

Abstract Integration, Chapter 1

August 10, 2019

1. **Exercise.** Does there exist an infinite σ -algebra which has only countably many members?

Solution. No. Let X be a measurable set with an infinite σ -algebra \mathfrak{M} . Since \mathfrak{M} is infinite, there exists a nonempty set $E \in \mathfrak{M}$ which is properly contained in X . By letting the measurable subsets of E and E^c be the intersections of X with E and E^c , E and E^c are therefore measurable spaces. Since \mathfrak{M} is infinite, at least one of E and E^c must be infinite. A rooted binary tree will be inductively built in the following way. First, define the root as X . Second, given a vertex which is a measurable subset E of X (which can be X of course), if it contains a proper measurable subset E' , define the two successors to be E' and $E - E'$. Since at least one of E' and $E - E'$ is infinite, the tree is infinite.

Pick one path consisting of subsets $E_n \supset E_{n+1}$, then the sets $F_i = E_i - E_{i+1}$ form an infinite collection of disjoint nonempty measurable subsets of X . By the definition of σ -algebra, \mathfrak{M} needs to contain every union of such sets, which forms a bijection with the set of subsets of \mathbb{N} (that is, $\{\{0\}, \{0, 1\}, \{0, 1, 2\}, \dots\}$), which is uncountable. Hence \mathfrak{M} is not countable.

2. **Exercise.** Prove an analogue of Theorem 1.8 for n functions.

Solution. The theorem to be proved is:

Let u_1, \dots, u_n be real measurable functions on a measurable space X , let Φ be a continuous mapping of \mathbb{R}^n into a topological space Y , and define

$$h(x) = \Phi(u_1(x), \dots, u_n(x))$$

for $x \in X$. then h is measurable.

Put $f_n(x) = (u_1(x), \dots, u_n(x))$, then f maps X into \mathbb{R}^n . Since $h(x) = \Phi(f(x))$ and the composition of a continuous function (Φ) and a measurable function (f) is measurable, it's enough to prove that f is measurable.

if R is any open n -cell in the space, with sides parallel to the axes, then R is the cartesian product of two segments I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n and

$$f^{-1}(R) = u_1^{-1}(I_1) \cap u_2^{-1}(I_2) \cap \dots \cap u_n^{-1}(I_n)$$

which is measurable, by the assumption of u_i . Every open set V in the space is a countable union of such rectangle R_i , and since

$$f^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} R_i\right) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(R_i),$$

$f^{-1}(V)$ is measurable, which shows the measurability of f .

3. **Exercise.** Prove that if f is a real function on a measurable space X such that $\{x | f(x) \geq r\}$ is measurable for every rational r , then f is measurable.

Solution. This exercise is a weakened version of 1.12(c). Hence it suffices to prove

$f^{-1}((\alpha, \infty]) \in \mathfrak{M}$ for every real α .

Let Ω be the collection of all $E \subset [-\infty, \infty]$ such that $f^{-1}(E) \in \mathfrak{M}$. Then Ω is a σ -algebra. Pick $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and choose a sequence of rational numbers $\{\alpha_n\}$ such that $\alpha_n > \alpha$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = \alpha$. This is possible since \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R} . Observe that

$$(\alpha, \infty] = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [\alpha_n, \infty]$$

and $[\alpha_n, \infty] \in \Omega$, $(\alpha, \infty]$ is therefore a member of Ω . That is, $f^{-1}((\alpha, \infty]) \in \mathfrak{M}$.

4. **Exercise.** Let $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ be sequences in $[-\infty, \infty]$, and prove the following assertions:

- (a) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}(-a_n) = -\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$
- (b) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}(a_n + b_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n$
provided that none of the sum is of the form $\infty - \infty$. Show by an example that strict inequality can hold here.
- (c) $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n$ if $a_n \leq b_n$ holds for all n .

Solution.

- (a) Put $A_k = \sup\{-a_k, -a_{k+1}, \dots\}$. In this case, $-a_j \leq A_k$ for all $j \geq k$. If $A < A_k$ then there exist some $j \geq k$ such that $-a_j > A$. This is the definition of supremum. Now consider a_j . $a_j \geq -A_k$ for all $j \geq k$. If $-A > -A_k$ then there exist some $j \geq k$ such that $a_j < -A$. Hence, $A'_k = \inf\{a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots\} = -A_k$. Following the same way, we obtain

$$\inf\{A_k\} = -\sup\{-A_k\} = -\sup A'_k,$$

which is equivalent to the assertion (a) according to the definition of upper/lower limit.

