone is successful for most people, however (Schuckit, 2009b). Most research indicates a need for social support or therapeutic intervention. Because so many people need help to overcome their substance disorder, a number of models and programs have been developed. Unfortunately, in no other area of psychology have unvalidated and untested methods of treatment been so widely accepted. A reminder: A program that has not been subject to the scrutiny of research *may* work, but the sheer number of people receiving services of unknown value is still cause for concern. We next review several therapeutic approaches that *have* been evaluated.

Inpatient Facilities

The first specialized facility for people with substance abuse problems was established in 1935, when the first federal narcotic "farm" was built in Lexington, Kentucky. Now mostly privately run, such facilities are designed to help people get through the initial withdrawal period and to provide supportive therapy so that they can go back to their communities (Morgan, 1981). Inpatient care can be extremely expensive (Bender, 2004). The question arises, then, as to how effective this type of care is compared with outpatient therapy that can cost 90% less. Research suggests there may be no difference between intensive residential setting programs and quality outpatient care in the outcomes for alcoholic patients (Miller & Hester, 1986) or for drug treatment in general (NIDA, 2009). Although some people do improve as inpatients, they may do equally well in outpatient care that is significantly less expensive.

Alcoholics Anonymous and Its Variations

Without question, the most popular model for the treatment of substance abuse is a variation of the Twelve Steps program first developed by Alcoholics Anonymous (AA). Established in 1935 by two alcoholic professionals, William “Bill W.” Wilson and Robert “Dr. Bob” Holbrook Smith, the foundation of AA is the notion that alcoholism is a disease and alcoholics must acknowledge their addiction to alcohol and its destructive power over them. The addiction is seen as more powerful than any individual; therefore, they must look to a higher power to help them overcome their shortcomings. Central to the design of AA is its independence from the established medical community and the freedom it offers from the stigmatization of alcoholism (Denzin, 1987; Robertson, 1988). An important component is the social support it provides through group meetings.

Since 1935, AA has steadily expanded to include almost 106,000 groups in more than 100 countries (White & Kurtz, 2008). In one survey, 9% of the adult population in the United States reported they had at one time attended an AA meeting (Room & Greenfield, 2006). The Twelve Steps of AA are the basis of its philosophy (see Table 11.3). In them, you can see the reliance on prayer and a belief in God.

Many people credit AA and similar organizations, such as Cocaine Anonymous and Narcotics Anonymous, with saving their lives. Despite challenges conducting systematic research on

AA because participants attend meetings anonymously and only when they feel the need to, there have been numerous attempts to evaluate the program’s effect on alcoholism (McCrady & Tonigan, 2015). Research finds that those people who regularly participate in AA activities—or other similar supportive approaches—and follow its guidelines carefully are more likely to have positive outcomes, such as reduced drinking and improved psychological health (Kelly, 2013; Zemore, Subbaraman, & Tonigan, 2013). Studies suggest that those who are more likely to engage with AA tend to have more severe alcohol use problem and seem to be more committed to abstinence (McCrady & Tonigan, 2015). Thus, AA can be an effective treatment for highly motivated people with alcohol dependence. Research to date has not shown how AA compares to other treatments. However, preliminary evidence shows that AA can be helpful for individuals seeking to achieve total abstinence and may be more cost effective than other treatments. Researchers are still trying to understand exactly why AA and the 12-step program work, but it seems that social support plays an important role (McCrady & Tonigan, 2015).

Some individuals have a more mixed experience with AA and this includes agnostics and atheists, women, and minority groups (McCrady & Tonigan, 2015). Other groups now exist (e.g., Rational Recovery, Moderation Management, Women for Sobriety, SMART Recovery) for individuals who benefit from the social support of others but who may not want the abstinence-oriented 12-step program offered by groups modeled after AA (Tucker et al., 2011).

TABLE 11.3 Twelve Suggested Steps of Alcoholics Anonymous

1. We admitted we were powerless over alcohol—that our lives had become unmanageable.
2. Came to believe that a power greater than ourselves could restore us to sanity.
3. Made a decision to turn our will and our lives over to the care of God as we understood Him.
4. Made a searching and fearless moral inventory of ourselves.
5. Admitted to God, to ourselves, and to another human being the exact nature of our wrongs.
6. Were entirely ready to have God remove all these defects of character.
7. Humbly asked Him to remove our shortcomings.
8. Made a list of all persons we had harmed, and became willing to make amends to them all.
9. Made direct amends to such people wherever possible, except when to do so would injure them or others.
10. Continued to take personal inventory and, when we were wrong, promptly admitted it.
11. Sought through prayer and meditation to improve our conscious contact with God as we understood Him, praying only for knowledge of His will for us and the power to carry that out.
12. Having had a spiritual awakening as the result of these steps, we tried to carry this message to alcoholics and to practice these principles in all our affairs.

Source: The Twelve Steps are reprinted with permission of Alcoholics Anonymous World Services (AAWS). Permission to reprint the Twelve Steps does not mean that AAWS has reviewed or approved the contents of the publication or that AAWS necessarily agrees with the views expressed herein. AA is a program of recovery from alcoholism only—use of the Twelve Steps in connection with programs and activities which are patterned after AA, but which address other problems, or in any other non-AA context, does not imply otherwise.

Controlled Use

One of the tenets of AA is total abstinence; recovering alcoholics who have just one sip of alcohol are believed to have “slipped” until they again achieve abstinence. Some researchers question this assumption, however, and believe at least a portion of abusers of several substances (notably alcohol and nicotine) may be capable of becoming social users without resuming their abuse of these drugs.

In the alcoholism treatment field, the notion of teaching people **controlled drinking** is extremely controversial, partly because of a classic study showing partial success in teaching severe abusers to drink in a limited way (Sobell & Sobell, 1978). The participants were 40 male alcoholics in an alcoholism treatment program at a state hospital who were thought to have a good prognosis. The men were assigned either to a program that taught them how to drink in moderation (experimental group) or to a group that was abstinence oriented (control group). The researchers, Mark and Linda Sobell, followed the men for more than 2 years, maintaining contact with 98% of them. During the second year after treatment, those who participated in the controlled drinking group were functioning well 85% of the time, whereas the men in the abstinence group were reported to be doing well only 42% of the time. Although results in the two groups differed significantly, some men in both groups suffered serious relapses and required rehospitalization and some were incarcerated. The results of this study suggest that controlled drinking may be a viable alternative to abstinence for some alcohol abusers, although it clearly isn’t a cure.

The controversy over this study began with a paper published in the prestigious journal *Science* (Pendery, Maltzman, & West, 1982). The authors reported they had contacted the men in the Sobell study after 10 years and found that only 1 of the 20 men in the experimental group maintained a pattern of controlled drinking. Although this reevaluation made headlines and was the subject of a segment on the *60 Minutes* television show, it had a number of flaws (Marlatt, Larimer, Baer, & Quigley, 1993). Most serious was the lack of data on the abstinence group over the same 10-year follow-up period. Because no treatment study on substance abuse pretends to help everyone who participates, control groups are added to compare progress. In this case, we need to know how well the controlled drinking group fared compared with the abstinence group.

The controversy over the Sobell study still has a chilling effect on controlled drinking as a treatment of alcohol abuse in the United States. In contrast, controlled drinking is widely accepted as a treatment for alcoholism in the United Kingdom. Despite opposition, research on this approach has been conducted in the ensuing years (e.g., Orford & Keddie, 2006; van Amsterdam & van den Brink, 2013), and the results seem to show that controlled drinking is at least as effective as abstinence but that neither treatment is successful for 70% to 80% of patients over the long term—a rather bleak outlook for people with alcohol dependence problems.

Component Treatment

Most comprehensive treatment programs aimed at helping people with substance use disorder have a number of components thought to boost the effectiveness of the “treatment package” (NIDA, 2009). We saw in our review of biological treatments that their effectiveness is increased when psychologically based therapy is added. In aversion therapy, which uses a conditioning model, substance use is paired with something extremely unpleasant, such as a brief electric shock or feelings of nausea. For example, a person might be offered a drink of alcohol and receive a painful shock when the glass reaches his lips. The goal is to counteract the positive associations with substance use with negative associations. The negative associations can also be made by imagining unpleasant scenes in a technique called *covert sensitization* (Cautela, 1966); the person might picture herself beginning to snort cocaine and be interrupted with visions of herself becoming violently ill (Kearney, 2006).

One component that seems to be a valuable part of therapy for substance use is *contingency management* (Higgins et al., 2014). Here, the clinician and the client together select the behaviors that the client needs to change and decide on the reinforcers that will reward reaching certain goals, perhaps money or small retail items like CDs. In a study of cocaine abusers, clients received cash vouchers (up to almost \$2,000) for having cocaine-negative urine specimens (Higgins et al., 2006). This study found greater abstinence rates among cocaine-dependent users with the contingency management approach and other skills training than among users in a more traditional counseling program that included a 12-step approach to treatment.

Another package of treatments is the *community reinforcement approach* (e.g., Campbell, Miele, Nunes, McCrimmon, & Ghitza, 2012). In keeping with the multiple influences that affect substance use, several facets of the drug problem are addressed to help identify

and correct aspects of the person’s life that might contribute to substance use or interfere with efforts to abstain. First, a spouse, friend, or relative who is not a substance user is recruited to participate in relationship therapy to help the abuser improve relationships with other important people. Second, clients are taught how to identify the antecedents and consequences that influence their drug taking. For example, if they are likely to use cocaine with certain friends, clients are taught to recognize the relationships and encouraged to avoid the associations. Third, clients are given assistance with employment, education, finances, or other social service areas that may help reduce their stress. Fourth, new recreational options help the person replace substance use with new activities. There is now strong empirical support for the effectiveness of this approach with alcohol and cocaine abusers (Higgins et al., 2014).

Obstacles to successful treatment for substance use and dependence include a lack of personal awareness that one has a problem and an unwillingness to change. An increasingly common intervention approach that directly addresses these needs is referred to as *Motivational Enhancement Therapy (MET)* (NIDA, 2009). MET is based on the work of Miller and Rollnick (2012), who proposed that behavior change in adults is more likely with empathetic and optimistic counseling (the therapist understands the client’s perspective and believes that he or she can change) and a focus on a personal connection with the client’s core values (for example, drinking and its consequences interferes with spending more time with family). By reminding the client about what he or she cherishes most, MET intends to improve the individual’s belief that any changes made (e.g., drinking less) will have positive outcomes (e.g., more family time) and the individual is therefore more likely to make the recommended changes. MET has been used to assist individuals with a variety of substance use problems, and it appears to be a useful component to add to psychological treatment (e.g., Manuel, Houck, & Moyers, 2012).

Cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) is an effective treatment approach for many psychological disorders (see Chapter 5, for example) and it is also one of the most well designed and studied approaches for treating substance dependence (Granillo, Perron, Jarman, & Gutowski, 2013). This treatment addresses multiple aspects of the disorder, including a person’s reactions to cues that lead to substance use (for example, being among certain friends) and thoughts and behaviors to resist use. Another target of CBT addresses the problem of relapse. Marlatt and Gordon’s (1985) **relapse prevention** treatment model looks at the learned aspects of dependence and sees relapse as a failure of cognitive and behavioral coping skills (Witkiewitz & Marlatt, 2004). Therapy involves helping people remove any ambivalence about stopping their drug use by examining their beliefs about the positive aspects of the drug (“There’s nothing like a cocaine high”) and confronting the negative consequences of its use (“I fight with my wife when I’m high”). High-risk situations are identified (“having extra money in my pocket”), and strategies are developed to deal with potentially problematic situations, as well as with the craving that arises from abstinence. Incidents of relapse are dealt with as occurrences from which the person can recover; instead of looking on these episodes as inevitably leading to more drug use, people in treatment are encouraged to see them as episodes brought on by temporary stress or a situation that can be changed. Research on this

technique suggests that it may be particularly effective for alcohol problems (McCrady, 2014), as well as in treating a variety of other substance-related disorders (Marlatt & Donovan, 2005).

Prevention

Adolescents are at high risk for drug addiction due to their higher rates of experimentation with drugs. When done right, education about drugs' risks can lead to decreases in drugs of abuse (e.g., ecstasy and tobacco) (Volkow & Warren, 2015). However, over the past few years, the strategies for preventing substance abuse and dependence have shifted from education-based approaches (for example, teaching schoolchildren that drugs can be harmful) to more wide-ranging approaches, including changes in the laws regarding drug possession and use and community-based interventions (Sher et al., 2011). Many states, for example, have implemented education-based programs in schools to try to deter students from using drugs. The widely used Drug Abuse Resistance Education (DARE) program encourages a "no drug use" message

through fear of consequences, rewards for commitments not to use drugs, and strategies for refusing offers of drugs. Unfortunately, several extensive evaluations suggest that this type of program may not have its intended effects (Pentz, 1999). Fortunately, more comprehensive programs that involve skills training to avoid or resist social pressures (such as peers) and environmental pressures (such as media portrayals of drug use) can be effective in preventing drug abuse among some. For example, one large-scale longitudinal study used a community-based intervention strategy to reduce binge drinking and alcohol-related injuries (for example, car crashes and assaults) (Holder et al., 2000). Three communities were mobilized to encourage responsible beverage service (that is, not serving too much alcohol to bar patrons), limit alcohol access to underage drinkers, and increase local enforcement of drinking and driving laws to limit access to alcohol. People's self-reports of drinking too much and drinking and driving were fewer after the intervention, as were alcohol-related car accidents and assaults. These types of comprehensive programs may need to be replicated across communities and extended to more pervasive


TWO NEW PATHS TO PREVENTION

We see that the problem with drug abuse is not just use of the drug. A complicating factor in drug abuse includes the brain's desire to continue to use the drug, especially when in the presence of stimuli and situations usually associated with the drug. This "drug seeking" and relapse continue to interfere with successful treatment. Groundbreaking research is now exploring where in the brain these processes occur, which in turn may lead to new approaches to help people remain drug-free (Kalivas, 2005).

Taking this one step further, new research with animals suggests the possibility of creating "vaccines" that would use the immune system to fight drugs such as heroin, just as your body attacks infectious bacteria (Anton & Leff, 2006). A vaccine that would take away the pleasurable aspects of smoking is now being tested with humans (Moreno, et al., 2010). What this means is that—theoretically—children could be vaccinated early in their lives and that if they tried a drug it would not have the pleasurable effects that would encourage repeated use. These "vice vaccines" could hold the answer to one of our most pressing social issues.

On the other end of the intervention spectrum, new and more comprehensive prevention approaches may help many individuals avoid initially trying dangerous drugs. One such approach is being used in Montana—called the Montana Meth Project (Generations United, 2006). Initially funded by software billionaire Timothy Siegel, this initiative supports advertising and community action programs

to inform youth across the state about the devastating effects of methamphetamine use. The project uses dramatic and sometimes shocking pictures and video ads, and its surveys suggest that the methods were successful in changing attitudes about meth use in many 12- to 17-year-olds. Although no controlled research yet exists, this may be an additional powerful tool for reducing drug dependence.



The Montana Meth Project used photos like these from Faces of Meth, a project of the Multnomah County Sheriff's Office in Portland, Oregon.

influences (for example, how drug use is portrayed in the media) to effect significant prevention results (Newton, Conrod, Teesson, & Faggiano, 2012).

It may be that our most powerful preventive strategy involves cultural change. Over the past 45 years or so, we have gone from a “turn on, tune in, drop out,” “if it feels good, do it,” and “I get high with a little help from my friends” society to one that champions statements like “Just say no to drugs.” The social unacceptability of excessive drinking, smoking, and other drug use is probably responsible for this change. The sociocultural disapproval of cigarette smoking, for example, is readily apparent in the following description by a former smoker:

I began smoking (in Boy Scouts!) at age 11. By the time I was a college freshman, freed from the restrictions of school and home, my smoking had increased to a pack a day. The seminal Surgeon General’s Report *Smoking and Health* was issued that year (1964), but I didn’t notice. The warnings that began appearing on cigarette packs a couple of years later were also easy to ignore, since I had grown up knowing that smoking was unhealthy. As a graduate student and young professor I often smoked while leading class discussions, as had some of my favorite teachers. That ended in 1980, when an undergraduate student, no doubt empowered by the antismoking movement, asked me to stop because smoke bothered him. A few years later there were hardly any social situations left in which it was acceptable to smoke. Even my home was no longer a refuge, since my children were pestering me to quit. And so I did. Now my status as former smoker puts me in company with fully half of all those who have ever smoked regularly and are alive today. For many of us, the deteriorating social environment for smoking made it easier to quit (Cook, 1993, p. 1750).

Implementing this sort of intervention is beyond the scope of one research investigator or even a consortium of researchers collaborating across many sites. It requires the cooperation of governmental, educational, and even religious institutions. We may need to rethink our approach to preventing drug use and abuse (Newton et al., 2012).

Gambling Disorder

Gambling has a long history—for example, dice have been found in Egyptian tombs (Greenberg, 2005). It is growing in popularity in this country, and in many places it is a legal and acceptable form of entertainment. Perhaps as a result, **gambling disorder** affects an increasing number of people, with a lifetime estimate of approximately 1.9% of adult Americans (Ashley & Boehlke, 2012). Research suggests that among pathological gamblers, 14% have lost at least one job, 19% have declared bankruptcy, 32% have been arrested, and 21% have been incarcerated (Gerstein et al., 1999). The *DSM-5* criteria for gambling disorder set forth the associated behaviors that characterize people who have this addictive disorder. These include the same pattern of urges we observe in the other substance-related disorders. Note too the parallels with substance dependence, with the need to gamble increasing amounts of money over time and the “withdrawal symptoms” such as restlessness and irritability when attempting to stop. These parallels to substance-related disorders led to the recategorization of gambling disorder as an “Addictive Disorder” in *DSM-5* (Denis, Fatséas, & Auriacombe, 2012).

There is a growing body of research on the nature and treatment of gambling disorder. For example, work is under way to explore the biological origins of the urge to gamble among pathological gamblers. Research in this area and others (e.g., genetic

research) show strong similarities in the biological origins of gambling disorders and substance use disorders. In one study, brain-imaging technology (echoplanar functional magnetic resonance imaging) was used to observe brain function while gamblers observed videotapes of other people gambling (Potenza et al., 2003). A decreased level of activity was observed in those regions of the brain that are involved in impulse regulation when compared with controls, suggesting an interaction between the environmental cues to gamble and the brain's response (which may be to decrease the ability to resist these cues). Studies have found that the ventromedial prefrontal cortex and orbitofrontal cortex ("the executive parts" of the brain) do not function as normal in those with gambling disorder. Poor impulse control and risky decisions are both processes that involve ventromedial prefrontal cortex and those individuals with higher problems in these areas also show poorer response to treatment and higher relapse rates (Yau, Yip, & Potenza, 2015).

Treatment of gambling problems is difficult. Those with gambling disorder exhibit a combination of characteristics—including denial of the problem, impulsivity, and continuing optimism ("One big win will cover my losses!")—that interfere

with effective treatment. Pathological gamblers often experience cravings similar to people who are substance dependent (Grant, Odlaug, & Schreiber, 2015). Treatment is often similar to substance dependence treatment, and there is a parallel Gambler's Anonymous that incorporates the same 12-step program we discussed previously. However, the evidence of effectiveness for Gambler's Anonymous suggests that 70% to 90% drop out of these programs and that the desire to quit must be present before intervention (Ashley & Boehlke, 2012). Cognitive-behavioral interventions help reduce the symptoms of gambling disorder. Brief and full course treatments have both been found to help and both are recommended. Given the higher rates of impulsivity of those with these disorder and thus their high dropout rates from treatment, more research is starting to compare the brief versions to the full course ones (Grant et al., 2015).

In addition to gambling disorder being included under the heading of "Addictive Disorders," *DSM-5* includes another potentially addictive behavior "Internet Gaming Disorder" as a condition for further study (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). There are indications that some individuals are so preoccupied with online games (sometimes in a social context with other players) that a similar pattern of tolerance and withdrawal develops (Petry & O'Brien, 2013). The goal of including this potentially new category of addictive behavior is to encourage additional research on its nature and treatment.

DSM 5

TABLE 11.10
Diagnostic Criteria for Gambling Disorder

- A.** Persistent and recurrent problematic gambling behavior leading to clinically significant impairment or distress, as indicated by the individual exhibiting four (or more) of the following in a 12-month period:
1. Needs to gamble with increasing amounts of money in order to achieve the desired excitement.
 2. Is restless or irritable when attempting to cut down or stop gambling.
 3. Has made repeated unsuccessful efforts to control, cut back, or stop gambling.
 4. Is often preoccupied with gambling (e.g., having persistent thoughts of reliving past gambling experiences, handicapping or planning the next venture, or thinking of ways to get money with which to gamble).
 5. Often gambles when feeling distressed (e.g., helpless, guilty, anxious, depressed).
 6. After losing money gambling, often returns another day to get even ("chasing" one's losses).
 7. Lies to conceal the extent of involvement with gambling.
 8. Has jeopardized or lost a significant relationship, job, or educational or career opportunity because of gambling.
 9. Relies on others to provide money to relieve desperate financial situations caused by gambling.
- B.** The gambling behavior is not better explained by a manic episode.

Specify current severity:

Mild: 4-5 criteria met

Moderate: 6-7 criteria met

Severe: 8-9 criteria met

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

Impulse-Control Disorders

A number of the disorders we describe in this book start with an irresistible impulse—usually one that will ultimately be harmful to the person affected. Typically, the person experiences increasing tension leading up to the act and, sometimes, pleasurable anticipation of acting on the impulse. For example, paraphilic such as pedophilia (sexual attraction to children), eating disorders, and the substance-related disorders in this chapter often commence with temptations or desires that are destructive but difficult to resist. *DSM-5* includes three additional impulse-control disorders: intermittent explosive disorder, kleptomania, and pyromania (Muresanu, Stan, & Buzoianu, 2012). In *DSM-IV-TR*, gambling disorder was included as an impulse-control disorder, but as we have seen, it is listed as an addictive disorder in *DSM-5*. Finally, trichotillomania (hair pulling disorder) was also moved out of this category and is now included under the obsessive-compulsive-related disorders (see Chapter 5).

Intermittent Explosive Disorder

People with **intermittent explosive disorder** have episodes in which they act on aggressive impulses that result in serious assaults or destruction of property (Coccaro & McCloskey, 2010). Although it is unfortunately common among the general population to observe aggressive outbursts, when you rule out the influence of other disorders (for example, antisocial personality disorder, borderline personality disorder, a psychotic disorder, and Alzheimer's disease) or substance use, this disorder is not often diagnosed. In a rare but important large study of more than 9,000 people, researchers found that the lifetime prevalence of this disorder was 7.3% (Kessler et al., 2006).

This diagnosis is controversial and has been debated throughout the development of the *DSM*. One concern, among others, is that by validating a general category that covers aggressive behavior it may be used as a legal defense—insanity—for all violent crimes (Coccaro & McCloskey, 2010).

Research is at the beginning stages for intermittent explosive disorder and focuses on the brain regions involved as well as the influence of neurotransmitters such as serotonin and norepinephrine and testosterone levels, along with their interaction with psychosocial influences (stress, disrupted family life, and parenting styles). Recent studies have proposed that there is a disruption of the orbital frontal cortex's role (“the executive parts” of the brain) in inhibiting amygdala activation (the “emotional part” of the brain) combined with changes in the serotonin system in those with this disorder (Yau et al., 2015). These and other influences are being examined to explain the origins of this disorder (Coccaro, 2012). Cognitive-behavioral interventions (for example, helping the person identify and avoid “triggers” for aggressive outbursts) and approaches modeled after drug treatments appear the most effective for these individuals, although few controlled studies yet exist (McCloskey, Noblett, Deffenbacher, Gollan, & Coccaro, 2008).

Kleptomania

The story of wealthy actress Winona Ryder stealing \$5,500 worth of merchandise from Saks Fifth Avenue in Beverly Hills, California, in December 2001, was as puzzling as it was titillating. Why risk a multimillion-dollar career over some clothes that she could easily afford? Was hers a case of **kleptomania**—a recurrent failure to resist urges to steal things that are not needed for personal use or their monetary value? This disorder appears to be rare, but it is not well studied, partly because of the stigma associated with identifying oneself as acting out this illegal behavior. Some studies suggest that that disorder may be more common in women than in men and that it typically starts in adolescence (Yau et al., 2015). One study has reported a lifetime prevalence rate of close to 1% in the United States (Grant, 2003). The patterns described by those with this disorder are strikingly similar—the person begins to feel a sense of tension just before stealing, which is followed by feelings of pleasure or relief while the theft is committed (Grant, Odlaug, & Kim, 2010). People with kleptomania score high on assessments of impulsivity, reflecting their inability to judge the immediate gratification of stealing compared with the long-term negative consequences (for example, arrest, embarrassment) (Grant & Kim, 2002). Patients with kleptomania often report having no memory (amnesia) about the act of shoplifting (Hollander, Berlin, & Stein, 2009). Brain-imaging research supports these observations, with one study finding damage in areas of the brain associated with poor decision making (inferior frontal regions) (Grant, Correia, & Brennan-Krohn, 2006).

There appears to be high comorbidity between kleptomania and mood disorders, and to a lesser extent with substance abuse and dependence (Grant et al., 2010). Some refer to kleptomania as an “antidepressant” behavior, or a reaction on the part of some to relieve unpleasant feelings through stealing (Fishbain, 1987). To date, few reports of treatment exist, and these involve either behavioral interventions or use of antidepressant medication.



Pool/Getty Images

In 2002, actress Winona Ryder was found guilty of shoplifting items worth several thousand dollars from a Beverly Hills department store.

In one exception, naltrexone—the opioid antagonist used in the treatment of alcoholism—was somewhat effective in reducing the urge to steal in persons diagnosed with kleptomania (Grant, Kim, & Odlaug, 2009).

Pyromania

Just as we know that someone who steals does not necessarily have kleptomania, it is also true that not everyone who sets fires is considered to have **pyromania**—an impulse-control disorder that involves having an irresistible urge to set fires. Again, the pattern parallels that of kleptomania, where the person feels a tension or arousal before setting a fire and a sense of gratification or relief while the fire burns. These individuals will also be preoccupied with fires and the associated equipment involved in setting and putting out these fires (Dickens & Sugarman, 2012). Also rare, pyromania is diagnosed in only about 3% of arsonists (Lindberg, Holi, Tani, & Virkkunen, 2005), because arsonists can include people who set fires for monetary gain or revenge rather than to satisfy a physical or psychological urge. Because so few people are diagnosed with this disorder, research on etiology and treatment is limited (Dickens & Sugarman, 2012). Research that has been conducted follows the general group of arsonists (of which only a small percentage have pyromania) and examines the role of a family history of fire setting along with comorbid impulse disorders (antisocial personality disorder and alcoholism). Treatment is generally cognitive-behavioral and involves helping the person identify the signals that initiate the urges and teaching coping strategies to resist the temptation to start fires (Bumpass, Fagelman, & Brix, 1983; McGrath, Marshall, & Prior, 1979).

DSM Controversies: Are Substance Dependency and Substance Abuse the Same?

One of the changes to DSM-5 that caused concern among some in the field of substance-related disorders was dropping the distinction between dependence on a substance and abuse of that substance (G. Edwards, 2012; Hasin, 2012; Schuckit, 2012). Although there is general agreement that abusing a substance (e.g., binge drinking) and being dependent on that substance (e.g., increasing tolerance to alcohol and going through withdrawal symptoms if drinking is stopped) are different processes, research shows that, practically speaking, they tend to go together. In other words, if someone is routinely abusing a drug that person will likely become dependent on it (O'Brien, 2011). From a scientific point of view, therefore, there is an obvious difference between abuse


and dependence, but from a clinical perspective (which is the main function of the DSM) the argument was made that having these as separate diagnoses was more complicated than was necessary.

In addition, a second major change was the addition of "Addictive Disorder"—in specific, gambling disorder—to the substance-related disorders section. Here again the science suggests that the phenomena are quite similar with both substance-related disorders and gambling disorder showing patterns of dependence, cravings, and working on similar brain pathways (Ashley & Boehlke, 2012). However, this potentially opens up the category for the inclusion of many different kinds of "addictions." Other

problems that cause real dysfunction among some people include the new DSM-5 disorder under further study ("Internet gaming disorder") (Block, 2008; Van Rooij, Schoenmakers, Vermulst, Van Den Eijnden, & Van De Mheen, 2011) and even "tanning addiction" (Poorsattar & Hornung, 2010), and they are being taken seriously as similar types of problems. It is likely that many activities have the potential for causing dependence because they activate the reward systems in our brains in much the same way as the substances described. The difference in whether or not they constitute a "disorder" may come back to whether or not they cause the harmful distress that is part of most psychological diagnoses.

Exploring Substance Use Disorders

- Many kinds of problems can develop when people use and abuse substances that alter the way they think, feel, and behave.
- Once seen as due to personal weakness, drug abuse and dependence are now thought influenced by both biological and psychosocial factors.



TREATMENT: BEST TO USE MULTIPLE APPROACHES

Psychosocial Treatments

- Aversion therapy—to create negative associations with drug use (shocks with drinking, imagining nausea with cocaine use)
- Contingency management to change behaviors by rewarding chosen behaviors
- Alcoholics Anonymous and its variations
- Inpatient hospital treatment (can be expensive)
- Controlled use
- Community reinforcement
- Relapse prevention

Biological Treatments

- Agonist substitution
 - Replacing one drug with a similar one (methadone for heroin, nicotine gum and patches for cigarettes)
- Antagonist substitution
 - Blocking one drug's effect with another drug (naltrexone for opiates and alcohol)
- Aversive treatments
 - Making taking drug very unpleasant (using Antabuse, which causes nausea and vomiting when mixed with alcohol, to treat alcoholism)
- Drugs to help recovering person deal with withdrawal symptoms (clonidine for opiate withdrawal, sedatives for alcohol, etc.)

TYPES OF DRUGS

	Examples	Effects
Depressants	Alcohol, barbiturates (sedatives: Amytal, Seconal, Nembutal), benzodiazepines (antianxiety: Valium, Xanax, Halcion)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Decreased central nervous system activityReduced levels of body arousalRelaxation
Stimulants	Amphetamines, cocaine, nicotine, caffeine	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Increased physical arousalUser feels more alert and energetic
Opiates	Heroin, morphine, codeine	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Narcotic—reduce pain and induce sleep and euphoria by mirroring opiates in the brain (endorphins, etc.)
Hallucinogens	Cannabis, LSD, Ecstasy	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Altered mental and emotional perceptionDistortion (sometimes dramatic) of sensory perceptions

Exploring Impulse-Control Disorders

Characterized by inability to resist acting on a drive or temptation. Sufferers often perceived by society as having a problem simply due to a lack of "will."

TYPES OF IMPULSE-CONTROL DISORDERS

Disorder	Characteristics	Treatment
Intermittent Explosive	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Acting on aggressive impulses that result in assaults or destruction of property■ Current research is focused on how neurotransmitters and testosterone levels interact with psychosocial influences (stress, parenting styles)	Cognitive-behavioral interventions (helping person identify and avoid triggers for aggressive outbursts) and approaches modeled after drug treatments appear most effective
Kleptomania	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Recurring failure to resist urges to steal unneeded items■ Feeling tense just before stealing, followed by feelings of pleasure or relief when committing the theft■ High comorbidity with mood disorders and, to a lesser degree, with substance abuse/dependence	Behavioral interventions or antidepressant medication
Pyromania	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Irresistible urge to set fires■ Feeling aroused prior to setting fire then a sense of gratification or relief while the fire burns■ Rare; diagnosed in less than 4% of arsonists	Cognitive-behavioral interventions (helping person identify signals triggering urges, and teaching coping strategies to resist setting fires)

CHAPTER OUTLINE

An Overview of Personality Disorders

- Aspects of Personality Disorders
- Categorical and Dimensional Models
- Personality Disorder Clusters
- Statistics and Development
- Gender Differences
- Comorbidity
- Personality Disorders under Study

Cluster A Personality Disorders

- Paranoid Personality Disorder
- Schizoid Personality Disorder
- Schizotypal Personality Disorder

Cluster B Personality Disorders

- Antisocial Personality Disorder
- Borderline Personality Disorder
- Histrionic Personality Disorder
- Narcissistic Personality Disorder

Cluster C Personality Disorders

- Avoidant Personality Disorder
- Dependent Personality Disorder
- Obsessive-Compulsive Personality Disorder



holbox/Shutterstock.com

Use scientific reasoning to interpret behavior:

- Identify basic biological, psychological, and social components of behavioral explanations (e.g., inferences, observations, operational definitions, and interpretations) (APA SLO 2.1a) (see textbook pages 452–454, 464–471, 482, 483)

Engage in innovative and integrative thinking and problem solving:

- Describe problems operationally to study them empirically. (APA SLO 2.3a) (see textbook pages 456, 458, 460, 464, 465, 470, 473, 475–478)

Describe applications that employ discipline-based problem solving:

- Correctly identify antecedents and consequences of behavior and mental processes (APA SLO 1.3c) (see textbook pages 456–460, 467–472) Describe examples of relevant and practical applications of psychological principles to everyday life (APA SLO 1.3a) (see textbook pages 462, 468, 478, 479)

*Portions of this chapter cover learning outcomes suggested by the American Psychological Association (2013) in its guidelines for the undergraduate psychology major. Chapter coverage of these outcomes is identified above by APA Goal and APA Suggested Learning Outcome (SLO).

An Overview of Personality Disorders

We all think we know what a personality is. It's all the characteristic ways a person behaves and thinks: "Michael tends to be shy"; "Mindy likes to be dramatic"; "Juan is always suspicious of others"; "Anna is outgoing"; "Bruce seems to be sensitive and gets upset easily over minor things"; "Sean has the personality of an eggplant!" We tend to type people as behaving in one way in many situations. For example, like Michael, many of us are shy with people we don't know, but we won't be shy around our friends. A truly shy person is shy even among people he has known for some time. The shyness is part of the way the person behaves in most situations. We also have all probably behaved in all the other ways noted here (dramatic, suspicious, outgoing, easily upset). However, when personality characteristics interfere with relationships with others, cause the person distress, or in general disrupt activities of daily living, we consider these to be "personality disorders" (Skodol, 2012). In this chapter, we look at characteristic ways of behaving in relation to a number of specific personality disorders. First, we examine how we conceptualize personality disorders and the issues related to them; then we describe the disorders themselves.

Aspects of Personality Disorders

What if a person's characteristic ways of thinking and behaving cause significant distress to the self or others? What if the person can't change this way of relating to the world and is unhappy? We might consider this person to have a personality disorder. Unlike many of the disorders we have already discussed, personality disorders are chronic; they do not come and go but originate in childhood and continue throughout adulthood (Widiger, 2012). Because these chronic problems affect personality, they pervade every aspect of a person's life. For example, if a woman is overly suspicious (a sign of a possible paranoid personality disorder), this trait will affect almost everything she does, including her employment (she

may change jobs often if she believes coworkers conspire against her), her relationships (she may not be able to sustain a lasting relationship if she can't trust anyone), and even where she lives (she may move often if she suspects her landlords are out to get her).

A **personality disorder** is a persistent pattern of emotions, cognitions, and behavior that results in enduring emotional distress for the person affected and/or for others and may cause difficulties with work and relationships (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). *DSM-5* notes that having a personality disorder may distress the affected person. Individuals with personality disorders may not feel any subjective distress, however; indeed, it may in fact be others who acutely feel distress because of the actions of the person with the disorder. This is particularly common with antisocial personality disorder, because the individual may show a blatant disregard for the rights of others yet exhibit no remorse (Hare, Neumann, & Widiger, 2012). In certain cases, someone other than the person with the personality disorder must decide whether the disorder is causing significant functional impairment, because the affected person often cannot make such a judgment.

DSM-5 lists 10 specific personality disorders. Although the prospects for treatment success for people who have personality disorders may be more optimistic than previously thought (Nelson, Beutler, & Castonguay, 2012), unfortunately, as you will see later, many people who have personality disorders in addition to other psychological problems (for example, major depression) tend to do poorly in treatment. One factor important to the success (or lack of success) of treatment is how the therapist feels about the client. The emotions of therapists brought out by clients (called "countertransference" by Sigmund Freud) tend to be negative for those diagnosed with personality disorders, especially those (as you will see next) in Cluster A (the odd or eccentric cluster) and Cluster B (the dramatic, emotional, or erratic cluster) (Lieberman & Burnette, 2013). Therapists especially need to guard against letting their personal feelings interfere with treatment when working with people who have personality disorders.

Prior to *DSM-5*, most disorders we discuss in this book were in Axis I of the *DSM-IV-TR*, which included the traditional disorders. The personality disorders were included in a separate axis, Axis II, because as a group they were seen as distinct. It was thought that the characteristic traits were more ingrained and inflexible in people who have personality disorders, and the disorders themselves were less likely to be successfully modified. With the changes made with *DSM-5*, these separate axes were eliminated, and now the personality disorders are listed with the rest of the *DSM-5* disorders (American Psychiatric Association, 2013).

You may be surprised to learn that the category of personality disorders is controversial, because it involves a number of unresolved issues. Examining these issues can help you understand all the disorders described in this book.

Categorical and Dimensional Models

Most of us are sometimes suspicious of others and a little paranoid, overly dramatic, too self-involved, or reclusive. Fortunately, these characteristics do not last long or are not overly intense; they haven't significantly impaired how we live and work. People with personality disorders, however, display problem characteristics over extended periods and in many situations, which can cause great emotional pain for themselves, others, or both (Widiger, 2012). Their difficulty, then, can be seen as one of *degree* rather than *kind*; in other words, the problems of people with personality disorders may just be extreme versions of the problems many of us experience temporarily, such as being shy or suspicious (South, Oltmanns, & Krueger, 2011).

The distinction between problems of degree and problems of kind is usually described in terms of *dimensions* instead of *categories*. The issue that continues to be debated in the field is whether personality disorders are extreme versions of otherwise typical personality variations (dimensions) or ways of relating that are different from psychologically healthy behavior (categories) (Skodol, 2012). You can see the difference between dimensions and categories in everyday life. For example, we tend to look at gender categorically. Society generally views us as being in one category—"female"—or the other—"male." Yet many believe it is more accurate to look at gender in terms of dimensions. For example, we know that "male" and "female" may describe a range of choices in gender expression (e.g., personal grooming, attire, use of makeup and other body modifications). We could just as easily place people along a continuum of maleness and femaleness rather than in the absolute categories of male or female. We also often label people's size categorically, as tall, average, or short. But height, too, can be viewed dimensionally, in inches or centimeters.

Many researchers and clinicians in this field see personality disorders as extremes on one or more personality dimensions. Yet because of the way people are diagnosed with the *DSM*, the personality disorders—like most other disorders—end up being viewed in categories. You have two choices—either you do ("yes") or you do not ("no") have a disorder. For example, either you have antisocial personality disorder or you don't. *DSM* diagnoses don't rate how dependent you are; if you meet the criteria, you are labeled as having dependent personality disorder. There is no "somewhat" when it comes to personality disorders.

There are advantages to using categorical models of behavior, the most important being their convenience. With simplification,

however, come problems. One is that the mere act of using categories leads clinicians to reify them; that is, to view disorders as real "things," comparable to the realness of an infection or a broken arm. Some argue that personality disorders are not things that exist but points at which society decides a particular way of relating to the world has become a problem. There is the important unresolved issue again: Are personality disorders just an extreme variant of normal personality, or are they distinctly different disorders?

Some had proposed that the *DSM-5* personality disorders section be replaced or at least supplemented by a dimensional model (South et al., 2011; Widiger, 2012) in which individuals would not only be given categorical diagnoses but also would be rated on a series of personality dimensions. Widiger and colleagues (Widiger & Simonsen, 2005; Widiger & Trull, 2007; Widiger, 2011) have argued for decades that such a system would have at least three advantages over a purely categorical system: (1) It would retain more information about each individual, (2) it would be more flexible because it would permit both categorical and dimensional differentiations among individuals, and (3) it would avoid the often arbitrary decisions involved in assigning a person to a diagnostic category. Currently, there is an alternative model of personality disorders included in the section on "emerging measures and models" in *DSM-5* that is included for further study (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). This model focuses on a continuum of disturbances of "self" (i.e., how you view yourself and your ability to be self-directed) and interpersonal (i.e., your ability to empathize and be intimate with others) functioning. It remains to be seen how this alternative model will be used in the future.

Although no general consensus exists about what the basic personality dimensions might be, there are several contenders (South et al., 2011). One of the more widely accepted is called the *five-factor model*, or the "Big Five," and is taken from work on normal personality (Hopwood & Thomas, 2012; McCrae & Costa Jr., 2008). In this model, people can be rated on a series of personality dimensions, and the combination of five components describes why people are so different. The five factors or dimensions are *extroversion* (talkative, assertive, and active versus silent, passive, and reserved); *agreeableness* (kind, trusting, and warm versus hostile, selfish, and mistrustful); *conscientiousness* (organized, thorough, and reliable versus careless, negligent, and unreliable); *neuroticism* (even-tempered versus nervous, moody, and temperamental); and *openness to experience* (imaginative, curious, and creative versus shallow and imperceptive) (McCrae & Costa Jr., 2008). On each dimension, people are rated high, low, or somewhere between.

Cross-cultural research establishes the relatively universal nature of the five dimensions—although there are individual differences across cultures (Valchev et al., 2013; Carlo, Knight, Roesch, Opal, & Davis, 2014). One study examined Big Five traits in high school students across six different cultures and found, for example, that young adults in Turkey reported higher levels of conscientiousness and extraversion than those in China, whereas students in Taiwan reported about as much openness as those in Slovenia (Vazsonyi, Ksinan, Mikuška, & Jiskrova, 2015). A number of researchers are trying to determine whether people with personality disorders can also be rated in a meaningful way along the Big Five dimensions and whether the system will help us better understand these disorders (Costa & McCrae, 2013).

Personality Disorder Clusters

DSM-5 divides the personality disorders into three groups, or clusters; this will probably continue until a strong scientific basis is established for viewing them differently (American Psychiatric Association, 2013) (see Table 12.1). The cluster division is based on resemblance. Cluster A is called the odd or eccentric cluster; it includes paranoid, schizoid, and schizotypal personality disorders. Cluster B is the dramatic, emotional, or erratic cluster; it consists of antisocial, borderline, histrionic, and narcissistic personality disorders. Cluster C is the anxious or fearful cluster; it includes avoidant, dependent, and obsessive-compulsive personality disorders. We follow this order in our review.

Statistics and Development

Because many people with these problems do not seek help on their own as do those with many of the other *DSM-5* disorders, gathering information about the prevalence of personality disorders is difficult and therefore varies a great deal. An important population survey suggests that as many as 1 in 10 adults in the United States may have a diagnosable personality disorder (Lenzenweger, Lane, Loranger, & Kessler, 2007), which makes them relatively common (see Table 12.2). Numbers vary somewhat across countries, but worldwide about 6% of adults may have at least one personality disorder (Huang et al., 2009). Differences in prevalence estimates may be the result of different survey methods: surveying people

in clinical settings versus surveying the general population—even those not seeking assistance (Torgersen, 2012). Similarly, gender differences in the disorders—for example, more women were diagnosed with borderline personality disorder and more men identified with antisocial personality disorder—are highly variable when surveying the general population. There may be several reasons for these differences in diagnoses, including bias in diagnoses and differences in help-seeking behavior and tolerance of behavior in a culture. We discuss several of these concerns later in the chapter.

Personality disorders were thought to originate in childhood and continue into the adult years (Cloninger & Svakic, 2009). More sophisticated analyses suggest that personality disorders can remit over time (Zanarini, Frankenburg, Hennen & Silk, 2006, 2014); however, they may be replaced by other personality disorders (Torgersen, 2012). In other words, a person could receive a diagnosis of one personality disorder at one point in time and then years later no longer meet the criteria for his original problem but now have characteristics of a second (or third) personality disorder. Our relative lack of information about such important features of personality disorders as their developmental course is a repeating theme. The gaps in our knowledge of the course of about half these disorders are visible in Table 12.2. One reason for this dearth of research is that many individuals do not seek treatment in the early developmental phases of their disorder but only after years of distress. This makes it difficult to study people with personality disorders from the beginning, although a few research studies

TABLE 12.1 Personality Disorders

Personality Disorder	Description
Cluster A—Odd or Eccentric Disorders	
Paranoid personality disorder	A pervasive distrust and suspiciousness of others such that their motives are interpreted as malevolent.
Schizoid personality disorder	A pervasive pattern of detachment from social relationships and a restricted range of expression of emotions in interpersonal settings.
Schizotypal personality disorder	A pervasive pattern of social and interpersonal deficits marked by acute discomfort with reduced capacity for close relationships, as well as by cognitive or perceptual distortions and eccentricities of behavior.
Cluster B—Dramatic, Emotional, or Erratic Disorders	
Antisocial personality disorder	A pervasive pattern of disregard for and violation of the rights of others.
Borderline personality disorder	A pervasive pattern of instability of interpersonal relationships, self-image, affects, and control over impulses.
Histrionic personality disorder	A pervasive pattern of excessive emotion and attention seeking.
Narcissistic personality disorder	A pervasive pattern of grandiosity (in fantasy or behavior), need for admiration, and lack of empathy.
Cluster C—Anxious or Fearful Disorders	
Avoidant personality disorder	A pervasive pattern of social inhibition, feelings of inadequacy, and hypersensitivity to negative evaluation.
Dependent personality disorder	A pervasive and excessive need to be taken care of, which leads to submissive and clinging behavior and fears of separation.
Obsessive-compulsive personality disorder	A pervasive pattern of preoccupation with orderliness, perfectionism, and mental and interpersonal control, at the expense of flexibility, openness, and efficiency.

Source: Reprinted, with permission, from American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC: Author, © 2013 American Psychiatric Association.

TABLE 12.2 Statistics and Development of Personality Disorders

Disorder	Prevalence*	Gender Differences*	Course
Paranoid personality disorder	In the clinical population: 6.3%–9.6%	Approximately equal among men and women	Insufficient information
	In the general population: 1.5%–1.8%		
Schizoid personality disorder	In the clinical population: 1.4%–1.9%	Slightly more common among men	Insufficient information
	In the general population: 0.9%–1.2%		
Schizotypal personality disorder	In the clinical population: 6.4%–5.7%	Slightly more common among men	Chronic; some go on to develop schizophrenia
	In the general population: 0.7%–1.1%		
Antisocial personality disorder	In the clinical population: 3.9%–5.9%	Much more common among men	Dissipates after age 40 (Hare, McPherson, & Forth, 1988)
	In the general population: 1.0%–1.8%		
Borderline personality disorder	In the clinical population: 28.5%	Approximately equal among men and women	Symptoms gradually improve if individuals survive into their 30s (Zanarini et al., 2006); approximately 6% die by suicide (Perry, 1993)
	In the general population: 1.4%–1.6%		
Histrionic personality disorder	In the clinical population: 8.0%–9.7%	Slightly more common among women	Chronic
	In the general population: 1.2%–1.3%		
Narcissistic personality disorder	In the clinical population: 5.1%–10.1%	Slightly more common among men	May improve over time (Cooper & Ronningstam, 1992; Gunderson, Ronningstam, & Smith, 1991)
	In the general population: 0.1%–0.8%		
Avoidant personality disorder	In the clinical population: 21.5%–24.6%	Slightly more common among women	Insufficient information
	In the general population: 1.4%–2.5%		
Dependent personality disorder	In the clinical population: 13.0%–15.0%	Much more common among women	Insufficient information
	In the general population: 0.9%–1.0%		
Obsessive-compulsive personality disorder	In the clinical population: 6.1%–10.5%	Slightly more common among men	Insufficient information
	In the general population: 1.9%–2.1%		

*Population data and gender data reported in Torgersen, S. (2012). Epidemiology. In T. A. Widiger (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of personality disorders* (pp. 186–205). New York: Oxford University Press.

have helped us understand the development of several disorders (Hecht, Cicchetti, Rogosch, & Crick, 2014).

People with borderline personality disorder are characterized by their volatile and unstable relationships; they tend to have persistent problems in early adulthood, with frequent hospitalizations, unstable personal relationships, severe depression, and suicidal gestures. Individuals with borderline personality disorder die by suicide at a rate about 50 times higher than the general population, with most research suggesting that 8% to 10% of patients with this illness complete suicide (Gunderson, 2011; Björkenstam, Björkenstam, Holm, Gerdin, & Ekselius, 2015). On the bright side, their symptoms gradually improve if they survive into their 30s (Zanarini et al., 2006, 2014), although elderly individuals may still experience higher than average interpersonal difficulties (Powers, Gleason, & Oltmanns, 2013). People with antisocial personality

disorder display a characteristic disregard for the rights and feelings of others; they tend to continue their destructive behaviors of lying and manipulation through adulthood. Fortunately, some tend to “burn out” in middle adulthood, reflected in a decline in the prevalence of antisocial personality disorder across the lifespan (Vachon et al., 2013). As a group, however, the problems of people with personality disorders continue, as shown when researchers follow their progress over the years (Torgersen, 2012).

Gender Differences

Men diagnosed with a personality disorder tend to display traits characterized as more aggressive, structured, self-assertive, and detached, and women tend to present with characteristics that are more submissive, emotional, and insecure (Torgersen, 2012). It is

not surprising, then, that antisocial personality disorder is present more often in males and dependent personality disorder more often in females. Historically, histrionic and borderline personality disorders were identified by clinicians more often in women (Dulit, Marin, & Frances, 1993; Stone, 1993), but according to more recent studies of their prevalence in the general population, equal numbers of males and females may have histrionic and borderline personality disorders (see Table 12.2). If this observation holds up in future studies, why have these disorders been predominantly diagnosed among females in general clinical practice and in other studies?

Do the disparities indicate differences between men and women in certain basic experiences that are genetic, sociocultural, or both, or do they represent biases on the part of the clinicians who make the diagnoses? Take, for example, a classic study by Maureen Ford and Thomas Widiger (1989), who sent fictitious case histories to clinical psychologists for diagnosis. One case described a person with *antisocial personality disorder*, which is characterized by irresponsible and reckless behavior and usually diagnosed in males; the other case described a person with *histrionic personality disorder*, which is characterized by excessive emotionality and attention seeking and more often diagnosed in females. The subject was identified as male in some versions of each case and as female in others, although everything else was identical. As the graph in Figure 12.1 shows, when the antisocial personality disorder case was labeled male, most psychologists gave the correct diagnosis. When the same case of antisocial personality disorder was labeled female, however, most psychologists diagnosed it as histrionic personality disorder rather than antisocial personality disorder. In the case of histrionic personality disorder, being labeled a woman increased the likelihood of that diagnosis. Ford and Widiger (1989) concluded that the psychologists incorrectly diagnosed more women as having histrionic personality disorder.

This gender difference in diagnosis has also been criticized by other authors (see, for example, Kaplan, 1983) on the grounds that histrionic personality disorder, like several of the other personality disorders, is biased against females. As Kaplan (1983) points out, many of the features of histrionic personality disorder, such as overdramatization, vanity, seductiveness, and overconcern with physical appearance, are characteristic of the Western “stereotypical female.” This disorder may simply be the embodiment of extremely “feminine” traits (Chodoff, 1982); branding such an individual mentally ill, according to Kaplan, reflects society’s inherent bias against females. (See Table 12.3 for a humorous take on a male version of a personality disorder.) Interestingly, the “macho” personality (Mosher & Sirkin, 1984), in which the individual possesses stereotypically masculine traits, is nowhere to be found in the DSM.

The issue of gender bias in diagnosing personality disorder remains highly controversial (Liebman & Burnette, 2013). Remember, however, that just because certain disorders are observed more in men or women doesn’t necessarily indicate bias (Lilienfeld, VanValkenburg, Larntz, & Akiskal, 1986). When it is present, bias can occur




FIGURE 12.1

Gender bias in diagnosing personality disorders (P.D.). Data are shown for the percentage of cases clinicians rated as antisocial personality disorder or histrionic personality disorder, depending on whether the case was described as a male or a female. (From Ford, M. R., & Widiger, T. A. [1989]. Sex bias in the diagnosis of histrionic and antisocial personality disorders. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 57, 301–305.)

at different stages of the diagnostic process. Widiger and Spitzer (1991) point out that the criteria for the disorder may themselves be biased (*criterion gender bias*), or the assessment measures and the way they are used may be biased (*assessment gender bias*). In general, the criteria themselves do not appear to have strong gender bias, although there may be some tendency for clinicians to use their own bias when using the criteria and therefore diagnose males and



Personality disorders tend to begin in childhood.

TABLE 12.3 Diagnostic Criteria for “Independent” Personality Disorder

- Puts work (career) above relationships with loved ones (for example, travels a lot on business, works late at night and on weekends).
- Is reluctant to take into account others’ needs when making decisions, especially concerning the individual’s career or use of leisure time, for example, expects spouse and children to relocate to another city because of individual’s career plans.
- Passively allows others to assume responsibility for major areas of social life because of inability to express necessary emotion (for example, lets spouse assume most childcare responsibilities).

Source: From Kaplan, M. (1983). A woman’s view of DSM-III. *American Psychologist*, 38, 786–792.



Photograph by eu/Shutterstock.com

females differently (Oltmanns & Powers, 2012). As studies continue, researchers will try to make the diagnosis of personality disorders more accurate with respect to gender and more useful to clinicians.

Comorbidity

Looking at Table 12.2 and adding up the prevalence rates across the personality disorders, you might conclude that up to 25% of all people are affected. In fact, the percentage of people in the population with a personality disorder is likely closer to 10% (Huang et al., 2009; Lenzenweger et al., 2007). What accounts for this discrepancy? A major concern with the personality disorders is that people tend to be diagnosed with more than one. The term *comorbidity* historically describes the condition in which a person has multiple diseases (Caron & Rutter, 1991). A fair amount of disagreement is ongoing

about whether the term should be used with psychological disorders because of the frequent overlap of different disorders (Skodol, 2005). In just one example, Zimmerman, Rothschild, and Chelminski (2005) conducted a study of 859 psychiatric outpatients and assessed how many had one or more personality disorders. Table 12.4 shows the odds that a person with a particular personality disorder would also meet the criteria for other disorders. For example, a person identified with borderline personality disorder is also likely to receive diagnoses of paranoid, schizotypal, antisocial, narcissistic, avoidant, or dependent personality disorders.

TABLE 12.4 Diagnostic Overlap of Personality Disorders

Diagnosis	Odds Ratio [†] of People Qualifying for Other Personality Disorder Diagnoses									
	Paranoid	Schizoid	Schizotypal	Antisocial	Borderline	Histrionic	Narcissistic	Avoidant	Dependent	Obsessive-Compulsive
Paranoid		2.1	37.3*	2.6	12.3*	0.9	8.7*	4.0*	0.9	5.2*
Schizoid	2.1		19.2	1.1	2.0	3.9	1.7	12.3*	2.9	5.5*
Schizotypal	37.3*	19.2		2.7	15.2*	9.4	11.0	3.9*	7.0	7.1
Antisocial	2.6	1.1	2.7		9.5*	8.1*	14.0*	0.9	5.6	0.2
Borderline	12.3*	2.0	15.2*	9.5*		2.8	7.1*	2.5*	7.3*	2.0
Histrionic	0.9	3.9	9.4	8.1*	2.8		13.2*	0.3	9.5	1.3
Narcissistic	8.7*	1.7	11.0	14.0*	7.1*	13.2*		0.3	4.0	3.7*
Avoidant	4.0*	12.3*	3.9*	0.9	2.5*	0.3	0.3		2.0	2.7
Dependent	0.9	2.9	7.0	5.6	7.3*	9.5	4.0	2.0		0.9
Obsessive-compulsive	5.2*	5.5*	7.1	0.2	2.0	1.3	2.0	2.7	0.9	

[†]The “odds ratio” indicates how likely it is that a person would have both disorders. The odds ratios with an asterisk (*) indicate that, statistically, people are likely to be diagnosed with both disorders—with a higher number meaning people are more likely to have both. Some higher odds ratios are not statistically significant because the number of people with the disorder in this study was relatively small.

Source: Reprinted, with permission, from Zimmerman, M., Rothschild, L., & Chelminski, I. (2005). The prevalence of DSM-IV personality disorders in psychiatric outpatients. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 162, 1911–1918, © 2005 American Psychiatric Association.

Do people really tend to have more than one personality disorder? Are the ways we define these disorders inaccurate, and do we need to improve our definitions so that they do not overlap? Or did we divide the disorders in the wrong way, and do we need to rethink the categories? Complicating this issue is the phenomenon that people will change diagnoses over time (Torgersen, 2012). Such questions about comorbidity are just a few of the important issues faced by researchers who study personality disorders.

Personality Disorders under Study

We started this chapter by noting difficulties in categorizing personality disorders; for example, there is much overlap of the categories, which suggests there may be other ways to arrange these pervasive difficulties of character. It shouldn't surprise you to learn that other personality disorders have been studied for inclusion in the *DSM*—for example, sadistic personality disorder, which includes people who receive pleasure by inflicting pain on others (Levesque, 2012), and passive-aggressive personality disorder, which includes people who are defiant and refuse to cooperate with requests—attempting to undermine authority figures (Wetzler & Jose, 2012). The existence of these disorders as distinct personality disorders remains controversial, however, so they were not included in *DSM-5* (Wetzler & Jose, 2012).

We now review the personality disorders currently in *DSM-5*, 10 in all. Table 12.5 provides a simplified look at how people with different personality disorders view the world.

TABLE 12.5 Main Beliefs Associated with Specific Personality Disorders

Personality Disorder	Main Belief
Paranoid	I cannot trust people.
Schizotypal	It's better to be isolated from others.
Schizoid	Relationships are messy, undesirable.
Histrionic	People are there to serve or admire me.
Narcissistic	Since I am special, I deserve special rules.
Borderline	I deserve to be punished.
Antisocial	I am entitled to break rules.
Avoidant	If people knew the “real” me, they would reject me.
Dependent	I need people to survive, be happy.
Obsessive-compulsive	People should do better, try harder.

Source: Reprinted with permission from Lobbestael, J., & Arntz, A. (2012). Cognitive contributions to personality disorders. In T. A. Widiger (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of personality disorders* (p. 326). New York: Oxford University Press.

Cluster A Personality Disorders

Three personality disorders—paranoid, schizoid, and schizotypal—share common features that resemble some of the psychotic symptoms seen in schizophrenia. These odd or eccentric personality disorders are described next.

Paranoid Personality Disorder

Although it is probably adaptive to be a little wary of other people and their motives, being too distrustful can interfere with making friends, working with others, and, in general, getting through daily interactions in a functional way. People with **paranoid personality disorder** are excessively mistrustful and suspicious of others, without any justification. They assume other people are out to harm or trick them; therefore, they tend not to confide in others. Consider the case of Jake.

Jake... Research Victim

Jake grew up in a middle-class neighborhood, and although he never got in serious trouble, he had a reputation in high school for arguing with teachers and classmates. After high school, he enrolled in the local community college, but he flunked out after the first year. Jake's lack of success in school was partly attributable to his failure to take responsibility for his poor grades. He began to develop conspiracy theories about fellow students and professors, believing they worked together to see him fail. Jake bounced from job to job, each time complaining that his employer was spying on him at work and at home.

At age 25—and against his parents' wishes—he moved out of his parents' home to a small town out of state. Unfortunately, the letters Jake wrote home daily confirmed his parents' worst fears. He was becoming increasingly

(Continued next page)

preoccupied with theories about people who were out to harm him. Jake spent enormous amounts of time on his computer, exploring websites, and he developed an elaborate theory about how research had been performed on him in childhood. His letters home described his belief that researchers working with the CIA drugged him as a child and implanted something in his ear that emitted microwaves. These microwaves, he believed, were being used to cause him to develop cancer. Over 2 years, he became increasingly preoccupied with this theory, writing letters to various authorities trying to convince them he was being slowly killed. After he threatened harm to some local college administrators, his parents were contacted, and they brought him to a psychologist who diagnosed him with paranoid personality disorder and major depression. •

DSM
5

TABLE 12.1
Diagnostic Criteria for Paranoid Personality Disorder

- A.** A pervasive distrust and suspiciousness of others such that their motives are interpreted as malevolent, beginning by early adulthood and present in a variety of contexts, as indicated by four (or more) of the following:
- 1.** Suspects, without sufficient basis, that others are exploiting, harming, or deceiving him or her.
 - 2.** Is preoccupied with unjustified doubts about the loyalty or trustworthiness of friends or associates.
 - 3.** Is reluctant to confide in others because of unwarranted fear that the information will be used maliciously against him or her.
 - 4.** Reads hidden demeaning or threatening meanings into benign remarks or events.
 - 5.** Persistently bears grudges, i.e., is unforgiving of insults, injuries, or slights.
 - 6.** Perceives attacks on his or her character or reputation that are not apparent to others and is quick to react angrily or to counterattack.
 - 7.** Has recurrent suspicions, without justification, regarding fidelity of spouse or sexual partner.
- B.** Does not occur exclusively during the course of schizophrenia, a bipolar disorder or depressive disorder with psychotic features, or another psychotic disorder and is not attributable to the physiological effects of another medical condition.

Note: If criteria are met prior to the onset of schizophrenia, add "premorbid," i.e., "paranoid personality disorder (premorbid)."

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

as a separate disorder from the *DSM* (Triebwasser, Chemerinski, Roussos, & Siever, 2013).

Psychological contributions to this disorder are even less certain, although some interesting speculations have been made. Retrospective research—asking people with this disorder to recall events from their childhood—suggests that early mistreatment or traumatic childhood experiences may play a role in the development of paranoid personality disorder (Iacovino, Jackson, & Oltmanns, 2014). Caution is warranted when interpreting these results because, clearly, there may be strong bias in the recall of these individuals who are already prone to viewing the world as a threat.

Some psychologists point directly to the thoughts (also referred to as "schemas") of people with paranoid personality disorder as a way of explaining their behavior. One view is that people with this disorder have the following basic mistaken assumptions about others: "People are malevolent and deceptive," "They'll attack you if they get the chance," and "You can be okay only if you stay on your toes" (Lobbestael & Arntz, 2012). This is a maladaptive way to view the world, yet it seems to pervade every aspect of the lives of these individuals. Although we don't know why they develop these perceptions, some speculation is that the roots are in their early upbringing. Their parents may teach them to be careful about making mistakes and may impress on them that they are different from other people. This

Causes

Evidence for biological contributions to paranoid personality disorder is limited. Some research suggests the disorder may be slightly more common among the relatives of people who have schizophrenia, although the association does not seem to be strong (Tienari et al., 2003). In other words, relatives of individuals with schizophrenia may be more likely to have paranoid personality disorder than people who do not have a relative with schizophrenia. In general, there appears to be a strong role for genetics in paranoid personality disorder (Kendler et al., 2015). As you will see later with the other odd or eccentric personality disorders in Cluster A, there seems to be some relationship with schizophrenia (Bolinskey et al., 2015), causing some to suggest eliminating it



Andrei Andreev/Shutterstock.com

People with paranoid personality disorder often believe that impersonal situations exist specifically to annoy or otherwise disturb them.

vigilance causes them to see signs that other people are deceptive and malicious (Triebwasser, Chemerinski, Roussos, & Siever, 2013). It is certainly true that people are not always benevolent and sincere, and our interactions are sometimes ambiguous enough to make other people's intentions unclear. Looking too closely at what other people say and do can sometimes lead you to misinterpret them.

Cultural factors have also been implicated in paranoid personality disorder. Certain groups of people, such as prisoners, refugees, people with hearing impairments, and older adults, are thought to be particularly susceptible because of their unique experiences (Iacovino et al., 2014; Ryder, Sunohara, & Kirmayer, 2015; Raza, DeMarce, Lash, & Parker, 2014). Imagine how you might view other people if you were an immigrant who had difficulty with the language and the customs of your new culture. Such innocuous things as other people laughing or talking quietly might be interpreted as somehow directed at you. The late musician Jim Morrison of The Doors described this phenomenon in his song "People Are Strange" (1967): "People are strange, / When you're a stranger, / Faces look ugly, / When you're alone."

You have seen how someone could misinterpret ambiguous situations as malevolent. Therefore, cognitive and cultural factors may interact to produce the suspiciousness observed in some people with paranoid personality disorder.

Treatment

Because people with paranoid personality disorder are mistrustful of everyone, they are unlikely to seek professional help when they need it, and they have difficulty developing the trusting relationships necessary for successful therapy (Sarkar & Adshead, 2012; Skodol & Gunderson, 2008). Establishing a meaningful therapeutic alliance between the client and the therapist therefore becomes an important first step (Bender, 2005). When these individuals finally do seek therapy, the trigger is usually a crisis in their lives—such as Jake's threats to harm strangers—or other problems such as anxiety or depression, not necessarily their personality disorder (Kelly, Casey, Dunn, Ayuso-Mateos, & Dowrick, 2007).

Therapists try to provide an atmosphere conducive to developing a sense of trust (Bender, 2005). They often use cognitive therapy to counter the person's mistaken assumptions about others, focusing on changing the person's beliefs that all people are malevolent and most people cannot be trusted (Beck, Davis, & Freeman, 2015). Be forewarned, however, that to date there are no confirmed demonstrations that any form of treatment can significantly improve the lives of people with paranoid personality disorder. A survey of mental health professionals indicated that only 11% of therapists who treat paranoid personality disorder thought these individuals would continue in therapy long enough to be helped (Quality Assurance Project, 1990).

Schizoid Personality Disorder

Do you know someone who is a "loner"? Someone who would choose a solitary walk over an invitation to a party? A person who comes to class alone, sits alone, and leaves alone? Now, magnify this preference for isolation many times over and you can begin to grasp the impact of **schizoid personality disorder** (Hopwood & Thomas, 2012). People with this personality disorder show a pattern of detachment from social relationships and a limited range of emotions in interpersonal situations. They seem aloof, cold, and indifferent to other people. The term *schizoid* is relatively old, having been used by Bleuler (1924) to describe people who have a tendency to turn inward and away from the outside world. These people were said to lack emotional expressiveness and pursued vague interests. Consider the case of Mr. Z.

Mr. Z... All on His Own

A 39-year-old scientist was referred after his return from a tour of duty in Antarctica where he had stopped cooperating with others, had withdrawn to his room, and had begun drinking on his own. Mr. Z. was orphaned at age 4, raised by an aunt until age 9, and subsequently looked after by an aloof housekeeper. At university, he excelled at physics, but chess was his only contact with others. Throughout his subsequent life, he made no close friends and engaged primarily in solitary activities. Until the tour of duty in Antarctica, he had been quite successful in his research work in physics. He was now, some months after his return, drinking at least a bottle of Schnapps each day, and his work had continued to deteriorate. He presented as self-contained and unobtrusive and was difficult to engage effectively. He was at a loss to explain his colleagues' anger at his aloofness in Antarctica and appeared indifferent to their opinion of him. He did not appear to require any interpersonal relations, although he did complain of some tedium in his life and at one point during the interview became sad, expressing longing to see his uncle in Germany, his only living relation.

(Cases and excerpts reprinted, with permission of the Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists, from Quality Assurance Project (1990). Treatment outlines for paranoid, schizotypal and schizoid personality disorders. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 24, 339–350.) •

Clinical Description

Individuals with schizoid personality disorder seem neither to desire nor to enjoy closeness with others, including romantic or sexual relationships. As a result, they appear cold and detached and do not seem affected by praise or criticism. One of the changes in *DSM-IV-TR* from previous versions was the recognition that at least some people with schizoid personality disorder are sensitive to the opinions of others but are unwilling or unable to express this emotion. For them, social isolation may be extremely painful. Unfortunately, homelessness appears to be prevalent among people with this personality disorder, perhaps as a result of their lack of close friendships and lack of dissatisfaction about not having a sexual relationship with another person (Rouff, 2000; Angstman & Rasmussen, 2011).

The social deficiencies of people with schizoid personality disorder are similar to those of people with paranoid personality disorder, although they are more extreme. As Beck and Freeman (1990, p. 125) put it, they “consider themselves to be observers rather than participants in the world around them.” They do not seem to have the unusual thought processes that characterize the other disorders in Cluster A (Cloninger & Svakic, 2009) (see Table 12.6). For example, people with paranoid and schizotypal personality disorders often have *ideas of reference*, mistaken beliefs that meaningless events relate just to them. In contrast, those with schizoid personality disorder share the social isolation, poor rapport, and constricted affect (showing neither positive nor negative emotion) seen in people with paranoid personality disorder. You will see in Chapter 13 that this distinction among psychotic-like symptoms is important to understanding people with schizophrenia, some of whom show the “positive” symptoms (actively unusual behaviors such as ideas of reference) and others only the “negative” symptoms (the more passive manifestations of social isolation or poor rapport with others).

Causes and Treatment

Extensive research on the genetic, neurobiological, and psychosocial contributions to schizoid personality disorder remains to be conducted. In fact, very little empirical research has been published on the nature and causes of this disorder (Triebwasser et al., 2012). Childhood shyness is reported as a precursor to

later adult schizoid personality disorder. It may be that this personality trait is inherited and serves as an important determinant in the development of this disorder. Abuse and neglect in childhood are also reported among individuals with this disorder (Lobbestael, Arntz, & Bernstein, 2010; Carr, Keenleyside, & Fitzhenry, 2015). Research over the past several decades points to biological causes of autism (a disorder we discuss in more detail in Chapter 14), and research demonstrates significant overlap in the occurrence of autism spectrum disorder and schizoid personality disorder (Lugnegård, Hallerbäck, & Gillberg, 2012; Hummelen, Pedersen, Wilberg, & Karterud, 2014; Coolidge, Marle, Rhoades, Monaghan, & Segal, 2013; Vannucchi et al., 2014). It is possible that a biological dysfunction found in both autism and schizoid personality disorder combines with early learning or early problems with interpersonal relationships to produce the social deficits that define schizoid personality disorder (Hopwood & Thomas, 2012).

It is rare for a person with this disorder to request treatment except in response to a crisis such as extreme depression or losing a job (Kelly et al., 2007). Therapists often begin treatment by pointing out the value in social relationships. The person with the disorder may even need to be taught the emotions felt by others to learn empathy (Skodol & Gunderson, 2008). Because their social skills were never established or have atrophied through lack of use (Caballo, Salazar, Irurtia, Olivares, & Olivares, 2014), people with schizoid personality disorder often receive social skills training.

TABLE 12.6 Grouping Schema for Cluster A Disorders

Psychotic-Like Symptoms		
Cluster A Personality Disorder	Positive (for example, Ideas of Reference, Magical Thinking, and Perceptual Distortions)	Negative (for example, Social Isolation, Poor Rapport, and Constricted Affect)
Paranoid	Yes	Yes
Schizoid	No	Yes
Schizotypal	Yes	No

Source: Adapted from Siever, L. J. (1992). Schizophrenia spectrum personality disorders. In A. Tasman & M. B. Riba (Eds.), *Review of psychiatry* (Vol. 11, pp. 25–42). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press.

