

# Appendices

**Exercises:** A1.1, A1.2, A1.3, A1.4, A1.5, A1.6, A2.1, A2.2, A2.3, A2.4, A2.5, A2.6, A2.7, A2.8, A2.9, A2.10, A2.11, A2.12, A2.13, A2.14, A2.15, A2.16, A2.17, A2.18, A2.19, A2.20, A2.21, A2.22, A2.23, A2.24, A3.1, A3.2, A3.3, A3.4, A3.5, A3.6, A4.1, A4.2, A4.3, A4.4, A4.5, A4.6, A4.7, A4.8, A4.9, A4.10, A4.11, A4.12, A4.13, A4.14, A4.15, A4.16, A4.17, A4.18, A4.19, A5.1, A5.2, A6.1, A6.2, A6.3, A6.4, A6.5, A6.7, A6.8.

## Notes on basic probability theory

### A1.1

From the definition of conditional probability we have

$$p(y|x) = \frac{p(x,y)}{p(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad p(x|y) = \frac{p(x,y)}{p(y)}.$$

From the first equality the joint probability for  $X = x$  and  $Y = y$  is  $p(x, y) = p(y|x)p(x)$ . Substituting in the second equality we obtain Bayes' rule

$$p(x|y) = p(y|x) \frac{p(x)}{p(y)}.$$

### A1.2

From the definition of conditional probability we have that  $p(x, y) = p(y|x)p(x)$ , summing both sides over all possible values for the random variable  $X$  we get

$$\sum_x p(x, y) = \sum_x p(y|x)p(x) \implies p(y) = \sum_x p(y|x)p(x)$$

### A1.3

Let us suppose that the statement is false, that is, for all  $x$  such that  $p(x) > 0$  we have  $x < \mathbf{E}(X)$ . Then we conclude that

$$\sum_{x < \mathbf{E}(X)} p(x)x = \sum_x p(x)x < \sum_x p(x)\mathbf{E}(X) = \mathbf{E}(X),$$

which is a contradiction to the definition of  $\mathbf{E}(X)$ . Therefore the premise must be wrong and we conclude that there exists a value  $x \geq \mathbf{E}(X)$  such that  $p(x) > 0$ .

### A1.4

$$\mathbf{E}(X + Y) = \sum_{x,y} p(x, y)(x + y)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{x,y} p(x,y)x + \sum_{x,y} p(x,y)y \\
&= \sum_x x \sum_y p(x,y) + \sum_y y \sum_x p(x,y) \\
&= \sum_x xp(x) + \sum_y yp(y) \\
&= \mathbf{E}(X) + \mathbf{E}(Y).
\end{aligned}$$

## A1.5

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}(XY) &= \sum_{x,y} p(x,y)xy \\
&= \sum_{x,y} p(x)p(y)xy \\
&= \sum_x p(x)x \sum_y p(y)y \\
&= \mathbf{E}(X)\mathbf{E}(Y).
\end{aligned}$$

## A1.6

Let us define an indicator function  $\mathbf{1}(X)$  for the random variable  $X$ , defined as

$$\mathbf{1}(X) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |X - \mathbf{E}(X)| \geq \lambda\Delta(X); \\ 0 & \text{if } |X - \mathbf{E}(X)| < \lambda\Delta(X), \end{cases}$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  is a real number. From the definition of expectation it follows immediately that

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{1}(X)) = p(|X - \mathbf{E}(X)| \geq \lambda\Delta(X)).$$

Now let us analyze the two possible values the indicator function can assume. When  $|X - \mathbf{E}(X)| \geq \lambda\Delta(X)$  we may write

$$\frac{|X - \mathbf{E}(X)|}{\lambda\Delta(X)} \geq 1 = \mathbf{1}(X) \implies \mathbf{1}(X) \leq \frac{|X - \mathbf{E}(X)|^2}{\lambda^2\Delta(X)^2}$$

And when  $|X - \mathbf{E}(X)| < \lambda\Delta(X)$  we have  $\mathbf{1}(X) = 0$ , meaning it is also true for this case that

$$\mathbf{1}(X) \leq \frac{|X - \mathbf{E}(X)|^2}{\lambda^2\Delta(X)^2}.$$

So since this relation is true for all possible cases, we may take the expectation on both sides, yielding

$$p(|X - \mathbf{E}(X)| \geq \lambda\Delta(X)) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2\Delta(X)^2} \mathbf{E}(|X - \mathbf{E}(X)|^2).$$

But the standard deviation is defined as  $\Delta(X) \equiv [\mathbf{E}(|X - \mathbf{E}(X)|^2)]^{1/2}$ . Using this fact we obtain Chebyshev's inequality

$$p(|X - \mathbf{E}(X)| \geq \lambda \Delta(X)) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2}.$$

## Group theory

### A2.1

Because of the *closure* property, if  $g \in G$  then  $g^n \in G$  for all integers  $n$ . If  $G$  is a finite group then it has a finite number of elements  $|G|$ . So if we take the set  $\{g, g^2, \dots, g^{|G|}, g^{|G|+1}\}$  at least two of the elements must be the same, that is, there exist  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $g^a = g^b$ . Without loss of generality we may consider that  $b > a$  and thus  $g^{b-a} = e$ . So there always exists a positive integer  $r := b - a$  such that  $g^r = e$ .

### A2.2

Let  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ . We may define two distinct sets given by  $g_1H \equiv \{g_1h | h \in H\}$  and  $g_2H \equiv \{g_2h | h \in H\}$ . It is straightforward that  $|g_1H| = |g_2H| = |H|$ . Now consider  $g \in G$  such that  $g \in g_1H$  and  $g \in g_2H$  simultaneously. This means that  $g = g_1h_1$  for some  $h_1 \in H$  and  $g = g_2h_2$  for some  $h_2 \in H$ , thus

$$g_1h_1 = g_2h_2 \implies g_1 = g_2h_2h_1^{-1}.$$

Since  $H$  is also a group, we have  $h_2h_1^{-1} \in H$ , meaning  $g_1 \in g_2H$  and therefore  $g_1H \subseteq g_2H$ . Analogously, we could write

$$g_1h_1 = g_2h_2 \implies g_2 = g_1h_1h_2^{-1},$$

and conclude  $g_2H \subseteq g_1H$ , leading to  $g_1H = g_2H$ , which contradicts the fact that these two sets are distinct. Therefore, all  $g \in G$  must belong exclusively in one set of the form  $g_iH$  for  $g_i \in G$ , and since  $G$  is a finite group, there is a finite number  $n$  of distinct sets  $g_iH$ , which form a partition of  $G$ . The union of these  $n$  sets of size  $|H|$  must result in  $G$ , so we have  $|G| = n|H|$ , meaning  $|H|$  divides  $|G|$ , proving Lagrange's theorem.