- (b) Put $A_k = \sup\{a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots\}$, $B_k = \sup\{b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots\}$. Then $a_j + b_j \leq A_k + B_k$ for all $j \geq k$. Hence $C_k = \sup\{a_k + b_k, a_{k+1} + b_{k+1}, \dots\} \leq A_k + B_k$. Observe that all $\{A_k\}$, $\{B_k\}$ and $\{C_k\}$ are monotonically decreasing, the desired inequality can be obtained by dealing with the limit k :

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} C_k = \inf C_k \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (A_k + B_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} B_k = \inf A_k + \inf B_k$$

Again, this is equivalent to (b).

For strict inequality, consider $a_n = (-1)^n$, $b_n = (-1)^{n-1}$. In this case, the left side is equal to 0 while the right side is equal to 2.

- (c) For $A_k = \inf\{a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots\}$ and $B_k = \inf\{b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots\}$. Since $a_k \leq b_k$, $A_k \leq B_k$ holds for all $k > 0$. Hence $\sup A_k \leq \sup B_k$, which proves (c).

5. **Exercise.**

- (a) Suppose $f : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ and $g : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ are measurable. Prove that the sets

$$\{x | f(x) < g(x)\}, \quad \{x | f(x) = g(x)\}$$

are measurable.

- (b) Prove the set of points at which a sequence of measurable real-valued functions converges (to a finite limit) is measurable.

Solution.

- (a) The key to this question is to rewrite the two sets. Of course, infinity should be considered.

Define $F_+ = \{x | f(x) = \infty\}$, $F_- = \{x | f(x) = -\infty\}$. Respectively, define G_+ and G_- for $g(x)$. These four sets are all measurable. For example, F_+ can be rewritten as $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x | f(x) \geq n\}$.

Define $X' = \{x | -\infty < f(x) < \infty, -\infty < g(x) < \infty\}$ and $h(x) = f(x) - g(x)$ on X' , which is measurable. Then the first set can be written as

$$h^{-1}([-\infty, 0)) \cup (Y_+ - Z_+) \cup (Z_- - Y_-)$$

which means, if f and g are finite, then take the set where $h(x) < 0$; if not, take the set where f is infinite but g is not, or the set where g is negatively infinite but f is not. According to the definition of σ -algebra, all these 3 sets are measurable, so is the first set of (a).

The second set can be written as

$$(X' - h^{-1}([-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty])) \cup (Y_+ \cap Z_+) \cup (Y_- \cup Z_-)$$

which is also measurable. This notation means, the set where $h = 0$ or $f = g = \infty$ or $f = g = -\infty$.

- (b) Let f_n and E be the sequence of real functions and set mentioned in (b). If E can be shown as a countable union/intersection of measurable sets, then it's measurable.

Since f_n converges, Cauchy criteria can be used here. For every $n \geq 1$, there is an integer $m \geq 1$ such that $|f_i(x) - f_j(x)| < \frac{1}{n}$ holds for all $x \in E$ where $i, j \geq m$. Hence E can be rewritten in the following form

$$E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{i,j \geq m} \{x | |f_i(x) - f_j(x)| < \frac{1}{n}\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{i,j \geq m} (f_i - f_j)^{-1}(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$$

Since $(f_i - f_j)$ is measurable, the set $(f_i - f_j)^{-1}(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$ is measurable. Hence E has been shown as a countable union and intersection of measurable sets, and is measurable.

6. **Exercise.** Let X be an uncountable set, let \mathfrak{M} be the collection of all sets $E \subset X$ such that either E or E^c is at most countable (finite or countable), and define $\mu(E) = 0$ in the first case and $\mu(E) = 1$ in the second. Prove that \mathfrak{M} is a σ -algebra in X and that μ is a measure on \mathfrak{M} . Describe the corresponding measure functions and their integrals.

Solution. The question includes 3 parts.

- (a) \mathfrak{M} a σ -algebra in X .