TABLE 12.2

Diagnostic Criteria for Schizoid Personality Disorder

DSM 5

- A.** A pervasive pattern of detachment from social relationships and a restricted range of expression of emotions in interpersonal settings, beginning by early adulthood and present in a variety of contexts, as indicated by four (or more) of the following:
1. Neither desires nor enjoys close relationships, including being part of a family.
 2. Almost always chooses solitary activities.
 3. Has little, if any, interest in having sexual experiences with another person.
 4. Takes pleasure in few, if any, activities.
 5. Lacks close friends or confidants other than first-degree relatives.
 6. Appears indifferent to the praise or criticism of others.
 7. Shows emotional coldness, detachment, or flattened affectivity.

- B.** Does not occur exclusively during the course of schizophrenia, a bipolar disorder or depressive disorder with psychotic features, another psychotic disorder, or autism spectrum disorder and is not attributable to the physiological effects of another medical condition.

Note: If criteria are met prior to the onset of schizophrenia, add “premorbid,” e.g., “schizoid personality disorder (premorbid).”

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

The therapist takes the part of a friend or significant other in a technique known as role-playing and helps the patient practice establishing and maintaining social relationships (Skodol & Gunderson, 2008). This type of social skills training is helped by identifying a social network—a person or people who will be supportive (Bender, 2005). Outcome research on this type of approach is unfortunately quite limited, so we must be cautious in evaluating the effectiveness of treatment for people with schizoid personality disorder.

Schizotypal Personality Disorder

People with **schizotypal personality disorder** are typically socially isolated, like those with schizoid personality disorder. In addition, they also behave in ways that would seem unusual to many of us, and they tend to be suspicious and to have odd beliefs (Rosell, Futterman, McMaster, & Siever, 2014; Chemerenski, Triebwasser, Roussos, & Siever, 2013). Schizotypal personality disorder is considered by some to be on a continuum (that is, on the same spectrum) with schizophrenia—the severe disorder we discuss in the next chapter—but without some of the more debilitating symptoms, such as hallucinations and delusions. In fact, because of this close connection, *DSM-5* includes this disorder under both the heading of a personality disorder and under the heading of a schizophrenia spectrum disorder (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Consider the case of Mr. S.

Mr. S...

All on His Own

Mr. S. was a 35-year-old chronically unemployed man who had been referred by a physician because of a vitamin deficiency. This was thought to have eventuated because Mr. S. avoided any foods that “could have been contaminated by machine.” He had begun to develop alternative ideas about diet in his 20s and soon left his family and began to study an Eastern religion. “It opened my third eye; corruption is all about,” he said.

He now lived by himself on a small farm, attempting to grow his own food, bartering for items he could not grow himself. He spent his days and evenings researching the origins and mechanisms of food contamination and, because of this knowledge, had developed a small band who followed his ideas. He had never married and maintained little contact with his family: “I’ve never been close to my father. I’m a vegetarian.”

He said he intended to do an herbalism course to improve his diet before returning to his life on the farm. He had refused medication from the physician and became uneasy when the facts of his deficiency were discussed with him.

(Cases and excerpts reprinted, with permission of the Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists, from Quality Assurance Project, 1990. Treatment outlines for paranoid, schizotypal and schizoid personality disorders. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 24, 339–350.) •

Clinical Description

People given a diagnosis of schizotypal personality disorder have psychoticlike (but not psychotic) symptoms (such as believing everything relates to them personally), social deficits, and sometimes cognitive impairments or paranoia (Kwapil & Barrantes-Vidal, 2012). These individuals are often considered odd or bizarre because of how they relate to other people, how they think and behave, and even how they dress. They have *ideas of reference*; for example, they may believe that somehow everyone on a passing city bus is talking about them, yet they may be able to acknowledge this is unlikely (Rosell et al., 2014). Again, as you will see in Chapter 13, some people with schizophrenia also have ideas of reference, but they are usually not able to “test reality” or see the illogic of their ideas.

Individuals with schizotypal personality disorder also have odd beliefs or engage in “magical thinking,” believing, for example, that they are clairvoyant or telepathic (Furnham & Crump, 2014). In addition, they report unusual perceptual experiences, including such illusions as feeling the presence of another person when they are alone. Notice the subtle but important difference between *feeling* as if someone else is in the room and the more extreme perceptual distortion in people with schizophrenia who might report there *is* someone else in the room when there isn’t. Unlike people who simply have unusual interests or beliefs, those with schizotypal personality disorder tend to be suspicious and have paranoid thoughts, express little emotion, and may dress or behave in unusual ways (for example, wear many layers of clothing in the summertime or mumble to themselves) (Chemerinski et al., 2013). Prospective research on children who later develop schizotypal personality disorder found that they tend to be passive and unengaged and are hypersensitive to criticism (Olin et al., 1997).

Because persons with schizotypal personality disorder often have beliefs around religious or spiritual themes (Bennett, Shepherd, & Janca, 2013), clinicians must be aware that different cultural beliefs or practices may lead to a mistaken diagnosis of this disorder. For example, some people who practice certain religious rituals—such as speaking in tongues, practicing voodoo, or mind reading—may do so with such obsessiveness as to make them seem extremely unusual, thus leading to a misdiagnosis (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Mental health workers have to be particularly sensitive to cultural practices that may differ from their own and can distort their view of certain seemingly unusual behaviors.

Causes

Historically, the word *schizotype* was used to describe people who were predisposed to develop schizophrenia (Meehl, 1962; Rado, 1962). Schizotypal personality disorder is viewed by some to be one phenotype of a schizophrenia genotype. Recall that a *phenotype* is one way a person’s genetics is expressed. A *genotype* is the gene or genes that make up a particular disorder. Depending on a variety of other influences, however, the way you turn out—your phenotype—may vary from other persons with a similar genetic makeup. Some people are thought to have “schizophrenia genes” (the genotype) yet, because of the relative lack of biological influences (for example, prenatal illnesses) or environmental stresses (for example, poverty, maltreatment), some will have the less

severe schizotypal personality disorder (the phenotype) (Kwapil & Barrantes-Vidal, 2012).

The idea of a relationship between schizotypal personality disorder and schizophrenia arises partly from the way people with the disorders behave. Many characteristics of schizotypal personality disorder, including ideas of reference, illusions, and paranoid thinking, are similar but milder forms of behaviors observed among people with schizophrenia. Genetic research also seems to support a relationship. Family, twin, and adoption studies have shown an increased prevalence of schizotypal personality disorder among relatives of people with schizophrenia who do not also have schizophrenia themselves (Siever & Davis, 2004). These studies also tell us, however, that the environment can strongly influence schizotypal personality disorder. Some research suggests that schizotypal symptoms are strongly associated with childhood maltreatment among men, and this childhood maltreatment seems to result in posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms (see Chapter 5) among women (Berenbaum, Thompson, Milanak, Boden, & Bredemeier, 2008).

DSM 5

TABLE 12.3 Diagnostic Criteria for Schizotypal Personality Disorder

- A.** A pervasive pattern of social and interpersonal deficits marked by acute discomfort with, and reduced capacity for, close relationships, as well as by cognitive or perceptual distortions and eccentricities of behavior, beginning by early adulthood and present in a variety of contexts, as indicated by five (or more) of the following:
1. Ideas of reference (excluding delusions of reference).
 2. Odd beliefs or magical thinking that influences behavior and is inconsistent with subcultural norms (e.g., superstitiousness, belief in clairvoyance, telepathy, or "sixth sense"; in children and adolescents, bizarre fantasies or preoccupations).
 3. Unusual perceptual experiences, including bodily illusions.
 4. Odd thinking and speech (e.g., vague, circumstantial, metaphorical, overelaborate, or stereotyped).
 5. Suspiciousness or paranoid ideation.
 6. Inappropriate or constricted affect.
 7. Behavior or appearance that is odd, eccentric, or peculiar.
 8. Lack of close friends or confidants other than first-degree relatives.
 9. Excessive social anxiety that does not diminish with familiarity and tends to be associated with paranoid fears rather than negative judgments about self.
- B.** Does not occur exclusively during the course of schizophrenia, a bipolar disorder or depressive disorder with psychotic features, another psychotic disorder, or autism spectrum disorder.

Note: If criteria are met prior to the onset of schizophrenia, add "premorbid," e.g., "schizoid personality disorder (premorbid)."'

Cognitive assessment of people with this disorder points to mild-to-moderate decrements in their ability to perform on tests involving memory and learning, suggesting some damage in the left hemisphere (Siever & Davis, 2004). Other research, using magnetic resonance imaging, points to generalized brain abnormalities in those with schizotypal personality disorder (Modinos et al., 2009; Lener et al., 2014).

Treatment

People with schizotypal personality disorder who request clinical help often seek assistance due to anxiety or depression. Relatedly, the presence of schizotypal personality disorder significantly increases the risk for developing major depressive disorder even years later (Skodol et al., 2011). Treatment includes some of the medical and psychological treatments for depression (Cloninger & Svakic, 2009; Mulder, Frampton, Luty, & Joyce, 2009).

Controlled studies of attempts to treat groups of people with schizotypal personality disorder are few. There is now growing interest in treating this disorder, however, because it is being viewed as a precursor to schizophrenia (McClure et al., 2010). One study used a combination of approaches, including antipsychotic medication, community treatment (a team of support professionals providing therapeutic services), and social skills training, to treat the symptoms experienced by individuals with this disorder. Researchers found that this combination of approaches either reduced their symptoms or postponed the onset of later schizophrenia (Nordentoft et al., 2006). The idea of treating younger persons who have symptoms of schizotypal personality disorder with some combination of antipsychotic medication, cognitive behavior therapy, and social skills training in order to avoid the onset of schizophrenia is proving to be a promising prevention strategy (Nordentoft et al., 2015; Graff, McClure, & Siever, 2014; Correll, Hauser, Auther, & Cornblatt, 2010; Weiser, 2011).

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

Cluster B Personality Disorders

People diagnosed with the Cluster B personality disorders—antisocial, borderline, histrionic, and narcissistic—all have behaviors that have been described as dramatic, emotional, or erratic. These personality disorders are described next.

Antisocial Personality Disorder

People with **antisocial personality disorder** are among the most puzzling of the individuals a clinician will see in a practice and are characterized as having a history of failing to comply with social norms. They perform actions most of us would find unacceptable, such as stealing from friends and family. They also tend to be irresponsible, impulsive, and deceitful (De Brito & Hodgins, 2009). Robert Hare, a pioneer in the study of people with psychopathy (a subgroup of persons with antisocial personality disorder that we outline later in the chapter), describes them as “social predators who charm, manipulate, and ruthlessly plow their way through life, leaving a broad trail of broken hearts, shattered expectations, and empty wallets. Completely lacking in conscience and empathy, they selfishly take what they want and do as they please, violating social norms and expectations without the slightest sense of guilt or regret” (Hare, 1993, p. xi). Although first identified as a “medical” problem by Philippe Pinel at the start of the nineteenth century (1801/1962), descriptions of individuals with these antisocial tendencies can be found in ancient stone texts found in Mesopotamia dating as far back as 670 B.C. (Abdul-Hamid & Stein, 2012). Just who are these people with antisocial personality disorder? Consider the case of Ryan.

Ryan... The Thrill Seeker

I first met Ryan on his 17th birthday. Unfortunately, he was celebrating the event in a psychiatric hospital. He had been truant from school for several months and had gotten into some trouble; the local judge who heard his case had recommended psychiatric evaluation one more time, even though Ryan had been hospitalized six previous times, all for

problems related to drug use and truancy. He was a veteran of the system and already knew most of the staff. I interviewed him to assess why he was admitted this time and to recommend treatment.

My first impression was that Ryan was cooperative and pleasant. He pointed out a tattoo on his arm that he had made himself, saying that it was a “stupid” thing to have done and that he now regretted it. He regretted many things and was looking forward to moving on with his life. I later found out that he was never truly remorseful for anything.

Our second interview was quite different. In the 48 hours since our first interview, Ryan had done a number of things that showed why he needed a great deal of help. The most serious incident involved a 15-year-old girl named Ann who attended class with Ryan in the hospital school. Ryan had told her that he was going to get himself discharged, get in trouble, and be sent to the same prison Ann’s father was in, where he would rape her father. Ryan’s threat so upset Ann that she hit her teacher and several of the staff. When I spoke to Ryan about this, he smiled slightly and said he was bored and that it was fun to upset Ann. When I asked whether it bothered him that his behavior might extend her stay in the hospital, he looked puzzled and said, “Why should it bother me? She’s the one who’ll have to stay in this hell hole!”

Just before Ryan’s admittance, a teenager in his town was murdered. A group of teens went to the local cemetery at night to perform satanic rituals, and a young man was stabbed to death, apparently over a drug purchase. Ryan was in the group, although he did not stab the boy. He told me that they occasionally dug up graves to get skulls for their parties—not because they really believed in the devil but because it was fun and it scared the younger kids. I asked, “What if this was the grave of someone you knew, a relative or a friend? Would it bother you that strangers were digging up the remains?” He shook his head. “They’re dead, man; they don’t care. Why should I?”

Ryan told me he loved PCP, or “angel dust,” and that he would rather be dusted than anything else. He routinely made the 2-hour trip to New York City to buy drugs in a particularly dangerous neighborhood. He denied that he was ever nervous. This wasn’t machismo; he really seemed unconcerned.

Ryan made little progress. I discussed his future in family therapy sessions and we talked about his pattern of showing supposed regret and remorse and then stealing money from his parents and going back onto the street. Most of our discussions centered on trying to give his parents the courage to say no to him and not to believe his lies.

One evening, after many sessions, Ryan said he had seen the “error of his ways” and that he felt bad he had hurt his parents. If they would only take him home this one last time, he would be the son he should have been all these years. His speech moved his parents to tears, and they looked at me gratefully as if to thank me for curing

(Continued next page)

their son. When Ryan finished talking, I smiled, applauded, told him it was the best performance I had ever seen. His parents turned on me in anger. Ryan paused for a second, then he, too, smiled and said, “It was worth a shot!” Ryan’s parents were astounded that he had again tricked them into believing him; he hadn’t meant a word of what he had just said. Ryan was eventually discharged to a drug rehabilitation program. Within 4 weeks, he had convinced his parents to take him home, and within 2 days he had stolen all their cash and disappeared; he apparently went back to his friends and to drugs.

When he was in his 20s, after one of his many arrests for theft, he was diagnosed as having antisocial personality disorder. His parents never summoned the courage to turn him out or refuse him money, and he continues to con them into providing him with a means of buying more drugs. •

Defining Criteria

Hervey Cleckley (1941/1982), a psychiatrist who spent much of his career working with the “psychopathic personality,” identified a constellation of 16 major characteristics, most of which are personality traits and are sometimes referred to as the “Cleckley criteria.” Hare and his colleagues, building on the descriptive work of Cleckley, researched the nature of psychopathy (see, for example, Hare, 1970; Harpur, Hare, & Hakstian, 1989) and developed a 20-item checklist that serves as an assessment tool. Six of the criteria that Hare includes in his Revised Psychopathy Checklist (PCL-R) are as follows:

1. Glibness/superficial charm
2. Grandiose sense of self-worth
3. Pathological lying
4. Conning/manipulative
5. Lack of remorse or guilt
6. Callous/lack of empathy

(Hare et al., 2012; p. 480)

Clinical Description

Individuals with antisocial personality disorder tend to have long histories of violating the rights of others (Black, 2013; Hare et al., 2012). They are often described as being aggressive because they take what they want, indifferent to the concerns of other people. Lying and cheating seem to be second nature to them, and often they appear unable to tell the difference between the truth and the lies they make up to further their own goals. They show no remorse or concern over the sometimes devastating effects of their actions. Substance abuse is common in people with antisocial personality disorder and appears to be a lifelong pattern among these individuals (Hasin et al., 2011). The long-term outcome for people with antisocial personality disorder is usually poor, regardless of gender (Black, 2013; Colman et al., 2009). One classic study, for example, followed 1,000 delinquent and nondelinquent boys over a 50-year period (Laub & Vaillant, 2000). Many of the delinquent boys would today receive a diagnosis of conduct disorder, which you will see later may be a precursor to antisocial personality disorder in adults. The delinquent boys were more than twice as likely to die an unnatural death (for example, accident, suicide, or homicide) as their nondelinquent peers, which may be attributed to factors such as alcohol abuse and poor self-care (for example, infections and reckless behavior).

Antisocial personality disorder has had a number of names over the years. Philippe Pinel (1801/1962) identified what he called *manie sans délire* (mania without delirium) to describe people with unusual emotional responses and impulsive rages but no deficits in reasoning ability (Charland, 2010). Other labels have included moral insanity, egopathy, sociopathy, and psychopathy. A great deal has been written about these labels; we focus on the two that have figured most prominently in psychological research: **psychopathy** and **DSM-5**’s antisocial personality disorder. There continues to be debate in the field if these really are two distinct disorders (Douglas et al., 2015; Wall, Wygant, & Sellbom, 2015; Werner, Few, & Buckholtz, 2015; Anderson, Sellbom, Wygant, Salekin, & Krueger, 2014; Venables, Hall, & Patrick, 2014).

With some training, clinicians are able to gather information from interviews with a person, along with material from significant others or institutional files (for example, prison records), and assign the person scores on the checklist, with high scores indicating psychopathy (Hare & Neumann, 2006).

The Cleckley/Hare criteria focus primarily on underlying *personality traits* (for example, being self-centered or manipulative). Earlier versions of the *DSM* criteria for antisocial personality focused almost entirely on observable *behaviors* (for example, “impulsively and repeatedly changes employment, residence, or sexual partners”). The framers of the previous *DSM* criteria felt that trying to assess a personality trait—for example, whether someone was manipulative—would be more difficult than determining whether the person engaged in certain behaviors, such as repeated fighting. The *DSM-5*, however, moved closer to the trait-based criteria and includes some of the same language included in Hare’s PCL-R (e.g., callousness, manipulativeness, and deceitfulness). Unfortunately, research on identifying persons with antisocial personality disorder suggests that this new definition reduces the reliability of the diagnosis (Regier et al., 2013). Additional work will be needed to improve the reliability of this diagnosis while maintaining the core traits that characterize these individuals.

Antisocial Personality Disorder and Criminality

Although Cleckley did not deny that many psychopaths are at greatly elevated risk for criminal and antisocial behaviors, he did emphasize that some have few or no legal or interpersonal difficulties. In other words, some psychopaths are not criminals and some do not display outward aggressiveness that was included in the *DSM-IV-TR* criteria for antisocial personality disorder. What separates many in this group from those who get into trouble with the law may be their intelligence quotient (IQ). In a classic prospective, longitudinal study, White, Moffitt, and Silva (1989) followed almost 1,000 children, beginning at age 5, to see what predicted antisocial behavior at age 15. They found that, of the 5-year-olds determined

to be at high risk for later delinquent behavior, 16% did indeed have run-ins with the law by the age of 15, and 84% did not. What distinguished these two groups? In general, the at-risk children with lower IQs were the ones who got in trouble. This suggests that having a higher IQ may help protect some people from developing more serious problems, or it may at least prevent them from getting caught.

Some psychopaths function quite successfully in certain segments of society (for example, politics, business, and entertainment). Because of the difficulty in identifying these people, such “successful” or “subclinical” psychopaths (who meet some of the criteria for psychopathy) have not been the focus of much research. In a clever exception, Widom (1977; pg. 677) recruited a sample of subclinical psychopaths through advertisements in underground newspapers that appealed to those with many of the major personality characteristics of psychopathy. For example, one of the advertisements read as follows:

Wanted: charming, aggressive, carefree people who are impulsively irresponsible but are good at handling people and at looking after number one.

Widom found that her sample appeared to possess many of the same characteristics as imprisoned psychopaths; for example, a large percentage of them received low scores on questionnaire measures of empathy and socialization, and their parents tended to have higher rates of psychopathology, including alcoholism. But many of these individuals had stable occupations and had managed to stay out of prison. Widom’s study, although lacking a control group, shows that at least some individuals with psychopathic personality traits avoid repeated contact with the legal system and may even function successfully in society.

Identifying psychopaths among the criminal population seems to have important implications for predicting their future criminal behavior (Vitacco, Neumann, & Pardini, 2014). As you can imagine, having personality characteristics such as a lack of remorse and impulsivity can lead to difficulty staying out of trouble with the legal system. In general, most research has found that people who score high on measures of psychopathy commit crimes at a higher rate than those with lower scores and are at greater risk for more violent crimes and recidivism (repeating offenses) (e.g., Widiger, 2006), although some recent research has found psychopathy to be a less reliable predictor of criminality (Collins, Andershed, & Pardini, 2015).

As we review the literature on antisocial personality disorder, note that the people included in the research may be members of only one of the three groups (those with antisocial personality disorder, psychopathy, and criminals) we have described. For example, genetic research is usually conducted with criminals because they

Antisocial Personality Disorder: George



“I have hatred inside me. I don’t care how much I be somebody. . . . The more I hear somebody, the more anger I get inside me. . . . I used drugs when I was . . . probably 9 or 10 years old . . . smoked marijuana. . . . First time I drank some alcohol I think I was probably about 3 years old. . . . I assaulted a woman. . . . I had so much anger. . . . I was just like a bomb . . . it’s just ticking . . . and the way I’m going, that bomb was going to blow up in me. I wouldn’t be able to get away from it . . . going to be a lot of people hurt. . . . I’m not going out without taking somebody with me.”

Go to MindTap at
www.cengagebrain.com
to watch this video.

and their families are easier to identify than members of the other groups. As you now know, the criminal group may include people other than those with anti-social personality disorder or psychopathy. Keep this in mind as you read on.

Conduct Disorder

It is important to note the developmental nature of antisocial behavior. *DSM-5* provides a separate diagnosis for children who engage in behaviors that violate society’s norms: *conduct disorder*. It provides for the designation of two subtypes; *childhood-onset type* (the onset of at least one criterion characteristic of CD prior to age 10 years) or *adolescent-onset type* (the absence of any criteria characteristic of CD prior to age 10 years). An additional subtype, new to the *DSM-5*, is called “with a callous-unemotional presentation” (Barry, Golmaryami, Rivera-Hudson, & Frick, 2012). This designation is an indication that the young person presents in a way that suggests personality characteristics similar to an adult with psychopathy.

Many children with conduct disorder—most often diagnosed in boys—become

juvenile offenders and tend to become involved with drugs. Ryan fits into this category. More important, the lifelong pattern of antisocial behavior is evident because young children who display antisocial behavior are likely to continue these behaviors as they grow older (Black, 2013; Frick, 2012). Data from long-term follow-up research indicate that many adults with antisocial personality disorder or psychopathy had conduct disorder as children (Robins, 1978; Salekin, 2006; Davidson, 2014; Kasen, Cohen, Skodol, Johnson, & Brook, 2014); the likelihood of an adult having antisocial personality disorder increases if, as a child, he or she had both conduct disorder and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (Biederman, Mick, Faraone, & Burback, 2001; Moffitt, Caspi, Rutter, & Silva, 2001). In many cases, the types of norm violations that an adult would engage in—irresponsibility regarding work or family—appear as younger versions in conduct disorder, such as truancy from school or running away from home. Some children with conduct disorder do feel remorseful about their behavior, which is why *DSM-5* included the qualifier “with a callous-unemotional presentation” in order to better differentiate these two groups.



Courtesy of Robert Hare

Robert Hare has made extensive studies of people with psychopathic personalities.

TABLE 12.4

Diagnostic Criteria for Antisocial Personality Disorder

- A.** A pervasive pattern of disregard for and violation of the rights of others, occurring since age 15 years, as indicated by three (or more) of the following:
- 1.** Failure to conform to social norms with respect to lawful behaviors, as indicated by repeatedly performing acts that are grounds for arrest.
 - 2.** Deceitfulness, as indicated by repeated lying, use of aliases, or conning others for personal profit or pleasure.
 - 3.** Impulsivity or failure to plan ahead.
 - 4.** Irritability and aggressiveness, as indicated by repeated physical fights or assaults.
 - 5.** Reckless disregard for safety of self or others.
 - 6.** Consistent irresponsibility, as indicated by repeated failure to sustain consistent work behavior or honor financial obligations.
 - 7.** Lack of remorse, as indicated by being indifferent to or rationalizing having hurt, mistreated, or stolen from another.
- B.** The individual is at least age 18 years.
- C.** There is evidence of conduct disorder with onset before age 15 years.
- D.** The occurrence of antisocial behavior is not exclusively during the course of schizophrenia or bipolar disorder.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

There is a tremendous amount of interest in studying a group that causes a great deal of harm to society. Research has been conducted for a number of years, and so we know a great deal more about antisocial personality disorder than about most of the other personality disorders.

Genetic Influences

Family, twin, and adoption studies all suggest a genetic influence on both antisocial personality disorder and criminality (Reichborn-Kjennerud et al., 2015; Checknita et al., 2015; Ficks & Waldman, 2014; Delisi & Vaughn, 2015; Kendler et al., 2014). For example, in a classic study, Crowe (1974) examined children whose mothers were felons and who were later adopted by other families and compared them with adopted children of normal mothers. All were separated from their mothers as newborns, minimizing the possibility that environmental factors from their biological families were responsible for the results. Crowe found that the adopted offspring of felons had significantly higher rates of arrests, conviction, and antisocial personality than did the adopted offspring of normal mothers, which suggests at least some genetic influence on criminality and antisocial behavior.

Crowe found something else quite interesting, however: The adopted children of felons who themselves later became criminals had spent more time in interim orphanages than either the adopted children of felons who did not become criminals or the

adopted children of normal mothers. As Crowe points out, this suggests a gene-environment interaction; in other words, genetic factors may be important only in the presence of certain environmental influences (alternatively, certain environmental influences are important only in the presence of certain genetic predispositions). Genetic factors may present a vulnerability, but actual development of criminality may require environmental factors, such as a deficit in early, high-quality contact with parents or parent surrogates.

This gene-environment interaction was demonstrated most clearly by Cadoret, Yates, Troughton, Woodworth, and Stewart (1995), who studied adopted children and their likelihood of developing conduct problems. If the children's biological parents had a history of antisocial personality disorder and their adoptive families exposed them to chronic stress through marital, legal, or psychiatric problems, the children were at greater risk for conduct problems. Again, research shows that genetic influence does not necessarily mean certain disorders are inevitable. Genetic research on conduct disorder points to an interaction between genetic and environmental influences, such as academic difficulty, peer problems, low family income, neglect, and harsh discipline from parents (Beaver, Barnes, May, & Schwartz, 2011; Kendler, Aggen, & Patrick, 2013; Silberg, Maes, & Eaves, 2012; Knopik et al., 2014).

If you remember back to Chapter 4, we introduced the concept of an *endophenotype*—underlying aspects of a disorder that might be more directly influenced by genes. In the case of antisocial personality disorder, gene researchers are looking for genetic differences that may influence factors such as serotonin and dopamine levels or the relative lack of anxiety or fear seen in these individuals (which we discuss next) (Hare et al., 2012). Although this research is at its early stages, it is refining the search for genes—not for ones that “cause” antisocial personality disorder but for genes that create the unusual aspects of an antisocial personality, such as fearlessness, aggressiveness, impulsivity, and lack of remorse.

Neurobiological Influences

A great deal of research has focused on neurobiological influences that may be specific to antisocial personality disorder. One thing seems clear: General brain damage does not explain why some people become psychopaths or criminals; these individuals appear to score as well on neuropsychological tests as the rest of us (Hart, Forth, & Hare, 1990). Such tests are designed to detect only significant damage in the brain, however, and will not pick up subtle changes in chemistry or structure that could affect behavior.

Arousal Theories

The fearlessness, seeming insensitivity to punishment, and thrill-seeking behaviors characteristic of those with antisocial personality disorder (especially those with psychopathy) sparked interest in what neurobiological processes might contribute to these unusual reactions. Early theoretical work on people with antisocial personality disorder emphasized two hypotheses: the underarousal hypothesis and the fearlessness hypothesis. According to the *underarousal hypothesis*, psychopaths have abnormally low levels of cortical arousal (Sylvers, Ryan, Alden,

TABLE 12.5

Diagnostic Criteria for Conduct Disorder

- A.** A repetitive and persistent pattern of behavior in which the basic rights of others or major age-appropriate societal norms or rules are violated, as manifested by the presence of at least three of the following 15 criteria in the past 12 months from any of the categories below, with at least one criterion present in the past 6 months:

Aggression to People and Animals

1. Often bullies, threatens, or intimidates others.
2. Often initiates physical fights.
3. Has used a weapon that can cause serious physical harm to others (e.g., a bat, brick, broken bottle, knife, gun).
4. Has been physically cruel to people.
5. Has been physically cruel to animals.
6. Has stolen while confronting a victim (e.g., mugging, purse snatching, extortion, armed robbery).
7. Has forced someone into sexual activity.

Destruction of Property

8. Has deliberately engaged in fire setting with the intention of causing serious damage.
9. Has deliberately destroyed others' property (other than by fire setting).

Deceitfulness or Theft

10. Has broken into someone else's house, building, or car.
11. Often lies to obtain goods or favors or to avoid obligations (i.e., "cons" others).
12. Has stolen items of nontrivial value without confronting a victim (e.g., shoplifting, but without breaking and entering; forgery).

Serious Violations of Rules

13. Often stays out at night despite parental prohibitions, beginning before age 13 years.
14. Has run away from home overnight at least twice while living in the parental or parental surrogate home, or once without returning for a lengthy period.
15. Is often truant from school, beginning before age 13 years.

- B.** The disturbance in behavior causes clinically significant impairment in social, academic, or occupational functioning.

- C.** If the individual is age 18 years or older, criteria are not met for antisocial personality disorder.

Specify whether:

Childhood-onset type: Individuals show at least one symptom characteristic of conduct disorder prior to age 10 years.

Adolescent-onset type: Individuals show no symptom characteristic of conduct disorder prior to age 10 years.

Unspecified onset: Criteria for a diagnosis of conduct disorder are met, but there is not enough information available to determine whether the onset of the first symptom was before age 10 years.

Specify current severity:

Mild: Few if any conduct problems in excess of those required to make the diagnosis are present, and conduct problems cause relatively minor harm to others (e.g., lying, truancy, staying out after dark without permission, other rule breaking).

Moderate: The number of conduct problems and the effect on others are intermediate between those specified "mild" and those in "severe" (e.g., stealing without confronting a victim, vandalism).

Severe: Many conduct problems in excess of those required to make the diagnosis are present, or conduct problems cause considerable harm to others (e.g., forced sex, physical cruelty, use of a weapon, stealing while confronting a victim, breaking and entering)

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

& Brennan, 2009). There appears to be an inverted U-shaped relation between arousal and performance, the Yerkes-Dodson curve, which suggests people with either high or low levels of arousal tend to experience negative affect and perform poorly in many situations, whereas individuals with intermediate levels of arousal tend to be relatively content and perform satisfactorily in most situations.

According to the underarousal hypothesis, the abnormally low levels of cortical arousal characteristic of psychopaths are the primary cause of their antisocial and risk-taking behaviors; they seek stimulation to boost their chronically low levels

of arousal. This means that Ryan lied, took drugs, and dug up graves to achieve the same level of arousal we might get from talking on the phone with a good friend or watching television. Several researchers have examined childhood and adolescent psychophysiological predictors of adult antisocial behavior and criminality. Raine, Venables, and Williams (1990), for example, assessed a sample of 15 year olds on a variety of autonomic and central nervous system variables. They found that future criminals had lower skin conductance activity, lower heart rate during rest periods, and more slow-frequency brain wave activity, all indicative of low arousal.

According to the fearlessness hypothesis, psychopaths possess a higher threshold for experiencing fear than most other individuals (Lykken, 1957, 1982). In other words, things that greatly frighten the rest of us have little effect on the psychopath (Syngelaki, Fairchild, Moore, Savage, & Goozen, 2013). Remember that Ryan was unafraid of going alone to dangerous neighborhoods to buy drugs. According to proponents of this hypothesis, the fearlessness of the psychopath gives rise to all the other major features of the syndrome.

Theorists have tried to connect what we know about the workings of the brain with clinical observations of people with antisocial personality disorder, especially those with psychopathy. Several theorists have applied Jeffrey Gray's (1987) model of brain functioning to this population (Fowles, 1988; Quay, 1993). According to Gray, three major brain systems influence learning and emotional behavior: the behavioral inhibition system (BIS), the reward system, and the fight/flight system. Two of these systems, the BIS and the reward system, have been used to explain the behavior of people with psychopathy. The BIS is responsible for our ability to stop or slow down when we are faced with impending punishment, nonreward, or novel situations; activation of this system leads to anxiety and frustration. The BIS is thought to be located in the septohippocampal system and involves the noradrenergic and serotonergic neurotransmitter systems. The reward system is responsible for how we behave—in particular, our approach to positive rewards—and is associated with hope and relief. This system probably involves the dopaminergic system in the mesolimbic area of the brain, which we previously noted as the “pleasure pathway” for its role in substance use and abuse (see Chapter 11).

If you think about the behavior of psychopaths, the possible malfunctioning of these systems is clear. An imbalance between the BIS and the reward system may make the fear and anxiety produced by the BIS less apparent and the positive feelings associated with the reward system more prominent

(Hoppenbrouwers, Neumann, Lewis, & Johansson, 2015; Byrd, Loeber, & Pardini, 2014; Levenston, Patrick, Bradley, & Lang, 2000; Quay, 1993). Theorists have proposed that this type of neurobiological dysfunction may explain why psychopaths aren't anxious about committing the antisocial acts that characterize their disorder.

Researchers continue to explore how differences in neurotransmitter function (for example, serotonin) and neurohormone function (for example, androgens such as testosterone and the stress neurohormone cortisol) in the brains of these individuals can explain the callousness, superficial charm, lack of remorse, and impulsivity that characterize people with psychopathy. Integrative theories that link these differences to both genetic and environmental influences are just now beginning to be outlined (DeLisi & Vaughn, 2015; Poppa & Bechara, 2015; Hyde, Shaw, & Hariri, 2013; Waller, Dotterer, & Hyde, 2015; Hare et al., 2012) and may lead to better understanding and treatments for this debilitating disorder.

Psychological and Social Dimensions

What goes on in the mind of a psychopath? In one of several studies of how psychopaths process reward and punishment, Newman, Patterson, and Kosson (1987) set up a card-playing task on a computer; they provided five-cent rewards and fines for correct and incorrect answers to psychopathic and nonpsychopathic criminal offenders. The game was constructed so that at first players were rewarded about 90% of the time and fined only about 10% of the time. Gradually, the odds changed until the probability of getting a reward was 0%. Despite feedback that reward was no longer forthcoming, the psychopaths continued to play and lose, while those without psychopathy stopped playing. As a result of this and other studies, the researchers hypothesized that once psychopaths set their sights on a reward goal, they are less likely than nonpsychopaths to be deterred despite signs the goal is no longer achievable (Dvorak-Bertscha, Curtin, Rubinstein, & Newman, 2009). Again, considering the reckless and daring behavior of some psychopaths (robbing banks without a mask and getting caught immediately), failure to abandon an unattainable goal fits the overall picture.

Gerald Patterson's influential work suggests that aggression in children with antisocial personality disorder may escalate, partly as a result of their interactions with their parents (Granic & Patterson, 2006; Patterson, 1982). He found that the parents often give in to the problem behaviors displayed by their children. For example, a boy's parents ask him to make his bed and he refuses. One parent yells at the boy. The boy yells back and becomes abusive. At some point, his interchange becomes so aversive that the parent stops fighting and walks away, thereby ending the fight but also letting the son not make his bed. Giving in to these problems results in short-term gains for both the parent (calm is restored in the house) and the child (he gets what he wants), but it results in continuing problems. The child has learned to continue fighting and not give up, and the parent learns that the only way to “win” is to withdraw all demands. This “coercive family process” combines with other factors, such as genetic influences, parental depression, poor monitoring of their child's activities, and less parental



AP Images/Stephen Morton

Many prisons allow visits between inmates and their children, partly to help reduce later psychological problems in those children.

involvement, to help maintain the aggressive behaviors (Chronis et al., 2007; Patterson, DeBaryshe, & Ramsey, 1989). Coercive parenting—along with genetics—appears to be at least modestly involved with the callous-unemotional traits that seem related to later psychopathy (Waller et al., 2015).

Although little is known about which environmental factors play a direct role in causing antisocial personality disorder and psychopathy (as opposed to childhood conduct disorders), evidence from adoption studies strongly suggests that shared environmental factors—that tend to make family members similar—are important to the etiology of criminality and perhaps antisocial personality disorder. For example, in the adoption study by Sigvardsson, Cloninger, Bohman, and von Knorring (1982), low social status of the adoptive parents increased the risk of non-violent criminality among females. Like children with conduct disorders, individuals with antisocial personality disorder come from homes with inconsistent parental discipline (see, for example, Robins, 1966).


Developmental Influences

As children move into adulthood, the forms of antisocial behaviors change—from truancy and stealing from friends to extortion, assaults, armed robbery, or other crimes. Fortunately, clinical lore, as well as scattered empirical reports (Robins, 1966), suggest that rates of antisocial behavior begin to decline rather markedly around the age of 40. In their classic study, Hare, McPherson, and Forth (1988) provided empirical support for this phenomenon. They examined the conviction rates of male psychopaths and male nonpsychopaths who had been incarcerated for a variety of crimes. The researchers found that between the ages of 16 and 45 the conviction rates of nonpsychopaths remained relatively constant. In contrast, the conviction rates of psychopaths remained relatively constant up until about 40, at which time they decreased markedly (see Figure 12.2). Why antisocial behavior often declines around middle age remains unanswered (Hare et al., 2012).

An Integrative Model

How can we put all this information together to get a better understanding of people with antisocial personality disorder? Remember that the research just discussed sometimes involved people labeled as having antisocial personality disorder but at other times included people labeled as psychopathic or even criminals. Whatever the label, it appears these people have a genetic vulnerability to antisocial behaviors and personality traits. As you have seen, genetics may lead to differences in neurotransmitter and neurohormone (dopamine and serotonin) function that influences aggressiveness, as well as differences in neurohormone (cortisol) function that affects the way people deal with stress; these brain differences may lead to personality traits such as callousness, impulsivity, and aggressiveness that characterize people with psychopathy (Hare et al., 2012).

One potential gene–environment interaction may be seen in the role of fear conditioning in children. If you remember back



● FIGURE 12.2

Lifetime course of criminal behavior in psychopaths and nonpsychopaths. (Based on Hare, R. D., McPherson, L. M., & Forth, A. E. [1988]. Male psychopaths and their criminal careers. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 56, 710–714.)

to Chapter 1 and Chapter 5, we discussed how we learn to fear things that can harm us (for example, a hot stove) through the pairing of an unconditioned stimulus (e.g., heat from burner) and a conditioned stimulus (e.g., parent's warning to stay away), resulting in avoidance of the conditioned stimulus. But what if this conditioning is somehow impaired and you do not learn to avoid things that can harm you? An important study looked at whether abnormal responses to fear conditioning as a young child could be responsible for later antisocial behavior in adults (Gao, Raine, Venables, Dawson, & Mednick, 2010). This large 20-year study assessed fear conditioning in a group of 1,795 children at age 3, and then looked to see who had a criminal record at age 23. They found that offenders showed significantly reduced fear conditioning at age 3 compared with matched comparison participants, with many of these children showing no fear conditioning at all. Deficits in amygdala functioning are thought to make individuals unable to recognize cues that signal threat, making them relatively fearless, which suggests that these children had problems in this area of the brain (Sterzer, 2010). These findings may point to a mechanism by which genetic influences (leading to damage in the amygdala) interact with environmental influences (learning to fear threats) to produce adults who are relatively fearless and therefore engage in behaviors that cause harm to themselves and others.

Biological influences further interact with other environmental experiences such as early childhood adversity. In a family that may already be under stress because of divorce or substance abuse, there may be an interaction style that encourages antisocial behavior on the part of the child (Thomas, 2009).

The child's antisocial and impulsive behavior—partly caused by the child's difficult temperament and impulsivity (Chronis et al., 2007; Kochanska, Aksan, & Joy, 2007)—alienates other children who might be good role models and attracts others who encourage antisocial behavior. These behaviors may also result in the child's dropping out of school and a poor occupational history in adulthood, which help create increasingly frustrating life circumstances that further incite acts against society (Thomas, 2009).

This is, admittedly, an abbreviated version of a complex scenario. The important element is that in this integrative model of antisocial behavior, biological, psychological, and cultural factors combine in intricate ways to create someone like Ryan.

Treatment

One of the major problems with treating people in this group is typical of numerous personality disorders: They rarely identify themselves as needing treatment. Because of this, and because they can be manipulative even with their therapists, most clinicians are pessimistic about the outcome of treatment for adults who have antisocial personality disorder, and there are few documented success stories (National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health, 2010). In general, therapists agree with incarcerating these people to deter future antisocial acts. Clinicians encourage identification of high-risk children so that treatment can be attempted before they become adults (National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health, 2010; Thomas, 2009). One large study with violent offenders found that cognitive behavior therapy could reduce the likelihood of violence 5 years after treatment (Olver, Lewis, & Wong, 2013). Importantly, however, treatment success was negatively correlated with ratings on the PCL-R for traits of "selfish, callous, and remorseless use of others." In other words, the higher the score on this trait (which we have seen is related to psychopathy), the less successful this group was in refraining from violence after their treatment.

The most common treatment strategy for children involves parent training (Scott, Briskman, & O'Connor, 2014; Presnall, Webster-Stratton, & Constantino, 2014; Patterson, 1986). Parents are taught to recognize behavior problems early and to use praise and privileges to reduce problem behavior and encourage prosocial behaviors. Treatment studies typically show that these types of programs can significantly improve the behaviors of many children who display antisocial behaviors (Conduct Problems Prevention Research Group, 2010). A number of factors, however, put families at risk either for not succeeding in treatment or for dropping out early; these include cases with a high degree of family dysfunction, socioeconomic disadvantage, high family stress, a parent's history of antisocial behavior, and severe conduct disorder on the part of the child (Kaminski, Valle, Filene, & Boyle, 2008).

Prevention

We have seen a dramatic increase in the amount of research on prevention strategies focused on children at risk for later antisocial personality disorder. The aggressive behaviors of young children are remarkably stable, meaning that children who hit, insult, and threaten others are likely to continue these behaviors as they grow older. Unfortunately, these behaviors become more serious over time and, though some individuals become less aggressive after adolescence (Jennings & Reingle, 2012) are oftentimes early signs of the homicides and assaults seen among some adults (Wright, Tibbetts, & Daigle, 2015; Eron & Huesmann, 1990; Singer & Flannery, 2000).

Approaches to change this aggressive course are being implemented mainly in school and preschool settings and emphasize behavioral supports for good behavior and skills training to improve social competence (Reddy, Newman, De Thomas, & Chun, 2009). A number of types of these programs are under evaluation, and the results look promising. For example, research using parent training for young children (toddlers from 1½ to 2½ years) suggests that early intervention may be particularly helpful (Shaw, Dishion, Supplee, Gardner, & Arnds, 2006). Aggression can be reduced and social competence (for example, making friends and sharing) can be improved among young children, and these results generally are maintained over a few years (Conduct Problems Prevention Research, 2010; Reddy et al., 2009). One recent study found that the association between childhood conduct disorder and adult antisocial behavior was weaker among those adolescents who participated in high school sports, pointing to the possible utility of activities that disrupt delinquent habits (Samek, Elkins, Keyes, Iacono, & McGue, 2015). It is too soon to assess the impact of targeted prevention programs on adult antisocial behaviors typically observed among people with this personality disorder (Ingoldsby, Shelleby, Lane, & Shaw, 2012). Given the ineffectiveness of treatment for adults, however, prevention may be the best approach to this problem.



Children with conduct disorder may become adults with antisocial personality disorder.

Borderline Personality Disorder

People with **borderline personality disorder** lead tumultuous lives. Their moods and relationships are unstable, and usually they have a poor self-image. These people often feel empty and are at great risk of dying by their own hands. Consider the case of Claire.

Claire... A Stranger Among Us

I have known Claire for more than 40 years and have watched her through the good but mostly bad times of her often shaky and erratic life as a person with borderline personality disorder. Claire and I went to school together from the eighth grade through high school, and we've kept in touch periodically. My earliest memory of her is of her hair, which was cut short and rather unevenly. She told me that when things were not going well, she cut her own hair severely, which helped to "fill the void." I later found out that the long sleeves she usually wore hid scars and cuts that she had made herself.

Claire was the first of our friends to smoke. What was unusual about this and her later drug use was not that they occurred (this was in the 1960s when "If it feels good, do it" hadn't been replaced by "Just say no") or that they began early; it was that she didn't seem to use them to get attention, like everyone else. Claire was also one of the first whose parents divorced, and both of them seemed to abandon her emotionally. She later told me that her father was an alcoholic who had regularly beaten her and her mother. She did poorly in school and had a low opinion of herself. She often said she was stupid and ugly, yet she was neither.

Throughout our school years, Claire left town periodically, without any explanation. I learned many years later that she was in psychiatric facilities to get help with her suicidal depression. She often threatened to kill herself, although we didn't guess that she was serious.

In our later teens, we all drifted away from Claire. She had become increasingly unpredictable, sometimes berating us for a perceived slight ("You're walking too fast. You don't want to be seen with me!"), and at other times desperate to be around us. We were confused by her behavior. With some people, emotional outbursts can bring you closer together. Unfortunately for Claire, these incidents and her overall demeanor made us feel that we didn't know her. As we all grew older, the "void" she described in herself became overwhelming and eventually shut us all out.

Claire married twice, and both times had passionate but stormy relationships interrupted by hospitalizations. She tried to stab her first husband during a particularly violent rage. She tried a number of drugs but mainly used alcohol to "deaden the pain."

Now, in her mid-50s, things have calmed down some, although she says she is rarely happy. Claire does feel a little better about herself and is doing well as a travel agent. Although she is seeing someone, she is reluctant to become involved because of her personal history. Claire was ultimately diagnosed with depression and borderline personality disorder. •

Clinical Description


Borderline personality disorder is one of the most common personality disorders observed in clinical settings; it is observed in every culture and is seen in about 1% to 2% of the general population (Torgersen, 2012). Claire's life illustrates the instability characteristic of people with borderline personality disorder. They tend to have turbulent relationships, fearing abandonment but lacking control over their emotions (Hooley, Cole, & Gironde, 2012). They often engage in behaviors that are suicidal, self-mutilative, or both, cutting, burning, or punching themselves. Claire sometimes used her cigarette to burn her palm or forearm, and she carved her initials in her arm. As mentioned previously, a significant proportion—nearly 10%—die by suicide (Gunderson, 2011; Björkenstam, Björkenstam, Holm, Gerdin, & Ekselius, 2015). Fortunately, the long-term outcome for people with borderline personality disorder is encouraging (Zanarini, Frankenburg, Reich, & Fitzmaurice, 2012). Approximately nine out of ten patients with borderline personality disorder achieve remission in the decade after seeking treatment (Gunderson et al., 2011; Keuroghlian et al., 2015).

People with this personality disorder are often intense, going from anger to deep depression in a short time. Dysfunction in the area of emotion is sometimes considered one of the core features of borderline personality disorder (Linehan & Dexter-Mazza, 2008) and is one of the best predictors of suicide in this group (McGirr et al., 2009). The characteristic of instability (in emotion, interpersonal relationships, self-concept, and behavior) is seen as a core feature with some describing this group as being "stably unstable" (Hooley et al., 2012).

This instability extends to impulsivity, which can be seen in their drug abuse and self-mutilation. Although not so obvious as to why, the self-injurious behaviors, such as cutting, sometimes are described as tension-reducing by people who engage in these behaviors (McKenzie & Gross, 2014; Nock, 2010). Claire's empty feeling is also common; these people are sometimes described as chronically bored and have difficulties with their own identities (Linehan & Dexter-Mazza, 2008). The mood disorders we discussed in Chapter 7 are common among people with borderline personality disorder; one study of inpatients with this disorder found that more than 80% also had major depression and approximately 10% had bipolar II disorder (Zanarini et al., 1998). Eating disorders are also common, particularly bulimia (see Chapter 8): Approximately 25% of people with borderline personality disorder also have bulimia, while 20% meet criteria for anorexia (Zanarini et al., 1998). Up to 64% of the people with borderline personality disorder are also diagnosed with at least one substance use disorder (Zanarini et al., 1998). As with antisocial personality disorder, people with borderline personality disorder tend to improve during their 30s and 40s, although they may continue to have difficulties into old age (Zanarini et al., 2012).

Causes

The results from numerous family studies suggest that borderline personality disorder is more prevalent in families with the disorder



Mikael Damkier/Shutterstock.com

Borderline personality disorder is often accompanied by self-mutilation.

and somehow linked with mood disorders (Amad, Ramoz, Thomas, Jardri, & Gorwood, 2014). Studies of monozygotic (identical) and dizygotic (fraternal) twins indicated a higher concordance rate among monozygotic twins, further supporting the role of genetics in the expression of borderline personality disorder (Calati, Gressier, Balestri, & Serretti, 2013).

The emotional reactivity that is a central aspect of borderline personality disorder has led researchers to look at this personality trait for clues about inherited influences (endophenotypes). Important genetic studies are investigating genes associated with the neurochemical serotonin because dysfunction in this system has been linked to the emotional instability, suicidal behaviors, and impulsivity seen in people with this disorder (Soloff, Chiappetta, Mason, Becker, & Price, 2014; Joyce, Stephenson, Kennedy, Mulder, & McHugh, 2013). This research is in its early stages, and there are, as yet, no solid answers for how genetic differences lead to the symptoms of borderline personality disorder (Amad et al., 2014).

Neuroimaging studies, designed to locate areas in the brain contributing to borderline personality disorder, point to the limbic network (Schulze, Schmahl, & Niedtfeld, 2016; Stone,

DSM
5

TABLE 12.6

Diagnostic Criteria for Borderline Personality Disorder

- A pervasive pattern of instability of interpersonal relationships, self-image, and affects, and marked impulsivity, beginning by early adulthood and present in a variety of contexts, as indicated by five (or more) of the following:
1. Frantic efforts to avoid real or imagined abandonment. (Note: Do not include suicidal or self-mutilating behavior covered in Criterion 5.)
 2. A pattern of unstable and intense interpersonal relationships characterized by alternating between extremes of idealization and devaluation.
 3. Identity disturbance: markedly and persistently unstable self-image or sense of self.
 4. Impulsivity in at least two areas that are potentially self-damaging (e.g., spending, sex, substance abuse, reckless driving, binge eating). (Note: Do not include suicidal or self-mutilating behavior covered in criterion 5.)
 5. Recurrent suicidal behavior, gestures, or threats, or self-mutilating behavior.
 6. Affective instability due to a marked reactivity of mood (e.g., intense episodic dysphoria, irritability, or anxiety usually lasting a few hours and only rarely more than a few days).
 7. Chronic feelings of emptiness.
 8. Inappropriate, intense anger or difficulty controlling anger (e.g., frequent displays of temper, constant anger, recurrent physical fights).
 9. Transient, stress-related paranoid ideation or severe dissociative symptoms.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC

2013). Significantly, this area in the brain is involved in emotion regulation and dysfunctional serotonin transmission, linking these findings with genetic research. Low serotonergic activity is involved with the regulation of mood and impulsivity, making it a target for extensive study in this group (Hooley et al., 2012).

To further “zero in” on the nature of this disorder, it is necessary to refine the concept of emotional reactivity in borderline personality disorder. When asked about their experiences, people with this disorder will report greater emotional fluctuations and greater emotional intensity, primarily in negative emotions such as anger and anxiety (Dixon-Gordon et al., 2015; Chapman, Dixon-Gordon, Butler, & Walters, 2015; Linehan, 2015). Some research—using “morphing” technology—is looking at how sensitive these individuals are to the emotions of others. One study tested how people with and without borderline personality disorder could correctly identify the emotion of a face that was morphing on screen (changing slowly from a neutral expression to an emotional expression such as anger) and found those with borderline personality disorder were more accurate than controls (Fertuck et al., 2009).

In one study, the emotion “shame” was explored in people with this disorder (Rusch et al., 2007). For example, people were given the following scenario:

You attend your coworker’s housewarming party and you spill red wine on a new cream-colored carpet, but you think no one notices.

Participants are then asked to say which of the following four reactions they would have:

- “You would wish you were anywhere but at the party.” (indicating shame proneness)
- “You would stay late to help clean up the stain after the party.” (guilt proneness)
- “You think your coworker should have expected some accidents at such a big party.” (detachment)
- “You would wonder why your coworker chose to serve red wine with the new light carpet.” (externalization) (p. 317)

This study found that women with borderline personality disorder (no men were included in this study) were more likely to report shame than healthy women and women with social phobia. Importantly, the researchers also found that this elevated tendency to experience shame was associated with low self-esteem, low quality of life, and high levels of anger and hostility (Rusch et al., 2007). Shame has also been found to be related to self-inflicted injury (for example, cutting) in this population (Wiklander et al., 2012). This incorporation of shame in interpreting certain situations has also been observed in children and youth with characteristics of borderline personality disorder (Hawes, Helyer, Herlianto, & Willing, 2013).

Cognitive factors in borderline personality disorder are just beginning to be explored. Here, the questions are, just how do people with this disorder process information, and does this contribute to their difficulties? One study that looked at the thought processes of these individuals asked people with and without borderline personality disorder to look at words projected on a computer screen and try to remember some of the words and try to forget others (Korfine & Hooley, 2000). When the words were not related to the symptoms of borderline personality disorder—for example, “celebrate,” “charming,” and “collect”—both groups performed equally well. However, when they were presented with words that might be relevant to the disorder—for example, “abandon,” “suicidal,” and “emptiness”—individuals with borderline personality disorder remembered more of these words despite being instructed to forget them. This preliminary evidence for a memory bias may hold clues to the nature of this disorder and may someday be helpful in designing more effective treatment (Winter, Elzinga, & Schmahl, 2013; Baer, Peters, Eisenlohr-Moul, Geiger, & Sauer, 2012).

An important environmental risk factor in a gene–environment interaction explanation for borderline personality disorder is the possible contribution of early trauma, especially sexual and physical abuse. Numerous studies show that people with this disorder are more likely to report abuse than are healthy individuals or those with other psychiatric conditions (see, for example, Bandelow et al., 2005; Kuo, Khoury, Metcalf, Fitzpatrick, & Goodwill, 2015; Zanarini et al., 2014). Unfortunately, these types of studies (based on recollection and a correlation between the two phenomena)

do not tell us directly whether abuse and neglect cause later borderline personality disorder. In an important study, researchers followed 500 children who had documented cases of childhood physical and sexual abuse and neglect and compared them in adulthood with a control group (no history of reported abuse or neglect) (Widom, Czaja, & Paris, 2009). Significantly more abused and neglected children went on to develop borderline personality disorder compared with controls. This finding is particularly significant for girls and women because girls are 2 or 3 times more likely to be sexually abused than boys (Bebbington et al., 2009).

It is clear that a majority of people who receive the diagnosis of borderline personality disorder have suffered terrible abuse or neglect from parents, sexual abuse, physical abuse by others, or a combination of these (Ball & Links, 2009). For those who have not reported such histories, some research is examining just how they could develop borderline personality disorder. For example, factors such as temperament (emotional nature, such as being impulsive, irritable, or hypersensitive) or neurological impairments (being exposed prenatally to alcohol or drugs) and how they interact with parental styles may account for some cases of borderline personality disorder (Graybar & Boutilier, 2002).

Symptoms of borderline personality disorder have been observed among people who have gone through rapid cultural changes. The problems of identity, emptiness, fears of abandonment, and low anxiety threshold have been found in child and adult immigrants (Laxenaire, Ganne-Vevonec, & Streiff, 1982; Skhiri, Annabi, Bi, & Allani, 1982). These observations further support the possibility that prior trauma may, in some individuals, lead to borderline personality disorder.

Remember, however, that a history of childhood trauma, including sexual and physical abuse, occurs in people with other disorders, such as schizoid personality disorder, somatic symptom disorder (see Chapter 6), panic disorder (see Chapter 5), and dissociative identity disorder (see Chapter 6). In addition, a portion of individuals with borderline personality disorder have no apparent history of such abuse (Cloninger & Svakic, 2009). Although childhood sexual abuse and physical abuse and neglect seem to play an important role in the etiology of borderline personality disorder (Zanarini & Wedig, 2014), neither appears to be necessary or sufficient to produce the syndrome.

An Integrative Model

Although there is no currently accepted integrative model for this disorder, it is tempting to borrow from the work on anxiety disorders to outline a possible view. If you recall from Chapter 5, we describe the “triple vulnerability” theory (Barlow, 2002; Suárez, Bennett, Goldstein, & Barlow, 2008). The first vulnerability (or diathesis) is a generalized biological vulnerability. We can see the genetic vulnerability to emotional reactivity in people with borderline personality disorder and how this affects specific brain function. The second vulnerability is a generalized psychological vulnerability. In the case of people with this personality disorder, they tend to view the world as threatening and to react strongly to real and perceived threats. The third vulnerability is a specific psychological vulnerability, learned from early environmental experiences; this is where early trauma, abuse, or both may advance this

sensitivity to threats. When a person is stressed, his or her biological tendency to be overly reactive interacts with the psychological tendency to feel threatened. This may result in the outbursts and suicidal behaviors commonly observed in this group. This preliminary model awaits validation and further research.

Treatment

In stark contrast to individuals with antisocial personality disorder, who rarely acknowledge requiring help, those with borderline personality disorder appear quite distressed and are more likely to seek treatment even than people with anxiety and mood disorders (Bender et al., 2014; Ansell, Sanislow, McGlashan, & Grilo, 2007). Reviews of research on the use of medical treatment for people with this disorder suggest that symptomatic treatment can sometimes be helpful. For disturbances in affect (e.g., anger, sadness) a class of drugs known as mood stabilizers (e.g., some anticonvulsive and antipsychotic drugs) can be effective (Silk & Feurino III, 2012). Efforts to provide successful treatment are complicated by problems with drug abuse, compliance with treatment, and suicide attempts. As a result, many clinicians are reluctant to work with people who have borderline personality disorder.

One of the most thoroughly researched cognitive-behavioral treatments was developed by Marsha Linehan (Linehan et al., 2006; Linehan et al., 1999; Linehan & Dexter-Mazza, 2008). This approach—called **dialectical behavior therapy (DBT)**—involves helping people cope with the stressors that seem to trigger suicidal behaviors and other maladaptive responses. Priority in treatment is first given to those behaviors that may result in harm (suicidal behaviors), then those behaviors that interfere with therapy, and, finally, those that interfere with the patient's quality of life. Weekly individual sessions provide support, and patients are taught how to identify and regulate their emotions. Problem solving is emphasized so that patients can handle difficulties more effectively. In addition, they receive treatment similar to that used for people with PTSD, in which prior traumatic events are reexperienced to help extinguish the fear associated with them (see Chapter 5). In the final stage of therapy, clients learn to trust their own responses rather than depend on the validation of others, sometimes by visualizing themselves not reacting to criticism (Lynch & Cuper, 2012).

Results from a number of studies suggest that DBT may help reduce suicide attempts, dropouts from treatment, and hospitalizations (Linehan et al., 2015; Linehan & Dexter-Mazza, 2008; McMain, Guimond, Streiner, Cardish, & Links, 2013). A follow up of 39 women who received either dialectical behavior therapy or general therapeutic support (called “treatment as usual”) for 1 year showed that, during the first 6 months of follow up, the women in the DBT group were less suicidal, less angry, and better adjusted socially (Linehan & Kehrer, 1993). Another study examined how treating these individuals with DBT in an inpatient setting (a psychiatric hospital) for approximately 5 days would improve their outcomes (Yen, Johnson, Costello, & Simpson, 2009). The participants improved in a number of areas, such as with a reduction in depression, hopelessness, anger expression, and dissociation. A growing body of evidence is now available to document the effectiveness of this approach to aid many individuals with this debilitating disorder (Linehan, 2014).

Probably some of the most intriguing research we describe in this book involves using the techniques in brain imaging to see how psychological treatments influence brain function. One pilot study examined emotional reactions to upsetting photos (for example, pictures of women being attacked) in controls and in women with borderline personality disorder (Schnell & Herpertz, 2007). This study found that among the women who benefited from treatment, arousal (in the amygdala and hippocampus) to the upsetting photos improved over time as a function of treatment. No changes occurred in controls or in women who did not have positive treatment experiences. This type of integrative research holds enormous promise for our understanding of borderline personality disorder and the mechanisms underlying successful treatment.

Histrionic Personality Disorder

Individuals with **histrionic personality disorder** tend to be overly dramatic and often seem almost to be acting, which is why the term *histrionic*, which means theatrical in manner, is used. Consider the case of Pat.

Pat... Always Onstage

When we first met, Pat seemed to radiate enjoyment of life. She was single, in her mid-30s, and was going to night school for her master's degree. She often dressed flamboyantly. During the day she taught children with disabilities, and when she didn't have class, she was often out late on a date. When I first spoke with her, she enthusiastically told me how impressed she was with my work in the field of developmental disabilities and that she had been extremely successful in using some of my techniques with her students. She was clearly overdoing the praise, but who wouldn't appreciate such flattering comments?

Because some of our research included children in her classroom, I saw Pat often. Over a period of weeks, however, our interactions grew strained. She often complained of various illnesses and injuries (falling in the parking lot, twisting her neck looking out a window) that interfered with her work. She was disorganized, often leaving to the last minute tasks that required considerable planning. Pat made promises to other people that were impossible to keep but seemed to be aimed at winning their approval; when she broke the promise, she usually made up a story designed to elicit sympathy and compassion. For example, she promised the mother of one of her students that she would put on a “massive and unique” birthday party for her daughter but forgot about it until the mother showed up with cake and juice. Upon seeing her, Pat flew into a rage and blamed the principal for keeping her late after school, although there was no truth to this accusation.

Pat often interrupted meetings about research to talk about her latest boyfriend. The boyfriends changed almost weekly, but her enthusiasm (“Like no other man I have ever met!”) and optimism about the future (“He’s the guy I want to spend the rest of my life with!”) remained high for each of

them. Wedding plans were seriously discussed with almost every one, despite their brief acquaintance. Pat was ingratiating, especially to the male teachers, who often helped her out of trouble she got into because of her disorganization.

When it became clear that she would probably lose her teaching job because of her poor performance, Pat managed to manipulate several of the male teachers and the assistant principal into recommending her for a new job in a nearby school district. A year later, she was still at the new school but had been moved twice to different classrooms. According to teachers she worked with, Pat still lacked close interpersonal relationships, although she described her current romantic relationship as “deeply involved.” After a rather long period of depression, Pat sought help from a psychologist, who diagnosed her as also having histrionic personality disorder. •

Clinical Description

People with histrionic personality disorder are inclined to express their emotions in an exaggerated fashion, for example, hugging someone they have just met or crying uncontrollably during a sad movie (Ferguson & Negy, 2014; Blashfield, Reynolds, & Stennett, 2012). They also tend to be vain, self-centered, and uncomfortable when they are not in the limelight. They are often seductive in appearance and behavior, and they are typically concerned about their looks. (Pat, for example, spent a great deal of money on unusual jewelry and was sure to point it out to anyone who would listen.) In addition, they seek reassurance and approval constantly and may become upset or angry when others do not attend to them or praise them. People with histrionic personality disorder also tend to be impulsive and have great difficulty delaying gratification.

The cognitive style associated with histrionic personality disorder is impressionistic (Beck, Freeman, & Davis, 2007), characterized by a tendency to view situations in global, black-and-white terms. Speech is often vague, lacking in detail, and characterized by exaggeration (APA, 2013; Nestadt et al., 2009). For example, when Pat was asked about a date she had had the night before, she might say it was “amazing” but fail to provide more detailed information.

The high rate of this diagnosis among women versus men raises questions about the nature of the disorder and its diagnostic criteria (Boysen, Ebersole, Casner, & Coston, 2014). As we first discussed in the beginning of this chapter, there is some thought that the features of histrionic personality disorder, such as over-dramatization, vanity, seductiveness, and overconcern with physical appearance, are characteristic of the Western “stereotypical female” and may lead to an overdiagnosis among women. Srock (2000) examined this important question and found some evidence for a bias among psychologists and psychiatrists to associate the diagnosis with women rather than men.

Causes

Despite its long history, little research has been done on the causes or treatment of histrionic personality disorder. The ancient



People with histrionic personality disorder tend to be vain, extravagant, and seductive.

Greek philosophers believed that many unexplainable problems of women were caused by the uterus (*hysteria*) migrating within the body (Abse, 1987; Ussher, 2013). As you have seen, however, histrionic personality disorder also occurs among men.

One hypothesis involves a possible relationship with antisocial personality disorder. Evidence suggests that histrionic personality and antisocial personality co-occur more often than chance would account for. Lilienfeld and colleagues (1986), for example, found that roughly two-thirds of people with a histrionic personality also met criteria for antisocial personality disorder. The evidence for this association has led to the suggestion (see, for example, Cloninger, 1978; Lilienfeld, 1992) that histrionic personality and antisocial personality may be sex-typed alternative expressions of the same unidentified underlying condition. Females with the

TABLE 12.7

Diagnostic Criteria for Histrionic Personality Disorder

**DSM
5**

A pervasive pattern of excessive emotionality and attention seeking, beginning by early adulthood and present in a variety of contexts, as indicated by five (or more) of the following:

1. Is uncomfortable in situations in which he or she is not the center of attention.
2. Interaction with others is often characterized by inappropriate sexually seductive or provocative behavior.
3. Displays rapidly shifting and shallow expression of emotions.
4. Consistently uses physical appearance to draw attention to self.
5. Has a style of speech that is excessively impressionistic and lacking in detail.
6. Shows self-dramatization, theatricality, and exaggerated expression of emotion.
7. Is suggestible (i.e., easily influenced by others or circumstances).
8. Considers relationships to be more intimate than they actually are.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC

underlying condition may be predisposed to exhibit a predominantly histrionic pattern, whereas males with the underlying condition may be predisposed to exhibit a predominantly antisocial pattern. Whether this association exists remains a controversial issue, however, and further research on this potential relationship is needed (Dolan & Völlm, 2009; Salekin, Rogers, & Sewell, 1997), particularly given that borderline personality disorder has also been conceptualized as a female variant of psychopathy (Sprague, Javdani, Sadeh, Newman, & Verona, 2012).

Treatment

Although a great deal has been written about ways of helping people with histrionic personality disorder, little of the research demonstrates success (Cloninger & Svakic, 2009). Some therapists have tried to modify the attention-getting behavior. Kass, Silvers, and Abrams (1972) worked with five women, four of whom had been hospitalized for suicide attempts and all of whom were later diagnosed with histrionic personality disorder. The women were rewarded for appropriate interactions and fined for attention-getting behavior. The therapists noted improvement after an 18-month follow up, but they did not collect scientific data to confirm their observation.

A large part of therapy for these individuals usually focuses on the problematic interpersonal relationships. They often manipulate others through emotional crises, using charm, sex, seductiveness, or complaining (Beck et al., 2007). People with histrionic personality disorder often need to be shown how the short-term gains derived from this interactional style result in long-term costs, and they need to be taught more appropriate ways of negotiating their wants and needs.

Narcissistic Personality Disorder

We all know people who think highly of themselves—perhaps exaggerating their real abilities. They consider themselves somehow different from others and deserving of special treatment. In **narcissistic personality disorder**, this tendency is taken to its extreme. In Greek mythology, Narcissus was a youth who spurned the love of Echo, so enamored was he of his own beauty. He spent his days admiring his own image reflected in a pool of water. Psychoanalysts, including Freud, used the term *narcissistic* to describe people who show an exaggerated sense of self-importance and are preoccupied with receiving attention (Ronningstam, 2012). Consider the case of Willie.

Willie... It's All About Me

Willie was an office assistant in a small law firm. Now in his early 30s, Willie had an extremely poor job history. He never stayed employed at the same place for more than 2 years, and he spent considerable time working through temporary employment agencies. Your first encounter, however, would make you believe that he was extremely competent and that he ran the office. If you entered the waiting

room, you were greeted by Willie, even though he wasn't the receptionist. He would be extremely solicitous, asking how he could be of assistance, offer you coffee, and ask you to make yourself comfortable in "his" reception area. Willie liked to talk, and any conversation was quickly redirected in a way that kept him the center of attention.

This type of ingratiating manner was welcomed at first but soon annoyed other staff. This was especially true when he referred to the other workers in the office as his staff, even though he was not responsible for supervising any of them. The conversations with visitors and staff often consumed a great deal of his time and the time of other staff, and this was becoming a problem.

He quickly became controlling in his job—a pattern revealed in his other positions as well—eagerly taking charge of duties assigned to others. Unfortunately, he did not complete these tasks well, and this created a great deal of friction.

When confronted with any of these difficulties, Willie would first blame others. Ultimately, however, it would become clear that Willie's self-centeredness and controlling nature were at the root of many of the office inefficiencies. During a disciplinary meeting with all of the law firm's partners, an unusual step, Willie became explosively abusive and blamed them for being out to get him. He insisted that his performance was exceptional at all of his previous positions—something contradicted by his previous employers—and that they were at fault. After calming down, he revealed a previous drinking problem, a history of depression, and multiple family problems, all of which he believed contributed to any difficulties he experienced.

The firm recommended he be seen at a university clinic as a condition of his continued employment, where he was diagnosed with major depression, as well as narcissistic personality disorder. Ultimately, his behavior—including lateness and incomplete work—resulted in his termination. In a revealing turn of events, Willie reapplied for another position at the same firm 2 years later. A mix-up in records failed to reveal his previous termination, but he lasted only 3 days—showing up late to work on his second and third days. He was convinced he could be successful, yet he could not change his behavior to conform to even the minimal standards needed to be successful at work. •

Clinical Description

People with narcissistic personality disorder have an unreasonable sense of self-importance and are so preoccupied with themselves that they lack sensitivity and compassion for other people (Caligor, Levy, & Yeomans, 2015; Ronningstam, 2012). They aren't comfortable unless someone is admiring them. Their exaggerated feelings and their fantasies of greatness, called *grandiosity*, create a number of negative attributes. They require and expect a great deal of special attention—the best table in the restaurant,

the illegal parking space in front of the movie theater. They also tend to use or exploit others for their own interests and show little empathy. When confronted with other successful people, they can be extremely envious and arrogant. And because they often fail to live up to their own expectations, they are often depressed.

