Title:
Student:
Faculty sponsor:
Academic term:
Words:
Characters:

AutoDrone Andrew Festa Jeremy Brown 2195 - Spring 2020 963 4890

Table of Contents

1	Introduction				3.5	Gestu	re Estimation	2
	1.1	Planned Work	1		3.6	Speed	n Recognition	2
		1.1.1 Project Phases	1	4	Nex	kt Step	os	2
2	Har	rdware	2		4.1	Hardv	vare	2
	2.1	Initial Approach	2			4.1.1	Custom Drone	2
	2.2	Tello Drone	2			4.1.2	Drone Swarm	2
		2.2.1 Implementation	2		4.2	Softwa	are	2
		2.2.2 Challenges	2			4.2.1	Monocular Depth Estimation	2
		<u> </u>				4.2.2	Motion Tracking	2
3	Soft	tware	2			4.2.3	Pose Estimation	2
	3.1	Design Considerations	2			4.2.4	Non-Python Frontend	2
	3.2	Control Interfaces	2	_	c			
	3.3	CLI	2	Re	tere	nces		3
	3.4	GUI	2	\mathbf{A}	Tim	ne Bre	akdown	4

List of Figures

List of Tables

1 Time Spent 4

May 3, 2020 Version: 0.2 1 of 4

1 Introduction

Most small, DIY drones are configured to either be controlled from a remote control or completely from an on-drone system which fully acts as the flight controller and auto-pilot. The former are generally limited by the number of control channels on the transmitter, and the pilot must have some method for observing the environment in which the drone is operating. The latter configuration is limited by the power and resources provided by the on-board computer. The most important resources, computational capability and power, are typically heavy and expensive, making resource management and system addons a critical design decision. Can a camera be added to the board without overly taxing the already limited processing power? What about a tracking algorithm? Many approaches for these problems are becoming more and more resource demanding, such as training of neural networks or running reinforcement learning agents, and so a different configuration might be better suited to building an extensible and scalable flight control system.

The ultimate goal of this independent study is two-fold: to explore machine learning and control systems on a resource-constrained system and to offer practical experience into the design decision required for building such a system. An additional benefit is the end-creation of a computer controlled drone which can be readily extended for control by other systems which may interface with other types of systems, such as BCIs or VR headsets.

The closest course offering to this project is CSCI-632, Mobile Robot Programming, traditionally taught by Zack Butler. However, this project differs in that flight offers a particular set of challenges compared to ground-based navigation. Where ground-based navigation is effectively operating in a 2D space, flight systems operate in a 3D space which is also a transition that is a challenge for humans learning to fly. Beyond that, resource management is vastly more important when controlling a system in the air. When on the ground, if power runs out. In the case of a flying system, this option is tantamount to crashing. The final difference is that this project intends to take a more hands-on approach to the design and building of the drone itself, resulting in a deeper understanding of the necessary components going into a flight controller.

1.1 Planned Work

During the course of this project, I intend to explore creating an arduino-based drone which uses the board merely for sensory input and for sending and receiving information to and from an off-drone computer, referred to as the control computer. The control computer will be responsible for the processing of this information and deciding on the control logic for flying the drone. This offloads a lot of the computational tasks from the resource-constrained arduino and reduces the power requirements for operating the drone. The operation of the drone will come in two modes. In Mode 1, the user will have full, manual control of the drone whereas in Mode 2, the tracking system will have control and the drone will fly fully autonomously.

This project arguable reaches into many clusters of computer science. However, the primary two are the domains of networking and intelligent systems. The networking aspect comes in the form of establishing a secure and reliable communication channel between the drone and the control computer. This channel is responsible not only for allowing the drone to send inputs and receive the control signals, but it will also have to send video and sensor data to the control computer in order for it to act on those inputs to generate the control systems. The drone must also be able to handle the case of a dropped connection in a reasonable manner. The intelligent systems aspect comes from implementation of Mode 2. This requires the control computer to analyze the video from the drone in order to track an object or person as well as adding the capability for the control computer to use input from an AI agent.

1.1.1 Project Phases

At a high-level, the project can be conceptualized into three phases. The first phase will primarily consist of building the hardware and setting up the low-level systems such that they are capable of using inputs, such as up, down, left, and right, and flying the drone accordingly. The second phase is where the control computer is designed and implemented and is effectively implementing what was previously referred to

as Mode 1 operation. This will allow for a human to use a computer to fly the drone manually. The third and final phase is effectively where Mode 2 operation is added to the operational capabilities of the drone. This will allow for a computer-based agent to fly the drone autonomously.

2 Hardware

- 2.1 Initial Approach
- 2.2 Tello Drone
- 2.2.1 Implementation
- 2.2.2 Challenges
- 3 Software
- 3.1 Design Considerations
- 3.2 Control Interfaces
- 3.3 CLI
- 3.4 GUI
- 3.5 Gesture Estimation
- 3.6 Speech Recognition
- 4 Next Steps
- 4.1 Hardware
- 4.1.1 Custom Drone
- 4.1.2 Drone Swarm
- 4.2 Software
- 4.2.1 Monocular Depth Estimation
- 4.2.2 Motion Tracking
- 4.2.3 Pose Estimation
- 4.2.4 Non-Python Frontend

References

A Time Breakdown

Total:	110
Phase 1:	30
Phase 2:	50
Phase 3:	30

Phase	Time (hours)	Sub-task
1	22	Literature review
	8	Part sourcing
	4	Drone re-sourcing
2	1	Drone communication library
	1	Video streaming
	1	Command-line control interface
	1	Graphical control interface
3	1	Object finding
	1	Object tracking
	1	Speech control

Table 1: Time Spent

Phase 1: Hardware

The first phase will primarily consist of building the hardware and setting up the low-level systems such that they are capable of using inputs, such as up, down, left, and right, and flying the drone accordingly.

Phase 2: Manual Control

The second phase is where the control computer is designed and implemented and is effectively implementing what was previously referred to as Mode 1 operation. This will allow for a human to use a computer to fly the drone manually.

Phase 3: Automated Control

The third and final phase is effectively where Mode 2 operation is added to the operational capabilities of the drone. This will allow for a computer-based agent to fly the drone autonomously.