Chapter 7. Coroutines Concepts

In the previous chapter, you learned of the pitfalls of the threading model. As an alternative to the threading model, the Kotlin language has a library called *kotlinx.coroutines* which aims at fixing the previously mentioned limitations. Coroutine-enabled primitives allow developers to write sequential, asynchronous code at a low cost. The design of coroutines comprises *suspending functions*, *structured concurrency*, and other specific considerations like *coroutine context* and *coroutine scope*. The subjects are closely related to one another. We'll cover each one of these considerations in a way that is incremental and digestible.

What Exactly Is a Coroutine?

The official Kotlin documentation qualifies coroutines as "lightweight threads" in an effort to leverage an existing and well-known paradigm. You may conceptualize coroutines as *blocks of code that can be dispatched to threads that are nonblocking*.

Coroutines are indeed *lightweight*, but it is important to note that *coroutines aren't threads* themselves. In fact, many coroutines can run on a single thread, although each has a lifecycle of its own. Rather, you'll see in this section that they really are just state machines, with each state corresponding to a block of code that some thread will eventually execute.

NOTE

You might be surprised to find that the concept of coroutines goes all the way back to the early 1960s with the creation of Cobol's compiler, which used the idea of suspending and launching functions in assembly language. Coroutines can also be spotted in the languages Go, Perl, and Python.

The coroutine library offers some facilities to manage those threads out of the box. However, you can configure the coroutine builder to manage your threads yourself if you need to.

Your First Coroutine

Throughout this section, we'll introduce a lot of new vocabulary and concepts from the kotlinx.coroutines package. To make this learning smooth, we chose to start with a simple coroutine usage, and explain how this works along the way.

The following example, as well as the others in this chapter, uses semantics declared in the kotlinx.coroutines package:

The method runBlocking runs a new coroutine and blocks the current thread until the coroutine work has completed. This coroutine builder is typically used in main functions and testing as it serves as a bridge to regular blocking code.

Inside the code block, we create a coroutine with the launch function. Since it creates a coroutine, it's a *coroutine builder*—you'll see later that other coroutine builders exist. The method launch returns a reference to a Job, which represents the lifecycle of the coroutine launched.

Inside the coroutine, there's a while loop that executes indefinitely.

Below the job coroutine, you may notice that the job is cancelled later on. To demonstrate what this means, we can run our program and the output is as follows:

```
0 I'm working
1 I'm working
2 I'm working
```

It appears that the coroutine ran like clockwork. In tandem, the code continues to execute in the main thread, giving us a total of three printed lines within a 30 ms window given to us by the delay call, as shown in Figure 7-1.

Figure 7-1. First coroutine.

The delay function looks suspiciously like Thread.sleep in its usage.

The major difference is that delay is nonblocking while

Thread.sleep(...) is blocking. To demonstrate what we mean, let's examine our code again, but replace the delay call in our coroutine with

Thread.sleep:

```
fun main() = runBlocking {
  val job: Job = launch {
    while (true) {
       println("I'm working")
```

```
Thread.sleep(10L)
}

delay(30)
job.cancel()
}
```

Observe what happens when we run the code again. We get the following output:

```
I'm working
```

The output seems to run infinitely now. When the coroutine executes, the Thread.sleep(10L) call blocks the main thread until the coroutine started by launch completes. As the coroutine started with launch makes the main thread either sleep or print, the coroutine never completes, so execution never leaves the coroutine, as shown in Figure 7-2.

Figure 7-2. Never-ending program.

It's important to remember the following:

- The launch coroutine builder is "fire-and-forget" work—in other words, there is no result to return.
- Once called, it immediately returns a Job instance, and starts a new coroutine. A Job represents the coroutine itself, like a handle on its lifecycle. The coroutine can be cancelled by calling the cancel method on its Job instance.
- A coroutine that is started with launch will not return a result, but rather, a reference to the background job.

If, on the other hand, you need to get a result from an asynchronous computation, then you should use the async coroutine builder.

The async Coroutine Builder

The async coroutine builder can be compared to Java's

Future Promise model to support asynchronous programming:

```
class WorkingClass() {
   public CompletableFuture<SomeOtherResult> doBothAsync() {
      somethingAsync().thenAcceptBoth(somethingElseAsync()) {
            one, two ->
            // combine results of both calls here
      };
   }
}
```

Instead of making a blocking call to get the data, an asynchronous function immediately returns a wrapper around the result. Depending on the library you use, this wrapper is called Future, CompletableFuture, Promise, etc. This wrapper is like a handle from which you can check if the result is available or not. If you wish, you can block a thread until the result is available with the Future.get() method.