### A2.3

If the order of  $g \in G$  is  $r$ , then the set  $H \equiv \{e, g, \dots, g^{r-1}\}$  is a subgroup of  $G$  because it satisfies all properties of a group, and all its elements are in  $G$ . Using Lagrange's theorem and the fact that  $|H| = r$ , we find that  $r$  divides  $|G|$ .

## A2.4

If  $y \in G_x$ , it can be written as  $y \equiv h^{-1}xh$  for some  $h \in G$ . The conjugacy class of  $y$  is

$$G_y \equiv \{k^{-1}yk | k \in G\} = \{k^{-1}h^{-1}xhk | k, h \in G\}.$$

By the *closure* property we have that  $g \equiv hk \in G$  and  $g^{-1} \equiv k^{-1}h^{-1} \in G$ , therefore

$$G_y = \{g^{-1}xg | g \in G\} = G_x.$$

## A2.5

If  $G$  is Abelian then for all  $g \in G$  we have  $xg = gx$ , meaning  $g^{-1}xg = g^{-1}gx = x$ . Thus  $G_x = \{x\}$ .

## A2.6

Let  $G$  be a group of order  $p \geq 2$ , where  $p$  is a prime number. Consider an element  $a \neq e \in G$ , then we must have  $\langle a \rangle \leq G$ , that is,  $\langle a \rangle$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . By Lagrange's theorem  $|\langle a \rangle|$  divides  $|G|$ , but since  $|G| = p$  it follows that either  $|\langle a \rangle| = 1$  or  $|\langle a \rangle| = p$ . The first would only be true if  $a = e$ , which is not the case, thus  $|\langle a \rangle| = p$ , which implies  $\langle a \rangle = G$ , meaning  $G$  is cyclic.

## A2.7

Let  $G$  be a cyclic group. Its elements can be written as  $\{e, a, \dots, a^{|G|-1}\}$ , where  $e = a^{|G|}$ . Any subgroup must have the identity element  $e$  and may have elements of the form  $a^k$ ,  $k$  integer. From Lagrange's theorem, any subgroup will have order  $|G|/n$  for some integer  $n$  that divides  $|G|$ , meaning its elements will be given by  $\{e, a^{|G|/n}, \dots, a^{(n-1)|G|/n}\}$ . From this, we conclude that any subgroup will always be given by  $\langle a^{|G|/n} \rangle$ , and therefore be cyclic.

## A2.8

If  $g \in G$  has order  $r$ , then  $e = g^{kr}$  for any integer  $k$ , and by extension  $g^m = g^m g^{kr} = g^{m+kr}$ . So if  $g^m = g^n$ , we must have  $n = m + kr$ , which means  $m = n \pmod{r}$ . The converse is immediate.

## A2.9

Consider the coset  $gH$  for some  $g \in G$ . If both  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are in this same coset then we have  $g_1 = gh_1$  and  $g_2 = gh_2$  for some  $h_1, h_2 \in H$ . But then  $g = g_1 h_1^{-1} = g_2 h_2^{-1}$ , meaning  $g_2 = g_1 h_1^{-1} h_2$ . Since  $H$  is a group  $h \equiv h_1^{-1} h_2 \in H$ , thus we can always write  $g_2 = g_1 h$ . Conversely, if  $g_2 = g_1 h$  then evidently  $g_2 \in g_1 H$ . But since  $e \in H$ , we have  $g_1 \equiv g_1 e \in g_1 H$ , thus  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are in the same coset.

## A2.10

Any coset of  $H$  has  $|H|$  elements. Since an element  $g \in G$  belongs exclusively in a single coset, the union of all cosets must result in  $G$ , that is,  $|G| = n|H|$ , where  $n$  is the number of cosets. Therefore, the number of cosets of  $H$  in  $G$  is  $|G|/|H|$ .

## A2.11

Property (1):

Since  $I$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix, we have  $\chi(e) = \text{tr}(I) = n$ .

Property (2):

Since  $G$  is finite, all elements have a finite order, meaning all elements must satisfy  $g^r = e$  for some integer  $r$ . Thus, all eigenvalues of the representation must correspond to possible  $r$ -th roots of the number 1, that is, all eigenvalues of the elements  $\rho(g)$  have the form  $\lambda = \exp(i2\pi k/r)$  for integers  $k$  ranging from 0 to  $r - 1$ . The norm of the character is then calculated to be

$$|\chi(g)| = |\text{tr}(\rho(g))| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j| = n.$$

Property (3):

If  $|\chi(g)| = n$ , then  $|\text{tr}(\rho(g))| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \right| = \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j|$ , meaning all its eigenvalues must correspond to the same value  $e^{i\theta}$  and thus  $\rho(g)$  must be proportional to the identity. Therefore,  $\rho(g) = e^{i\theta} I$ .

Property (4):

Consider  $x \in G$ . For any element in the conjugacy class  $G_x$  of this element we have  $\chi(g^{-1}xg) = \text{tr}(\rho(g^{-1}xg)) = \text{tr}(\rho(g^{-1})\rho(x)\rho(g)) = \text{tr}(\rho(g)\rho(g^{-1})\rho(x)) = \text{tr}(\rho(x)) = \chi(x)$ .

Property (5):

We may write any group element as  $g = h^{-1}dh$ , where  $h, d \in G$  and  $d$  is such that  $\rho(d)$  is a diagonal matrix. Analogously we have  $g^{-1} = h^{-1}d^{-1}h$ . From property (4) we have  $\chi(g) = \chi(d)$  and  $\chi(g^{-1}) = \chi(d^{-1})$ . Also, note that since all eigenvalues lie on the complex unit circle, we have  $\rho(d^{-1}) = \rho(d^*)$ . Therefore,  $\chi(g^{-1}) = \chi(d^*) = \chi^*(d) = \chi^*(g)$ .

Property (6):

Since for  $g \in G$  all eigenvalues of  $\rho(g)$  are  $r$ -th roots of the number 1 for some  $r$ , they all satisfy the equation  $\lambda^r - 1 = 0$ , which is a polynomial equation with rational coefficients. Thus all eigenvalues are algebraic numbers, and since the character is calculated as a sum of such eigenvalues,  $\chi(g)$  is also an algebraic number.