Causes and Treatment

We start out as infants being self-centered and demanding, which is part of our struggle for survival. Part of the socialization process, however, involves teaching children empathy and altruism. Some writers, including Kohut (1971, 1977), believe that narcissistic personality disorder arises largely from a profound failure by the parents of modeling empathy early in a child's development. As a consequence, the child remains fixated at a self-centered, grandiose stage of development. In addition, the child (and later the adult) becomes involved in an essentially endless and fruitless search for the ideal person who will meet her unfulfilled empathic needs.

In a sociological view, Christopher Lasch (1978) wrote in his popular book *The Culture of Narcissism* that this personality disorder is increasing in prevalence in most Western societies, primarily as a consequence of large-scale social changes, including greater emphasis on short-term hedonism, individualism, competitiveness, and success. According to Lasch, the "me generation"

("Baby Boomers" born between 1946 and 1954) produced more than its share of individuals with narcissistic personality disorder. Indeed, reports confirm that narcissistic personality disorder is increasing in prevalence (Huang et al., 2009). However, this apparent rise may be a consequence of increased interest in and research on the disorder.

Research on treatment options is extremely limited in both number of studies and reports of success (Ronningstam, 2014; Cloninger & Svakic, 2009; Dhawan, Kunik, Oldham, & Coverdale, 2010). When therapy is attempted with these individuals, it often focuses on their grandiosity, their hypersensitivity to evaluation, and their lack of empathy toward others (Campbell & Miller, 2011; Beck et al., 2007). Cognitive therapy strives to replace their fantasies with a focus on the day-to-day pleasurable experiences that are truly attainable. Coping strategies such as relaxation training are used to help them face and accept criticism. Helping them focus on the feelings of others is also a goal. Because individuals with this disorder are vulnerable to severe depressive episodes, particularly in middle age, treatment is often initiated for the depression. It is impossible to draw any conclusions, however, about the impact of such treatment on the actual narcissistic personality disorder.



TABLE 12.8

Diagnostic Criteria for Narcissistic Personality Disorder

- A pervasive pattern of grandiosity (in fantasy or behavior), need for admiration, and lack of empathy, beginning by early adulthood and present in a variety of contexts, as indicated by five (or more) of the following:
1. Has a grandiose sense of self-importance (e.g., exaggerates achievements and talents, expects to be recognized as superior without commensurate achievements).
 2. Is preoccupied with fantasies of unlimited success, power, brilliance, beauty, or ideal love.
 3. Believes that he or she is "special" and unique and can only be understood by, or should associate with, other special or high-status people (or institutions).
 4. Requests excessive admiration.
 5. Has a sense of entitlement (i.e., unreasonable expectations of especially favorable treatment or automatic compliance with his or her expectations).
 6. Is interpersonally exploitative (i.e., takes advantage of others to achieve his or her own ends).
 7. Lacks empathy: is unwilling to recognize or identify with the feelings and needs of others.
 8. Is often envious of others or believes that others are envious of him or her.
 9. Shows arrogant, haughty behaviors or attitudes.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

Cluster C Personality Disorders

People diagnosed with the next three personality disorders we highlight—avoidant, dependent, and obsessive-compulsive—share common features with people who have anxiety disorders. These anxious or fearful personality disorders are described next.

Avoidant Personality Disorder

As the name suggests, people with **avoidant personality disorder** are extremely sensitive to the opinions of others and although they desire social relationships, their anxiety leads them to avoid such associations. Their extremely low self-esteem—coupled with a fear of rejection—causes them to be limited in their friendships and dependent on those they feel comfortable with (Eikenaes, Pedersen, & Wilberg, 2015; Sanislow, da Cruz, Gianoli, & Reagan, 2012). Consider the case of Jane.

Jane... Not Worth Noticing

Jane was raised by an alcoholic mother who had borderline personality disorder and who abused her verbally and physically. As a child, she made sense of her mother's abusive treatment by believing that she (Jane) must be an intrinsically unworthy person to be treated so badly. As an adult in her late 20s, Jane still expected to be rejected when others found out that she was inherently unworthy and bad.

Jane was highly self-critical and predicted that she would not be accepted. She thought that people would not like her, that they would see she was a loser, and that she would not have anything to say. She became upset if she perceived that someone in even the most fleeting encounter was reacting negatively or neutrally. If a newspaper vendor failed to smile at her, or a sales clerk was slightly curt, Jane automatically thought it must be because she (Jane) was somehow unworthy or unlikable. She then felt quite sad. Even when she was receiving positive feedback from a friend, she discounted it. As a result, Jane had few friends and certainly no close ones.

(Case and excerpt reprinted, with permission, from Beck, A. T., & Freeman, A., 1990. *Cognitive therapy of personality disorders*. New York: Guilford Press, ©1990 Guilford Press.) •

Clinical Description

Theodore Millon (1981), who initially proposed this diagnosis, notes that it is important to distinguish between individuals who are asocial because they are apathetic, affectively flat, and relatively uninterested in interpersonal relationships (comparable to what DSM-5 terms schizoid personality disorder) and individuals who are asocial because they are interpersonally anxious and fearful of

rejection. It is the latter who fit the criteria of avoidant personality disorder (Millon & Martinez, 1995). These individuals feel chronically rejected by others and are pessimistic about their future.

Causes

Some evidence has found that avoidant personality disorder is related to other schizophrenia-related disorders, occurring more often in relatives of people who have schizophrenia (Fogelson et al., 2010, 2007). A number of theories have been proposed that integrate biological and psychosocial influences as the cause of avoidant personality disorder. Millon (1981), for example, suggests that these individuals may be born with a difficult temperament or personality characteristics. As a result, their parents may reject them, or at least not provide them with enough early, uncritical love. This rejection, in turn, may result in low self-esteem and social alienation, conditions that persist into adulthood. Limited support does exist for psychosocial influences in the cause of avoidant personality disorder. For example, Stravynski, Elie, and Franche (1989) questioned a group of people with avoidant personality disorder and a group of control participants about their early treatment by their parents. Those with the disorder remembered their parents as more rejecting, more guilt engendering, and less affectionate than the control group, suggesting parenting may contribute to the development of this disorder. Similarly, research has consistently found that these individuals are more likely to report childhood experiences of neglect, isolation, rejection, and conflict with others (Eikenaes, Egeland, Hummelen, & Wilberg, 2015; Meyer & Carver, 2000).

TABLE 12.9

Diagnostic Criteria for Avoidant Personality Disorder

DSM
5

A pervasive pattern of social inhibition, feelings of inadequacy, and hypersensitivity to negative evaluation, beginning by early adulthood and present in a variety of contexts, as indicated by four (or more) of the following:

1. Avoids occupational activities that involve significant interpersonal contact because of fears of criticism, disapproval, or rejection.
2. Is unwilling to get involved with people unless certain of being liked.
3. Shows restraint within intimate relationships because of the fear of being shamed or ridiculed.
4. Is preoccupied with being criticized or rejected in social situations.
5. Is inhibited in new interpersonal situations because of feelings of inadequacy.
6. Views self as socially inept, personally unappealing, or inferior to others.
7. Is unusually reluctant to take personal risks or to engage in any new activities because they may prove embarrassing.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

Treatment

In contrast to the scarcity of research into most other personality disorders, there are a number of well-controlled studies on approaches to therapy for people with avoidant personality disorder (Leahy & McGinn, 2012). Behavioral intervention techniques for anxiety and social skills problems have had some success (e.g., Borge et al., 2010; Emmelkamp et al., 2006). Because the problems experienced by people with avoidant personality disorder resemble those of people with social phobia, many of the same treatments are used for both groups (see Chapter 5). Therapeutic alliance—the collaborative connection between therapist and client—appears to be an important predictor for treatment success in this group (Strauss et al., 2006).

Dependent Personality Disorder

We all know what it means to be dependent on another person. People with **dependent personality disorder**, however, rely on others to make ordinary decisions as well as important ones, which results in an unreasonable fear of abandonment. Consider the case of Karen.

Karen...

Whatever You Say

Karen was a 45-year-old married woman who was referred for treatment by her physician for problems with panic attacks. During the evaluation, she appeared to be worried, sensitive, and naive. She was easily overcome with emotion and cried on and off throughout the session. She was self-critical at every opportunity throughout the evaluation. For example, when asked how she got along with other people, she reported that “others think I’m dumb and inadequate,” although she could give no evidence as to what made her think that. She reported that she didn’t like school because “I was dumb” and that she always felt that she was not good enough.

Karen described staying in her first marriage for 10 years, even though “it was hell.” Her husband had affairs with many other women and was verbally abusive. She tried to leave him many times but gave in to his repeated requests to return. She was finally able to divorce him, and shortly afterward she met and married her current husband, whom she described as kind, sensitive, and supportive. Karen stated that she preferred to have others make important decisions and agreed with other people to avoid conflict. She worried about being left alone without anyone to take care of her and reported feeling lost without other people’s reassurance. She also reported that her feelings were easily hurt, so she worked hard not to do anything that might lead to criticism.

(Case and excerpt reprinted, with permission, from Beck, A. T., & Freeman, A. (1990). *Cognitive therapy of personality disorders*. New York: Guilford Press, © 1990 by Guilford Press.) •

Clinical Description

Individuals with dependent personality disorder sometimes agree with other people when their own opinion differs so as not to be rejected (Bornstein, 2012). Their desire to obtain and maintain supportive and nurturant relationships may lead to their other behavioral characteristics, including submissiveness, timidity, and passivity. People with this disorder are similar to those with avoidant personality disorder in their feelings of inadequacy, sensitivity to criticism, and need for reassurance. However, people with avoidant personality disorder respond to these feelings by avoiding relationships, whereas those with dependent personality disorder respond by clinging to relationships (Disney, 2013; Bornstein, 2012). It is important to note that in certain cultures (e.g., East Asian Confucianism) dependence and submission may be viewed as a desired interpersonal state (Chen, Nettles, & Chen, 2009).

Causes and Treatment

We are all born dependent on other people for food, physical protection, and nurturance. Part of the socialization process in most cultures involves helping us live independently (Bornstein, 1992). It was thought that such disruptions as the early death of a parent or neglect or rejection by caregivers could cause people to grow up fearing abandonment (Stone, 1993). It also is clear, however, that genetic influences are important in the development of this disorder (e.g., Gjerde et al., 2012). What is not yet understood are the

TABLE 12.10

Diagnostic Criteria for Dependent Personality Disorder

**DSM
5**

A pervasive and excessive need to be taken care of that leads to submissive and clinging behavior and fears of separation, beginning by early adulthood and present in a variety of contexts, as indicated by five (or more) of the following:

1. Has difficulty making everyday decisions without an excessive amount of advice and reassurance from others.
2. Needs others to assume responsibility for most major areas of his or her life.
3. Has difficulty expressing disagreement with others because of fear of loss of support or approval. (Note: Do not include realistic fears of retribution.)
4. Has difficulty initiating projects or doing things on his or her own (because of a lack of self-confidence in judgment or abilities rather than a lack of motivation or energy).
5. Goes to excessive lengths to obtain nurturance and support from others, to the point of volunteering to do things that are unpleasant.
6. Feels uncomfortable or helpless when alone because of exaggerated fears of being unable to care of himself or herself.
7. Urgently seeks another relationship as a source of care and support when a close relationship ends.
8. Is unrealistically preoccupied with fears of being left to take care of himself or herself.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

physiological factors underlying this genetic influence and how they interact with environmental influences (Sanislow et al., 2012).

The treatment literature for this disorder is mostly descriptive; little research exists to show whether a particular treatment is effective (Borge et al., 2010; Paris, 2008). On the surface, because of their attentiveness and eagerness to give responsibility for their problems to the therapist, people with dependent personality disorder can appear to be ideal patients. That very submissiveness, however, negates one of the major goals of therapy, which is to make the person more independent and personally responsible (Leahy & McGinn, 2012). Therapy therefore progresses gradually as the patient develops confidence in his ability to make decisions independently (Beck et al., 2007). There is a particular need for care that the patient does not become overly dependent on the therapist.

Obsessive-Compulsive Personality Disorder

People who have **obsessive-compulsive personality disorder** are characterized by a fixation on things being done “the right way” (Diedrich & Voderholzer, 2015). Although many might envy their persistence and dedication, this preoccupation with details prevents them from completing much of anything. Consider the case of Daniel.

Daniel... Getting It Exactly Right

Each day at exactly 8 A.M., Daniel arrived at his office at the university where he was a graduate student in psychology. On his way, he always stopped at the 7-Eleven for coffee and the *New York Times*. From 8 A.M. to 9:15 A.M., he drank his coffee and read the paper. At 9:15 A.M., he reorganized the files that held the hundreds of papers related to his doctoral dissertation, now several years overdue. From 10 A.M. until noon, he read one of these papers, highlighting relevant passages. Then he took the paper bag that held his lunch (always a peanut butter and jelly sandwich and an apple) and went to the cafeteria to purchase a soda and eat by himself. From 1 P.M. until 5 P.M., he held meetings, organized his desk, made lists of things to do, and entered his references into a new database program on his computer. At home, Daniel had dinner with his wife and then worked on his dissertation until after 11 P.M., although much of the time was spent trying out new features of his home computer.

Daniel was no closer to completing his dissertation than he had been 4.5 years ago. His wife was threatening to leave him because he was equally rigid about everything at home and she didn't want to remain in this limbo of graduate school forever. When Daniel eventually sought help from a therapist for his anxiety over his deteriorating marriage, he was diagnosed as having obsessive-compulsive personality disorder. •

Clinical Description

Like many with this personality disorder, Daniel is work oriented, spending little time going to movies or parties or doing anything that isn't related to his graduate studies. Because of their general rigidity, these people tend to have poor interpersonal relationships (Samuels & Costa, 2012).

This personality disorder seems to be only distantly related to obsessive-compulsive disorder, one of the anxiety disorders we described in Chapter 5 (Samuels & Costa, 2012). People like Daniel tend not to have the obsessive thoughts and the compulsive behaviors seen in the like-named obsessive-compulsive disorder. Although people with the anxiety disorder sometimes show characteristics of the personality disorder, they show the characteristics of other personality disorders as well (for example, avoidant, histrionic, or dependent) (Melca, Yücel, Mendlowicz, de Oliveira-Souza, & Fontenelle, 2015; Trull, Scheiderer, & Tomko, 2012).

An intriguing theory suggests that the psychological profiles of many serial killers point to the role of obsessive-compulsive personality disorder. Ferreira (2000) notes that these individuals do not often fit the definition of someone with a severe mental illness—such as schizophrenia—but are “masters of control” in manipulating their victims. Their need to control all aspects of the crime fits the pattern of people with obsessive-compulsive personality disorder, and some combination of this disorder and unfortunate childhood experiences may lead to this disturbing behavior pattern. Obsessive-compulsive personality disorder may also play a role among some sex offenders—in particular, pedophiles. Brain-imaging research on pedophiles suggests that brain functioning in these individuals is similar to those with obsessive-compulsive personality disorder (Schiffer et al., 2007).

**DSM
5**

TABLE 12.11

Diagnostic Criteria for Obsessive-Compulsive Personality Disorder

A pervasive pattern of preoccupation with orderliness, perfectionism, and mental and interpersonal control, at the expense of flexibility, openness, and efficiency, beginning by early adulthood and present in a variety of contexts, as indicated by four (or more) of the following:

1. Is preoccupied with details, rules, lists, order, organization, or schedules to the extent that the major point of the activity is lost.
2. Shows perfectionism that interferes with task completion (e.g., is unable to complete a project because his or her own overly strict standards are not met).
3. Is excessively devoted to work and productivity to the exclusion of leisure activities and friendships (not accounted for by obvious economic necessity).
4. Is overconscientious, scrupulous, and inflexible about matters of morality, ethics, or values (not accounted for by cultural or religious identification).
5. Is unable to discard worn-out or worthless objects even when they have no sentimental value.
6. Is reluctant to delegate tasks or to work with others unless they submit to exactly his or her way of doing things.
7. Adopts a miserly spending style toward both self and others; money is viewed as something to be hoarded for future catastrophes.
8. Shows rigidity and stubbornness.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

At the other end of the behavioral spectrum, it is also common to find obsessive-compulsive personality disorder among gifted children, whose quest for perfectionism can be quite debilitating (Nugent, 2000).

Causes and Treatment

There seems to be a moderate genetic contribution to obsessive-compulsive personality disorder (Gjerde et al., 2015; Cloninger & Svakic, 2009). Some people may be predisposed to favor structure in their lives, but to reach the level it did in Daniel may require parental reinforcement of conformity and neatness.

Therapy often attacks the fears that seem to underlie the need for orderliness (Pinto, 2015). These individuals are often afraid that what they do will be inadequate, so they procrastinate and

excessively ruminate about important issues and minor details alike. Therapists help the individual relax or use cognitive reappraisal techniques to reframe compulsive thoughts. This form of cognitive-behavioral therapy—following along the lines of treatment for obsessive-compulsive disorder (see Chapter 5)—appears to be effective for people with this personality disorder (Svartberg, Stiles & Seltzer, 2004).



Marieian/Digital Vision/Getty Images

People with obsessive-compulsive personality disorder are preoccupied with doing things “the right way.”

DSM Controversies: *The Battle for the Personality Disorders*

Discussion about the personality disorders in DSM-5 included proposals for a number of major changes to this category. As we have seen, the elimination of the distinction between “Axis I” and “Axis II” disorders elevated the personality disorders into the mainstream of problems experienced by individuals. However, other major changes that appeared to be ready for inclusion in DSM-5 never occurred. The goal of creating dimensions of different personality traits along the lines of the “Big 5” rather than the specific disorders outlined in this chapter (e.g., borderline personality disorder, antisocial personality disorder) never materialized. In part, this proposal was not included in DSM-5 due



to the difficulty in making a diagnosis (too many permutations) and potential problems in using that information to design treatments (Skodol, 2012).

However, one of the biggest changes proposed was to completely eliminate four of the personality disorders (paranoid, schizoid, histrionic, avoidant, and dependent personality disorders). Instead, people previously diagnosed with these disorders would be identified as having a general personality disorder with the traits specified (e.g., suspiciousness, emotional lability, hostility, etc.). The rationale for their removal included a relative lack of research on these disorders and significant overlap

among the disorders (comorbidity) (Skodol, 2012). In anticipation of this significant change, one set of researchers authored a paper with the title “The Death of Histrionic Personality Disorder” (Blashfield et al., 2012) and the personality disorders community of researchers in general was divided over this change (Pull, 2013). Ultimately, the final draft retained these disorders and left for a later time proposals for dealing with the problems of lack of research and specificity. This back and forth on how to carve up diagnoses exemplifies the difficulties that continue to exist for any diagnostic system, even after decades of arduous and dedicated research.

Exploring Personality Disorders


- People with personality disorders think and behave in ways that cause distress to themselves and/or the people who care about them.
- There are three main groups, or clusters, of personality disorders, which usually begin in childhood.



CLUSTER B Dramatic, Emotional, or Erratic



Thinkstock/Getty Images



CHAPTER OUTLINE

Perspectives on Schizophrenia

- Early Figures in Diagnosing Schizophrenia
- Identifying Symptoms

Clinical Description, Symptoms, and Subtypes

- Positive Symptoms
- Negative Symptoms
- Disorganized Symptoms
- Historic Schizophrenia Subtypes
- Other Psychotic Disorders

Prevalence and Causes of Schizophrenia

- Statistics
- Development
- Cultural Factors
- Genetic Influences
- Neurobiological Influences
- Psychological and Social Influences

Treatment of Schizophrenia

- Biological Interventions
- Psychosocial Interventions
- Treatment across Cultures
- Prevention



Nick Dolding/Getty Images

Use scientific reasoning to interpret behavior:

- Identify basic biological, psychological, and social components of behavioral explanations (e.g., inferences, observations, operational definitions, and interpretations). (APA SLO 2.1a) (see textbook pages 499–507)

Develop a working knowledge of the content domains of psychology:

- Summarize important aspects of history of psychology, including key figures, central concerns, methods used, and theoretical conflicts. (APA SLO 1.2c) (see textbook pages 485–489, 493–494, 499)

Engage in innovative and integrative thinking and problem solving:

- Describe problems operationally to study them empirically. (APA SLO 2.3a) (see textbook pages 489–497)

Describe applications that employ discipline-based problem solving:

- Correctly identify antecedents and consequences of behavior and mental processes (APA SLO 1.3c). (see textbook pages 506–508) Describe examples of relevant and practical applications of psychological principles to everyday life. (APA SLO 1.3a) (see textbook pages 508–514)

*Portions of this chapter cover learning outcomes suggested by the American Psychological Association (2013) in its guidelines for the undergraduate psychology major. Chapter coverage of these outcomes is identified above by APA Goal and APA Suggested Learning Outcome (SLO).

Perspectives on Schizophrenia

A middle-aged man walks the streets of New York City with aluminum foil on the inside of his hat so Martians can't read his mind. A young woman sits in her college classroom and hears the voice of God telling her she is a vile and disgusting person. You try to strike up a conversation with the supermarket bagger, but he stares at you vacantly and will say only one or two words in a flat, toneless voice. Each of these people may have **schizophrenia**, the startling disorder characterized by a broad spectrum of cognitive and emotional dysfunctions including delusions and hallucinations, disorganized speech and behavior, and inappropriate emotions.

Schizophrenia is a complex syndrome that inevitably has a devastating effect on the lives of the person affected and on family members. This disorder can disrupt a person's perception, thought, speech, and movement: almost every aspect of daily functioning. Society often devalues these individuals. People with these severe mental health problems are more likely to be stigmatized and discriminated against than those without schizophrenia (Corker et al., 2015; Farelly et al., 2014). And despite important advances in treatment, full recovery from schizophrenia has a low base rate of 1 in 7 patients (Jääskeläinen et al., 2013). This catastrophic disorder takes a tremendous emotional toll on everyone involved. In addition to the emotional costs, the financial drain is considerable. The annual cost of schizophrenia in the United States is estimated to exceed \$60 billion when factors such as family caregiving, lost wages, and treatment are considered (Kennedy, Altar, Taylor, Degtiar, & Hornberger, 2014; Wu et al., 2005). Because schizophrenia is so widespread, affecting approximately 1 of every 100 people at some point in their lives, and because its consequences are so severe, research on its causes and treatment has expanded rapidly. Given the attention it has received, you would think the

question "What is schizophrenia?" would by now be answered easily. It is not.

In this chapter, we explore this intriguing disorder and review efforts to determine whether schizophrenia is distinct or a combination of disorders. The search is complicated by the presence of subtypes: different presentations and combinations of symptoms such as hallucinations, delusions, and disorders of speech, emotion, and socialization. After discussing the characteristics of people with schizophrenia, we describe research into its causes and treatment.

Early Figures in Diagnosing Schizophrenia

The history of schizophrenia as it has evolved over the years is unparalleled by any other disorder covered in this book. Knowing something about this history will help you understand that the nature of the disorder is multifaceted and treatment is correspondingly complex.

In *Observations on Madness and Melancholy*, published in 1809, John Haslam eloquently portrayed what he called "a form of insanity." In the following passage, Haslam mentions some symptoms that inform our current conception of schizophrenia:

The attack is almost imperceptible; some months usually elapse before it becomes the subject of particular notice; and fond relatives are frequently deceived by the hope that it is only an abatement of excessive vivacity, conducting to a prudent reserve, and steadiness of character. A degree of apparent thoughtfulness and inactivity precede, together with a diminution of the ordinary curiosity, concerning that which is passing before them; and they therefore neglect those objects and pursuits which formerly proved

courses of delight and instruction. The sensibility appears to be considerably blunted: they do not bear the same affection towards their parents and relations: they become unfeeling to kindness, and careless of reproof. . . . I have painfully witnessed this hopeless and degrading change, which in a short time has transformed the most promising and vigorous intellect into a slavering and bloated idiot. (Haslam, 1809/1976, pp. 64–67)

About the same time Haslam was writing his description in England, the French physician Philippe Pinel was writing about people we would describe as having schizophrenia (Pinel, 1801/1962, 1809). Some 50 years later, another physician, Benedict Morel, used the French term *démence* (loss of mind) *précoce* (early, premature), because the onset of the disorder is often during adolescence.


Toward the end of the 19th century, the German psychiatrist Emil Kraepelin (1899) built on the writings of Haslam, Pinel, and Morel (among others) to give us what stands today as the most enduring description and categorization of schizophrenia. Two of Kraepelin's accomplishments are especially important. First, he combined several symptoms of insanity that had usually been viewed as reflecting separate and distinct disorders: **catatonia** (alternating immobility and excited agitation), **hebephrenia** (silly and immature emotionality), and **paranoia** (delusions of grandeur or persecution). Kraepelin thought these symptoms shared similar underlying features and included them under the Latin term **dementia praecox**. Although the clinical manifestation might differ from person to person, Kraepelin believed an early onset at the heart of each disorder develops into "mental weakness."

In a second important contribution, Kraepelin (1898) distinguished dementia praecox from manic-depressive illness (now called bipolar disorder). For people with dementia praecox, an early age of onset and a poor outcome were characteristic; in contrast, these patterns were not essential to manic depression (Lewis, Escalona, & Keith, 2009). Kraepelin also noted the numerous symptoms in people with dementia praecox, including hallucinations, delusions, negativism, and stereotyped behavior.

A second major figure in the history of schizophrenia was Kraepelin's contemporary, Eugen Bleuler (1908), a Swiss

psychiatrist who introduced the term *schizophrenia* (Berrios, 2011; Fusar-Poli & Politi, 2008). The label was significant because it signaled Bleuler's departure from Kraepelin on what he thought was the core problem. *Schizophrenia*, which comes from the combination of the Greek words for "split" (*skhizein*) and "mind" (*phren*), reflected Bleuler's belief that underlying all the unusual behaviors shown by people with this disorder was an **associative splitting** of the basic functions of personality. This concept emphasized the "breaking of associative threads," or the destruction of the forces that connect one function to the next. Furthermore, Bleuler believed that a difficulty keeping a consistent train of thought characteristic of all people with this disorder led to the many and diverse symptoms they displayed. Whereas Kraepelin focused on early onset and poor outcomes, Bleuler highlighted what he believed to be the universal underlying problem. Unfortunately, the concept of "split mind" inspired the common but incorrect use of the term *schizophrenia* to mean split or multiple personality. (For a summary of the early contributors to the concept of schizophrenia, see Table 13.1.)

Bettmann/Getty Images



Eugen Bleuler (1857–1939), a Swiss psychiatrist, introduced the term *schizophrenia* and was a pioneer in the field.

Identifying Symptoms

It is not easy to point to one thing that makes a person "schizophrenic." As you read about different disorders in this book, you have learned that a particular behavior, way of thinking, or emotion usually defines or is characteristic of each disorder. For example, depression always includes feelings of sadness, and panic disorder is always accompanied by intense feelings of anxiety. Surprisingly, this isn't the case for schizophrenia. Schizophrenia is a number of behaviors or symptoms that aren't necessarily shared by all people who are given this diagnosis. Kraepelin described

TABLE 13.1 Early Figures in the History of Schizophrenia

Date	Historical Figure	Contribution
1809	John Haslam (1764–1844)	Superintendent of a British hospital. In <i>Observations on Madness and Melancholy</i> , he outlined a description of the symptoms of schizophrenia.
1801/1809	Philippe Pinel (1745–1826)	A French physician who described cases of schizophrenia.
1852	Benedict Morel (1809–1873)	Physician at a French institution who used the term <i>démence précoce</i> (in Latin, <i>dementia praecox</i>), meaning early or premature (<i>précoce</i>) loss of mind (<i>démence</i>) to describe schizophrenia.
1898/1899	Emil Kraepelin (1856–1926)	A German psychiatrist who unified the distinct categories of schizophrenia (hebephrenic, catatonic, and paranoid) under the name <i>dementia praecox</i> .
1908	Eugen Bleuler (1857–1939)	A Swiss psychiatrist who introduced the term <i>schizophrenia</i> , meaning "splitting of the mind."

the situation when he outlined his view of dementia praecox in the late 1800s:

The complexity of the conditions which we observe in the domain of dementia praecox is very great, so that their inner connection is at first recognizable only by their occurring one after the other in the course of the same disease. In any case certain fundamental disturbances, even though they cannot for the most part be regarded as characteristic, yet return frequently in the same form, but in the most diverse combinations. (Kraepelin, 1919, p. 5)

This mix of symptoms was also highlighted by Bleuler in the title of his 1911 book, *Dementia Praecox or the Group of Schizophrenias*, which emphasizes the complexity of the disorder. The varied nature of schizophrenia is something we come back to throughout this chapter. Individuals who have schizophrenia have varying symptoms, and we find that the causes vary as well.

Despite these complexities, researchers have identified clusters of symptoms that make up the disorder of schizophrenia. Later we describe these dramatic symptoms, such as seeing or hearing things that others do not (*hallucinations*) or having beliefs that are unrealistic, bizarre, and not shared by others in the same culture (*delusions*). But first, consider the following case of an individual who had an intense but relatively rare short-term episode of psychotic behavior.

Arthur... Saving the Children

We first met 22-year-old Arthur at an outpatient clinic in a psychiatric hospital. Arthur's family was extremely concerned and upset by his unusual behavior and was desperately seeking help for him. They said that he was "sick" and "talking like a crazy man," and they were afraid he might harm himself.

Arthur had a normal childhood in a middle-class suburban neighborhood. His parents had been happily married until his father's death several years earlier. Arthur was an average student throughout school and had completed an associate's degree in junior college. His family seemed to think he regretted not continuing on to receive a bachelor's degree. Arthur had worked in a series of temporary jobs, and his mother reported that he seemed satisfied with what he was doing. He lived and worked in a major city, some 15 minutes from his mother and his married brother and sister.

Arthur's family said that about 3 weeks before he came to the clinic, he had started speaking strangely. He had been laid off from his job a few days before because of cutbacks and hadn't communicated with any of his family members for several days. When they next spoke with him, his behavior startled them. Although he had always been idealistic and anxious to help other people, he now talked about saving all the starving children in the world with his "secret plan." At first, his family assumed this was just an example of Arthur's sarcastic wit, but his demeanor changed to one of extreme concern and he spoke nonstop about his plans.

He began carrying several spiral notebooks that he claimed contained his scheme for helping starving children; he said he would reveal it only at the right time to the right person. Suspecting that Arthur might be taking drugs, which could explain the sudden and dramatic change in his behavior, his family searched his apartment. Although they didn't find any evidence of drug use, they did find his checkbook and noticed a number of strange entries. Over the past several weeks, Arthur's handwriting had deteriorated, and he had written notes instead of the usual check information ("Start to begin now"; "This is important!"; "They must be saved"). He had also made unusual notes in several of his most prized books, a particularly alarming development given his reverence for these books.

As the days went on, Arthur showed dramatic changes in emotion, often crying and acting apprehensive. He stopped wearing socks and underwear and, despite the extremely cold weather, wouldn't wear a jacket when he went outdoors. At the family's insistence, he moved into his mother's apartment. He slept little, and kept the family up until the early morning. His mother said it was like being in a living nightmare. Each morning she would wake up with a knot in her stomach, not wanting to get out of bed because she felt so helpless to do anything to rescue Arthur from his obvious distress.

The family's sense of alarm grew as Arthur revealed more details of his plan. He said that he was going to the German embassy because that was the only place people would listen to him. He would climb the fence at night when everyone was asleep and present his plan to the German ambassador. Fearing that Arthur would be hurt trying to enter the embassy grounds, his family contacted a local psychiatric hospital, described Arthur's condition, and asked that he be admitted. Much to their surprise and disappointment, they were told that Arthur could commit himself but that they couldn't bring him in involuntarily unless he was in danger of doing harm to himself or others. The fear that Arthur might be harmed wasn't sufficient reason to admit him involuntarily.

His family finally talked Arthur into meeting the staff at the outpatient clinic. In our interview, it was clear he was delusional, firmly believing in his ability to help all starving children. After some cajoling, I finally convinced him to let me see his books. He had written random thoughts (for example, "The poor, starving souls"; "The moon is the only place") and made drawings of rocket ships. Parts of his plan involved building a rocket ship that would go to the moon, where he would create a community for all malnourished children, a place where they could live and be helped. After a few brief comments on his plan, I began to ask him about his health.

"You look tired; are you getting enough sleep?"

"Sleep isn't really needed," he noted. "My plans will take me through, and then they can all rest."

(Continued next page)

"Your family is worried about you," I said. "Do you understand their concern?"

"It's important for all concerned to get together, to join together," he replied.

With that, he got up and walked out of the room and out of the building, after telling his family that he would be right back. After 5 minutes they went to look for him, but he had disappeared. He was missing for 2 days, which caused his family a great deal of concern about his health and safety. In an almost miraculous sequence of events, they found him walking the streets of the city. He acted as if nothing had happened. Gone were his notebooks and the talk of his secret plan. •

What caused Arthur to act so strangely? Was it being laid off from his job? Was it the death of his father? Was it a genetic predisposition to have schizophrenia or another disorder that kicked in during a period of stress? Unfortunately, we will never know exactly what happened to Arthur to make him behave so bizarrely and then recover so quickly and completely. Research that we discuss next may shed some light on schizophrenia and potentially help other Arthurs and their families.

Clinical Description, Symptoms, and Subtypes

The case of Arthur shows the range of problems experienced by people with schizophrenia or other psychotic disorders. The term **psychotic behavior** has been used to characterize many unusual behaviors, although in its strictest sense, it usually involves delusions (irrational beliefs) and/or hallucinations (sensory experiences in the absence of external events). Schizophrenia is one of the disorders that involve psychotic behavior; we describe others in more detail later.

Schizophrenia can affect all the functions we rely on each day. Before we describe the symptoms, it is important to look carefully at the specific characteristics of people who exhibit these behaviors, partly because we constantly see distorted images of people with schizophrenia. Headlines such as "Ex-Mental Patient Kills Family" falsely imply that everyone with schizophrenia is dangerous and violent. Popular accounts also contribute to this misinformation. Evidence for violence among people with schizophrenia suggests that although they may be more likely to commit violent acts than the general population, traits such as anger and antisocial personality are better predictors of violence than psychosis (Skeem, Kennealy, Monahan, Peterson, & Appelbaum, 2016). Despite this information, the majority of characters with schizophrenia in English language movies between 1990 and 2010 were portrayed as violent, with more than one third depicted as murderers and one fourth as suicidal (Owen, 2012). As in mistakenly assuming that *schizophrenia* means "split personality," the popular press misrepresents abnormal psychology to the detriment of people who experience these debilitating disorders.

Schizophrenia spectrum disorder constitutes the group of diagnoses we cover in this chapter, as recognized by those in the field of schizophrenia. In fact, Eugen Bleuler, who coined the term schizophrenia, identified the different variants that were all included within this spectrum (Ritsner & Gottesman, 2011). Previous editions of the *DSM* struggled with this concept in its varied presentations over the years, and, as we describe in this chapter, *DSM-5* currently includes schizophrenia as well as other related psychotic disorders that fall under this heading (including schizopreniform, schizoaffective, delusional, and brief psychotic disorders). In addition, a personality disorder (schizotypal personality disorder, discussed in Chapter 12) is also considered to be included under this umbrella category of schizophrenia spectrum disorders. All of these difficulties seem to share features of extreme reality distortion (for example, hallucinations, delusions). Later we discuss the symptoms the person experiences during the disorder (active phase symptoms), the course of the disorder, and spectrum of disorders included in this category.

Mental health workers typically distinguish between *positive* and *negative* symptoms of schizophrenia. A third dimension, *disorganized* symptoms, also appears to be an important aspect of the disorder (Liddle, 2012). Positive symptoms generally refer to symptoms around distorted reality. Negative symptoms involve deficits in normal behavior in such areas as speech, blunted affect (or lack of emotional reactivity), and motivation (Foussias et al., 2014). Disorganized symptoms include rambling speech, erratic behavior, and inappropriate affect (for example, smiling when you are upset). A diagnosis of schizophrenia requires that two or more positive, negative, and/or disorganized symptoms be present for at least 1 month, with at least one of these symptoms including delusions, hallucinations, or disorganized speech. *DSM-5* also includes a dimensional assessment that rates the severity of the individual's symptoms on a 0 to 4 scale with 0 indicating a symptom is not present, 1 indicating equivocal evidence (i.e., not sure), 2 indicating it is present but mild, 3 that it is present and moderate, and 4 that it is present and severe (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). A great deal of research has focused on the different symptoms of schizophrenia, each of which is described here in some detail.

Positive Symptoms

We next describe the **positive symptoms** of schizophrenia, which are the more obvious signs of psychosis. These include the disturbing experiences of delusions and hallucinations. Between 50% and 70% of people with schizophrenia experience hallucinations, delusions, or both (Lindenmayer & Khan, 2006).

Delusions

A belief that would be seen by most members of a society as a misrepresentation of reality is called a *disorder of thought content*, or a **delusion**. Because of its importance in schizophrenia, delusion has been called "the basic characteristic of madness" (Jaspers, 1963, p. 93). If, for example, you believe that squirrels are aliens sent to Earth on a reconnaissance mission, you would be considered delusional. The media often portray people with schizophrenia as

believing they are famous or important people (such as Napoleon or Jesus Christ), although this is only one type of delusion. Arthur's belief that he could end starvation for all the world's children is also a *delusion of grandeur* (a mistaken belief that the person is famous or powerful) (Knowles, McCarthy-Jones, & Rowse, 2011).

A common delusion in people with schizophrenia is that others are "out to get them." Called *delusions of persecution*, these beliefs can be most disturbing. One of us worked with a world-class cyclist who was on her way to making the Olympic team. Tragically, however, she developed a belief that other competitors were determined to sabotage her efforts, which forced her to stop riding for years. She believed opponents would spray her bicycle with chemicals that would take her strength away, and they would slow her down by putting small pebbles in the road that only she would ride over. These thoughts created a great deal of anxiety, and she refused even to go near her bicycle for some time.

Other more unusual delusions include Capgras syndrome, in which the person believes someone he or she knows has been replaced by a double, and Cotard's syndrome, in which the person believes he or she is dead (Debruyne & Audenaert, 2012; Salvatore et al., 2014).

One man struggling to understand and come to grips with his strange thoughts frankly describes his delusional experiences (Timlett, 2013):

I once considered that I was a kind of human-robot (perhaps severely autistic), and had been stuck in the same routine for years which meant that everyone knew me

and recognized me and always knew exactly what I was thinking. I thought that I was in fact the subject of some kind of experiment designed to cure me from being so predictable and obvious in my behavior and thoughts. On my way home and at work this explained why it is I thought that people I didn't know actually recognized me, and why I also believed they were secretly all wishing me well and why they now thought I might have been cured because I was now behaving differently and less predictably (p. 245).

Why would someone come to believe such obviously improbable things (for example, that you were a human robot repeating the same things endlessly)? A number of theories exist and can be summarized into two themes—motivational or deficit theories (McKay, Langdon, & Coltheart, 2007). A *motivational view of delusions* would look at these beliefs as attempts to deal with and relieve anxiety and stress. A person develops "stories" around some issue—for example, a famous person is in love with her (erotomania)—that in a way helps the person make sense out of uncontrollable anxieties in a tumultuous world. Preoccupation with the delusion distracts the individual from the upsetting aspects of the world, such as hallucinations. In contrast, a *deficit view of delusion* sees these beliefs as resulting from brain dysfunction that creates these disordered cognitions or perceptions. Much work remains to develop an integrative account for these intriguing but debilitating symptoms of schizophrenia (Howes & Murray, 2014).

DSM
5
TABLE 13.1

Diagnostic Criteria for Schizophrenia

- A.** Two (or more) of the following, each present for a significant portion of time during a 1-month period (or less if successfully treated). At least one of these must be (1), (2), or (3):
1. Delusions
 2. Hallucinations
 3. Disorganized speech (e.g., frequent derailment or incoherence)
 4. Grossly disorganized or catatonic behavior
 5. Negative symptoms (i.e., diminished emotional expression or avolition)
- B.** For a significant portion of the time since the onset of the disturbance, level of functioning in one or more major areas, such as work, interpersonal relations, or self-care, is markedly below the level achieved prior to the onset (or when the onset is in childhood or adolescence, there is failure to achieve expected level of interpersonal, academic, or occupational functioning).
- C.** Continuous signs of the disturbance persist for at least 6 months. This 6-month period must include at least 1 month of symptoms (or less if successfully treated) that meet Criterion A (i.e., active-phase symptoms) and may include periods of prodromal or residual symptoms. During these prodromal or residual periods, the signs of the disturbance may be manifested by only negative symptoms or by two or more symptoms listed in Criterion A present in an attenuated form (e.g., odd beliefs, unusual perceptual experiences).
- D.** Schizoaffective disorder and depressive or bipolar disorder with psychotic features have been ruled out because either 1) no major depressive or manic episodes have occurred concurrently with the active-phase symptoms; or 2) if mood episodes have occurred during active-phase symptoms, they have been present for a minority of the total duration of the active and residual periods of the illness.
- E.** The disturbance is not attributable to the physiological effects of a substance (e.g., a drug of abuse, a medication) or another medical condition.
- F.** If there is a history of autistic spectrum disorder or a communication disorder of childhood onset, the additional diagnosis of schizophrenia is made only if prominent delusions or hallucinations, in addition to the other required symptoms of schizophrenia, are also present for at least 1 month (or less if successfully treated).

Specify if:

With catatonia

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

Hallucinations

Have you ever thought you heard someone call your name, only to discover that no one was there? Did you ever think you saw something move by you, yet nothing did? We all have fleeting moments when we think we see or hear something that isn't there. For many people with schizophrenia, however, these perceptions are real and occur regularly. The experience of sensory events without any input from the surrounding environment is called a **hallucination**. The case of David illustrates the phenomena of hallucinations, as well as other disorders of thought that are common among people with schizophrenia.

David... Missing Uncle Bill

David was 25 years old when I met him; he had been living in a psychiatric hospital for about 3 years. He was a little overweight and of average height; he typically dressed in a T-shirt and jeans and tended to be active. I first encountered him while I was talking to another man who lived on the same floor. David interrupted us by pulling on my shoulder. "My Uncle Bill is a good man. He treats me well." Not wanting to be impolite, I said, "I'm sure he is. Maybe after I've finished talking to Michael here, we can talk about your uncle." David persisted, "He can kill fish with a knife. Things can get awfully sharp in your mind, when you go down the river. I could kill you with my bare hands—taking things into my own hands. . . . I know you know!" He was now speaking quickly and had gained emotionality, along with speed, as he spoke. I talked to him quietly until he calmed down for the moment; later, I looked into David's file for some information about his background.

David was brought up on a farm by his Aunt Katie and Uncle Bill. His father's identity is unknown and his mother, who had mental retardation, couldn't care for him. David, too, was diagnosed as having mental retardation, although his functioning was only mildly impaired, and he attended school. The year David's Uncle Bill died, his high school teachers first reported unusual behavior. David occasionally talked to his deceased Uncle Bill in class. Later, he became increasingly agitated and verbally aggressive toward others and was diagnosed as having schizophrenia. He managed to graduate from high school but never obtained a job after that; he lived at home with his aunt for several years. Although his aunt sincerely wanted him to stay with her, his threatening behavior escalated to the point that she requested he be seen at the local psychiatric hospital.

I spoke with David again and had a chance to ask him a few questions. "Why are you here in the hospital, David?" "I really don't want to be here," he told me. "I've got other things to do. The time is right, and you know, when opportunity knocks." He continued for a few minutes until I interrupted him. "I was sorry to hear that your Uncle Bill died a few years ago. How are you feeling about him these days?" "Yes, he died. He was sick and now he's gone. He likes to fish with me, down at the river. He's going to take me hunting. I have guns. I can shoot you, and you'd be dead in a minute."

David's conversational speech resembled a ball rolling down a rocky hill. Like an accelerating object, his speech gained momentum the longer he went on and, as if bouncing off obstacles, the topics almost always went in unpredictable directions. If he continued for too long, he often became agitated and spoke of harming others. David also told me that his uncle's voice spoke to him repeatedly. He heard other voices also, but he couldn't identify them or tell me what they said. We return to David's case later in this chapter when we discuss causes and treatments. •

Hallucinations can involve any of the senses, although hearing things that aren't there, or *auditory hallucination*, is the most common form experienced by people with schizophrenia, with 70% of those with the diagnosis endorsing this type (Liddle, 2012; Waters et al., 2012). David had frequent auditory hallucinations, usually of his uncle's voice. When David heard a voice that belonged to his Uncle Bill, he often couldn't understand what his uncle was saying; on other occasions, the voice was clearer. "He told me to turn off the TV. He said, 'It's too damn loud, turn it down, turn it down.'" This is consistent with recent views of hallucinations as being related to metacognition or "thinking about thinking." In other words, metacognition is a phrase to describe examining your own thoughts. Most of us have had an occasional intrusive thought that we try not to focus on (for example, thinking, "I wish she were dead!" when you know that's not appropriate). People who experience hallucinations appear to have intrusive thoughts, but they believe they are coming from somewhere or someone else (David thinking he is hearing his uncle's voice when it is probably his own thoughts he is "hearing"). They then worry about having these thoughts and engage in meta-worry—or worrying about worrying. Unfortunately, meta-worry has been linked to increased anxiety and depression symptoms for those suffering from hallucinations (Oosterhout, Krabbendam, Smeets, & Gaag, 2013).

Exciting research on hallucinations uses sophisticated brain-imaging techniques to try to localize these phenomena in the brain. In one of the first studies of its kind, researchers in London used single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) to study the cerebral blood flow of men with schizophrenia who also had auditory verbal hallucinations and made a surprising discovery (McGuire, Shah, & Murray, 1993). The researchers used the brain-imaging technique while the men were experiencing hallucinations and while they were not, and they found that the part of the brain most active during hallucinations was Broca's area (see ● Figure 13.1). This is surprising because Broca's area is known to be involved in speech *production*, rather than language *comprehension*. Because auditory verbal hallucinations usually involve understanding the "speech" of others, you might expect more activity in Wernicke's area, which involves language comprehension. These observations support the metacognition theory that people who are hallucinating are *not* hearing the voices of others but are listening to their own thoughts or their own voices and cannot recognize the difference (Allen & Modinos, 2012). One possible explanation for this problem is referred to as poor "emotional prosody comprehension." Prosody is that aspect of our spoken language that communicates meaning and emotion




FIGURE 13.1

Major areas of functioning of the cerebral cortex. In most people, only the left hemisphere is specialized for language.

through our pitch, amplitude, pauses, etc. We can often tell when a person is asking a question, for example, not just by the words themselves but also by the way it is said (e.g., “Hungry?”). Research suggests that emotional prosody is deficient in persons with auditory verbal hallucinations, contributing to the confusion both with others as well as when interpreting “inner voices” (Alba-Ferrara, Fernyhough, Weis, Mitchell, & Hausmann, 2012).

Negative Symptoms

In contrast to the active presentations that characterize the positive symptoms of schizophrenia, the **negative symptoms** usually indicate the absence or insufficiency of normal behavior. They include apathy, poverty of (i.e., limited) thought or speech, and emotional and social withdrawal, and approximately 25% of people with schizophrenia display these symptoms (Cohen, Natarajan, Araujo, & Solanki, 2013; Millan, Fone, Steckler, & Horan, 2014).

Avolition

Combining the prefix *a*, meaning “without,” and *volition*, which means “an act of willing, choosing, or deciding,” **avolition** is the inability to initiate and persist in activities. People with this symptom (also referred to as *apathy*) show little interest in performing even the most basic day-to-day functions, including those associated with personal hygiene.

Alogia

Derived from the combination of *a* (“without”) and *logos* (“words”), **alogia** refers to the relative absence of speech. A person with alogia may respond to questions with brief replies that have little content and may appear uninterested in the conversation. For example, to the question, “Do you have any children?” most parents might reply, “Oh yes, I have two beautiful children, a boy and a girl. My son is 6 and my daughter is 12.” In the following exchange, someone with alogia responds to the same question:

INTERVIEWER: Do you have any children?

CLIENT: Yes.

I: How many children do you have?

C: Two.

I: How old are they?

C: Six and twelve.

Such deficiency in communication is believed to reflect a negative thought disorder rather than inadequate communication skills. Some researchers, for example, suggest that people with alogia may have trouble finding the right words to formulate their thoughts (Andreasen, 2012). Sometimes alogia takes the form of delayed comments or slow responses to questions. Talking with individuals who manifest this symptom can be extremely frustrating, making you feel as if you are “pulling teeth” to get them to respond.



Negative symptoms of schizophrenia include social withdrawal and apathy

John Myers/Workbook Stock/Getty Images

Anhedonia

A related symptom is called **anhedonia**, which derives from the combination of *a* (“without”) and the word *hedonic* (“pertaining to pleasure”). Anhedonia is the presumed lack of pleasure experienced by some people with schizophrenia. Like some mood disorders, anhedonia signals an indifference to activities that would typically be considered pleasurable, including eating, social interactions, and sexual relations.

Asociality

This symptom may seem very similar to avolition or related to anhedonia. However, asociality (*a* meaning “without” and *social* meaning “relating to society or its organization”) has been recognized as a separate symptom of schizophrenia spectrum disorders. This symptom captures a lack of interest in social interactions (APA, 2013). Unfortunately, this symptom can also result from or be worsened by limited opportunities to interact with others, particularly for severely ill patients (Reddy, Horan, & Green, 2016).

Affective Flattening

Imagine that people wore masks at all times: You could communicate with them verbally, but you wouldn’t be able to see their emotional reactions. Approximately one quarter of the people with schizophrenia exhibit what is called **flat affect** (Lewis et al., 2009; Simonsen et al., 2012). They are similar to people wearing masks because they do not show emotions when you would normally expect them to. They may stare at you vacantly, speak in a flat and toneless manner, and seem unaffected by things going on around them. However, although they do not react openly to emotional situations, they may be responding on the inside.

Howard Berenbaum and Thomas Oltmanns (1992) compared people with schizophrenia who had flat (or “blunted”) affect with those who did not. The two groups were shown clips from comedies and dramas selected to create emotional reactions in the viewer. Berenbaum and Oltmanns found that the people with flat affect showed little change in facial expression, although they reported experiencing the appropriate emotions. The authors concluded that the flat affect in schizophrenia may represent difficulty expressing emotion, not a lack of feeling. Researchers can now use computer analyses of facial expressions to more objectively assess the emotional expressiveness of people with disorders such as schizophrenia (Kring & Elis, 2013). One such study confirmed the difficulty of people with this disorder to express themselves properly with facial expressions (Hamm, Pinkham, Gur, Verma, & Kohler, 2014). The

Schizophrenia: Etta



“If anyone gets into the house, they say I’d get shot. . . . [Who said?] That’s the eagle. . . . The eagle works through General Motors. They have something to do with my General Motors check I get every month . . . when you do the 25 of the clock, it means that you leave the house 25 after 1 to mail letters so that they can check on you . . . and they know where you’re at. That’s the eagle. . . . If you don’t do something they tell you to do, Jesus makes the shotgun sound, and then . . . not to answer the phone or the doorbell . . . because you’d get shot [by the] eagle.”

Go to MindTap at
www.cengagebrain.com
to watch this video.

expression of affect—or the lack of this expression—may be an important symptom of the development of schizophrenia. In a particularly innovative study, researchers videotaped high-risk children (those with one or more parents who had schizophrenia) eating lunch in 1972 and followed them up almost 20 years later (Schiffman et al., 2004). The researchers were able to show that children who later went on to develop schizophrenia typically displayed less positive and more negative affect than those children who did not develop the disorder. This suggests that emotional expression may be one way to identify potential schizophrenia in children.

Disorganized Symptoms

Perhaps the least studied and therefore the least understood symptoms of schizophrenia are referred to as the “disorganized symptoms.” These include a variety of erratic behaviors that affect speech, motor behavior, and emotional reactions. The prevalence of these behaviors among those with schizophrenia is unclear.

Disorganized Speech

A conversation with someone who has schizophrenia can be particularly frustrating. If you want to understand what is bothering or upsetting this person, eliciting relevant information is especially difficult. For one thing, people with schizophrenia often lack *insight*, an awareness that they have a problem. In addition, they experience what Bleuler called “associative splitting” and what researcher Paul Meehl called “cognitive slippage” (Bleuler, 1908; Meehl, 1962). These phrases help describe the speech problems of people with schizophrenia: Sometimes they jump from topic to topic, and at other times they talk illogically. *DSM-5* uses the term **disorganized speech** to describe such communication problems. Let’s go back to our conversation with David to demonstrate the symptom.

THERAPIST: Why are you here in the hospital, David?
DAVID: I really don’t want to be here. I’ve got other things to do. The time is right, and you know, when opportunity knocks . . .

David didn’t really answer the question he was asked. This type of response is called *tangentiality*—that is, going off on a tangent instead of answering a specific question. David also abruptly changed the topic of conversation to unrelated areas, a behavior that has variously been called *loose association* or *derailment* (Liddle, 2012).

Therapist: I was sorry to hear that your Uncle Bill died a few years ago. How are you feeling about him these days?
David: Yes, he died. He was sick, and now he’s gone. He likes to fish with me, down at the river. He’s going to take

me hunting. I have guns. I can shoot you, and you'd be dead in a minute.

Again, David didn't answer the question. It was unclear whether he didn't understand the question, couldn't focus his attention, or found it too difficult to talk about his uncle. You can see why people spend a great deal of time trying to interpret all the hidden meanings behind this type of conversation. Unfortunately, however, such analyses have yet to provide us with useful information about the nature of schizophrenia or its treatment.

Inappropriate Affect and Disorganized Behavior

Occasionally, people with schizophrenia display **inappropriate affect**, laughing or crying at improper times. Sometimes they exhibit bizarre behaviors such as hoarding objects or acting in unusual ways in public. People with schizophrenia engage in a number of other “active” behaviors that are usually viewed as unusual. For example, catatonia is one of the most curious symptoms in some individuals with schizophrenia; it involves motor dysfunctions that range from wild agitation to immobility. *DSM-5* now includes catatonia as a separate schizophrenia spectrum disorder. On the active side of the continuum, some people pace excitedly or move their fingers or arms in stereotyped ways. At the other end of the extreme, people hold unusual postures, as if they were fearful of something terrible happening if they moved (**catatonic immobility**). This manifestation can also involve *waxy flexibility*,

**DSM
5**

TABLE 13.2

Diagnostic Criteria for Catatonia Associated with Another Mental Disorder (Catatonia Specifier)

- A.** The clinical picture is dominated by three or more of the following symptoms:
- 1.** Stupor (i.e., no psychomotor activity; not actively relating to environment)
 - 2.** Cataplexy (i.e., passive induction of a posture held against gravity)
 - 3.** Waxy flexibility (i.e., slight, even resistance to positioning by examiner)
 - 4.** Mutism (i.e., no, or very little, verbal response [exclude if known aphasia])
 - 5.** Negativism (i.e., opposition or no response to instructions or external stimuli)
 - 6.** Posturing (i.e., spontaneous and active maintenance of a posture against gravity)
 - 7.** Mannerism (i.e., odd, circumstantial caricature of normal actions)
 - 8.** Stereotypy (i.e., repetitive, abnormally frequent, non-goal-directed movements)
 - 9.** Agitation, not influenced by external stimuli
 - 10.** Grimacing
 - 11.** Echolalia (i.e., mimicking another's speech)
 - 12.** Echopraxia (i.e., mimicking another's movements)

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

flexibility, or the tendency to keep their bodies and limbs in the position they are put in by someone else.

Again, to receive a diagnosis of schizophrenia, a person must display two or more of the major symptoms (i.e., delusions, hallucinations, disorganized speech, grossly abnormal psychomotor behavior—including catatonia—or negative symptoms such as diminished emotional expression or avolition) for a significant portion of time for 1 month. At least one of the symptoms must include delusions, hallucinations, or disorganized speech. Depending on the combination of symptoms displayed, two people could receive the same diagnosis but behave differently, for example, one having marked hallucinations and delusions and the other displaying disorganized speech and some negative symptoms. Proper treatment depends on differentiating individuals in terms of their varying symptoms.

Historic Schizophrenia Subtypes

As we noted earlier, the search for subtypes of schizophrenia began before Kraepelin described his concept of schizophrenia. Three divisions have historically been identified: paranoid (delusions of



Michael Newman/PhotoEdit

Homeless people who suffer from schizophrenia often bear the additional burden of persecutory delusions, which interfere with outside efforts to help.

grandeur or persecution), disorganized (or hebephrenic; silly and immature emotionality), and catatonic (alternate immobility and excited agitation). Although these categories continued to be used in *DSM-IV-TR*, they were dropped from the diagnostic criteria for *DSM-5* (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Part of the rationale for omitting these subtypes was that they were not used frequently in clinical work, and the nature of an individual's symptoms can change over the course of his or her illness; so people could move from one category to another (Tandon et al., 2013). The dimensional assessment of severity is now used instead of the three schizophrenia subtypes (Pagsberg, 2013).

In the next section, we describe the disorders which are included under the broader heading of "Schizophrenia Spectrum and Other Psychotic Disorders."

Other Psychotic Disorders

The psychotic behaviors of some individuals do not fit neatly under the heading of schizophrenia as we have just described. Several other categories of disorders depict these significant variations.

Schizophreniform Disorder

Some people experience the symptoms of schizophrenia for a few months only; they can usually resume normal lives. The symptoms sometimes disappear as the result of successful treatment, but they often do so for reasons unknown. The label **schizophreniform disorder** classifies these symptoms, but because relatively few studies are available on this disorder, data on important aspects of it are sparse. It appears, however, that the lifetime prevalence is approximately 0.2% (Erlich, Smith, Horwath, & Courno, 2014). The *DSM-5* diagnostic criteria for schizophreniform disorder include onset of psychotic symptoms within 4 weeks of the first noticeable change in usual behavior, confusion at the height of the psychotic episode, good *premorbid* (before the psychotic episode) social and occupational functioning, and the absence of blunted or flat affect (Garrabe & Cousin, 2012).

Schizoaffective Disorder

Historically, people who had symptoms of schizophrenia and who exhibited the characteristics of mood disorders (for example, depression or bipolar disorder) were lumped in the category of schizophrenia. Now, however, this mixed bag of problems is diagnosed as **schizoaffective disorder** (Tsuang, Stone, & Faraone, 2012). The prognosis is similar to the prognosis for people with schizophrenia—that is, individuals tend not to get better on their own and are likely to continue experiencing major life difficulties for many years. *DSM-5* criteria for schizoaffective disorder require, in addition to the presence of a mood disorder, delusions or hallucinations for at least 2 weeks in the absence of prominent mood symptoms (American Psychiatric Association, 2013).

Delusional Disorder

Delusions are beliefs that are not generally held by other members of a society. The major feature of **delusional disorder** is a

DSM
5

TABLE 13.3
Diagnostic Criteria for Schizophreniform Disorder

- A.** Two (or more) of the following, each present for a significant portion of time during a 1-month period (or less if successfully treated). At least one of these must be (1), (2), or (3):
- 1.** Delusions
 - 2.** Hallucinations
 - 3.** Disorganized speech (e.g., frequent derailment or incoherence)
 - 4.** Grossly disorganized or catatonic behavior
 - 5.** Negative symptoms (i.e., diminished emotional expression or avolition)
- B.** An episode of the disorder lasts at least 1 month but less than 6 months. When the diagnosis must be made without waiting for recovery, it should be qualified as "provisional."
- C.** Schizoaffective disorder and depressive or bipolar disorder with psychotic features have been ruled out because either 1) no major depressive or manic episodes have occurred concurrently with the active-phase symptoms; or 2) if mood episodes have occurred during active-phase symptoms, they have been present for a minority of the total duration of the active and residual periods of the illness.
- D.** The disturbance is not attributable to the physiological effects of a substance (e.g., a drug of abuse, a medication) or another medical condition.

Specify if:

With good prognostic features: This specifier requires the presence of at least two of the following features: onset of prominent psychotic symptoms within 4 weeks of the first noticeable change in usual behavior or functioning; confusion or perplexity; good premorbid social and occupational functioning; and absence of blunted or flat affect.

Without good prognostic features: This specifier is applied if two or more of the above features have not been present.

Specify if:

With catatonia

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

persistent belief that is contrary to reality, in the absence of other characteristics of schizophrenia. For example, a woman who believes without any evidence that coworkers are tormenting her by putting poison in her food and spraying her apartment with harmful gases may have a delusional disorder. This disorder is characterized by a persistent delusion that is not the result of an organic factor such as brain seizures or of any severe psychosis. Individuals with delusional disorder tend not to have flat affect, anhedonia, or other negative symptoms of schizophrenia; importantly, however, they may become socially isolated because they are suspicious of others. The delusions are often long-standing, sometimes persisting over several years (Munro, 2012).

DSM-5 recognizes the following delusional subtypes: erotomaniac, grandiose, jealous, persecutory, and somatic. An *erotomaniac* type of delusion is the irrational belief that one is loved by another person, usually of higher status. Some individuals who stalk celebrities appear to have erotomaniac delusional disorder.

TABLE 13.4

Diagnostic Criteria for Schizoaffective Disorder

5

- A.** An uninterrupted period of illness during which there is a major mood episode (major depressive or manic) concurrent with Criterion A of schizophrenia.
- Note:** The major depressive episode must include Criterion A1.
- B.** Delusions or hallucinations for 2 or more weeks in the absence of a major mood episode (depressive or manic) during the lifetime duration of the illness.
- C.** Symptoms that meet criteria for a major mood episode are present for the majority of the total durance of the active and residual portions of the illness.
- D.** The disturbance is not attributable to the effects of a substance (e.g., a drug of abuse, a medication) or another medical condition.

Specify whether:

Bipolar type: This subtype applies if a manic episode is part of the presentation. Major depressive episodes may also occur.

Depressive type: This subtype applies only if only major depressive episodes are part of the presentation.

Specify if:

With catatonia

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

The *grandiose type* of delusion involves believing in one's inflated worth, power, knowledge, identity, or special relationship to a deity or famous person (Knowles et al., 2011). A person with the *jealous type* of delusion believes the sexual partner is unfaithful. The *persecutory type* of delusion involves believing oneself (or someone close) is being malevolently treated in some way. Finally, with the *somatic delusions* the person feels afflicted by a physical defect or general medical condition. Typically these delusions differ from the more bizarre types often found in people with schizophrenia because in delusional disorder *the imagined events could be happening but aren't* (for example, mistakenly believing you are being followed); in schizophrenia, however, delusions tend to fall in the bizarre category (for example, believing your brain waves broadcast your thoughts to other people around the world). DSM-5 allows for one bizarre delusion, which separates it from a diagnosis of schizophrenia, which requires more than one delusion to be present (Heckers et al., 2013).

Previous versions of DSM included a separate delusional disorder—**shared psychotic disorder (folie à deux)**, the condition in which an individual develops delusions simply as a result of a close relationship with a delusional individual. The content and nature of the delusion originate with the partner and can range from the relatively bizarre, such as believing enemies are sending harmful gamma rays through your house, to the fairly ordinary, such as believing you are about to receive a major promotion despite evidence to the contrary. DSM-5 now includes this type of delusion under delusional disorder with a specifier to indicate if the delusion is shared (American Psychiatric Association, 2013).

Delusional disorder seems to be relatively rare, affecting 24 to 60 people out of every 100,000 in the general population (de

TABLE 13.5

Diagnostic Criteria for Delusional Disorder

5

- A.** The presence of one (or more) delusions with a duration of 1 month or longer.
- B.** Criterion A for schizophrenia has never been met.
- Note:** Hallucinations, if present, are not prominent and are related to the delusional theme (e.g., the sensation of being infested with insects associated with delusions of infestation).
- C.** Apart from the impact of the delusion(s) or its ramifications, functioning is not markedly impaired, and behavior is not obviously bizarre or odd.
- D.** If manic or major depressive episodes have occurred, these have been brief relative to the duration of the delusional periods.
- E.** The disturbance is not attributable to the physiological effects of a substance or another medical condition and is not better explained by another mental disorder, such as body dysmorphic disorder or obsessive-compulsive disorder.

Specify whether:

Erotomaniac type: This subtype applies when the central theme of the delusion is that another person is in love with the individual.

Grandiose type: This subtype applies when the central theme of the delusion is the conviction of having some great (but unrecognized) talent or insight or having made some important discovery.

Jealous type: This subtype applies when the central theme of the individual's delusion is that his or her spouse or lover is unfaithful.

Persecutory type: This subtype applies when the central theme of the delusion involves the individual's belief that he or she is being conspired against, cheated, spied on, followed, poisoned or drugged, maliciously maligned, harassed, or obstructed in the pursuit of long-term goals.

Somatic type: This subtype applies when the central theme of the delusion involves bodily functions or sensations.

Mixed type: This subtype applies when no delusional theme predominated.

Unspecified type: This subtype applies when the dominant delusional belief cannot be clearly determined or is not described in the specific types (e.g., referential delusions without a prominent persecutory or grandiose component).

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

Portugal, González, Haro, Autonell, & Cervilla, 2008; Ibanez-Casas & Cervilla, 2012). Among those people with psychotic disorders in general, between 2% and 8% are thought to have delusional disorder (Vahia & Cohen, 2009; Blaney, 2015). Researchers can't be confident about the percentages because they know that many of these individuals have no contact with the mental health system.

The onset of delusional disorder is relatively late: The average age of first admission to a psychiatric facility is between 35 and 55 (Ibanez-Casas & Cervilla, 2012). Because many people with this disorder can lead relatively typical lives, they may not seek treatment until their symptoms become most disruptive. Delusional disorder seems to afflict more females than males (55% and 45%, respectively, of the affected population).

In an important longitudinal study, Opjordsmoen (1989) followed 53 people with delusional disorder for an average of

TABLE 13.6

Diagnostic Criteria for Substance/Medication-Induced Psychotic Disorder

- A.** Presence of one or both of the following symptoms:
 - 1.** Delusions
 - 2.** Hallucinations
 - B.** There is evidence from the history, physical examination, or laboratory findings of both (1) and (2):
 - 1.** The symptoms in Criterion A developed during, or soon after, substance intoxication or withdrawal or after exposure to a medication.
 - 2.** The involved substance/medication is capable of producing the symptoms in Criterion A.
 - C.** The disturbance is not better explained by a psychotic disorder that is not substance/medication-induced. Such evidence of an independent psychotic disorder could include the following: The symptoms preceded the onset of substance/medication use; the symptoms persist for a substantial period of time (e.g., about 1 month) after the cessation of acute withdrawal or severe intoxication; or there is other evidence of an independent non-substance/medication-induced psychotic disorder (e.g., a history of recurrent non-substance/medication-related episodes).
 - D.** The disturbance does not occur exclusively during the course of a delirium.
 - E.** The disturbance causes clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning.
- Note:** This diagnosis should be made instead of a diagnosis of substance intoxication or substance withdrawal only when the symptoms in Criterion A predominate in the clinical picture and when they are sufficiently severe to warrant clinical attention.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

30 years and found they tended to fare better in life than people with schizophrenia but not as well as those with some other psychotic disorders, such as schizoaffective disorder. About 80% of the 53 individuals had been married at some time, and half were employed, which demonstrates an ability to function relatively well despite delusions.

There is much conflicting evidence about either the biological or the psychosocial influences on delusional disorder (Ibanez-Casas & Cervilla, 2012). Research on families suggests that the characteristics of suspiciousness, jealousy, and secretiveness may occur more often among the relatives of people with delusional disorder than among the population at large, suggesting some aspect of this disorder may be inherited (Kendler & Walsh, 2007).

A number of other disorders can cause delusions, and their presence should be ruled out before diagnosing delusional disorder. For example, abuse of amphetamines, alcohol, and cocaine can cause delusions, as can brain tumors, Huntington's disease, and Alzheimer's disease (Blaney, 2015). DSM-5 includes two categories of these disorders: **substance-induced psychotic**

TABLE 13.7

Diagnostic Criteria for Psychotic Disorder Associated with another Medical Condition

- A.** Prominent hallucinations or delusions.
- B.** There is evidence from the history, physical examination, or laboratory findings that the disturbance is the direct pathophysiological consequence of another medical condition.
- C.** The disturbance is not better explained by another mental disorder.
- D.** The disturbance does not occur exclusively during the course of a delirium.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

disorder and psychotic disorder associated with another medical condition—so that clinicians can qualify the nature of these difficulties.

Brief Psychotic Disorder

Recall the puzzling case of Arthur, who suddenly experienced the delusion that he could save the world and whose intense emotional swings lasted for only a few days. He would receive the *DSM-5* diagnosis of **brief psychotic disorder**, which is characterized by the presence of one or more positive symptoms such as delusions, hallucinations, or disorganized speech or behavior lasting 1 month or less. Individuals like Arthur regain their previous ability to function well in day-to-day activities. Brief psychotic disorder is often precipitated by extremely stressful situations.

Attenuated Psychosis Syndrome

Some individuals who start to develop psychotic symptoms such as hallucinations or delusions are often sufficiently distressed to seek help from mental health professionals. They can be at high risk for developing schizophrenia and may be at an early stage of the disorder (called prodromal). Although they may not meet the full criteria for schizophrenia, they may be good candidates for early intervention in an effort to prevent symptoms from worsening. To focus attention on these individuals, *DSM-5* is proposing a potentially new psychotic disorder for further study—**attenuated psychosis syndrome** (Fusar-Poli, Carpenter, Woods, & McGlashan, 2014). Again, these people may have some of the symptoms of schizophrenia but are aware of the troubling and bizarre nature of these symptoms.

Schizotypal personality disorder, discussed in Chapter 12, is a related psychotic disorder. As you may recall, the characteristics are similar to those experienced by people with schizophrenia but are less severe. Some evidence also suggests that schizophrenia and schizotypal personality disorder may be genetically related as part of a “schizophrenia spectrum.”

Remember that although people with related psychotic disorders display many of the characteristics of schizophrenia, these disorders differ significantly.

TABLE 13.8

Diagnostic Criteria for Brief Psychotic Disorder

**DSM
5**

A. Presence of one (or more) of the following symptoms. At least one of these must be (1), (2), or (3):

1. Delusions
2. Hallucinations
3. Disorganized speech (e.g., frequent derailment or incoherence)
4. Grossly disorganized or catatonic behavior

Note: Do not include a symptom if it is a culturally sanctioned response

B. Duration of an episode of the disturbance is at least 1 day but less than 1 month, with eventual full return to premorbid level of functioning.

C. The disturbance is not better explained by major depressive or bipolar disorder with psychotic features, or another psychotic disorder such as schizophrenia or catatonia, and is not attributable to the physiological effects of a substance (e.g., a drug of abuse, a medication) or another medical condition.

Specify if:

With marked stressor(s) (brief reactive psychosis): If symptoms occur in response to events that, singly or together, would be markedly stressful to almost anyone in similar circumstances in the individual's culture.