Just like a Future, the async coroutine builder returns a wrapper around a result; and the type of this wrapper is Deferred<T> (the generic type is the type of the result), as shown in the following code:

```
fun main() = runBlocking {
   val slow: Deferred<Int> = async {
       var result = 0
        delay(1000) // simulate some slow background work
        for (i in 1..10) {
            result += i
        println("Call complete for slow: $result")
        result
   }
   val quick: Deferred<Int> = async {
        delay(100) // simulate some quick background work
        println("Call complete for quick: 5")
        5
   }
   val result: Int = quick.await() + slow.await()
   println(result)
}
```

The data types quick and slow are a future result as an implementation of Deferred<Int>, otherwise known as a Job with a result. By calling the method await on each Deferred<Int> instance, the program waits for the result of each coroutine.

This time, we've launched two coroutines using the async coroutine builder. The code itself can give us a good guess at what might happen, but let's run it anyway to see the following output:

```
Call complete for quick: 5
Call complete for slow: 55
60
```

The preceding program delays the slow async job by 1,000 ms while the quick async job delays it by 100 ms—the result waits for both to complete before printing out the result.

It's important to remember the following:

- The async coroutine builder is intended for *parallel decomposition* of work—that is, you *explicitly* specify that some tasks will run concurrently.
- Once called, an async immediately returns a Deferred instance.

 Deferred is a specialized Job, with a few extra methods like await. It's a Job with a return value.
- Very similarly to Future's and Promise's, you invoke the await method on the Deferred instance to get the returned value.²

You may have noticed by now that the examples provided with the coroutine builders launch and async are wrapped with a runBlocking call. We mentioned earlier that runBlocking runs a new coroutine and blocks the current thread until the coroutine work has completed. To better understand the role of runBlocking, we must first give a sneak preview on structured concurrency, a concept which will be explored in detail in the next chapter.

A Quick Detour About Structured Concurrency

Coroutines aren't just yet another fancy way to launch background tasks. The coroutines library is built around the structured concurrency paradigm. Before going further in your discovery of coroutines, you should understand what it is, and the problems the coroutine library aims to solve.

Making development easier is a worthwhile goal. In the case of structured concurrency, it's almost a happy side effect of a response to a more general problem. Consider the simplest construct every developer is familiar with: a function.

Functions are predictable in the sense that they are executed from top to bottom. If we put aside the possibility that exceptions can be thrown from inside the function,³ we know that prior to a function returning a value, execution order is serial: each statement executes prior to the next. What if inside the function, your program creates and starts another thread? It's perfectly legal, but now you have two flows of execution, as shown in Figure 7-3.

Calling this function doesn't only produce one result; it has the side effect of creating a parallel flow of execution. This can be problematic for the following reasons:

Exceptions aren't propagated

If an exception is thrown inside the thread, and it isn't handled, then the JVM calls the thread's UncaughtExceptionHandler, which is a simple interface:

```
interface UncaughtExceptionHandler {
   fun uncaughtException(t: Thread, e: Throwable)
}
```

You can provide a handler using the

Thread.setUncaughtExceptionHandler method on your thread instance. By default, when you create a thread, it doesn't have a specific UncaughtExceptionHandler. When an exception isn't caught, *and* you haven't set a specific one, the default handler is invoked.

In the Android framework, it's important to note that the default UncaughtExceptionHandler will cause your app to crash by killing the app's native process. Android designers made this choice because it's generally better for an Android application to fail-fast, as the system shouldn't make decisions on behalf of the developer when it comes to unhandled exceptions. The stacktrace is then relevant to the real problem—while recovering from it might produce inconsistent behaviors and problems that are less transparent, because the root cause can be much earlier in the call stack.

In our example, there's nothing in place to inform our function if something bad happens in the background thread. Sometimes this is just fine because errors can be directly handled from the background thread, but you may have logic that is more complex and requires the calling code to monitor issues to react differently and specifically.

TIP

There is a mechanism involved before the default handler is invoked. Every thread can belong to a ThreadGroup which can handle exceptions. Each thread group can also have a parent thread group. Within the Android framework, two groups are statically created: "system," and a child of the system group known as "main." The "main" group always delegates exception handling to the "system" group parent, which then delegates to Thread.getDefaultUncaughtExceptionHandler() if it isn't null. Otherwise, the "system" group prints the exception name and stacktrace to System.err.