## A2.12

Let us define the matrix

$$P \equiv \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho^\dagger(g) \rho(g).$$

For all  $h \in G$  we have

$$\rho^\dagger(h) P \rho(h) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho^\dagger(h) \rho^\dagger(g) \rho(g) \rho(h) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho^\dagger(gh) \rho(gh),$$

but since  $k \equiv gh \in G$ , because of the *closure* property, we have that  $P$  is left invariant, that is,

$$\rho^\dagger(h) P \rho(h) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{k \in G} \rho^\dagger(k) \rho(k) = P.$$

It is also direct to see that  $P$  is Hermitian, thus there exists a unitary matrix  $U$  such that  $U^\dagger P U = D$ , where  $D$  is a diagonal matrix. Now, taking any vector  $|v\rangle \neq 0$ , we get that

$$\langle v|P|v\rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |\rho(g)|^2 \langle v|v\rangle$$

is always positive. If we define another vector  $|w\rangle \equiv U^\dagger |v\rangle$ , we also obtain

$$\langle v|P|v\rangle = \langle v|U U^\dagger P U U^\dagger|v\rangle = \langle w|D|w\rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_i D_{ii} \langle w|w\rangle.$$

Since  $|w\rangle$  is arbitrary and  $\langle w|w\rangle > 0$ , it must hold that each  $D_{ii}$  is real and non-negative, meaning  $D^\dagger = D$ . We can then define another matrix  $d \equiv \sqrt{D}$ . Since  $D$  is diagonal, it follows that  $d_{ii} = \sqrt{D_{ii}}$  and  $d_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$ , and also  $d = d^\dagger$ . We can then write

$$P = U^\dagger D U = U^\dagger d d U = U^\dagger d U U^\dagger d U \equiv Q Q,$$

where we set  $Q \equiv U^\dagger d U$ . Let us then define a new representation given by  $\sigma(g) \equiv Q \rho(g) Q^{-1}$ , which equivalently means that  $\rho(g) = Q^{-1} \sigma(g) Q$ . Notice that  $Q$  is also Hermitian. Using the fact that  $\rho^\dagger(g) P \rho(g) = P$  for all  $g \in G$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^\dagger(g) P \rho(g) &= (Q^{-1} \sigma(g) Q)^\dagger Q Q (Q^{-1} \sigma(g) Q) \\ &= (Q \sigma^\dagger(g) Q^{-1}) Q Q (Q^{-1} \sigma(g) Q) \\ &= Q \sigma^\dagger(g) \sigma(g) Q. \end{aligned}$$

Since this must result in  $P = Q Q$ , it follows that  $\sigma^\dagger(g) \sigma(g) = I$ , meaning  $\sigma(g)$  is a unitary representation. Therefore, any finite representation  $\rho(g)$  is equivalent to a unitary matrix representation.

## A2.13

Applying Schur's lemma for  $G = H$ , we have that for any  $g \in G$ , a matrix  $S$  satisfying  $S \rho(g) = \rho(g) S$  is either the zero matrix or a nonsingular square matrix. If  $G$  is an Abelian group,  $S$  can be any matrix of the representation itself, meaning  $S \neq 0$ . Considering an eigenvector  $|v\rangle$  of  $S$ , we have  $S |v\rangle = \lambda |v\rangle$  for some number  $\lambda$ . Notice that  $\rho(g) |v\rangle$  must also be an eigenvector since

$$S \rho(g) |v\rangle = \rho(g) S |v\rangle = \lambda \rho(g) |v\rangle.$$

Since this is true for any  $\rho(g)$ , it means the entire representation vector space is spanned by a single vector. If this representation is irreducible, then  $|v\rangle$  must be one dimensional, otherwise, there would be invariant subspaces with lower dimensions, which would be a contradiction.

## A2.14

For irreducible representations, we can use Equation (A2.2) to write

$$\sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) \chi^*(g) = |G|.$$

Instead of denoting the sum over all group elements, we can consider a sum over all conjugacy classes of  $G$  because of property (4). Considering the  $i$ -th conjugacy class has size  $r_i$ , we can write

$$\sum_i r_i \chi_i \chi_i^* = |G|,$$

where the index  $i$  runs over all conjugacy classes, and  $\chi_i$  denotes the value of the character of any element belonging in the  $i$ -th conjugacy class. Now, dividing both sides by  $\chi(e) = d_\rho$  we get

$$\sum_i \frac{r_i \chi_i}{d_\rho} \chi_i^* = \frac{|G|}{d_\rho}.$$

From the property (6) of characters, we know  $\chi(g)$  is always an algebraic number. Furthermore, since all eigenvalues of  $\rho(g)$  are  $i$ -th roots of unity (they must satisfy  $\lambda^r - 1 = 0$ ), they are algebraic integers, meaning all characters are in fact algebraic integers. so  $\chi_i^*$  is also an algebraic integer. Now consider that for the  $i$ -th conjugacy class  $C_i \subseteq G$  we define

$$\sigma \equiv \sum_{g \in C_i} \rho(g).$$

Let us also consider that such conjugacy class has size  $r_i$  and the character of any  $g \in C_i$  is  $\chi_i$ . Notice that for any  $h \in G$  we have

$$\rho(h^{-1}) \sigma \rho(h) = \sum_{g \in C_i} \rho(h^{-1} g h) = \sum_{g \in C_i} \rho(g) = \sigma,$$

where we used the fact that  $h^{-1} g h$  is still in the conjugacy class  $C_i$ . But then  $\rho(h^{-1}) \sigma \rho(h) = \sigma$  means that  $\sigma \rho(h) = \rho(h) \sigma$  for all  $h \in G$ . Applying Schur's lemma,  $\sigma$  must be a multiple of the identity, that is  $\sigma \equiv \lambda I$  for some number  $\lambda$ . It is possible to determine the value of the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  by taking the trace of  $\sigma$ . First, we have that  $\text{tr}(\sigma) = \lambda \text{tr}(I) = \lambda d_\rho$ , but also

$$\text{tr}(\sigma) = \sum_{g \in C} \text{tr}(\rho(g)) = r_i \chi_i \implies \lambda = \frac{r_i \chi_i}{d_\rho}.$$

We have that  $\sigma$  is an integer linear combination of matrices with algebraic integer eigenvalues, thus  $\lambda$  must also be an algebraic integer. This means that the entire sum  $\sum_i (r_i \chi_i / d_\rho) \chi_i^*$  is an algebraic integer, and so is  $|G|/d_\rho$ . But given that both  $|G|$  and  $d_\rho$  are integers, the only way for this quotient to be an algebraic integer is if  $|G|/d_\rho$  is in fact an integer.