Without marked stressor(s): If symptoms do not occur in response to events that, singly or together, would be markedly stressful to almost anyone in similar circumstances in the individual's culture.

With postpartum onset: If onset is during pregnancy or within 4 weeks postpartum.

Specify if: With catatonia

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

Prevalence and Causes of Schizophrenia

Studying schizophrenia reveals the many levels on which we must decipher what makes us behave the way we do. To uncover the causes of this disorder, researchers look in several areas: (1) the possible genes involved in schizophrenia, (2) the chemical action of the drugs that help many people with this disorder, (3) abnormalities in the working of the brains of people with schizophrenia, and (4) environmental risk factors that may precipitate the onset of the symptoms (Harrison, 2012; Murray & Castle, 2012). As we survey the work of many specialists, we examine many state-of-the-art techniques for studying both biological and psychosocial influences, a process that may be slow going at times but will bring new insight to your understanding of psychopathology. We now examine the nature of schizophrenia and learn how researchers have attempted to understand and treat people who have it.

Statistics

Schizophrenia sometimes defies our desire for simplicity. We have seen how different symptoms can be displayed by individuals who would all be considered to have the disorder; in some people, the

symptoms develop slowly, and in others they occur suddenly. Schizophrenia is generally chronic, and most people with the disorder have a difficult time functioning in society. This is especially true of their ability to relate to others; they tend not to establish or maintain significant relationships, so many people with schizophrenia never marry or have children. Unlike the delusions of people with other psychotic disorders, the delusions of people with schizophrenia are likely to be outside the realm of possibility. Finally, even when individuals with schizophrenia improve with treatment, they are likely to experience difficulties throughout their lives.

Worldwide, the lifetime prevalence rate of schizophrenia is roughly equivalent for men and women, and it is estimated to be 0.2% to 1.5% in the general population, which means the disorder will affect about 1% of the population at some point (Erlich et al., 2014). Life expectancy is slightly less than average, partly because of the higher rate of suicide and accidents among people with schizophrenia. Although there is some disagreement about the distribution of schizophrenia between men and women, the difference between the sexes in age of onset is clear. For men, the likelihood of onset diminishes with age, but it can still first occur after the age of 75. The frequency of onset for women is lower than for men until age 36, when the relative risk for onset switches, with more women than men being affected later in life (Jablensky, 2012). Women appear to have more favorable outcomes than do men.

Development

The more severe symptoms of schizophrenia first occur in late adolescence or early adulthood, although we saw that there may be signs of the development of the disorder in early childhood (Murray & Castle, 2012). Children who go on to develop schizophrenia show early clinical features such as mild physical abnormalities, poor motor coordination, and mild cognitive and social problems (Golemboski et al., 2012; Matheson et al., 2013; Welham et al., 2008). Unfortunately, these types of early problems are not specific enough to schizophrenia—meaning they could also be signs of other problems, such as the neurodevelopmental disorders we review in Chapter 14—to be able to say for sure that a particular child will later develop schizophrenia.

Up to 85% of people who later develop schizophrenia go through a **prodromal stage**—a 1- to 2-year period before the serious symptoms occur but when less severe yet unusual behaviors start to show themselves (Jablensky, 2012). These behaviors (which you should recognize from Chapter 12 as symptoms seen in schizotypal personality disorders) include ideas of reference (thinking insignificant events relate directly to them), magical thinking (believing they have special abilities such as being clairvoyant or telepathic), and illusions (such as feeling the presence of another person when they are alone). In addition, other symptoms are common, such as isolation, marked impairment in functioning, and a lack of initiative, interests, or energy (Addington et al., 2015).

Once symptoms begin to appear, it can take anywhere from 2 years to around 10 years before a person at high risk (e.g., mild positive symptoms) meets full criteria for a psychotic disorder (Nelson et al., 2013). The highest period of risk for patients to develop a full-fledged psychotic disorder is during the first two years following their first displays of mild symptoms. Risk factors for going from high risk to developing the disorder include the length of duration of symptoms before seeking help, baseline functioning, as well as the presence of negative symptoms and disorganized symptoms (Addington et al., 2015; Nelson et al., 2013). Part of the delay in seeking help may be the result of hiding symptoms from others (sometimes because of increasing paranoia). Personality factors and the amount and quality of social support may also play a role in the amount of time it takes a person to first seek treatment for psychotic symptoms (Ruiz-Veguilla et al., 2012). Once treated, patients with this disorder will often improve. Unfortunately, most will also go through a pattern of relapse and recovery (Emsley, Chiliza, Asmal & Harvey, 2013). This relapse rate is important when discussing the course of schizophrenia. For example, the data from one classic study show the course of schizophrenia among four prototypical groups (Zubin, Steinhauer, & Condry, 1992). About 22% of the group had one episode of schizophrenia and improved without lasting impairment. The remaining 78% experienced several episodes with differing degrees of impairment between them. People with schizophrenia have a poorer prognosis than those with most of the other disorders we describe in this book—including a high risk of suicide—although a significant number of individuals can experience long periods of recovery (Jablensky, 2012). Relapses are an important subject in the field of schizophrenia; we return to this phenomenon when we discuss causes and treatment. To illustrate this complex developmental picture, Figure 13.2 graphically depicts the developmental course of schizophrenia. Life stages (from before birth to the end of life) are listed across




FIGURE 13.2

The longitudinal course of schizophrenia is depicted starting at birth through old age. The severity of the symptoms is shown on the left axis, and the changes in symptoms across each phase (premorbid, prodromal, onset, and chronic) are labeled. (Adapted from Lieberman, J. A., Perkins, D., Belger, A., Chakos, M., Jarskog, F., Boteva, K., & Gilmore, J. (2001). The early stages of schizophrenia: Speculations on pathogenesis, pathophysiology, and therapeutic approaches. *Biological Psychiatry*, 50, p. 885.)

the top of the graph, with the colored regions showing periods of decline and recovery.

Cultural Factors

Because schizophrenia is so complex, the diagnosis itself can be controversial. Some have argued that “schizophrenia” does not really exist but is a derogatory label for people who behave in ways outside the cultural norm (see, for example, Laing, 1967; Sarbin & Mancuso, 1980; Szasz, 1961). This concern takes us back to our discussions in the first chapter about the difficulty defining what is abnormal. Although the idea that schizophrenia exists only in the minds of mental health professionals is certainly provocative, this extreme view is contradicted by experience. We have both had a great deal of contact with people who have this disorder and with their families and friends, and the tremendous amount of emotional pain resulting from schizophrenia gives definite credence to its existence. In an interesting historical note, Kraepelin, who we described as developing the modern-day view of schizophrenia, traveled to Asia at the turn of the past century to confirm that this unusual set of behaviors was experienced by cultures other than those of Western Europe (Lauriello, Bustillo, & Keith, 2005). We now know that people in widely diverging cultures have the symptoms of schizophrenia, which supports the notion that it is a reality for many people worldwide. Schizophrenia is thus universal, affecting all racial and cultural groups studied so far. There are even shared neuroanatomical similarities that provide evidence of schizophrenia’s presence across individuals from different cultures (Gong et al., 2015).


The course and outcome of schizophrenia vary from culture to culture. For example, the stressors associated with significant political, social, and economic problems that are prevalent in many areas of Africa, Latin America, and Asia may contribute to poorer outcomes for people with schizophrenia in these countries (Jablensky, 2012). The lack of an adequate mental health infrastructure in low- and middle-income countries is also a problem for providing appropriate and consistent care to those suffering from schizophrenia. Efforts to increase several countries’ capacity to care for those with schizophrenia have had some preliminary success in upper middle-income countries like China and Brazil (Patel, 2015). More initiatives focused on schizophrenia and other mental health disorders worldwide are starting to gain momentum.

In the United States, proportionately more African Americans receive the diagnosis of schizophrenia than Caucasians (Schwartz & Feisthamel, 2009). Research from both England and the United States suggests that people from devalued ethnic minority groups (Afro-Caribbean in England and African Americans and Puerto Ricans in the United States) may be victims of bias and stereotyping (Jones & Gray, 1986; Lewis, Croft-Jeffreys, & Anthony, 1990); in other words, they may be more likely to receive a diagnosis of schizophrenia than members of a dominant group. One prospective study of schizophrenia among different ethnic groups in London found that although the outcomes of schizophrenia appear similar across these groups, blacks were more likely to be detained against their will, brought to the hospital by police, and given emergency injections (Goater et al., 1999). The differing rates of schizophrenia, therefore, may

be partially the result of *misdiagnosis* rather than the result of any real cultural distinctions. An additional factor contributing to this imbalance may be the levels of stress associated with factors such as stigma, isolation, and discrimination (Anglin, Lighty, Greenspoon, & Ellman, 2014; Pinto, Ashworth, & Jones, 2008).

Genetic Influences

We could argue that few areas of abnormal psychology so clearly illustrate the enormous complexity and intriguing mystery of genetic influences on behavior as does the phenomenon of schizophrenia (Murray & Castle, 2012). Despite the possibility that schizophrenia may be several different disorders, we can safely make one generalization: *Genes are responsible for making some individuals vulnerable to schizophrenia*. We look at a range of research findings from family, twin, adoptee, offspring of twins, and linkage and association studies. We conclude by discussing the compelling reasons that no one gene is responsible for schizophrenia; rather, multiple gene variances combine to produce vulnerability (Murray & Castle, 2012).



Courtesy of Irving Gottesman

Irving Gottesman, a psychologist at the University of Virginia, has contributed significantly to our understanding of schizophrenia.

Family Studies

In 1938, Franz Kallmann published a major study of the families of people with schizophrenia (Kallmann, 1938). Kallmann examined family members of more than 1,000 people diagnosed with schizophrenia in a Berlin psychiatric hospital. Several of his observations continue to guide research on schizophrenia. Kallmann showed that the severity of the parent’s disorder influenced the likelihood of the child’s having schizophrenia: The more severe the parent’s schizophrenia, the more likely the children were to develop it. Another observation was important: All forms of schizophrenia (for example, the historic categories such as catatonic and paranoid) were seen within the families. In other words, it does not appear that you inherit a predisposition for what was previously diagnosed as paranoid schizophrenia. Instead, you may inherit a general predisposition for schizophrenia that manifests in the same form or differently from that of your parent. More recent research confirms this observation and suggests that families that have a member with schizophrenia are at risk not just for schizophrenia alone or for all psychological disorders; instead, there appears to be some familial risk for a spectrum of psychotic disorders related to schizophrenia.

In a classic analysis, Gottesman (1991) summarized the data from about 40 studies of schizophrenia, as shown in Figure 13.3. The most striking feature of this graph is its orderly demonstration that the risk of having schizophrenia varies according to how many genes an individual shares with someone who has the disorder.




FIGURE 13.3

Risk of developing schizophrenia. (Based on Gottesman, I. I. (1991). *Schizophrenia genesis: The origins of madness*. New York, NY: W. H. Freeman.)

For example, you have the greatest chance (approximately 48%) of having schizophrenia if it has affected your identical (monozygotic) twin, a person who shares 100% of your genetic information. Your risk drops to about 17% with a fraternal (dizygotic) twin, who shares about 50% of your genetic information. And having any relative with schizophrenia makes you more likely to have the disorder than someone without such a relative (about 1% if you have no relative with schizophrenia). Because family studies can't separate genetic influence from the impact of the environment, we use twin and adoption studies to help us evaluate the role of shared experiences in the cause of schizophrenia.

Twin Studies

If they are raised together, identical twins share 100% of their genes and 100% of their environment, whereas fraternal twins share only about 50% of their genes and 100% of their environment. If the environment is solely responsible for schizophrenia, we would expect little difference between identical and fraternal twins with regard to this disorder. If only genetic factors are relevant, both identical twins would always have schizophrenia (be concordant), and the fraternal twins would both have it about 50% of the time. Research from twin studies indicates that the truth is somewhere in the middle (Braff et al., 2007; van Os, Kenis, & Rutten, 2010).

In one of the most fascinating of “nature’s experiments,” identical quadruplets, all of whom have schizophrenia, have been studied extensively. Nicknamed the “Genain” quadruplets (from the Greek, meaning “dreadful gene”), these women have been followed by David Rosenthal and his colleagues at the National Institute of Mental Health for a number of years (Rosenthal, 1963). The fictitious names of the girls reported in studies of their lives—Nora, Iris, Myra, and Hester—represent the letters NIMH for the National Institute of Mental Health. In a sense, the women represent the complex interaction between genetics and environment. All four shared the same genetic predisposition, and all were brought up in the same particularly dysfunctional household; yet the time of onset for schizophrenia, the symptoms and diagnoses, the course of the disorder, and, ultimately, their outcomes, differed significantly from sister to sister.

One genetic explanation for these differences may be the presence of *de novo* mutations in the sisters. These are genetic mutations that can occur as a result of a mutation in a germ cell (egg or sperm) of one of the parents or, perhaps in the case of these sisters, in the fertilized egg after conception. The case of the Genain quadruplets also reveals an important consideration in studying genetic influences on behavior—*unshared environments* (Plomin, 1990). We tend to think that siblings, and especially identical multiples, are brought up exactly the same way. The impression is that “good” parents expose their children to favorable environments and “bad” parents give them unstable experiences. Even identical siblings can have different prenatal and family experiences and can therefore be exposed to varying degrees of biological and environmental stress. For example, Hester, one of the Genain sisters, was described by her disturbed parents as a habitual masturbator, and she had more social problems than her sisters as she grew up. Hester was the first to experience severe symptoms of schizophrenia, at age 18, but her sister Myra was not hospitalized until 6 years later. This unusual case demonstrates that even siblings who are close in every aspect of their lives can still have considerably different experiences physically and socially as they grow up, which may result in vastly different outcomes. A follow up on the lives of these women showed the progression of their disorder stabilized and in some cases improved when they were assessed at age 66 (Mirsky et al., 2000).

Adoption Studies

Several adoption studies have distinguished the roles of the environment and genetics as they affect schizophrenia. These studies often span many years; because people often do not show the first signs of schizophrenia until middle age, researchers need to be sure all the offspring reach that point before drawing conclusions. Many schizophrenia studies are conducted in Europe, primarily because of the extensive and comprehensive records kept in countries where socialized medicine is practiced.

The largest adoption study was conducted in Finland (Tienari, 1991). From a sample of almost 20,000 women with schizophrenia, the researchers found 190 children who had been given up for adoption. The data from this study support the idea that schizophrenia represents a spectrum of related disorders, all of which overlap genetically. If an adopted child had a biological mother



Dr. Allan F. Mirsky/National Institute of Mental Health

The Genain quadruplets all had schizophrenia but exhibited different symptoms over the years.

with schizophrenia, that child had about a 5% chance of having the disorder (compared to about only 1% in the general population). However, if the biological mother had schizophrenia or one of the related psychotic disorders (for example, delusional disorder or schizopreniform disorder), the risk that the adopted child would have one of these disorders rose to about 22% (Tienari et al., 2003; Tienari, Wahlberg, & Wynne, 2006). Even when raised away from their biological parents, children of parents with schizophrenia have a much higher chance of having the disorder themselves. At the same time, there appears to be a protective factor if these children are brought up in healthy supportive homes. In other words, a gene-environment interaction was observed in this study, with a good home environment reducing the risk of schizophrenia (Gilmore, 2010; Wynne et al., 2006).

The Offspring of Twins

Twin and adoption studies strongly suggest a genetic component for schizophrenia, but what about children who develop schizophrenia even though their parents do not? For example, the study by Tienari and colleagues (2003, 2006) we just discussed found that 1.7% of the children with nonschizophrenic parents developed schizophrenia. Does this mean you can develop schizophrenia without “schizophrenic genes”? Or are some people carriers, having the genes for schizophrenia but for some reason not showing the disorder themselves? An important clue to this question comes from research on the children of twins with schizophrenia.

In a study begun in 1971 by Margit Fischer and later continued by Irving Gottesman and Aksel Bertelsen, 21 identical twin pairs and 41 fraternal twin pairs with a history of schizophrenia were identified, along with their children (Fischer, 1971; Gottesman & Bertelsen, 1989). The researchers wanted to determine the relative likelihood that a child would have schizophrenia if her parent did and if the parent's twin had schizophrenia but the parent did not.

Figure 13.4 illustrates the findings from this study. For example, if your parent is an identical (monozygotic) twin with schizophrenia, you have about a 17% chance of having the disorder yourself,




FIGURE 13.4

Risk for schizophrenia among children of twins.

a figure that holds if you are the child of an unaffected identical twin whose co-twin has the disorder.

On the other hand, look at the risks for the child of a fraternal (dizygotic) twin. If your parent is the twin with schizophrenia, you have about a 17% chance of having schizophrenia yourself. If your parent does not have schizophrenia but your parent's fraternal twin does, your risk is only about 2%. The only way to explain this finding is through genetics. The data clearly indicate that you can have genes that predispose you to schizophrenia, not show the disorder yourself, but still pass on the genes to your children. In other words, you can be a “carrier” for schizophrenia. This is some of the strongest evidence yet that people are genetically vulnerable to schizophrenia. Remember, however, there is only a 17% chance of inheritance if your parent has schizophrenia, meaning that other factors help determine who will have this disorder.

Linkage and Association Studies


Recall from Chapter 4 that genetic linkage and association studies rely on traits such as blood types (whose exact location on the chromosome is already known) inherited in families with the disorder you are looking for—in this case, schizophrenia. Because researchers have determined the location of the genes for these traits (called *marker genes*), they can make a rough guess about the location of the disorder genes inherited with them. To date, researchers have looked at several sites for genes that may be responsible for schizophrenia. For example, regions of chromosomes 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 13, 20, and 22 are implicated in this disorder (Kirov & Owen, 2009). Three of the most reliable genetic influences that make one susceptible to schizophrenia include sections on chromosome 8 (called Neuregulin 1 or NRG1), chromosome 6 (called dystrobrevin-binding protein 1 or DTNBP1), and chromosome 22 (called catecholamine O-methyl transferase or COMT) (Murray & Castle, 2012). The COMT gene is of particular interest to scientists because it plays a role in dopamine metabolism, which we will see appears to be disrupted in persons with this disorder. A recent study that combined one of the largest samples

(i.e., 36,989 cases of individuals with schizophrenia and 113,075 controls) identified 128 independent associations and 108 loci that meet genome-wide significance, 83 of which were new. This further strengthens that genetic risk arises from a large number of common genes each with a small effect that might be detected by genome-wide association studies (Ripke et al., 2014).

Endophenotypes


Genetic research on schizophrenia is evolving, and the information on the findings from these sophisticated studies is now being combined with advances in our understanding of specific deficits found in people with this disorder. Remember, in complex disorders such as this, researchers are not looking for a “schizophrenia gene” or genes. Instead, researchers try to find basic processes that contribute to the behaviors or symptoms of the disorder and then find the gene or genes that cause these difficulties—a strategy called *endophenotyping* (Braff et al., 2007).

Several potential candidates for endophenotypes for schizophrenia have been studied over the years. One of the more highly researched is called *smooth-pursuit eye movement*, or eye-tracking. Keeping their head still, typical people are able to track a moving pendulum, back and forth, with their eyes. The ability to track objects smoothly across the visual field is deficient in many people who have schizophrenia (Clementz & Sweeney, 1990; Holzman & Levy, 1977; Iacono, Bassett, & Jones, 1988); it does not appear to be the result of drug treatment or institutionalization (Lieberman et al., 1993). It also seems to be a problem for relatives of those with schizophrenia (Ivleva et al., 2014). ● Figure 13.5 shows the decreasing likelihood of observing this abnormal eye-tracking ability the further a person is genetically from someone with schizophrenia. When all these observations are combined, they suggest an eye-tracking deficit may be an endophenotype for schizophrenia that could be used in further study.



● FIGURE 13.5

Abnormal smooth-pursuit eye movements and schizophrenia. (Adapted, with permission, from Thaker, G. K., & Avila, M. (2003). Schizophrenia, V: Risk markers. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 160, 1578, © 2003 American Psychiatric Association.)



©Dr. Dean F Wong, Division of Nuclear Medicine and Radiation Health Sciences, Department of Radiology, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine

These PET images show the brain of a man with schizophrenia who had never been medicated (left) and after he received haloperidol (right). The red and yellow areas indicate activity in the D₂ receptors; haloperidol evidently reduced dopamine activity.

Other such research focuses on the social, cognitive, and emotional deficits characteristic of schizophrenia. One study, for example, looked at multiple generations of families who had someone with schizophrenia (Gur et al., 2007). They tested them on a variety of skills for identified cognitive deficits in areas we described previously—such as emotion identification—and showed that specific problems were inherited in the same manner as schizophrenia (suggesting that these cognitive deficits might be endophenotypes for schizophrenia). Currently, a number of endophenotypes are being explored by a large group of scientists (*The Consortium on the Genetics of Schizophrenia*) which is studying more than 1,200 individuals with schizophrenia and their families (Greenwood et al., 2013).

Neurobiological Influences

The belief that schizophrenia involves a malfunctioning brain goes back as far as the writings of Kraepelin (1856–1926). It is therefore not surprising that a great deal of research has focused on the brain.

Dopamine

One of the most enduring yet controversial theories of the cause of schizophrenia involves the neurotransmitter *dopamine* (Harrison, 2012). Before we consider the research, however, let’s review briefly how neurotransmitters operate in the brain and how they are affected by neuroleptic medications, which reduce hallucinations and delusions. In Chapter 2, we discussed the sensitivity of specific neurons to specific neurotransmitters and described how they cluster throughout the brain. The top of ● Figure 13.6 shows two neurons and the important synaptic gap that separates them. Neurotransmitters are




FIGURE 13.6

Some ways drugs affect neurotransmission.

released from the storage vessels (synaptic vesicles) at the end of the axon, cross the gap, and are taken up by receptors in the dendrite of the next axon. Chemical “messages” are transported in this way from neuron to neuron throughout the brain.

This process can be influenced in a number of ways, and the rest of Figure 13.6 illustrates some of them. The chemical messages can be increased by agonistic agents or decreased by antagonistic agents. (Remember the word *antagonistic* means hostile or unfriendly; in some way, this is the effect of antagonistic agents on the chemical messenger service.) Antagonistic effects slow or stop messages from being transmitted by preventing the release of the neurotransmitter, blocking uptake at the level of the dendrite, or causing leaks that reduce the amount of neurotransmitter released. On the other hand, agonistic effects assist with the transference of chemical messages and, if extreme, can produce too much neurotransmitter activity by increasing production or release of the neurotransmitter and by affecting more receptors at the dendrites.

What we’ve learned about antipsychotic medications points to the possibility that the dopamine system is too active in people with schizophrenia. The simplified picture in Figure 13.6 does not show that there are different receptor sites and that a chemical such as

dopamine produces different results depending on which of those sites it affects. In schizophrenia, attention has focused on several dopamine sites, in particular those referred to simply as D_1 and D_2 .

In a story that resembles a mystery plot, several pieces of “circumstantial evidence” are clues to the role of dopamine in schizophrenia:

1. Antipsychotic drugs (neuroleptics) often effective in treating people with schizophrenia are dopamine antagonists, partially blocking the brain’s use of dopamine (Creese, Burt, & Snyder, 1976; Seeman, Lee, Chau Wong, & Wong, 1976).
2. These neuroleptic drugs can produce negative side effects similar to those in Parkinson’s disease, a disorder known to be caused by insufficient dopamine.
3. The drug L-dopa, a dopamine agonist used to treat people with Parkinson’s disease, produces schizophrenia-like symptoms in some people (Davidson et al., 1987).
4. Amphetamines, which also activate dopamine, can make psychotic symptoms worse in some people with schizophrenia (van Kammen, Docherty, & Bunney, 1982).

In other words, when drugs are administered that are known to increase dopamine (agonists), there is an increase in

schizophrenic behavior; when drugs that are known to decrease dopamine activity (antagonists) are used, schizophrenic symptoms tend to diminish. Taking these observations together, researchers theorized that schizophrenia in some people was attributable to excessive dopamine activity.

Despite these observations, some evidence contradicts the dopamine theory (Javitt & Laruelle, 2006):

1. A significant number of people with schizophrenia are not helped by the use of dopamine antagonists.
2. Although the neuroleptics block the reception of dopamine quite quickly, the relevant symptoms subside only after several days or weeks, more slowly than we would expect.
3. These drugs are only partly helpful in reducing the negative symptoms (for example, flat affect or anhedonia) of schizophrenia.

In addition to these concerns, there is evidence of a “double-edged sword” with respect to schizophrenia. A medication called *olanzapine*—along with a family of similar drugs—is effective with many people who were not helped with traditional neuroleptic medications (Kane, Stroup, & Marder, 2009). That’s the good news. The bad news for the dopamine theory is that olanzapine and these other new medications are weak dopamine antagonists, much less able to block the sites than other drugs (Javitt & Laruelle, 2006). Why would a medication inefficient at blocking dopamine be effective as a treatment for schizophrenia if schizophrenia is caused by excessive dopamine activity?

The answer may be that although dopamine is involved in the symptoms of schizophrenia, the relationship is more complicated than once thought (Harrison, 2012). Current thinking—based on growing evidence from highly sophisticated research techniques—points to *at least three specific neurochemical abnormalities simultaneously at play in the brains of people with schizophrenia*.

Strong evidence now leads us to believe that schizophrenia is partially the result of excessive stimulation of striatal dopamine D₂ receptors (Harrison, 2012). Recall that the striatum is part of the basal ganglia found deep within the brain. These cells control movement, balance, and walking, and they rely on dopamine to function. Current work on Huntington’s disease (which involves a deterioration of motor function) is pointing to deterioration in this area of the brain. How do we know that excessive stimulation of D₂ receptors is involved in schizophrenia? One clue is that the most effective antipsychotic drugs all share dopamine D₂ receptor antagonism—meaning they help block the stimulation of the D₂ receptors (Ginovart & Kapur, 2010). Using brain-imaging techniques such as SPECT, scientists can view the living brain of a person with schizophrenia and can observe how the newer, “second generation” antipsychotic medications work on these specific dopamine sites. For example, a summary of these brain-imaging studies has shown the importance of abnormalities in presynaptic regions that increase dopamine. This discovery can have important implications for developing drugs that target presynaptic dopamine synthesis (Howes et al., 2012).

A second area of interest to scientists investigating the cause of schizophrenia is the observation of a deficiency in the stimulation of prefrontal dopamine D₁ receptors (Howes & Kapur, 2009).

Therefore, while some dopamine sites may be overactive (for example, striatal D₂), a second type of dopamine site in the part of the brain that we use for thinking and reasoning (prefrontal D₁ receptors) appears to be less active and may account for other symptoms common in schizophrenia. As you will see later in this chapter, people with schizophrenia display a range of deficits in the prefrontal section of the brain, and this area may be less active in people with schizophrenia (a condition known as *hypofrontality*, discussed later).

Finally, a third area of neurochemical interest involves research on alterations in prefrontal activity involving glutamate transmission (Harrison, 2012). Glutamate is an excitatory neurotransmitter that is found in all areas of the brain and is only now being studied in earnest. Just as we saw with dopamine (for example, D₁ and D₂ receptors), glutamate has different types of receptors, and the ones being studied for their role in schizophrenia are the N-methyl-d-aspartate (NMDA) receptors. And, just as researchers were led to the study of dopamine by observations from the effects of dopamine-specific drugs on behavior, the effects of certain drugs that affect NMDA receptors point to clues to schizophrenia. Two recreational drugs described in Chapter 11—phencyclidine (PCP) and ketamine—can result in psychotic-like behavior in people without schizophrenia and can exacerbate psychotic symptoms in those with schizophrenia. Both PCP and ketamine are also NMDA antagonists, suggesting that a deficit in glutamate or blocking of NMDA sites may be involved in some symptoms of schizophrenia (Goff & Coyle, 2001).

You can see that research on these two neurotransmitters and their relationship to each other is complex and awaits further clarification. However, advances in technology are leading us closer to the clues behind this enigmatic disorder and closer still to better treatments.

Brain Structure

Evidence for neurological damage in people with schizophrenia comes from a number of observations. Many children with a parent who has the disorder, and who are therefore at risk, tend to show subtle but observable neurological problems, such as abnormal reflexes and inattentiveness (Buka, Seidman, Tsuang, & Goldstein, 2013). These difficulties are persistent: Adults who have schizophrenia show deficits in their ability to perform certain tasks and to attend during reaction time exercises (Cleghorn & Albert, 1990). Such findings suggest that brain damage or dysfunction may cause or accompany schizophrenia, although no one site is probably responsible for the whole range of symptoms (Harrison, 2012).

One of the most reliable observations about the brain in people with schizophrenia involves the size of the ventricles (see ● Figure 13.7). As early as 1927, these liquid-filled cavities showed enlargement in some brains examined in people with schizophrenia (Jacobi & Winkler, 1927). Since then, more sophisticated techniques have been developed for observing the brain, and in the dozens of studies conducted on ventricle size, the great majority show abnormally large lateral and third ventricles in people with schizophrenia (Harrison, 2012). Ventricle




FIGURE 13.7

Location of the cerebrospinal fluid in the human brain. This extracellular fluid surrounds and cushions the brain and spinal cord. It also fills the four interconnected cavities (cerebral ventricles) within the brain and the central canal of the spinal cord.

size may not be a problem, but the dilation (enlargement) of the ventricles indicates that adjacent parts of the brain either have not developed fully or have atrophied, thus allowing the ventricles to become larger.

Ventricle enlargement is not seen in everyone who has schizophrenia. Several factors seem to be associated with this finding. For example, enlarged ventricles are observed more often in men than in women (Abel, Drake, & Goldstein, 2010). Also, ventricles seem to enlarge in proportion to age and to the duration of the schizophrenia. One study found that individuals with schizophrenia who were exposed to influenza prenatally may be more likely to have enlarged ventricles (Takei, Lewis, Jones, Harvey, & Murray, 1996). (The possible role of prenatal exposure to influenza in the development of schizophrenia is described in the next section.)

In a study of ventricle size, researchers investigated the possible role of genetics (van Haren et al., 2012). Using a brain-imaging technique, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), investigators pooled data to compare brain structure among twin pairs (both identical and fraternal) from several European countries for a total of 684 people. They compared twin pairs in which both twins had schizophrenia, twin pairs in which only one twin had schizophrenia, as well as healthy twin pairs. The results showed that lower white matter volume and larger third ventricular volume were associated with the risk for schizophrenia, and these differences appeared to be influenced by genetic factors.

We touched on the concept of unshared environments in the section on genetics (Jang, 2005; Plomin, 1990). Although twins are identical genetically, they can experience a number of environmental differences, even before they are born. For instance, in the intrauterine environment, twins must compete for nutrients,

and they may not be equally successful. In addition, birth complications, such as the loss of oxygen (anoxia), could affect only one of the twins (Murray & Castle, 2012). Obstetrical complications appear often in one of a pair of twins who develops schizophrenia and among the more severely affected if both twins have schizophrenia (McNeil, 1987). Different experiences among twins already predisposed to the disorder could damage the brain and cause the types of symptoms we associate with schizophrenia.

The frontal lobes of the brain have also interested researchers looking for structural problems associated with schizophrenia (Williams et al., 2013). As we described in the section on neurotransmitters, this area may be less active in people with schizophrenia than in people without the disorder, a phenomenon sometimes known as *hypofrontality* (*hypo* means “less active,” or “deficient”). Research by Weinberger and other scientists at the National Institute of Mental Health refined this observation, suggesting that deficient activity in a particular area of the frontal lobes, the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC), may be implicated in schizophrenia (Berman & Weinberger, 1990; Rasetti et al., 2011). When people with and without schizophrenia are given tasks that involve the DLPFC, less activity (measured by cerebral blood flow) is recorded in the brains of those with schizophrenia. Also, it has been shown that there is less connectivity between this region and other brain regions, meaning the DLPFC is “communicating” less with other brain regions (Rasetti et al., 2011). Follow-up studies show that some individuals with schizophrenia show *hyperfrontality* (that is, too much activity), indicating that the dysfunction is reliable, but hyperfrontality displays itself differently in different people (Callicott et al., 2003; Garrity et al., 2007).

It appears that several brain sites are implicated in the cognitive dysfunction observed among people with schizophrenia, especially the prefrontal cortex, various related cortical regions, and subcortical circuits, including the thalamus and the striatum (Shenton & Kubicki, 2009). Remember that this dysfunction seems to occur *before the onset* of schizophrenia. In other words, brain variations may develop progressively, beginning before the symptoms of the disorder are apparent, perhaps prenatally (Harrison, 2012).

Prenatal and Perinatal Influences

There is evidence that the prenatal (before birth) and perinatal (around the time of birth) environment are correlated with the development of schizophrenia (Murray & Castle, 2012). Fetal exposure to viral infection, pregnancy complications, and delivery complications are among the environmental influences that seem to affect whether or not someone develops schizophrenia.

Several studies have shown that schizophrenia may be associated with prenatal exposure to influenza, viruses, or infections. For example, Sarnoff Mednick and colleagues followed a large number of people after a severe Type A2 influenza epidemic in Helsinki, Finland, and found that those whose mothers were exposed to influenza during the second trimester of pregnancy were more likely to have schizophrenia than others (Cannon, Barr, & Mednick, 1991). This observation has been confirmed by some researchers (see, for example, O’Callaghan, Sham, Takei, Glover, & Murray, 1991; Venables, 1996) but not by others (Buchanan &

Carpenter, 2005; Seltén, Frissen, Lensvelt-Mulders, & Morgan, 2009). The indications that viruslike diseases may cause damage to the fetal brain, which later may cause the symptoms of schizophrenia, are suggestive and may help explain why some people with schizophrenia behave the way they do (Murray & Castle, 2012).

The evidence of pregnancy complications (for example, bleeding) and delivery complications (for example, asphyxia or lack of oxygen) and their relationship to later schizophrenia suggest, on the surface, that this type of environmental stress combined with genetic and other variables may trigger the expression of the disorder (Kotlicka-Antczak, Pawelczyk, Rabe-Jabłońska, Śmigielski, & Pawelczyk, 2014; Suvisaari et al., 2013). It is possible, however, that the genes carried by the fetus that make it vulnerable to schizophrenia may themselves contribute to the birth complications (van Os & Allardye, 2009).

The chronic and early use of marijuana (cannabis) is also being studied as a potential influence on the onset of schizophrenia (Murray & Castle, 2012). Some research suggests that people who use marijuana in high doses have an increased likelihood of developing schizophrenia for those with CNR1 genotypes (Ho, Wassink, Ziebell, & Andreasen, 2011) and that people with schizophrenia are more likely to have a cannabis use disorder than individuals without schizophrenia (Corcoran et al., 2008; Martins & Gorelick, 2011). The link between these two problems is not yet clearly understood, and there are conflicting findings about whether or not other factors may be responsible for this correlation (Murray & Castle, 2012).

Psychological and Social Influences

That one identical twin may develop schizophrenia and the other may not suggests that schizophrenia involves something in addition to genes. We know that early brain trauma, perhaps resulting from a second-trimester viruslike attack or obstetrical complications, may generate physical stress that contributes to schizophrenia. All these observations show clearly that schizophrenia does not fall neatly into a few simple causal packages. For instance, not all people with schizophrenia have enlarged ventricles, nor do they all have a hypofrontality or disrupted activity in their dopamine systems. The causal picture may be further complicated by psychological and social factors. We next look at research into psychosocial factors. Do emotional stressors or family interaction patterns *initiate* the symptoms of schizophrenia? If so, how might those factors cause people to relapse after a period of improvement?

Stress

It is important to learn how much and what kind of stress makes a person with a predisposition for schizophrenia develop the disorder. Think back to the two cases we presented at the beginning of this chapter. Did you notice any precipitating events? Arthur's father had died several years earlier, and Arthur was laid off from his job around the time his symptoms first appeared. David's uncle had died the same year he began acting strangely. Were these stressful events just coincidences, or did they contribute to the men's later problems?

Researchers have studied the effects of a variety of stressors on schizophrenia. Living in a large city, for example, is associated with an increased risk of developing schizophrenia—suggesting the stress of urban living may precipitate its onset (Boydell & Allardye, 2012). Dohrenwend and Egri (1981) observed that otherwise healthy people who engage in combat during a war often display temporary symptoms that resemble those of schizophrenia. In a classic study, Brown and Birley (1968; Birley & Brown, 1970) examined people whose onset of schizophrenia could be dated within a week. These individuals had experienced a high number of stressful life events in the 3 weeks before they started showing signs of the disorder. In a large-scale study sponsored by the World Health Organization, researchers also looked at the role of life events in the onset of schizophrenia (Day et al., 1987). This cross-national study confirmed the findings of Brown and Birley across eight research centers.

The *retrospective* nature of such research creates problems. Each study relies on after-the-fact reports, collected after the person showed signs of schizophrenia. One always wonders whether such reports are biased in some way and therefore misleading. At the same time, there are strong individual differences in how people experience the same life events, and people with schizophrenia may experience events differently than those without the disorder (Murray & Castle, 2012).

Do the symptoms of schizophrenia become worse as a result of stressful life experiences? This vulnerability–stress model of schizophrenia suggests that this is the case, and it may be helpful in predicting problems. One research study used a natural disaster—the 1994 Northridge, California, earthquake—to assess how people with schizophrenia would react to this stress when compared with those with bipolar disorder and healthy controls (Horan et al., 2007). Both patient groups reported more stress-related symptoms compared with the controls; however, the people with schizophrenia reported lower levels of self-esteem after the disaster and were more likely to engage in avoidance coping (not thinking about the problem or becoming resigned to difficulties) than the other two groups. Research on sociocultural stress, such as poverty, homelessness, early life adversity, growing up in an urban environment, minority group position, and the stress of being in a new country (van Os, Kenis, & Rutten, 2010; Lataster, Myin-Germeys, Lieb, Wittchen, & Van Os, 2012), suggests that these psychosocial stressors influence onset and possibly development of schizophrenia. Onset of symptoms usually happens as a result of environmental stressors, showing that these stressors may have their impact during sensitive periods in development. For example, stressors in early life may influence development of psychosis, possibly by increasing stressors later in life, making individuals more sensitive to later stressors, or both (Lataster et al., 2012).

A simple vulnerability can develop into a severe disorder from the interchange between gene–environment. Important research will isolate the gene–environment interactions in this area. For example, some studies now show that particular gene variances may predict which individuals with schizophrenia will be more likely to react negatively (such as relapse) with increased stress (Ascher-Svanum et al., 2010). These types of studies point to how stress can impact people with schizophrenia and may suggest useful



Courtesy of Jill Hooley

Jill Hooley of Harvard University is a noted researcher of expressed emotion in families with schizophrenia.

communication was used to portray a communication style that produced conflicting messages, which, in turn, caused schizophrenia to develop (Bateson, 1959). Here, the parent presumably communicates messages that have two conflicting meanings; for example, a mother responds coolly to her child's embrace but says, "Don't you love me anymore?" when the child withdraws. Although these theories are no longer supported, they have been—and in some cases continue to be—destructive, producing guilt in parents who are persuaded that their early mistakes caused devastating consequences.

Recent work has focused more on how family interactions contribute not to the onset of schizophrenia but to relapse after initial symptoms are observed. You will see that this research is similar to the work on vulnerability to stress in general that was just discussed. Research has focused on a particular emotional communication style known as **expressed emotion** (EE). This concept was formulated by George W. Brown and his colleagues in London. Following a sample of people who had been discharged from the hospital after an episode of schizophrenic symptoms, the researchers found that former patients who had limited contact with their relatives did better than the patients who spent longer periods with their families (Brown, 1959). Additional research results indicated that if the levels of criticism (disapproval), hostility (animosity), and emotional overinvolvement (intrusiveness) expressed by the families were high, patients tended to relapse (Brown, Monck, Carstairs, & Wing, 1962).

Other researchers have since found that ratings of high expressed emotion in a family are a good predictor of relapse among people with chronic schizophrenia (Cechnicki, Bielańska, Hanuszkiewicz, & Daren, 2013). If you have schizophrenia and live in a family with high expressed emotion, you are 3.7 times more likely to relapse than if you lived in a family with low expressed emotion (Kavanagh, 1992; Parker & Hadzi-Pavlovic, 1990). Here are examples of interviews that show how families of people with schizophrenia might communicate expressed emotion:

High Expressed Emotion

- I always say, "Why don't you pick up a book, do a crossword or something like that to keep your mind off it." That's even too much trouble.
- I've tried to jolly him out of it and pestered him into doing things. Maybe I've overdone it, I don't know.

treatments (such as cognitive-behavioral therapy to help them cope more appropriately) (Ascher-Svanum et al., 2010).

Families and Relapse

A great deal of research has studied how interactions within the family affect people who have schizophrenia. For example, the term **schizophrenogenic mother** was used for a time to describe a mother whose cold, dominant, and rejecting nature was thought to cause schizophrenia in her children (Fromm-Reichmann, 1948). In addition, the term **double bind**

Low Expressed Emotion

- I know it's better for her to be on her own, to get away from me and try to do things on her own.
- Whatever she does suits me.
- I just tend to let it go because I know that when she wants to speak she will speak. (Hooley, 1985, p. 134)

This style suggests that families with high expressed emotion view the symptoms of schizophrenia as controllable and that the hostility arises when family members think that patients just do not want to help themselves (Hooley & Campbell, 2002; McNab, Haslam, & Burnett, 2007). The literature on expressed emotion is valuable to our understanding of why symptoms of schizophrenia recur and may show us how to treat people with this disorder and their families so that they do not experience further psychotic episodes (Cechnicki et al., 2013).

An interesting issue that arises when studying family influences is whether what we see is unique to our culture or universal. Looking at expressed emotion across different cultures may help us learn whether expressed emotion is a *cause* of schizophrenia (Breitborde, López, & Kopelowicz, 2010; Kymäläinen & Weisman de Mamani, 2008). Remember that the rate of schizophrenia is observed to be about the same worldwide, with a prevalence of about 1% in the global population. If a factor like high expressed emotion in families is a causal agent, we should see the same rates in families across cultures; however, they differ, as you can see in **Figure 13.8**. These data come from an analysis of the concept of expressed emotion in several studies from India, Mexico, United




FIGURE 13.8

Cultural differences in expressed emotion.

Kingdom, and the United States (Jenkins & Karno, 1992). The differences suggest that there are cultural variations in how families react to someone with schizophrenia and their reactions do not cause the disorder (Singh, Harley, & Suhail, 2013). In addition, what may appear to be over-involvement in one culture may be viewed as supportive in other cultures.

Treatment of Schizophrenia

If you remember our descriptions of Arthur and David, you will recall their families' concern for them. Arthur's mother spoke of the "living nightmare," and David's aunt expressed concern for both her safety and David's. In each case, the family was desperate to help, but what do you do for someone who has delusions, hears his dead uncle's voice, or can't communicate complete thoughts? The search for help has taken many paths, sometimes down some disturbing roads; for example, in the 1500s, primitive surgery was conducted to remove the "stone of madness," which was thought to cause disturbed behavior. As barbaric as this practice may seem today, it is not different from the prefrontal lobotomies performed on people with schizophrenia as late as the 1950s. This procedure severed the frontal lobes from the lower portion of the brain, which sometimes calmed the patient but also caused cognitive and emotional deficits. Even today, some societies use crude surgical procedures to eliminate the symptoms of schizophrenia. In Kenya, for instance, Kisii tribal doctors listen to their patients to find the location of the noises in their heads (hallucinations), then get them drunk, cut out a piece of scalp, and scrape the skull in the area of the voices (Mustafa, 1990).

In the Western world today, treatment usually begins with one of the neuroleptic drugs invaluable in reducing the symptoms of schizophrenia for many people. They are typically used with a variety of psychosocial treatments to reduce relapse, compensate for skills deficits, and improve cooperation for taking the medications (Cunningham Owens & Johnstone, 2012).

Biological Interventions

Researchers have assumed for more than 100 years that schizophrenia requires some form of biological intervention. Kraepelin,



© Bridgeman Art Library, London/SuperStock

An early 16th-century painting of psychosurgery, in which part of the brain is removed to treat mental illness.

who so eloquently described dementia praecox in the late 19th century, saw the disorder as a brain disease. Lacking a biological treatment, he routinely recommended that the physician use "good patience, kindly disposition, and self-control" to calm excited patients (Nagel, 1991). This approach was seen as only a temporary way of helping the person through disturbing times and was not thought to be an actual treatment.

During the 1930s, several novel biological treatments were tried. One approach was to inject massive doses of insulin—the drug that given in smaller doses is used to treat diabetes—to induce comas in people suffering from schizophrenia. Insulin coma therapy was thought for a time to be helpful, but closer examination showed it carried great risk of serious illness and death. During this time, *psychosurgery*, including prefrontal lobotomies, was introduced, and in the late 1930s, electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) was advanced as a treatment for schizophrenia. As with earlier drastic treatments, initial enthusiasm for ECT faded because it was found not to be beneficial for most people with schizophrenia—although it is still used with a limited number of people today, sometimes in combination with antipsychotic medications (Zervas, Theleritis, & Soldatos, 2012). As we explained in Chapter 7, ECT is sometimes recommended for people who experience severe episodes of depression.

Antipsychotic Medications

A breakthrough in the treatment of schizophrenia came during the 1950s with the introduction of several drugs that relieved symptoms in many people (Cunningham Owens & Johnstone, 2012). Called *neuroleptics* (meaning "taking hold of the nerves"), these medications provided the first real hope that help was available for

people with schizophrenia. When they are effective, neuroleptics help people think more clearly and reduce hallucinations and delusions. They work by affecting the positive symptoms (delusions, hallucinations, and agitation) and to a lesser extent the negative and disorganized ones, such as social deficits. Table 13.2 shows the classes of these drugs (based on their chemical structure) and their trade names.

Recall from our discussion of the dopamine theory of schizophrenia that the neuroleptics are dopamine antagonists. One of their major actions in the brain is to interfere with the dopamine neurotransmitter system. They can also affect other systems, however, such as the serotonergic and glutamate system. We are just beginning to understand the mechanisms by which these drugs work.

In general, each drug is effective with some people and not with others. Clinicians and patients often must go through a trial-and-error process to find the medication that works best, and some individuals do not benefit significantly from any of them. The earliest neuroleptic drugs, called conventional or first-generation antipsychotics (such as Haldol and Thorazine), are effective for approximately 60% to 70% of people who try them (Cunningham Owens & Johnstone, 2012). Many people are not helped by antipsychotics, however, or they experience unpleasant side effects. Fortunately, some people respond well to newer medications—sometimes called atypical or second-generation antipsychotics; the most common are risperidone and olanzapine. These newer drugs were in part developed to help patients who were previously unresponsive to medications. A comparison of multiple clinical trials shows better efficacy, though small, in preventing symptoms reemergence for these newer drugs over the previous ones.

TABLE 13.2 Commonly Used Antipsychotic Medications

Class	Example*	Degree of Extrapiramidal Side Effects
Conventional Antipsychotics		
Phenothiazines	Chlorpromazine/ <i>Thorazine</i>	Moderate
	Fluphenazine/ <i>Prolixin</i>	High
	Mesoridazine/ <i>Serentil</i>	Low
	Perphenazine/ <i>Trilafon</i>	High
	Thioridazine/ <i>Mellaril</i>	Low
	Trifluoperazine/ <i>Stelazine</i>	High
Butyrophenone	Haloperidol/ <i>Haldol</i>	High
Others	Loxapine/ <i>Loxitane</i>	High
	Molindone/ <i>Moban</i>	Low
	Thiothixene/ <i>Navane</i>	High
Second-Generation Agents		
	Aripiprazole/ <i>Abilify</i>	Low
	Clozapine/ <i>Clozaril</i>	Low
	Olanzapine/ <i>Zyprexa</i>	Low
	Quetiapine/ <i>Seroquel</i>	Low
	Risperidone/ <i>Risperdal</i>	Low
	Ziprasidone/ <i>Geodon</i>	Low

*The trade name is in italics.

Source: Adapted from American Psychiatric Association. (2004). Practice guideline for the treatment of patients with schizophrenia, 2nd edition. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 161(Suppl.), 1–56.

(Kishimoto et al., 2013). Initially, it was thought that the newer drugs had fewer serious side effects than the conventional antipsychotics. However, two large-scale studies—one conducted in the United States (called the “Clinical Antipsychotic Trials of Intervention Effectiveness” or CATIE) (Stroup & Lieberman, 2010) and one in the United Kingdom (called the “Cost Utility of the Latest Antipsychotic Drugs in Schizophrenia Study” or CuTASS) (Jones et al., 2006)—found that the second-generation drugs were no more effective or better tolerated than the older drugs (Lieberman & Stroup, 2011). These results point out how important it is to carefully study the outcomes of all new treatments.

Noncompliance with Medication: Why?

Despite the optimism generated by the effectiveness of antipsychotics, they work only when they are taken properly, and many people with schizophrenia do not routinely take their medication. David frequently “cheeked” the Haldol pills that were helpful in reducing his hallucinations, holding them in his mouth until he was alone, then spitting them out. In the large-scale study we just mentioned, 74% of those studied had stopped taking their medications 18 months after initial use (Lieberman & Stroup, 2011).

A number of factors seem to be related to patients’ noncompliance with a medication regimen, including negative doctor-patient relationships, cost of the medication, stigma, and poor social support (Haddad, Brain, & Scott, 2014). Not surprisingly, negative side effects are a major factor in patient refusal. Antipsychotics can produce a number of unwanted physical symptoms, such as grogginess, blurred vision, and dryness of the mouth. Because the drugs affect neurotransmitter systems, more serious side effects, called *extrapyramidal symptoms*, can also result (Cunningham Owens & Johnstone, 2012). These symptoms include the motor difficulties similar to those experienced by people with Parkinson’s disease, sometimes called Parkinsonian symptoms. *Akinesia* is one of the most common; it includes an expressionless face, slow motor activity, and monotonous speech. Another extrapyramidal symptom is *tardive dyskinesia*, which involves involuntary movements of the tongue, face, mouth, or jaw and can include protrusions of the tongue, puffing of the cheeks, puckering of the mouth, and chewing movements. Tardive dyskinesia seems to result from long-term use of high doses of antipsychotic medication and is often irreversible. Studies have shown that up to 20% to 50% of all patients treated with antipsychotics develop tardive dyskinesia with lower risk for younger people (only 3% to 5% of younger people taking this medication display tardive dyskinesia, with the risk increasing over time) (Waln & Jankovic, 2013). These serious negative side effects have justifiably concerned people who otherwise benefit from the drugs.

To learn what patients themselves say, Windgassen (1992) questioned 61 people who had had recent onsets of schizophrenia. About half reported the feeling of sedation or grogginess as an unpleasant side effect: “I always have to fight to keep my eyes open,” “I felt as though I was on drugs . . . drowsy, and yet really wound up” (p. 407). Other complaints included deterioration in the ability to think or concentrate (18%), problems with salivation (16%), and blurred vision (16%). Although a third of the patients felt the medications were beneficial, about 25% had a negative



Radius Images/Jupiter Images

One of the major obstacles to drug treatment for schizophrenia is compliance. Patients discontinue their medication for a variety of reasons, including the negative side effects.

attitude toward them. A significant number of people who could benefit from antipsychotic medications find them unacceptable as a treatment, which may explain the relatively high rates of refusal and noncompliance (Sendt, Tracy, & Bhattacharyya, 2015; Yamada et al., 2006).

Researchers have made this a major treatment issue in schizophrenia, realizing that medications can't be successful if they aren't taken regularly. Researchers hoped compliance rates would improve with the introduction of injectable medications. Instead of taking an oral antipsychotic every day, patients can have their medications injected every few weeks. Unfortunately, noncompliance remains an issue, primarily because patients do not return to the hospital or clinic for repeated doses (Kane et al., 2009; Kishimoto et al., 2012). Psychosocial interventions are now used not only to treat schizophrenia but also to increase medication-taking compliance by helping patients communicate better with professionals about their concerns.

Additional Biological Treatments

An interesting treatment for the hallucinations experienced by many people with schizophrenia involves exposing the individual to magnetic fields. Called *transcranial magnetic stimulation*, this technique uses wire coils to repeatedly generate magnetic fields—up to 50 times per second—that pass through the skull to the brain. This input seems to interrupt temporarily the normal communication to that part of the brain. Hoffman and colleagues (2000, 2003) used this technique to stimulate the area of the brain involved in hallucinations for individuals with schizophrenia who experienced auditory hallucinations. They found that many of the individuals experienced improvement following transcranial magnetic stimulation. Subsequent studies have also shown promising results (Dougall, Maayan, Soares-Weiser, McDermott, & McIntosh, 2015) but more stringent clinical trials are needed to demonstrate that this treatment works. Additionally, follow-up

data is needed to test whether the improvements last. Preliminary research has shown that though this intervention may modestly improve auditory hallucinations, its effects last less than a month (Slotema, Aleman, Daskalakis, & Sommer, 2012).

Lastly, recent research has explored the added effect of the medication modafinil when taken in addition to antipsychotic medications. Modafinil is a cognitive enhancer with low abuse potential. In schizophrenia, this drug may improve cognitive functions, such as memory and problem solving. Some limited research also suggests that the drug may improve emotion processing in schizophrenia (Scorielis, Jones, & Sahakian, 2013).

Psychosocial Interventions

Historically, a number of psychosocial treatments have been tried for schizophrenia, reflecting the belief that the disorder results from problems in adapting to the world because of early experiences (Cunningham Owens & Johnstone, 2012). Many

therapists have thought that individuals who could achieve insight into the presumed role of their personal histories could be safely led to deal with their current situations. Although clinicians who take a traditional psychodynamic or psychoanalytic approach to therapy continue to use this type of treatment, research suggests that their efforts at best may not be beneficial and at worst may be harmful (Mueser & Berenbaum, 1990; Scott & Dixon, 1995).

Today, few believe that psychological factors cause people to have schizophrenia or that traditional psychotherapeutic approaches will cure them. Nevertheless, you will see that psychological methods have an important role. Despite the great promise of drug treatment, the problems with ineffectiveness, inconsistent use, and relapse suggest that by themselves drugs may not be effective with many people. As with a number of the disorders discussed in this text, recent work in the area of psychosocial intervention has suggested the value of an approach that uses both kinds of treatment (Mueser & Marcello, 2011).

Until relatively recently, most people with severe and chronic cases of schizophrenia were treated in hospital settings. During the 19th century, inpatient care involved “moral treatment,” which emphasized improving patients’ socialization, helping them establish routines for self-control, and showing them the value of work and religion (Tehula et al., 2009). Various types of such “milieu” treatments (changing the physical and social environment—usually to make institutional settings more homelike) have been popular, but, with one important exception: None seems to have helped people with schizophrenia.

Gordon Paul and Robert Lentz conducted pioneering work in the 1970s at a mental health center in Illinois (Paul & Lentz, 1977). Borrowing from the behavioral approaches used by Ted Aylon and Nate Azrin (1968), Paul and Lentz designed an environment for inpatients that encouraged appropriate socialization, participation in group sessions, and self-care such as bed making while discouraging violent outbursts. They set up an elaborate

token economy, in which residents could earn access to meals and small luxuries by behaving appropriately. A patient could, for example, buy cigarettes with the tokens he earned for keeping his room neat. On the other hand, a patient would be fined (lose tokens) for being disruptive or otherwise acting inappropriately. This incentive system was combined with a full schedule of daily activities. Paul and Lentz compared the effectiveness of applied behavioral (or social learning) principles with traditional inpatient environments. In general, they found that patients who went through their program did better than others on social, self-care, and vocational skills, and more of them could be discharged from the hospital. This study was one of the first to show that people suffering from the debilitating effects of schizophrenia can learn to perform some skills they need to live more independently.

During the years since 1955, many efforts have combined to halt the routine institutionalization of people with schizophrenia in the United States (Fakhoury & Priebe, 2007). This trend has occurred partly because of court rulings that limit involuntary hospitalization (as we saw in Arthur's case) and partly because of the relative success of antipsychotic medication. The bad news is that policies of deinstitutionalization have often been ill conceived, with the result that many people who have schizophrenia or other serious psychological disorders are homeless—the number is estimated at between 150,000 and 200,000 people in the United States alone (Foster, Gable, & Buckley, 2012; Pearson, Montgomery, & Locke, 2009). The good news is that more attention is being focused on supporting these people in their communities, among their friends and families. The trend is away from creating better hospital environments and toward the perhaps more difficult task of addressing complex problems in the less predictable and insecure world outside. So far, only a small fraction of the growing number of homeless individuals with mental disorders is being helped.

One of the more insidious effects of schizophrenia is its negative impact on a person's ability to relate to other people. Although not as dramatic as hallucinations and delusions, problems with social skills can be the most visible impairment displayed by people with schizophrenia and can prevent them from getting and keeping jobs and making friends. Clinicians attempt to reteach social skills such as basic conversation, assertiveness, and relationship building to people with schizophrenia (Mueser & Marcello, 2011).

Therapists divide complex social skills into their component parts, which they model. Then the clients do role-playing and ultimately practice their new skills in the "real world," all the while receiving feedback and encouragement at signs of progress. This isn't as easy as it may sound. For example, how would you teach someone to make a friend? Many skills are involved, such as maintaining eye contact when you talk to

someone and providing the prospective friend with some (but not too much) positive feedback on her own behavior ("I really enjoy talking to you"). Such individual skills are practiced and then combined until they can be used naturally (Kurtz & Richardson, 2012). The challenge of teaching social skills, as with all therapies, is to maintain the effects over a long period.

In addition to social skills, programs often teach a range of ways people can adapt to their disorder yet live in the community. At the Independent Living Skills Program at the University of California, Los Angeles, for example, the focus is on helping people take charge of their own care by such methods as identifying signs that warn of a relapse and learning how to manage their medication (see Table 13.3) (Liberman, 2007). Preliminary evidence indicates that this type of training may help prevent relapses by people with schizophrenia, although longer-term outcome research is needed to see how long the effects last (Cunningham Owens & Johnstone, 2012). To address some obstacles to this much-desired maintenance, such programs combine skills training with the support of a multidisciplinary team that provides services directly in the community, which seems to reduce hospitalization (Cunningham Owens & Johnstone, 2012). The more time and effort given to these services, the more likely the improvement.

Is there a role for new technologies in the diagnosis and treatment of schizophrenia? Creative researchers are answering this question in a number of exciting developments for the field. One study looked to improve the understanding of schizophrenia by using virtual reality technology to simulate multiple cognitive tasks (Macedo, Marques & Queirós, 2015; Sorkin, Weinshall, Modai, & Peled, 2006). Researchers created a gamelike task to test aspects of working memory and perseveration (focusing on the same things repeatedly) and found not only that this approach could create real-life simulations that revealed deficits but also that the tasks could be fun. A study carried out at King's College in London tested the nature of paranoia in a virtual reality environment among groups with low paranoia, nonclinical paranoia, and those with persecutory delusions (Freeman, Pugh, Vorontsova, Antley, & Slater, 2010). Researchers constructed a virtual scene that depicts a London subway and created avatars as passengers that at times would look at the study participant (see accompanying photos).

Across the groups, there were meaningful differences in levels of anxiety, worry, interpersonal sensitivity, and depression according to their previous levels of paranoia. This type of assessment provided a safe environment in which to assess and study persecutory paranoia in the groups. Other research is using this technology to assist older persons with schizophrenia improve their cognitive and general motor skills (for example, by having them push away colorful balls that are floating toward them in a virtual world) (Chan, Ngai, Leung, & Wong, 2010). Again, these virtual assessments and treatments provide clinicians with controllable and safer environments in which to study and treat persons with schizophrenia.

Another psychosocial intervention for schizophrenia is cognitive remediation aimed at improving cognitive processes, such as attention, executive functioning, and memory, all of which are associated with impairments over the course of schizophrenia. Impairment in these cognitive areas predicts patients' overall

Ghislain & Marie David de Lossy/The Image Bank/Getty Images



A mother is glad to have her daughter home from a psychiatric hospital, but acknowledges that "Now the real struggle begins."

TABLE 13.3 Independent Living Skills Program at UCLA

Module	Skill Areas	Learning Objectives
Symptom management	Identifying warning signs of relapse	To identify personal warning signs To monitor personal warning signs with assistance from others
	Managing warning signs	To obtain assistance from health-care providers in differentiating personal warning signs from persistent symptoms, medication side effects, and variations in mood; to develop an emergency plan for responding to warning signs
	Coping with persistent symptoms	To recognize and monitor persistent personal symptoms; to obtain assistance from health-care providers in differentiating persistent symptoms from warning signs, medication side effects, and variations in mood; to use specific techniques for coping with persistent symptoms To monitor persistent symptoms daily
	Avoiding alcohol and street drugs	To identify adverse effects of alcohol and illicit drugs and benefits of avoiding them; to refuse offers of alcohol and street drugs; to know how to resist using these substances in coping with anxiety, low self-esteem, or depression; to discuss openly use of alcohol and drugs with health-care providers
Medication management	Obtaining information on antipsychotic medication	To understand how these drugs work, why maintenance drug therapy is used, and the benefits of taking medication
	Knowing correct self-administration and evaluation	To follow the appropriate procedures for taking medication; to evaluate responses to medication daily
	Identifying side effects of medication	To know the specific side effects that sometimes result from taking medication and what to do when these problems occur
	Negotiating medication issues with health-care providers	To practice ways of obtaining assistance when problems occur with medication

Source: Reprinted, with permission, from Eckman, T. A., Wirshing, W. C., Marder, S. R., Liberman, R. P., Johnston-Cronk, K., Zimmermann, K., & Mintz, J. (1992). Techniques for training schizophrenic patients in illness self-management: A controlled trial. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 149, 1549–1555, © 1992 American Psychiatric Association.

functioning and poor response to other treatments (e.g., psychological rehabilitation or social skills training) (Mueser, Deavers, Penn, & Cassisi, 2013). Thus, a primary goal of cognitive remediation is to improve cognitive processes for those suffering from schizophrenia in order to increase these individuals' functioning in the community. The intervention consists of cognitive practice exercises and learning cognitive strategies. This intervention seems to improve cognitive abilities and overall functioning, especially when combined with other treatments, such as psychological rehabilitation (Mueser et al., 2013). Also, studies suggest that patients' functioning improves more when a strategy-based approach is taken (Wykes, Huddy, Cellard, McGurk, & Czobor, 2011).

In our discussion of the psychosocial influences on schizophrenia, we reviewed some work linking the person's social and emotional environments to the recurrence of schizophrenic episodes (McNab et al., 2007). It is logical to ask whether families could be helped by learning to reduce their level of expressed emotion and whether this would result in fewer relapses and better overall functioning for people with schizophrenia. Several studies have addressed these issues in a variety of ways (Falloon et al., 1985; Hogarty et al., 1986, 1991), and behavioral family therapy has been used to teach the families of people with schizophrenia to be more supportive (Dixon & Lehman, 1995; Mueser, Liberman, & Glynn, 1990). Research on professionals who provide care for people who have schizophrenia, and who may display high levels of expressed emotion, is also an active area of study (Cunningham Owens & Johnstone, 2012).

In contrast to traditional therapy, behavioral family therapy resembles classroom education (Falloon, 2015; Lefley, 2009). Family members are informed about schizophrenia and its treatment, relieved of the myth that they caused the disorder, and taught practical facts about antipsychotic medications and their side effects. They are also helped with communication skills so that they can become more empathic listeners, and they learn constructive ways of expressing negative feelings to replace the harsh criticism that characterizes some family interactions. In addition, they learn problem-solving skills to help them resolve conflicts that arise. Like the research on social skills training, outcome research suggests that the effects of behavioral family therapy are significant during the first year but less robust 2 years after intervention (Cunningham Owens & Johnstone, 2012). This type of therapy, therefore, must be ongoing if patients and their families are to benefit from it.

Adults with schizophrenia face great obstacles to maintaining gainful employment. Their social skills deficits make reliable job performance and adequate employee relationships a struggle. To address these difficulties, some programs focus on vocational rehabilitation, such as supportive employment. Supportive employment involves providing coaches who give on-the-job training, and these efforts can help some people with schizophrenia maintain meaningful jobs (Mueser & Marcello, 2010).


Research suggests that individual social skills training, family intervention, and vocational rehabilitation may be helpful additions to biological (drug) treatment for schizophrenia. Significant



Researchers are using virtual-reality technology to better understand the complexity of schizophrenia. The photo at the top illustrates a participant in a study of paranoia. The bottom photo shows what the participants see. This technology allows researchers to closely control positions and facial expressions of the virtual people on the train.

relapses may be avoided or delayed by such psychosocial interventions. ● Figure 13.9 illustrates the studies reviewed by one group (Falloon, Brooker, & Graham-Hole, 1992), which show that multilevel treatments reduce the number of relapses among people receiving drug therapy in comparison with simple social support or educational efforts.

Where treatment occurs, it has expanded over the years from locked wards in large mental hospitals, to family homes, to local communities. In addition, the services have expanded to include self-advocacy and self-help groups. Former patients have organized programs such as Fountain House in New York City to provide mutual support. Psychosocial clubs have differing



● FIGURE 13.9

Studies on treatment of schizophrenia from 1980 to 1992.
(Adapted from Falloon, I. R. H., Brooker, C., & Graham-Hole, V. (1992). Psychosocial interventions for schizophrenia. *Behaviour Change*, 9, 238–245.)

models, but all are “person centered” and focus on obtaining positive experiences through employment opportunities, friendship, and empowerment. Many see this consumer-run self-help model as an added component to more specific interventions such as social skills training, family intervention, and medical management of symptoms. Some research indicates that participation may help reduce relapses, but as it is also possible that those who participate may be a special group of individuals, it is difficult to interpret improvements (Davidson, Chinman, Sells, & Rowe, 2006; Goering et al., 2006).

Because schizophrenia is a complex disorder that affects multiple areas of functioning, effective treatment is carried out at several levels. Table 13.4 lists six approaches to treatment that have proved effective in assisting these individuals to achieve higher quality lives. Probably the most extensively studied program is the assertive community treatment (ACT) program that grew out of work by researchers in Madison, Wisconsin (Swartz et al., 2006). ACT involves using a multidisciplinary team of professionals to provide broad-ranging treatment across all domains, including medication management, psychosocial treatment, and vocational training and support. As you can see, one approach alone is not sufficient to address the many needs of people with schizophrenia and their families (Cunningham Owens & Johnstone, 2012; Mueser & Marcello, 2011).

Treatment across Cultures

Treatment of schizophrenia and its delivery differ from one country to another and across cultures within countries. For example, the vast majority of the Xhosa people of South Africa who have schizophrenia report using traditional healers who sometimes recommend the use of oral treatments to induce vomiting, enemas, and the slaughter of cattle to appease the spirits (Koen, Niehaus, Muller, & Laurent, 2008). Latinos may be less likely than

TABLE 13.4 An Integrative Treatment Approach

Treatment	Description
Collaborative psychopharmacology	Using antipsychotic medications to treat the main symptoms of the disorder (hallucinations, delusions), as well as using other medications for secondary symptoms (for example, antidepressant medication for people with secondary depression)
Assertive community treatment	Providing support in the community, with emphasis on small caseloads for care providers, services in the community setting rather than a clinic, and 24-hour coverage
Family psychoeducation	Assisting family members, including educating them about the disorder and its management, helping them reduce stress and tension in the home, and providing social support
Supportive employment	Providing sufficient support before and during employment so that the person can find and keep a meaningful job
Illness management and recovery	Helping the individual become an active participant in treatment, including providing education about the disorder, teaching effective use of medication strategies for collaborating with clinicians, and coping with symptoms when they reoccur
Integrated dual-disorders treatment	Treating coexisting substance use

other groups to seek help in institutional settings, relying instead on family support (Hernandez, Barrio, & Yamada, 2013; Liberman & Kopelowicz, 2009). Adapting treatments to make them culturally relevant—in this case, adding important relatives to the social skills training of Latinos with schizophrenia—is essential for effectiveness (Kopelowicz et al., 2012). In one interesting study, beliefs about symptoms and treatments were compared between British and Chinese populations (Furnham & Wong, 2007). Native Chinese hold more religious beliefs about both the causes and the

treatments of schizophrenia than those living in England—for example, endorsing statements such as “Schizophrenia is due to evil done in a previous life” and “Ancestor worship (burning candles and joss sticks) will help treat schizophrenia.” These different beliefs translate into practice—with the British using more biological, psychological, and community treatments, and the Chinese relying more on alternative medicine (Furnham & Wong, 2007). Supernatural beliefs about the cause of schizophrenia among family members in Bali lead to limited use of antipsychotic medication in treatment (Kurihara, Kato, Reverger, & Gusti Rai Tirta, 2006). In many countries in Africa, people with schizophrenia are kept in prisons, primarily because of the lack of adequate alternatives (Mustafa, 1990). In general, the movement from housing people in large institutional settings to community care is ongoing in most Western countries.

Prevention

One strategy for preventing a disorder such as schizophrenia—which typically first shows itself in early adulthood—is to identify and treat children who may be at risk for getting the disorder later in life. In our discussion of genetics, we noted that approximately 17% of the children born to parents who have schizophrenia are likely themselves to develop the disorder. These high-risk children have been the focus of several studies.

A classic at-risk study was initiated in the 1960s by Sarnoff A. Mednick and Fini Schulsinger (1965, 1968). They identified 207 Danish children of mothers who had severe cases of schizophrenia and 104 control children born to mothers who had no history of the disorder. The average age of these children was about 15 when they were first identified, and the researchers followed them for 10 more years to determine whether any factors had predicted who would and would not develop schizophrenia. We have already discussed pregnancy and delivery-related complications. Mednick and Schulsinger also identified *instability of early family rearing environment*, which suggests that environmental influences may trigger the onset of schizophrenia (Cannon et al.,



Paula Bronstein/Getty Images

Treatment for the symptoms of schizophrenia vary widely by culture—from humane approaches using empirically validated interventions to simply removing the person from society.

1991). Poor parenting may place additional strain on a vulnerable person who is already at risk.

One approach to prevention of schizophrenia receiving increased attention is the treatment of persons in the prodromal stages of the disorder. Here the individual is beginning to show early mild signs of schizophrenia (e.g., hallucinations, delusions) but is aware of these changes. Efforts to intervene with these individuals are being investigated as a means of either stopping progression of the disorder or preventing relapses (Cunningham Owens & Johnstone, 2012).

DSM-5 Controversies: Attenuated Psychosis Syndrome

One of the most discussed changes in DSM-5 related to schizophrenia spectrum and other psychotic disorders was the possible inclusion of a new diagnosis, Attenuated Psychosis Syndrome. Recall that this diagnosis would be given to a person who is beginning to experience one or more of the symptoms of schizophrenia such as hallucinations or delusions but is aware that these are unusual experiences and are not typical for a healthy person (i.e., relatively intact reality testing). They are at high risk for having more severe symptoms as


displayed in schizophrenia spectrum disorder. The argument for including this set of symptoms as a new disorder is that catching the person in these early stages might prove helpful for early intervention efforts (Pagsberg, 2013). It is possible that getting the symptoms under control before they become severe might save the person from years of suffering (Woods, Walsh, Saksa, & McGlashan, 2010).

