## Case Study - Software Restriction Policies and Large EXE Files

ntdebug 18 Jan 2010 4:06 AM 0

Recently I received a debugging request for a customer having problems running large executables. On their systems, they could run most EXEs without any problems, but they had two programs that were over 1.8 GB which, when run, would display the following error:



If they tried to run them in a command prompt, they received the message "Access is denied." Both attempts were made with an administrator account and in neither case were the processes created. Through testing, they found that the programs worked if they were scheduled to run as System and also worked when run in safe mode as an administrator.

When the case was brought to my attention, it was noted that when the failing executables were run, the following appeared in process monitor logs:



The engineer did not see this when one of the problematic EXEs was run (successfully) on his test machine. The customer provided a VM image of their system which we set up in HyperV with a named pipe kernel debugger. I then started kernel debugging to find the cause of the INVALID PARAMETER error, hoping that resolving it would fix the issue.

To start, I looked at the call stack within process monitor for the invalid parameter:

Frame	Module	Location
<b>K</b> 0	fltmgr.sys	FltpPerformPreCallbacks + 0x2d4
K 1	fltmgr.sys	FltpPassThroughInternal + 0x32
<b>K</b> 2	fltmgr.sys	FltpPassThrough + 0x1c2
<b>K</b> 3	fltmgr.sys	FltpDispatch + 0x10d
K 4	ntkrnlpa.exe	lofCallDriver + 0x45
<b>K</b> 5	ntkrnlpa.exe	lopSynchronousServiceTail + 0x10b
<b>K</b> 6	ntkrnlpa.exe	lopXxxControlFile + 0x5e5
<b>K</b> 7	ntkrnlpa.exe	NtDeviceloControlFile + 0x2a
K 8	ntkrnlpa.exe	KiFastCallEntry + 0xfc
<b>U</b> 9	kernel32.dll	GetVolumeNameForRoot + 0x16d
<b>U</b> 10	kernel32.dll	BasepGetVolumeNameForVolumeMountPoint + 0x73
<b>U</b> 11	kernel32.dll	GetVolumePathNameW + 0x1c7
<b>U</b> 12	ADVAPI32.dll	CodeAuthzFullyQualifyFilename + 0xbc
<b>U</b> 13	ADVAPI32.dll	CodeAuthzpldentifyOneCodeAuthzLevel + 0x19f
<b>U</b> 14	ADVAPI32.dll	SaferIdentifyLevel + 0x163
<b>U</b> 15	kernel32.dll	BasepCheckWinSaferRestrictions + 0x60c
<b>U</b> 16	kernel32.dll	CreateProcessInternalW + 0xc0e
<b>U</b> 17	kernel32.dll	CreateProcessW + 0x2c
<b>U</b> 18	cmd.exe	ExecPgm + 0x221
<b>U</b> 19	cmd.exe	ECWork + 0x84
<b>U</b> 20	cmd.exe	ExtCom + 0x40
U 21	cmd.exe	FindFixAndRun + 0xa9
<b>U</b> 22	cmd.exe	Dispatch + 0x137
<b>U</b> 23	cmd.exe	main + 0x216
U 24	cmd.exe	mainCRTStartup + 0x12f
<b>U</b> 25	kernel32.dll	BaseProcessStart + 0x23

The problem is this isn't exactly where we return invalid parameter. Looking at the source code for Fltmgr, it doesn't return invalid parameter - this was just where the error was

caught in procmon. This call stack did provide some ideas for good starting places to debug, however. First, I looked up the hex value for STATUS\_INVALID\_PARAMETER in ntstatus.h – 0xC000000D. Knowing this, I decided to set a breakpoint on nt!lofCallDriver and ran the program. Once the debugger broke in, I planned to use **wt -oR**. This will trace through the calls displaying the return values next to each call. From there, I would just need to find 0xC000000D on the return column. Unfortunately, what I had forgotten was **wt** does not display return codes in kernel debugging, only when debugging user mode.

With wt not an option, I decided to use a combination of debugger commands to approximate the output of wt. I knew the return value I was looking for, and I was also confident that I would find that code in the EAX register after the problem occurred. As such, I needed to write a loop that would walk through the instructions until it found 0xC000000D in EAX. The debugger provides two main options for walking instructions: p and t. p (Step) will execute a single instruction and display the register values. If the instruction is a call, it will not enter that function, but just display the results after that subroutine has been executed. t (Trace) also executes a single instruction, but it will enter into the function and will display each instruction.

In this case I wanted trace so I could see which function was returning the invalid parameter status. Tracing though that many instructions/functions would take a long time, but there are some variations on t (and p) that can help. tc (or pc)will execute instructions until a call statement is reached, where it will break and show the register values. tt (or pt) will execute instructions until a return instruction is reached. tct (or pct) will run until either a call or return is reached. In this case, I opted for tct.