Execution flow is hard to control

Since a thread can be created and started from anywhere, imagine that your background thread instantiates and starts three new threads to delegate some of its work, or performs tasks in reaction to computation performed in the parent thread's context, as shown in Figure 7-4.

Figure 7-4. Multiple flows.

How do you make sure the function returns only when all background processing is done? This can be error-prone: you need to make sure that you wait for all child threads to finish their work. When using a Future based implementation (for example, CompletableFutures), even omitting a Future.get invocation might cause the flow of execution to terminate prematurely.

Later, and while the background thread and all of its children are still running, all this work might have to be cancelled (the user exited the UI, an error was thrown, etc.). In this case, there's no automatic mechanism to cancel the entire task hierarchy.

When working with threads, it's really easy to forget about a background task. Structured concurrency is nothing but a concept meant to address this issue.

In the next section, we'll detail this concept and explain how it relates to coroutines.

The Parent-Child Relationship in Structured Concurrency

Until now, we've spoken about threads, which were represented by arrows in the previous illustrations. Let's imagine a higher level of abstraction where some parent entity could create multiple children, as shown in <u>Figure 7-5</u>.

Figure 7-5. Parent-child.

Those children can run concurrently with each other as well as the parent. If the parent fails or is cancelled, then all its children are also cancelled. $\frac{5}{2}$ Here is the first rule of structured concurrency:

• Cancellation always propagates downward.

How the failure of one child affects other children of the same level is a parameterization of the parent.

Just as a parent entity could fail or be cancelled, this can happen to any of the children. In the case of cancellation of one of the children, referencing the first rule, we know that the parent will not be cancelled (cancellation propagates downward, not upward). In case of failure, what happens next depends on the problem you're trying to solve. The failure of one child should or should not lead to the cancellation of the other children, as shown in Figure 7-6. Those two possibilities characterize the parent-child failure relationship, and is a parameterization of the parent.

Figure 7-6. Cancellation policy.

TIP

The parent always waits for all its children to complete.

Other rules could be added around exception propagation, but they would be implementation specific, and it's time to introduce some concrete examples.

Structured concurrency is available in Kotlin coroutines with CoroutineScope's and CoroutineContext's. Both CoroutineScope's and CoroutineContext's play the role of the parent in previous illustrations, while Coroutines, on play the role of the children.

In the following section, we'll cover CoroutineScope and CoroutineContext in more detail.

CoroutineScope and CoroutineContext

We're about to dive into the details of the *kotlinx.coroutine* library. There will be *a lot* of new concepts in the upcoming section. While those concepts are important if you want to master coroutines, you don't have to understand everything right now to get started and be productive with coroutines. There will be a lot of examples following this section and in the next chapter, which will give you a good sense of how coroutines work. Therefore, you might find it easier to come back to this section after you've practiced a bit.

Now that you have an idea of what structured concurrency is, let's revisit the whole runBlocking thing again. Why not just call launch or async outside a runBlocking call?

The following code will not compile:

```
fun main() {
    launch {
       println("I'm working") // will not compile
    }
}
```

The compiler reports: "Unresolved reference: launch." This is because coroutine builders are extension functions of CoroutineScope.

A CoroutineScope controls the lifecycle of a coroutine within a well-defined scope or lifecycle. It's an object that plays the role of the parent in structured concurrency—its purpose is to manage and monitor the coroutines you create inside it. You might be surprised to find that in the previous example with the async coroutine builder, a CoroutineScope had already been provided to launch a new coroutine. That

CoroutineScope was provided by the runBlocking block. How? This is the simplified signature of runBlocking:

```
fun <T> runBlocking(
    // function arguments removed for brevity
    block: suspend CoroutineScope.() -> T): T { // impl
}
```

The last argument is a function with a receiver of type CoroutineScope. Consequently, when you supply a function for the block argument, there is a CoroutineScope at your disposal which can invoke extension functions of CoroutineScope. As you can see in Figure 7-7, Android Studio is able to pick up the implicit type-referencing in Kotlin so that if you enable "type hints," you are able to see the type parameter.

Figure 7-7. Type hint in Android Studio.

Besides providing a CoroutineScope, what is the purpose of runBlocking? runBlocking blocks the current thread until its completion. It can be invoked from regular blocking code as a bridge to code containing suspending functions (we'll cover suspending functions later in this chapter).