## A2.15

For the first relation we multiply both sides by  $\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}$ , and sum over  $i, k, j$ , and  $l$  yielding

$$\sum_{g \in G} \sum_{i=1}^{d_\rho} \sum_{k=1}^{d_{\rho^q}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\rho} \sum_{l=1}^{d_{\rho^q}} \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} [\rho^p(g)]_{ij}^{-1} [\rho^q(g)]_{kl} = \frac{|G|}{d_\rho} \sum_{i=1}^{d_\rho} \sum_{k=1}^{d_{\rho^q}} \sum_{j=1}^{d_\rho} \sum_{l=1}^{d_{\rho^q}} \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} \delta_{pq}.$$

Since any finite representation is equivalent to a unitary matrix representation, we may consider that  $[\rho^p(g)]^{-1} = [\rho^p(g)]^\dagger$ , and thus simplifying both sides yields

$$\sum_{g \in G} \sum_{i=1}^{d_\rho} \sum_{k=1}^{d_{\rho^q}} [\rho^p(g)]_{ii}^\dagger [\rho^q(g)]_{kk} = \frac{|G|}{d_\rho} \sum_{i=1}^{d_\rho} \sum_{k=1}^{d_{\rho^q}} \delta_{ik} \delta_{pq}.$$

The right-hand side simplifies to  $|G|\delta_{pq}$ , and using the definition of character, the left-hand side simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{i=1}^{d_\rho} \sum_{k=1}^{d_{\rho^q}} [\rho^p(g)]_{ii}^\dagger [\rho^q(g)]_{kk} &= \sum_{g \in G} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{d_\rho} [\rho^p(g)]_{ii}^\dagger \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{d_{\rho^q}} [\rho^q(g)]_{kk} \right) \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} [\chi^p(g)]^* \chi^q(g), \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\sum_{g \in G} [\chi^p(g)]^* \chi^q(g) = |G|\delta_{pq}.$$

The final step is to notice that, since the character is the same for all elements of a conjugacy class, instead of summing over each element individually, we may sum over the conjugacy classes of  $g$ , accounting for their sizes  $r_i$ , that is

$$\sum_{g \in G} [\chi^p(g)]^* \chi^q(g) = \sum_{i=1}^r r_i (\chi_i^p)^* \chi_i^q \implies \sum_{i=1}^r r_i (\chi_i^p)^* \chi_i^q = |G|\delta_{pq}.$$

For the second relation, we begin with the first one. Dividing both sides by  $|G|$ , it is possible to rewrite it as an equality between two  $r \times r$  matrices as

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^r r_i (\chi_i^1)^* \chi_i^1 & \sum_{i=1}^r r_i (\chi_i^1)^* \chi_i^2 & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^r r_i (\chi_i^1)^* \chi_i^r \\ \sum_{i=1}^r r_i (\chi_i^2)^* \chi_i^1 & \sum_{i=1}^r r_i (\chi_i^2)^* \chi_i^2 & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^r r_i (\chi_i^2)^* \chi_i^r \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^r r_i (\chi_i^r)^* \chi_i^1 & \sum_{i=1}^r r_i (\chi_i^r)^* \chi_i^2 & \cdots & \sum_{i=1}^r r_i (\chi_i^r)^* \chi_i^r \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$



Defining the matrices

$$A \equiv \frac{1}{|G|} \begin{bmatrix} r_1(\chi_1^1)^* & r_2(\chi_2^1)^* & \cdots & r_r(\chi_r^1)^* \\ r_1(\chi_1^2)^* & r_2(\chi_2^2)^* & \cdots & r_r(\chi_r^2)^* \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_1(\chi_1^r)^* & r_2(\chi_2^r)^* & \cdots & r_r(\chi_r^r)^* \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \chi_1^1 & \chi_1^2 & \cdots & \chi_1^r \\ \chi_2^1 & \chi_2^2 & \cdots & \chi_2^r \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \chi_r^1 & \chi_r^2 & \cdots & \chi_r^r \end{bmatrix},$$

this equality takes the form  $AB = I_{r \times r}$ , from which we conclude that  $B = A^{-1}$ . But then we must also have  $BA = I_{r \times r}$ , meaning

$$BA = \frac{1}{|G|} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{p=1}^r r_1(\chi_1^p)^* \chi_1^p & \sum_{p=1}^r r_2(\chi_2^p)^* \chi_1^p & \cdots & \sum_{p=1}^r r_r(\chi_r^p)^* \chi_1^p \\ \sum_{p=1}^r r_1(\chi_1^p)^* \chi_2^p & \sum_{p=1}^r r_2(\chi_2^p)^* \chi_2^p & \cdots & \sum_{p=1}^r r_r(\chi_r^p)^* \chi_2^p \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{p=1}^r r_1(\chi_1^p)^* \chi_r^p & \sum_{p=1}^r r_2(\chi_2^p)^* \chi_r^p & \cdots & \sum_{p=1}^r r_r(\chi_r^p)^* \chi_r^p \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Reverting back to index notation we obtain

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{p=1}^r r_i(\chi_i^p)^* \chi_j^p = \delta_{ji} \quad \implies \quad \sum_{p=1}^r (\chi_i^p)^* \chi_j^p = \frac{|G|}{r_i} \delta_{ij}.$$

## A2.16

Let us name the group elements respectively as  $\{e, g_-, g_+, g_{12}, g_{23}, g_{13}\}$ . The composition table of these elements (row then column) can be written as

	$e$	$g_-$	$g_+$	$g_{12}$	$g_{23}$	$g_{13}$
$e$	$e$	$g_-$	$g_+$	$g_{12}$	$g_{23}$	$g_{13}$
$g_-$	$g_-$	$g_+$	$e$	$g_{13}$	$g_{12}$	$g_{23}$
$g_+$	$g_+$	$e$	$g_-$	$g_{23}$	$g_{13}$	$g_{12}$
$g_{12}$	$g_{12}$	$g_{23}$	$g_{13}$	$e$	$g_-$	$g_+$
$g_{23}$	$g_{23}$	$g_{13}$	$g_{12}$	$g_+$	$e$	$g_-$
$g_{13}$	$g_{13}$	$g_{12}$	$g_{23}$	$g_-$	$g_+$	$e$

The trivial function  $\rho^1 : S_3 \rightarrow 1$ , which maps all elements to 1, is a representation because  $\rho^1(g_a)\rho^1(g_b) = 1 = \rho^1(g_ag_b)$  for any  $g_a, g_b \in S_3$ . And since it is one-dimensional, it is irreducible.

From the table, we can also see that the function  $\rho^\pm : S_3 \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ , which maps the subset  $S_3^{(1)} \equiv \{e, g_-, g_+\}$  to 1 and the subset  $S_3^{(2)} \equiv \{g_{12}, g_{23}, g_{13}\}$  to  $-1$ , is also a representation. To see that, notice that we have two possible cases:

- $(g_a, g_b \in S_3^{(1)}) \vee (g_a, g_b \in S_3^{(2)})$ :  
from the table  $g_ag_b \in S_3^{(1)} \implies \rho^\pm(g_ag_b) = 1$   
we have  $\rho^\pm(g_a) = \rho^\pm(g_b) \implies \rho^\pm(g_a)\rho^\pm(g_b) = 1 = \rho^\pm(g_ag_b)$ ;
- $(g_a \in S_3^{(1)}; g_b \in S_3^{(2)}) \vee (g_a \in S_3^{(2)}; g_b \in S_3^{(1)})$ :  
from the table  $g_ag_b \in S_3^{(2)} \implies \rho^\pm(g_ag_b) = -1$   
we have  $\rho^\pm(g_a) \neq \rho^\pm(g_b) \implies \rho^\pm(g_a)\rho^\pm(g_b) = -1 = \rho^\pm(g_ag_b)$ .