Knowing that I would use tct, I had to find a way to execute tct statements until EAX was the value I was looking for. This can be accomplished with the z (While) debugger command. The syntax is pretty easy, it's just z(expression) and it works just like a do-while loop. Putting it all together, I used this command in the debugger:

tct; z(eax!=0xc000000d)

I then waited for the debugger to break in so I could see where this status was being thrown. Regrettably, the code called ended up going in to some recursion which made my while loop take way too long. To resolve this, I set a new breakpoint just before we entered the recursion, reran the program, used **p** to step past the call then ran the **tct** loop.

This time I was quickly brought to the code I was looking for. As soon as it broke in, I ran k to view the callstack:

kd> k

ChildEBP RetAddr b9541a3c f7b7fab9 Ntfs!NtfsCommonDeviceControl+0x40 b9541aa0 f7b8b02f Ntfs!NtfsFsdDispatchSwitch+0xe4 b9541bbc 8081df85 Ntfs!NtfsFsdDispatchWait+0x1c b9541bd0 f7876d28 nt!lofCallDriver+0x45 b9541bfc 8081df85 fltmgr!FltpDispatch+0x152 b9541c10 f7876d28 nt!lofCallDriver+0x45 b9541c3c 8081df85 fltmgr!FltpDispatch+0x152 b9541c50 808f5437 nt!lofCallDriver+0x45 b9541c64 808f61bf nt!lopSynchronousServiceTail+0x10b b9541d00 808eed08 nt!lopXxxControlFile+0x5e5 b9541d34 808897bc nt!NtDeviceloControlFile+0x2a b9541d34 7c82860c nt!KiFastCallEntry+0xfc 0012e960 7c826fe9 ntdll!KiFastSystemCallRet 0012e964 77e416f9 ntdll!NtDeviceloControlFile+0xc 0012e9c8 77e6738d kernel32!DeviceloControl+0x137 0012ec44 77e67032 kernel32!GetVolumeNameForRoot+0x16d 0012ec68 77e67782 kernel32!BasepGetVolumeNameForVolumeMountPoint+0x73 0012ecd0 7d20b01d kernel32!GetVolumePathNameW+0x1c7 0012ef18 7d20ae2c ADVAPI32!CodeAuthzFullyQualifyFilename+0xbc 0012eff8 7d20b33f ADVAPI32!\_\_CodeAuthzpldentifyOneCodeAuthzLevel+0x19f 0012f07c 77e6df9e ADVAPI32!SaferIdentifyLevel+0x163 0012f278 77e6ce03 kernel32!BasepCheckWinSaferRestrictions+0x60c 0012fa90 77e424b0 kernel32!CreateProcessInternalW+0xc0e 0012fac8 4ad0256f kernel32!CreateProcessW+0x2c 0012fc24 4ad01a2b cmd!ExecPqm+0x221 0012fc58 4ad019b3 cmd!ECWork+0x84 0012fc70 4ad03c58 cmd!ExtCom+0x40 0012fe9c 4ad01447 cmd!FindFixAndRun+0xa9 0012fee0 4ad0c30b cmd!Dispatch+0x137 0012ff44 4ad07786 cmd!main+0x216 0012ffc0 77e6f23b cmd!mainCRTStartup+0x12f 0012fff0 00000000 kernel32!BaseProcessStart+0x23

If we look at the assembly around Ntfs!NtfsCommonDeviceControl+0x40, we see that only if our return from NtfsDecodeFileObject is not equal to 4 it would move 0xC000000D in to esi, and then move esi to eax, :

f7b7faf9 e8e904fdff call Ntfs!NtfsDecodeFileObject (f7b4ffe7)
f7b7fafe 83f804 cmp eax,4
f7b7fb01 0f848873ffff je Ntfs!NtfsCommonDeviceControl+0x54 (f7b76e8f)
Ntfs!NtfsCommonDeviceControl+0x40:
f7b7fafe 83f804 cmp eax,4
f7b7fb01 0f848873ffff je Ntfs!NtfsCommonDeviceControl+0x54 (f7b76e8f)
f7b7fb07 be0d0000c0 mov esi,0C000000Dh
f7b7fb0c 56 push esi
f7b7fb04 53 push ebx
f7b7fb06 57 push edi
f7b7fb06 e83506fdff call Ntfs!NtfsCompleteRequest (f7b50149)
f7b7fb14 8bc6 mov eax,esi

I looked at the source code for these functions, and it didn't make sense that a failure here would cause the problems we were seeing; especially specific to large executables. Out of curiosity I ran notepad on the VM again with procmon and found that it too displayed INVALID PARAMETER, but the program started and ran correctly:



Since this wasn't the problem, I stopped reviewing the code and decided on a new approach. We knew that when running the EXE in a command prompt we received an "Access is denied message". At that point it made sense to switch to user mode debugging and take a look at the cmd.exe process that was trying to launch install.exe

Doing user mode debugging in a VM can be a bit of a challenge, especially if you are trying to minimize changes to the VM (and in my case, avoid putting any symbols on the customer's VM image). Since I already had a kernel debugger attached, one option would be to run ntsd.exe (debugger provided in the Debugging Tools for Windows) on the VM

with the -p switch specifying the PID of the cmd.exe process I wanted to debug and -d switch which forwards the i/o of ntsd to the kernel debugger. The problem with this approach is the kernel debugger just becomes a front end for issuing commands and seeing the output from ntsd. That means all symbol resolution is still done on the target system running ntsd.