To be able to create coroutines, we have to bridge our code to the "regular" function main in our code. However, the following sample won't compile, as we're trying to start a coroutine from regular code:

```
fun main() = launch {
   println("I'm a coroutine")
}
```

This is because the launch coroutine builder is actually an *extension* function of CoroutineScope:

```
fun CoroutineScope.launch(
    context: CoroutineContext = EmptyCoroutineContext,
    // other params removed for brevity,
    block: suspend CoroutineScope.() -> Unit
): Job { /* implementation */ }
```

Since regular code doesn't provide a CoroutineScope instance, you cannot directly invoke coroutine builders from there.

So what's this CoroutineContext? To answer this question, you need to understand the details of CoroutineScope.

If you look at the source code, a **CoroutineScope** is an interface:

```
interface CoroutineScope {
   val coroutineContext: CoroutineContext
}
```

In other words, a CoroutineScope is a container for a CoroutineContext.

The purpose of a CoroutineScope is to encapsulate concurrent tasks (coroutines and other scopes) by applying structured concurrency. Scopes and coroutines form a tree-like architecture with a scope at its root, as shown in Figure 7-8.

Figure 7-8. Tree-like relationship (coroutines are represented as rectangles).

A CoroutineContext, which we'll refer to as a *context* for future reference, is a broader concept. It's an immutable union set of context elements. For future reference, we'll use the term "element" to designate *context element*.

That's the theory. In practice, you'll most often use a special context element to control which thread, or which thread pool, will execute your coroutine(s). For example, imagine that you have to run CPU-heavy computations inside a launch, while not blocking the main thread. This is where the coroutine library is really handy because thread pools for most common usages are available out of the box. In the case of CPU-bound tasks, you don't have to define your own thread pool. All you have to do is use the special Dispatchers. Default context element like so:

```
fun main() = runBlocking<Unit> {
    launch(Dispatchers.Default) {
        println("I'm executing in ${Thread.currentThread().name}")
    }
}
```

The output is now:

Dispatchers.Main is a context element. As you'll see later, different context elements can be combined using operators to tweak the behavior of coroutines even more.

As its name suggests, the purpose of a <code>Dispatcher</code> is to dispatch coroutines on a specific thread or thread pool. By default, there are four <code>Dispatcher</code> s available out of the box—<code>Main</code>, <code>Default</code>, <code>IO</code>, and <code>Unconfined</code>:

Dispatchers.Main

This uses the main thread, or the UI thread, of the platform you're using.

Dispatchers.Default

This is meant for CPU-bound tasks, and is backed by a thread pool of four threads by default.

Dispatchers.IO

This is meant for IO-bound tasks, and is backed by a thread pool of 64 threads by default.

Dispatchers.Unconfined

This isn't something you should use or even need as you're learning coroutines. It's primarily used in the internals of the coroutines library.

By just changing the dispatcher, you can control which thread or thread pool your coroutine will be executed on. The context element

Dispatcher.Default is a subclass of CoroutineDispatcher, but other context elements also exist.

By providing a dispatcher context, you can easily designate where logic flow executes. Thus, it is the developer's responsibility to supply the context to the coroutine builder.

In coroutine framework parlance, a coroutine always runs inside a context. *This* context is provided by a coroutine scope and is different from the context you supply. To avoid confusion, we'll call the context of the coroutine the *coroutine context*, and we'll call the context you supply to the coroutine builder the *supplied context*.

The difference is subtle—remember the Job object? A Job instance is a handle on the lifecycle of the coroutine—it's part of the coroutine context too. Every coroutine has a Job instance that represents it, and this job is part of the coroutine context.

It's time to unveil how those contexts are created. Look at <u>Example 7-1</u>, which differs slightly from the previous example.

Example 7-1. Dispatchers example

```
fun main() = runBlocking<Unit>(Dispatchers.Main) {
    launch(Dispatchers.Default) {
      val threadName = Thread.currentThread().name
      println("I'm executing in $threadName")
    }
}
```

This block of code creates two coroutines with their own respective Job instance: runBlocking starts the first coroutine, and the other one is started by launch.

The coroutine created by runBlocking has its own context. Since this is the root coroutine started inside the scope, we call this context the *scope context*. The scope context encompasses the coroutine context, as shown in Figure 7-9.

Figure 7-9. Contexts.