Like the trivial representation, since  $\rho^\pm$  is one-dimensional, it is also irreducible.

One last representation  $\rho : S_3 \rightarrow M_2$  can be obtained by considering a generating set of  $S_3$ , which can be chosen as  $\{g_-, g_{12}\}$ . The reason is that using the table we can directly verify that

$$\begin{aligned} g_- g_- g_- &= g_{12} g_{12} = e, \\ g_- g_- &= g_+, \\ g_- g_{12} &= g_{13}, \\ g_{12} g_- &= g_{23}, \end{aligned}$$

and since we know this set is closed, we conclude that  $S_3 = \langle g_-, g_{12} \rangle$ . Thus it suffices to find a function  $\rho$  whose compositions satisfy these relations and assign the identity matrix to the identity element. We start by setting

$$\rho(g_-) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -\sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{3} & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho(g_{12}) \equiv \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Direct verification yields

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(g_-) \rho(g_-) \rho(g_-) &= \frac{1}{8} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -\sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{3} & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -\sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{3} & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -\sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{3} & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \rho(e), \\ \rho(g_{12}) \rho(g_{12}) &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \rho(e), \end{aligned}$$

showing that the identity matrix can be correctly assigned to the identity element, satisfying the first condition. The remaining three give the representation of the other three elements, which are

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(g_-) \rho(g_-) &= \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -\sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{3} & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -\sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{3} & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & \sqrt{3} \\ -\sqrt{3} & -1 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \rho(g_+), \\ \rho(g_-) \rho(g_{12}) &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -\sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{3} & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\sqrt{3} \\ -\sqrt{3} & -1 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \rho(g_{13}), \\ \rho(g_{12}) \rho(g_-) &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -\sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{3} & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{3} & -1 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \rho(g_{23}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the matrices

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(e) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho(g_-) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -\sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{3} & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho(g_+) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & \sqrt{3} \\ -\sqrt{3} & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \rho(g_{12}) &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho(g_{23}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{3} & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho(g_{13}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\sqrt{3} \\ -\sqrt{3} & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

form a representation of the  $S_3$  group. To see that it is irreducible, notice that  $|\chi(e)|^2 = 4$ ,  $|\chi(g_-)|^2 = |\chi(g_+)|^2 = 1$ , and  $|\chi(g_{12})|^2 = |\chi(g_{23})|^2 = |\chi(g_{13})|^2 = 0$ , thus  $\sum_{g \in S_3} |\chi(g)|^2 = 6 = |S_3|$ , so it is an irreducible representation according to Theorem A2.3.

To verify their orthogonality we only need the characters. For the  $\rho^1$  representation we have  $\chi^1(g) = 1$  for all  $g \in S_3$ . For  $\rho^\pm$  we have  $\chi^\pm(g) = 1$  for  $g \in S_3^{(1)}$  and  $\chi^\pm(g) = -1$  for  $g \in S_3^{(2)}$ . And finally, for  $\rho$  we have  $\chi(e) = 2$ ,  $\chi(g_-) = \chi(g_+) = -1$ , and  $\chi(g_{12}) = \chi(g_{23}) = \chi(g_{13}) = 0$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{g \in S_3} [\chi^1(g)]^* \chi^\pm(g) &= 1 + 1 + 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 = 0, \\ \sum_{g \in S_3} [\chi^1(g)]^* \chi(g) &= 2 - 1 - 1 + 0 + 0 + 0 = 0, \\ \sum_{g \in S_3} [\chi^\pm(g)]^* \chi(g) &= -2 + 1 + 1 + 0 + 0 + 0 = 0,\end{aligned}$$

showing that they are orthogonal among them.

## A2.17

Since there are  $|G|$  distinct matrices and  $G$  has  $|G|$  elements, it is possible to create a one-to-one map between the elements of  $G$  and the permutation matrices, meaning this representation is an isomorphism, thus faithful.

## A2.18

A permutation matrix that sends the  $i$ -th entry of  $\vec{v}$  to the  $j$ -th position has a 1 in the  $i$ -th row and  $j$ -th column, and zeros in all other entries of this row and column. For  $g, g_i \in G$ , the only way to get  $gg_i = g_i$  is if  $g$  is the identity element  $e$ . This means that, except for the identity, all permutation matrices must rearrange all entries of  $\vec{v}$ , so the positions containing a 1 are always such that  $i \neq j$ . Therefore all permutation matrices have null diagonals, meaning  $\chi(g) = 0$  for all  $g \neq e$ . The identity on the other hand has  $|G|$  entries with 1 in the diagonal, meaning  $\chi(e) = |G|$ .

## A2.19

From Theorem A2.5 we have that  $\rho = \bigoplus_p c_p \rho^p$ , meaning any  $\rho$  can be put in a block diagonal form containing, for all  $p$ ,  $c_p$  copies of the  $p$ -th irreducible representation. By taking the trace in both sides we get

$$\text{tr}(\rho) = \sum_p c_p \text{tr}(\rho^p) \implies \chi = \sum_p c_p \chi^p.$$

Also according to Theorem A2.5, the multiplicities are given by

$$c_p = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{i=1}^r r_i (\chi_i^p)^* \chi_i.$$

If the representation is the regular one,  $R$ , then we know that  $\chi^R$  is zero for all group elements except the identity, for which we have  $\chi^R(e) = |G|$ . The conjugacy class of the identity contains only the

identity itself so its size is 1. Therefore the multiplicity is calculated as

$$c_p = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{i=1}^r r_i (\chi_i^p)^* \chi_i^R = \frac{1}{|G|} [\chi^p(e)]^* \chi^R(e) = \chi^p(e).$$

