GMSE: an R package for generalised management strategy evaluation

Supporting Information 4

A. Bradley Duthie[13], Jeremy J. Cusack[1], Isabel L. Jones[1], Erlend B. Nilsen[2], Rocío A. Pozo[1], O. Sarobidy Rakotonarivo[1], Bram Van Moorter[2], and Nils Bunnefeld[1]

[1] Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling, UK [2] Norwegian Institute for Nature Research, Trondheim, Norway [3] alexander.duthie@stir.ac.uk

Fine-tuning simulation conditions using `gmse_apply`

Here we demonstrate how simulations in GMSE can be more fine-tuned to specific empirical situations through the use of `gmse_apply`. To do this, we use the same scenario described in Supporting Information 3; we first recreate the basic scenario run in `gmse` using `gmse_apply`, and then build in additional modelling details including (1) custom placement of user land, (2) parameterisation of individual user budgets, and (3) density-dependent movement of resources. We emphasise that these simulations are provided only to demonstrate the use of GMSE, and specifically to show the flexibility of the `gmse_apply` function, not to accurately recreate the dynamics of a specific system or make management recommendations.

We reconsider the case of a protected waterfowl population that exploits agricultural land (e.g., Fox and Madsen, 2017; Mason et al., 2017; Tulloch et al., 2017; Cusack et al., 2018). The manager attempts to keep the watefowl at a target abundance, while users (farmers) attempt to maximise agricultural yield on the land that they own. We again parameterise our model using demographic information from the Taiga Bean Goose (*Anser fabalis fabalis*), as reported by Johnson et al. (2018) and AEWA (2016). Relevant parameter values are listed in the table below.

Table 1: GMSE simulation parameter values inspired by Johnson et al. (2018) and AEWA (2016)

Parameter	Value	Description
`remove_pr`	0.122	Goose density-independent mortality probability
`lambda`	0.275	Expected offspring production per time step
`res_death_K`	93870	Goose carrying capacity (on adult mortality)
`RESOURCE_ini`	35000	Initial goose abundance
`manage_target`	70000	Manager's target goose abundance
`res_death_type`	3	Mortality (density and density-indepent sources)

Additionally, we continue to use the following values for consistency.

Table 2: Non-default GMSE parameter values chosen by authors

Parameter	Value	Description
`manager_budget`	10000	Manager's budget for setting policy options
`user_budget`	10000	Users' budgets for actions
`public_land`	0.4	Proportion of the landscape that is public
`stakeholders`	80	Number of stakeholders
`land_ownership`	TRUE	Users own landscape cells
`res_consume`	0.02	Landscape cell output consumed by a resource

Parameter	Value	Description
`observe_type`	3	Observation model type (survey)
`agent_view`	1	Cells managers can see when conducting a survey

All other values are set to GMSE defaults, except where specifically noted otherwise.

References

AEWA (2016). International single species action plan for the conservation of the Taiga Bean Goose (*Anser fabalis fabalis*).

Cusack, J. J., Duthie, A. B., Rakotonarivo, S., Pozo, R. A., Mason, T. H. E., Månsson, J., Nilsson, L., Tombre, I. M., Eythórsson, E., Madsen, J., Tulloch, A., Hearn, R. D., Redpath, S., and Bunnefeld, N. (2018). Time series analysis reveals synchrony and asynchrony between conflict management effort and increasing large grazing bird populations in northern Europe. *Conservation Letters*, page e12450.

Fox, A. D. and Madsen, J. (2017). Threatened species to super-abundance: The unexpected international implications of successful goose conservation. *Ambio*, 46(s2):179–187.

Johnson, F. A., Alhainen, M., Fox, A. D., Madsen, J., and Guillemain, M. (2018). Making do with less: Must sparse data preclude informed harvest strategies for European waterbirds. *Ecological Applications*, 28(2):427–441.

Mason, T. H., Keane, A., Redpath, S. M., and Bunnefeld, N. (2017). The changing environment of conservation conflict: geese and farming in Scotland. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, pages 1–12.

Tulloch, A. I. T., Nicol, S., and Bunnefeld, N. (2017). Quantifying the expected value of uncertain management choices for over-abundant Greylag Geese. *Biological Conservation*, 214:147–155.