You've seen that launch is an extension function of CoroutineScope (which holds a context), and that it can receive a context as its first parameter. So there are two contexts at our disposal in this function, as shown in Example 7-1: one from the receiver type (the scope context), and the other one from the context parameter (the supplied context).

What does launch do in its implementation before calling our provided function? It merges the two contexts so that the elements from the context parameter take precedence over the other elements from the scope. From this merge operation we obtain the parent context. At this point, the Job of the coroutine isn't created yet.

At last, a new Job instance is created as a child of the Job from the parent context. This new Job is then added to the parent context, replacing the Job instance of the parent context to obtain the coroutine context.

These relationships and interactions are represented in <u>Figure 7-10</u>, in which a context is represented by a rectangle containing other context elements.

Figure 7-10. Representation of a *Context*.

<u>Figure 7-10</u> represents a context that contains a <u>Job</u> instance, and a dispatcher which is <u>Dispatchers.Main</u>. With that representation in mind, <u>Figure 7-11</u> shows how we would represent the context of <u>Example 7-1</u>.

Everything you provide in the supplied context to the launch method takes precedence over the scope context. This results in a *parent context*, which inherits elements from the scope context which were not provided in the supplied context (a Job, in this case). Then a new Job instance is created (with a dot in the upper-right corner), as a child of the parent Job which is also, in this case, the Job of the scope context. The resulting coroutine context is made of elements from the parent context except for Job (which is a child Job of the Job in the parent context).

This *coroutine context* is the context in which the lambda we provide to launch will be executed.

More importantly, the coroutine context inherits context elements from the scope context, which are not overridden by the context supplied as a parameter to launch; the async method behaves identically in this regard.

Suspending Functions

We've examined how to launch a coroutine with the coroutine builders launch and async, and touched on what it means for something to be blocking or nonblocking. At its core, Kotlin coroutines offer something different that will really reveal how powerful coroutines can be: *suspending functions*.

Imagine that you invoke two tasks serially. The first task completes before the second can proceed with its execution.

When task A executes, the underlying thread cannot proceed with executing other tasks—task A is then said to be a *blocking call*.

However, task A spending a reasonable amount of time waiting for a longer-running job (e.g., an HTTP request) ends up blocking the underlying thread, rendering the waiting task B useless.

So task B waits for task A to complete. The frugal developer may see this scenario as a waste of thread resources, since the thread could (and should) proceed with executing another task while task A is waiting for the result of its network call.

Using suspending functions, we can split tasks into chunks which can *suspend*. In the case of our example, task A can be suspended when it performs its remote call, leaving the underlying thread free to proceed with another task (or just a part of it). When task A gets the result of its remote call, it can be resumed at a later point in time, as shown in <u>Figure 7-12</u>.

Figure 7-12. The time saved is represented at the end.

As you can see, the two tasks complete sooner than in the previous scenario. This interleaving of bits of tasks leaves the underlying thread always busy executing a task. Therefore, a suspending mechanism requires fewer threads to produce the same overall throughput, and this is quite important, when each thread has its own stack which costs a minimum of 64 Kb of memory. Typically, a thread occupies 1 MB of RAM.

Using a suspending mechanism, we can be more frugal by using more of the same resources.

Suspending Functions Under the Hood

So far, we've introduced a new concept: the fact that a task can *suspend*. A task can "pause" its execution without blocking the underlying thread. While this might sound like magic to you, it's important to understand that it all comes down to lower-level constructs, which we'll explain in this section.

A task, or more precisely, a coroutine, can suspend if it makes use of at least one *suspending function*. A suspending function is easily recognizable as it's declared with the suspend modifier.

When the Kotlin compiler encounters a suspending function, it compiles to a regular function with an additional parameter of type Continuation<T>, which is just an interface, as shown in Example 7-2:

Example 7-2. Interface Continuation<T>

```
public interface Continuation<in T> {
    /**
    * The context of the coroutine that corresponds to
    */
    public val context: CoroutineContext

    /**
    * Resumes the execution of the corresponding corout.
    * or failed [result] as the return value of the las
    */
    public fun resumeWith(result: Result<T>)
}
```

Assuming that you define this suspending function as follows:

```
suspend fun backgroundWork(): Int {
    // some background work on another thread, which returns an Int
}
```

At compile time, this function is transformed into a regular function (without the suspend modifier), with an additional Continuation argument:

```
fun backgroundWork(callback: Continuation<Int>): Int {
    // some background work on another thread, which returns an Int
}
```

NOTE

Suspending functions are compiled to regular functions taking an additional Continuation object argument. This is an implementation of Continuation Passing Style (CPS), a style of programming where control flow is passed on in the form of a Continuation object.

This Continuation object holds all the code that should be executed in the body of the backgroundWork function.

What does the Kotlin compiler actually generate for this Continuation object?

For efficiency reasons, the Kotlin compiler generates a state machine. A state-machine implementation is all about allocating as few objects as possible, because coroutines being lightweight, thousands of them might be running.

Inside this state machine, each state corresponds to a *suspension point* inside the body of the suspending function. Let's look at an example. Imagine that in an Android project, we use the presenter layer to execute some long-running processes surrounding IO and graphics processing, where the following code block has two suspension points with the self-managed coroutine launched from the viewModelScope:⁸

The compiler generates an anonymous class which implements the Continuation interface. To give you a sense of what is actually generated, we'll provide pseudocode of what is generated for the renderImage suspending function. The class has a state field holding the current state of the state machine. It also has fields for each variable that are shared between states:

```
object : Continuation<Unit> {
  // state
  private var state = 0
   // fields
  private var path: String? = null
   private var image: Image? = null
   fun resumeWith(result: Any) {
     when (state) {
        path = getPath()
            state = 1
            // Pass this state machine as Continuation.
            val firstResult = fetchImage(path, this)
            if (firstResult == COROUTINE_SUSPENDED) return
            // If we didn't get COROUTINE_SUSPENDED, we received an
            // actual Image instance, execution shall proceed to
            // the next state.
            resumeWith(firstResult)
        }
         1 -> {
            image = result as Image
            state = 2
            val secondResult = clipImage(image, this)
            if (secondResult == COROUTINE_SUSPENDED) return
               resumeWith(secondResult)
            }
         2 -> {
           val clipped = result as Image
            postProcess(clipped)
         else -> throw IllegalStateException()
      }
  }
}
```

This state machine is initialized with state = 0. Consequently, when the coroutine started with launch invokes the renderImage suspending function, the execution "jumps" to the first case (0). We retrieve a path, set the next state to 1, then invoke fetchImage—which is the first suspending function in the body of renderImage.

At this stage, there are two possible scenarios:

1. fetchImage requires some time to return an Image instance, and immediately returns the COROUTINE_SUSPENDED value. By return-

ing this specific value, fetchImage basically says: "I need more time to return an actual value, so give me your state-machine object, and I'll use it when I have a result." When fetchImage finally has an Image instance, it invokes stateMachine.resumeWith(image). Since at this point state equals 1, the execution "jumps" to the second case of the when statement.

2. fetchImage immediately returns an Image instance. In this case, execution proceeds with the next state (via resumeWith(image)).

The rest of the execution follows the same pattern, until the code of the last state invokes the postProcess function.

NOTE

This explanation is not the exact state of the state machine generated in the byte-code, but rather, pseudocode of its representative logic to convey the main idea. For everyday use, it's less important to know the implementation details of the actual finite state machine generated in the Kotlin bytecode than it is to understand what happens under the hood.

Conceptually, when you invoke a suspending function, a callback (Continuation) is created along with generated structures so that the rest of the code after the suspending function will be called only when the suspending function returns. With less time spent on boilerplate code, you can focus on business logic and high-level concepts.

So far, we've analyzed how the Kotlin compiler restructures our code under the hood, in such a way that we don't have to write callbacks on our own. Of course, you don't have to be fully aware of finite state-machine code generation to use suspending functions. However, the concept is important to grasp! For this purpose, nothing is better than practicing!

Using Coroutines and Suspending Functions: A Practical Example

Imagine that in an Android application you wish to load a user's profile with an id. When navigating to the profile, it might make sense to fetch the user's data based on the id in a method named fetchAndLoadProfile.

You can use coroutines for that, using what you learned in the previous section. For now, assume that somewhere in your app (typically a controller in MVC architecture, or a ViewModel in MVVM) you have a CoroutineScope which has the Dispatchers. Main dispatcher in its CoroutineContext. In this case, we say that this scope dispatches coroutines on the main thread, which is identical to default behavior. In the next chapters we will give you detailed explanations and examples of

coroutine scopes, and how you can access and create them yourself if you need to.