On the other hand, some argued against focusing attention on this select group of individuals. From a public health perspective,

some suggest that rather than limit prevention efforts to this group, that broader attention should be paid to the mental health status of the general population to screen for and provide services for any person showing signs of these disturbances (van Os, 2011). DSM-5 “cut the baby in half” by including the disorder in its section “condition for further study.” It remains to be seen if this set of criteria will eventually make its way into the DSM and what impact that will have on treatment and outcomes for those affected.

Exploring Schizophrenia

- Schizophrenia disrupts perception of the world, thought, speech, movement, and almost every other aspect of daily functioning.
- Usually chronic with a high relapse rate; complete recovery from schizophrenia is rare.



PhotoDisc/Getty Images

TREATMENT OF SCHIZOPHRENIA

Treatment

Individual, Group, and Family Therapy



- Can help patient and family understand the disease and symptom triggers.
- Teaches families communication skills.
- Provides resources for dealing with emotional and practical challenges.

Social Skills Training



- Can occur in hospital or community settings.
- Teaches the person with schizophrenia social, self-care, and vocational skills.

Medications



- Taking neuroleptic medications may help people with schizophrenia to:
 - Clarify thinking and perceptions of reality
 - Reduce hallucinations and delusions
- Drug treatment must be consistent to be effective. Inconsistent dosage may aggravate existing symptoms or create new ones.

SYMPTOMS OF SCHIZOPHRENIA

People with schizophrenia do not all show the same kinds of symptoms.

Symptoms vary from person to person and may be cyclical. Common symptoms include:

Symptoms	 A person in a green hooded cloak, possibly representing delusions or hallucinations.	
Delusions		<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Unrealistic and bizarre beliefs not shared by others in the culture■ May be delusions of grandeur (that you are really Mother Teresa or Napoleon) or delusions of persecution (the cyclist who believed her competitors were sabotaging her by putting pebbles in the road)
Hallucinations	 A close-up of a person's face, possibly representing hallucinations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Sensory events that aren't based on any external event (hearing voices, seeing people who have died)■ Many have auditory hallucinations (David hears his dead uncle talking to him)
Disorganized Speech	 A person with their mouth open, possibly representing disorganized speech.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Jumping from topic to topic■ Talking illogically (not answering direct questions, going off on tangents)■ Speaking in unintelligible words and sentences
Behavioral Problems	 A person laughing, possibly representing behavioral problems.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Pacing excitedly, wild agitation■ Catatonic immobility■ Waxy flexibility (keeping body parts in the same position when they are moved by someone else)■ Inappropriate dress (coats in the summer, shorts in the winter)■ Inappropriate affect■ Ignoring personal hygiene
Withdrawal	 Two people looking at each other, possibly representing withdrawal.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Lack of emotional response (flat speech, little change in facial expressions)■ Apathy (little interest in day-to-day activities)■ Delayed and brief responses in conversation■ Loss of enjoyment in pleasurable activities (eating, socializing, sex)

CHAPTER OUTLINE

Overview of Neurodevelopmental Disorders

What Is Normal? What Is Abnormal?

Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder

Specific Learning Disorder

Autism Spectrum Disorder

Treatment of Autism Spectrum Disorder

Intellectual Disability (Intellectual Developmental Disorder)

Causes

Prevention of Neurodevelopmental Disorders



Denis Kuyav/Sutterstock.com

Use scientific reasoning to interpret behavior:

- Identify basic biological, psychological, and social components of behavioral explanations (e.g., inferences, observations, operational definitions, and interpretations). (APA SLO 2.1a) (see textbook pages 523, 527, 532, 536–538, 543–546)

Engage in innovative and integrative thinking and problem solving:

- Describe problems operationally to study them empirically. (APA SLO 2.3a) (see textbook pages 526, 531, 535, 542)

Describe applications that employ discipline-based problem solving:

- Correctly identify antecedents and consequences of behavior and mental processes (APA SLO 1.3c). (see textbook pages 528, 536–538, 546)
- Describe examples of relevant and practical applications of psychological principles to everyday life. (APA SLO 1.3a) (see textbook pages 528, 529, 533, 538–540, 546–548)

*Portions of this chapter cover learning outcomes suggested by the American Psychological Association (2013) in its guidelines for the undergraduate psychology major. Chapter coverage of these outcomes is identified above by APA Goal and APA Suggested Learning Outcome (SLO).

Overview of Neurodevelopmental Disorders

Almost all disorders described in this book are developmental disorders in the sense that they change over time. Most disorders originate in childhood, although the full presentation of the problem may not manifest itself until much later. Disorders that show themselves early in life often persist as the person grows older, so the term *childhood disorder* may be misleading. Because the developmental disorders in this group are all believed to be neurologically based, *DSM-5* categorizes them as **neurodevelopmental disorders** (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). In this chapter, we cover those disorders that are revealed in a clinically significant way during a child's developing years and are of concern to families and educators. Remember, however, that these difficulties often persist through adulthood and are typically lifelong problems, not problems unique to children.

Again, a number of difficulties and, indeed, distinct disorders begin in childhood. In certain disorders, some children are fine except for difficulties with talking. Others have problems relating to their peers. Still other children have a combination of conditions that significantly hinder their development, as illustrated by the case of Timmy.

Timmy...

The Boy Who Looked Right Through You

Timmy, a beautiful blond baby, was born with the umbilical cord wrapped around his neck, so he had been without oxygen for an unknown period. Nonetheless, he appeared to be a healthy little boy. His mother later related that he was a good baby who rarely cried, although she was concerned he didn't like to be picked up and cuddled. His family became worried about his development when he was 2 years old and didn't talk (his older sister had at that age). They also noticed that he didn't play with other children; he spent most of his time alone, spinning plates on the floor, waving his hands in front of his face, and lining up blocks in a certain order.

The family's pediatrician assured them that Timmy was just developing at a different rate and would grow out of it. When, at age 3, Timmy's behavior persisted, his parents consulted a second pediatrician. Neurological examinations revealed nothing unusual but suggested, on the basis of Timmy's delay in learning such basic skills as talking and feeding himself, that he had severe intellectual disability.

Timmy's mother did not accept this diagnosis, and over the next few years she consulted numerous other professionals and received numerous diagnoses (including childhood schizophrenia, childhood psychosis, and developmental delay). By age 7, Timmy still didn't speak or play with other children, and he was developing aggressive and self-injurious behaviors. His parents brought him to a clinic for children with severe disabilities. Here, Timmy was diagnosed as having autism.

The clinic specialists recommended a comprehensive educational program of intensive behavioral intervention to help Timmy with language and socialization and to counter his increasing tendency to engage in tantrums. The work continued daily for approximately 10 years, both at the clinic and at home. During this time, Timmy learned to say only three words: "soda," "cookie," and "Mama." Socially, he appeared to like other people (especially adults), but his interest seemed to center on their ability to get him something he wanted, such as a favorite food or drink. If his surroundings were changed in even a minor way, Timmy became disruptive and violent to the point of hurting himself; to minimize his self-injurious behavior, the family took care to ensure that his surroundings stayed the same as much as possible. No real progress was made toward eliminating his violent behavior, however, and as he grew bigger and stronger, he became increasingly difficult to work with; he hurt his mother physically on several occasions. With great reluctance, she institutionalized Timmy when he was 17. •

As clinicians have grown to appreciate the far-reaching effects of childhood problems and the importance of early intervention in treating most disorders, they have become more interested in understanding the diversity of severe problems experienced in early life. Timmy was diagnosed with “autism” (now referred to as *autism spectrum disorder*) in the early 1970s. More than four decades later, we know more—although still not enough—about how to help children who have autism spectrum disorder. Who can say what the prognosis for Timmy might be today, especially if he were diagnosed and treated at age 2 instead of at age 7?

What Is Normal? What Is Abnormal?

Before we discuss specific disorders, we need to address the broad topic of development in relation to disorders usually first diagnosed in infancy, childhood, or adolescence. What can we learn from children like Timmy, and what effect do the early disruptions in their skills have on their later lives? Does it matter when in the developmental period certain problems arise? Are disruptions in development permanent, thus making any hope for treatment doubtful?

Recall that in Chapter 2 we described developmental psychopathology as the study of how disorders arise and how they change with time (Nigg, 2015). Childhood is considered particularly important, because the brain changes significantly for several years after birth; this is also when critical developments occur in social, emotional, cognitive, and other important competency areas. These changes mostly follow a pattern: The child develops one skill before acquiring the next, and subsequent skills often build upon one another. Although this pattern of change is only one aspect of development, it is an important concept at this point because it implies that any disruption in the development of early skills will, by the very nature of this sequential process, disrupt the development of later skills. For example, some researchers believe that people with autism spectrum disorder suffer from a disruption in early social development, which prevents them from developing important social relationships, even with their parents (Durand, 2014). From a developmental perspective, the absence of early and meaningful social relationships has serious consequences. Children whose motivation to interact with others is disrupted may have a more difficult time learning to communicate; that is, they may not want to learn to speak if other people are not important to them. Researchers don’t know whether a disruption in communication skills is a direct outcome of the disorder or a by-product of disrupted early social development.

Understanding this type of developmental relationship is important for several reasons. Knowing what processes are disrupted will help us understand the disorder better and may lead to more appropriate intervention strategies. It may be important to identify children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, for example, because their problems with impulsivity may interfere with their ability to create and maintain

friendships, an important developmental consideration. Similarly, identifying a disorder such as autism spectrum disorder at an early age is important for these children so that their social deficits can be addressed before they affect other skill domains, such as social communication. Too often, people see early and pervasive disruptions in developmental skills (such as you saw with Timmy) and expect a negative prognosis, with the problems predetermined and permanent. Remember, however, that biological and psychosocial influences continuously interact with each other. Therefore, even for disorders such as attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and autism spectrum disorder that have clear biological bases, the presentation of the disorder is different for each individual. Changes at the biological or the psychosocial level may reduce the impact of the disorder.

One note of caution is appropriate here. There is real concern in the profession, especially among developmental psychologists, that some workers in the field may view aspects of normal development as symptoms of abnormality. For example, *echolalia*, which involves repeating the speech of others, was once thought to be a sign of autism spectrum disorder. When we study the development of speech in children without disorders, however, we find that repeating what someone else says is an intermediate step in language development. In children with autism spectrum disorder, therefore, echolalia is just a sign of relatively delayed language skills and not a symptom of their disorder (Roberts, 2014). Knowledge of development is important for understanding the nature of psychological disorders.

With that caveat in mind, we now examine several disorders usually diagnosed first in infancy, childhood, or adolescence, including *attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder*, which involves characteristics of inattention or hyperactivity and impulsivity, and *specific learning disorder*, which is characterized by one or more difficulties in areas such as reading and writing. We then focus on *autism spectrum disorder*, a more severe disability, in which the child shows significant impairment in social communication and has restricted patterns of behavior, interest, and activities. Finally, we examine *intellectual disability*, which involves considerable deficits in cognitive abilities. Communication and motor disabilities, which are also considered neurodevelopmental disorders, are described in Table 14.1.

Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder

Do you know people who flit from activity to activity, who start many tasks but seldom finish one, who have trouble concentrating, and who don’t seem to pay attention when others speak? These people may have **attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)**, one of the most common reasons children are referred for mental health services in the United States. The primary characteristics of such people include a pattern of inattention, such as being disorganized or forgetful about school or work-related tasks, or of hyperactivity and impulsivity. These deficits can significantly

TABLE 14.1 Common Communication and Motor Disorders.

Childhood-Onset Fluency Disorder			
Clinical Description	Statistics	Etiology	Treatment
A disturbance in speech fluency that includes a number of problems with speech, such as repeating syllables or words, prolonging certain sounds, making obvious pauses, or substituting words to replace ones that are difficult to articulate.	Occurs twice as often among boys as among girls. Begins most often in children by the age of 6, and 98% of cases occur before the age of 10 (Maguire, Yeh, & Ito, 2012). Approximately 80% of children who stutter before they enter school will no longer stutter after they have been in school a year or so (Kroll & Beitchman, 2005).	Rather than anxiety causing childhood-onset fluency disorder, this problem makes people socially anxious (Ezrati-Vinacour & Levin, 2004). Multiple brain pathways appear to be involved, and genetic influences may be a factor (Maguire et al., 2012).	Parents are counseled about how to talk to their children. <i>Regulated-breathing method</i> is a promising behavioral treatment in which the person is instructed to stop speaking when a stuttering episode occurs and then to take a deep breath (exhale, then inhale) before proceeding (Onslow, Jones, O'Brian, Packman, & Menzies, 2012). Altered auditory feedback (electronically changing speech feedback to people who stutter) can improve speech, as can using forms of self-monitoring, in which people modify their own speech for the words they stutter (Onslow et al., 2012).
Language Disorder			
Clinical Description	Statistics	Etiology	Treatment
Limited speech in <i>all</i> situations. <i>Expressive language</i> (what is said) is significantly below <i>receptive language</i> (what is understood); the latter is usually average.	Occurs in 10% to 15% of children younger than 3 years of age (Johnson & Beitchman, 2005) and is almost five times as likely to affect boys as girls (Whitehurst et al., 1988).	An unfounded psychological explanation is that the children's parents may not speak to them enough. A biological theory is that middle ear infection is a contributory cause.	May be self-correcting and may not require special intervention (Whitehurst et al., 1988).
Social (Pragmatic) Communication Disorder			
Clinical Description	Statistics	Etiology	Treatment
Difficulties with the social aspects of verbal and nonverbal communication, including verbosity, prosody, excessive switching of topics, and dominating conversations (Adams et al., 2012). Does not have the restricted and repetitive behaviors found in ASD.	Exact estimates not yet available, but the number of cases identified appears to be rising with increasing awareness (Baird et al., 2006; Bishop, 2000).	Limited information.	Individualized social skills training (e.g., modeling, role playing) with an emphasis on teaching important rules necessary for carrying on conversations with others (e.g., what is too much and too little information) (Adams et al., 2012).
Tourette's Disorder			
Clinical Description	Statistics	Etiology	Treatment
Involuntary motor movements (<i>tics</i>), such as head twitching, or vocalizations, such as grunts, that often occur in rapid succession, come on suddenly, and happen in idiosyncratic or stereotyped ways. Vocal tics often include the involuntary repetition of obscenities.	Of all children, up to 20% show some tics during their growing years, and 1 to 10 children out of every 1,000 have Tourette's disorder (Jummani & Coffey, 2009). Usually develops before the age of 14. High comorbidity between tics and ADHD, as well as obsessive-compulsive disorder (Jummani & Coffey, 2009).	There are likely multiple vulnerability genes that influence the form and severity of tics (Jummani & Coffey, 2009).	<i>Psychological:</i> Self-monitoring, relaxation training, and habit reversal.

Adapted from (Durand, 2011)

disrupt academic efforts, as well as social relationships. Consider the case of Danny.

Danny...

The Boy Who Couldn't Sit Still

Danny, a handsome 9-year-old boy, was referred to us because of his difficulties at school and at home. Danny had a great deal of energy and loved playing most sports, especially baseball. Academically, his work was adequate, although his teacher reported that his performance was diminishing and she believed he would do better if he paid more attention in class. Danny rarely spent more than a few minutes on a task without some interruption: He would get up out of his seat, rifle through his desk, or constantly ask questions. His peers were frustrated with him because he was equally impulsive during their interactions. He never finished a game, and in sports he tried to play all positions simultaneously.

At home, Danny was considered a handful. His room was in a constant mess because he became engaged in a game or activity only to drop it and initiate something else. Danny's parents reported that they often scolded him for not carrying out some task, although the reason seemed to be that he forgot what he was doing rather than that he deliberately tried to defy them. They also said that, out of their own frustration, they sometimes grabbed him by the shoulders and yelled, "Slow down!" because his hyperactivity drove them crazy. •

Clinical Description

Danny has many characteristics of ADHD. Like Danny, people with this disorder have a great deal of difficulty sustaining their attention on a task or activity (Barkley, 2015c). As a result, their tasks are often unfinished, and they often seem not to be listening when someone else is speaking. In addition to this serious disruption in attention, some people with ADHD display motor hyperactivity. Children with this disorder are often described as fidgety in school, unable to sit still for more than a few minutes. Danny's restlessness in his classroom was a considerable source of concern for his teacher and peers, who were frustrated by his impatience and excessive activity. In addition to hyperactivity and problems sustaining attention, impulsivity—acting apparently without thinking—is a common complaint made about people with ADHD. For instance, during meetings at baseball practice, Danny often shouted responses to the coach's questions even before the coach had a chance to finish his sentence.

For ADHD, DSM-5 differentiates two categories of symptoms. The first includes problems of *inattention*. People may appear not to listen to others; they may lose necessary school assignments, books, or tools; and they may not pay enough attention to details, making careless mistakes. The second category of symptoms includes *hyperactivity and impulsivity*. Hyperactivity includes fidgeting, having trouble sitting for any length of time, and always

being on the go. Impulsivity includes blurting out answers before questions have been completed and having trouble waiting turns. Either the first (inattention) or the second and third (hyperactivity and impulsivity) set of symptoms must be present for someone to be diagnosed with ADHD (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). These different presentations are called *subtypes*, and they include the inattentive subtype (what some may call *ADD*, noting the absence of hyperactivity, although this is not an official diagnostic label), and the hyperactive/impulsive subtype. Other individuals meet criteria for both inattention and hyperactivity/impulsivity, and these individuals are labeled with the *combined* subtype.

Inattention, hyperactivity, and impulsivity often cause other problems that appear secondary to ADHD. Academic performance often suffers, especially as the child progresses in school. The cause of this poor performance is not known. It could be a result of inattention and impulsivity, and in some children this can be made worse by factors such as concurrent learning disabilities. Genetic research on both ADHD and learning disabilities suggests that they may share a common biological cause (DuPaul, Gormley, & Laracy, 2013). Children with ADHD are likely to be unpopular and rejected by their peers (McQuade & Hoza, 2015). This, too, may be the result of genetic factors as well as environmental influences such as a hostile home environment and gene-environment interactions. For example, some research shows that having a specific genotype (i.e., a dopamine transporter—DAT1; and a serotonin transporter) and psychosocial distress can predict ADHD in children (Barkley, 2015a; Nigg, Nikolas, & Burt, 2010).

Statistics

An important analysis of prevalence of ADHD suggests that the disorder is found in about 5.2% of the child populations across all regions of the world (Polanczyk, de Lima, Horta, Biederman, & Rohde, 2007). This finding of comparable rates of ADHD worldwide is important because debates continue to rage about the validity of ADHD as a real disorder. Some people believe that children who are just normally "active" are being misdiagnosed with ADHD. Previously, geographic differences were noted in the number of people diagnosed with this disorder. Children were more likely to receive the label of ADHD in the United States than anywhere else. For example, an analysis of data from surveying parents over the phone suggests that 11% of children in the United States aged 4 to 17 were labeled with ADHD between 2011 and 2012 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2013). This higher number may suggest that it is being over-diagnosed in the United States.

Based on these different rates of diagnosis, some have argued that ADHD in children is simply a cultural construct—meaning that the behavior of these children is typical from a developmental perspective, and it is Western society's intolerance (due to the loss of extended family support, pressure to succeed academically, and busy family life) that causes labeling ADHD as a disorder (Timimi & Taylor, 2004). The best data suggest, however, that from 5% to 7% of the worldwide population of children currently meet the criteria for ADHD and 3% to 5% have symptoms that significantly interfere with their quality of life (Roberts, Milich, & Barkley, 2015).

Boys are 2 to 3 times more likely to be diagnosed with ADHD than girls, and this discrepancy increases for children being seen in clinics (Owens, Cardoos, & Hinshaw, 2015; Spencer, Biederman, & Mick, 2007). The reason for this gender difference is largely unknown. It may be that adults are more tolerant of hyperactivity among girls, who tend to be less active than boys with ADHD. Boys tend to be more aggressive, which will more likely result in attention by mental health professionals (Rucklidge, 2010). Girls with ADHD, on the other hand, tend to display more behaviors referred to as “internalizing”—specifically, anxiety and depression (Owens et al., 2015).

The higher prevalence of boys identified as having ADHD has led some to question whether the *DSM-5* diagnostic criteria for this disorder are applicable to girls. Here is the quandary: Most research over the past several decades has used young boys as participants. This focus on boys may have been the result of their active and disruptive behaviors, which caused concern among families and school personnel and therefore prompted research into the nature, causes, and treatment of these problems. More boys displayed these behaviors, which made it easier to find participants to study. But did this almost singular focus on boys result in ignoring how young girls experience this disorder?

This concern is being raised by some psychologists, including Kathleen Nadeau (a clinical psychologist who specializes in girls with ADHD), who argues that more research is needed on ADHD in girls: “Girls experience significant struggles that are often overlooked because their ADHD symptoms bear little resemblance to those of boys” (Crawford, 2003, p. 28). She says that girls with ADHD were neglected because their symptoms differ so dramatically from boys’ symptoms, although to date there is little firm evidence for these differences (Owens et al., 2015). Just as researchers are now exploring ADHD among adults, in addition to children, more research is now addressing the relative lack of research on girls and women. This expansion of research across age and gender bodes well for a fuller understanding of the disorder.

Children with ADHD are first identified as different from their peers around age 3 or 4; their parents describe them as active, mischievous, slow to toilet train, and oppositional (Taylor, 2012). The symptoms of inattention, impulsivity, and hyperactivity become increasingly obvious during the school years. Despite the perception that children grow out of ADHD, their problems usually continue: it is estimated that about half of the children with ADHD have ongoing difficulties through adulthood (McGough, 2005). Over time, children with ADHD seem to be less impulsive, although inattention persists. During adolescence, the impulsivity manifests itself in different areas; for example, teens with ADHD are at greater risk for pregnancy and contracting sexually transmitted

Edward: ADHD in a Gifted Student



“He’s very, very intelligent; his grades don’t reflect that because he will just neglect to do a 240-point assignment if somebody doesn’t stay behind it. . . . What I try to do with him is come in and cut it down to ‘this is what I want by tomorrow, this is what I want day after tomorrow.’”

Go to MindTap at
www.cengagebrain.com
to watch this video.

infections. They are also more likely to have driving difficulties, such as crashes; to be cited for speeding; and to have their licenses suspended (Barkley, 2015b; Fabiano & Schatz, 2015).

What happens to children and adolescents with ADHD as they become adults? Rachel Klein and her colleagues followed up on more than 200 boys with this disorder and reported on their status 33 years later (Klein et al., 2012). When compared with a group without ADHD, the majority of these men (84%) were employed but in jobs with significantly lower positions than the comparison group. They also had 2.5 fewer years of education and were much less likely to hold higher degrees. These men were also more likely to be divorced and to have substance use problems and antisocial personality disorder (Klein et al., 2012). In addition, the effects of their tendency to be impulsive may account for their increased risk of displaying risky driving, having a sexually transmitted disease, increased chance

of having a head injury, and more emergency department admissions (Ramos Olazagasti et al., 2013). In short, although the manifestations of ADHD change as people grow older, many of their problems persist.

Diagnosing children with ADHD is complicated. Several other *DSM-5* disorders, also found in children, appear to overlap significantly with this disorder. Specifically, oppositional defiant disorder (ODD), conduct disorder, and bipolar disorder all have characteristics seen in children with ADHD. ODD is a *DSM-5* disorder that includes behaviors such as “often loses temper,” “argues with adults,” “often deliberately annoys people,” “touchy and easily annoyed by others,” and “often spiteful and vindictive” (Toth, de Lacy, & King, 2016). The impulsivity and hyperactivity observed in children with ADHD can manifest themselves in some of these symptoms. Similarly, conduct disorder—which, as you saw in Chapter 12, can be a precursor to antisocial personality disorder—is also observed in many children with ADHD (Toth et al., 2016). Bipolar disorder—which, you will recall from Chapter 7, is one of the mood disorders—also overlaps significantly with ADHD (Pliszka, 2015). This overlap can complicate diagnosis in these children.

Causes

Important information about the genetics of ADHD is beginning to be uncovered (Barkley, 2015a). Researchers have known for some time that ADHD is more common in families in which one person has the disorder. For example, the relatives of children with ADHD have been found to be more likely to have ADHD themselves than would be expected in the general population (Fliers et al., 2009). It is important to note that these families display an

TABLE 14.1

Diagnostic Criteria for Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder

- A.** A persistent pattern of inattention and/or hyperactivity-impulsivity that interferes with functioning or development, as characterized by (1) and/or (2):
- 1. Inattention:** Six (or more) of the following symptoms have persisted for at least 6 months to a degree that is inconsistent with developmental level and that negatively impacts directly on social and academic/occupational activities:

Note: The symptoms are not solely a manifestation of oppositional behavior, defiance, hostility, or failure to understand tasks or instructions. For older adolescents and adults (aged 17 and older), at least five symptoms are required.

 - a. Often fails to give close attention to details or makes careless mistakes in schoolwork, at work, or during other activities (e.g., overlooks or misses details, work is inaccurate).
 - b. Often has difficulty sustaining attention in tasks or play activities (e.g., has difficulty remaining focused during lectures, conversations, or lengthy reading).
 - c. Often does not seem to listen when spoken to directly (e.g., mind seems elsewhere, even in the absence of any obvious distraction).
 - d. Often does not follow through on instructions and fails to finish schoolwork, chores, or duties in the workplace (e.g., starts tasks but quickly loses focus and is easily sidetracked).
 - e. Often has difficulty organizing tasks and activities (e.g., difficulty managing sequential tasks; difficulty keeping materials and belongings in order; messy, disorganized work; has poor time management; fails to meet deadlines).
 - f. Often avoids, dislikes, or is reluctant to engage in tasks that require sustained mental effort (e.g., schoolwork or homework; for older adolescents and adults, preparing reports, completing forms, reviewing lengthy papers).
 - g. Often loses things necessary for tasks or activities (e.g., school materials, pencils, books, tools, wallets, keys, paperwork, eyeglasses, or mobile telephones).
 - h. Is often easily distracted by extraneous stimuli (for older adolescents and adults, may include unrelated thoughts).
 - i. Is often forgetful in daily activities (e.g., chores, running errands; for older adolescents and adults, returning calls, paying bills, keeping appointments).
 - 2. Hyperactivity and impulsivity:** Six (or more) of the following symptoms have persisted for at least 6 months to a degree that is inconsistent with developmental level and that negatively impacts directly on social and academic/occupational activities:

Note: The symptoms are not solely a manifestation of oppositional behavior, defiance, hostility, or failure to understand tasks or instructions. For older adolescents and adults (age 17 and older), at least five symptoms are required.

 - a. Often fidgets with or taps hands or feet or squirms in seat.
 - b. Often leaves seat in situations when remaining seated is expected (e.g., leaves his or her place in the classroom, in the office or other workplace, or in other situations that require remaining in place).
 - c. Often runs about or climbs in situations where it is inappropriate. (**Note:** In adolescents or adults, may be limited to feeling restless.)
 - d. Often unable to play or engage in leisure activities quietly.
 - e. Is often "on the go," acting as if "driven by a motor" (e.g., is unable to be or uncomfortable being still for an extended time, as in restaurants, meetings; may be experienced by others as being restless or difficult to keep up with).
 - f. Often talks excessively.
 - g. Often blurts out an answer before a question has been completed (e.g., completes people's sentences; cannot wait for turn in conversation).
 - h. Often has difficulty waiting his or her turn (e.g., while waiting in line).
 - i. Often interrupts or intrudes on others (e.g., butts into conversations, games, or activities; may start using other people's things without asking or receiving permission; for adolescents or adults, may intrude into or take over what others are doing).
- B.** Several inattentive or hyperactive-impulsive symptoms were present prior to age 12 years.
- C.** Several inattentive or hyperactive-impulsive symptoms are present in two or more settings (e.g., at home, school, or work; with friends or relatives; in other activities).
- D.** There is clear evidence that the symptoms interfere with, or reduce the quality of, social, academic, or occupational functioning.
- E.** The symptoms do not occur exclusively during the course of schizophrenia or another psychotic disorder and are not better explained by another mental disorder (e.g., mood disorder, anxiety disorder, dissociative disorder, personality disorder, substance intoxication or withdrawal).

Specify whether:

Combined presentation: If both Criterion A1 (inattention) and Criterion A2 (hyperactivity-impulsivity) are met for the past 6 months.

Predominantly inattentive presentation: If Criterion A1 (inattention) is met but Criterion A2 (hyperactivity-impulsivity) is not met for the past 6 months.

Predominantly hyperactive/impulsive presentation: If Criterion A2 (hyperactivity-impulsivity) is met and Criterion A1 (inattention) is not met for the past 6 months.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

increase in psychopathology in general, including conduct disorder, mood disorders, anxiety disorders, and substance abuse (Barkley, 2015a). This research and the comorbidity in the children themselves suggest that some shared genetic deficits may contribute to the problems experienced by individuals with these disorders (Brown, 2009).

ADHD is considered to be highly influenced by genetics. Environmental influences play a relatively small role in the cause of the disorder when compared with many other disorders we discuss in this book. As with other disorders, researchers are finding that multiple genes are responsible for ADHD (Nikolas & Burt, 2010). In its simplest form, we tend to think of genetic “problems” in terms of having genes turned off (not making proteins) when they should be turned on and vice versa. Research on ADHD (and on other disorders) is finding that in many cases, however, mutations occur that either create extra copies of a gene on one chromosome or result in the deletion of genes (called **copy number variants**—CNVs) (Martin, O’Donovan, Thapar, Langley, & Williams, 2015). Because our DNA is structured to function with corresponding or matching pairs of genes on each chromosome, the additions or deletions of one or more genes result in disrupted development.

Most attention to date focuses on genes associated with the neurochemical dopamine, although norepinephrine, serotonin, and gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) are also implicated in the cause of ADHD. More specifically, there is strong evidence that ADHD is associated with the dopamine D₄ receptor gene, the dopamine transporter gene (DAT1), and the dopamine D₅ receptor gene. DAT1 is of particular interest because methylphenidate (Ritalin)—one of the most common medical treatments for ADHD—inhibits this gene and increases the amount of dopamine available (Davis et al., 2007; de Azeredo et al., 2014). Such research helps us understand at a microlevel what might be going wrong and how to design new interventions.

As with several other disorders we’ve discussed, researchers are looking for endophenotypes, those basic deficits—such as specific attentional problems—characteristic of ADHD. The goal is to link these deficits to specific brain dysfunctions. Not surprisingly, specific areas of current interest for ADHD are the brain’s attention system, working memory functions, inattentiveness, and impulsivity. Researchers are now trying to tie specific genetic defects to these cognitive processes to make the link between genes and behavior. Some research indicates that poor “inhibitory control” (the ability to stop responding to a task when signaled) may be common among both children with ADHD and their unaffected family members (siblings and parents) and may be one genetic marker (an endophenotype) for this disorder (Goos, Crosbie, Payne, & Schachar, 2009; Nikolas & Nigg, 2015).

The strong genetic influence in ADHD does not rule out any role for the environment (Ficks & Waldman, 2009). In one of a growing number of gene–environment interaction studies of ADHD, for example, researchers found that children with a specific mutation involving the dopamine system (called the DAT1 genotype) were more likely to exhibit the symptoms of ADHD if their mothers smoked during pregnancy (Kahn, Khoury, Nichols, & Lanphear, 2003; Russell, Ford, Williams, & Russell, in press). Prenatal smoking seemed to interact with this genetic predisposition to increase the risk for hyperactive and impulsive behavior.

Other research is now pointing to additional environmental factors, such as maternal stress and alcohol use, and parental marital instability and discord, as involved in these gene–environment interactions (Barkley, 2015a; Ficks & Waldman, 2009).

The association between ADHD and maternal smoking is one of the more consistent findings in this area. In addition, a variety of other pregnancy complications (for example, maternal alcohol consumption and low birth weight) may play a role in increasing the chance that a child with a genetic predisposition for ADHD will display the symptoms characteristic of this disorder (Barkley, 2006c). Unfortunately, many of the studies in this area confound socioeconomic and genetic factors (for example, there is an increased likelihood of smoking among women who also have low socioeconomic status or are under other stressors) (Russell et al., in press).

For several decades, ADHD has been thought to involve brain damage, and this notion is reflected in the previous use of labels such as “minimal brain damage” or “minimal brain dysfunction” (Ross & Pelham, 1981). The rapid advances in scanning technology now permit us to see just how the brain may be involved in this disorder. A great deal of research on the structure and the function of the brain for children with this disorder has been conducted over the past few years. In general, researchers now know that the overall volume of the brain in those with this disorder is slightly smaller (3% to 4%) than in children without this disorder (Barkley, 2015a). A number of areas in the brains of those with ADHD appear affected, especially those involved in self-organizational abilities (Valera, Faraone, Murray, & Seidman, 2007). These changes seem less pronounced in persons who received medication (Taylor, 2012). In fact, a number of studies now point to a “growth enhancing effect” of stimulant medication, suggesting that brain development progresses in a more typical fashion in children receiving medication for ADHD versus those who do not (Frodl & Skokauskas, 2012; Rubia et al., 2014).


A variety of toxins such as allergens and food additives have been considered as possible causes of ADHD over the years, although little evidence supports the association. The theory that food additives such as artificial colors, flavorings, and preservatives are responsible for the symptoms of ADHD has been highly controversial. Feingold (1975) presented this view, along with recommendations for eliminating these substances as a treatment for ADHD. As a result, hundreds of thousands of families put their children on the Feingold diet, despite arguments by some that the diet has little or no effect on the symptoms of ADHD (Barkley, 1990; Kavale & Forness, 1983). Some large-scale research now suggests that there may be a small but measurable impact of artificial food colors and additives on the behavior of young children (Hurt & Arnold, 2015). One study found that 3-year-old and 8- to 9-year-old children who consumed typical amounts of preservatives (sodium benzoate) and food colorings had increased levels of hyperactive behaviors (inattention, impulsivity, and overactivity) (McCann et al., 2007). Other research now points to the possible role of toxins such as the pesticides found in foods as contributing to an increased risk of ADHD (Barkley, 2015a; Bouchard, Bellinger, Wright, & Weisskopf, 2010).

Psychological and social dimensions of ADHD may further influence the disorder itself—especially how the child fares over

time. Negative responses by parents, teachers, and peers to the affected child's impulsivity and hyperactivity may contribute to feelings of low self-esteem, especially in children who are also depressed (Anastopoulos, Sommer, & Schatz, 2009). Years of constant reminders by teachers and parents to behave, sit quietly, and pay attention may create a negative self-image in these children, which, in turn, can negatively affect their ability to make friends, and these effects can last into adulthood (Murphy, 2015). Thus, the possible biological influences on impulsivity, hyperactivity, and attention, combined with attempts to control these children, may lead to rejection and consequent poor self-image. An integration of the biological and psychological influences on ADHD suggests that both need to be addressed when designing effective treatments (Barkley, 2015c).

Treatment of ADHD

Treatment for ADHD has proceeded on two fronts: psychosocial and biological interventions (Smith & Shapiro, 2015). Psychosocial treatments generally focus on broader issues such as improving academic performance, decreasing disruptive behavior, and improving



BSIP SAV/Alamy Stock Photo

A child with ADHD is likely to behave inappropriately regardless of the setting.

social skills. Typically, the goal of biological treatments is to reduce the children's impulsivity and hyperactivity and to improve their attention skills. Current thinking in this area points to using parent- and/or teacher-delivered behavioral interventions for young children before attempting medication (Subcommittee on Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder & Management, 2011).

Psychosocial Interventions

Researchers recommend various behavioral interventions to help these children at home and in school (Pfiffner & DuPaul, 2015; Robin, 2015). In general, the programs set such goals as increasing the amount of time the child remains seated, the number of math papers completed, or appropriate play with peers. Reinforcement programs reward the child for improvements and, at times, punish misbehavior with loss of rewards. Other parent education programs teach families how to respond constructively to their child's behaviors and how to structure the child's day to help prevent difficulties (e.g., Loren et al., 2015). Social skills training for these children, which includes teaching them how to interact appropriately with their peers, also seems to be an important treatment component (Watson, Richels, Michalek, & Raymer, 2015). For adults with ADHD, cognitive-behavioral intervention to reduce distractibility and improve organizational skills appears quite helpful (Knouse, 2015). Most clinicians typically recommend a combination of approaches designed to individualize treatments for those with ADHD, targeting both short-term management issues (decreasing hyperactivity and impulsivity) and long-term concerns (preventing and reversing academic decline and improving social skills).

Biological Interventions

The first types of medication used for children with ADHD were stimulants. Since the use of stimulant medication for children with ADHD was first described (Bradley, 1937), hundreds of studies have documented the effectiveness of this kind of medication in reducing the core symptoms (hyperactivity, impulsiveness) of the disorder. It is estimated that 3.5% of the children living in the United States are being treated with medication for symptoms of ADHD (Zuvekas & Vitiello, 2012). Drugs such as methylphenidate (Ritalin, Adderall) and several nonstimulant medications such as atomoxetine (Strattera), guanfacine (Tenex), and clonidine have proved helpful in reducing the core symptoms of hyperactivity and impulsivity and in improving concentration on tasks (Connor, 2015).

Originally, it seemed paradoxical or contrary to expect that children would calm down after taking a stimulant. However, on the same low doses, children and adults with and without ADHD react in the same way. It appears that stimulant medications reinforce the brain's ability to focus attention during problem-solving tasks (Connor, 2006). Although the use of stimulant medications remains controversial, especially for children, most clinicians recommend them temporarily, in combination with psychosocial interventions, to help improve children's social and academic skills.

The concerns over the use of stimulant medications now include their potential for abuse. In Chapter 11, we discussed that drugs such as Ritalin and Adderall are sometimes abused for their ability to create elation and reduce fatigue (Varga, 2012). And, the widespread misperception that use of these prescription medications to improve academic performance (they are frequently used for this purpose on college campuses) and that they are harmless is also of great concern (Watson, Arcona, & Antonuccio, 2015). This is particularly worrisome for children with ADHD because they are at increased risk for later substance abuse (Wagner & Pliszka, 2009). As mentioned previously, other nonstimulant drugs such as atomoxetine (Strattera) also appear effective for some children with ADHD. This drug is a selective norepinephrine-reuptake inhibitor and therefore does not produce the same “highs” when used in larger doses. Research suggests that other drugs, such as some antidepressants (imipramine) and a drug used for treating high blood pressure (clonidine) may have similar effects as atomoxetine on people with ADHD (Connor, 2015). Not all children with ADHD have depression or high blood pressure (although depression can be a problem in some of these children), but these drugs work on the same neurotransmitter systems (norepinephrine and dopamine) involved in ADHD (Subcommittee on Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder & Management, 2011). All these drugs seem to improve compliance and decrease negative behaviors in many children, and their effects do not usually last when the drugs are discontinued.

Psychopharmacogenetics is the study of how your genetic makeup influences your response to certain drugs. The hope for this field is that medications can be matched or even “designed” for individuals to better complement their specific needs (Jain, 2015). For example, one study looked at the use of methylphenidate (Ritalin) for children and adolescents with ADHD (Polanczyk, Zeni, et al., 2007). For those who had a specific gene defect—the adrenergic alpha-2A receptor gene (ADRA2A)—methylphenidate had a strong positive effect, especially on their problems with inattention. This was not the case for those with ADHD who did not have the ADRA2A gene defect. Currently, the use of drug treatments tends to be by trial and error: A medication is attempted at a particular dose; if it is not effective, the dose is changed. If that does not work, a different medication is tried. This new study holds the promise of potentially eliminating this guesswork by tailoring the treatment to the individual.

This exciting new approach to medical treatment for mental illness brings with it some weighty concerns. Central to these concerns are issues of privacy and confidentiality. Genetic screening to identify defects is likely to identify any number of potential genetic problems in each of us. How will schools, employment sites, and insurance companies view this information if they have access? The concern is that people will be discriminated against based on this information (for example, having the genes that may or may not lead to having ADHD or another disorder). Will the desire to better target drug treatments outweigh these types of ethical and privacy concerns? Most new technical advances, like those promised with psychopharmacogenetics, also uncover new problems, and it is essential that ethical issues be part of the discussion as researchers move forward in this area.

Some portion of children with ADHD do not respond to medications, and most children who do respond show improvement in

ability to focus their attention but do not show gains in the important areas of academics and social skills. In addition, the medications often result in unpleasant side effects, such as insomnia, drowsiness, or irritability (Connor, 2015).

Combined Approach to Treatment

To determine whether or not a combined approach to treatment is the most effective, a large-scale study initiated by the National Institute of Mental Health was conducted by six teams of researchers (Jensen et al., 2001). Labeled the Multimodal Treatment of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (MTA) study, this 14-month study included 579 children who were randomly assigned to one of four groups. One group of the children received routine care without medication or specific behavioral interventions (community care). The three treatment groups consisted of medication management (usually methylphenidate), intensive behavioral treatment, and a combination of the two treatments. Initial reports from the study suggested that the combination of behavioral treatments and medication and medication alone were superior to behavioral treatment alone and community intervention for ADHD symptoms. For problems that went beyond the specific symptoms of ADHD, such as social skills, academics, parent-child relations, oppositional behavior, and anxiety or depression, results suggested slight advantages of combination over single treatments (medication management, behavioral treatment) and community care.

Some controversy surrounds the interpretation of these findings—specifically, whether or not the combination of behavioral and medical treatments is superior to medication alone (Biederman, Spencer, Wilens, & Greene, 2001; Pelham, 1999). One of the concerns surrounding the study was that although medication continued to be dispensed, the behavioral treatment was faded over time, which may account for the observed differences.

Practically, if there is no difference between these two treatments, most parents and therapists would opt for simply providing medication for these children because of its ease of use and lower time commitment (Connor, 2015). Behavioral interventions have the added benefit, however, of improving aspects of the child and family that are not directly affected by medication. Reinterpretations of the data from this large-scale study continue, and more research likely will be needed to clarify the combined and separate effects of these two approaches to treatment (Connor, 2015; Ollendick & Shirk, 2010). Despite these advances, however, children with ADHD continue to pose a considerable challenge to their families and to the educational system.

Specific Learning Disorder

Academic achievement is highly valued in our society. We often compare the performance of our schoolchildren with that of children in other cultures to estimate whether we are succeeding or failing as a world leader and economic force. On a personal level, because parents often invest a great deal of time, resources, and emotional energy to ensure their children’s academic success, it can be extremely upsetting when a child with no obvious intellectual

deficits does not achieve as expected. In this section, we describe **specific learning disorder**—which is characterized by performance that is substantially below what would be expected given the person's age, intelligence quotient (IQ) score, and education (Pierce, 2016). We also look briefly at disorders that involve how we communicate. Consider the case of Alice.

Alice...

Taking a Learning Disorder to College

Alice, a 20-year-old college student, sought help because of her difficulty in several of her classes. She reported that she had enjoyed school and had been a good student until about the sixth grade, when her grades suffered significantly. Her teacher informed her parents that she wasn't working up to her potential and she needed to be better motivated. Alice had always worked hard in school but promised to try harder. However, with each report card, her mediocre grades made her feel worse about herself. She managed to graduate from high school, but by that time she felt she was not as bright as her friends.

Alice enrolled in the local community college and again found herself struggling with the work. Over the years, she had learned several tricks that seemed to help her study and at least get passing grades. She read the material in her textbooks aloud to herself; she had earlier discovered that she could recall the material much better this way than if she just read silently to herself. In fact, reading silently, she could barely remember any of the details just minutes later.

After her sophomore year, Alice transferred to the university, which she found even more demanding and where she failed most of her classes. After our first meeting, I suggested that she be formally assessed to identify the source of her difficulty. As suspected, Alice had a specific learning disorder.

Scores from an IQ test placed her slightly above average, but she was assessed to have significant difficulties with reading. Her comprehension was poor, and she could not remember most of the content of what she read. We recommended that she continue with her trick of reading aloud, because her comprehension for what she heard was adequate. In addition, Alice was taught how to analyze her reading—that is, how to outline and take notes. She was even encouraged to audiotape her lectures and play them back to herself as she drove around in her car. Although Alice did not become an A student, she was able to graduate from the university, and she now works with young children who themselves have learning disabilities. •

Clinical Description

According to *DSM-5* criteria, Alice would be diagnosed as having a specific learning disorder (in her case especially in the area of reading), which is defined as a significant discrepancy between a person's academic achievement and what would be expected for someone of the same age—referred to by some as “unexpected

underachievement” (Miciak, Fletcher, & Stuebing, 2016; Scanlon, 2013). More specifically, the criteria require that the person perform academically at a level significantly below that of a typical person of the same age, cognitive ability (as measured on an IQ test), and educational background. In addition, a diagnosis of specific learning disorder requires that the person's disability not be caused by a sensory difficulty, such as trouble with sight or hearing, and should not be the result of poor or absent instruction. *DSM-IV-TR* listed specific disorders in reading, mathematics, and written expression as separate disorders, but because of the significant overlap in these disabilities, they are now combined to assist clinicians in taking a broader view of the individual's learning styles (Pierce, 2016). Clinicians can use the specifiers for *disorders of reading, written expression, or mathematics* to highlight specific problems for remediation. As with other disorders, clinicians rate the disorder on levels of severity.

Historically, a specific learning disorder would be defined as a discrepancy of more than 2 standard deviations between achievement and IQ. There was considerable controversy, however, over using the discrepancy between IQ and achievement as part of the process of identifying children with learning disorders (Cavendish, 2013). Part of the criticism involves the delay between when learning problems occur and when they finally result in a large enough difference between IQ scores and achievement scores—which may not be measurable until later in a child's academic life. An alternative approach—called *response to intervention*—is now being used by many clinicians (Miciak et al., 2016). It involves identifying a child as having a specific learning disorder when the response to a known effective intervention (for example, an early reading program) is significantly inferior to the performance by peers (Cavendish, 2013; Sadler & Sugai, 2009; VanDerHeyden & Harvey, 2012). This provides an early warning system and focuses on providing effective instruction.

Statistics

The prevalence of specific learning disorders has been estimated between 5% and 15% across youth of various ages and cultures (American Psychological Association, 2013). A study of more than 1,600 German elementary schoolers found that approximately 7% showed significant deficits in reading, 9% in spelling (i.e., written expression), and 5% in arithmetic (Moll, Kunze, Neuhoff, Bruder, & Schulte-Körne, 2014). Another study of Brazilian children in second through sixth grades estimated the prevalence of specific learning disorders to be between 5% and 8% across categories of written expression, reading, arithmetic and global impairment (Fortes et al., 2015). In the United States, approximately 6.5 million students between the ages of 3 and 21 were receiving services for specific learning disorder between 2009 and 2010 (U.S. Department of Education, 2012). The frequency of this diagnosis appears to increase in wealthier regions of the country, suggesting that with better access to diagnostic services, more children are identified (see ● Figure 14.1). There do appear to be racial differences in the diagnosis of specific learning disorder (referred to as “disproportionality”) (Bruce & Venkatesh, 2014; Cortiella & Horowitz, 2014). Approximately 1% of Caucasian children and 2.6% of black children were receiving services for problems with learning in 2001 (Bradley, Danielson, & Hallahan, 2002). It

appears that societal views of disability, racism, and inequitable assessment are among the factors that contribute to disproportionality (Bruce & Venkatesh, 2014). Difficulties with reading are the most common of the learning disorders and occur in some form in 7% of the general population (Pennington & Bishop, 2009; Peterson & Pennington, 2012). Mathematics disorder appears in approximately 5% to 6% of the population (Pierce, 2016), but there is limited information about the prevalence of disorder of written expression among children and adults. Early studies suggested that boys were more likely to have a reading disorder than

girls, although other research indicates that boys and girls may be equally affected by this disorder (Feinstein & Phillips, 2006). Students with learning disorders are more likely to drop out of school (Vogel & Reder, 1998; Kearney, 2008), more likely to be unemployed (Gerber, 2012), and more likely to have suicidal thoughts and attempt suicide (Daniel et al., 2006). However, the negative outcomes for adults may be mitigated by providing the proper supports, such as having a positive relationship with caring adults and providing accommodations in postsecondary educational and employment settings (Gregg, 2013).

DSM **TABLE 14.2**

Diagnostic Criteria for Specific Learning Disorder

5

- A.** Difficulty learning and using academic skills, as indicated by the presence of at least one of the following symptoms that have persisted for at least 6 months, despite the provision of interventions that target those difficulties:
- 1.** Inaccurate or slow and effortful word reading (e.g., reads single words aloud incorrectly or slowly and hesitantly, frequently guesses words, has difficulty sounding out words).
 - 2.** Difficulty understanding the meaning of what is read (e.g., may read text accurately but not understand the sequence, relationships, inferences, or deeper meanings of what is read).
 - 3.** Difficulties with spelling (e.g., may add, omit, or substitute vowels or consonants).
 - 4.** Difficulties with written expression (e.g., makes multiple grammatical or punctuation errors within sentences; employs poor paragraph organization, written expression of ideas lacks clarity).
 - 5.** Difficulties mastering number sense, number facts, or calculation (e.g., has poor understanding of numbers, their magnitude, and relationships; counts on fingers to add single-digit numbers instead of recalling the math fact as peers do; gets lost in the midst of arithmetic computation and may switch procedures).
 - 6.** Difficulties with mathematical reasoning (e.g., has severe difficulty applying mathematical concepts, facts, or procedures to solve quantitative problems).
- B.** The affected academic skills are substantially and quantifiably below those expected for the individual's chronological age and cause significant interference with academic or occupational performance, or with activities of daily living, as confirmed by individually administered standardized achievement measures and comprehensive clinical assessment. For individuals aged 17 years and older, a documented history of impairing learning difficulties may be substituted for the standardized assessment.
- C.** The learning difficulties begin during school-age years but may not become fully manifest until the demands for those affected academic skills exceed the individual's limited capacities (e.g., as in timed tests, reading or writing lengthy, complex reports for a tight deadline, excessively heavy academic loads).
- D.** The learning difficulties are not better accounted for by intellectual disabilities, uncorrected visual or auditory acuity, other mental or neurological disorders, psychosocial adversity, lack of proficiency in the language of academic instruction, or inadequate educational instruction.

Note: The four diagnostic criteria are to be met based on clinical synthesis of the individual's history (developmental, medical, family, educational), school reports, and psychoeducational assessment.

Specify if:

With impairment in reading:

Word reading accuracy

Reading rate or fluency

Reading comprehension

With impairment in expression:

Spelling accuracy

Grammar and punctuation accuracy

Clarity or organization of written expression

With impairment in mathematics:


Number sense

Memorization of arithmetic facts

Accurate or fluent calculation

Accurate math reasoning

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.



● FIGURE 14.1

Uneven distribution. The highest percentages of schoolchildren diagnosed with learning disabilities are in the wealthiest states.

Interviews with adults who have specific learning disorder reveal that their school experiences were generally negative, and the effects often lasted beyond graduation. One man who did not have special assistance during school reports the following:

I faked my way through school because I was very bright. I resent most that no one picked up my weaknesses. Essentially I judge myself on my failures. . . . [I] have always had low self-esteem. In hindsight, I feel that I had low self-esteem in college. . . . I was afraid to know myself. A blow to my self-esteem when I was in school was that I could not write a poem or a story. . . . I could not write with a pen or pencil. The computer has changed my life. I do everything on my computer. It acts as my memory. I use it to structure my life and for all of my writing since my handwriting and written expression has always been so poor. (Polloway, Schewel, & Patton, 1992, p. 521)

A group of disorders loosely identified as *communication disorders* seems closely related to specific learning disorder (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). These disorders can appear deceptively benign, yet their presence early in life can cause wide-ranging problems later. For a brief overview of these disorders, which include **childhood-onset fluency disorder** (previously called **stuttering**) and **language disorder** (which combines *DSM-IV-TR* expressive and mixed receptive-expressive language disorders), see Table 14.1.

Causes


Theories about the causes of specific learning disorder include genetic, neurobiological, and environmental factors (Peterson & Pennington, 2012). The genetic research in this area is particularly complex. It is clear that learning disorders run in families, and sophisticated family and twin studies bear this out (e.g., Christopher et al., 2013). Yet, analyses of the genes involved suggest that many effects are not specific—meaning that there are not different genes responsible for reading disorders and mathematics disorders. Instead, there are genes that affect learning, and they may contribute to problems across domains (reading, mathematics, writing) (Petrill, 2013; Plomin & Kovas, 2005).

The different problems associated with learning themselves have different origins. For example, children (and adults) often have very different problems associated with reading. Reading disorders are sometimes broken into problems with word recognition (difficulty decoding single words—sometimes called *dyslexia*), fluency (problems being able to read words and sentences smoothly and automatically), and comprehension (difficulty getting meaning from what is read) (Siegel & Mazabell, 2013; Tannock, 2009b). Most research to date focuses on problems with word recognition,

and there is evidence that some develop these problems primarily through their genes, whereas others develop problems as a result of environmental factors (Siegel & Mazabell, 2013). Genes located on chromosomes 1, 2, 3, 6, 11, 12, 15, and 18 have all been repeatedly linked to these difficulties (Cope et al., 2012; Zou et al., 2012). At the same time, environmental influences such as the home reading habits of families can significantly affect outcomes—especially with skills such as word recognition—suggesting that reading to children at risk for reading disorders can lessen the impact of the genetic influence (Siegel & Mazabell, 2013).

Various forms of subtle brain impairment have also been thought responsible for learning disabilities; some of the earliest theories involve a neurological explanation (Hinshelwood, 1896). Research suggests structural, as well as functional, differences in the brains of people with learning disabilities. Specifically, three areas of the left hemisphere appear to be involved in problems with dyslexia (word recognition)—Broca's area (which affects articulation and word analysis), an area in the left parietotemporal area (which affects word analysis), and an area in the left occipitotemporal area (which affects recognizing word form) (Peterson & Pennington, 2012). A different area in the left hemisphere—the intraparietal sulcus—seems to be critical for the development of a sense of numbers and is implicated in mathematics disorder (Ashkenazi, Black, Abrams, Hoeft, & Menon, 2013). In contrast, there is no current evidence for specific deficits responsible for disorders of written expression.

Disorders of reading have been diagnosed more often in English-speaking countries. Although some have thought that this may simply be a difference in diagnostic practices, biological



Courtesy National Institutes of Mental Health

These functional MRI scans of composite data from six dyslexic adults and eight controls show a horizontal slice through the brain, with the face at the top. Imaging shows atypical brain activity associated with dyslexia. The scans were performed while subjects tracked a pattern of moving dots on a computer screen. A brain area (V5/MT) normally active during such motion tasks did not switch on in dyslexic subjects (right). Their brain activity was more similar to that of controls during a pattern recognition task (left).

research now suggests it may involve the relative complexity of the written word in English. Researchers tested individuals who displayed reading disorders and who spoke English, French, or Italian (Paulesu et al., 2001). Although those who spoke Italian did better on tests of reading, brain imaging (positron emission tomography) while participants were reading indicated that all of these people with reading disorders experienced the same reduced activity in the left temporal lobe. It was hypothesized that characteristics of the English and French languages may make them more difficult languages to read, thus accounting for these cultural differences in rates of diagnosis for reading disorders.

You saw that Alice persisted despite the obstacles caused by her specific learning disorder, as well as by the reactions of teachers and others. What helped her continue toward her goal when others choose, instead, to drop out of school? Psychological and motivational factors that have been reinforced by others seem to play an important role in the eventual outcome of people with learning disorders. Factors such as socioeconomic status, cultural expectations, parental interactions and expectations, and child management practices, together with existing neurological deficits and the types of support provided in the school, seem to determine outcome (Gregg, 2013).

Treatment of Learning Disorders

As you will see in the case of intellectual disability, learning disorders primarily require educational intervention. Biological (drug) treatment is typically restricted to those individuals who may also have comorbid ADHD, which, as we discussed, involves impulsivity and an inability to sustain attention and can be helped with

certain stimulant medications, such as methylphenidate (Ritalin or Adderall). Educational efforts can be broadly categorized into (1) specific skills instruction, including instruction on vocabulary, finding the main idea, and finding facts in readings, and (2) strategy instruction, which includes efforts to improve cognitive skills through decision making and critical thinking (Fletcher, Lyon, Fuchs, & Barnes, 2006; Pierce, 2016).

Many programs are used to assist children with their problems related to learning. One approach that has received considerable research support is called Direct Instruction (Kame'enui, Fien, & Korgesaar, 2013). This program includes several components; among them are systematic instruction (using highly scripted lesson plans that place students together in small groups based on their progress) and teaching for mastery (teaching students until they understand all concepts). In addition, children are constantly assessed, and plans are modified based on progress or lack of progress. Direct Instruction and several related training programs appear to significantly improve academic skills in children with specific learning disorder (Kame'enui et al., 2013).

How do these behavioral and educational approaches help children with reading difficulties? Are they just tricks or adaptations to learning, or do these treatments have a more profound effect on the way these children process information? Exciting research using brain-imaging technology is allowing us to answer these important questions. One study used functional magnetic resonance imaging scanning (fMRI) to compare how children with and without reading disorders processed simple tasks (Temple et al., 2003). The children with reading difficulties were then exposed to 8 weeks of intensive training on a computer program that helped them work on their auditory and language-processing skills. Not only did the children improve their reading skills, but also their brains started functioning in a way similar to the brains



Specially designed computer games may help children with learning disorders improve their language skills.

of their peers who were good readers. This and similar studies (Peterson & Pennington, 2012) mirror results seen with other disorders—namely, that behavioral interventions can change the way the brain works and that we can use such interventions to help individuals with significant problems.

designation “not otherwise specified,” which was applied to other disorders prior to *DSM-5*, was deleted. A disorder new to *DSM-5*—social (pragmatic) communication disorder—includes the difficulties in social communication seen in ASD, but without restricted, repetitive patterns of behavior. These individuals do not easily learn the social rules when communicating with others (e.g., interrupting, talking too loud, not listening to others). Certain individuals previously diagnosed with pervasive developmental disorder—not otherwise specified may fall into this category.

Clinical Description

Two major characteristics of ASD are expressed in *DSM-5*: 1) impairments in social communication and social interaction, and 2) restricted, repetitive patterns of behavior, interests, or activities (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). In addition, *DSM-5* recognizes that the impairments are present in early childhood and that they limit daily functioning. It is the degree of impairment in each of these characteristics that presumably distinguish individuals previously diagnosed with the separate disorders of autistic disorder, Asperger’s disorder, and **pervasive developmental disorder—not otherwise specified**.

To accommodate the range of difficulties in the two symptom clusters (social/communication interaction and restricted, repetitive patterns of behavior, interests, or activities), *DSM-5* introduced three levels of severity: Level 1—“Requiring support,” Level 2—“Requiring substantial support,” and “Level 3—“Requiring very substantial support.” Separate ratings are provided for social/communication interaction and for restricted interests and repetitive behaviors. Each level of support is described qualitatively and, as yet, has no quantitative equivalent. This subjectivity makes assigning the appropriate level of support needed somewhat problematic if the person with ASD does not perform at the extreme ends of these categories (Durand, 2014). Consider the case of Amy.

Amy . . .

In Her Own World

Amy, 3 years old, spends much of her day picking up pieces of lint. She drops the lint in the air and then watches intently as it falls to the floor. She also licks the back of her hands and stares at the saliva. She hasn’t spoken yet and can’t feed or dress herself. Several times a day, she screams so loudly that the neighbors at first thought she was being abused. She doesn’t seem to be interested in her mother’s love and affection but will take her mother’s hand to lead her to the refrigerator. Amy likes to eat butter—whole pats of it, several at a time. Her mother uses the pats of butter that you get at some restaurants to help Amy learn and to keep her well-behaved. If Amy helps with dressing herself, or if she sits quietly for several minutes, her mother gives her some butter. Amy’s mother knows that the butter isn’t good for her, but it is the only thing that seems to get through to the child. The family’s pediatrician has been concerned about Amy’s developmental delays for some time and has recently suggested that she be evaluated by specialists. The pediatrician thinks Amy may have autism spectrum disorder, and the child and her family will probably need extensive support. •

Autism Spectrum Disorder

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a neurodevelopmental disorder that, at its core, affects how one perceives and socializes with others (Durand, 2014). *DSM-5* combined most of the disorders previously included under the umbrella term “pervasive developmental disorders” (e.g., autistic disorder, Asperger’s disorder, and **childhood disintegrative disorder**) and included them into this one category (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Moreover, **Rett disorder**, a genetic condition that affects mostly females and is characterized by hand wringing and poor coordination, is diagnosed as ASD with the qualifier “associated with Rett syndrome” or “associated with MeCP2 mutation” (the gene involved in Rett syndrome). And the

Impairment in Social Communication and Social Interaction

One of the defining characteristics of people with ASD is that they fail to develop age-appropriate social relationships (Davis & Carter, 2014). Amy never made friends among her peers and often limited her contact with adults to using them as tools—for example, taking the adult's hand to reach for something she wanted. Research on the symptoms of ASD including communication difficulties and social difficulties (which were listed independently as part of *DSM-IV-TR*) revealed the considerable overlap of these symptoms (Frazier et al., 2012; Skuse, 2012). *DSM-5* combines these two areas into one general symptom cluster (social communication and social interaction). Difficulties with social communication and interaction are further defined by the inclusion of three aspects—problems with social reciprocity (a failure to engage in back-and-forth social interactions), nonverbal communication, and initiating and maintaining social relationships—all three of which must be present to be diagnosed with ASD.

Social reciprocity for individuals with more severe symptoms of ASD (previously diagnosed with autistic disorder) involves the inability to engage in **joint attention** (Gillespie-Lynch et al., 2012; Schietecatte et al., 2012). If a toddler without ASD sees a toy she likes, she might look at her mother, smile, look at the toy, and look at her mother again. This social act communicates not only interest

in the toy but also the desire to share this interest with another person. This action is limited in persons with ASD. Among persons with milder symptoms of ASD (previously diagnosed with Asperger's disorder), this lack of social reciprocity might present itself as appearing self-focused and not showing interest in things other people care about.

Research using sophisticated eye-tracking technology shows how social deficits evolve as the person develops. In one classic study, scientists showed an adult man with ASD some scenes from movies and compared how he looked at social scenes with how a man without ASD did so (Klin, Jones, Schultz, Volkmar, & Cohen, 2002). You can see from the photo that the man with ASD (indicated by the red lines) scanned nonsocial aspects of the scene (the actors' mouth and jacket), while the man without ASD looked at the socially meaningful sections (looking from eye to eye of the people conversing). This research suggests that people with ASD—for reasons not yet fully understood—may not be interested in social situations.

Deficits in nonverbal communication can involve problems with a range of actions in persons with severe forms of ASD (e.g., not pointing to things you want) and among those with milder forms of ASD (e.g., standing too close to someone). Individuals with the less severe form of ASD may also lack appropriate facial expressions or tone of voice (also known as "**prosody**"; Durand,

TABLE 14.3

Diagnostic Criteria for Autism Spectrum Disorder

5

- A.** Persistent deficits in social communication and social interaction across multiple contexts, as manifested by the following, currently or by history (examples are illustrative, not exhaustive; see text):
- 1.** Deficits in social-emotional reciprocity; ranging, for example, from abnormal social approach and failure of normal back-and-forth conversation; to reduced sharing of interests, emotions, and affect; to failure to initiate or respond to social interactions.
 - 2.** Deficits in nonverbal communicative behaviors used for social interaction, ranging, for example, from poorly integrated verbal and nonverbal communication; to abnormalities in eye contact and body language or deficits in understanding and use of gestures; to a total lack of facial expressions and nonverbal communication.
 - 3.** Deficits in developing, maintaining, and understanding relationships, ranging, for example, from difficulties adjusting behavior to suit various social contexts; to difficulties in sharing imaginative play and in making friends; to absence of interest in peers.
- B.** Restricted, repetitive patterns of behavior, interests, or activities, as manifested by at least two of the following, currently or by history (examples are illustrative, not exhaustive; see text):
- 1.** Stereotyped or repetitive motor movements, use of objects, or speech; (e.g., simple motor stereotypies, lining up toys or flipping objects, echolalia, idiosyncratic phrases).
 - 2.** Insistence on sameness, inflexible adherence to routines, or ritualized patterns of verbal or nonverbal behavior (e.g., extreme distress at small changes, difficulties with transitions, rigid thinking patterns, greeting rituals, need to take same route or eat same food every day).
 - 3.** Highly restricted, fixated interests that are abnormal in intensity or focus (e.g., strong attachment to or preoccupation with unusual objects, excessively circumscribed or perseverative interests).
 - 4.** Hyper- or hyporeactivity to sensory input or unusual interest in sensory aspects of the environment (e.g., apparent indifference to pain/temperature, adverse response to specific sounds or textures, excessive smelling or touching of objects, visual fascination with lights or movement).
- C.** Symptoms must be present in the early developmental period (but may not become fully manifest until social demands exceed limited capacities, or may be masked by learned strategies in later life).
- D.** Symptoms cause clinically significant impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of current functioning.
- E.** The disturbances are not better explained by intellectual disability (intellectual developmental disorder) or global developmental delay. Intellectual disability and autism spectrum disorder frequently co-occur; to make comorbid diagnoses of autism spectrum disorder and intellectual disability, social communication should be below that expected for general developmental level.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

2014) when speaking or just give the appearance of general nonverbal awkwardness. Finally, the deficits in social reciprocity and nonverbal communication can combine to influence the third symptom—problems maintaining social relationships.

Approximately 25% of individuals with ASD do not develop speech proficiency sufficient to communicate their needs effectively (Anderson et al., 2007). In those with some speech, sometimes their communication is unusual. Some repeat the speech of others, a pattern called echolalia, which we referred to earlier as a sign of delayed speech development. If you say, “My name is Eileen, what’s yours?” they will repeat all or part of what you said: “Eileen, what’s yours?” And often, not only are your words repeated, but so is your intonation. On the other end of the autism spectrum, these individuals can be very verbal, but because of the social deficits and their tendency to have restricted interests, they often have one-sided conversations about the topics they want to discuss.

Restricted, Repetitive Patterns of Behavior, Interests, or Activities

The more striking characteristics of ASD include *restricted, repetitive patterns of behavior, interests, or activities*. Amy appeared to like things to stay the same: She became extremely upset if even a small change was introduced (such as moving her toys in her room). This intense preference for the status quo has been called *maintenance of sameness*. Often, people with ASD spend countless hours in *stereotyped and ritualistic behaviors*, making such stereotyped movements as spinning around in circles, waving their hands in front of their eyes with their heads cocked to one side, or biting their hands (Durand, 2014). For individuals with less severe ASD, these behaviors can take the form of an almost obsessive interest in certain, very specific subjects (such as following airline schedules or memorizing ZIP codes). This tendency to be much

more interested in esoteric facts than in people further helps to interfere with social relationships.

Statistics

Current estimates of the rates of ASD are based on the previous *DSM-IV-TR* and *ICD-10* criteria (Lord & Bishop, 2010). ASD was once thought to be a rare disorder (e.g., 1 in 10,000 births), although more recent estimates of its occurrence show an increase in its prevalence. For example, estimates as reported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention suggest that an average of 1 in 68 8-year-old children in the United States had a diagnosis under the category of ASD (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2014). The majority of the rise in the rates may be the result of changes between versions of the *DSM* (Miller et al., 2013) as well as increased awareness on the part of professionals and the general public. The reasons behind these changes are complex, however, and other environmental factors (e.g., prenatal exposure to toxins) cannot yet be ruled out as partially contributing to the rise in rates (Frombonne et al., 2011; Liu & Bearman, 2012).


Gender differences are apparent in ASD, with the average reported male to female estimate being 4.5 to 1 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2014). ASD appears to be a universal phenomenon, identified in every part of the world, including Sweden (Gillberg, 1984), Japan (Sugiyama & Abe, 1989), Russia (Lebedinskaya & Nikolskaya, 1993), and Hong Kong (Chung, Luk, & Lee, 1990).

People with ASD have a range of IQ scores. It is estimated that approximately 31% of individuals with ASD have intellectual disabilities (defined as an IQ score less than 70, comparable deficits in adaptive functioning, and present before the age of 18) (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2014). IQ measures are used to determine prognosis: The higher children score on IQ tests, the less likely they are to need extensive support by family members or people in the helping professions. Conversely, young children with ASD who score lower on IQ tests are more likely to be severely delayed in acquiring communication skills and to need a great deal of educational and social support as they grow older. Usually, language abilities and IQ scores are reliable predictors of how children with ASD will fare later in life: The better the language skills and IQ test performance, the better the prognosis (Ben Itzhak, Lahat, Burgin, & Zachor, 2008).

Causes: Psychological and Social Dimensions

ASD is a complex condition that does not appear to have a single cause (Durand, 2014). Instead, a number of biological contributions may combine with psychosocial influences. Because historical context is important to research, it is helpful to examine past, as well as more recent, theories of ASD. (In doing this, we are departing from this book’s usual format of providing biological dimensions first.)

Historically, ASD was wrongly seen as the result of failed parenting (Bettelheim, 1967; Ferster, 1961; Tinbergen & Tinbergen, 1972). Mothers and fathers of children with the more severe form of ASD were characterized as perfectionistic, cold, and aloof (Kanner, 1949), with relatively high socioeconomic status (Allen, DeMyer,



©Klin, A., Jones, W., Schultz, R., Volkmar, F., & Cohen, D. (2002).

Researchers are exploring how people with autism view social interactions among other people. (From Klin, A., Jones, W., Schultz, R., Volkmar, F., & Cohen, D. (2002). Defining and quantifying the social phenotype in autism. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 159, 895–908.)

Norton, Pontius, & Yang, 1971; Cox, Rutter, Newman, & Bartak, 1975) and higher IQs than the general population (Kanner, 1943). Descriptions such as these inspired theories that held parents responsible for their children's unusual behaviors. These views were devastating to a generation of parents, who felt guilty and responsible for their children's problems. Imagine being accused of such coldness toward your own child as to cause serious and permanent disabilities! More sophisticated research using larger samples of children and families suggests that the parents of individuals with autism may not differ substantially from parents of children without disabilities (Bhasin & Schendel, 2007).

Other theories about the origins of ASD were based on the unusual speech patterns of some individuals—namely, their tendency to avoid first-person pronouns such as *I* and *me* and to use *he* and *she* instead. For example, if you ask a child with ASD, “Do you want something to drink?” he might say, “He wants something to drink” (meaning “I want something to drink”). This observation led some theorists to wonder whether ASD involves a lack of self-awareness (Goldfarb, 1963; Mahler, 1952). Imagine, if you can, not understanding that your existence is distinct. There is no “you,” only “them.” Such a debilitating view of the world was used to explain the unusual ways people with ASD behaved. Theorists suggested that the withdrawal seen among people with ASD reflected a lack of awareness of their own existence.