But for any representation  $\rho^p$ ,  $\chi^p(e)$  will be the dimension of that representation, so  $c_p = d_{\rho^p}$ . Thus the regular representation contains  $d_{\rho^p}$  instances of each representation  $\rho^p$ , and the character relation in this case will be

$$\chi^R = \sum_{\rho \in \hat{G}} d_{\rho} \chi^{\rho}.$$

## A2.20

Applying the relation  $\chi^R = \sum_{\rho \in \hat{G}} d_{\rho} \chi^{\rho}$  to some element  $g \in G$  we have

$$\chi^R(g) = \sum_{\rho \in \hat{G}} d_{\rho} \chi^{\rho}(g).$$

We know that  $\chi^R(g) = |G| \delta_{ge}$ , so defining  $N \equiv |G|$  we get

$$\sum_{\rho \in \hat{G}} d_{\rho^p} \chi^{\rho}(g) = N \delta_{ge}.$$

## A2.21

Applying the relation  $\chi^R = \sum_{\rho \in \hat{G}} d_{\rho} \chi^{\rho}$  to the identity element  $e$  we have

$$\chi^R(e) = \sum_{\rho \in \hat{G}} d_{\rho} \chi^{\rho}(e).$$

We know that  $\chi^R(e) = |G|$ , and  $\chi^{\rho}(e) = d_{\rho}$  for all representations, so

$$\sum_{\rho \in \hat{G}} d_{\rho}^2 = |G|.$$

## A2.22

Substituting (A2.10) into (A2.9) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}(\rho) &= \sqrt{\frac{d_{\rho}}{N}} \sum_{g \in G} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\sigma \in \hat{G}} \sqrt{d_{\sigma}} \operatorname{tr} \left( \hat{f}(\sigma) \sigma(g^{-1}) \right) \right] \rho(g) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\sigma \in \hat{G}} \sqrt{d_{\rho} d_{\sigma}} \sum_{g \in G} \operatorname{tr} \left( \hat{f}(\sigma) \sigma(g^{-1}) \right) \rho(g). \end{aligned}$$

It will be convenient to write this relation in terms of the matrix indices. In both sides we set the pair of  $i$ -th row and  $j$ -th column with  $[\hat{f}(\rho)]_{ij}$  and  $[\rho(g)]_{ij}$ . Now notice that  $[\hat{f}(\sigma) \sigma(g^{-1})]_{kl} =$

$\sum_m [\hat{f}(\sigma)]_{km} [\sigma(g)]_{ml}^{-1}$ , and taking the trace adds a sum over  $k$  with  $k = l$ . Thus we can write

$$[\hat{f}(\rho)]_{ij} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\sigma \in \hat{G}} \sqrt{d_\rho d_\sigma} \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{k,m} [\hat{f}(\sigma)]_{km} [\sigma(g)]_{mk}^{-1} [\rho(g)]_{ij}.$$

Using the orthogonality relation shown in Equation (A2.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{f}(\rho)]_{ij} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\sigma \in \hat{G}} \sqrt{d_\rho d_\sigma} \sum_{k,m} [\hat{f}(\sigma)]_{km} \frac{N}{d_\sigma} \delta_{mj} \delta_{ki} \delta_{\sigma\rho} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \hat{G}} \frac{\sqrt{d_\rho d_\sigma}}{d_\sigma} \delta_{\sigma\rho} \sum_{k,m} [\hat{f}(\sigma)]_{km} \delta_{ki} \delta_{mj} \\ &= [\hat{f}(\rho)]_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

## A2.23

From the definition of  $\hat{f}$  we have

$$\hat{f}(\rho) = \sqrt{\frac{d_\rho}{N}} \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \rho(g).$$

In this case, we have an additive Abelian group with elements represented by  $g \in [0, N-1]$ , and the representation given by  $\rho_h(g) \equiv \exp[-2\pi i g h / N]$ , with dimension  $d_\rho = 1$ . Since the number  $h$  uniquely identifies each representation  $\rho_h$ , we can directly use it for identification, instead of using  $\rho_h$ . In other words, we can do the relabeling  $\rho_h \rightarrow h$ . Direct substitution yields

$$\hat{f}(h) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{g=0}^{N-1} f(g) e^{-2\pi i g h / N}.$$

From the definition of  $f$  we have

$$f(g) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\rho \in \hat{G}} \sqrt{d_\rho} \text{tr}(\hat{f}(\rho) \rho(g^{-1})).$$

Since the group is Abelian, all its irreducible representations are one-dimensional. So given that  $|G| = N$ , it must have  $N$  representations  $\rho_h$ , meaning  $h \in [0, N-1]$  exactly like  $g$ . With these considerations, we can write

$$f(g) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{h=0}^{N-1} \hat{f}(h) \rho_h(g^{-1}).$$

Given that  $\rho_h(g^{-1}) \rho_h(g) = 1$ , we obtain  $\rho_h(g^{-1}) = \exp[2\pi i g h / N]$ , and since the set  $g$  and the indices  $h$  are isomorphic, we may relabel  $g \leftrightarrow h$  in the sum, yielding

$$f(h) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{g=0}^{N-1} \hat{f}(g) e^{2\pi i g h / N}.$$

## A2.24

Starting with the trivial representation  $\rho^1$ , with  $\rho^1(g) = 1$  for all  $g \in G$ , the Fourier transform is

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}(\rho^1) &= \sqrt{\frac{d_{\rho^1}}{|S_3|}} \sum_{g \in S_3} f(g) \rho^1(g) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \sum_{g \in S_3} f(g) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} [f(e) + f(g_-) + f(g_+) + f(g_{12}) + f(g_{23}) + f(g_{13})].\end{aligned}$$

For the representation  $\rho^\pm$ , with  $\rho^\pm(g) = 1$  for  $g \in S_3^{(1)} \equiv \{e, g_-, g_+\}$ , and  $\rho^\pm(g) = -1$  for  $g \in S_3^{(2)} \equiv \{g_{12}, g_{23}, g_{13}\}$ , we write the Fourier transform as

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}(\rho^\pm) &= \sqrt{\frac{d_{\rho^\pm}}{|S_3|}} \sum_{g \in S_3} f(g) \rho^\pm(g) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \sum_{g \in S_3^{(1)}} f(g) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \sum_{g \in S_3^{(2)}} f(g) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} [f(e) + f(g_-) + f(g_+) - f(g_{12}) - f(g_{23}) - f(g_{13})].\end{aligned}$$

For the two-dimensional representation  $\rho$ , for each matrix component we will have

$$[\hat{f}(\rho)]_{ij} = \sqrt{\frac{d_\rho}{|S_3|}} \sum_{g \in S_3} f(g) [\rho(g)]_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sum_{g \in S_3} f(g) [\rho(g)]_{ij},$$

where the  $\rho(g)$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(e) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho(g_-) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -\sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{3} & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho(g_+) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & \sqrt{3} \\ -\sqrt{3} & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \rho(g_{12}) &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho(g_{23}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{3} & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho(g_{13}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\sqrt{3} \\ -\sqrt{3} & -1 \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

So explicitly we have

$$\begin{aligned}[\hat{f}(\rho)]_{11} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left[ f(e) - \frac{f(g_-)}{2} - \frac{f(g_+)}{2} - f(g_{12}) + \frac{f(g_{23})}{2} + \frac{f(g_{13})}{2} \right], \\ [\hat{f}(\rho)]_{12} &= \frac{1}{2} [-f(g_-) + f(g_+) + f(g_{23}) - f(g_{13})], \\ [\hat{f}(\rho)]_{21} &= \frac{1}{2} [f(g_-) - f(g_+) + f(g_{23}) - f(g_{13})], \\ [\hat{f}(\rho)]_{22} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left[ f(e) - \frac{f(g_-)}{2} - \frac{f(g_+)}{2} + f(g_{12}) - \frac{f(g_{23})}{2} - \frac{f(g_{13})}{2} \right].\end{aligned}$$