The fact that scope defaults to the main thread isn't limiting in any way, since you can create coroutines with any CoroutineDispatcher you want inside this scope. This implementation of fetchAndLoadProfile illustrates this:

```
fun fetchAndLoadProfile(id: String) {
    scope.launch {
        val profileDeferred = async(Dispatchers.Default) {
            fetchProfile(id)
        }
        val profile = profileDeferred.await()
        loadProfile(profile)
    }
}
```

This is done in four steps:

- Start with a launch. You want the fetchAndLoadProfile to return immediately so that you can proceed serially on the main thread. Since the scope defaults to the main thread, a launch without additional context inherits the scope's context, so it runs on the main thread.
- Using async and Dispatchers.Default, you call fetchProfile, which is a blocking call. As a reminder, using Dispatchers.Default results in having fetchProfile executed on a thread pool. You immediately get a Deferred<Profile>, which you name profileDeferred. At this point, ongoing background work is being done on one of the threads of the thread pool. This is the signature of fetchProfile: fun fetchProfile(id: String): Profile { // impl }. It's a blocking call which might perform a database query on a remote server.
- 32 You cannot use profileDeferred right away to load the profile—
 you need to wait for the result of the background query. You do this
 by using profileDeferred.await(), which will generate and return a Profile instance.

Finally, you can invoke loadProfile using the obtained profile. As the outer launch inherits its context from the parent scope, loadProfile is invoked on the main thread. We're assuming that this is expected, as most UI-related operations have to be done on the main thread.

Whenever you invoke fetchAndLoadProfile, background processing is done off the UI thread to retrieve a profile. As soon as the profile is available, the UI is updated. You can invoke fetchAndLoadProfile

from whatever thread you want—it won't change the fact that loadProfile is eventually called on the UI thread.

Not bad, but we can do better.

Notice how this code reads from top to bottom, without indirection or callbacks. You could argue that the "profileDeferred" naming and the await calls feel clunky. This could be even more apparent when you fetch a profile, wait for it, then load it. This is where suspending functions come into play.

Suspending functions are at the heart of the coroutine framework.

TIP

Conceptually, a suspending function is a function which may not return immediately. If it doesn't return right away, it suspends the coroutine that called this suspending function while computation occurs. This inner computation *should not block* the calling thread. Later, the coroutine is resumed when the inner computation completes.

A suspending function can only be called from inside a coroutine or from another suspending function.

By "suspend the coroutine," we mean that the coroutine execution is stopped. Here is an example:

```
suspend fun backgroundWork(): Int {
   // some background work on another thread, which returns an Int
}
```

First off, a suspending function isn't a regular function; it has its own suspend keyword. It can have a return type, but notice that in this case it doesn't return a Deferred<Int>—only bare Int.

Second, it can only be invoked from a coroutine, or another suspending function.

Back to our previous example: fetching and waiting for a profile was done with an async block. Conceptually, this is exactly the purpose of a suspending function. We'll borrow the same name as the blocking fetchProfile function and rewrite it like this:

```
suspend fun fetchProfile(id: String): Profile {
   // for now, we're not showing the implementation
}
```

The two major differences with the original async block are the suspend modifier and the return type.

This allows you to simplify fetchAndLoadProfile:

```
fun fetchAndLoadProfile(id: String) {
    scope.launch {
       val profile = fetchProfile(id) // suspends
       loadProfile(profile)
    }
}
```

Now that fetchProfile is a suspending function, the coroutine started by launch is suspended when invoking fetchProfile. Suspended means that the execution of the coroutine is stopped, and that the next line does not execute. It will remain suspended until the profile is retrieved, at which point the coroutine started by launch resumes. The next line (loadProfile) is then executed.

Notice how this reads like procedural code. Imagine how you would implement complex, asynchronous logic where each step requires a result from the previous one. You would call suspending functions like this, one after another, in a classic procedural style. Code that is easy to understand is more maintainable. This is one of the most immediately helpful aspects of suspending functions.

As a bonus, IntelliJ IDEA and Android Studio help you in spotting suspending calls in one glimpse. In <u>Figure 7-13</u>, you can see a symbol in the margin indicating a suspending call.

Figure 7-13. Suspending call.

When you see this symbol in the margin, you know that a coroutine can temporarily suspend at this line.

Don't Be Mistaken About the suspend Modifier

However impressive it looks, adding the suspend modifier to a regular function doesn't magically turn it into a nonblocking function. There's more to it. Here is an example with the suspending fetchProfile function:

```
suspend fun fetchProfile(id: String) = withContext(Dispatchers.Default)) {
   // same implementation as the original fetchProfile, which returns a Profile instar
}
```

fetchProfile(...) uses the withContext function from the coroutines framework, which accepts a CoroutineContext as parameter. In this case, we provide Dispatchers.Default as the context. Almost ev-

ery single time you use withContext, you'll only provide a Dispatcher.

The thread that will execute the body of withContext is determined by the provided <code>Dispatcher</code>. For example, using <code>Dispatchers.Default</code>, it would be one of the threads of the thread pool dedicated for CPU-bound tasks. In the case of <code>Dispatchers.Main</code>, it would be the main thread.

Why and how does fetchProfile suspend? This is an implementation detail of withContext and of the coroutine framework in general.

The most important concept to remember is simple: a coroutine calling a suspending function *might* suspend its execution. In coroutine parlance, we say that it reaches a suspension point.

Why did we say that it might suspend? Imagine that inside your implementation of fetchProfile, you check whether you have the associated profile in the cache. If you have the data in the cache, you may immediately return it. Then there's no need to suspend the execution of the outer coroutine.

There are several ways to create a suspending function. Using withContext is only one of them, although probably the most common.

Summary

- Coroutines are always launched from a CoroutineScope. In structured concurrency parlance, the CoroutineScope is the parent, and coroutines themselves are children of that scope. A
 CoroutineScope can be a child of an existing CoroutineScope.
 See the next chapter on how to get a CoroutineScope or make one.
- A CoroutineScope can be seen as a root coroutine. In fact, anything that has a Job can technically be considered a coroutine. The only difference is the intended usage. A scope is meant to encompass its child coroutines. As you've seen in the beginning of this chapter, a cancellation of a scope results in the cancellation of all of its child coroutines.
- launch is a coroutine builder which returns a Job instance. It is meant for "fire-and-forget."
- async is a coroutine builder which can return values, very much like Promise and Future. It returns an instance of Deferred<T>, which is a specialized Job.
- A Job is a handle on the lifecycle of a coroutine.
- The context of a newly created coroutine started with launch or async, the coroutine context, inherits from the scope context and from the context passed in as a parameter (the supplied context)—the latter taking precedence over the former. One context element is always freshly created: the Job of the coroutine. For example:

```
launch(Dispatchers.Main) {
   async {
      // inherits the context of the parent, so is dispatched on
      // the main thread
   }
}
```

- A suspending function denotes a function which might not return immediately. Using withContext and the appropriate
 Dispatcher, any blocking function can be turned into a nonblocking suspending function.
- A coroutine is typically made of several calls to suspending functions. Every time a suspending function is invoked, a suspension point is reached. The execution of the coroutine is stopped at each of those suspension points, until it is resumed.

A final word on this chapter: *scope* and *context* are new notions and are just parts of the coroutine machinery. Other topics like *exception handling* and *cooperative cancellation* will be covered in the next chapter.

- 1 In this scenario, job.cancel() has no effect on the coroutine started by launch. We'll touch on that in the next chapter (a coroutine must be cooperative with cancellation to be cancellable).
- 2 This suspends the calling coroutine until the value is retrieved, or an exception is thrown if the coroutine started with async is cancelled or failed with an exception. More on that later in this chapter.
- **3** We assume that exceptions are handled and don't interfere with the execution flow.
- 4 The join() method of a thread causes the calling thread to go into a waiting state. It remains in a waiting state until the original thread terminates.
- **5** A failure of an entity corresponds to any abnormal event the entity cannot recover from. This is typically implemented using unhandled or thrown exceptions.
- 6 You may have noticed that nothing prevents you from passing a Job instance inside the "provided context." What happens then? Following the logic explained, this Job instance becomes the parent of the Job of the coroutine context (e.g., the newly created coroutine). So the scope is no longer the parent of the coroutine; the parent-child relationship is broken. This is the reason why doing this is strongly discouraged, except in specific scenarios which will be explained in the next chapter.
- Z Actually, when a suspending function only invokes a single suspending function as a tail call, a state machine isn't required.
- ViewModelScope is coming from the AndroidX implementation of ViewModel.
 A viewModelScope is scoped to the ViewModel lifetime. More on that in the next chapter.

- 9 We'll show you how to do this in <u>Chapter 8</u>.
- ${f 10}$ The coroutine mechanism resumes a coroutine when the suspending function which caused it to suspend exits.

Support | Sign Out

©2022 O'REILLY MEDIA, INC. TERMS OF SERVICE | PRIVACY POLICY