## Architectural Design Phase Defects Checklist <sup>1</sup>

| Nr. | Check Point / Defect Statement   | Check Mark (√) the<br>Appropriate Column |              |
|-----|--|--|--------------|
|     |  | Yes                                      | N/A          |
| A01 | Is the overall organization of the program clear, including good architectural overview?   |  |              |
| A02 | Is the subsystem and package partitioning and layering logically consistent?   |  |              |
| A03 | Does the architecture account for all of the requirements?   |  |              |
| A04 | Are the classes in a subsystem supporting the services identified for the subsystem?   |  |              |
| A05 | Is there a coherent error handling strategy provided?  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| A06 | Have classic design patterns been considered where they might be incorporated into the architecture?   |  |              |
| A07 | Is the name and description of each class clearly reflecting the played role ?   |  |              |
| A08 | Is the description of each class accurately capturing the responsibilities of the class?   |  |              |
| A09 | Are the role names of aggregations and associations accurately describing the relationship between the related classes?                          |  |              |
| A10 | Are the key entity classes and their relationships consistent with the business model (if it exists), domain model (if it exists), requirements? |  |              |

https://openregulatory.com/or template/software-architecture-checklist/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> sursa: