

test

Adrian Eriksen



Thesis submitted for the degree of
Master in Language Technology
60 credits

Department of Informatics
Faculty of mathematics and natural sciences

UNIVERSITY OF OSLO

Autumn 2020

test

© 2020 Adrian Eriksen

test

<http://www.duo.uio.no/>

Printed: Reprosentralen, University of Oslo

Introduction

In this essay I will explain the challenges of cross-domain sentiment analysis and how we might use transfer learning to solve it. Sentiment analysis is the process of trying to understand the sentiment behind a statement or document using machine learning. This can, among other things, be used to get information from reviews that can provide useful information. There are many different ways to formulate a sentiment. A movie review might state "The movie is not bad at all." If we simply look for words like "bad" and classify them as negative, we will get inaccurate results.

First, I will explain some of the technologies that has created the foundation for what we now use in language technology.

- Structure of essay -

Pretraining:

BERT

ELMO

task specific

Sentiment Analysis

Sentiment analysis (SA) is the computational treatment of opinions, sentiments and subjectivity of texts. SA has a lot of different utilities. It can be used for labelling reviews of movies or books, opinion mining from sites like Twitter and determining whether a written text is casual, informative or friendly, like Grammarly.

One of the original challenges with SA was that sentiment is rarely identifiable by keywords alone [1]

Pretraining

Pretraining language models is one of the key components of natural language processing. Historically we have pretrained a model for a single task. If we wanted to make a model that can predict whether a movie review is positive or negative, we would feed the model a large amount of labelled reviews so that it may learn the differences. The challenges this raises lies in how exactly this data should be stored in the model, and figuring out what to focus on when learning. In NLP there are a lot of ways to approach text processing. The most intuitive way might be to split the words by sentences (punctuations) and whitespaces (words) and have the model learn which words and sentences are the most recurring in the different reviews, disregarding universally common words like 'the'. In addition, n-grams have been used to try and get a deeper understanding of context. Instead of only looking at one word at a time, we look at the current word as well as the n previous words. This is just one of many techniques that can be applied to improve the model. Others include POS-tagging, NP chunking and Named Entity Recognition to mention some.

There are however a couple of challenges when considering the different types of text processing for pretraining language models. A lot of text processing requires a large amount of manual labour beforehand. While splitting sentences and words in most cases are a trivial task for a program to solve, POS-tagging and NER are not. There is a fairly large amount of data that already have this, namely the Brown Corpus, but limiting language models to only learn from one source would be crippling in regards to making newer and better models. In addition, if we would feed all the additional information about every word and sentence to the model, the computational power required would be insurmountable.

The large amount of information that each word could contain, turned out to be solvable by vectorization (embedding). It was discovered that by mapping each word to a vector, we could keep a lot of it's properties without having to process all of it's information. By looking at which words appeared in the same

context, we could place synonyms close to each other in the vector space. If we take the example of the words "king" and "queen", they would be placed close to each other in the vector space, along with words like "royalty" and "palace". However, "queen" would be closer to "female", while "king" would be closer to "male". One of the main problems remained, however. Training the embeddings on a large dataset is still very expensive in both time, energy and resources.

Vectorization:

ELMo - Embeddings from Language Models was the next step in the evolution of word vectorization. Where we previously assigned a vector to each word, ELMo looks at the context the word appears in. If we take the word "fall", this could have multiple meanings. One being the verb "to fall", another being the time of year as in "autumn". With traditional embeddings, we would learn the vectors based on a dataset and assign only one vector to "fall". One of the revolutionary things that ELMo did, is that each token is assigned a representation that is a function of the entire input sentence. In other words, the embedding assigned to "fall" is calculated from the sentence it appears in. The way ELMo does this, is by using a bidirectional long short-term memory (BiLSTM) RNN to calculate the probability of both previous and future words in the sentence, before returning the contextualized embedding.

Finetuning:

BERT - Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers is probably the most influential invention in NLP in recent years. Upon its release in 2018, it obtained state-of-the-art results on eleven NLP tasks in a variety of fields. Whereas previous language representation models like OpenAI GPT had been unidirectional, BERT uses attention mechanisms to learn the contextual relations between words. The way BERT does this is by using a "masked language model" (MLM) pre-training objective. First, the model replaces some of the words in the dataset with the [MASK] token, then the model attempts to predict the actual value of the token, based on the context provided by the unmasked words in the sentence. Next, the model does "next sentence prediction" (NSP). By pairing 50% of the sentences in the dataset, BERT is tasked with predicting whether the next sentence in a document is actually the next sentence, with a 50% chance it will be. This has proven very useful for tasks like question answering, where models are required to produce fine-grained output at the token level. Upon the release of the paper Google also released the models used in the paper, BERT_{BASE} and BERT_{LARGE}. These are both incredibly large models with 110M and 340M parameters respectively. Training a model of this size requires an enormous amount of computational power, energy and time. By making both the code and pre-trained models from the paper publicly available, it became possible for small research groups with limited computational power and funding, to fine-tune BERT and apply it as they saw fit.

Transfer Learning

Transfer learning is a means to extract knowledge from a source setting and apply it to a different target setting

Bibliography

- [1] B. Pang, L. Lee, and S. Vaithyanathan, “Thumbs up? sentiment classification using machine learning techniques,” in *Proceedings of the 2002 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP 2002)*, pp. 79–86, Association for Computational Linguistics, July 2002.