

GMSE: an R package for generalised management strategy evaluation

Supporting Information 3

A. Bradley Duthie^{1,3}, Jeremy J. Cusack¹, Isabel L. Jones¹, Erlend B. Nilsen², Rocío A. Pozo¹, O. Sarobidy Rakotonarivo¹, Bram Van Moorter², and Nils Bunnefeld¹

[1] Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling, UK [2] Norwegian Institute for Nature Research, Trondheim, Norway [3] alexander.duthie@stir.ac.uk

An example of management conflict using GMSE

Agents in GMSE (managers and users) are goal-oriented, and their behaviour is therefore driven to maximise a particular utility of interest such as a target density of resources (managers), or a suitable resource or landscape harvest size (users). This model feature allows GMSE to evaluate the actions of agents in the context of their individual objectives, and to therefore quantify the degree to which those objectives are or are not achieved. When the actions of one party clashes with the objectives of another party, the objectives of one might be expressed at the expense of the other, causing conservation conflict (Redpath et al., 2013). Currently, there is no standard way to measure conservation conflict in a social-ecological system, and previous modelling approaches have not meaningfully separated agent objectives from agent actions. We suggest that a useful starting point to developing such a metric is to quantify the deviation of an individual's actions from their objectives, the former of which is restricted by the actions of other individuals. Here we show how GMSE can be used to evaluate the amount of conflict in a simulated social-ecological system under different management options.

To demonstrate how GMSE can be used to understand conflict in social-ecological systems, we build upon the [example of resource management](#) in the main text. We consider a protected population of waterfowl that exploits agricultural land and is therefore a source of conservation conflict (e.g., Fox and Madsen, 2017; Mason et al., 2017; Tulloch et al., 2017). As in the main text example, the objective of managers is to keep waterfowl at a target abundance, while the objective of farmers is to maximise agricultural production on their landscape. Here we consider a more complex simulation to reflect a scenario likely to occur in a real social-ecological system. In contrast to the main text, we consider a population of waterfowl that is abundant enough to substantially decrease yield. We consider a community of 100 farmers, each of which has a farm of 50 landscape cells, which could be interpreted as hectares of arable cropland. We additionally include landscape cells that are not owned by farmers using the `public_land` argument; these cells can be interpreted as public land on which waterfowl might forage, but which do not affect farmers' agricultural production. We further assume an intrinsic growth rate of 0.5, and other parameters as provided by literature for geese (fix this).

```
sim <- gmse(land_ownership = TRUE, stakeholders = 100, observe_type = 0,
  res_death_K = 20000, manage_target = 10000, RESOURCE_ini = 8000,
  user_budget = 1000, manager_budget = 8000, res_consume = 1,
  scaring = FALSE, plotting = FALSE, public_land = 0.5);
```

```
## [1] "Initialising simulations ... "
## [1] "Generation 2 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 3 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 4 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 5 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 6 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 7 of 100"
```

```
## [1] "Generation 8 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 9 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 10 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 11 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 12 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 13 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 14 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 15 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 16 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 17 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 18 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 19 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 20 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 21 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 22 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 23 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 24 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 25 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 26 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 27 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 28 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 29 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 30 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 31 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 32 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 33 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 34 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 35 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 36 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 37 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 38 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 39 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 40 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 41 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 42 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 43 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 44 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 45 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 46 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 47 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 48 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 49 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 50 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 51 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 52 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 53 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 54 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 55 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 56 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 57 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 58 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 59 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 60 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 61 of 100"
```

```
## [1] "Generation 62 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 63 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 64 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 65 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 66 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 67 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 68 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 69 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 70 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 71 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 72 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 73 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 74 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 75 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 76 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 77 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 78 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 79 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 80 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 81 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 82 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 83 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 84 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 85 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 86 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 87 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 88 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 89 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 90 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 91 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 92 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 93 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 94 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 95 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 96 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 97 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 98 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 99 of 100"
## [1] "Generation 100 of 100"
```

The results are plotted below.

```
plot_gmse_results(res = sim$resource, obs = sim$observation, land = sim$land,
                  agents = sim$agents, paras = sim$paras, ACTION = sim$action,
                  COST = sim$cost);
```

References

- Fox, A. D. and Madsen, J. (2017). Threatened species to super-abundance: The unexpected international implications of successful goose conservation. *Ambio*, 46:179–187.
- Mason, T. H., Keane, A., Redpath, S. M., and Bunnefeld, N. (2017). The changing environment of conservation conflict: geese and farming in Scotland. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, page *In press*.

- Redpath, S. M., Young, J., Evely, A., Adams, W. M., Sutherland, W. J., Whitehouse, A., Amar, A., Lambert, R. A., Linnell, J. D. C., Watt, A., and Gutiérrez, R. J. (2013). Understanding and managing conservation conflicts. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 28:100–109.
- Tulloch, A. I. T., Nicol, S., and Bunnefeld, N. (2017). Quantifying the expected value of uncertain management choices for over-abundant Greylag Geese. *Biological Conservation*, 214:147–155.