Calling the Windows APIs for Large Files



CalvinH 18 Mar 2005 11:53 AM

5

A customer was trying to use FoxPro to handle large files.

The files that Fox handles natively (like tables, indexes) are limited to using 32 bit addressing, (2³2 = 4 gigs). Back in the old days (not that long ago), there were no hard disks > 2 gigs. Who would ever need more?<g>

I've been using <u>Virtual PC</u> (a great product, which allows me to have many "virtual" computers exist on a single computer) and it creates files that are several gigabytes (they represent the entire hard disk of the virtual machine). I have a Win2003 Server virtual PC on my Windows XP machine and I can open multiple remote desktops to it!

Suppose I wanted to write a Fox program to read/write this large file. Not a problem: just call the 64 bit Win APIs (that were added when larger hard disks were invented).

For example, the <u>SetFilePointer</u> API is the 32 bit version, while <u>SetFilePointerEx</u> is the 64 bit version.

```
DWORD SetFilePointer(

HANDLE hFile,

LONG lDistanceToMove,

PLONG lpDistanceToMoveHigh,

DWORD dwMoveMethod

);

BOOL SetFilePointerEx(

HANDLE hFile,

LARGE_INTEGER liDistanceToMove,

PLARGE_INTEGER lpNewFilePointer,

DWORD dwMoveMethod
```

As you can see from the function signatures, the calls are almost identical: the only difference being LARGE_INTEGER in the place of LONG.

LONG is a 32 bit quantity, whereas LARGE_INTEGER is defined in Winnt.H as a Union

```
typedef union _LARGE_INTEGER {
    struct {
        DWORD LowPart;
        LONG HighPart;
    };
    struct {
        DWORD LowPart;
        LONG HighPart;
    } u;
    LONGLONG QuadPart;
} LARGE_INTEGER;

typedef LARGE_INTEGER *PLARGE_INTEGER;
```

The HighPart is 32 bit signed and the LowPart is 32 bit unsigned. Put them together and you have a 64 bit signed number.

When calling functions in the Win API, the parameters must be put on the process stack, which is 32 bits wide in 32 bit Windows XP.

The SetFilePointer call thus expects 4 32 bit words on the stack, because each parameter is of size 32 bits.

However, the SetFilePointerEx function expects 5 32 bit words on the stack: the LARGE_INTEGER is 2 32 bit words

You may be thinking: what about the PLARGE_INTEGER? isn't that 2 32 bit words too? Actually not: it's not a LARGE_INTEGER, but the address of a LARGE_INTEGER. Addresses in 32 bit Windows are 32 bits (surprise!).

So, how do we call such a function in Fox? We just pass 5 32 bit words on the stack by Declaring the LowPart and HighPart separately: See code below. Notice that the SetFilePointerEx Declare statement shows 5 parameters.

Fox just puts those parameters on the stack and the Win API call proceeds merrily along.

The sample code just opens a file and does a SEEK to a 64 bit offset into the file and shows the resulting position pointer.

(thanks to Jim Saunders for the problem and sample code).

```
1
#DEFINE CREATE NEW
#DEFINE CREATE_ALWAYS
                                           2
#DEFINE OPEN EXISTING
                                           3
#DEFINE FILE ATTRIBUTE NORMAL
                                        128
#DEFINE GENERIC_READ 2147483648 && 0x80000000
#DEFINE GENERIC_WRITE 1073741824 && 0x40000000
#DEFINE GENERIC_ALL 268435456 && 0x10000000
#DEFINE MAXIMUM_ALLOWED 33554432 && 0x02000000
#DEFINE STANDARD_RIGHTS_ALL 2031616 && 0x001F0000
#DEFINE FILE_SHARE_READ
                                           1
#DEFINE FILE SHARE WRITE
                                           2
#DEFINE FILE_SHARE_DELETE
                                           4
#DEFINE INVALID HANDLE VALUE
#DEFINE FILE_BEGIN 0
#DEFINE FILE_CURRENT 1
#DEFINE FILE_END 2
#DEFINE MAXDWORD 4294967295
CLEAR
DECLARE INTEGER CreateFile IN kernel32 STRING
                                                     lpFileName,;
        INTEGER dwDesiredAccess, INTEGER dwShareMode,;
                 lpSecurityAttr, INTEGER dwCreationDisp,;
        INTEGER dwFlagsAndAttrs, INTEGER hTemplateFile
DECLARE INTEGER CloseHandle IN kernel32 INTEGER hObject
DECLARE long GetLastError in win32api
DECLARE long SetFilePointerEx IN kernel32;
    long hnd,;
    long lDistanceToMoveL,;
    long lDistanceToMoveH,;
    string @lpNewFilePointer,;
    long dwMoveMethod
filename = "E:\VirtualPCs\MyVirtualPCHardDisk.VHD"
fh = CreateFile(filename, ;
             GENERIC READ,;
             FILE SHARE READ,;
             0.;
             OPEN EXISTING,;
             FILE ATTRIBUTE NORMAL,;
             0)
 IF fh = INVALID HANDLE VALUE
 = MESSAGEBOX("Could not open "+filename, 16, "")
  return
 ENDIF
cNewFilePointer = REPLICATE(CHR(0),8)
lDistanceToMove = 2^32+5
*1DistanceToMove = 2000
?"Dist=",lDistanceToMove
cpH=INT(lDistanceToMove/2^32)
cpL=1DistanceToMove - cpH * 2^32
?"High, Low=", cph, cpl
nret= SetFilePointerEx(fh, cpL,cpH, @cNewFilePointer, FILE BEGIN)
*nret= SetFilePointerEx(fh, cpL,cpH, @cNewFilePointer, FILE_END)
IF nRet = 0
?"Error",GetLastError()
newfp=CToLI(cNewFilePointer)
?"Newfp=", newfp, LOG10 (newfp)
=closehandle(fh)
CLEAR DLLS
RETURN
PROCEDURE CToLI(str as String) as Number && str is an 8 byte string
```

```
LOCAL num, i
num=0

FOR i = 0 TO 7

* ?i,ASC(SUBSTR(str,i+1)),TRANSFORM(ASC(SUBSTR(str,i+1)),"@0x")

num = num + 256^i * ASC(SUBSTR(str,i+1))

ENDFOR

RETURN num
```

54461

Comments



余啊雷 28 Mar 2005 4:32 PM #



余啊雷 26 Sep 2006 2:13 AM #

I was browsing MSDN, and I came across this article: A Programmer's Perspective on NTFS 2000 Part 1:...



hellwood.ru 4 Sep 2008 5:38 AM #

Журнал о медицине



Victor Savitskiy 19 Jan 2009 4:32 PM # Calvin,

I've tried to write FGETS64() based on your code, but appeared that I might need FOPEN64(), FCLOSE64(), FREAD64(), FSEEK64() as well. Additionally, SetFilePointerEx() design triggers FGETS64() will be different from FGETS().

Some people are doing the same with Windows Scripting Host File System Object (WSH FSO). What is the benefit of using API instead of WSH FSO?



Frank 13 Jan 2011 1:51 AM #

How can I get for example -1 when using LowPart and HighPart?

I tried nret= SetFilePointerEx(fh, 1,-0, @cNewFilePointer, FILE_CURRENT), but it doesn't seem to work, because -0 is interpreted as 0.