Later research has shown, however, that some people with ASD do seem to have self-awareness (Lind & Bowler, 2009) and that it follows a developmental progression. Just like children without a disability, those with cognitive abilities below the level expected for a child of 18 to 24 months show little or no self-recognition, but people with more advanced abilities do demonstrate self-awareness. Self-concept may be lacking when people with ASD also have cognitive disabilities or delays, not because of the disorder itself.

Myths about people with ASD are perpetuated when the idiosyncrasies of the disorder are highlighted. These perceptions are furthered by portrayals such as Dustin Hoffman's in the movie *Rain Man*—his character could, for instance, instantaneously and accurately count hundreds of toothpicks falling to the floor. This type of exceptional mental ability—referred to as savant skills—does not occur in all individuals with ASD. It is estimated that approximately one third of individuals with ASD have these unusual skills, although no persons with the more severe form of ASD appear to have savant abilities (Howlin, Goode, Hutton, & Rutter, 2010; Rutter & Pickles, 2015). These exceptional skills appear to be the result of possessing superior working memory and highly focused attention (Bennett & Heaton, 2012). It is important always to separate myth from reality and to be aware that such portrayals do not accurately represent the full range of manifestations of this complex disorder.

The phenomenon of echolalia, repeating a word or phrase spoken by another person, was once believed to be an unusual

Rebecca: A First-Grader with Autistic Spectrum Disorder



“Getting her out of her routine is something that sets her off. . . . Routine is extremely, extremely important with her.”

Go to MindTap at
www.cengagebrain.com
to watch this video.

characteristic of this disorder. Subsequent work in developmental psychopathology, however, has demonstrated that repeating the speech of others is part of the typically developing language skills observed in most young children (Dawson, Mottron, & Gernsbacher, 2008). Even a behavior as disturbing as the self-injurious behavior (such as head banging) sometimes seen in people with ASD is observed in milder forms among typically developing infants (de Lissovoy, 1961). This type of research has helped clinicians isolate the facts from the myths about ASD and clarify the role of development in the disorder. One generally accepted conclusion is that social deficiencies are the primary distinguishing characteristic of people with ASD.

Causes: Biological Dimensions

Deficits in such skills as social communication and the characteristic restricted and repetitive behaviors and interests appear to be biological in origin. The role of biological influences on the origins of ASD, examined next, has received much empirical support.

Genetic Influences

It is now clear that ASD has a significant genetic component. What is also evident is that the genetics of ASD are highly complex (Wang et al., 2015) with a moderate genetic heritability (Hallmayer et al., 2011; Rutter, 2011a). Numerous genes on a number of our chromosomes have already been implicated in some way in the presentation of ASD (Li, Zou, & Brown, 2012). And as with other psychological disorders such as schizophrenia, many genes are involved, but each one has only a relatively small effect.

Families that have one child with ASD have about a 20% chance of having another child with the disorder (Ozonoff et al., 2011). This rate is more than 100 times the risk in the general population, providing strong evidence of a genetic component in the disorder. The exact genes involved in the development of ASD remain elusive. One area that is receiving attention involves the genes responsible for the brain chemical oxytocin. Because oxytocin is shown to have a role in how we bond with others and in our social memory, researchers are looking at whether genes responsible for this neurochemical are involved with the disorder. Preliminary work identifies an association between ASD and an oxytocin receptor gene (Wermter et al., 2010).

There appears to be an increased risk of having a child with ASD among older parents. One group of researchers in Israel, for example, found that fathers 40 years old and up were more than five times more likely to have a child with ASD than fathers under the age of 30 (Reichenberg et al., 2006). The same correlation does seem to hold up for maternal age (Croen, Najjar, Fireman, & Grether, 2007; Durkin et al., 2008; Parner et al., 2012). These findings suggest that mutations may occur in the sperm of fathers or

the eggs of mothers (called *de novo* mutations) that influence the development of ASD.

Neurobiological Influences

As in the area of genetics, many neurobiological influences are being studied to help explain the social communication and behavior problems observed in ASD (Fein, 2011). One intriguing theory involves research on the amygdala—the area of the brain that, as you saw in Chapter 5, is involved in emotions such as anxiety and fear. Researchers studying the brains of people with ASD after they died note that adults with and without the disorder have an amygdala of about the same size but that those with ASD have fewer neurons in this structure (Schumann & Amaral, 2006). Earlier research showed that young children with ASD actually have a larger amygdala. The theory being proposed is that the amygdala in children with ASD is enlarged early in life—causing excessive anxiety and fear (perhaps contributing to their social withdrawal). With continued stress, the release of the stress hormone cortisol damages the amygdala, causing the relative absence of these neurons in adulthood. The damaged amygdala may account for the different way people with ASD respond to social situations (Lombardo, Chakrabarti, & Baron-Cohen, 2009).

An additional neurobiological influence we mentioned in the section on genetics involves the neuropeptide oxytocin. Remember that this is an important social neurochemical that influences bonding and is found to increase trust and reduce fear. Some research on children with ASD found lower levels of oxytocin in their blood (Modahl et al., 1998), and giving oxytocin to people with ASD improved their ability to remember and process information with emotion content (such as remembering happy faces), a problem that is symptomatic of ASD (Guastella et al., 2010). This is one of a number of theories being explored as possible contributors to this puzzling disorder.

One highly controversial theory is that mercury—specifically, the mercury previously used as a preservative in childhood vaccines (thimerosal)—is responsible for the increases seen in ASD. Large epidemiological studies conducted in Denmark show that there is no increased risk of ASD in children who are vaccinated (Madsen et al., 2002; Parker, Schwartz, Todd, & Pickering, 2004). Additional research shows that the number of vaccinations—also a cause of concern by some families—also does not contribute to an increased risk of ASD (DeStefano, Price, & Weintraub, 2013). Despite this and other convincing evidence, the correlation between when a child is vaccinated for measles, mumps, and rubella (12–15 months) and when the symptoms of ASD first become evident (before 3 years), continues to fuel the belief by many families that there must be some connection. The negative consequence of this concern is that some parents are not vaccinating their children, and this is thought to contribute to a significant increase in cases of measles and mumps in the United States and other countries (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2011).

The study of ASD is a relatively young field and still awaits an integrative theory of how biological, psychological, and social factors work together to put an individual at risk for developing autism. It is likely, however, that further research will identify

the biological mechanisms that may explain the social aversion experienced by many people with the disorder. Also to be outlined are the psychological and social factors that interact early with the biological influences, producing deficits in socialization and communication, as well as the characteristic unusual behaviors.

Treatment of Autism Spectrum Disorder

Most treatment research has focused on children with the more severe form of ASD, so we primarily discuss treatment research for these individuals. There are a growing number of studies aimed at persons displaying less severe forms of ASD—typically focused on teaching social skills—and we describe this research as well. One generalization that can be made about ASD is that no completely effective treatment exists. Attempts to eliminate the social communication problems experienced by these individuals have not been successful to date. Rather, most efforts at treating people with ASD focus on enhancing their communication and daily living skills and on reducing problem behaviors, such as tantrums and self-injury (Durand, 2014). We describe some of these approaches next, including important work on early intervention for young children with ASD.

Psychosocial Treatments

Early psychodynamic treatments were based on the belief that ASD is the result of improper parenting, and they encouraged ego development (the creation of a self-image) (Bettelheim, 1967). Given our current understanding about the nature of the disorder, we should not be surprised to learn that treatments based solely on ego development have not had a positive impact on the lives of people with ASD (Kanner & Eisenberg, 1955). Greater success has been achieved with behavioral approaches that focus on skill building and behavioral treatment of problem behaviors. This approach is based on the early work of Charles Ferster (1961) and Ivar Lovaas. Although the work of Ferster and Lovaas has been greatly refined over the past few decades, the basic premise—that people with ASD can learn and that they can be taught some



Temple Grandin has a Ph.D. in animal science and a successful career designing humane equipment for handling livestock. She also has autism.

skills they lack—remains central. There is a great deal of overlap between the treatment of ASD and the treatment of intellectual disability. With that in mind, we highlight several treatment areas that are particularly important for people with ASD, including communication and socialization.

Problems with communication and language are among the defining characteristics of this disorder. A significant portion of people with ASD often do not acquire meaningful speech; they tend either to have limited speech or to use unusual speech, such as echolalia. Teaching people to speak in a useful way is difficult. Think about how we teach languages: It mostly involves imitation. Imagine how you would teach a young girl to say the word *spaghetti*. You could wait for several days until she said a word that sounded something like *spaghetti* (maybe *confetti*) and then reinforce her. You could then spend several days or weeks trying to shape *confetti* into something closer to *spaghetti*. Or you could just prompt, “Say ‘spaghetti.’” Fortunately, most children can imitate and learn to communicate efficiently. But a child who has ASD can’t or won’t imitate.

In the mid-1960s, the late Ivar Lovaas and his colleagues took a monumental first step toward addressing the difficulty of getting children with the more severe forms of ASD to respond. They used the basic behavioral procedures of shaping and discrimination training to teach these nonspeaking children to imitate others verbally (Lovaas, Berberich, Perloff, & Schaeffer, 1966). The first skill the researchers taught the children was to imitate other people’s speech. They began by reinforcing a child with food and praise for making any sound while watching the teacher. After the child mastered that step, they reinforced the child only if she made a sound after the teacher made a request—such as the phrase, “Say ‘ball’” (a procedure known as *discrimination training*). Once the child reliably made some sound after the teacher’s request, the teacher used *shaping* to reinforce only approximations of the requested sound, such as the sound of the letter “b.” Sometimes the teacher helped the child with physical prompting—in this case, by gently holding the lips together to help the child make the sound of “b.” Once the child responded successfully, a second word was introduced—such as “mama”—and the procedure was repeated. This continued until the child could correctly respond to multiple requests, demonstrating imitation by copying the words or phrases made by the teacher. Once the children could imitate, speech was easier, and progress was made in teaching some of them to use labels, plurals, sentences, and other more complex forms of language (Lovaas, 1977).

More recently, several different approaches have “normalized” this type of teaching, bringing the instruction away from a desk with one child and one teacher to regular settings at home, in school, and in the community and attempting to use more child-directed versus adult-directed techniques (**naturalistic teaching strategies**) (Durand, 2014). These teaching strategies include arranging the environment so that the child initiates an interest (e.g., placing a favorite toy just out of reach), and this is used as a teaching opportunity (e.g., Say, “I want truck.”). Various evidence-based treatment packages use aspects of this approach, including incidental teaching (McGee, Morrier, & Daly, 1999), pivotal response training (Koegel & Koegel, 2012), and milieu teaching (Hancock & Kaiser, 2012). These techniques

seem to increase a variety of social communication skills (e.g., making requests, interactions with peers, joint-attention skills, play skills) among some children with more severe forms of ASD (Goldstein, 2002). Despite the success of some children in learning speech, other children do not respond to this training, and workers sometimes use alternatives to vocal speech, such as pointing to pictures or using devices that have vocal output and can literally “speak” for the child (e.g., tablet computers) (Schlosser & Koul, 2015; van der Meer, Sutherland, O'Reilly, Lancioni, & Sigafoos, 2012).

One of the most striking features of people with ASD is their unusual reactions to other people. Although social deficits are among the more obvious problems experienced by people with autism, they can also be the most difficult to teach. A number of approaches are now used to teach social skills (for example, how to carry on a conversation and ask questions of other people), including the use of peers who do not have ASD as trainers, and there is evidence that those with ASD can improve their socialization skills (Durand, 2014).

Lovaas and his colleagues at the University of California, Los Angeles, reported on their early intervention efforts with young children (Lovaas, 1987). They used intensive behavioral treatment for communication and social skills problems for 40 hours or more per week, which seemed to improve intellectual and educational functioning. Follow up suggests that these improvements are long lasting (Smith & Iadarola, 2015). Subsequent research on early intervention for toddlers with ASD include programs specifically targeting joint attention and play skills—the absence of which are some of the earliest signs of problematic social development. Focusing on these skills in the early years is important for helping the child develop more sophisticated social repertoires (Poon, Watson, Baranek, & Poe, 2012). A growing research base suggests that these skills can be facilitated among very young children with ASD (Lawton & Kasari, 2012; Wong & Kasari, 2012) and preliminary follow-up data suggest this approach may facilitate later development of language (Kasari, Gulsrud, Freeman, Paparella, & Hellemann, 2012). Some exciting research suggests that intensive early behavioral intervention may “normalize” the functioning of the developing brain in these children compared with children with ASD who do not receive this treatment (Dawson et al., 2012; Voos et al., 2013).

Individuals with less severe forms of ASD do not have the cognitive delays often found in persons with more severe forms, and can—with support—do well academically in school. However, their social difficulties and common comorbid problems (e.g., ADHD, anxiety) complicate their interactions with peers and teachers and can lead to disruptive behavior problems. A number of different programs exist to help school-aged children improve skills such as appropriate social interaction, problem-solving, self-control, recognizing emotions in others, expanding their often narrow range of interests, and improving their understanding of non-literal idioms (e.g., understanding that the phrase “get off my back” means something very different from its literal meaning) (e.g., Karkhaneh et al., 2010; Koning, Magill-Evans, Volden, & Dick, 2011). This work is in its infancy, and future research should tell us how best to improve these abilities in persons with ASD.

Biological Treatments

Medical intervention has had little positive impact on the core symptoms of social and language difficulties (Durand, 2014). A variety of pharmacological treatments are used to decrease agitation, with the major tranquilizers and serotonin-specific reuptake inhibitors being most helpful (Greydanus, Kaplan, & Patel, 2015). Because ASD may result from a variety of deficits, it is unlikely that one drug will work for everyone with this disorder. Much current work is focused on finding pharmacological treatments for specific behaviors or symptoms.

Integrating Treatments

Early intervention for very young children with ASD holds the most hope for significant changes in the core symptoms of this disorder. The treatment of choice for older children and those not responsive to early intervention combines various approaches to the many facets of this disorder. For children, most therapy consists of school education with special psychological supports for problems with communication and socialization. Behavioral approaches have been most clearly documented as benefiting children in this area. Pharmacological treatments can help some of them temporarily. Parents also need support because of the great demands and stressors involved in living with and caring for such children. As children with ASD grow older, intervention focuses on efforts to integrate them into the community, often with supported living arrangements and work settings. Because the range of abilities of people with ASD is so great, however, these efforts differ dramatically. Some people are able to live in their own apartments with only minimal support from family members. Others, with more severe forms of cognitive impairment, require more extensive efforts to support them in their communities.

Intellectual Disability (Intellectual Developmental Disorder)

Intellectual disability (ID) is a disorder evident in childhood as significantly below-average intellectual and adaptive functioning (Toth et al., 2016). People with ID experience difficulties with day-to-day activities to an extent that reflects both the severity of their cognitive deficits and the type and amount of assistance they receive. *DSM-5* identifies difficulties in three domains: conceptual (e.g., skill deficits in areas such as language, reasoning, knowledge, and memory), social (e.g., problems with social judgment and the ability to make and retain friendships), and practical (e.g., difficulties managing personal care or job responsibilities) (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Perhaps more than any other group you have studied in this text, people with ID have throughout history received treatment that can best be described as shameful (Scheerenberger, 1983). With notable exceptions, societies throughout the ages have devalued individuals whose intellectual abilities are deemed less than adequate. *DSM-IV-TR* previously used the term “mental retardation,” but

this was changed in *DSM-5* to “intellectual disability” (or intellectual developmental disorder) to be consistent with changes in terminology in this field (American Psychiatric Association, 2013).

The field of ID has undergone dramatic and fundamental changes during the past few decades. What it means to have an ID, how to define it, how to label it, and how people with this disorder are treated have been scrutinized, debated, and fought over by a variety of concerned groups. We describe the disorder in the context of these important changes, explaining both the status of people who have ID and our current understanding of its causes and treatment.



Lauren Potter (an actress with Down syndrome) played Becky Jackson in the popular television show *Glee*.

The manifestations of ID are varied. Some individuals function quite well, even independently, in our complex society. For example, Lauren Potter (an actor with Down syndrome) played a cheerleader in the television show *Glee*. Others with ID have significant cognitive and physical impairments and require considerable assistance to carry on day-to-day activities. Consider the case of James.

James... Up to the Challenge

James's mother contacted us because he was disruptive at school and at work. James was 17 and attended the local high school. He had Down syndrome and was described as likable and, at times, mischievous. He enjoyed skiing, bike riding, and many other activities common among teenage boys. His desire to participate was a source of some conflict between him and his mother: He wanted to take the driver's education course at school, which his mother felt would set him up for failure, and he had a girlfriend he wanted to date, a prospect that also caused his mother concern.

School administrators complained because James didn't participate in activities such as physical education, and at the work site that was part of his school program, he was often sullen, sometimes lashing out at the supervisors. They were considering moving him to a program with more supervision and less independence.

James's family had moved often during his youth, and they experienced striking differences in the way each community responded to James and his intellectual disability. In some school districts, he was immediately placed in classes with other children his age, and his teachers were provided with additional assistance and consultation. In others, it was just as quickly recommended that he be taught separately. Sometimes the school district had a special classroom in the local school for children with intellectual disabilities. Other districts had programs in other towns, and James would have to travel an hour to and from school each day. Every time he was assessed in a new school, the evaluation was similar to earlier ones. He received scores on his IQ tests in the range of 40 to 50, which placed him in the moderate range of intellectual disability. Each school gave him the same diagnosis: Down syndrome with moderate intellectual disability. At each school, the teachers and other professionals were competent and caring individuals who wanted the best for James and his mother. Yet some believed that to learn skills James needed a separate program with specialized staff. Others felt they could not provide a program with specialized staff. Still others felt they could provide a comparable education in a regular classroom and that to have peers without disabilities would be an added benefit.

In high school, James had several academic classes in a separate classroom for children with learning problems, but he participated in some classes, such as gym, with students who did not have intellectual disability. His current difficulties in gym (not participating) and at work (being

oppositional) were jeopardizing his placement in both programs. When I spoke with James's mother, she expressed frustration that the work program was beneath him because he was asked to do boring, repetitious work such as folding paper. James expressed a similar frustration, saying that he was treated like a baby. He could communicate fairly well when he wanted to, although he sometimes would become confused about what he wanted to say and it was difficult to understand everything he tried to articulate. On observing him at school and at work, and after speaking with his teachers, we realized that a common paradox had developed. James resisted work he thought was too easy. His teachers interpreted his resistance to mean that the work was too hard for him, and they gave him even simpler tasks. He resisted or protested more vigorously, and they responded with even more supervision and structure. •

Clinical Description

People with ID display a broad range of abilities and personalities. Individuals like James, who have mild or moderate impairments, can, with proper preparation, carry out most of the day-to-day activities expected of any of us. Many can learn to use mass transportation, purchase groceries, and hold a variety of jobs. Those with more severe impairments may need help to eat, bathe, and dress themselves, although with proper training and support, they can achieve a degree of independence. These individuals experience impairments that affect most areas of functioning. Language and communication skills are often the most obvious. James was only mildly impaired in this area, needing help with articulation. In contrast, people with more severe forms of ID may never learn to use speech as a form of communication, requiring alternatives such as sign language or special communication devices to express even their most basic needs. Because many cognitive processes are adversely affected, individuals with intellectual disability have difficulty learning, the level of challenge depending on how extensive the cognitive disability is.

Before examining the specific criteria for ID, note that, like the personality disorders we described in Chapter 12, ID was previously included on Axis II of *DSM-IV-TR*. The rationale for placing these disorders on a separate axis was that they tend to be more chronic and less amenable to treatment, and second, it was to remind clinicians to consider whether these disorders, if present, were affecting an Axis I disorder. People could be diagnosed on both Axis I (for example, generalized anxiety disorder) and Axis II (for example, mild intellectual disability). *DSM-5* no longer has a separate axis for these disorders.

The *DSM-5* criteria for ID no longer include numeric cut-offs for IQ scores, which were present in previous versions. They are still included in the narrative of the broader description, but the goal was to de-emphasize these numbers in favor of a comprehensive assessment of functioning. To be diagnosed with ID, a person must have significantly subaverage intellectual functioning, a determination made with one of several IQ tests with a cutoff score set by *DSM-5* of approximately 70.

TABLE 14.4

Diagnostic Criteria for Intellectual Disability (Intellectual Developmental Disorder)

Intellectual disability (intellectual developmental disorder) is a disorder with onset during the developmental period that includes both intellectual and adaptive functioning deficits in conceptual, social, and practical domains. The following three criteria must be met:

- A.** Deficits in intellectual functions, such as reasoning, problem solving, planning, abstract thinking, judgment, academic learning, and learning from experience, confirmed by both clinical assessment and individualized, standardized intelligence testing.
- B.** Deficits in adaptive functioning that result in failure to meet developmental and sociocultural standards for personal independence and social responsibility. Without ongoing support, the adaptive deficits limit functioning in one or more activities of daily life, such as communication, social participation, and independent living, across multiple environments such as home, school, work, and community.
- C.** Onset of intellectual and adaptive deficits during the developmental period.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

The American Association on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities (AAIDD), which has its own, similar definition of intellectual disability, has a cutoff score of approximately 70 to 75 (Toth et al., 2016).

The second criterion calls for concurrent deficits or impairments in adaptive functioning. In other words, scoring “approximately 70 or below” on an IQ test is not sufficient for a diagnosis of ID; a person must also have significant difficulty in areas such as communication, self-care, home living, social and interpersonal skills, use of community resources, self-direction, functional academic skills, work, leisure, health, and safety. To illustrate, although James had many strengths, such as his ability to communicate and his social and interpersonal skills (he had several good friends), he was not as proficient as other teenagers at caring for himself in areas such as home living, health, and safety or in academic areas. This aspect of the definition is important because it excludes people who can function quite well in society but for various reasons do poorly on IQ tests. For instance, someone whose primary language is not English may do poorly on an IQ test but may still function at a level comparable with those of peers. This person would not be considered to have ID even with a score of below 70 on the English-based IQ test.

The final criterion for ID is the age of onset. The characteristic below-average intellectual and adaptive abilities must be evident before the person is 18. This cutoff is designed to identify affected individuals when the brain is developing and therefore when any problems should become evident. The age criterion rules out the diagnosis of ID for adults who suffer from brain trauma or forms of dementia that impair their abilities. The age of 18 is somewhat arbitrary, but it is the age at which most children leave school, when our society considers a person an adult.

The imprecise definition of ID brings up an important issue: Intellectual disability, perhaps more than any of the other disorders, is defined by society. The cutoff score of 70 or 75 is based on a statistical concept (two or more standard deviations from the mean), not on qualities inherent in people who supposedly have ID. There is little disagreement about the diagnosis for people with the most severe disabilities; however, the majority of people diagnosed with ID are in the mild range of cognitive impairment. They need some support and assistance, but remember that the criteria for using the label of ID are based partly on a somewhat arbitrary cutoff score for IQ that can (and does) change with changing social expectations.

People with ID differ significantly in their degree of disability. Almost all classification systems have differentiated these individuals in terms of their ability or on the cause of the ID (Holland, 2012). Traditionally, classification systems have identified four levels of ID: *mild*, which is identified by an IQ score between 50–55 and 70; *moderate*, with a range of 35–40 to 50–55; *severe*, ranging from 20–25 to 35–40; and *profound*, which includes people with IQ scores below 20–25. It is difficult to categorize each level of ID according to “average” individual achievements by people at each level. A person with severe or profound ID tends to have extremely limited formal communication skills (no spoken speech or only one or two words) and may require great or even total assistance in dressing, bathing, and eating. Yet people with these diagnoses have a range of skills that depend on training and the availability of other supports. Similarly, people like James, who have mild or moderate ID, should be able to live independently or with minimal supervision; again, however, their achievement depends partly on their education and the community support available to them.

Perhaps the most controversial change introduced in the AAIDD definition of ID is its description of different levels of this disorder, which are based on the level of support or assistance people need: *intermittent*, *limited*, *extensive*, or *pervasive* (Papazoglou, Jacobson, McCabe, Kaufmann, & Zabel, 2014; Thompson et al., 2009). The important difference is that the AAIDD system identifies the role of “needed supports” in determining level of functioning,



Although this man cannot speak, he is learning to communicate with an eye-gaze board, pointing to or simply looking at the image that conveys his message.

whereas *DSM-5* implies that the ability of the person is the sole determining factor. The AAIDD system focuses on specific areas of assistance a person needs that can then be translated into training goals. Whereas James's *DSM-5* diagnosis might be "moderate intellectual disability," he might receive the following AAIDD diagnosis: "a person with intellectual disability who needs limited supports in home living, health and safety, and in academic skills." The AAIDD definition emphasizes the types of support that James and others require, and it highlights the need to identify what assistance is available when considering a person's abilities and potential.

Statistics

Approximately 90% of people with ID fall under the label of mild intellectual disability (IQ of 50 to 70). When you add individuals with moderate, severe, and profound ID (IQ below 50), the total population of people with this disorder represents approximately 1% to 3% of the general population (Toth et al., 2016).

The course of ID is chronic, meaning that people do not go through periods of remission, such as with substance use disorders or anxiety disorders. The prognosis for people with this disorder varies considerably, however. Given appropriate training and support, individuals with less severe forms of ID can live relatively independent and productive lives. People with more severe impairments require more assistance to participate in work and community life.

Over the past century, a curious thing has occurred—IQ scores have risen. This phenomenon is known as the Flynn effect (Flynn, 1984). As these scores rise, those who make up IQ tests adjust the assessments every decade or two to keep the average score around 100. For most people, these changes have no practical effect. However, for people hovering at the cutoff point for ID, this may mean the difference between receiving the diagnosis or not (Kanaya &

Ceci, 2012). In one study, the number of people scoring just below 70 (the cutoff for mild ID) tripled when they were administered one of the revised IQ tests (Kanaya, Scullin, & Ceci, 2003). These results emphasize the caution we need to take when interpreting who does or does not have ID.

Causes

There are literally hundreds of known causes of intellectual disability, including the following:

Environmental: For example, deprivation, abuse, and neglect

Prenatal: For instance, exposure to disease or drugs while still in the womb

Perinatal: Such as difficulties during labor and delivery

Postnatal: For example, infections and head injury

As we mentioned in Chapter 11, heavy use of alcohol among pregnant women can produce a disorder in their children called *fetal alcohol syndrome*, a condition that can lead to severe learning disabilities (Rangmar et al., 2015). Other prenatal factors that can produce ID include the pregnant woman's exposure to disease and chemicals and poor nutrition. In addition, lack of oxygen (anoxia) during birth and malnutrition and head injuries during the developmental period can lead to severe cognitive impairments (Toth et al., 2016).

Biological Dimensions

Most research on the causes of ID focuses on biological influences. We next look at biological dimensions that appear to be responsible for the more common forms of ID.

Genetic Influences

Multiple genetic influences appear to contribute to ID, including chromosomal disorders (e.g., having an extra 21st chromosome, as in Down syndrome), single-gene disorders, mitochondrial disorders (defects in mitochondria, which are compartments found in most human cells that generate the majority of energy needed by the cells to function) and multiple genetic mutations (Toth et al., 2016). A portion of the people with more severe ID have identifiable single-gene disorders, involving a *dominant gene* (which expresses itself when paired with a normal gene), a *recessive gene* (which expresses itself only when paired with another copy of itself), or an *X-linked gene* (present on the X or sex chromosome).

Before we discuss known genetic causes of ID, it is important to recognize that as many as 30% of cases of ID have no identified etiology (Toth et al., 2016).



Intellectual disability can be defined in terms of the level of support people need.

Monkey Business Images/Shutterstock.com

Important research using sophisticated genetic analysis techniques is pointing to genetic causes that went previously undetected. One study of children from Germany and Switzerland found that a variety of genetic mutations including *de novo* disorders (genetic mutations occurring in the sperm or egg or after fertilization) were present in those children with ID of unknown origin (Rauch et al., 2012). This work is important not only because it helps identify new causes of ID but also because it helps explain why a child could have a genetically based disorder without that mutation being present in either parent. Mutations in genetic material can occur at various points in development, and this helps explain the causes of previously puzzling cases of ID.

Only a few dominant genes result in ID, probably because of natural selection: Someone who carries a dominant gene that results in ID is less likely to have children and thus less likely to pass the gene to offspring. Therefore, this gene becomes less likely to continue in the population. Some people, however, especially those with mild ID, do marry and have children, thus passing on their genes. One example of a dominant gene disorder, *tuberous sclerosis*, is relatively rare, occurring in 1 of approximately every 30,000 births. About 60% of the people with this disorder have ID, and most have seizures (uncontrolled electrical discharges in the brain) and characteristic bumps on the skin that during their adolescence resemble acne (Samueli et al., 2015).

The next time you drink a diet soda, notice the warning, “Phenylketonurics: Contains Phenylalanine.” This is a caution for people with the recessive disorder called **phenylketonuria (PKU)**, which affects 1 of every 10,000 newborns and is characterized by an inability to break down a chemical in our diets called phenylalanine (Schuck et al., 2015; Toth et al., 2016). Until the mid-1960s, the majority of people with this disorder had ID, seizures, and behavior problems, resulting from high levels of this chemical. Researchers developed a screening technique, however, that identifies the

existence of PKU; infants are now routinely tested at birth, and any individuals identified with PKU can be successfully treated with a special diet that avoids the chemical phenylalanine. This is a rare example of the successful prevention of one form of ID.

Because untreated maternal PKU can harm the developing fetus, there is concern now that women with PKU who are of childbearing age may not stick to their diets and inadvertently cause PKU-related ID in their children before birth. Many physicians recommend dietary restriction through the person’s lifetime, especially during the childbearing period—thus the warnings on products with phenylalanine (Widaman, 2009).

Lesch-Nyhan syndrome, an X-linked disorder, is characterized by ID, signs of cerebral palsy (spasticity or tightening of the muscles), and self-injurious behavior, including finger and lip biting (Nyhan, 1978). Only males are affected, because a recessive gene is responsible; when it is on the X chromosome in males, it does not have a normal gene to balance it because males do not have a second X chromosome. Women with this gene are carriers and do not show any of the symptoms.

As our ability to detect genetic defects improves, more disorders will be identified genetically. The hope is that our increased knowledge will be accompanied by improvements in our ability to treat or, as in the case of PKU, prevent intellectual disability and other negative outcomes.

Chromosomal Influences


Approximately 60 years ago the number of chromosomes—46—was correctly identified in human cells (Tjio & Levan, 1956). Three years later, researchers found that people with Down syndrome (the disorder James displayed) had an additional small chromosome (Lejeune, Gauthier, & Turpin, 1959). Since that time, a number of other chromosomal aberrations that result in intellectual disability have been identified. We describe Down syndrome and fragile X syndrome in some detail, but there are hundreds of other ways in which abnormalities among the chromosomes can lead to ID (Toth et al., 2016).

Down syndrome, the most common chromosomal form of ID, was first identified by the British physician Langdon Down in 1866. Down had tried to develop a classification system for people with ID based on their resemblance to people of other races; he described individuals with this particular disorder as “mongoloid” because they resembled people from Mongolia (Scheerenberger, 1983). The term *mongoloidism* was used for some time but has been replaced with the term *Down syndrome*. The disorder is caused by the presence of an extra 21st chromosome and is therefore sometimes referred to as *trisomy 21*. For reasons not completely understood, during cell division, two of the 21st chromosomes stick



ASTIER/BSIP/Maxx Images

Amniocentesis can detect the presence of Down syndrome in a fetus. Guided by an ultrasound image, the doctor withdraws amniotic fluid for analysis.



● **FIGURE 14.2**

The increasing likelihood of Down syndrome with maternal age. (Based on data from Hook, E. B. (1982). Epidemiology of Down syndrome. In S. M. Pueschel & J. E. Rynders, Eds., *Down syndrome: Advances in biomedicine and the behavioral sciences* [pp. 11–88]. Cambridge, MA: Ware Press, © 1982 Ware University Press.)

together (a condition called nondisjunction), creating one cell with one copy that dies and one cell with three copies that divide to create a person with Down syndrome.

People with Down syndrome have characteristic facial features, including folds in the corners of their upwardly slanting eyes, a flat nose, and a small mouth with a flat roof that makes the tongue protrude somewhat. Like James, they tend to have congenital heart malformations. Tragically, adults with Down syndrome have a greatly increased risk of dementia of the Alzheimer's type, a degenerative brain disorder that causes impairments in memory and other cognitive disorders (Wiseman et al., 2015). This disorder among people with Down syndrome occurs earlier than usual (sometimes in their early 20s) and has led to the finding that at least one form of Alzheimer's disease is attributable to a gene on the 21st chromosome.

The incidence of children born with Down syndrome has been tied to maternal age: As the age of the mother increases, so does her chance of having a child with this disorder (see ● Figure 14.2). A woman at age 20 has a 1 in 2,000 chance of having a child with Down syndrome; at the age of 35, this risk increases to 1 in 500; and at the age of 45, it increases again

to 1 in 18 births (Girirajan, 2009). Despite these numbers, many more children with Down syndrome are born to younger mothers simply because younger mothers have more children. The reason for the rise in incidence with maternal age is not clear. Some suggest that because a woman's ova (eggs) are all produced in youth, the older ones have been exposed to toxins, radiation, and other harmful substances over longer periods. This exposure may interfere with the normal meiosis (division) of the chromosomes, creating an extra 21st chromosome (Pueschel & Goldstein, 1991). It may also be that the hormonal changes that occur as women age contribute to this error in cell division (Pandya, Mevada, Patel, & Suthar, 2013).

For some time, it has been possible to detect the presence of Down syndrome—but not the degree of ID—through **amniocentesis**, a procedure that involves removing and testing a sample of the fluid that surrounds the fetus in the amniotic sac, and through **chorionic villus sampling (CVS)** in which a small piece of placenta tissue is removed and tested. These types of test are not always desirable because it is an invasive procedure (inserting a needle that could cause unwanted damage to the developing fetus). There are now more sophisticated tests of a mother's blood that can be



David Toth/Photofusion Picture Library / Alamy Stock Photo

By participating in a training program for people with specific learning disabilities, this young man with Down syndrome is acquiring skills to earn a living.

used to detect Down syndrome as early as the first trimester of pregnancy (Abele et al., 2015). The presence of this chromosomal abnormality does not convey information about the eventual severity of the disorder, however. Despite this lack of information, some estimate that a prenatal diagnosis of Down syndrome leads to a choice for an elective abortion more than 50% of the time (Natoli, Ackerman, McDermott, & Edwards, 2012). Prenatal testing for Down syndrome cannot assist parents with information about the outcomes.

Fragile X syndrome is a second common chromosomally related cause of ID (Clarke & Deb, 2012). As its name suggests, this disorder is caused by an abnormality on the X chromosome, a mutation that makes the tip of the chromosome look as though it were hanging from a thread, giving it the appearance of fragility (Lubs, Stevenson, & Schwartz, 2012). As with Lesch-Nyhan syndrome, which also involves the X chromosome, fragile X primarily affects males because they do not have a second X chromosome with a normal gene to balance out the mutation. Unlike Lesch-Nyhan carriers, however, women who carry fragile X syndrome commonly display mild-to-severe learning disabilities (Santoro, Bray, & Warren, 2012). Men with the disorder display moderate-to-severe levels of ID and have higher rates of hyperactivity, short attention spans, gaze avoidance, and perseverative speech (repeating the same words again and again). In addition, such physical characteristics as large ears, testicles, and head circumference are common. Estimates are that 1 of every 4,000 males and 1 of every 8,000 females are born with fragile X syndrome (Toth & King, 2010).

Psychological and Social Dimensions

Cultural influences that may contribute to ID can include abuse, neglect, and social deprivation. Sometimes referred to as **cultural-familial intellectual disability**, people with these

characteristics are thought to have cognitive impairments that result from a combination of psychosocial and biological influences, although the specific mechanisms that lead to this type of intellectual disability are not yet understood. Fortunately, because of better child care systems and early identification of potential family difficulties, these cases are rare today (Kaski, 2012).

Treatment of Intellectual Disability

Biological treatment of ID is currently not a viable option. Generally, the treatment of individuals with ID parallels that of people with more severe forms of autism spectrum disorder, attempting to teach them the skills they need to become more productive and independent. For individuals with mild ID, intervention is similar to that for people with learning disorders. Specific learning deficits are identified and addressed to help the student improve such skills as reading and writing. At the same time, these individuals often need additional support to live in the community. For people with more severe disabilities, the general goals are the same; however, the level of assistance they need is often more extensive. Remember that the expectation for all people with ID is that they will in some way participate in community life, attend school and later hold a job, and have the opportunity for meaningful social relationships. Advances in electronic and educational technologies have made this goal realistic even for people with profound intellectual disability.

Individuals with ID can acquire skills through the many behavioral innovations first introduced in the early 1960s to teach such basic self-care as dressing, bathing, feeding, and toileting to people with even the most severe disabilities (Durand, 2014). The skill is broken into its component parts (a procedure called a *task analysis*), and people are taught each part in succession until they can perform the whole skill. Performance on each step is encouraged by praise and by access to objects or activities the people desire (reinforcers). Success in teaching these skills is usually measured by the level of independence people can attain by using them. Typically, most individuals, regardless of their disability, can be taught to perform some skills.

Communication training is important for people with ID. Making their needs and wants known is essential for personal satisfaction and for participation in most social activities. The goals of communication training differ, depending on the existing skills. For people with mild levels of ID, the goals may be relatively minor (for example, improving articulation) or more extensive (for example, organizing a conversation) (Berney, 2012; Heath, Ganz, Parker, Burke, & Ninci, 2015). Some, like James, have communication skills that are already adequate for day-to-day needs.

For individuals with the most severe disabilities, communication skills training can be particularly challenging, because they may have multiple physical or cognitive deficits that make spoken communication difficult or impossible. Creative researchers, however, use alternative systems that may be easier for these individuals, including sign language, used primarily by people with hearing disabilities, and *augmentative communication strategies*. Augmentative strategies may use picture books, teaching the person to make a request by pointing to a picture—for instance, pointing to



Research Collections/University Archive/Lovejoy Library

Today, great efforts are made to keep people with intellectual disability and other mental disorders in their homes and communities.

a picture of a cup to request a drink (Heath et al., 2015). A variety of computer-assisted devices including tablet computers can be programmed so that the individual presses a button to produce complete spoken sentences (for example, “Would you come here? I need your help.”). People with limited communication skills can be taught to use these devices, which helps them reduce the frustration of not being able to relate their feelings and experiences to other people (Durand, 2011).

Concern is often expressed by parents, teachers, and employers that some people with ID can be physically or verbally aggressive or may hurt themselves. Considerable debate has ensued over the proper way to reduce these behavior problems; the most heated discussions involve whether to use painful punishers (Repp & Singh, 1990). Alternatives to punishment that may be equally effective in reducing behavior problems such as aggression and self-injury include teaching people how to communicate their need or desire for such things as attention that they seem to be getting with their problem behaviors (Durand, 2012). Important advances are being made in significantly reducing even severe behavior problems for some people.

In addition to ensuring that people with ID are taught specific skills, caretakers focus on the important task of supporting them in their communities. “Supported employment” involves helping an individual find and participate satisfactorily in a competitive job (Drake, Bond, & Becker, 2012). Research has shown not only that people with ID can be placed in meaningful jobs but also that, despite the costs associated with supported employment, it can be cost-effective (Cimera, 2012). The benefits to people who achieve the satisfaction of being a productive part of society are incalculable.

There is general agreement about *what* should be taught to people with ID. The controversy in recent years has been over *where* this teaching should take place. Should people with ID, especially the severe forms, be taught in specially designed separate classrooms or workshops, or should they attend their neighborhood public schools and work at local businesses? Increasingly, teaching strategies to help these students learn are being used in regular classrooms and in preparing them to work at jobs in the community (Foley, Dyke, Girdler, Bourke, & Leonard, 2012). The

current prevention and treatment efforts suggest that meaningful changes can be achieved in the lives of those with ID.

Prevention of Neurodevelopmental Disorders

Prevention efforts for the neurodevelopmental disorders outlined in this chapter are in their early stages. One such effort—early intervention—has been described for ASD and appears to hold considerable promise for some children. In addition, early intervention can target and assist children who, because of inadequate environments, are at risk for developing cultural-familial ID (Eldevik, Jahr, Eikeseth, Hastings, & Hughes, 2010). The national Head Start program is one such effort at early intervention; it combines educational, medical, and social supports for these children and their families. One project identified a group of children shortly after birth and provided them with an intensive preschool program, along with medical and nutritional supports. This intervention continued until the children began formal education in kindergarten (Martin, Ramey, & Ramey, 1990). The researchers of this study found that for all but one of the children in a control group who received medical and nutritional support but not the intensive educational experiences, each had IQ scores below 85 at age 3, but that 3-year-olds in the experimental group all tested above 85. Such findings are important because they show the potential for creating a lasting impact on the lives of children with developmental disorders and their families.

Although it appears that many children can make significant progress if interventions are initiated early in life (Eldevik et al., 2010), a number of important questions remain regarding early intervention efforts. Not all children, for example, benefit significantly from such efforts, and future research will need to resolve a number of lingering concerns. For example, researchers need to determine how best to identify children and families who will benefit from such programs, how early in the child’s development programs should begin, and how long to continue these early intervention programs to produce desirable outcomes.

Given recent advances in genetic screening and technology, it may someday be possible to detect and correct genetic and chromosomal abnormalities; related ongoing research could fundamentally change our approach to children with developmental disorders. For example, one study used mice that were genetically engineered to model fragile X syndrome found in many individuals with intellectual disability (Suvrathan, Hoeffer, Wong, Klann, & Chattarji, 2010). Researchers found that they could improve the functioning of certain glutamate receptors in the amygdala of the mice with a drug that blocks these receptors. The results were more normalized functioning between these neurons, a potential early medical intervention for children with fragile X disorder (Krueger & Bear, 2011; Suvrathan, Hoeffer, Wong, Klann, & Chattarji, 2010). Someday, it may be possible for similar research to be performed prenatally on children identified as having syndromes associated with ID. For example, it may soon be possible to conduct prenatal gene therapy, where a developing fetus that has been screened for a genetic disorder may be the target of intervention before birth. This prospect is not without its difficulties, however.

Advances in biomedical technology will need support from psychological researchers to make sure that any needed treatments are carried out properly. For example, biological risk factors for several developmental disorders include malnutrition and exposure to toxins such as lead and alcohol. Although medical researchers can identify the role of these biological events in cognitive development, psychologists will need to support these efforts. Behavioral intervention for safety training (for example, involving lead-based paints in older homes), substance-use treatment and prevention, and behavioral medicine (for example, “wellness” efforts) are examples of crucial roles played by psychologists in helping to prevent certain forms of developmental disorders.

DSM Controversies: Losing a Valued Label

One of the most talked about and debated changes in the *DSM-5* was the elimination of separate categories for “autistic disorder” and “Asperger’s disorder”—which were present in *DSM-IV*. The rationale behind this reorganization of the separate autism related disorders under one rubric was that ASDs could be reliably distinguished from other disorders, but within this category there were considerable inconsistencies (Frazier et al., 2012; Rutter, 2011b). In other words, it was not always clear if someone had a milder form of autistic disorder (e.g., with more speech) or whether it was Asperger’s disorder. They all share the pervasive deficits in social communication skills as well as the restricted patterns of behaviors. It was argued that the main differences among the disorders are ones involving the severity of the symptoms, language level, and levels of intellectual deficit and therefore could be grouped together as autism spectrum disorder—with varying degrees of severity.

One of the first concerns was that these new criteria might exclude some individuals who previously met *DSM-IV* criteria and, in turn, it might result in the denial of treatment services for those left out. This concern was precipitated by researchers who evaluated cases that received a *DSM-IV* diagnosis of autism or a related disorder and tried to see how many would now fall into the new ASD category (McPartland, Reichow, & Volkmar, 2012). Their initial findings caused considerable alarm since they concluded that almost 40% of individuals would not meet the *DSM-5* criteria. Although subsequent analyses found this number to be lower (e.g., approximately 9% in one study; Huerta, Bishop, Duncan, Hus, & Lord, 2012), there remains a concern that some individuals will no longer be eligible for needed services.

In addition to the concern about combining this disorder into the generic

autism spectrum disorder, many of those individuals who have been previously diagnosed with Asperger’s disorder feel that this decision takes away part of their identity (Pellicano & Stears, 2011). Rather than feeling shame or embarrassment about receiving this diagnosis, a good number of these individuals embrace their distinctiveness. Some advocate for seeing these differences in terms of “neurodiversity,” or viewing their “disorder” as just a different and not abnormal way to view the world (Armstrong, 2010; Singer, 1999). In fact, the word “Aspies” is sometimes used with pride by individuals with this label (e.g., Beardon & Worton, 2011) and those who do not have this disorder are often referred to as “neurotypical”—sometimes in a negative way. It is likely that despite the elimination of Asperger’s disorder from *DSM-5*, some in this community will continue to hold on to the label with pride.

Exploring Neurodevelopmental Disorders

Disorders that appear early in life disrupt the normal course of development.

- Interrupting or preventing the development of one skill impedes mastery of the skill that is normally acquired next.
- Knowing what skills are disrupted by a particular disorder is essential to developing appropriate intervention strategies.



TYPES OF NEURODEVELOPMENTAL DISORDERS

		Description	Causes	Treatment
Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD)	Suzanne Tucker/Shutterstock.com	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Inattentive, overactive, and impulsive behaviorDisrupted schooling and relationshipsSymptoms may change with maturity, but problems persist.More prevalent in boys than girls	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Research suggests hereditary factorAbnormal neurologyPossible link with maternal smokingNegative responses by others create low self-esteem.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Biological (medication)<ul style="list-style-type: none">improves compliancedecreases negative behaviorseffects not long termPsychological (behavioral)<ul style="list-style-type: none">goal setting and reinforcement
Specific Learning Disorder	Courtesy of Laureate Learning Systems Inc.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Reading, math, and written expression fall behind IQ, age, and education.May also be accompanied by ADHD	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Theories assume genetic, neurobiological, and environmental factors.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Education intervention<ul style="list-style-type: none">basic processingcognitive and behavioral skills

		Types	Description	Treatment
Communication and Motor Disorders	PhotoDisc/Getty Images	Childhood Onset Fluency Disorder (Stuttering)	Disturbance in speech fluency (repeating words, prolonging sounds, extended pauses)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">PsychologicalPharmacological
Closely related to learning disorders, but comparatively benign. Early appearance, wide range of problems later in life		Language Disorder	Limited speech in all situations	<ul style="list-style-type: none">PsychologicalSome cases may be self-correcting
		Social (Pragmatic) Communication Disorder	Problems with the social aspects of verbal and nonverbal communication	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Psychological
		Tourette's Disorder	Involuntary motor movements (tics), such as physical twitches or vocalizations	<ul style="list-style-type: none">PsychologicalPharmacological

PERVASIVE DEVELOPMENTAL DISORDERS

		Description	Causes	Treatment
Autism Spectrum Disorder	Photodisc/Getty Images	 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ communication ■ patterns of behavior, interests, or activities ■ Symptoms often develop before 36 months of age. ■ range of functioning, from individuals who have limited communication skills to those who can converse with others but lack the social pragmatic skills to be able to make and maintain meaningful social relationships. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Little conclusive data ■ Numerous biological factors <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – clear genetic component – evidence of brain damage (cognitive deficits) combined with psychosocial influences 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Behavioral focus <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – communication – socialization – living skills ■ Inclusive schooling ■ Temporary benefits from medication

INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY

		Description	Causes	Treatment
	Moodboard Stock Photography Ltd./Getty Images	 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Adaptive and intellectual functioning significantly below average ■ Language and communication impairments ■ Wide range of impairment—from mild to profound—in daily activities (90% of affected individuals have mild impairments). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Hundreds of identified factors <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – genetic – prenatal – perinatal – postnatal – environmental ■ Nearly 75% of cases cannot be attributed to any known cause. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ No biological intervention ■ Behavioral focus similar to that for autism ■ Prevention <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – genetic counseling – biological screening – maternal care

CHAPTER OUTLINE**Perspectives on Neurocognitive Disorders****Delirium**

- Clinical Description and Statistics
- Treatment
- Prevention

Major and Mild Neurocognitive Disorders

- Clinical Description and Statistics
- Neurocognitive Disorder Due to Alzheimer's Disease
- Vascular Neurocognitive Disorder
- Other Medical Conditions That Cause Neurocognitive Disorder
- Substance/Medication-Induced Neurocognitive Disorder
- Causes of Neurocognitive Disorder
- Treatment
- Prevention

Christian Martinez Kempin/E+/Getty Images

Use scientific reasoning to interpret behavior:

- Identify basic biological, psychological, and social components of behavioral explanations (e.g., inferences, observations, operational definitions, and interpretations). (APA SLO 2.1a) (see textbook pages 567–570)

Engage in innovative and integrative thinking and problem solving:

- Describe problems operationally to study them empirically. (APA SLO 2.3A) (see textbook pages 554–567)

Describe applications that employ discipline-based problem solving:

- Correctly identify antecedents and consequences of behavior and mental processes (APA SLO 1.3c) (see textbook page 570). Describe examples of relevant and practical applications of psychological principles to everyday life. (APA SLO 1.3a) (see textbook pages 555, 570–574)

*Portions of this chapter cover learning outcomes suggested by the American Psychological Association (2013) in its guidelines for the undergraduate psychology major. Chapter coverage of these outcomes is identified above by APA Goal and APA Suggested Learning Outcome (SLO).

Research on the brain and its role in psychopathology has increased at a rapid pace, and we have described many of the latest advances throughout this book. All the disorders we have reviewed are in some way influenced by the brain. You have seen, for example, that relatively subtle changes in neurotransmitter systems can significantly affect mood, cognition, and behavior. Unfortunately, the brain is sometimes affected profoundly and, when this happens, drastic changes occur. In earlier editions of this book, the tone of this chapter was quite dark given the lack of information on these cognitive disorders that impair all aspects of mental functioning. The typically poor prognosis of the people afflicted led to pessimistic conclusions. However, a great deal of new research is leading us to be more optimistic about the future. For example, we used to think that once neurons died, there was no hope of any replacement, yet we now know brain cells can regenerate even in the aging brain (Seib & Martin-Villalba, 2015; Stellos et al., 2010). In this chapter, we examine this exciting new work related to the brain disorders that affect cognitive processes such as learning, memory, and consciousness.

Perspectives on Neurocognitive Disorders

Most neurocognitive disorders develop much later in life, whereas intellectual disability and specific learning disorder are believed to be present from birth (see Chapter 14). In this chapter, we review two classes of cognitive disorders: *delirium*, an often temporary condition displayed as confusion and disorientation; and *mild or major neurocognitive disorder*, a progressive condition marked by gradual deterioration of a range of cognitive abilities.

The label “neurocognitive disorders” in *DSM-5* reflects a shift in the way these disorders are viewed (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). In early editions of the *DSM*, they were labeled “organic mental disorders,” along with mood, anxiety, personality, hallucination (an abnormal mental state involving hallucinations), and delusional disorders. The word *organic* indicated that brain damage or dysfunction was believed to be involved. The “organic mental

disorders” category, however, covered so many disorders that the distinction was meaningless. Consequently, the traditional organic disorders—delirium, dementia, and amnestic disorders—were kept together, and the others—organic mood, anxiety, personality, hallucinosis, and delusional disorders—were categorized with disorders that shared their symptoms (such as anxiety and mood disorders).

Once the term *organic* was dropped, attention moved to developing a better label for delirium, dementia, and the amnestic disorders. The label “cognitive disorders” was used in *DSM-IV* to signify that their predominant feature is the impairment of such cognitive abilities as memory, attention, perception, and thinking. Although disorders such as schizophrenia, autism spectrum disorder, and depression also involve cognitive problems, cognitive issues are not believed to be primary characteristics (Ganguli et al., 2011; Sachdev et al., 2014). Problems still existed with the “cognitive disorder” label, however, because although the cognitive disorders usually first appear in older adults, intellectual disability and specific learning disorder (which are apparent early) also have cognitive impairment as a predominant characteristic. Finally, in *DSM-5*, *neurocognitive disorders* is the new category name for the various forms of dementia and amnestic disorders, with “major” or “mild” subtypes; *DSM-5* retains the “delirium” label (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). This new categorization was created because of the overlap of the different types of dementia (e.g., Alzheimer’s disease) and amnestic disorder found in people such that one person may actually suffer from multiple types of neurocognitive problems (Ganguli et al., 2011; Sachdev et al., 2014).

As with certain other disorders, it may be useful to clarify why neurocognitive disorders are discussed in a textbook on abnormal psychology. Because they so clearly have organic causes, you could argue that they are purely medical concerns. You will see, however, that the consequences of a neurocognitive disorder often include profound changes in a person’s behavior and personality. Intense anxiety, depression, or both are common, especially among people with major neurocognitive disorder. In addition, paranoia is often reported, as are extreme agitation and aggression. Families and friends are also

profoundly affected by such changes. Imagine your emotional distress as a loved one is transformed into a different person, often one who no longer remembers who you are or your history together. The deterioration of cognitive ability, behavior, and personality and the effects on others are major concerns for mental health professionals.

Delirium

The disorder known as **delirium** is characterized by impaired consciousness and cognition during the course of several hours or days. Delirium is one of the earliest-recognized mental disorders: Descriptions of people with these symptoms were written more than 2,400 years ago (Solai, 2009). Consider the case of Mr. J.

Mr. J...

Sudden Distress

Mr. J., an older gentleman, was brought to the hospital emergency room. He didn't know his own name, and at times he didn't seem to recognize his daughter who was with him. Mr. J. appeared confused, disoriented, and a little agitated. He had difficulty speaking clearly and could not focus his attention to answer even the most basic questions. Mr. J.'s daughter reported that he had begun acting this way the night before, had been awake most of the time since then, was frightened, and seemed even more confused today. She told the nurse that this behavior was not normal for him, and she was worried that he was becoming "senile." She mentioned that his doctor had just changed his hypertension medication and wondered whether the new medication could be causing her father's distress. Mr. J. was ultimately diagnosed as having substance-induced delirium (a reaction to his new medication); once the medication was stopped, he improved significantly over the course of the next 2 days.

The preceding scenario is played out daily in most major metropolitan hospital emergency rooms.

Clinical Description and Statistics

People with delirium appear confused, disoriented, and out of touch with their surroundings. They cannot focus and sustain their attention on even the simplest tasks. There are marked impairments in memory and language (Meagher & Trzapacz, 2012). Mr. J. had trouble speaking; he was not only confused but also couldn't remember basic facts, such as his own name. As you saw, the symptoms of delirium do not come on gradually but develop over hours or a few days, and they can vary over the course of a day.

Delirium is estimated to be present in approximately 20% of older adults who are admitted into acute care facilities such as emergency rooms (Meagher & Trzapacz, 2012). It is most prevalent among older adults, people undergoing medical procedures, cancer patients, and people with acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS). Delirium subsides relatively quickly. Once thought to be only a temporary problem, more recent work indicates that the effects of delirium may be more lasting (Cole, Ciampi, Belzile, & Zhong, 2009; Meagher, Adamis, Trzepacz, & Leonard, 2012).

Some individuals continue to have problems on and off; some even lapse into a coma and may die. Concern by medical professionals is increasing—perhaps because of the increased number of adults living longer—leading some to recommend that delirium be included as one of the "vital signs" (along with heartbeat, breathing rate, temperature, and blood pressure) that physicians routinely check when seeing older adults (Flaherty, 2011).

Many medical conditions that impair brain function have been linked to delirium, including intoxication by drugs and poisons; withdrawal from drugs such as alcohol and sedative, hypnotic, and anxiolytic drugs; infections; head injury; and various other types of brain trauma (Meagher & Trzapacz, 2012). *DSM-5* recognizes several causes of delirium among its subtypes. The diagnosis received by Mr. J.—substance-induced delirium—as well as delirium not otherwise specified all include disruptions in the person's ability to direct, focus, sustain, and shift attention. The rise in the use of designer drugs such as Ecstasy or "Molly" (methylene-dioxymethamphetamine) and more recently "bath salts" (methylenedioxypyrovalerone) is of particular concern because of such drugs' potential to produce delirium (Penders, Gestring, & Vilensky 2012; Solai, 2009). Substance-induced delirium indicates the often complex nature of this condition.

That delirium can be brought on by the improper use of medication is a particular problem for older adults, because they tend to use prescription medications more than any other age group. The risk of problems among the elderly is increased further because they tend to eliminate drugs from their systems less efficiently than younger individuals. It is not surprising, then, that adverse drug reactions resulting in hospitalization are almost 6 times higher among elderly people than in other age groups (Budnitz, Lovegrove, Shehab, & Richards, 2011; Olivier et al., 2009). And it is believed that delirium is responsible for many of the falls that cause debilitating hip fractures in the elderly (Seitz, Adunuri, Gill, & Rochon, 2011; Stenvall et al., 2006). Although there has been some improvement in the use of medication among older adults with physicians using more care with drug dosages and the use of multiple drugs, improper use continues to produce serious side effects, including symptoms of delirium (Budnitz et al., 2011; Olivier et al., 2009). Because possible combinations of illnesses and medications are so numerous, determining the cause of delirium is extremely difficult (Solai, 2009).

Delirium may be experienced by children who have high fevers or who are taking certain medications and is often mistaken as non-compliance (Kelly & Frosch, 2012). It often occurs during the course of dementia; as many as 50% of people with dementia suffer at least one episode of delirium (Fong, Davis, Growdon, Albuquerque, & Inouye, 2015; Kwok, Lee, Lam, & Woo, 2008). Because many of the primary medical conditions can be treated, delirium is often reversed within a relatively short time. Yet, those who develop delirium while in the hospital have a one-and-a-half times increased risk for death in the following year and this risk of mortality increases to two-to-four-times for those in critical care with delirium (Inouye, Westendorp, & Saczynski, 2014). However, factors other than medical conditions can trigger delirium. Age itself is an important factor; older adults are more susceptible to developing delirium as a result of mild infections or medication changes (Inouye et al., 2014). Sleep deprivation, immobility, and excessive stress can also cause delirium (Solai, 2009).

Researchers studying the brain functioning of persons with and without delirium are beginning to understand the mechanisms

TABLE 15.1**Diagnostic Criteria for Delirium**

- A.** A disturbance in attention (i.e., reduced ability to direct, focus, sustain, and shift attention) and awareness (reduced orientation to the environment).
- B.** The disturbance develops over a short period of time (usually hours to a few days), represents a change from baseline attention and awareness, and tends to fluctuate in severity during the course of a day.
- C.** An additional disturbance in cognition (e.g., memory deficit, disorientation, language, visuospatial ability, or perception).
- D.** The disturbances in Criteria A and C are not better explained by another preexisting, established, or evolving neurocognitive disorder and do not occur in the context of a severely reduced level of arousal, such as coma.
- E.** There is evidence from the history, physical examination, or laboratory findings that the disturbance is a direct physiological consequence of another medical condition, substance intoxication or withdrawal (i.e., due to a drug of abuse or to a medication), or exposure to a toxin, or is due to multiple etiologies.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

underlying this disorder of attention. In one study, scientists assessed brain activity using fMRI scanning during active episodes of delirium as well as after these episodes and found both lasting disruption of connectivity (between the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex with the posterior cingulate cortex) as well as reversible disruptions (such as between the thalamus with the reticular activating system) (Choi et al., 2012; Slooter & de Groot, 2014). Although such research is potentially important for efforts to both prevent and treat delirium, there are potential ethical concerns. For example, a person experiencing delirium is not capable of providing informed consent for participating in such research and therefore someone else (e.g., a spouse or relative) must agree. In addition, fMRI testing can be anxiety-provoking for many people and was possibly very frightening for someone already so disoriented (Gaudreau, 2012). We discuss these issues in more detail in Chapter 16.

Treatment

The first step for the treatment of delirium is addressing underlying causes. For example, delirium brought on by withdrawal from alcohol or other drugs is usually treated with haloperidol or other antipsychotic medications, which help calm the individual. Infections, brain injury, and tumors are given the necessary and appropriate medical intervention, which often then resolves the accompanying delirium. The antipsychotic drugs haloperidol or olanzapine are also prescribed for individuals in acute delirium when the cause is unknown (Meagher & Trzapacz, 2012).

The recommended first line of treatment for a person experiencing delirium is psychosocial intervention. The goal of nonmedical treatment is to reassure the individual to help him or her deal with the agitation, anxiety, and hallucinations of delirium. The inclusion of a family member in the care for patients with delirium, such as

overnight stays with the patient may be a great comfort to the patient. Similarly, familiar personal belongings such as family photographs may also be an easy and comforting intervention (Fearing & Inouye, 2009; van Munster & de Rooij, 2014). Also, a patient who is included in all treatment decisions retains a sense of control that can aid in the patient's ability to cope with anxiety and agitation due to the delirium (Katz, 1993). This type of psychosocial treatment can help the person manage during this disruptive period until the medical causes are identified and addressed (Breitbart & Alici, 2012). Some evidence suggests that this type of support can also delay institutionalization for elderly patients (Rahkonen et al., 2001).



Richard Hutchings / PhotoEdit

Elderly patients with delirium in care facilities are often comforted by having their personal belongings nearby.

Prevention

Preventive efforts may be most successful in assisting people who are susceptible to delirium. Proper medical care for illnesses and therapeutic drug monitoring can play significant roles in preventing delirium (Breitbart & Alici, 2012). For example, the increased number of older adults involved in managed care and patient counseling on drug use appear to have led to more appropriate use of prescription drugs among the elderly (U.S. General Accounting Office, 1995). In addition, structured multidisciplinary interventions that target the prevention of delirium during hospital stays in older patients are very effective (for more information see Hospital Elder Life Program; Inouye et al., 2014). These types of programs are implemented by an interdisciplinary team of doctors, nurses, and volunteers and consist of all of the following: re-orientating the patient, providing vision and hearing aids as needed, increasing sleep and physical activity, maintaining proper hydration and nutrition, involving the patient in therapeutic activities, and reducing dosages of psychoactive drugs. One downside is that these programs require a lot of resources from hospitals to put it into place consistently with all those who are at risk for delirium.

TABLE 15.2

Diagnostic Criteria for Major Neurocognitive Disorder

- A.** Evidence of significant cognitive decline from a previous level of performance in one or more cognitive domains (complex attention, executive function, learning and memory, language, perceptual-motor, or social cognition) based on:
- 1.** Concern of the individual, a knowledgeable informant, or the clinician that there has been a significant decline in cognitive function; and
 - 2.** A substantial impairment in cognitive performance, preferably documented by standardized neuropsychological testing or, in its absence, another quantified clinical assessment.
- B.** The cognitive deficits interfere with independence in everyday activities (i.e., at a minimum, requiring assistance with complex instrumental activities of daily living such as paying bills or managing medications).
- C.** The cognitive deficits do not occur exclusively in the context of a delirium.
- D.** The cognitive deficits are not better explained by another mental disorder (e.g., major depressive disorder, schizophrenia).

Specify whether due to:

- Alzheimer's disease
 Frontotemporal lobar degeneration
 Lewy body disease
 Vascular disease
 Traumatic brain injury
 Substance/medication use
 HIV infection
 Prion disease
 Parkinson's disease
 Huntington's disease
 Another medical condition
 Multiple etiologies
 Unspecified

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

Major and Mild Neurocognitive Disorders

Few things are more frightening than the possibility of one day not recognizing those you love, not being able to perform the most basic of tasks and, worse yet, being acutely aware of this failure of your mind. When family members show these signs, initially adult children often deny any difficulty, coming up with excuses ("I forget things, too") for their parents' failing abilities. **Major neurocognitive disorder** (previously labeled **dementia**) is a gradual deterioration of brain functioning that affects memory, judgment, language, and other advanced cognitive processes. **Mild neurocognitive disorder** is a new *DSM-5* disorder that was created to focus attention on the early stages of cognitive decline. Here the person has modest impairments in cognitive abilities but can, with some accommodations (for example, making extensive lists

TABLE 15.3

Diagnostic Criteria for Mild Neurocognitive Disorder

- A.** Evidence of modest cognitive decline from a previous level of performance in one or more cognitive domains (complex attention, executive function, learning and memory, language, perceptual motor, or social cognition) based on:
- 1.** Concern of the individual, a knowledgeable informant, or the clinician that there has been a mild decline in cognitive function; and
 - 2.** A modest impairment in cognitive performance, preferably documented by standardized neuropsychological testing or, in its absence, another quantified clinical assessment.
- B.** The cognitive deficits do not interfere with capacity for independence in everyday activities (i.e., complex instrumental activities of daily living such as paying bills or managing medications are preserved, but greater effort, compensatory strategies, or accommodation may be required).
- C.** The cognitive deficits do not occur exclusively in the context of a delirium.
- D.** The cognitive deficits are not better explained by another mental disorder (e.g., major depressive disorder, schizophrenia).

Specify whether due to:

- Alzheimer's disease
 Frontotemporal lobar degeneration
 Lewy body disease
 Vascular disease
 Traumatic brain injury
 Substance/medication use
 HIV infection
 Prion disease
 Parkinson's disease
 Huntington's disease
 Another medical condition
 Multiple etiologies
 Unspecified

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

of things to do or creating elaborate schedules), continue to function independently.

Causes of neurocognitive disorders include several medical conditions and the abuse of drugs or alcohol that produce negative changes in cognitive functioning. Some of these conditions—for instance, infection or depression—can cause neurocognitive impairment, although it is often reversible through treatment

of the primary condition. Some forms of the disorder, such as Alzheimer's disease, are at present irreversible. Although delirium and neurocognitive disorder can occur together, neurocognitive disorder has a gradual progression as opposed to delirium's acute onset; people with neurocognitive disorder are not disoriented or confused in the early stages, unlike people with delirium. Like delirium, however, neurocognitive disorder has many causes,

Simon Bruty/Sports Illustrated/Getty Images



PAT SUMMITT: Grit and Determination

At the age of 57, Pat Summitt was a highly successful basketball coach and mother, but she was beginning to experience lapses in her memory.

Friends started asking, "Are you having trouble with your memory?" Finally I admitted, "Sometimes I draw blanks." I

grew uncertain, and then a little frightened. I began staying in bed until late in the morning, which was unlike me. I'd always been a bolter, the first person up and the most energetic one, too, and I'd always gone to work earlier than anyone on my staff. But I began to dread going into the office. (p. 11)

Despite having cognitive difficulties, not all of her memories were lost to her in this initial stage of the disease. She began her memoir with the things she remembered.

I remember a tiny saloon in the Tennessee hills where the bartender squirted bourbon shots from a squeeze bottle, straight into the customers' mouths. I remember teaching a clinic to other coaches and opening the floor for questions, and a guy raised his hand and asked if I had any advice when it came to "coaching women." I remember leveling him with a death ray stare and then relaxing and curling up the corner of my mouth and saying, "Don't worry about coaching 'women.' Just go home and coach 'basketball.'" (p. 6)

I remember the night my son was born. The doctor placed him on my chest and I said, "Hey, Tyler, I've been waitin' on you." (pp. 6–7)

Her memory for important experiences that occurred years ago remained intact. However, recent experiences and facts were more elusive. She then goes on to describe some of the things she no longer remembered.

Sometimes, when I first wake up, I don't remember where I am. For a moment I'm disoriented and uneasy, and I have to lie there until it comes to me.

Occasionally when I'm asked a question, I begin to answer it but then I forget the subject—it slips away like a thread through my fingers.

I struggle to remember directions. There are moments when I'm driving to someplace I should know, and I have to ask, "Do I go left or right here?"

I tend not to remember what hotel room I'm in. I don't remember what times my appointments are. (p. 7)

Many people who begin to have these cognitive difficulties retell these initial experiences as incredibly frightening. However, Pat Summitt was known for her tough determination both on the basketball court and now battling Alzheimer's disease. Her reaction to her diagnosis and her doctor's recommendations show an incredible level of courage and strength.

In my case, symptoms began to appear when I was only 57. In fact, the doctors believe early-onset Alzheimer's has a strong genetic predictor, and that it may have been progressing hidden in me for some years before I was diagnosed. I'd been walking around with a slow-ticking, slow-exploding bomb in my brain cells, and it only became apparent when it began to seriously interfere with my work. (p. 9)

The doctor told me that given my diagnosis, frankly, he felt I could no longer work at all. I should step down immediately, because in his opinion the dementia would progress rapidly. I needed to quit, and get myself out of the public eye as quickly as possible, or I would "embarrass" myself and ruin my legacy. As he spoke, I felt my fist clench. It was all I could do not to lunge across the desk and drop him with one punch. Who did he think he was? Even if I had an irreversible brain disease—even if I did—what right did he have to tell me how to cope with it? Quit? Quit? (pp. 17–18)

She goes on to write about her unusually practical and optimistic perspective on having Alzheimer's disease—a view of this degenerative disease that should serve as a role model for the millions of people impacted by this disorder.

Above all, I know that Alzheimer's has brought me to a point that I was going to arrive at someday anyway. With or without this diagnosis, I was going to experience diminishment. We all do. It's our fate. No, I can't size up a court of ten players anymore, see the clock out of one eye and the shifting schemes of opposing players with the other, and order up a countermove by hollering "Five!" or "Motion!" But I can suggest that people with mild to moderate stages of dementia have far more abilities than incapacities. I can suggest that just because certain circuits of memory or swiftness of synapses may fail, thought and awareness and consciousness do not. (p. 375)

Source: Summitt, P. H. (2013). *Sum it up: A thousand and ninety-eight victories, a couple of irrelevant losses, and a life in perspective*. New York: Crown Archetype.

including a variety of traumas to the brain such as stroke (which destroys blood vessels), the infectious diseases of syphilis and HIV, severe head injury, the introduction of certain toxic or poisonous substances, and diseases such as Parkinson's, Huntington's, and, the most common cause of dementia, Alzheimer's. Consider the personal account by Pat Summitt, the most successful NCAA basketball coach of all time. She coached the Tennessee Lady Vols basketball team from 1974 to 2012—winning a record setting 1,098 games—until her symptoms of neurocognitive disorder due to Alzheimer's disease prevented her from working with the team full-time. Unfortunately, Pat Summitt passed away in 2016 at the age of 64 as the result of complications due to Alzheimer's. Before she died she courageously wrote of her experiences with this disorder (Summitt, 2013).

After several evaluations, which included neurological evaluations, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showing some damage in several parts of her brain, and a spinal tap that showed the presence of beta amyloid protein, Pat Summitt's neurologist concluded that she had early onset neurocognitive disorder due to Alzheimer's disease. People at the same stage of decline as she will continue to deteriorate and eventually may die – as she did – from complications of their disorder.