- i. Since $X \in \mathfrak{M}$ is uncountable, $X^c = \emptyset$ is at most countable, $\emptyset \in \mathfrak{M}$.
- ii. If $A \in \mathfrak{M}$, then either $A = (A^c)^c$ or A^c is at most countable; showing $A^c \in \mathfrak{M}$.
- iii. Suppose $A_i \in \mathfrak{M}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A = \bigcup A_i$ in this section. The fact that $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ shall be proved here.
 - A. If A_i is at most countable for all i , then A is at most countable while the complement $A^c = \bigcap A_i^c$ is uncountable. Hence $A \in \mathfrak{M}$.
 - B. If A is uncountable, there is at least one uncountable set. Let A_1 be such set. Notice the fact that A_1^c is at most countable and $(\bigcup A_i)^c = \bigcap A_i^c \subset A_1^c$, the set $(\bigcup A_i)^c$ is therefore at most countable. Hence $\bigcup A_i \in \mathfrak{M}$.

- (b) μ is a measure on \mathfrak{M} .

- i. Since μ takes values 0 and 1, $\mu(A) \in [0, \infty]$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{M}$.
- ii. Suppose A_i for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ are disjoint measurable sets. $A = \bigcup A_i$. The fact that μ is countable additive shall be proved here.

A. If $\mu(A) = 0$ then all of A_i is countable, so

$$\sum \mu(A_i) = \mu(A) = 0$$

B. If $\mu(A) = 1$, then at least one of A_i is uncountable. Let A_1 be such set. Notice the fact that since all A_i are disjoint, A_1^c is countable and $A_i \subset A_1^c$ for $j > 1$, $\mu(A_i) = 0$ for $j > 1$. Hence

$$\mu(A) = \mu(A_1) = \sum \mu(A_i) = 1$$

(c) Characterization of measurable functions and their integrals.
Define to collection of measurable functions as such:

$$F_+ = \{f | f^{-1}((r, \infty]) \text{ is at most countable}\}$$

$$F_- = \{f | f^{-1}([-\infty, r)) \text{ is at most countable}\}$$

where $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Using the method in theorem 1.19(c), every open set can be properly represented. Hence the members of F_+ and F_- meet the requirement.

Finally, consider $f \notin F_+$ or F_- . Since $f \notin F_+$, there exists some $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f^{-1}((r, \infty])$ is uncountable. Let $r_f = \sup\{r | f^{-1}((r, \infty]) \text{ is uncountable}\}$. If $s > r_f$, then $f^{-1}((s, \infty])$ is at most countable; if $s < r_f$, then $f^{-1}([-\infty, s)) = X - f^{-1}((s, \infty])$ is at most countable since $f^{-1}((s, \infty])$ is uncountable and a member of \mathfrak{M} . In this case, we obtain $f^{-1}(r_f)$ is uncountable while $f^{-1}(\mathbb{R} - r_f)$ is at most countable. So all functions have been covered, the integral can be calculated using this μ :

$$\int_X f d\mu = \begin{cases} \infty & f \in F_- \\ -\infty & f \in F_+ \\ r_f & \text{others} \end{cases}$$

7. **Exercise.** Suppose $f_n : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is measurable for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, $f_1 \geq f_2 \geq f_3 \geq \dots \geq 0$, $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every $x \in X$, and $f_1 \in L^1(\mu)$. Prove that then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu = \int_X f d\mu$$

and show that this conclusion does not follow if the condition $f_1 \in L^1(\mu)$ is omitted.

Solution. Take $g = f_1$ in the theorem 1.34, Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem, the equation is concluded.

For showing $f_1 \in L^1(\mu)$ is a necessary condition for the conclusion, it suffices to show a counterexample. Take $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $f_n(x) = \chi_{[n, \infty)}$. In this case,

$$\int_X f d\mu = 0$$

while

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu = \infty.$$

8. **Exercise.** Put $f_n = \chi_E$ if n is odd, $f_n = 1 - \chi_E$ if n is even. What is the relevance of this example to Fatou's Lemma?

Solution. Fatou's Lemma says:

If $f_n : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is measurable for each positive integer n , then

$$\int_X \left(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \right) d\mu \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu$$

To find the relationship, we should calculate the left hand and the right hand for this f_n . This is possible since $f_n \geq 0$. Since $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = 0$, the left hand should therefore be 0. Notice that

$$\int_X f_n d\mu = \begin{cases} \mu(E), & n \text{ is odd} \\ \mu(X) - \mu(E), & n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

So for the right hand, we obtain

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu = \min(\mu(E), \mu(X) - \mu(E))$$

If $\mu(E)$ and $\mu(X - E)$ is not equal to 0, then this f is an example that the strict inequality holds.