Ordering the array of values of  $f(g)$  as  $(f(e), f(g_-), f(g_+), f(g_{12}), f(g_{23}), f(g_{13}))$  and looking at the

obtained coefficients, we can write the Fourier transform as the unitary matrix

$$\hat{f} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \end{bmatrix},$$

which, upon acting on the array of  $f(g)$ , will yield  $(\hat{f}(\rho^1), \hat{f}(\rho^\pm), [\hat{f}(\rho)]_{11}, [\hat{f}(\rho)]_{12}, [\hat{f}(\rho)]_{21}, [\hat{f}(\rho)]_{22})$ .

## The Solovay–Kitaev theorem

### A3.1

-

### A3.2

-

### A3.3

-

### A3.4

-

### A3.5

-

### A3.6

-

## Number theory

### A4.1

If  $a|b$  and  $b|c$  there exist integers  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  such that  $b = ak_1$  and  $c = bk_2$ , which implies  $c = ak_1k_2$ . Since the product  $k_1k_2$  is also an integer we have  $a|c$ .

## A4.2

If  $d|a$  and  $d|b$  there exist integers  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  such that  $a = dk_1$  and  $b = dk_2$ . So for integers  $x$  and  $y$  we may write the linear combination

$$\begin{aligned} ax + by &= dk_1x + dk_2y \\ &= d(k_1x + k_2y), \end{aligned}$$

and since  $k_1x + k_2y$  is an integer, the linear combination  $ax + by$  is divisible by  $d$ .

## A4.3

If  $a|b$  then there exists a positive integer  $k$  such that  $b = ak$ . Being a positive integer, we must have  $k \geq 1$ , which implies  $a \leq b$ . If  $b|a$  then  $b \leq a$ . Combining both conditions yields  $a = b$ .

## A4.4

$$\begin{aligned} 697 &= 17 \times 41, \\ 36300 &= 2^2 \times 3 \times 5^2 \times 11^2. \end{aligned}$$

## A4.5

If a number  $p$  is prime then it does not share any common factors with any number in the range  $[1, p-1]$ , that is, for all  $x \in [1, p-1]$  we have  $\gcd(x, p) = 1$ , meaning every  $x$  in this range has a multiplicative inverse modulo  $p$ .

Now, for numbers in the range  $[1, p^2-1]$ , the ones that do not have a multiplicative inverse modulo  $p^2$  are the ones with  $p$  as a prime factor. Those are  $\{p, 2p, \dots, (p-1)p\}$ .

## A4.6

The inverse  $a$  will be the solution to the equation  $17a - 24b = 1$  for some integer  $b$  such that  $a$  is also an integer. That is,  $a$  is the smallest integer such that

$$a = \frac{1 + 24b}{17}.$$

The smallest  $b$  possible is  $b = 12$ , which yields  $a = 17$ , meaning 17 is its own inverse modulo 24.

## A4.7

We may write  $n^2 = (n+1)(n-1)+1$ , which means that  $(n+1)(n-1) = -1 \pmod{n^2}$ . Multiplying both sides by  $-1$  yields  $(n+1)(1-n) = 1 \pmod{n^2}$ . So  $1-n$  is the multiplicative inverse of  $n+1$  modulo  $n^2$ . If we want only numbers in the range  $[1, n^2-1]$  we just have to sum  $n^2$ , meaning the multiplicative inverse is  $n^2 - n + 1$ .



## A4.8

If both  $b$  and  $b'$  are multiplicative inverses of  $a$  modulo  $n$  then  $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \equiv ab' \pmod{n}$ . Dividing both extremes of the congruence relations by  $a$  yields  $b \equiv b' \pmod{n}$ .

## A4.9

If we have  $a = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$  and  $b = p_1^{\beta_1} \cdots p_n^{\beta_n}$ , then the gcd can be calculated as

$$\gcd(a, b) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{\min\{\alpha_i, \beta_i\}}.$$

For 6825 and 1430 we have

$$\begin{aligned} 6825 &= 3^1 \times 5^2 \times 7^1 \times 13^1, \\ 1430 &= 2^1 \times 5^1 \times 11^1 \times 13^1, \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\gcd(6825, 1430) = 5^1 \times 13^1 = 65.$$

## A4.10

The prime factorization yields  $187 = 11^1 \times 17^1$ , thus

$$\varphi(187) = (11 - 1)(17 - 1) = 160.$$

## A4.11

First, consider the case where  $n$  is the  $\alpha$ -th power of some prime number  $p$ , that is  $n = p^\alpha$ . So all the numbers  $d$  that divides  $n$  belong in the set  $\{1, p, p^2, \dots, p^\alpha\}$ . The Euler totient function for some number  $p^m$  ( $m > 0$ ) in this set is

$$\varphi(p^m) = p^{m-1}(p - 1).$$

If we sum the Euler totient function for all divisors  $d$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) &= \varphi(1) + (p - 1) \sum_{m=1}^{\alpha} p^{m-1} \\ &= 1 + (p - 1) \frac{p^\alpha - 1}{p - 1} \\ &= p^\alpha = n. \end{aligned}$$

The result can be extended immediately for the case of general  $n$  since it could be written as  $n = \prod_i n_i$ , where each  $n_i$  is the power of some prime number  $p_i$ , that is,  $n_i = p_i^{\alpha_i}$ . The relation would be valid for each  $n_i$ , and because of the property  $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$ , it would also be valid for  $n$ .

To see this extension explicitly let us consider that  $n = p^\alpha q$ , where  $q \neq p$  is a prime number. Now, besides  $\{1, p, p^2, \dots, p^\alpha\}$ , the set  $\{q, pq, p^2q, \dots, p^\alpha q\}$  also contains possible divisors  $d$ . The Euler totient function for some number  $p^m q$  ( $m > 0$ ) is

$$\varphi(p^m q) = p^{m-1}(p-1)(q-1),$$

thus summing for all divisors yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) &= \varphi(1) + (p-1) \sum_{m=1}^{\alpha} p^{m-1} + \varphi(q) + (p-1)(q-1) \sum_{m=1}^{\alpha} p^{m-1} \\ &= 1 + (p-1) \frac{p^\alpha - 1}{p-1} + (q-1) + (p-1)(q-1) \frac{p^\alpha - 1}{p-1} \\ &= p^\alpha + p^\alpha(q-1) \\ &= p^\alpha q = n. \end{aligned}$$

## A4.12

$\mathbf{Z}_n^*$  is the set of all elements in  $\mathbf{Z}_n$  that have an inverse modulo  $n$ , those are, all numbers  $x < n$  such that  $\gcd(x, n) = 1$ , and there are, by definition,  $\varphi(n)$  of these numbers. Now we must only show this set satisfies the group properties:

- *closure*

Let  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$ . Both,  $a$  and  $b$ , do not share common factors with  $n$ , so  $(a \cdot b \bmod n) \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$ .