Clinical Description and Statistics

Depending on the individual and the cause, the gradual progression of neurocognitive disorder may have somewhat different symptoms, although all aspects of cognitive functioning are eventually affected. In the initial stages, memory impairment is typically seen as an inability to register ongoing events. In other words, a person can remember how to talk and may remember events from many years ago but will have trouble remembering what happened in the past hour. For example, Pat Summitt had vivid recollections about her childhood but could not remember which direction to drive in familiar places.


Pat Summitt couldn't find her way home because visuospatial skills are impaired among people with neurocognitive disorder. **Agnosia**, the inability to recognize and name objects, is one of the most familiar symptoms. **Facial agnosia**, the inability to recognize even familiar faces, can be extremely distressing to family members. A general deterioration of intellectual function results from impairment in memory, planning, and abstract reasoning.

Perhaps partly because people suffering from neurocognitive disorder are aware that they are deteriorating mentally, emotional changes often occur as well. Common side effects are delusions (irrational beliefs), depression, agitation, aggression, and apathy (Lovestone, 2012). It is difficult, however, to establish the cause-and-effect relationship. It is not known how much behavioral change is caused by progressive brain deterioration directly and how much is a result of the frustration and discouragement that inevitably accompany the loss of function and the isolation of “losing” loved ones. Cognitive functioning continues to deteriorate until the person requires almost total support to carry out day-to-day activities. Ultimately, death occurs as the result of inactivity, combined with the onset of other illnesses, such as pneumonia.

Globally, it is estimated that one new case of major neurocognitive disorder is identified every 7 seconds (Ferri et al., 2005).

Major neurocognitive disorder can develop at almost any age, although this disorder is more frequent in older adults. The methodology for estimating the number of those suffering from major neurocognitive disorder has resulted in sometimes diverging numbers (Launer, 2011). Current estimates in the United States suggest a prevalence of around 5 million people with major neurocognitive disorder (Alzheimer's Association, 2011), with rates of a little more than 5% in people older than 65 and 20% to 40% in those older than 85 (Richards & Sweet, 2009). The increasing number of people with just one form of neurocognitive disorder—due to Alzheimer's disease—is alarming. A dramatic rise in Alzheimer's disease is predicted through the year 2050 as larger numbers of people are expected to live beyond 85 years of age. ● Figure 15.1 illustrates how the prevalence of neurocognitive disorder due to Alzheimer's disease is projected to greatly increase in older adults, partly as a result of the increase of baby boomers who will become senior citizens (Hebert, Weuve, Scherr, & Evans, 2013). Among the eldest of adults, research on centenarians (people 100 years and older) indicates that up to 100% showed signs of neurocognitive disorder (Davey et al., 2013; Imhof et al., 2007). Neurocognitive disorder due to Alzheimer's disease rarely occurs in people under 45 years of age.

Estimates of the prevalence of the new *DSM-5* diagnosis—mild neurocognitive disorder—have been studied by the Einstein Aging Study at Yeshiva University (Katz et al., 2012). Researchers recruited 1,944 adults aged 70 or older and assessed them for mild neurocognitive disorder as well as mild amnestic neurocognitive



● FIGURE 15.1

With the increasing numbers of persons living longer, the rate of Alzheimer's disease is predicted to escalate dramatically through the year 2050. (From Hebert, L. E., Weuve, J., Scherr, P. A., & Evans, D. A. (2013). Alzheimer disease in the United States (2010–2050) estimated using the 2010 census. *Neurology*, 80(19), 1778–1783.)

disorder in this group. This latter disorder—in its more severe state—was previously a separate *DSM* disorder (*amnestic disorder*) but has been folded into the general neurocognitive disorder group. Almost 10% of those over 70 had mild neurocognitive disorder, and 11.6% met the criteria for mild amnestic neurocognitive disorder. Race also seemed to be a factor with black men and women at higher risk for mild neurocognitive disorder than white men and women (Katz et al., 2012).

A problem with confirming prevalence figures for neurocognitive disorder is that survival rates alter the outcomes. Because adults are generally living longer and are therefore more at risk of developing neurocognitive disorder, it is not surprising that the disorder is more prevalent. Incidence studies, which count the number of new cases in a year, may thus be the most reliable method for assessing the frequency of neurocognitive disorder, especially among the elderly. Research shows that the rate for new cases doubles with every 5 years of age after age 75. Many studies find greater increases of neurocognitive disorder among women (Carter, Resnick, Mallampalli, & Kalbarczyk, 2012). Neurocognitive disorder due to Alzheimer's disease may, as we discuss later, be more prevalent among women. Together, results suggest that neurocognitive disorder is relatively common among older adults, and the chances of developing it increase rapidly after the age of 75.

In addition to the human costs of neurocognitive disorder, the financial costs are staggering. Estimates of the costs of caring for people with neurocognitive disorder due to Alzheimer's disease are often quoted to be about \$100 billion per year in the United States. One estimate indicates that the total worldwide societal cost of major neurocognitive disorder is more than \$604 billion (Wimo et al., 2013), including informal care and direct medical costs. Also, many times, family members care for an afflicted person around the clock, which is an inestimable personal and financial commitment (Lovestone, 2012).

DSM-5 identifies classes of neurocognitive disorder based on etiology: (1) Alzheimer's disease, (2) vascular injury, (3) frontotemporal degeneration, (4) traumatic brain injury, (5) Lewy body disease, (6) Parkinson's disease, (7) HIV infection, (8) substance use, (9) Huntington's disease, (10) prion disease, and (11) another

medical condition. We emphasize neurocognitive disorder due to Alzheimer's disease because of its prevalence (almost half of those with neurocognitive disorder exhibit this type) and the relatively large amount of research conducted on its etiology and treatment.

Neurocognitive Disorder Due to Alzheimer's Disease


In 1907, the German psychiatrist Alois Alzheimer first described the disorder that bears his name. He wrote of a 51-year-old woman who had a “strange disease of the cerebral cortex” that manifested as progressive memory impairment and other behavioral and cognitive problems, including suspiciousness (Richards & Sweet, 2009). He called the disorder an “atypical form of senile dementia”; thereafter, it was referred to as **Alzheimer's disease**.

Description and Statistics

The *DSM-5* diagnostic criteria for **neurocognitive disorder due to Alzheimer's disease** include multiple cognitive deficits that develop gradually and steadily. Predominant are impairment of memory, orientation, judgment, and reasoning. The inability to integrate new information results in failure to learn new associations. Individuals with Alzheimer's disease forget important events and lose objects. Their interest in nonroutine activities narrows. They tend to lose interest in others and, as a result, become more socially isolated. As the disorder progresses, they can become agitated, confused, depressed, anxious, or even combative. Many of these difficulties become more pronounced late in the day—in a phenomenon referred to as “sundowner syndrome”—perhaps as a result of fatigue or a disturbance in the brain's biological clock (Ferrazzoli, Sica, & Sancesario, 2013; Lemay & Landreville, 2010).

People with neurocognitive disorder due to Alzheimer's disease also display one or more other cognitive disturbances, including aphasia (difficulty with language), apraxia (impaired motor functioning), agnosia (failure to recognize objects), or difficulty with activities such as planning, organizing, sequencing, or abstracting information. These cognitive impairments have a serious negative impact on social and occupational functioning, and they represent a significant decline from previous abilities.

Research using brain scans is being conducted on people with mild neurocognitive disorder to see whether changes in brain structure early in the development of Alzheimer's disease can be detected, which could lead to early diagnosis. In the past, a definitive diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease could be made only after an autopsy determined that certain characteristic types of damage were present in the brain. There is now growing evidence, however, that the use of sophisticated brain scans along with new chemical tracers may soon be able to help clinicians identify the presence of Alzheimer's disease before the significant declines in cognitive abilities (through a project called the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative [ADNI]) or death (Douaud et al., 2013; Weiner et al., 2012). In addition, research on the presence of certain markers for Alzheimer's (e.g., beta amyloid—the substance in the amyloid plaques found in the brains of persons with this disease) in spinal fluid also appears to increase the accuracy of a diagnosis (Vanderstichele et al., 2012). Currently, to make a



Francisco Cruz / SuperStock

People with facial agnosia, a common symptom of neurocognitive disorder, are unable to recognize faces, even of their closest friends and relatives.

TABLE 15.1

Testing for Neurocognitive Disorder due to Alzheimer's Disease

Type*	Maximum Score†	Question
Orientation	5	Ask the patient, "What is the (year) (season) (date) (day) (month)?"
	5	Ask the patient, "Where are we—(state) (country) (town) (hospital) (floor)?"
Registration	3	Name three objects, using 1 second to say each. Then ask the patient all three after you have said them. (Give one point for each correct answer.) Then repeat them until the patient learns all three. (Count and record the number of trials.)
Attention and Calculation	5	Count backward from given number (like 100) by subtracting 7s. (Give one point for each correct answer; stop after five answers.) Alternatively, spell "world" backward.
Recall	3	Have the patient name the three objects learned previously. (Give one point for each correct answer.)
Language	9	Have the patient name a pencil and a watch. (1 point) Have the patient repeat the following: "No ifs, ands, or buts." (1 point) Have the patient follow a three-stage command: "Take a piece of paper in your right hand, fold it in half, and put it on the floor." (3 points) Have the patient read and obey the following: "Close your eyes." (1 point) Have the patient write a sentence. (1 point) Have the patient copy a design. (1 point)

Note: One part of the diagnosis of the neurocognitive disorder due to Alzheimer's disease uses a relatively simple test of the patient's mental state and abilities, like this one, called the Mini Mental State Inpatient Consultation Form. A low score on such a test does not necessarily indicate a medical diagnosis of the disorder.

*The examination also includes an assessment of the patient's level of consciousness: alert, drowsy, stupor, or coma.

†Total maximum score is 30.

Adapted from the Mini Mental State Inpatient Consultation Form (Folstein, Folstein, & McHugh, 1975).

diagnosis without direct examination of the brain, a simplified version of a mental status exam is used to assess language and memory problems (see Table 15.1).

In an interesting, somewhat controversial study—referred to as the “Nun Study”—the writings of a group of Catholic nuns collected over several decades appeared to indicate early in life which women were most likely to develop Alzheimer's disease later (Snowdon et al., 1996). Researchers observed that samples from the nuns' journals over the years differed in the number of ideas each contained, which the scientists called “idea density.” In other words, some sisters described events in their lives simply: “I was born in Eau Claire, Wis, on May 24, 1913 and was baptized in St. James Church.” Others were more elaborate in their prose: “The happiest day of my life so far was my First Communion Day which was in June nineteen hundred and twenty when I was but eight years of age, and four years later in the same month I was confirmed by Bishop D. D. McGavich” (Snowdon et al., 1996, pg., 530). When findings of autopsies on 14 of the nuns were correlated with idea density, the simple writing (low idea density) occurred among all 5 nuns with Alzheimer's disease (Snowdon et al., 1996). This is an elegant research study, because the daily lives of the nuns were similar, which ruled out many other possible causes. There is some concern, however, about overgeneralizing from this one study, and we must be cautious about depending too much on these observations, because only a small number of people were examined. Promising preliminary research on the early signs of neurocognitive disorder due to Alzheimer's disease has identified some small changes in neurocognitive functioning that


research continues to attempt to pin down and measure reliably. For example, by continuously measuring the cognitive functioning of a person, it may be possible to start detecting when some of this person's cognitive functioning may start to decline. Early detection before individuals meet clinical criteria is especially important because early intervention has been shown to have the biggest impact (Rentz et al., 2013).

Cognitive deterioration with Alzheimer's disease is slow during the early and later stages but more rapid during the middle stages (Ito et al., 2011; Richards & Sweet, 2009). The average survival time is estimated to be about 4 to 8 years, although many individuals live dependently for more than 20 years. In some forms, the disease can occur relatively early, during the 40s or 50s (sometimes referred to as *early onset*), but it usually appears during the 60s or 70s. Approximately 50% of the cases of neurocognitive disorder are found to be the result of Alzheimer's disease, which is believed to afflict more than 5.3 million Americans and millions more worldwide (Alzheimer's Association, 2015).

Some early research on prevalence suggested that Alzheimer's disease may occur more often in people who are poorly educated (Amieva et al., 2014; Fratiglioni et al., 1991). Greater impairment among uneducated people might indicate a much earlier onset, suggesting that Alzheimer's disease causes intellectual dysfunction that in turn hampers educational efforts. Or there could be something about intellectual achievement that prevents or delays the onset of symptoms of the disorder. Later research seems to confirm the latter explanation. It appears that educational level may predict a delay in the observation of symptoms (Amieva et al., 2014).

Unfortunately, people who attain a higher level of education also decline more rapidly once the symptoms become more severe (Scarmeas, Albert, Manly, & Stern, 2006), suggesting that education does not prevent Alzheimer's disease but just provides a buffer period of better functioning. Educational attainment may somehow create a mental "reserve," a learned set of skills that help someone cope longer with the cognitive deterioration that marks the beginning of neurocognitive deficits. Some people may adapt more successfully than others and thus escape detection longer. Brain deterioration may thus be comparable for both groups, but better-educated individuals may be able to function successfully on a day-to-day basis for a longer period. This tentative hypothesis may prove useful in designing treatment strategies, especially during the early stages of the disorder.

A biological version of this theory—the cognitive reserve hypothesis—suggests that the more synapses a person develops throughout life, the more neuronal death must take place before the signs of dementia are obvious (Farias et al., 2012). Mental activity that occurs with education presumably builds up this reserve of synapses and serves as an initial protective factor in the development of the disorder. It is likely that both skill development and the changes in the brain with education may contribute to how quickly the disorder progresses.



The PET scan of a brain afflicted with Alzheimer's disease (left) shows significant tissue deterioration in comparison with a normal brain (right).

Research suggests that Alzheimer's disease may be more prevalent among women (Alzheimer's Association, 2015; Craig & Murphy, 2009), even when women's higher survival rate is factored into the statistics. In other words, because women live longer than men on average, they are more likely to experience Alzheimer's and other diseases, but longevity alone does not account for the higher prevalence of the disorder among women. A tentative explanation involves the hormone estrogen. Women lose estrogen as they grow older, so perhaps estrogen is protective against the disease. A large and important study—the Women's Health Initiative Memory Study—looked at hormone use among women and its effect on Alzheimer's disease (Lobo, 2013; Shumaker et al., 2004).

DSM 5

TABLE 15.4

Diagnostic Criteria for Major or Mild Neurocognitive Disorder due to Alzheimer's Disease

- A.** The criteria are met for major or mild neurocognitive disorder.
- B.** There is insidious onset and gradual progression of impairment in one or more cognitive domains (for major neurocognitive disorder, two domains must be impaired).
- C.** Criteria are met for either probable or possible Alzheimer's disease as follows:

For major neurocognitive disorder:

Probable Alzheimer's disease is diagnosed if either of the following is present; otherwise, possible Alzheimer's disease should be diagnosed.

- 1.** Evidence of a causative Alzheimer's disease genetic mutation from family history or genetic testing
- 2.** All three of the following are present:
 - a.** Clear evidence of decline in memory and learning and at least one other cognitive domain (based on detailed history or serial neuropsychological testing).
 - b.** Steadily progressive, gradual decline in cognition, without extended plateaus.
 - c.** No evidence of mixed etiology (i.e., absence of other neurodegenerative or cerebrovascular disease, or another neurological, mental, or systemic disease or condition likely contributing to cognitive decline).

For mild neurocognitive disorder:

Probable Alzheimer's disease is diagnosed if there is evidence of a causative Alzheimer's disease genetic mutation from either genetic testing or family history.

Possible Alzheimer's disease is diagnosed if there is no evidence of a causative Alzheimer's disease genetic mutation from either genetic testing or family history, and all three of the following are present:

- 1.** Clear evidence of decline in memory and learning.
 - 2.** Steadily progressive, gradual decline in cognition, without extended plateaus.
 - 3.** No evidence of mixed etiology (i.e., absence of other neurodegenerative or cerebrovascular disease, or another neurological or systemic disease or condition likely contributing to cognitive decline).
- D.** The disturbance is not better explained by cerebrovascular disease, another neurodegenerative disease, the effects of a substance, or another mental, neurological, or systemic disorder.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

In its initial findings, the study followed women over age 65 using a type of combined estrogen plus progestin known as Prempro and, contrary to the belief that giving women estrogen would decrease their chance of developing neurocognitive disorder, they observed an *increased* risk for Alzheimer's disease (Coker et al., 2010; Maki & Henderson, 2012). More research is ongoing into the individual effects of these two types of hormones on dementia.

Finally, there appear to be questions about the prevalence of Alzheimer's disease according to cultural and/or racial identity. Early research seemed to suggest that certain populations (such as those with Japanese, Nigerian, certain Native American, and Amish backgrounds) were less likely to be affected (for example, see Pericak-Vance et al., 1996; Rosenberg et al., 1996). Similarly, prevalence rates of Alzheimer's disease in low- and middle-income countries have also been reportedly lower than higher-income countries (Sosa-Ortiz, Acosta-Castillo, & Prince, 2012). More recent work indicates, however, that some of these differences may be due to lower numbers in those who seek assistance (possibly due to stigma as well as high levels of social care from family members), as well as differences in education, and how the disorders were measured (Sosa-Ortiz et al., 2012; Wilson et al., 2010). For example, individuals in low- and middle-income countries did not meet *DSM* criteria for the disorder given that they did not have much social or occupational interference because their families were taking care of them (Sosa-Ortiz et al., 2012). Alzheimer's disease is found in roughly the same numbers across all ethnic groups, with one study finding a slightly lower rate among American Indians (Weiner, Hynan, Beekly, Koepsell, & Kukull, 2007). As you will see, findings like these help bring us closer to understanding the causes of this devastating disease.

Vascular Neurocognitive Disorder

Each year, 500,000 people die from strokes (any diseases or traumas to the brain that result in restriction or cessation of blood

flow). Although stroke is the third-leading cause of death in the United States, many people survive, but one potential long-term consequence can be severely debilitating. **Vascular neurocognitive disorder** is a progressive brain disorder that is a common cause of neurocognitive deficits. It is one of the more common causes of neurocognitive disorder (Erkinjuntti, 2012).

Description and Statistics

The word *vascular* refers to blood vessels. When the blood vessels in the brain are blocked or damaged and no longer carry oxygen and other nutrients to certain areas of brain tissue, damage results. Because multiple sites in the brain can be damaged, the profile of degeneration—the particular skills that are impaired—differs from person to person. *DSM-5* lists as criteria for vascular neurocognitive disorder cognitive disturbances such as declines in speed of information processing and executive functioning (e.g., complex decision-making) (Erkinjuntti, 2012). In contrast, those with Alzheimer's disease have memory problems as their initial cognitive disturbance.

Compared with research on neurocognitive disorder due to Alzheimer's type, there are fewer studies on vascular neurocognitive disorder, perhaps because of its lower incidence rates. The prevalence of vascular neurocognitive disorder is approximately 1.5% in people 70 to 75 years of age and increases to 15% for those over the age of 80 (Neugroschi, Kolevzon, Samuels, & Marin, 2005). The risk for men is slightly higher than among women, in contrast with the higher risk among women for Alzheimer's type dementia, and this has been reported in many developed and developing countries (Kalaria et al., 2008). The relatively high rate of cardiovascular disease among men in general may account for their increased risk of vascular neurocognitive disorder. The onset of vascular dementia is typically more sudden than the onset for the Alzheimer's type, probably because the disorder is the result of stroke, which inflicts brain damage

DSM 5	TABLE 15.5 Diagnostic Criteria for Major or Mild Vascular Neurocognitive Disorder
	<p>A. The criteria are met for major or mild neurocognitive disorder.</p> <p>B. The clinical features are consistent with a vascular etiology as suggested by either of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Onset of the cognitive deficits is temporally related to one or more cerebrovascular events.2. Evidence for decline is prominent in complex attention (including processing speed) and frontal-executive function. <p>C. There is evidence of the presence of cerebrovascular disease from history, physical examination, and/or neuroimaging considered sufficient to account for the neurocognitive deficits.</p> <p>D. The symptoms are not better explained by another brain disease or systemic disorder. Probable vascular neurocognitive disorder is diagnosed if one of the following is present, otherwise, possible vascular neurocognitive disorder should be diagnosed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Clinical criteria are supported by neuroimaging evidence of significant parenchymal injury attributed to cerebrovascular disease (neuroimaging-supported).2. The neurocognitive syndrome is temporally related to one or more documented cerebrovascular events.3. Both clinical and genetic (e.g., cerebral autosomal dominant arteriopathy with subcortical infarcts and leukoencephalopathy) evidence of cerebrovascular disease is present. <p>Possible vascular neurocognitive disorder is diagnosed if the clinical criteria are met but neuroimaging is not available and the temporal relationship of the neurocognitive syndrome with one or more cerebrovascular events is not established.</p>

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

immediately. The outcome, however, is similar for people with both types: Ultimately, they will require formal nursing care until they succumb to an infectious disease such as pneumonia, to which they are susceptible because of weakening of the immune system.

Other Medical Conditions That Cause Neurocognitive Disorder

In addition to Alzheimer's disease and vascular damage, a number of other neurological and biochemical processes can lead to neurocognitive disorder. *DSM-5* identifies eight specific causes in addition to Alzheimer's disease and vascular damage: frontotemporal degeneration, traumatic brain injury, Lewy body disease, Parkinson's disease, HIV infection, substance use, Huntington's disease, and prion disease. Each of these is discussed here. In addition, a final category—neurocognitive disorder due to another medical condition—is provided for other causes. Other medical conditions that can lead to neurocognitive disorder include normal pressure hydrocephalus (excessive water in the cranium, resulting from brain shrinkage), hypothyroidism (an underactive thyroid gland), brain tumor, and vitamin B12 deficiency. There is increasing recognition of neurocognitive disorder among athletes who receive repeated blows to the head. In the past, this type of neurocognitive disorder was referred to as *dementia pugilistica* (which suggested that it was restricted to boxers or pugilists) but it is currently referred to as *chronic traumatic encephalopathy (CTE)*. CTE is caused by repetitive **head trauma** that can provoke distinctive neurodegeneration (Baugh et al., 2012). In their effect on cognitive ability, all of these disorders are comparable to the other forms of neurocognitive disorder we have discussed so far.

Descriptions and Statistics


Frontotemporal neurocognitive disorder is an overarching term used to categorize a variety of brain disorders that damage the frontal or temporal regions of the brain—areas that affect personality, language, and behavior (Gustafson & Brun, 2012). *DSM-5* identifies two variants of frontotemporal neurocognitive disorder—through declines in appropriate behavior (e.g., socially inappropriate actions, apathy, making poor judgments) or language (e.g., problems with speech, finding the

Neurocognitive Disorder with Amnesia: Mike



"I still have a pretty major memory problem, which has since brought about a divorce and which . . . I now have a new girlfriend, which helps very much. I even call her . . . my new brain or my new memory. . . . If I want to know something, besides on relying on this so-called memory notebook, which I jot notes down in constantly and have it every day dated, so I know what's coming up or what's for that day."

Go to MindTap at
www.cengagebrain.com
to watch this video.



Jim McIsaac/Staff/Getty Images Sport/Getty Images

Junior Seau was an NFL star football player who committed suicide in 2012. The National Institutes of Health—at the request of his family—found he had brain abnormalities consistent with repetitive blows to the head, resulting in chronic traumatic encephalopathy (CTE).

right word, naming objects). One of the disorders in this category of neurocognitive disorders is **Pick's disease**, a rare neurological condition—occurring in about 5% of those people with neurocognitive impairment—that produces symptoms similar to that of Alzheimer's disease. The course of this disease is believed to last from 5 to 10 years, and appears to have a genetic component (Gustafson & Brun, 2012). Pick's disease usually occurs relatively early in life—during a person's 40s or 50s—and is therefore considered an example of early onset neurocognitive disorder.

Severe trauma to the head causes the brain to sustain lasting injuries (called **traumatic brain injury** or **TBI**) which can lead to neurocognitive disorder (Fleminger, 2012). **Neurocognitive disorder due to traumatic brain injury** includes symptoms that persist for at least a week following the trauma, including executive dysfunction (e.g., difficulty planning complex activities) and problems with learning and memory. Those that are at greatest risk for TBI are teens and young adults, especially accompa-

nied by alcohol abuse or lower socio-economic class (Fleminger, 2012). Traffic accidents, assaults, falls, and suicide attempts are common causes, as is being exposed to bomb blasts in combat.

The second most common type of neurocognitive disorders (after Alzheimer's disease) is **neurocognitive disorder due to Lewy body disease** (Aarsland, Ballard, Rongve, Broadstock, & Svenningsson, 2012; McKeith et al., 2005). Lewy bodies are microscopic deposits of a protein that damage brain cells over time. The signs of this disorder come on gradually and include impairment in alertness and attention, vivid visual hallucinations, and motor impairment as seen in Parkinson's disease. In fact, there is some overlap between this disorder and **neurocognitive disorder due to Parkinson's disease** (Mindham & Hughes, 2012).

Parkinson's disease is a degenerative brain disorder that affects about 100 to 300 people in every 100,000 people worldwide, though estimates vary widely due to challenges in diagnosing the disorder (Wirdefeldt, Adami, Cole, Trichopoulos, & Mandel, 2011). Movie and television star Michael J. Fox and former U.S. Attorney General Janet Reno both suffer from this progressive disorder. Motor problems are characteristic among people with Parkinson's disease, who tend to have stooped posture, slow body movements (called *bradykinesia*), tremors, and jerkiness in walking. The voice

TABLE 15.6

Diagnostic Criteria for Major or Mild Frontotemporal Neurocognitive Disorder

- A.** The criteria are met for major or mild neurocognitive disorder.
- B.** The disturbance has insidious onset and gradual progression.
- C.** Either (1) or (2):
 - 1.** Behavioral variant:
 - a.** Three or more of the following behavioral symptoms:
 - i.** Behavioral disinhibition
 - ii.** Apathy or inertia
 - iii.** Loss of sympathy or empathy
 - iv.** Perseverative, stereotyped, or compulsive/ritualistic behavior
 - v.** Hyperorality and dietary changes
 - b.** Prominent decline in social cognition and/or executive abilities.
 - 2.** Language variant:
 - a.** Prominent decline in language ability, in the form of speech production, word finding, object naming, grammar, or word comprehension
- D.** Relative sparing of learning and memory and perceptual-motor function.
- E.** The disturbance is not better explained by cerebrovascular disease, another neurodegenerative disease, the effects of a substance, or another mental, neurological, or systemic disorder.

Probable frontotemporal neurocognitive disorder is diagnosed if either of the following is present; otherwise, possible frontotemporal neurocognitive disorder should be diagnosed:

- 1.** Evidence of a causative frontotemporal neurocognitive disorder genetic mutation, from either family history or genetic testing
- 2.** Evidence of disproportionate frontal and/or temporal lobe involvement from neuroimaging

Possible frontotemporal neurocognitive disorder is diagnosed if there is no evidence of a genetic mutation, and neuroimaging has not been performed.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

TABLE 15.7

Diagnostic Criteria for Major or Mild Neurocognitive Disorder due to Traumatic Brain Injury

- A.** The criteria are met for major or mild neurocognitive disorder.
- B.** There is evidence of a traumatic brain injury—that is, an impact to the head or other mechanisms of rapid movement or displacement of the brain within the skull, with one or more of the following:
 - 1.** Loss of consciousness
 - 2.** Posttraumatic amnesia
 - 3.** Disorientation and confusion
 - 4.** Neurological signs (e.g., neuroimaging demonstrating injury; a new onset of seizures; a marked worsening of a preexisting seizure disorder; visual field cuts; anosmia; hemiparesis)
- C.** The neurocognitive disorder presents immediately after the occurrence of the traumatic brain injury or immediately after recovery of consciousness and persists past the acute post-injury period.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

is also affected; afflicted individuals speak in a soft monotone. The changes in motor movements are the result of damage to dopamine pathways. Because dopamine is involved in complex movement, a reduction in this neurotransmitter makes affected individuals increasingly unable to control their muscle movements, which leads to tremors and muscle weakness. In addition to degeneration of these pathways, Lewy bodies are also present in the brains of affected persons. The course of the disease varies widely, with some individuals functioning well with treatment. It is estimated that about 75% of people who survive more than 10 years with Parkinson's disease develop neurocognitive disorder; conservative estimates place the rate at 4 to 6 times that found in

the general population (Aarsland & Kurz, 2010; Svenningsson, Westman, Ballard, & Aarsland, 2012).

The **human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1)**, which causes AIDS, can also cause neurocognitive disorder (called **neurocognitive disorder due to HIV infection**) (Maj, 2012). This impairment seems to be independent of the other infections that accompany HIV; in other words, the HIV infection itself seems to be responsible for the neurological impairment. The early symptoms of neurocognitive disorder resulting from HIV are cognitive slowness, impaired attention, and forgetfulness. Affected individuals also tend to be clumsy, to show repetitive movements such as tremors and leg weakness, and to become apathetic and socially withdrawn.

TABLE 15.8**Diagnostic Criteria for Major or Mild Neurocognitive Disorder with Lewy Bodies**

- A.** The criteria are met for major or mild neurocognitive disorder.
- B.** The disorder has an insidious onset and gradual progression.
- C.** The disorder meets a combination of core diagnostic features and suggestive diagnostic features for either probable or possible neurocognitive disorder with Lewy Bodies.

For probable major or mild neurocognitive disorder with Lewy Bodies, the individual has two core features, or one suggestive feature with one or more core features.

For possible major or mild neurocognitive disorder with Lewy Bodies, the individual has only one core feature, or one or more suggestive features.

- 1.** Core diagnostic features:
 - a.** Fluctuating cognition with pronounced variations in attention and alertness
 - b.** Recurrent visual hallucinations that are well formed and detailed
 - c.** Spontaneous features of parkinsonism, with onset subsequent to the development of cognitive decline
- 2.** Suggestive diagnostic features:
 - a.** Meets criteria for rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder
 - b.** Severe neuroleptic sensitivity
- D.** The disturbance is not better explained by cerebrovascular disease, another neurodegenerative disease, the effects of a substance, or another mental, neurological, or systemic disorder.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

People with HIV seem particularly susceptible to impaired thinking in the later stages of HIV infection, although significant declines in cognitive abilities may occur earlier. Cognitive impairments were highly common among those infected with HIV, but with the introduction of new medications (highly active antiretroviral therapies, or HAARTs), less than 10% of patients now experience neurocognitive disorder (Maj, 2012). HIV-1 accounts for a relatively small percentage of people with neurocognitive disorder compared to Alzheimer's disease and vascular causes, but its presence can complicate an already-devastating set of medical conditions.

Like neurocognitive disorder from Parkinson's disease and several other causes, neurocognitive disorder resulting from HIV is sometimes referred to as *subcortical dementia*, because it affects primarily the inner areas of the brain, below the outer layer called the cortex (Clifford & Ances, 2013). The distinction between cortical (including neurocognitive disorder due to Alzheimer's disease) and subcortical dementia is important because of the different expressions of neurocognitive disorder in these two categories (see Table 15.2). **Aphasia**, which involves impaired language skills, occurs among people with neurocognitive disorder due to Alzheimer's disease but not among people with subcortical dementia. In contrast, people with subcortical dementia are more

likely to experience severe depression and anxiety than those with neurocognitive disorder due to Alzheimer's disease. In general, motor skills including speed and coordination are impaired early on among those with subcortical dementia. The differing patterns of impairment can be attributed to the different areas of the brain affected by the disorders causing the neurocognitive disorder.

Huntington's disease is a genetic disorder that initially affects motor movements, typically in the form of *chorea*, involuntary limb movements (Pringsheim et al., 2012). People with Huntington's disease can live for 20 years after the first signs of the disease appear, although skilled nursing care is often required during the final stages. Just as with Parkinson's disease, only a portion of people with Huntington's disease go on to display cognitive deficits—42.5% of new Huntington's disorder cases also present with *mild* cognitive impairment (Robbins & Cools, 2014).

TABLE 15.9**Diagnostic Criteria for Neurocognitive Disorder due to Parkinson's Disease**

- A.** The criteria are met for major or mild neurocognitive disorder.
- B.** The disturbance occurs in the setting of established Parkinson's disease.
- C.** There is insidious onset and gradual progression of impairment.
- D.** The neurocognitive disorder is not attributable to another medical condition and is not better explained by another mental disorder.

Major or mild neurocognitive disorder probably due to Parkinson's disease should be diagnosed if 1 and 2 are both met. Major or mild neurocognitive disorder possibly due to Parkinson's disease should be diagnosed if 1 or 2 is met:

- 1.** There is no evidence of mixed etiology (i.e., absence of other neurodegenerative or cerebrovascular disease or another neurological, mental, or systemic disease or condition likely contributing to cognitive decline).
- 2.** The Parkinson's disease clearly precedes the onset of neurocognitive disorder.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

TABLE 15.10**Diagnostic Criteria for Major or Mild Neurocognitive Disorder due to HIV Infection**

- A.** The criteria are met for major or mild neurocognitive disorder.
- B.** There is documented infection with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV).
- C.** The Neurocognitive Disorder is not better explained by non-HIV conditions, including secondary brain diseases such as progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy or cryptococcal meningitis.
- D.** The neurocognitive disorder is not attributable to another medical condition and is not better explained by a mental disorder.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.



The AIDS virus may cause neurocognitive disorder in the later stages.

Estimates of how many individuals go on to develop neurocognitive disorders vary widely from 20% to 80%—although some researchers believe that all patients with Huntington's disease would eventually display neurocognitive impairments if they lived long enough (Marsh & Margolis, 2009). **Neurocognitive disorder due to Huntington's disease** also follows the subcortical pattern.

The search for the gene responsible for Huntington's disease reads like a detective story. For some time, researchers have known that the disease is inherited as an autosomal dominant disorder, meaning that approximately 50% of the offspring of an adult with Huntington's disease will develop the disease. Since 1979, behavioral scientist Nancy Wexler and a team of researchers have been studying the largest known extended family in the world afflicted by Huntington's disease in small villages in Venezuela. The villagers have cooperated with the research, partly because Wexler herself lost her mother, three uncles, and her maternal grandfather to Huntington's disease, and she, too, may develop the disorder (Wexler, 2012). Using genetic linkage analysis techniques (see Chapter 4), these researchers first mapped the deficit to an area on

DSM 5

TABLE 15.11

Diagnostic Criteria for Major or Mild Neurocognitive Disorder due to Huntington's Disease

- A. The criteria are met for major or mild neurocognitive disorder.
- B. There is insidious onset and gradual progression.
- C. There is clinically established Huntington's disease, or risk for Huntington's disease based on family history or genetic testing.
- D. The neurocognitive disorder is not attributable to another medical condition and is not better explained by another mental disorder.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

chromosome 4 (Gusella et al., 1983) and then identified the elusive gene (Huntington's Disease Collaborative Research Group, 1993). Finding that one gene that causes a disease is unusual; research on other inherited mental disorders typically points to multiple gene (polygenic) influences.

Neurocognitive disorder due to prion disease is a rare progressive neurodegenerative disorder caused by “prions”—proteins that can reproduce themselves and cause damage to brain cells leading to neurocognitive decline (Collinge, 2012). Unlike other infectious agents such as bacteria or viruses, prions are thought by some to have no DNA or RNA that can be destroyed by chemicals or radiation. As a result, there is no known treatment for prion disease, and the course of this disorder is always fatal. On the positive side, prions are not contagious in humans and have only been contracted through cannibalism (causing kuru) or accidental inoculations (e.g., through blood transfusions from an infected person) (Collinge, 2012). One type of prion disease, **Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease**, is believed to affect only one in every million individuals (Heath et al., 2010; Sikorska, Knight, Ironside, & Liberski, 2012). An alarming development in the study of Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease is the finding of 10 cases of a new variant that may be linked to bovine spongiform encephalopathy, more commonly referred to as “mad cow disease” (Ebringer, 2015; Neugroschi et al., 2005).

TABLE 15.2 Characteristics of Neurocognitive Disorders

Characteristic	Dementia of the Alzheimer's Type	Subcortical Dementias
Language	Aphasia (difficulties with articulating speech)	No aphasia
Memory	Both recall and recognition are impaired	Impaired recall; normal or less impaired recognition
Visuospatial skills	Impaired	Impaired
Mood	Less severe depression and anxiety	More severe depression and anxiety
Motor speed	Normal	Slowed
Coordination	Normal until late in the progression	Impaired

Source: Adapted, with permission of Oxford University Press, from Cummings, J. L. (Ed.) (1990). *Subcortical dementia*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press, © 1990 Jeffrey L. Cummings.

TABLE 15.12**Diagnostic Criteria for Neurocognitive Disorder due to Prion Disease**

- A.** The criteria are met for major or mild neurocognitive disorder.
- B.** There is insidious onset, and rapid progression of impairment is common.
- C.** There are motor features of prion disease, such as myoclonus or ataxia, or biomarker evidence.
- D.** The neurocognitive disorder is not attributable to another medical condition and is not better explained by another mental disorder.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.



Harry Hamburg/New York Daily News Archive/Getty Images

Michael J. Fox provides his time and celebrity status to efforts to cure Parkinson's disease, a degenerative disease that is severely affecting his life.

This discovery led to a ban on exporting beef from the United Kingdom for a number of years because the disease might be transmitted from infected cattle to humans. We do not yet have definitive information about the link between mad cow disease and the new form of Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (Wiggins, 2009).

Substance/Medication-Induced Neurocognitive Disorder

Prolonged drug use, especially combined with poor diet, can damage the brain and, in some circumstances, can lead to neurocognitive disorder. This impairment unfortunately lasts beyond the period involved in intoxication or withdrawal from these substances.

Description and Statistics

As many as 50% to 70% of chronic heavy alcohol users show cognitive impairment (Sico et al., 2014), and 7% of those with an

alcohol use disorder also meet criteria for a neurocognitive disorder (Neugroschi et al., 2005). The long-term abuse of a number of drugs can lead to symptoms of neurocognitive disorder, including alcohol, inhalants such as glue or gasoline (which some people inhale for the euphoric feeling they produce), and sedative, hypnotic, and anxiolytic drugs (see Chapter 11). These drugs pose a threat because they create physiological dependence, making it difficult for a user to stop ingesting them. The resulting brain damage can be permanent and can cause the same symptoms as seen in neurocognitive disorder due to Alzheimer's type. The DSM-5 criteria for **substance/medication-induced neurocognitive disorder** are essentially the same as many of the other forms of neurocognitive disorder; they include memory impairment and at least one of the following cognitive disturbances: aphasia (language disturbance), apraxia (inability to carry out motor activities despite intact motor function), agnosia (failure to recognize or identify objects despite intact sensory function), or a disturbance in executive functioning (such as planning, organizing, sequencing, and abstracting).

Causes of Neurocognitive Disorder

As our technology for studying the brain advances, so does our understanding of the many and varied causes of neurocognitive disorder. A complete description of what is known about the origins of this type of brain impairment is beyond the scope of this book, but we highlight some insights available for more common forms of this disorder.

Biological Influences

Cognitive abilities can be adversely compromised in many ways. As you have seen, neurocognitive disorder can be caused by a number of processes: Alzheimer's disease, Huntington's disease, Parkinson's disease, head trauma, substance abuse, and others. The most common cause of neurocognitive disorder, Alzheimer's disease, is also the most mysterious. Because of its prevalence and our relative ignorance about the factors responsible for it, Alzheimer's disease has held the attention of many researchers who are trying to find the cause and ultimately a treatment or cure for this devastating condition.

Findings from Alzheimer's research seem to appear almost daily. We should be cautious when interpreting the output of this fast-paced and competitive field; too often, as you have seen in other areas, findings are heralded prematurely as conclusive and important. Remember that "discoveries" of a single gene for bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, and alcoholism were later shown to be based on overly simplistic accounts. Similarly, findings from Alzheimer's research are sometimes too quickly sanctioned as accepted truths before they have been replicated, an essential validation process.

One lesson in scientific caution comes from research that demonstrates a negative correlation between cigarette smoking and Alzheimer's disease (Brenner et al., 1993). In other words, the study found that smokers are less likely than nonsmokers to develop Alzheimer's disease. Does this mean smoking has a protective effect, shielding a person against the development of this disease? On close examination, the finding may instead be the

TABLE 15.13

Diagnostic Criteria for Substance/ Medication-Induced Major or Mild Neurocognitive Disorder

- A. The criteria are met for major or mild neurocognitive disorder.
- B. The neurocognitive impairments do not occur exclusively during the course of a delirium and persist beyond the usual duration of intoxication and acute withdrawal.
- C. The involved substance or medication and duration and extent of use are capable of producing the neurocognitive impairment.
- D. The temporal course of the neurocognitive deficits is consistent with the timing of substance or medication use and abstinence (e.g., the deficits remain stable or improve after a period of abstinence).
- E. The neurocognitive disorder is not attributable to another medical condition and is not better explained by another mental disorder.

From American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC.

result of the differential survival rates of those who smoke and those who do not. In general, nonsmokers tend to live longer and are thereby more likely to develop Alzheimer's disease, which appears later in life. Some even believe the relative inability of cells to repair themselves, a factor that may be more pronounced among people with Alzheimer's disease, may interact with cigarette smoking to shorten the lives of smokers who are at risk for Alzheimer's disease (Riggs, 1993). Put another way, smoking may exacerbate the degenerative process of Alzheimer's disease, causing people with the disease who also smoke to die earlier than nonsmokers who have Alzheimer's disease (Ashare, Karlawish, Wileyto, Pinto, & Lerman, 2012). These types of studies and the conclusions drawn from them should make us sensitive to the complicated nature of the disorders.

What do we know about Alzheimer's disease, the most common cause of neurocognitive disorder? After the death of the patient he described as having a "strange disease of the cerebral cortex," Alois Alzheimer performed an autopsy. He found that the brain contained large numbers of tangled, strandlike filaments within the brain cells (referred to as *neurofibrillary tangles*). This type of damage occurs in everyone with Alzheimer's disease. A second type of degeneration results from gummy protein deposits—called *amyloid plaques* (also referred to as *neuritic* or *senile plaques*)—that accumulate between the neurons in the brains of people with this disorder. Amyloid plaques are also found in older adults who do not have symptoms of neurocognitive disorder, but they have far fewer of them than do individuals with Alzheimer's disease (Richards & Sweet, 2009). Both forms of damage—neurofibrillary tangles and amyloid plaques—accumulate over the years and are believed to produce the characteristic cognitive disorders we have been describing (Weiner et al., 2012).

These two types of degeneration affect extremely small areas and can be detected only by a microscopic examination of the brain. As mentioned earlier, scientists are close, however, to

developing the neuroimaging technology and measures to assess amyloid proteins in spinal fluid that may soon detect the early development of these types of brain cell damage without having to rely on an autopsy (Weiner et al., 2012). In addition to having neurofibrillary tangles and amyloid plaques, over time the brains of many people with Alzheimer's disease atrophy (shrink) to a greater extent than would be expected through normal aging (Lovestone, 2012). Because brain shrinkage has many causes, however, only by observing the tangles and plaques can a diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease be properly made.

Rapid advances are being made toward uncovering the genetic bases of Alzheimer's disease (e.g., Seshadri et al., 2010). As with most other behavioral disorders we have examined, multiple genes seem to be involved in the development of Alzheimer's disease. Table 15.3 illustrates what we know so far. Genes on chromosomes 21, 19, 14, 12, and 1 have all been linked to certain forms of Alzheimer's disease (Neugroschi et al., 2005). The link to chromosome 21 was discovered first, and it resulted from the unfortunate observation that individuals with Down syndrome, who have three copies of chromosome 21 instead of the usual two, developed the disease at an unusually high rate (Report of the Advisory Panel on Alzheimer's Disease, 1995). More recent work has located relevant genes on other chromosomes. These discoveries indicate that there is more than one genetic cause of Alzheimer's disease. Some forms, including the one associated with chromosome 14, have an early onset. Pat Summitt was diagnosed with an early-onset form. In contrast, Alzheimer's disease associated with chromosome 19 seems to be a late-onset form of the disease that has an effect only after the age of about 60.

Some genes that are now identified are **deterministic**, meaning that if you have one of these genes you have a nearly 100% chance of developing Alzheimer's disease (Bettens, Sleegers, & Van Broeckhoven, 2010). Deterministic genes such as the precursor gene for small proteins called *amyloid beta peptides* (also referred to as beta-amyloid or A_b) and the *Presenilin 1* and *Presenilin 2* genes will inevitably lead to Alzheimer's disease, but, fortunately, these genes are also rare in the general population. For treatment purposes, this means that even if researchers can find a way to prevent these genes from leading to Alzheimer's disease, it will only help a relatively small number of people. On the other hand, some genes—including the *apolipoprotein E4* (*apo E4*) gene—are known as **susceptibility** genes. These genes only slightly increase the risk of developing Alzheimer's disease, but in contrast to the deterministic genes, these are more common in the general population.

TABLE 15.3 Genetic Factors in Alzheimer's Disease

Gene	Chromosome	Age of Onset (years)
APP	21	43 to 59
Presenilin 1	14	33 to 60
Presenilin 2	1	50 to 90
<i>apo E4</i>	19	60

APP = amyloid precursor protein; *apo E4* = apolipoprotein E4.

Source: Lovestone, S. (2012). Dementia: Alzheimer's disease. In M. G. Gelder, N. C. Andreasen, J. J. Lopez Jr. & J. R. Geddes (Eds.), *New Oxford textbook of psychiatry* (2nd. ed., Vol. 1, pp. 333–343). New York: Oxford University Press.

(Lovestone, 2012). If future research can find ways to interfere with the *apo E4* gene, many people will be helped.

Although closing in on the genetic origins of Alzheimer's disease has not brought immediate treatment implications, researchers are nearer to understanding how the disease develops, which may result in medical interventions. Genetic research has advanced our knowledge of how the amyloid plaques develop in the brains of people with Alzheimer's disease and may hold a clue to its origins. In the core of the plaques is a solid waxy substance made up of a peptide called amyloid beta or Ab. Just as cholesterol buildup on the walls of blood vessels chokes the blood supply, deposits of Ab are believed by some researchers to cause the cell death associated with Alzheimer's disease (Lovestone, 2012). An important question, then, is "Why does this protein accumulate in the brain cells of some people but not of others?"

Two mechanisms that may account for amyloid protein buildup are being studied. The first involves *amyloid precursor protein* (APP), a large protein that is eventually broken down into the *amyloid protein* found in the amyloid plaques. Important work resulted in identifying the gene responsible for producing APP, on chromosome 21 (Lovestone, 2012). This finding may help integrate two observations about Alzheimer's disease: (1) APP produces the amyloid protein found in the amyloid plaques, and (2) Down syndrome, associated with an extra 21st chromosome, results in a higher incidence of the disease (see Chapter 14). The gene responsible for producing APP and, ultimately, amyloid protein, may be responsible for the relatively infrequent early-onset form of the disease, and its location could explain why people with Down syndrome—who have an extra 21st chromosome and therefore an extra APP gene—are more likely than the general population to develop Alzheimer's disease.

A second, more indirect way that amyloid protein may build up in brain cells is through *apolipoprotein E* (*apo E*), which normally helps transport cholesterol, including amyloid protein, through the bloodstream. There are at least three forms of this transporter protein: *apo E2*, *apo E3*, and *apo E4*. Individuals who have late-onset Alzheimer's disease, the most common form, are likely to carry the gene associated with *apo E4*, located on chromosome 19. Researchers have found that the majority of people with Alzheimer's disease who also have a family history of the disease will have at least one gene for *apo E4* (Lovestone, 2012). In contrast, approximately 64% of individuals with Alzheimer's disease who have no family history of the disease have at least one gene for *apo E4*, and only 31% of nonaffected individuals have the gene. Having two genes for *apo E4* (one on each member of the chromosome 19 pair) increases the risk for Alzheimer's disease: As many as 90% of people with two genes developed Alzheimer's disease (Reiman et al., 2007). In addition, having two *apo E4* genes seemed to decrease the mean age of onset from 84 years to 68 years. These results suggest that *apo E4* may be responsible for late-onset Alzheimer's disease and that a gene on chromosome 19 is responsible.

What is still not completely understood is how *apo E4* causes amyloid proteins to build up in the neurons of people who ultimately exhibit Alzheimer's disease and whether this process is responsible for the disease. One recent study examined the role of high blood pressure in creating amyloid proteins when interacting

with *apo E4* genotype in healthy adults. The study found that hypertension alone or *apo E4* alone did not increase amyloid deposits. However, healthy individuals with at least one *apo E4* gene and untreated high blood pressure had the greatest risk for amyloid proteins deposits. Also, the higher the blood-pressure, the more amyloid proteins deposits were found. On the other hand, those with high blood pressure under medical control had only slightly higher levels of amyloid deposits than people without it (Rodrigue et al., 2013).

Similarly, researchers are also examining potential gene-environment interactions in development of Alzheimer's disease. Several studies suggest a few areas of promise. One study found that having the *apo E4* genotype was more likely to produce cognitive decline in those persons living in stressful environments—suggesting a gene (*apo E4*)—environment (stress) interaction (Boardman, Barnes, Wilson, Evans, & de Leon, 2012). Another study found that among African Americans, having low levels of cholesterol seemed to reduce risk of Alzheimer's disease—but only among those who did not carry the *apo E4* gene (Evans et al., 2000). Finally, researchers found that physical exercise reduced the likelihood of developing the disease but, like the previous study, only among those without the *apo E4* gene (Podewils et al., 2005). This type of research holds the potential for better understanding the complex nature of Alzheimer's disease and may lead to important prevention strategies (such as lowering cholesterol levels and exercising regularly) (Pedersen, 2010).

For all disorders described in this book, we have identified the role of biological, psychological, or both types of stressors as partially responsible for the onset of the disorder. Does neurocognitive disorder due to Alzheimer's disease—which appears to be a strictly biological event—follow the same pattern? One of the leading candidates for an external contributor to this disorder is head trauma. As we have seen, it appears that repeated blows to the head can bring on neurocognitive disorder (chronic traumatic encephalopathy or CTE). Fighters who carry the *apo E4* gene may be at greater risk for developing neurocognitive disorder attributed to head trauma (Jordan et al., 1997). In addition to boxers, new research suggests links to the trauma experienced by NFL players and the



Nancy Wexler headed the team of scientists who found the gene for Huntington's disease.

development of CTE in these former athletes (Stamm et al., 2015). Head trauma may be one of the stressors that initiate the onset of neurocognitive disorder of varying types. Other such stressors include having diabetes, high blood pressure, or herpes simplex virus-1 (Richards & Sweet, 2009). As with each of the disorders discussed, psychological and biological stressors may interact with physiological processes to produce Alzheimer's disease.

We opened the section with a word of caution, which it is appropriate at this point to repeat. Some of the findings just reviewed are considered controversial, and many questions remain to be answered about neurocognitive disorder and one of its most common causes, Alzheimer's disease.

Psychological and Social Influences

Research has mostly focused on the biological conditions that produce neurocognitive disorder. Although few would claim that psychosocial influences directly cause the type of brain deterioration seen in people with neurocognitive disorder, they may help determine onset and course. For example, a person's lifestyle may involve contact with factors that can cause neurocognitive disorder. You saw, for instance, that substance abuse can lead to neurocognitive disorder and, as we discussed previously (see Chapter 11), whether a person abuses drugs is determined by a combination of biological and psychosocial factors. In the case of vascular neurocognitive disorder, a person's biological vulnerability to vascular disease will influence the chances of strokes that can lead to this form of disorder. Lifestyle issues such as diet, exercise, and stress influence cardiovascular disease and therefore help determine who experiences vascular neurocognitive disorder (see Chapter 9).

Cultural factors may also affect this process. For example, hypertension and strokes are more prevalent among African Americans and subgroups of Asian Americans than non-Hispanic whites (Howard et al., 2013; King, Mainous III, & Geesey, 2007). This may explain why vascular neurocognitive disorder is also more often observed in members of these groups. In an extreme example, exposure to prion disease can lead to neurocognitive disorder described previously as *kuru*. Prions can be passed on through a ritual form of cannibalism practiced in Papua New Guinea as a part of mourning (Collinge et al., 2006; Collinge et al., 2008). Neurocognitive disorder caused by head trauma and malnutrition are relatively prevalent in preindustrial rural societies (Del Parigi, Panza, Capurso, & Solfrizzi, 2006). Not getting enough of vitamins B₉ and B₁₂, in particular seems to lead to neurocognitive disorder, although the process is as yet unknown (Michelakos et al., 2013). These findings suggest that occupational safety (such as protecting workers from head injuries) and economic conditions influencing diet also affect the prevalence of certain forms of neurocognitive disorders. It is apparent that psychosocial factors help influence who does and who does not develop certain forms of neurocognitive disorder. Brain deterioration is a biological process but, as you have seen throughout this text, even biological processes are influenced by psychosocial factors.

Psychosocial factors themselves influence the course of neurocognitive disorder. Recall that educational attainment may affect the onset of dementia (Amieva et al., 2014). Having certain

skills may help some people cope better than others with the early stages of neurocognitive disorder. The early stages of confusion and memory loss may be better tolerated in cultures with lowered expectations of older adults. In certain cultures, including the Chinese, younger people are expected to take the demands of work and care from older adults after a certain age, and symptoms of dementia are viewed as a sign of normal aging (Sun, Ong, & Burnette, 2012; Hinton, Guo, Hillygus, & Levkoff, 2000). Neurocognitive disorder may go undetected for years in these societies (Sosa-Ortiz et al., 2012).

Much remains to be learned about the cause and course of most types of neurocognitive disorder. As you saw with Alzheimer's disease and Huntington's disease, certain genetic factors make some individuals vulnerable to progressive cognitive deterioration. In addition, brain trauma, some diseases, and exposure to certain drugs, such as alcohol, inhalants, and sedative, hypnotic, and anxiolytic drugs, can cause the characteristic decline in cognitive abilities. We also noted that psychosocial factors can help determine who is subject to these causes and how they cope with the condition. Looking at neurocognitive disorder from this integrative perspective should help you view treatment approaches in a more optimistic light. It may be possible to protect people from conditions that lead to neurocognitive disorder and to support them in dealing with the devastating consequences of having it. We next review attempts to help from both biological and psychosocial perspectives.

Treatment

For many of the disorders discussed in other chapters, treatment prospects are fairly good. Clinicians can combine various strategies to reduce suffering significantly. Even when treatment does not bring expected improvements, mental health professionals have usually been able to stop problems from progressing. This is not the case in the treatment of neurocognitive disorder.

One factor preventing major advances in the treatment of neurocognitive disorder is the nature of the damage caused by this disorder. The brain contains billions of neurons, many more than are used. Damage to some can be compensated for by others because of plasticity. There is a limit to where and how many neurons can be destroyed, however, before vital functioning is disrupted. Researchers are closing in on how to use the brain's natural process of regeneration to potentially reverse the damage caused in neurocognitive disorder (e.g., Wright, Kawas, & Harding, 2015). Currently, however, with extensive brain damage, no known treatment can restore lost abilities. The goals of treatment therefore become (1) trying to prevent certain conditions, such as substance abuse or strokes, that may bring on neurocognitive disorder; (2) trying to delay the onset of symptoms to provide better quality of life; and (3) attempting to help these individuals and their caregivers cope with the advancing deterioration. Most efforts in treating neurocognitive disorder have focused on the second and third goals, with biological treatments aimed at stopping the cerebral deterioration and psychosocial treatments directed at helping patients and caregivers cope.

A troubling statistic further clouds the tragic circumstances of neurocognitive disorder. In one study, more than 60% of caregivers

of people with neurocognitive disorder—usually relatives—have the symptoms characteristic of one or more anxiety disorders (55% of these caregivers had anxiety) and/or clinical depression (37% of the caregivers had depression) (Joling et al., 2015). Compared with the public, these caregivers use more psychotropic medications (designed to reduce symptoms of various psychological disorders) and report stress symptoms at 3 times the normal rate. Caring for people with neurocognitive disorder, especially in its later stages, is clearly a trying experience. In fact, there is some evidence to suggest that the stress associated with caring for a person with neurocognitive disorder may place the caregiver at greatly increased risk for developing neurocognitive disorder themselves (Norton et al., 2010). As a result, clinicians are becoming increasingly sensitive to the needs of these caregivers, and research is now exploring interventions that are easy to disseminate (such as over the internet) to assist them in caring for people with neurocognitive disorder. Preliminary results from such interventions are promising and have important implications for the caregivers of the “baby-boomer” generation (Blom, Bosmans, Cuijpers, Zarit, & Pot, 2013).

Biological Treatments

Neurocognitive disorder resulting from known infectious diseases, nutritional deficiencies, and depression can be treated if it is caught early. Unfortunately, however, no known treatment exists for the types of neurocognitive disorder that account for the vast majority of cases. Neurocognitive disorder caused by stroke, Parkinson’s disease, or Huntington’s disease is not currently treatable, because there is no effective treatment for the primary disorder. However, exciting research in several related areas has brought us closer to helping individuals with these forms of neurocognitive disorder. A substance that may help preserve and perhaps restore neurons—glial cell-derived neurotrophic factor—may someday be used to help reduce or reverse the progression of degenerative brain diseases (Lu, Nagappan, Guan, Nathan, & Wren, 2013). Researchers are also looking into the possible benefits of transplanting stem cells (fetal brain tissue) into the brains of people with such diseases. Initial results from these studies are still preliminary but appear promising (Pen & Jensen, 2016). Neurocognitive disorder brought on by strokes may now be more preventable by new drugs that help prevent much of the damage inflicted by the blood clots characteristic of stroke (Erkinjuntti, 2012). Most current attention is on a treatment for dementia of the Alzheimer’s type, because it affects so many people. Here, too, however, success has been modest at best.

Much work has been directed at developing drugs that will enhance the cognitive abilities of people with neurocognitive disorder due to Alzheimer’s type. Many seem to be effective

Computer Simulations and Neurocognitive Disorder



“Our cognitive activity arises from the neural networks in the brain. Whenever you lose an individual neuron, you’re not losing an idea, you’re just losing a tiny bit of the resolution, or the crispness, of that idea.”

Go to MindTap at
www.cengagebrain.com
to watch this video.

initially, but long-term improvements have not been observed in placebo-controlled studies (Richards & Sweet, 2009). Several drugs (called *cholinesterase inhibitors*) have had a modest impact on cognitive abilities in some patients and include donepezil (Aricept), rivastigmine (Exelon), and galantamine (Reminyl) (Trinh, Hoblyn, Mohanty, & Yaffe, 2003). *Tacrine hydrochloride* (Cognex), another in this family of drugs, is rarely used today because of the potential for liver damage (Rabins, 2006). These drugs prevent the breakdown of the neurotransmitter acetylcholine (which is deficient in people with Alzheimer’s disease), thus making more acetylcholine available to the brain. Research suggests that, when using these drugs, people’s cognitive abilities improve to the point where they were 6 months earlier (Kimchi & Lyketsos,

2015). But the gain is not permanent. Even people who respond positively do not stabilize but continue to experience the cognitive decline associated with Alzheimer’s disease. In addition, if they stop taking the drug—as almost three quarters of the patients do because of negative side effects such as liver damage and nausea—they lose even that 6-month gain (Kimchi & Lyketsos, 2015). Newer drugs are now being investigated for the treatment of Alzheimer’s disease. These include drugs that target the beta amyloid (plaques) in the brain, and it is hoped that these advances will finally provide a positive prognosis for this devastating disease (Lukiw, 2012; McClam, Marano, Rosenberg, & Lyketsos, 2015).

Several other medical approaches are being explored to slow the course of Alzheimer’s disease, but initial excitement generated by these approaches has waned with the findings from researchers. For example, most of you have heard of using *Ginkgo biloba* (maidenhair) to improve memory. Initial research suggested that this herbal remedy may produce modest improvements in the memory of people with Alzheimer’s disease, but other studies have not replicated this benefit (Vellas et al., 2012). Similarly, the effects of vitamin E have been evaluated. A few large studies have found that among individuals with moderately severe impairment, high doses of the vitamin (2,000 international units per day) delayed progression compared with a placebo (Dysken et al., 2014; Sano et al., 1997), but it did not prevent the development of the disease. Further research, in fact, indicates that taking high doses of vitamin E may actually increase mortality and therefore this intervention is no longer recommended (Richards & Sweet, 2009). Modest slowing of the progression of the disease also may be obtained by introducing exercise to patients (Paillard, Rolland, & de Souto Barreto, 2015). To date, however, no medical interventions are available that directly treat and therefore stop the progression of the conditions that cause the cerebral damage in Alzheimer’s disease.

Medical interventions for neurocognitive disorder also include the use of drugs to help with some associated symptoms. A variety of antidepressants—such as serotonin-specific reuptake inhibitors—are commonly recommended to alleviate the

depression and anxiety that too often accompany the cognitive decline. Antipsychotic medication is sometimes used for those who become unusually agitated (Richards & Sweet, 2009).

Other researchers are targeting vaccines that would potentially treat and prevent—rather than just delay—the symptoms of Alzheimer's disease. Much of the research is attempting to get the immune system to attack the process that overproduces the small proteins (Ab) that lead to cell death. Prior efforts had to be abandoned because of the severe negative side effects of the vaccine, which included serious brain inflammation. More recent research with humans and animals indicates that there may be several vaccines that could be effective in preventing the damage caused by Ab formation and therefore represent the first glimmer of hope for patients and their families (Davtyan et al., 2013).

This type of research currently begins with transgenic mice—mice in which the DNA has been altered. In the case of testing an Alzheimer's vaccine, the mice DNA is engineered to produce the same small proteins thought to be responsible for the neurocognitive disorder. Mice are good subjects because they age rapidly, with a 22-month-old mouse equivalent to a 65-year-old human (Davtyan et al., 2013; Morgan, 2007). This allows researchers to study how the brain reacts to the potential vaccine if it has already started the progression of Alzheimer's. If the results are promising in these transgenic mice, only then do researchers try small studies with humans. Researchers are optimistic that there may finally be intervention approaches that would reverse the current trend of increasing numbers of people with neurocognitive disorder. Next we describe psychosocial approaches that are used with medication to address the variety of problems that accompany memory difficulties.

Psychosocial Treatments

Psychosocial treatments are now receiving a great deal of attention for their ability to delay the onset of severe cognitive decline. These efforts focus on enhancing the lives of people with neurocognitive disorder, as well as those of their families.

People with neurocognitive disorder can be taught skills to compensate for their lost abilities. Some researchers have evaluated formal adaptations to help people in the early stages of neurocognitive disorder. Michelle Bourgeois (2007) created "memory wallets" to help people with Alzheimer's disease carry on conversations. On white index cards inserted into a plastic wallet are printed declarative statements such as, "My husband John and I have 3 children," or "I was born on January 6, 1921, in Pittsburgh." In one of her studies, Bourgeois (1992) found that adults with neurocognitive disorder due to Alzheimer's disease could, with minimal training, use this memory aid to improve their conversations with others. With advances in technology such as tablet computers that can be programmed to "speak" for the person, adaptations such as these help people communicate with others, help them remain aware of their surroundings, and can reduce

the frustration that comes with the awareness of their own decline (Fried-Oken et al., 2012).

Cognitive stimulation—encouraging people with neurocognitive disorder to practice learning and memory skills—seems to be an effective method for delaying the onset of the more severe cognitive effects of this disorder (Aguirre, Woods, Spector, & Orrell, 2013; Woods, Aguirre, Spector, & Orrell, 2012). These activities include word games, tests of memory of famous and familiar faces, and practice with numbers (for example, how much change back you would receive from a purchase). These types of skill-building exercises can maintain cognitive activity and improve the quality of life in those patients when compared with controls (Choi & Twamley, 2013).

What impact do the medical and nonmedical treatments have on those with Alzheimer's disease? Figure 15.2 illustrates how these interventions may delay the worst of the symptoms—essentially compressing the time when the person is most impaired (Becker, Mestre, Ziolko, & Lopez, 2007). The red line illustrates the typical course of the disease, which results in 3 to 5 years of severe impairment before death. However, with the interventions we highlighted (illustrated by the purple line), people are able to live more fully for a longer period, despite the still-inevitable impairment and death. Families find this extra time with their loved ones to be invaluable, and hopefully, with more advancements, we will see progress on improving mortality rates of this progressive disease.

Individuals with advanced neurocognitive disorder are not able to feed, bathe, or dress themselves. They cannot communicate with or recognize even familiar family members. They may wander away from home and become lost. Because they are no longer aware of social stigma, they may engage in public displays of sexual behavior, such as masturbation. They may be frequently agitated




FIGURE 15.2

Improving the course of Alzheimer's disease with medical and nonmedical interventions. (From Becker, J. T., Mestre, L. T., Ziolko, S., & Lopez, O. L. [2007]. Gene-environment interactions with cognition in late life and compression of morbidity. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 164, 849–852.)

or even physically violent. To help both the person with dementia and the caregiver, researchers have explored interventions for dealing with these consequences of the disorder (Lovestone, 2012). For example, some research indicates that a combination of exercise for patients and instruction for caregivers on how to handle behavior problems can improve the overall health and the depression in people with Alzheimer's disease (Potter, Ellard, Rees, & Thorogood, 2011; Teri et al., 2003).

Of great concern is the tendency of people with neurocognitive disorder to wander. Sometimes they wind up in places or situations that may be dangerous (for example, stairwells or the street). Sometimes the person may be tied to a chair or bed, or sedated, to prevent roaming. Unfortunately, physical and medical restraint has its own risks, including additional medical complications; it also adds greatly to the loss of control and independence that already plague the person with neurocognitive disorder. Psychological treatment as an alternative to restraint sometimes involves providing cues for people to help them safely navigate around their home or other areas. New innovations in surveillance technology—creating a “smart home” that can monitor the location of the patient and warn caregivers—may provide more piece of mind for those who care for these patients. At the same time, ethical concerns are being raised about the use of this technology because of its ability to invade privacy (Bharucha et al., 2009; Chung, Demiris, & Thompson, 2016).

Someone with neurocognitive disorder can become agitated and sometimes verbally and physically aggressive. This behavior is understandably stressful for people trying to provide care. In these situations, medical intervention is often used, although many times with only modest results (Testad, Ballard, Brønnick, & Aarsland, 2010). Some research suggests that teaching communication skills in a manner similar to programs for persons with autism spectrum disorder (Durand, 2012) may help reduce aggressive behavior in persons with neurocognitive disorder (Livingston et al., 2014). In addition, caregivers are often given assertiveness training to help them deal with hostile behaviors (see Table 15.4). Some caregivers



Dakim BrainFitness System: Kara Kenra photographer.
www.dakim.com

A resident of an assistive living facility practices cognitive stimulation using one of several computer-based systems (the Dakim [m]Power Brain Fitness System).

may either passively accept all criticism inflicted by the person with neurocognitive disorder, which increases stress, or become angry and aggressive in return. This last response is of particular concern because of the potential for elder abuse. Withholding food or medication or inflicting physical abuse is most common among caregivers of elderly people who have cognitive deficits. Research has also shown that elder abuse occurs in nursing home and assisted living, with neglect and emotional abuse being the most common in these settings (Castle, Ferguson-Rome, & Teresi, 2015; Post, Page, Conner, & Prokhorov, 2010). It is important to teach caregivers how to handle stressful circumstances so that they do not escalate into abusive situations. Not a great deal of objective evidence supports the usefulness of assertiveness training for reducing caregiver stress, and more research is needed to guide future efforts.

In general, families of people with mild to moderate neurocognitive disorder can benefit from supportive counseling to help

TABLE 15.4 Sample Assertive Responses

Patient Behavior	Assertive Response
Calmly but firmly say:	
The patient refuses to eat, bathe, or change clothes.	“We agreed to do this at this time so that we will be able to (give specific activity or reward).”
The patient wants to go home.	“I know you miss some of the places we used to be. This is our home now, and together we are safe and happy here.”
The patient demands immediate gratification.	“It’s not possible to have everything we want. As soon as I’ve finished (describe specific task or action), we can discuss other things we want to do.”
The patient accuses the caregiver of taking the patient’s possessions.	“We both enjoy our own things. I’ll help you look for (specific item missing) so that you can enjoy it just as soon as I have finished (describe specific task or action).”
The patient is angry, rebellious, or both.	“I like to be treated fairly just as you do. Let’s discuss what’s bothering you so that we can go back to our usual good relationship.”

Source: Adapted, with permission, from Edwards, A. J. (1994). *When memory fails: Helping the Alzheimer's and dementia patient*. New York, NY: Plenum Press, p. 174, © 1994 Plenum Press.

them cope with the frustration, depression, guilt, and loss that take a heavy emotional toll. Clinicians must first recognize, however, that the ability to adapt to stressors differs among people. One study, for example, found cultural differences in the coping styles of caregivers. In one area of rural Alabama, white caregivers used acceptance and humor as coping strategies, and black caregivers used religion and denial (Kosberg, Kaufman, Burgio, Leeper, & Sun, 2007). Another large-scale study of 555 principal caregivers over a 3-year period identified a number of steps that can be taken to support caregivers through this difficult time (Aneshensel, Pearlin, Mullan, Zarit, & Whitlatch, 1995). Despite numerous studies aimed at supporting caregivers, however, the results to date remain weak and additional work is needed to determine how best to support these individuals (Schoenmakers, Buntinx, & DeLepeleire, 2010; Tremont et al., 2015).

Early on, caregivers need basic information on the causes and treatment of neurocognitive disorder, financial and legal issues, and locating help for the patient and the family. As the disorder progresses, and the affected person requires increasing amounts of assistance, caregivers will need help managing behavioral difficulties (wandering away or violent outbursts) and developing effective ways to communicate with the patient. Clinicians also assist the family with decisions about hospitalizations and, finally, help them adjust during bereavement. However, the best methods to help caregivers help others are still being explored (Peeters, Van Beek, Meerveld, Spreeuwenberg, & Francke, 2010; Zabalegui et al., 2014).

Overall, the outlook for slowing (but not stopping) the cognitive decline characteristic of neurocognitive disorder is optimistic. The best available medications provide some recovery of function, but they do not stop the progressive deterioration. Psychological interventions may help people cope more effectively with the loss of cognitive abilities, especially in the earlier stages of this disorder. In addition, emphasis is placed on helping caregivers—the other victims of neurocognitive disorder—as the person they care for continues to decline.