9. **Exercise.** Suppose μ is a positive measure on X , $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is measurable, $\int_X f d\mu = c$, where $0 < c < \infty$, and α is a constant. Prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X n \log[1 + (f/n)^\alpha] d\mu = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1 \\ c & \text{if } \alpha = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } 1 < \alpha < \infty \end{cases}$$

Hint: If $\alpha \geq 1$, prove that the integrands are dominated by αf . If $\alpha < 1$, Fatou's lemma can be applied.

Solution.

- (a) $\alpha \geq 1$:

We shall prove that

$$\log \left(1 + \left(\frac{f(x)}{n} \right)^\alpha \right) \leq \alpha \frac{f(x)}{n}$$

holds for all n . It suffices to show that

$$\log(1 + x^\alpha) \leq \alpha x$$

for all $x > 0$ and $\alpha \geq 1$.

Define $g(x) = \log(1 + x^\alpha) - \alpha x$, then $g(0) = 0$. Computing derivative of g , we have

$$g'(x) = \frac{\alpha x^{\alpha-1}}{1 + x^\alpha} - \alpha = \alpha \left(\frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{1 + x^\alpha} - 1 \right)$$

When $x \geq 1$, we have

$$\frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{1 + x^\alpha} \leq \frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{x^\alpha} = \frac{1}{x} \leq 1 \quad (1)$$

When $0 \leq x \leq 1$, we have

$$(x^{\alpha-1} - 1) - x^\alpha \leq 0 \quad (2)$$

which implies that $\frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{1+x^\alpha} \leq 1$.

So $g'(x) \leq 0$ when $x \geq 0$. Consequently, $g(x) \leq 0$ holds for all x . The inequality at the beginning is proved.

Define $h_n(x) = n \log[1 + (f/n)^\alpha]$, then $h_n(x) \leq \alpha f(x)$ holds for all n . Also notice that $\int_X \alpha f d\mu = c\alpha < \infty$, hence Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem can be applied here. We therefore should discuss the limit of $h_n(x)$.

$h_n(x)$ can be rewritten as

$$h_n(x) = \log[1 + (f/n)^\alpha]^n \rightarrow \frac{f^\alpha}{n^{\alpha-1}}$$

When $\alpha = 1$, the limit is $f(x)$, hence the integral is c . When $\alpha > 1$, the limit is 0, so is the integral. (The set where $f(x) = \infty$ should be ignored since $f(x) < \infty$ a.e. on X .)

(b) $0 < \alpha < 1$:

In this case, $h_n(x) \rightarrow \infty$ a.e. on X since $n^{\alpha-1} \rightarrow 0$. Since $h_n : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is measurable for each n , Fatou's Lemma can be applied here, hence we obtain

$$\int_X \left(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n \right) d\mu = \infty \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X h_n d\mu \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X h_n d\mu$$

10. **Exercise.** Suppose $\mu(X) < \infty$, $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence of bounded complex measurable functions on X , and $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on X . Prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu = \int_X f d\mu,$$

and show that the hypothesis " $\mu(X) < \infty$ " cannot be omitted.

Solution. Since $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on X , for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer n_0 such that

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq n_0$$

Since $|f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq |f_n(x)| - |f(x)|$ and $|f_{n_0}(x) - f(x)| \geq |f(x)| - |f_{n_0}(x)|$, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} |f_n(x)| < |f(x)| + \varepsilon \\ |f(x)| < |f_{n_0}(x)| + \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$|f_n(x)| < |f_{n_0}(x)| + 2\varepsilon$$

Define $g(x) = \max\{|f_1(x)|, \dots, |f_{n_0-1}(x)|, |f_{n_0}(x)| + 2\varepsilon\}$, then $|f_n(x)| \leq |g(x)|$ holds for all n . Also $g(x)$ is bounded since all $f_n(x)$ are. Since $\mu(X) < \infty$, $g \in L^1(\mu)$. Now the equation is an example of Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem.

To show that $\mu(X) < \infty$ is a necessary condition, it suffices to show a counterexample with $\mu(X) = \infty$. Suppose $f_n(x) = \frac{1}{n}$, then $f(x) = 0$. In this case, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu = \infty$, while $\int_X f d\mu = 0$.

11. **Exercise.** Show that

$$A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k$$

in theorem 1.41, and hence prove the theorem without any reference to integration.

Solution. Let $\{E_k\}$ be a sequence of measurable sets in X , such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_k) < \infty,$$

then A is the set of all x which lie in infinitely many E_k .

Let $B = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k$. If $x \in A$, then $x \in \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k$ holds for all $n > 0$. That is, $x \in B$. Conversely, if $x \notin A$, then this x is contained by finitely many sets $\{E_{i_1}, E_{i_2}, \dots, E_{i_r}\}$ ($i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r$) such that $x \notin \bigcup_{k > i_r} E_k$. In this case, $x \in \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k$ does not hold for $k = i_r + 1$, which implies that $x \notin B$. Hence $A = B$.

The second part is an application of Theorem 1.19. Since $\sum \mu(E_k) < \infty$, $\mu(E_k) \rightarrow 0$ (Cauchy criteria). Since $\{E_k\}$ are not necessarily pairwise disjoint, $\mu(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k) \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \mu(E_k)$. Let $A_n = \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k$, then $\mu(A_n) \rightarrow 0$. Since $A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$, according to theorem 1.19(e), $\mu(A_n) \rightarrow \mu(A)$. Hence, $\mu(A) = 0$.

12. **Exercise.** Suppose $f \in L_1(\mu)$. Prove that to each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $\int_E |f| d\mu < \varepsilon$ whenever $\mu(E) < \delta$.

Solution. Let (X, \mathfrak{M}, μ) be the measure space. Suppose the statement is false, therefore there exists a $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for each $\delta > 0$, there exists a $E_\delta < \mathfrak{M}$ such that $\mu(E_\delta) < \delta$ while $\int_{E_\delta} |f| d\mu > \varepsilon$. Let $\delta_n = \frac{1}{2^n}$, there exists a sequence of measurable sets $\{E_{\delta_n}\}$ satisfying the inequalities mentioned above.

Define $A_k = \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} E_{\delta_n}$ and $A = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$, then $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset A_3 \supset \dots$, and $\mu(A_1) = \mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{\delta_n}) \leq \sum \mu(E_{\delta_n}) < \sum \frac{1}{2^n} = 1 < \infty$, therefore according to theorem 1.19(e), $\mu(A_k) \rightarrow \mu(A)$.

Define $\varphi : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that $\varphi(E) = \int_E |f| d\mu$. By theorem 1.29, φ is a measure. Since $f(x) \in L^1(\mu)$, $\varphi(A_k) < \infty$ for every k . By theorem 1.19(e), we get $\varphi(A_k) \rightarrow \varphi(A)$. According to exercise 11, $\mu(A) = 0$. Therefore $\varphi(A) = 0$. On the other hand,

$$\varphi(A_k) = \varphi\left(\bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} E_{\delta_n}\right) \geq \varphi(E_{\delta_k}) > \varepsilon.$$

Therefore a contradiction is obtained. Hence the result.

13. **Exercise.** Show that proposition 1.24(c) is also true when $c = \infty$.

Solution. We have to show

$$\int_X c f d\mu = c \int_X f d\mu, \text{ when } c = \infty \text{ and } f \geq 0$$

holds for both $\int_X f d\mu = 0$ and $\int_X f d\mu > 0$.

(a) $\int_X f d\mu = 0$.

In this case, $f = 0$ a.e. on X and $c f = 0$ a.e. on X . Therefore

$$\int_X c f d\mu = 0 = c \int_X f d\mu$$

(b) $\int_X f d\mu > 0$.

In this case, there exist some $\varepsilon > 0$ and a measurable set E where $\mu(E) > 0$ and $f(x) \geq \varepsilon$ whenever $x \in E$. (If not, $f(x) < \varepsilon$ a.e. for all $\varepsilon > 0$; making $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get $f(x) = 0$ a.e. on X . It's of the first case.) Then

$$\int_X c f d\mu \geq \int_E c f d\mu \geq \varepsilon \int_E c d\mu = \infty.$$

That is,

$$c \int_X f d\mu = \int_X c f d\mu = \infty.$$

No rights reserved. Any part of this work can be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means. If you find any error or have better methods, you are welcome to mail to Admiraldevl@gmail.com or create an issue/pull request at <https://github.com/Admiraldevl/Real-and-Complex-Analysis-Rudin-Solution>