I didn't want to give the customer VM Internet or corporate network access, so I instead opted to run dbgsrv.exe on the VM. Running "dbgsrv-t tcp:port=9999" tells the debug server to listen on TCP port 9999 for debugger connections. Then, on the HyperV server computer I could just run windbg -premote tcp:server=(IP of VM),port=9999 -p (PID of cmd on VM) to debug it.

I suspected that we may be calling CreateProcess but it was failing, so I set a breakpoint on kernel32!CreateProcessW. Sure enough, it hit when I tried to run install.exe in the command prompt:

0:000> k
ChildEBP RetAddr
0012fac8 4ad0256f kernel32!CreateProcessW
0012fac8 4ad01a2b cmd!ExecPgm+0x221
0012fc58 4ad019b3 cmd!ECWork+0x84
0012fc70 4ad03c58 cmd!ExtCom+0x40
0012fe9c 4ad01447 cmd!FindFixAndRun+0xa9
0012fee0 4ad0c30b cmd!Dispatch+0x137
0012ff44 4ad07786 cmd!main+0x216
0012ffc0 77e6f23b cmd!mainCRTStartup+0x12f
0012fff0 00000000 kernel32!BaseProcessStart+0x23

This time I could use **wt -oR** since this was a usermode debug. Looking in ntstatus.h again, the code for STATUS\_ACCESS\_DENIED is 0xC0000022. Running **wt** can take a very long time, so I used the -I switch, which limits the number of levels deep it will display. This would be something like using **tct** as I did above until you were a few calls deep then using **pct**. Using **wt -I 3 -oR** gave me the following:

```
575
       291
               [ 1] kernel32!CreateProcessInternalW
35
                [ 2] kernel32!BasepCheckWinSaferRestrictions
                [ 3] ntdll!RtlEnterCriticalSection eax = 0
25
       0
                [2] kernel32!BasepCheckWinSaferRestrictions
48
       25
1
       0
                [ 3] ntdll!NtOpenThreadToken
3
       0
                [ 3] ntdll!ZwOpenThreadToken eax = fffffff c000007c
57
       29
                [2] kernel32!BasepCheckWinSaferRestrictions
                [3] ntdll!ZwOpenProcessToken
1
3
       0
                [ 3] ntdll!NtOpenProcessToken eax = 0
                [2] kernel32!BasepCheckWinSaferRestrictions
116
       33
                [ 3] ADVAPI32!SaferIdentifyLevel eax = 0
113
       0
130
       146
               [2] kernel32!BasepCheckWinSaferRestrictions
                [ 3] ntdll!ZwClose eax = 0
        0
132
               [2] kernel32!BasepCheckWinSaferRestrictions
       150
22
       0
                [ 3] ntdll!RtlLeaveCriticalSection eax = 0
               [2] kernel32!BasepCheckWinSaferRestrictions
138
       172
                [ 3] kernel32!__security_check_cookie eax = ffffffffc0000022
```

Now we are getting close! I set a new breakpoint for kernel32!BasepCheckWinSaferRestrictions and reran the test. This gave me the following line:

```
63 0 [ 3] ADVAPI32!__CodeAuthzpCheckIdentityHashRules eax = ffffffffc0000022
```

One last run with a new breakpoint at ADVAPI32!\_\_CodeAuthzpCheckIdentityHashRules and I found what I was looking for:

```
58 218 [ 1] ADVAPI32!__CodeAuthzpEnsureMapped eax = ffffffff c0000022
```

The depth is shown in brackets. As this call was 1 deep from \_\_CodeAuthzpCheckIdentityHashRules and I was using 3 as my maximum depth in wt, I knew this is where the STATUS\_ACCESS\_DENIED was coming from. I reviewed the source code and found that this is the code that performs Software Restriction Policy checking. Specifically, we are attempting to map the executable into memory to perform hash checking on it. Since there isn't 1.8 GB of contiguous available memory, it failed. Looking at the VM, I discovered that the customer had implemented a number of software restriction policies. As a test, I removed their restrictions on the VM, and the programs ran successfully. A search of the KB revealed that a hotfix was published for this problem: 973825. Installing the hotfix in the article also resolved the issue with their policies intact.

-Matt Burrough