Prevention

Without treatment, we need to rely even more heavily on prevention strategies for neurocognitive disorder. You can imagine that it is difficult to study prevention efforts for neurocognitive disorder because of the need to follow individuals for long periods to see whether the efforts are effective. One major study conducted in Sweden—where socialized medicine provides complete medical histories of all residents—looked at many of the risk factors (those

factors that increase the chance of having neurocognitive disorder) and protective factors (those that decrease the risk) under study today (Fratiglioni & Qiu, 2009; Fratiglioni, Winblad, & von Strauss, 2007). They looked at the medical records of 1,810 participants who were older than 75 at the time and followed them for about 13 years. Through interviews and medical histories, they came to three major conclusions: control your blood pressure, do not smoke, and lead an active physical and social life! These recommendations came out as the major factors that individuals can change—because you cannot change your genetics, for example—that will decrease the chances of developing neurocognitive disorder (Rizzuto, Orsini, Qiu, Wang, & Fratiglioni, 2012). Additional prevention research is ongoing, and there may be other potentially fruitful research areas that can lead to the successful prevention of this devastating disorder.

DSM Controversies: Is Normal Aging a Mental Disorder?

Researchers and clinicians have, for some time, recognized that many older adults begin to show cognitive decline in areas such as memory that is more pronounced than expected for their age and begins to affect their daily functioning (Petersen et al., 1999). This interest in the distinction between the normal forgetting that occurs as we age and the onset of neurocognitive disorder is motivated by a desire to both understand the progression of the cognitive changes that accompany neurocognitive disorder and to attempt early intervention. In response to this concern, DSM-5 introduced a new psychiatric disorder—**mild neurocognitive disorder**—to categorize the condition and bring it to the attention of clinicians (Ganguli et al., 2011).

This proposed distinction is consistent with some of the breakthroughs in diagnoses involving improved brain scanning and identification of biomarkers that we covered earlier in this chapter (Weiner et al., 2012). Researchers are becoming more sophisticated in their ability to identify beginning signs of neurocognitive disorder,

which not only allows for early diagnosis but also can highlight for clinicians the need to track cognitive abilities over time. However, this new disorder raised concerns among some in the field (Rabins & Lyketsos, 2011).

First, the distinction between “major” and “mild” neurocognitive disorder is a difficult one to make. DSM-5 attempts to quantify the declines by tying the diagnosis to cognitive test performance in the range of 122 standard deviations below what would be expected for a person of that age (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Below-average performance does not necessarily mean, however, that the person has declining cognitive function. That can be assessed only if performance was measured through cognitive assessment *before* the decline occurred. But, it has been argued, routine cognitive testing is not typically done on adults until after some concern is raised, making conclusions about changes in functioning problematic (Frances, 2010).

A second concern is one that is relevant for a number of other disorders as well. The addition of new disorders

(such as mild neurocognitive disorder as well as others such as premenstrual mood dysphoric disorder) and the broadening of the definitions of others have some concerned that eventually most people will meet the criteria for one or more psychiatric disorders. In the case of mild neurocognitive disorder, will we be labeling people—and perhaps prescribing drugs—to those who exhibit normal forgetting related to age? As a whole, the consequences of these changes across DSM-5 are not trivial (Frances, 2010). Pharmaceutical companies are incentivized to find more customers for psychiatric drugs and therefore expanding the number of people with a diagnosis could increase business. The legal system could be impacted with a greater number of people using “mental illness” as a mitigating factor in their defense. These issues are far from being resolved and it is important to note that DSM-5 is a work in progress that will hopefully evolve with our expanding scientific understanding of the nature and causes of all of the maladies impacting us.

Exploring Neurocognitive Disorders

- When the brain is damaged, the effects are irreversible, accumulating until learning, memory, or consciousness are obviously impaired.
- Neurocognitive disorders develop much later than intellectual disability and other learning disorders, which are believed to be present at birth.

TYPES OF NEUROCOGNITIVE DISORDERS

	Description	Causes (subtypes)	Treatment
Delirium	<p>A close-up photograph of an elderly person's face, showing a pained expression with hands near the eyes.</p> <p>PhotoDisc/Getty Images</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Impaired consciousness and cognition for several hours or days<ul style="list-style-type: none">– confusion, disorientation, inability to focusMost prevalent among older adults, people with AIDS, and patients on medication	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Delirium due to a general medical conditionSubstance-induced deliriumDelirium due to multiple etiologiesDelirium not otherwise specified	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Pharmacological<ul style="list-style-type: none">– benzodiazepines– antipsychoticsPsychosocial<ul style="list-style-type: none">– reassurance– presence of personal objects– inclusion in treatment decisions

Major and Mild Neurocognitive Disorders

- cognitive processes
- Caused by medical condition or drug abuse
- Some forms are irreversible; some are resolved by treatment of primary condition.

TYPES OF NEUROCOGNITIVE DISORDERS

		Description	Causes	Treatment
Neurocognitive Disorder due to Alzheimer's Disease	 Christian Martinez Kempin/E+/Getty Images	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Increasing memory impairment and other multiple behavioral and cognitive deficits, affecting language, motor functioning, ability to recognize people or things, and/or planning■ Most prevalent neurocognitive disorder■ Subject of most research	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Progressive brain damage, evident in neurofibrillary tangles and neuritic plaque, confirmed by autopsy but assessed by simplified mental status exam■ Involves multiple genes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ No cure so far, but hope lies in genetic research and amyloid protein.■ Management may include lists, maps, and notes to help maintain orientation.■ New medications that prevent acetylcholine breakdown and vitamin therapy delay but do not stop progression of decline.
Substance-induced Neurocognitive Disorder	 Photodisc/Getty Images	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Caused by brain damage due to prolonged drug use, especially in combination with poor diet, as in alcohol dependency; other substances may include inhalants, and the sedative, hypnotic, and anxiolytic drugs■ Treatment focuses on prevention.		
Vascular Neurocognitive Disorder	 Photodisc/Getty Images	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Permanent deterioration due to blocked or damaged blood vessels in the brain (stroke)■ Symptoms include declines in speed of information processing and executive functioning (e.g., complex decision making) and may also include problems with walking and weakness of limbs.■ Treatment focuses on coping.		
Neurocognitive Disorders Due to Other Medical Conditions	 Simon Fraser / Royal Victoria Infirmary, Newcastle upon Tyne / Science Source	<ul style="list-style-type: none">■ Similar in effect to other cognitive disorders, but caused by:<ul style="list-style-type: none">– head trauma– Lewy bodies, HIV, Parkinson's, Huntington's, Pick's, or Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease– hydrocephalus, hypothyroidism, brain tumor, and vitamin B12 deficiency■ Treatment of primary condition is sometimes possible.		

CHAPTER OUTLINE

Perspectives on Mental Health Law

Civil Commitment

- Criteria for Civil Commitment
- Procedural Changes Affecting Civil Commitment
- An Overview of Civil Commitment

Criminal Commitment

- The Insanity Defense
- Reactions to the Insanity Defense
- Therapeutic Jurisprudence
- Competence to Stand Trial
- Duty to Warn
- Mental Health Professionals as Expert Witnesses

Patients' Rights and Clinical Practice Guidelines

- The Right to Treatment
- The Right to Refuse Treatment
- The Rights of Research Participants
- Evidence-Based Practice and Clinical Practice Guidelines

Conclusions



Stockphoto.com/Wolfgang Lembacher

Describe applications that employ discipline-based problem solving:

- Describe examples of relevant and practical applications of psychological principles to everyday life. (APA SLO 1.3a) (see textbook pages 581–594)
- Articulate how psychological principles can be used to explain social issues, address pressing societal needs, and inform public policy. (APA SLO 1.3A) (see textbook pages 581–594)

*Portions of this chapter cover learning outcomes suggested by the American Psychological Association (2013) in its guidelines for the undergraduate psychology major. Chapter coverage of these outcomes is identified above by APA Goal and APA Suggested Learning Outcome (SLO).

Perspectives on Mental Health Law

We begin this chapter with a return to Arthur, whom we described in Chapter 13 as having psychotic symptoms. Revisiting the case from his family's perspective reveals the complexities of mental health law and the ethical aspects of working with people who have psychological disorders.

Arthur... A Family's Dilemma

As you remember, Arthur was brought to our clinic by family members because he was speaking and acting strangely. He talked incessantly about his “secret plan” to save all the starving children in the world. His family’s concern intensified when Arthur said he was planning to break into the German embassy and present his plan to the German ambassador. Alarmed by his increasingly inappropriate behavior and fearing he would be hurt, the family was astounded to learn they could not force him into a psychiatric hospital. Arthur could admit himself—which was not likely, given his belief that nothing was wrong with him—but they had no power to admit him involuntarily unless he was in danger of doing harm to himself or others. Even if they sincerely believed some harm might be forthcoming, this wasn’t sufficient reason to admit him involuntarily. The family coped with this emergency as best they could for several weeks until the worst of Arthur’s behaviors began to diminish.

Arthur suffered from what is known as brief psychotic disorder (see Chapter 13). Fortunately for him, this is one of the few psychotic disorders that is not chronic. What is important here is to see how the mental health system responded. Because Arthur had not hurt himself or someone else, he had to seek help on his own before the hospital would assist him, even though everyone involved realized that such action on his part was unlikely. This response by the mental health system added one more layer of helplessness to the family’s already desperate emotional state. Why wouldn’t the mental health facility admit Arthur, who was clearly out of touch with reality and in need of help? Why couldn’t his own family authorize the mental health facility to act? What

would have happened if Arthur had entered the German embassy and hurt or, worse, killed someone? Would he have gone to jail, or would he have finally received help from the mental health community? Would Arthur have been held responsible if he hurt other people while he was delusional? These are just a few of the many issues that surface when we try to balance the rights of people who have psychological disorders with the responsibilities of society to provide care.

Mental health professionals face such questions daily. They must both diagnose and treat people and consider individual and societal rights and responsibilities. As we describe how systems of ethics and legal concepts have developed, remember they change with time and with shifting societal and political perspectives on mental illness. How we treat people with psychological disorders is partly a function of how society views these people. For example, do people with mental illness need help and protection, or does society need protection from them? As public opinion about people with mental illness changes, so do the relevant laws and legal and ethical issues affect both research and practice. As you will see, the issues affecting research and practice are often complementary. For example, confidentiality is required to protect the identity of a participant in a research study and of a patient seeking help for a psychological disorder. Because people who receive mental health services often simultaneously participate in research studies, we must consider the concerns of both constituencies.

Civil Commitment

The legal system exercises significant influence over the mental health system, for better or for worse. Laws have been designed to protect people who display abnormal behavior and to protect society. Often, achieving this protection is a delicate balancing act, with the scales sometimes thought to be tipped in favor of the rights of individuals and at other times in favor of society. For example, each state has **civil commitment laws** that detail when a person can be legally declared to have a mental illness and be placed in a hospital for treatment (Nunley, Nunley, Cutleh, Dentingeh, & McFahland, 2013). When Arthur’s family tried to have him involuntarily committed to a mental health facility, hospital officials decided that because he was not in imminent danger of hurting himself or others he could not be committed against his



AP Images/Sergey Ponomarev

People with mental illness are treated differently in different cultures.



Anadolu Agency/Getty Images

will. In this case, the laws protected Arthur from involuntary commitment, but they also put him and others at potential risk by not compelling him to get help. In a now-classic book, La Fond and Durham (1992) argue that two clear trends in mental health law are evident in the recent history of the United States. According to these authors, a “liberal era” from 1960 to 1980 was characterized by a commitment to individual rights and fairness. In contrast, 1980 to the present has been a “neoconservative era,” partly in reaction to the liberal reforms of the 1960s and 1970s that focused on majority concerns, including law and order. In the liberal era, the rights of people with mental illness dominated; in the neoconservative era, the rights of people with mental illness have been limited to provide greater protection to society.

Civil commitment laws in the United States date back to the late 19th century. Before this time, almost all people with severe mental illness were cared for by family members or the community or were left to care for themselves. An alarming trend accompanied the development of a large public hospital system devoted to treating such individuals: involuntary commitment of people for reasons unrelated to mental illness (Simon & Shuman, 2009). There were even instances in which women were committed to psychiatric hospitals by their husbands simply for holding differing personal or political views. In the 1800s, Mrs. E. P. W. Packard crusaded for better civil commitment laws after being involuntarily confined to a psychiatric hospital for 3 years because her husband felt her religious views were “dangerous to the spiritual interests of his children and the community” (Packard & Olsen, 1871; p. 11).

Criteria for Civil Commitment

Historically, states have permitted commitment when several conditions have been met: (1) The person has a “mental illness” and is in need of treatment, (2) the person is dangerous to himself or herself or others, or (3) the person is unable to care for himself, a situation considered a “grave disability.” How these conditions are interpreted has varied over the years and has always been controversial. Two types of authority permit the government to take actions that are against a citizen’s will: police power and *parens*

patriae (“state or country as the parent”) power. Under police power, the government takes responsibility for protecting the public health, safety, and welfare and can create laws and regulations to ensure this protection. Criminal offenders are held in custody if they are a threat to society. The state applies *parens patriae* power when citizens are not likely to act in their own best interest; for example, to assume custody of children who have no living parents. Similarly, it is used to commit individuals with severe mental illness to mental health facilities when it is believed that they might be harmed because they are unable to secure the basic necessities of life, such as food and shelter (grave disability), or because they do not recognize their need for treatment (Nunley et al., 2013). Under *parens patriae* power, the state acts as a surrogate parent, presumably in the best interests of a person who needs help.

A person in need of help can always voluntarily request admission to a mental health facility; after an evaluation by a mental health professional, a patient may be accepted for treatment. When an individual does not voluntarily seek help but others feel that treatment or protection is necessary, however, the formal process of civil commitment can be initiated. The specifics of this process differ from state to state, but it usually begins with a petition by a relative or mental health professional to a judge. The court may then request an examination to assess psychological status, ability for self-care, need for treatment, and potential for harm. The judge considers this information and decides whether commitment is appropriate. This process is similar to other legal proceedings, and the person under question has all the rights and protections provided by the law. In most states, the person can even request that a jury hear the evidence and make a determination. In all cases, the person must be notified that the civil commitment proceedings are taking place, must be present during the trial, must have representation by an attorney, and can examine the witnesses and request an independent evaluation. These safeguards are built into the civil commitment process to guarantee the rights of the person being examined and to ensure that no one is involuntarily committed to a psychiatric facility for other than legitimate reasons.

Another route to civil commitment is being adopted by some states: court-ordered assisted outpatient treatment (AOT) (Nunley et al., 2013). In this option, the person with severe

mental illness agrees to receive treatment as a condition for continuing to live in the community. These AOT laws provide a balance between the individual's right to live independently and the community's concerns about safety (Nunley et al., 2013).

In emergency situations, when there is clearly immediate danger, a short-term commitment can be made without the formal proceedings required of a civil commitment. Family members or sometimes police officers certify that the person presents a "clear and present danger" to herself or to others (Nunley et al., 2013). Arthur's family was unsuccessful in having him admitted on an emergency basis because it was not clear that anyone was in immediate danger, only that someone might be hurt. Again, deciding what is a "clear and present danger" sometimes requires a great deal of subjective judgment from the court and from mental health professionals.

Defining Mental Illness

The concept of mental illness figures prominently in civil commitment, and it is important to understand how it is defined. **Mental illness** is a legal concept, typically meaning severe emotional or thought disturbances that negatively affect an individual's health and safety. Each state has its own definition. For example, in New York, "Mental illness means an affliction with a mental disease or mental condition which is manifested by a disorder or disturbance in behavior, feeling, thinking, or judgment to such an extent that the person afflicted requires care, treatment and

rehabilitation" (*New York Mental Hygiene Law*, 1992). In contrast, in Connecticut, "Mentally ill person" means a person who has a mental or emotional condition that has substantial adverse effects on his or her ability to function and who requires care and treatment, and specifically excludes a person who is an alcohol-dependent person or a drug-dependent person" (Connecticut General Statutes Annotated, 1992). Many states exclude cognitive disability or substance-related disorders from the definition of mental illness.

Mental illness is *not* synonymous with psychological disorder; in other words, receiving a diagnosis according to the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM-5)* does not necessarily mean that a person's condition fits the legal definition of mental illness. Although the *DSM* is quite specific about criteria that must be met for diagnosis, there is considerable ambiguity about what constitutes a "mental condition" or what are "adverse effects on his or her ability to function." This allows flexibility in making decisions individually, but it also maintains the possibility of subjective impression and bias as influences on these decisions.

Dangerousness

Assessing whether someone is a danger to self or others is a critical determinant of the civil commitment process. **Dangerousness** is a particularly controversial concept to describe people with mental illness: according to popular opinion, people who are mentally ill are more dangerous than those who are not (Kobau, Dilorio, Chapman, & Delvecchio, 2010; Schomerus et al., 2012). Although this conclusion is questionable, it is still widespread, partly because of sensational media reports. Such views are important to the process of civil commitment if they bias a determination of dangerousness and unfairly link it with severe mental illness.

The results of research on dangerousness and mental illness are often mixed, but evidence points to a moderately increased rate of violence among people with mental illness (Elbogen & Johnson, 2009; Elbogen, Dennis, & Johnson, in press). Closer examination of this kind of research reveals that although having a mental illness generally does increase the likelihood of future violence, specific factors such as a high anger predisposition, recent stressors (e.g., victimization) and substance use are likely responsible for the increased risk of violence (Elbogen et al., in press). It is also the presence of these risk factors that may predict the reoccurrence of perpetrated violent crimes by individual with mental illness.

Unfortunately, the widely held misperception that people with mental illness are more dangerous may differentially affect ethnic minorities (Vinkers, de Vries, van Baars, & Mulder, 2010). Black males are often perceived as dangerous, even when they don't exhibit any violent behavior, which may partly explain why Black individuals are overrepresented among those who are involuntarily committed to state psychiatric institutions (Lindsey, Joe, Muroff, & Ford, 2010).

How do you determine whether a person is dangerous to others? How accurate are mental health professionals at predicting who will and who will not later be violent? The answers bear directly on the process of civil commitment, as well as on protection for society. If we can't accurately predict dangerousness, how can we justify involuntary commitment?



The government can exert *parens patriae* to protect people from hurting themselves.

Research using functional imaging technologies reveals that our ability to “feel the pain” of other people—feeling empathetic to others in distress—involves activation of the prefrontal cortex (Escobar et al., 2014; Hillis, 2014; Robertson et al., 2007), and damage to this area prevents people from using empathy to make moral decisions (Damasio, 2007; Decety & Skelly, 2013). This important research is now being used in court proceedings to help decide guilt or innocence (Mobbs, Lau, Jones, & Frith, 2009). Will it be argued that defendants who have psychopathy (see Chapter 12), for example, should be judged not responsible for their behavior because their inability to empathize with others has its origin in the brain? This “brain blame” will become more prominent in coming years because our definitions of insanity have not kept up with our understanding of the combined contributions of the environment and biology to mental illness and criminal behavior (Greely & Simpson, 2012).

Numerous risk assessment tools are routinely used to determine if someone is likely to be dangerous to society—including the Psychopathy Checklist-Revised (PCL-R) (Hare & Vertommen, 2003; Neumann, Johansson, & Hare, 2013), described in Chapter 12, that is used to identify persons with psychopathy. Evidence from numerous studies suggest that these tools are best at identifying persons at low risk of being violent but only marginally successful at accurately detecting who will be violent at a later point (Fazel, Singh, Doll, & Grann, 2012). Mental health professionals can identify groups of people who are at greater risk than the general population for being violent—such as having a previous history of both violence and drug or alcohol dependence—and can so advise the court. What clinicians cannot yet do is predict with certainty whether a particular person will or will not become violent.

Procedural Changes Affecting Civil Commitment

Clearly, there are significant problems with the process of civil commitment. In particular, deciding whether a person has a mental illness or is dangerous requires considerable subjective judgment and, because of varying legal language, this determination can differ from state to state. These problems have resulted in a number of significant legal developments. We look next at how changes in civil commitment procedures have resulted in significant economic and social consequences, including an impact on one of our more important social problems: homelessness.

The Supreme Court and Civil Commitment

In 1957, the parents of Kenneth Donaldson had him committed to the Florida State Hospital for treatment of paranoid schizophrenia. Donaldson was not considered dangerous, yet, despite repeated offers of placement in a halfway house or with a friend, Dr. O’Connor, the superintendent of the hospital, refused to release him for almost 15 years, during which Donaldson received virtually no treatment (Donaldson, 1976). Donaldson successfully sued Dr. O’Connor for damages,

winning \$48,500. In deciding the case, the Supreme Court found that “a State cannot constitutionally confine . . . a non-dangerous individual who is capable of surviving safely in freedom by himself or with the help of willing and responsible family and friends” (*O’Connor v. Donaldson*, 1975).

Here, and in a subsequent decision known as *Addington v. Texas* (1979), the Supreme Court said that more than just a promise of improving quality of life is required to commit someone involuntarily. If nondangerous people with mental illness can survive in the community with the help of others, they should not be detained against their will. Needing treatment or having a grave disability was not sufficient to commit someone involuntarily with a mental illness. The effect of this decision substantially limited the government’s ability to commit individuals unless they were dangerous (Nunley et al., 2013).

Criminalization

Because of the tightened restrictions on involuntary commitment that prevailed in the 1960s and 1970s, many people who would normally have been committed to mental health facilities for treatment were instead being handled by the criminal justice system. In other words, people with severe mental illness were now living in the community, but many were not receiving the mental health services they needed and would eventually run afoul of the legal system because of their behavior. This “criminalization” of the mentally ill was of great concern because the criminal justice system was not prepared to care for these individuals (Chaimowitz, 2012; Lamb, 2009; Lamb & Weinberger, 2009). Family members were increasingly frustrated that they couldn’t obtain treatment for their loved ones, who were instead languishing in jail without help.

Deinstitutionalization and Homelessness

In addition to criminalization, two other trends emerged at this time, starting in the 1980s: an increase in the number of people who were homeless and **deinstitutionalization**, the movement of people with severe mental illness out of institutions. Remember that homelessness is not exclusively a problem of the mentally ill. Approximately 2 million to 3 million people will experience a night of homelessness in the United States each year, and estimates place the numbers of homeless people at more than 400,000



Larry Hogue was involuntarily committed to a psychiatric hospital because, homeless and under the influence of drugs (left), he terrorized residents of a New York City neighborhood for years. Once off drugs (right), Hogue was able to control himself.

on any given night (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2011). The estimates of psychological disorders among those who are homeless vary, in part because of the difficulty in tracking these individuals. Best estimates suggest that diagnoses of severe mental illness (for example, schizophrenia and bipolar disorder) among homeless persons is about 30% (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2011). For reasons not yet fully understood, ethnicity may also play a part in who among people with mental illness becomes homeless. In a large study in San Diego County, for example, Latinos and Asian Americans with mental illness were less likely to become homeless, but African Americans were more likely to be homeless (Folsom et al., 2005).

Information on the characteristics of people who are homeless is important because it provides us with clues about why people become homeless, and it dispels the notion that all homeless people have mental health problems. For a time, homelessness was blamed on strict civil commitment criteria and deinstitutionalization (Colp, 2009; Nooe & Patterson, 2010); that is, policies to severely limit who can be involuntarily committed, the limits placed on the hospital stays of people with severe mental illness, and the concurrent closing of large psychiatric hospitals were held responsible for the substantial increase in homelessness during the 1980s. Although a sizable percentage of homeless people have mental illness, the rise in homelessness is also the result of such economic factors as increased unemployment and a shortage of low-income housing (Nooe & Patterson, 2010). Yet the perception that civil commitment restrictions and deinstitutionalization caused homelessness resulted in movements to change commitment procedures.

Reforms in civil commitment that made it more difficult to commit someone involuntarily occurred at the same time the policy of deinstitutionalization was closing large psychiatric hospitals (Nunley et al., 2013). Deinstitutionalization had two goals: (1) to close the large state mental hospitals and (2) to create a network of community mental health centers where the released individuals could be treated. Although the first goal appears to have been substantially accomplished, with about a 75% decrease in the number of hospitalized patients (Kiesler & Sibulkin, 1987), the essential goal of providing alternative community care appears not to have been attained. Instead, there was **transinstitutionalization**, or the movement of people with severe mental illness from large psychiatric hospitals to nursing homes or other group residences, including jails and prisons, many of which provide only marginal services (Lamb & Weinberger, 2009; Primeau, Bowers, Harrison, & XuXu, 2013). Because of the deterioration in care for many people who had previously been served by the mental hospital system, deinstitutionalization is largely considered a failure. Although many praise the ideal of providing community care for people with severe mental illness, the support needed to provide this type of care has been severely deficient.

Reactions to Strict Commitment Procedures

Arthur's psychotic reaction and his family's travails in trying to get help occurred during the mid-1970s, a time characterized by greater concern for individual freedom than for society's rights and by the belief that people with mental illness were not properly

served by being forced into treatment. Others, however, especially relatives of afflicted people, felt that by not coercing some individuals into treatment, the system was sanctioning their mental decline and placing them at grave risk of harm. The culmination of a number of factors—such as the lack of success with deinstitutionalization, the rise in homelessness, and the criminalization of people with severe mental illness—gave rise to a backlash against their perceived causes, including the strict civil commitment laws. The case of Joyce Brown captures this clash of concerns between individual freedoms for people with mental illness and society's responsibility to treat them.

Joyce Brown...

Homeless but Not Helpless

During a 1988 winter emergency in New York City, Mayor Ed Koch ordered that all homeless people who appeared to be mentally ill should be involuntarily committed to a mental health facility for their protection. He used the legal principle of *parens patriae* to justify this action, citing the need to protect these individuals from the cold and from themselves. One of the people who was taken off the streets, 40-year-old Joyce Brown, was picked up against her will and admitted to Bellevue Hospital, where she received a diagnosis of paranoid schizophrenia. She had been homeless for some time, swearing at people as they walked by; at one point, she adopted the name Billie Boggs after a New York television personality with whom she fantasized a relationship. Supported by the New York Civil Liberties Union, Brown contested her commitment and was released after 3 months (Tushnet, 2008).

This case is important because it illustrates the conflicting interests over civil commitment. Brown's family had for some time been concerned about her well-being and had tried unsuccessfully to have her involuntarily committed. Although she had never hurt anyone or tried to commit suicide, they felt that living on the streets of New York City was too hazardous, and they feared for her welfare. City officials expressed concern for Brown and others like her, especially during the dangerously cold winter, although some suspected that this was an excuse to remove people with disturbing behavior from the streets of affluent sections (Kasindorf, 1988). Brown chose not to seek treatment and resisted efforts to place her in alternative settings. At times, she could be quite articulate in making a case for her freedom of choice. Only weeks after she was released from the hospital, she was again living on the streets. Brown was involuntarily committed to a mental health facility again in early 1994 and was released at her insistence a short time later. This continued a pattern that lasted for years (Failer, 2002).

Rulings such as *O'Connor v. Donaldson* and *Addington v. Texas* had argued that mental illness and dangerousness should be criteria for involuntary commitment. Because of cases like Brown's and concerns about homelessness and criminalization, however, a movement emerged calling for a return to broader civil procedures that would permit commitment not only of those who



Michael Besser / Alamy Stock Photo

People become homeless because of many factors, including economic conditions, mental health status, and drug use.

showed dangerousness to self or others, but also of individuals in need of treatment and those with grave disability—and not dangerous—an opinion that continues to be shared by many today (Gordon, 2015). Groups including the National Alliance on Mental Illness, a coalition of family members of people with mental illness, argued for legal reform to make involuntary commitment easier—an emotional response to the failure to protect and treat people with mental illness. Several states in the late 1970s and early 1980s changed their civil commitment laws in an attempt to address these concerns. For example, the state of Washington revised its laws in 1979 to allow commitment of people who were judged to be in need of treatment, which produced a 91% increase in the number of involuntary commitments in the first year it was in effect (Durham & La Fond, 1985). There was essentially no change in the size of the hospital population at this time, only in the status under which patients were committed (La Fond & Durham, 1992). Whereas people were previously detained because of violence, they were now admitted under *parens patriae* powers; also, whereas most admissions had been voluntary, they were now involuntary. Hospitals began to fill up because of longer stays and repeated admissions and they accepted only involuntary admissions; therefore, the result of easing the procedure for involuntarily committing people with mental illness was only to change the authority under which they were admitted.

The special case of sex offenders has attracted public attention in recent years, and the issue of how to treat repeat offenders is at the heart of the concerns over civil commitment. In the years between 1930 and 1960, some states passed “sexual psychopath laws” that provided hospitalization instead of incarceration, but for an indefinite period (Saleh, Malin, Grudzinskas Jr., & Vitacco, 2010). Sex offenders (rapists and pedophiles) could be civilly committed until they demonstrated that treatment was effective. However, because treatment is often unsuccessful when attempted with uncooperative clients (see Chapter 10) and because public opinion moved from a priority to treat to a priority to punish, these laws were repealed

or went unused. Recent efforts have focused on incarcerating sex offenders for their crimes and, if they are judged still dangerous at the end of their sentences, civilly committing them. Such “sexual predator” laws were first enacted in 1990, and the Kansas version was upheld as constitutional by the U.S. Supreme Court (*Kansas v. Hendricks*, 1997). Confinement of this type was viewed by the court as acceptable because it was seen as treatment, even though the justices conceded that such treatment is often ineffective (Zonana & Buchanan, 2009). Some are greatly concerned that these types of laws give the government too much latitude in using civil commitment (as opposed to incarceration) just to keep certain individuals away from others in society (La Fond, 2005). However, those who are incarcerated and released from prison face many legal restrictions (e.g., housing) that may have negative effects by limiting rehabilitation and reintegration into society (Bonnar-Kidd, 2010).

An Overview of Civil Commitment

What should the criteria be for involuntarily committing someone with severe mental illness to a mental health facility? Should imminent danger to self or others be the only justification, or should society act as a parent and coerce people who appear to be in distress and in need of asylum or safety? How do we address the concerns of families like Arthur’s who see their loved ones overcome by psychological problems? And what of our need not to be verbally harassed by people like Joyce Brown? When do these rights take precedence over the rights of an individual to be free from unwanted incarceration? It is tempting to conclude that the legal system has failed to address these issues and reacts only to the political whims of the times.



Allan Tannenbaum/Getty Images

A significant number of the homeless are individuals with mental disorders, many of whom live with their children in shelters or on the streets.

From another point of view, however, the periodic change in laws is a sign of a healthy system that responds to the limitations of previous decisions. The reactions by the Supreme Court in the 1970s to the coercive and arbitrary nature of civil commitment were as understandable as more recent attempts to make it easier to commit people in obvious need of help. As the consequences of these changes become apparent, the system responds to correct injustices. Although improvements may seem excruciatingly slow and may not always correctly address the issues in need of reform, the fact that laws can be changed should make us optimistic that the needs of individuals and of society can ultimately be addressed through the courts.

The Insanity Defense

The purpose of our criminal justice system is to protect our lives, our liberty, and our pursuit of happiness, but not all people are punished for criminal behavior. The law recognizes that, under certain circumstances, people are not responsible for their behavior and it would be unfair and perhaps ineffective to punish them. Current views originate from a case recorded more than 150 years ago in England. Daniel M'Naghten today might receive the diagnosis of paranoid schizophrenia. He held the delusion that the English Tory party was persecuting him, and he set out to kill the British prime minister. He mistook the man's secretary for the prime minister and killed the secretary instead. In what has become known as the M'Naghten rule, the English court decreed that people are not responsible for their criminal behavior if they do not know what they are doing or if they don't know that what they are doing is wrong. This ruling was, in essence, the beginning of the *insanity defense* (see summary in Table 16.1). For more than 100 years, this rule was used to determine culpability when a person's mental state was in question.

In the intervening years, other standards have been introduced to modify the M'Naghten rule because many critics felt that simply relying on an accused person's knowledge of right or wrong was too limiting and a broader definition was needed (Simon & Shuman, 2009). Mental illness alters not only a person's cognitive abilities but also that person's emotional functioning, and mental health professionals believed the entire range of functioning should be taken into account when a person's responsibility was determined. One influential decision, known as the Durham rule, was initiated in 1954 by Judge David Bazelon of the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia and based on the case *Durham v. United States* (1954). The Durham rule broadened the criteria for responsibility from knowledge of right or wrong to state that the "accused is not criminally responsible if his unlawful act was the product of mental disease or mental defect" (see Table 16.1). This decision was initially hailed by mental health professionals because it allowed them to present to a judge or jury a complete picture of the person with mental illness. Unfortunately, it was soon apparent that mental health professionals did not have the expertise to assess reliably whether a person's mental illness caused the criminal behavior in question and therefore that decisions were being based on unscientific opinions (Gunn & Wheat, 2012). Although the Durham rule is no longer used, it caused a reexamination of the criteria used in the insanity defense.

An influential study of the question surrounding the definition of culpability was conducted around the same time as the Durham decision by a group of attorneys, judges, and law scholars who belonged to the American Law Institute (ALI). Their challenge was to develop criteria for determining whether a person's mental competence makes him answerable for criminal behavior. The ALI first reaffirmed the importance of distinguishing the behavior of people with mental illness from that of people without mental disorders. Its members pointed out that the threat of punishment was unlikely to deter someone who had severe mental illness; the group's position was that these individuals should instead be treated until they improve and should then be released. (This recommendation is discussed further when we examine recent developments and criticisms of the insanity defense.) The ALI concluded that people

Criminal Commitment

What would have happened if Arthur had been arrested for trespassing on embassy grounds or, worse yet, if he had hurt or killed someone in his effort to present his plan for saving starving children? Would he have been held responsible for his actions, given his obvious disturbed mental state? How would a jury have responded to him when he seemed fine just several days later? If he was not responsible for his behavior then, why does he seem so normal now?

These questions are of enormous importance as we debate whether people should be held responsible for their criminal behavior despite the possible presence of mental illness. Cases such as that of Andrea Yates, who was first convicted and sentenced to life in prison for drowning her five children in a bathtub in 2001 but later found not guilty by reason of insanity (NGRI), causes some to wonder whether the laws have gone too far. **Criminal commitment** is the process by which people are held because (1) they have been accused of committing a crime and are detained in a mental health facility until they can be assessed as fit or unfit to participate in legal proceedings against them, or (2) they have been found not guilty of a crime by reason of insanity.

TABLE 16.1 Important Factors in the Evolution of the Insanity Defense

Factor	Date	Quotation
M'Naghten rule	1843	[I]t must be clearly proved that at the time of committing the act, the party accused was labouring under such a defect of reason, from disease of the mind, as not to know the nature and quality of the act he was doing; or if he did know it, that he did not know he was doing what was wrong. (101 Cl. & F. 200, 8 Eng. Rep. 718, H.L. 1843)
Durham rule	1954	An accused is not criminally responsible if his unlawful act was the product of mental disease or mental defect. (<i>Durham v. United States</i> , 1954)
American Law Institute (ALI) rule	1962	1. A person is not responsible for criminal conduct if at the time of such conduct as a result of mental disease or defect he lacks substantial capacity either to appreciate the criminality (wrongfulness) of his conduct or to conform his conduct to the requirements of law. 2. As used in the Article, the terms "mental disease or defect" do not include an abnormality manifested only by repeated criminal or otherwise antisocial conduct. (American Law Institute, 1962)
Diminished capacity	1978	Evidence of abnormal mental condition would be admissible to affect the degree of crime for which an accused could be convicted. Specifically, those offenses requiring intent or knowledge could be reduced to lesser included offenses requiring only reckless or criminal neglect. (New York State Department of Mental Hygiene, 1978)
Insanity Defense Reform Act	1984	A person charged with a criminal offense should be found not guilty by reason of insanity if it is shown that, as a result of mental disease or mental retardation, he was unable to appreciate the wrongfulness of his conduct at the time of his offense. (American Psychiatric Association, 1983, p. 685)

Source: Reprinted, with permission, from Silver, E., Cirincione, C., & Steadman, H. J. (1994). Demythologizing inaccurate perceptions of the insanity defense. *Law and Human Behavior*, 18, 63–70, © 1994 Plenum Press.

are not responsible for their criminal behavior if, because of their mental illness, they cannot recognize the inappropriateness of their behavior or control it (American Law Institute, 1962). The criteria shown in Table 16.1, known as the ALI test, stipulate that a person must either be unable to distinguish right from wrong—as set forth in the M'Naghten rule—or be incapable of self-control to be shielded from legal consequences.

The ALI also included provisions for the concept of **diminished capacity** (see Table 16.1), which holds that people's ability to understand the nature of their behavior and therefore their criminal intent can be diminished by their mental illness. The theory of criminal intent—otherwise called *mens rea*, or having a “guilty mind”—is important legally because to convict someone of a crime, there must be proof of the physical act (*actus rea*) and the mental state (*mens rea*) of the person committing the act (Gunn & Wheat, 2012). For example, if a woman accidentally hits someone who steps in front of her car and the person subsequently dies, the woman would not be held criminally responsible; although a person was killed, there was no criminal intent—the driver didn't deliberately hit the person and attempt murder. The diminished capacity concept proposes that a person with mental illness who commits a criminal offense may not, because of the illness, have criminal intent and therefore cannot be held responsible.

Reactions to the Insanity Defense

Judicial rulings through the 1960s and 1970s regarding criminal responsibility parallel the course of civil commitment. An effort was made to focus on the needs of people with mental illness who

also broke the law, providing mental health treatment instead of punishment. The successful use of concepts such as *insanity* or *diminished capacity* in criminal cases alarmed large segments of the population, however. For instance, in 1979 a man successfully pleaded NGRI after being arrested for writing bad checks. His case was based on the testimony of an expert witness who said he suffered from pathological gambling disorder and he therefore could not distinguish right from wrong (*State v. Campanaro*, 1980). Other successful defenses were based on disorders in the *DSM*, such as posttraumatic stress disorder and kleptomania (Novak, 2010), and on disorders not covered in the *DSM*, including battered wife syndrome (Cookson, 2009).

Without question, the case that prompted the strongest outrage against the insanity defense and the most calls for its abolition is that of John W. Hinckley, Jr. (Zapf, Zottoli, & Pirelli, 2009). On March 31,



Alex Wong/Staff/Getty Images News/ Getty Images

James Brady, President Ronald Reagan's press secretary, was wounded in 1981 by a gunman attempting to assassinate the president. In 1994, Brady and his wife, Sarah, celebrated the passage of the Brady Act, which imposed stricter controls on the possession of handguns.

1981, as President Ronald Reagan walked out of the Washington Hilton Hotel, Hinckley fired several shots, hitting and seriously wounding the president, a Secret Service agent, a police officer, and James Brady, the president's press secretary. In an instant, Secret Service agents tackled and disarmed Hinckley. Hinckley was obsessed with actress Jodie Foster; he claimed he tried to kill the president to impress her. Hinckley was judged by a jury to be NGRI, using the ALI standard. The verdict sent shock waves throughout the country and legal community (Zapf et al., 2009). One of the many consequences of this event was that James Brady and his wife, Sarah, became advocates for stricter gun control laws and saw the ultimate passage of the Brady Act in 1994.

Although the insanity defense had already been criticized in the United States, about 75% of the states substantially changed their insanity defense rules after Hinckley's verdict, making it more difficult to use this defense (Simon & Shuman, 2014). As you have seen before, such impulses often are based more on emotion than on fact. Highly publicized cases, such as those of Hinckley, Charles Manson, Jeffrey Dahmer, and Ted Kaczynski, have led many people to associate mental illness with violence, thereby creating an unfavorable public perception of the insanity defense. One telephone survey study found that 91% of people who responded agreed with the statement, "judges and juries have a hard time telling whether the defendants are really sane or insane" (Hans, 1986). Almost 90% agreed the "insanity plea is a loophole that allows too many guilty people to go free." In a similar study, 90% of people agreed "the insanity plea is used too much. Too many people escape responsibilities for crimes by pleading insanity" (Pasewark & Seidenzahl, 1979). Is there hard evidence that the insanity defense is used too often?

A study of the public's impression of the insanity defense compared it with the actual use of the defense and its outcomes (Silver, Cirincione, & Steadman, 1994). As Table 16.2 shows, the public's perception that this defense is used in 37% of all felony cases is a gross overestimate; the actual figure is less than 1%. The public also overestimates how often the defense is successful, as well as how often people judged NGRI are set free. People tend to underestimate the length of hospitalization of those who are acquitted. This last issue is important: In contrast to public perceptions, the length of time a person is confined to a hospital after being judged NGRI may exceed the time the person would have spent in jail had that person been convicted of the crime (Simon & Shuman, 2014). Hinckley, for example, was a patient in St. Elizabeth's Hospital for more than 30 years and only recently in 2016 was he released. Other research shows that individuals with mental illness who are found guilty of *nonviolent* crimes can be committed more than 8 times as long as those people without mental illness placed in prison (Perlin, 2000). In contrast to public perception, people with mental illness apparently do not often "beat the rap" as a result of being judged NGRI.

Despite sound evidence that it is not used excessively and does not result in widespread early release of dangerous individuals, major changes were made in the criteria for the insanity defense after the Hinckley verdict. Both the American Psychiatric Association (1983) and the American Bar Association (1984) recommended modifications, moving back toward M'Naghten-like definitions. Shortly afterward, Congress passed the

TABLE 16.2 Comparison of Public Perceptions with the Actual Occurrence of the Insanity Defense

	Public Perception (%)	Actual Occurrence (%)
Use of Insanity Defense		
Felony indictments resulting in an insanity plea	37.0	0.9
Insanity pleas resulting in acquittal	44.0	26.0
Disposition of Insanity Acquittees		
Insanity acquittees sent to a mental hospital	50.6	84.7
Insanity acquittees set free	25.6	15.3
Conditional release		11.6
Outpatient		2.6
Release		1.1
Length of Confinement of Insanity Acquittees (in months)		
All crimes	21.8	32.5
Murder		76.4

Source: Reprinted, with permission, from Silver, E., Cirincione, C., & Steadman, H. J. (1994). Demythologizing inaccurate perceptions of the insanity defense. *Law and Human Behavior*, 18, 63–70, © 1994 Plenum Press.

Insanity Defense Reform Act of 1984, which incorporated these suggestions and made successful use of the insanity defense more difficult.

Another attempt at reforming the insanity plea has been to replace the NGRI verdict with a verdict of guilty but mentally ill (GBMI) (Kimonis, 2015; Torry & Billick, 2010). Although there are several versions of the GBMI verdict, the shared premise is that the consequences for a person ruled GBMI are different from those for a person who is NGRI. People found to be NGRI are not sent to prison but are evaluated at a psychiatric facility until such time as they are judged ready for release. A person determined to be no longer mentally ill must be released. If Arthur had committed a crime and was found NGRI, because his brief psychotic disorder was quickly resolved, he would probably have been released immediately. In contrast, one version of the GBMI verdict in theory allows the system both to treat and to punish the individual. The person found guilty is given a prison term just as if there were no question of mental illness. Whether the person is incarcerated in prison or in a mental health facility is decided by legal authorities. If the person recovers from mental illness before the sentence has passed, that person can be confined in prison for the maximum length of the term. If Arthur were found GBMI under this system, he could serve a full prison sentence, even though his mental illness was resolved. This version of GBMI has been adopted by a number of states (Simon & Shuman, 2014).


The second version of GBMI is even harsher for the mentally ill offender. Convicted individuals are imprisoned, and prison authorities may provide mental health services if they are available. The verdict itself is simply a declaration by the jury that the person was mentally ill at the time the crime was committed and does not result in differential treatment for the perpetrator. Idaho, Montana, and Utah have abandoned the insanity defense



Handout/Getty Images News/Getty Images

Jared Loughner, who shot Congresswoman Gabrielle Giffords and killed six other people, was forcibly medicated to make him fit to stand trial for his crimes. He ultimately pleaded guilty and received a sentence of life in prison without parole.

of verdicts available (NGRI versus GBMI) depends on the laws of the particular state where the crimes were committed. Overall, some estimate that there are more than 3 times the number of people with severe mental illness in jails than in hospitals, pointing to the consequences of these changes in mental health laws (Torrey, Eslinger, Lamb, & Pavle, 2010). ● Figure 16.1 illustrates how people with severe mental illness are increasingly being placed in prisons rather than in special mental health facilities. The percentage of placements in prisons is approaching rates comparable to that of those more than 150 years ago, before adequate services were available.



● FIGURE 16.1

The percentage of jail and prison inmates with serious mental illness. The graph shows the increasing trend over the past few decades to incarcerate people with severe mental illness rather than to provide treatment. From Torrey, E., Eslinger, S., Lamb, R., & Pavle, J. (2010). *More mentally ill persons are in jails and prisons than hospitals: A survey of the states* (p. 13). Arlington, VA: Treatment Advocacy Center.

altogether and have adopted this version of GBMI (“The Evolving Insanity Defense,” 2006).

As noted, the GBMI verdict was a reaction to the perceived loophole provided by the insanity defense. It has been used in several states for more than 15 years, and its effects have been investigated by researchers. Two studies have shown that people who receive the GBMI verdict are more likely to be imprisoned and to receive longer sentences than people pleading NGRI (Callahan, McGreevy, Cirincione, & Steadman, 1992; Keilitz, 1987). Research also indicates that individuals receiving GBMI verdicts are no more likely to receive treatment than other prisoners who have mental illness (Keilitz, 1987; Smith & Hall, 1982). Currently, the type

Therapeutic Jurisprudence

There is a built-in tension between the judicial system and the mental health system. The legal system is, by design, adversarial. In other words, it was created with prosecutors and defendants, winners and losers. In contrast, the mental health system is set up to find solutions to important psychological problems without placing blame on any parties. The goal is for both sides to “win.” Fortunately, there is an increasing recognition in the legal system that a strict adversarial approach to dealing with people with mental health problems may be harmful to everyone. As a result of this change in thinking, when individuals with psychological disorders break the law, they may now find themselves in one of a variety of “problem-solving courts” (Ahern & Coleman-Eufinger, 2013; Goodale, Callahan, & Steadman, 2013). These courts are designed to address the unique needs of people with specific problems. For example, today in many states you can find drug treatment courts, domestic violence courts, and mental health courts, among others. Interestingly, models of problem-solving courts have their roots in the legal systems of tribal societies in the United States, Canada, Australia, and New Zealand (King & Wexler, 2010).

These problem-solving courts are based on the concept of therapeutic jurisprudence—in essence, using what we know about behavior change to help people in trouble with the law. In drug treatment court, for example, a judge might be assigned to all criminal cases involving drug-addicted defendants. The judge would have the leeway to delay sentencing under the condition that the accused obtained and held a job for 6 months, received drug treatment during that time, and remained drug free. Similarly, a defendant in a mental health court might be helped by referrals to existing programs in the community and involvement of family members. Rather than simply trying to decide between prison and freedom, the court can serve as an instrument of social change. This evolving concept may provide effective alternatives in the criminal justice system for people with severe mental illness.

Society has long recognized the need to identify criminals who may not be in control of their behavior and who may not benefit from simple incarceration. The challenge is in trying to do what may be impossible: determining whether the person knew what she was doing, knew right from wrong, and could control her behavior. Mental health professionals cannot assess mental health retrospectively. An additional dilemma is the desire, on the one hand, to provide care to people with mental illness and, on the other, to treat them as responsible individuals. Finally, we must resolve the simultaneous and conflicting interests of wanting to assist people with mental illness and wanting to be protected from them. The recent trend of using problem-solving courts may be one way to address these concerns. We must reach a national consensus about the basic value of people with mental illness to decide how they should be dealt with legally. We hope the recent trend of favoring law and order over the rights of people with mental illness can be moderated to provide attention to both concerns.

Competence to Stand Trial

Before people can be tried for a criminal offense, they must be able to understand the charges against them and to assist with their

own defense, criteria outlined by the Supreme Court in *Dusky v. United States* (1960). Thus, in addition to interpreting a person's state of mind during the criminal act, experts must also anticipate the person's state of mind during the subsequent legal proceedings. A person could be ruled NGRI because of his mental illness at the time of the criminal act yet still be competent to stand trial, a situation that would have occurred in Arthur's case had he committed a crime.

A person determined to be incompetent to stand trial typically loses the authority to make decisions and faces commitment. Because a trial requires a determination of **competence**, most people with obvious and severe impairments who commit crimes are never tried. Some observers estimate that for every person who receives a verdict of NGRI, 45 others are committed to a mental health facility with a diagnosis of severe mental illness (Butler, 2006). The length of stay is the time it takes the committed person to regain competence. Because this period can be drawn out, the courts have ruled it cannot be indefinite and that, after a reasonable amount of time, the person must be found competent, set free, or committed under civil law (*Jackson v. Indiana*, 1972). Laws are often not precise in their language, and the phrase "reasonable amount of time" is open to a great deal of interpretation.

A final issue relates to the legal concept of burden of proof, the weight of evidence needed to win a case. In decisions of competence to stand trial, an important ruling placed responsibility on the defendant to provide the burden of proof—in this case, that she is incompetent to stand trial (*Medina v. California*, 1992). Again, public concern that dangerous individuals with mental illness are routinely acquitted and let loose on society after committing multiple violent offenses flies in the face of the facts. More realistically, a person with mental illness commits a nonviolent crime and receives treatment through legal actions, such as the competence proceedings.

Duty to Warn

Do mental health professionals have any responsibility for the actions of the people they serve? This is especially important when we consider the dangerous behavior exhibited by a minority of people with severe mental illness. What are the responsibilities of professionals who suspect that someone with whom they are working may hurt or even kill another person? Must they contact the appropriate authority or the person who may be harmed, or are they forbidden to discuss information disclosed during therapy sessions?

These issues were the subject of a tragic case known as *Tarasoff v. Regents of the University of California* (1974, 1976). In 1969, Prosenjit Poddar, a graduate student at the University of California, Berkeley, killed a fellow student, Tatiana Tarasoff, who had previously rejected his romantic advances. At the time of the murder, he was being seen by two therapists at the University Health Center and had received a diagnosis of paranoid schizophrenia. At his last session, Poddar hinted that he was going to kill Tarasoff. His therapist believed this threat was serious and contacted the campus police, who investigated the allegation and received assurances from Poddar

that he would leave Tarasoff alone. Weeks later, after repeated attempts to contact her, Poddar shot and stabbed Tarasoff until she died.

After learning of the therapists' role in the case, Tarasoff's family sued the university, the therapists, and the university police, saying they should have warned Tarasoff that she was in danger. The court agreed, and the Tarasoff case has been used ever since as a standard for therapists concerning their **duty to warn** a client's potential victims. Related cases have further defined the role of the therapist in warning others (Johnson, Persad, & Sisti, 2014; Mason, Worsley, & Coyle, 2010). Courts have generally ruled that the threats must be specific. In *Thompson v. County of Alameda* (1980), the California Supreme Court ruled that a therapist does not have a duty to warn when a person makes nonspecific threats against nonspecific people. It is difficult for therapists to know their exact responsibilities for protecting third parties from their clients. Good clinical practice dictates that any time they are in doubt they should consult with colleagues. A second opinion can be just as helpful to a therapist as to a client.

Mental Health Professionals as Expert Witnesses

Judges and juries often have to rely on **expert witnesses**, individuals who have specialized knowledge, to assist them in making decisions (Mullen, 2010). We have alluded to several instances in which mental health professionals serve in such a capacity, providing information about a person's dangerousness or ability to understand and participate in the defense. The public perceives expert witnesses ambivalently. On one hand, they see the value of persuasive expert testimony in educating a jury; on the other, they see expert witnesses as "hired guns" whose opinions suit the side that pays their bills (Simon & Shuman, 2009). How reliable are the judgments of mental health professionals who act as expert witnesses?

To take one example, in deciding whether someone should be civilly committed, the assessor must determine the person's potential for future violence. Research suggests that mental health professionals can make reliable predictions of dangerousness over the short term, for 2 to 20 days after the evaluation (Scott et al., 2008). They have not, however, been able to make reliable predictions of violence after longer periods (Fazel et al., 2012). It seems that the assessment tools that professionals use to assess danger may impact accuracy. Assessment tools that were designed to assess danger of violence in specific groups of patients (e.g., juvenile offenders) were more accurate than general assessment tools (Singh, Grann, & Fazel, 2011). A second area in which mental health professionals are often asked to provide consultation is in assigning a diagnosis. In Chapter 3, we discussed the development of systems to ensure the reliability of diagnoses. Recent revisions of diagnostic criteria, most notably *DSM-IV-TR* and *DSM-5*, have addressed this issue directly, thus helping clinicians make diagnoses that are generally reliable. Remember, however, that the legal definition of mental illness is not matched by a comparable disorder in *DSM-5*. Therefore, statements about whether someone has a



AP Images/Don Shrubshell, Pool

Elizabeth Loftus, a psychologist at the University of California, Irvine and an expert in human memory, testifies during the pretrial hearing of former White House official Lewis "Scooter" Libby.

"mental illness" reflect determinations made by the court, not by mental health professionals.

Mental health professionals appear to have expertise in identifying *malingering* and in assessing competence. Remember that to malingering is to fake or grossly exaggerate symptoms, usually to be absolved from blame. For example, a person might claim to have been actively hallucinating at the time of the crime and therefore not responsible. Research indicates that the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory test is mostly accurate in revealing malingering in people claiming to have serious mental illness. The examiners look for true symptoms, but ones people with mental illness rarely report. Malingeringers, in their rush to fake their illness, will often overreport these problems, perhaps to convince others they are mentally ill (Sellbom, Toomey, Wygant, Kucharski, & Duncan, 2010; Tarescavage, Wygant, Gervais, & Ben-Porath, 2013). Mental health professionals also appear capable of providing reliable information about a person's competence, or ability to understand and assist with a defense (Shulman, Cohen, Kirsh, Hull, & Champine, 2007). Overall, mental health professionals can provide judges and juries with reliable and useful information in certain areas (Scott et al., 2008).

The research described here does not indicate how accurate expert testimony is under everyday conditions. In other words, under the right circumstances experts can make accurate determinations of the short-term risks that a person will commit an act of violence, is faking certain symptoms, or is competent to stand trial and of what diagnosis should be made. Still, other factors conspire to influence expert testimony. Personal and professional opinions that exceed the competence of the expert witness can influence what information is or is not presented, as well as how it is relayed to the court (Drogin, Commons, Gutheil, Meyer, & Norris, 2012). For instance, if the expert witness believes generally that people should not be involuntarily committed to mental health facilities, this opinion will likely influence how the witness presents clinical information in civil commitment court proceedings.

Patients' Rights and Clinical Practice Guidelines

Until about 40 years ago, people in mental health facilities were accorded few rights. What treatment they received and whether they could make phone calls, send and receive mail, or have visitors were typically decided by hospital personnel who rarely consulted with the patient. Abuses of this authority, however, led to legal action and subsequent rulings by the courts concerning the rights of people in these facilities.

The Right to Treatment

One of the most fundamental rights of people in mental health facilities is the right to treatment (Bloch & Green, 2012). For too many and for too long, conditions were poor and treatment was lacking in numerous large mental health facilities. Starting in the early 1970s, a series of class-action lawsuits (filed on behalf of many individuals) helped establish the rights of people with mental illness and mental retardation. A landmark case, *Wyatt v.*

Stickney (1972), grew out of a lawsuit filed by the employees of large institutions in Alabama who were fired because of funding difficulties. The case also established, for the first time, the minimum standards that facilities had to meet in relation to the people who were hospitalized. Among the standards set by *Wyatt v. Stickney* were minimum staff-patient ratios and physical requirements, such as a certain number of showers and toilets for a given number of residents. The case also mandated that facilities make positive efforts to attain treatment goals for their patients.

Wyatt v. Stickney went further and expanded on a concept called the “least restrictive alternative,” indicating that, wherever possible, people should be provided with care and treatment in the least confining and limiting environment possible. For example, the court noted the following for those with mental retardation:

Residents shall have a right to the least restrictive conditions necessary to achieve the purpose of habilitation. To this end the institution shall make every attempt to move residents from (1) more to less structured living; (2) large to smaller facilities; (3) large to smaller living units; (4) group to individual residences; (5) segregated from the community to integrated into the community; (6) dependent living to independent living. (*Wyatt v. Stickney*, 1972)

Despite this movement to secure treatment for people in mental health facilities, a gap was left as to what constituted proper treatment. The case of *Youngberg v. Romeo* (1982) reaffirmed the need to treat people in nonrestrictive settings but essentially left to professionals the decision about the type of treatment to be provided. This concerned patient advocates because, historically, leaving treatment to professional judgment has not always resulted in the intended end for the people in need of help. In 1986, Congress provided a number of safeguards by passage of the Protection and Advocacy for Mentally Ill Individuals Act (Woodside & Legg, 1990), which established a series of protection and advocacy agencies in each state to investigate allegations of abuse and neglect and to act as legal advocates. This layer of protection has resulted in a balance between professional concerns and needs and rights of patients in mental health facilities.

The Right to Refuse Treatment

One of the most controversial issues in mental health today is the right of people, especially those with severe mental illness, to refuse treatment (Bloch & Green, 2012; Simon & Shuman, 2014). In recent times, the argument has centered on the use of antipsychotic medications. On one side of the issue are the mental health professionals who believe that, under certain circumstances, people with severe mental illness are not capable of making a decision in their own best interest and that the clinician is therefore responsible for providing treatment, despite the protestations of the affected people. On the other side, patients and their advocates argue that all people have a fundamental right to make decisions about their own treatment, even if doing so is not in their own best medical interests.

Although this controversy is not yet resolved, one court case has responded to a related question: Can people be “forced” to become competent to stand trial? This is an interesting dilemma: If

people facing criminal charges are delusional or have such frequent severe hallucinations that they cannot fully participate in the legal proceedings, can they be forced against their will to take medication to reduce these symptoms, thereby making them competent to stand trial? A Supreme Court ruling, *Riggins v. Nevada* (1992), stated that, because of the potential for negative side effects (such as the involuntary motor movements associated with tardive dyskinesia), people cannot be forced to take antipsychotic medication. Other rulings, however, make allowances for involuntary medication following a *Harper* hearing (“*Washington v. Harper*,” 1990)—a due process hearing that allows mental health professionals to argue for the merits of medication use and the patient to provide a counterargument. This process was used to involuntarily medicate Jared Loughner—the man who eventually pleaded guilty to 19 charges of murder and attempted murder for a 2011 attack in Tucson, Arizona, in which U.S. Representative Gabrielle Giffords was severely injured and six other people were killed.

The Rights of Research Participants

Throughout this text we have described research conducted worldwide with people who have psychological disorders. We also touched briefly in Chapter 4 on the issue of the rights of these individuals. In general, people who participate in psychological research have the following rights (American Psychological Association, 2010a, 2010b):

1. The right to be informed about the purpose of the research study
2. The right to privacy
3. The right to be treated with respect and dignity
4. The right to be protected from physical and mental harm
5. The right to choose to participate or to refuse to participate without prejudice or reprisals
6. The right to anonymity in the reporting of results
7. The right to the safeguarding of their records

These rights are particularly important for people with psychological disorders who may not be able to understand them fully (Bloch & Green, 2012). One of the most important concepts in research is that those who participate must be fully informed about the risks and benefits of the study. Simple consent is not sufficient; it must be *informed consent*, or formal agreement by the subject to participate after being fully apprised of all important aspects of the study, including any possibility of harm. An important case underlines the significance of informed consent and the sometimes-gray areas that exist in applied research.

Greg Aller... Concerned About Rights

In 1988, 23-year-old Greg Aller signed a consent form agreeing to participate in a treatment study at the University of California at Los Angeles (UCLA) Neuropsychiatric Institute (Willwerth, 1993). Since the previous year, Greg had experienced vivid and frightening

(Continued next page)

hallucinations and delusions about space aliens. His parents had contacted UCLA for assistance. They learned that the university was initiating a new study to evaluate people in the early stages of schizophrenia and to assess the effects of the withdrawal of medication. If Greg participated, he could receive extremely expensive drug therapy and counseling free. After taking the drug Prolixin for 3 months as part of the study, he improved dramatically; the hallucinations and delusions were gone. He was now able to enroll in college, and he made the dean's list.

Although overjoyed with the results, Greg's parents were concerned about the second phase of the study, which involved taking him off the medication. They were reassured by the researchers that this was an important and normal part of treatment for people with schizophrenia and that the potential for negative side effects of taking the drug for too long was great. They were also told the researchers would put Greg back on the medication if he grew considerably worse without it.

Toward the end of 1989, Greg was slowly taken off the drug, and he soon started having delusions about former President Ronald Reagan and space aliens. Although his deterioration was obvious to his parents, Greg did not indicate to the researchers that he needed the medication or tell them of his now-continuous hallucinations and delusions. Greg continued to deteriorate, at one point threatening to kill his parents. After several more months, Greg's parents persuaded him to ask for more medication. Although better than he was earlier, Greg has still not returned to the much-improved state he achieved following his first round of medication. •

This case highlights the conflicts that can arise when researchers attempt to study important questions in psychopathology. Administrators at the National Institutes of Health reported that the UCLA researchers did not give Greg and his family all the information about the risks of treatment and the possibility of other approaches (Aller & Aller, 1997).

Critics claim that informed consent in this and similar situations are too often not fully met and that information is often altered to ensure participation. The UCLA researchers note, however, that what they did was no different from what would have happened outside the research study: They attempted to remove Greg from potentially dangerous antipsychotic medication. The controversy emerging from this case should be an

added warning to researchers about their responsibilities to people who participate in their studies and their obligation to design added safeguards to protect the welfare of their study subjects. Some are now exploring methods to assess formally whether participants with mental illness fully understand the risks and benefits associated with these studies (e.g., Harmell, Palmer, & Jeste, 2012; Palmer, Savla, Roesch, & Jeste, 2013).

Evidence-Based Practice and Clinical Practice Guidelines

Health-care delivery systems around the world have become extremely interested in determining whether treatments commonly used for both physical and psychological disorders are effective. This concern stems partly from the greatly increased expense of health care and from the fact that much of the cost is picked up by governments around the world. As a result, governments and health-care policy makers are increasingly promoting evidence-based practice (EBP): health-care practices supported by research findings demonstrating that they are effective. EBP is one of those ideas that comes along occasionally and takes the world by storm. Although some tenets of EBP have been around for decades, it is only in the past 15 years that EBP has been formally identified as a systematic method of delivering clinical care (Institute of Medicine, 2001; Sackett, Strauss, Richardson, Rosenberg, & Haynes, 2000). In the United States, the President's New Freedom Commission on Mental Health (2003, p. 21) made the principal recommendation of its final report to advance EBPs and "expand the workforce providing evidence-based mental health services and supports." The American Psychological Association Presidential Task Force in 2006 adopted as policy a report describing EBP in psychology and encouraging wide adoption of the notion of basing principles of psychological practice on evidence (APA, 2006).

As described throughout this book, evidence has accumulated on the effectiveness of psychological treatments for specific disorders both in research clinics and in clinics that serve the public directly (Barlow, Bullis, Comer, & Ametaj, 2013; IOM, 2015). When this evidence is put in the form of recommendations on how to treat a particular problem, these recommendations are called clinical practice guidelines (Hollon et al., 2014; IOM, 2011). In 1989, legislation established a new branch of the federal government called the Agency for Health Care Policy and Research. In 1999, this agency was reauthorized by Congress and renamed the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. The purpose of this agency is to establish uniformity in the delivery of effective health and mental health care and to communicate to practitioners, policy makers, and patients alike throughout the country the latest developments in treating certain disorders effectively. The agency is also responsible for research into improving systems for the delivery of health and mental health services. Now with the passage in 2010 of legislation to provide a form of national health insurance in the United States (the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act or "Obamacare"), making health care more efficient and effective is more important than ever.

The government hopes not only to reduce costs by eliminating unnecessary or ineffective treatments but also to facilitate the utilization of effective interventions based on the latest research



©Mojgan B. Azimi

Greg Aller (right, with his parents) participated in a drug study at UCLA and suffered a severe relapse of psychotic symptoms when medication was withdrawn. He and his family subsequently raised the issue of informed consent for such research.

evidence (IOM, 2015). In recent years, governments have allocated billions of dollars to facilitate dissemination and implementation of evidence-based psychological treatments in various health-care systems such as the Veterans Health Administration in the United States and the National Health Service in the United Kingdom (McHugh & Barlow, 2010, 2012). Treating people effectively—alleviating their pain and distress—is the most important way to reduce health-care costs because these individuals will no longer request one treatment after another in an unending search for relief. To this end, as part of the ACA, Congress enabled the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute to facilitate research on which treatments for what conditions are most effective, and to disseminate this information widely (Dickersin, 2010).

Anticipating the importance of this trend and the necessity that clinical practice guidelines be sound and valid, a task force of the American Psychological Association composed a template, or set, of principles for constructing and evaluating guidelines for clinical interventions for both psychological disorders and psychosocial aspects of physical disorders. These principles were published in 1995 and revised in 2002 with relatively few changes (American Psychological Association, 2002a).

The task force decided that clinical practice guidelines for specific disorders should be constructed on the basis of two simultaneous considerations, or axes: the clinical efficacy axis and the clinical utility axis. The **clinical efficacy axis** is a thorough

consideration of the scientific evidence to determine whether the intervention in question is effective. This evidence would answer the question: Is the treatment effective when compared with an alternative treatment or with no treatment in a controlled clinical research context? In Chapter 4, we reviewed the various research strategies used to determine whether an intervention is effective.

As you will remember, for many reasons, a treatment might seem effective when it is not. For instance, if patients improve on their own while being treated simply because of the passage of time or the natural healing process, the treatment had little to do with the improvement. It is possible that nonspecific effects of the treatment—perhaps just meeting with a caring health professional—are enough to make someone feel better without any contribution from the particular treatment technique. To determine clinical efficacy, experiments called clinical trials must establish whether the intervention in question is better than no therapy, better than a nonspecific therapy, or better than an alternative therapy. (The latter finding provides the highest level of evidence for a treatment's effectiveness.) Clinicians might also rely on information collected from various clinics where a large number of practitioners are treating the disorder in question. If these clinicians collect systematic data on the outcomes of their patients, they can ascertain how many are “cured,” how many improve somewhat without recovering, and how many fail to respond to the intervention. Such data are referred to as *quantified clinical observations* or *clinical replication*.

TABLE 16.3

Overview of Template for Constructing Psychological Intervention Guidelines

Clinical Efficacy (Internal Validity)	Clinical Utility (External Validity)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Better than alternative therapy (randomized controlled trials, or RCTs) B. Better than nonspecific therapy (RCTs) C. Better than no therapy (RCTs) D. Quantified clinical observations E. Clinical consensus <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Strongly positive 2. Mixed 3. Strongly negative 4. Contradictory evidence 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Feasibility <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patient acceptability (cost, pain, duration, side effects, and so on) 2. Patient choice in face of relatively equal efficacy 3. Probability of compliance 4. Ease of dissemination (number of practitioners with competence, requirements for training, opportunities for training, need for costly technologies or additional support personnel, and so on) B. Generalizability <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patient characteristics <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Cultural background issues b. Gender issues c. Developmental level issues 2. Other relevant patient characteristics <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Therapist characteristics b. Issues of robustness when applied in practice settings with different time frames, and so on 3. Contextual factors regarding setting in which treatment is delivered C. Costs and benefits <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Costs of delivering intervention to individual and society 2. Costs to individual and society of withholding intervention

Note: Confidence in treatment efficacy is based on both (a) absolute and relative efficacy of treatment and (b) quality and replicability of studies in which this judgment is made.

Note: Confidence in clinical utility as reflected on these three dimensions should be based on systematic and objective methods and strategies for assessing these characteristics of treatment as they are applied in actual practice. In some cases, randomized controlled trials will exist. More often, data will be in the form of quantified clinical observations (clinical replication series) or other strategies, such as health economic calculations.

Source: American Psychological Association Board of Professional Affairs Task Force on Psychological Intervention Guidelines. (1995). *Template for developing guidelines: Interventions for mental disorders and psychosocial aspects of physical disorders*. Approved by APA Council of Representatives, February 1995. Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.

series. Finally, a *clinical consensus* of leading experts is also a valuable source of information, although not as valuable as data from quantified clinical observations or randomized controlled trials (in which individuals are assigned randomly to a treatment or a control condition to evaluate the efficacy of the treatment).

The **clinical utility axis** is concerned with the effectiveness of the intervention in the practice setting in which it is to be applied, regardless of research evidence on its efficacy; in other words, will an intervention with proven efficacy in a research setting also be effective in the various clinical settings where the interventions are most often applied? Also, is application of the intervention in the settings where it is needed feasible and cost-effective? This axis is concerned with external validity, the extent to which an internally valid intervention is effective in different settings or under different circumstances from those where it was tested, and how easily it can be disseminated and implemented in those settings.

The first major issue to consider on the clinical utility axis is feasibility. Will patients accept the intervention and comply with its requirements, and is it relatively easy to administer? As noted in Chapter 7, electroconvulsive therapy is an effective treatment for severe depression in many cases, but it is extremely frightening to patients, many of whom refuse it. The treatment also requires sophisticated procedures and close supervision by medical personnel, usually in a hospital setting. Therefore, it is not particularly feasible and is used only as a last resort.

A second issue on the clinical utility axis is generalizability, which refers to the extent to which an intervention is effective with patients of differing backgrounds (ethnicity, age, or sex), as well as in different settings (inpatient, outpatient, or community) or with different therapists. Again, an intervention could be effective in a research setting with one group of patients but generalize poorly across different ethnic groups. In summary, a treatment can be highly effective as determined by the clinical efficacy axis, but unless the treatment is widely generalizable, feasible, and cost-effective, it is unlikely to be disseminated or implemented. For a summary of these two axes, see Table 16.3.

In reading the disorder chapters (Chapters 5–15 of this book), you will have noted a number of effective treatments, both psychosocial and medical. In the future, we will see a great deal of additional research to establish both the clinical efficacy and the clinical utility of various interventions for psychological disorders, and the development of ever more sophisticated clinical practice guidelines (Barlow et al., 2013; IOM, 2015). In 2010, the American Psychological Association decided to develop its own set of clinical practice guidelines on providing the best evidence-based psychological care for people with psychological disorders (Hollon et. al., 2014).

In Chapter 1, we reviewed various activities that make up the role of scientist-practitioners in the mental health professions, who take a scientific approach to their clinical work to provide the most effective assessment procedures and interventions. Changes

in the delivery of mental health services are likely to be accompanied by considerable disruption, because this is a major system that affects millions of people. But the change will also bring opportunities. Scientist-practitioners will contribute to the process of guidelines development in several ways. For example, as attempts are made to assess the clinical utility or external validity of interventions, the collected experience of thousands of mental health professionals will be immensely valuable. Most information relevant to clinical utility or external validity will be collected by these clinicians in the course of their practice. Thus, they will truly fulfill the scientist-practitioner role to the benefit of patients being treated for mental health issues.

Conclusions

Therapy and scientific progress do not occur in a vacuum. People who study and treat abnormal behavior are responsible not only for mastering the wealth of information we have only touched on in this book but also for understanding and appreciating their role in society and in the world. Every facet of life—from the biological to the social, political, and legal—interacts with every other facet; if we are to help people, we must appreciate this complexity.

We hope we have given you a good sense of the challenges faced by workers in the field of mental health and have spurred some of you to join us in this rewarding work.