- *associativity*

Naturally satisfied by the properties of modular multiplication.

- *identity*

The number  $1 \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$  is the identity since for any  $a \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$  we have  $1 \cdot a = a \cdot 1 = a$ .

- *inverses*

An integer  $a$  has a multiplicative inverse modulo  $n$  if and only if  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ , but since by definition all elements of  $\mathbf{Z}_n^*$  satisfy this property, all elements have an inverse.

## A4.13

If  $a \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$  then  $a$  does not share any common factors with  $n$ , meaning  $a^k \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$  for any integer  $k$ . So if we take the set  $S = \{1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{r-1}\}$ , where  $a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ , we clearly have a subset of  $\mathbf{Z}_n^*$  with size  $r$ . We must only show it satisfies the group properties:

- *closure*

Naturally satisfied by the construction of the set.

- *associativity*

Naturally satisfied by the properties of modular multiplication.

- *identity*

The identity  $1 \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$  also belongs in this set.

- *inverses*

Since there exists  $r := x + y$  such that  $a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  there is always a pair  $a^x$  and  $a^y$ , with  $x < r$  and  $y < r$ , such that  $a^x \cdot a^y = a^{x+y} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ , that is, all elements have an inverse.

#### A4.14

If  $g$  is a generator of  $\mathbf{Z}_n^*$  then all  $\varphi(n)$  elements of the group can be written as powers of  $g$ , that is,  $\mathbf{Z}_n^* = \{1, g, g^2, \dots, g^{\varphi(n)-1}\}$ , where  $g^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ , meaning that  $g$  has order  $\varphi(n)$ .

#### A4.15

Consider the element  $a \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$  and the subgroup  $\{1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{r-1}\}$  of the group  $\mathbf{Z}_n^*$ , where  $r$  is some number such that  $a^r = 1 \pmod{n}$ . Using Lagrange's theorem we have that  $r$  must divide the size of  $\mathbf{Z}_n^*$ , that is,  $\varphi(n)/r$  is an integer. Alternatively, we may write  $\varphi(n) = \alpha r$ , where  $\alpha$  is a positive integer. Now, if  $a^r = 1 \pmod{n}$  then there exists some integer  $k$  such that

$$a^r = kn + 1.$$

If we exponentiate both sides with  $\alpha$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a^{\varphi(n)} &= (kn + 1)^\alpha \\ &= 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{i} (kn)^i. \end{aligned}$$

The second term is clearly divisible by  $n$ , thus we have that  $a^{\varphi(n)} = 1 \pmod{n}$ , *Q.E.D.*

#### A4.16

If  $r$  is the order of  $x$  modulo  $N$  then  $x^r \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ . Since  $r$  is the smallest number that satisfies such relation, any other number that also satisfies it must necessarily be a multiple integer of  $r$ . From Theorem A4.9 we have that  $x^{\varphi(N)} = 1 \pmod{N}$ , so there exists some integer  $\alpha$  such that  $\varphi(N) = \alpha r$ , or equivalently  $\varphi(N)/r = \alpha$ , meaning  $r | \varphi(N)$ .

#### A4.17

If we have an efficient factoring algorithm we can efficiently compute the list  $P = \{p_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, p_m^{\alpha_m}\}$  of prime factors of a number  $N$ . We know that the order  $r$  of  $x$  modulo  $N$  is such that  $r \leq N$ , so we can run Euclid's algorithm to compute  $\gcd(x^{s/2} - 1, N)$  and  $\gcd(x^{s/2} + 1, N)$  for all even  $s \in [1, N]$  until we get a number belonging in the list  $P$ . When we do we output the order  $r = s$ , and in the

worst case scenario we need to run Euclid's algorithm  $O(N)$  times. And similarly to the reduction of factoring to order-finding, we would have a probability  $p \leq 1/2^m$  of failing, which would occur if  $r$  is odd or if  $x^{s/2} = -1 \pmod{N}$  for all  $s$ .

## A4.18

$$\frac{19}{17} = 1 + \frac{1}{\frac{17}{2}} = 1 + \frac{1}{8 + \frac{1}{2}} \implies \frac{19}{17} = [1, 8, 2],$$

$$\frac{77}{65} = 1 + \frac{1}{\frac{65}{12}} = 1 + \frac{1}{5 + \frac{1}{\frac{12}{5}}} = 1 + \frac{1}{5 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{\frac{5}{2}}}} = 1 + \frac{1}{5 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{2}}} \implies \frac{77}{65} = [1, 5, 2, 2, 2]$$

## A4.19

Let us first check for the case  $n = 1$ . From the definitions we have the relations:  $p_0 = a_0$ ,  $q_0 = 1$ ,  $p_1 = 1 + a_0a_1$  and  $q_1 = a_1$ , thus we verify that

$$\begin{aligned} q_1p_0 - p_1q_0 &= a_1a_0 - (1 + a_0a_1) \\ &= -1 = (-1)^1. \end{aligned}$$

So the relation is clearly true for  $n = 1$ . Now we must only show that, for  $n \geq 2$ , we have  $q_{n+1}p_n - p_{n+1}q_n = (-1) \times (q_np_{n-1} + p_nq_{n-1})$ . From the definitions we have, for  $n \geq 2$ , the relations:  $p_{n+1} = a_{n+1}p_n + p_{n-1}$  and  $q_{n+1} = a_{n+1}q_n + q_{n-1}$ , thus

$$\begin{aligned} q_{n+1}p_n - p_{n+1}q_n &= (a_{n+1}q_n + q_{n-1})p_n - (a_{n+1}p_n + p_{n-1})q_n \\ &= p_nq_{n-1} - q_np_{n-1} \\ &= (-1) \times (q_np_{n-1} - p_nq_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

So this relation is true for all  $n \geq 1$ . Now, this relation can always be written in the form  $\alpha p_n + \beta q_n = 1$ , and using Theorem A4.2 we conclude that  $\gcd(p_n, q_n) = 1$ .

# Public key cryptography and the RSA cryptosystem

## A5.1

-

## A5.2

-

## Proof of Lieb's theorem

**A6.1**

-

**A6.2**

-

**A6.3**

-

**A6.4**

-

**A6.5**

-

**A6.6**

-

**A6.7**

-

**A6.8**

-