Advanced Machine Learning Seminar 3

Exercise 1 \mathcal{H} – finite hypothesis class $VC\dim(\mathcal{H}) \leq \lfloor \log_2(|\mathcal{H}|) \rfloor$ – upper bound

1. example of \mathcal{H} infinite, \mathcal{H} contains functions $h: [0,1] \to \{0,1\}$ and $VC \dim(\mathcal{H}) = 1$ Take $\mathcal{H}_{threshold}$ restricted to [0,1]

$$\mathcal{H}_{threshold,[0,1]} = \left\{ h_a \colon [0,1] \to \{0,1\}, \ h_a(x) = \mathbb{1}_{[x < a]}, \ a \in [0,1] \right\}$$
$$h_a(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \le x < a \le 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 $VC\dim(\mathcal{H}_{threshold,[0,1]})=1$ (very similar proof with the one provided in lecture 6)

2. $\mathcal{H} = \{h_a, h_b\}$ – has only two functions h_a and h_b

Take $h_a, h_b \in \mathcal{H}_{threshold,[0,1]}$.

 $h_a = h_{0.5}, h_b = h_{0.75}$

Take $A = \{0.6\}$. \mathcal{H} shatters A because \mathcal{H}_A has two functions $h_a(0.6) = 0$ and $h_b(0.6) = 1$.

 $|\mathcal{H}_A| = 2^{|A|} = 2^1 = 2$

 \mathcal{H} cannot shatter any set A of min ≥ 2 points (\mathcal{H} has only 2 functions).

So $VC \dim (\mathcal{H}) = 1 = \lfloor log_2(|\mathcal{H}|) \rfloor$

Exercise 2 \mathcal{H}^d_{rec} – class of axis aligned rectangles in \mathbb{R}^d . In lecture 6 we proved that $VC\dim(\mathcal{H}^2_{rec})=4$. We want to show, in the general case, that $VC\dim(\mathcal{H}^d_{rec})=2d$.

$$\mathcal{H}_{rec}^{d} = \{ h_{(a_1,b_1,a_2,b_2,...,a_d,b_d)} \mid a_i \le b_i, i = \overline{1,d} \}$$

$$h_{(a_1,b_1,a_2,b_2,...,a_d,b_d)}(\underline{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & a_i \le x^i \le b_i \quad \forall i = \overline{1,d} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In order to show that $VC\dim(\mathcal{H}_{rec}^d)=2d$, we need to show that:

- 1) there exists a set C of 2d points that is shattered by \mathcal{H}^d_{rec} (this will mean that $VC\dim(\mathcal{H}^d_{rec}) \geq 2d$)
- 2) every set C of 2d+1 points is not shattered by \mathcal{H}^d_{rec} (this will mean that $VC\dim(\mathcal{H}^d_{rec}) < 2d+1$)

Let's prove 1).

Consider $C = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{2d-1}, c_{2d}\}$ where

$$\begin{array}{lll} c_1 &= (1,0,0,\ldots,0) &= e_1 \\ c_2 &= (0,1,0,\ldots,0) &= e_2 \\ &\vdots \\ c_d &= (0,0,0,\ldots,1) &= e_d & c_i = e_i = -c_{i+d} \\ c_{d+1} &= (-1,0,0,\ldots,0) &= -e_1 & \forall i = \overline{1,d} \\ c_{d+2} &= (0,-1,0,\ldots,0) &= -e_2 \\ &\vdots \\ c_{2d} &= (0,0,0,\ldots,-1) &= -e_d \end{array}$$

For d=2, we will have in 2 dimensions:

$$c_1 = (1,0)$$
 $c_2 = (0,1)$ $c_3 = (-1,0)$ $c_4 = (0,-1)$

We want to show that, for each labeling $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2d})$ of the points $(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{2d})$ (there are 2^{2d} possible labelings), there exists a function h in \mathcal{H}_{rec}^d such that $h(c_i) = y_i \ \forall i = \overline{1,2d}$.

(1, 0)

(0, 1)

Consider a labeling $(y_1, y_2, ..., y_{2d}) \in \{0, 1\}^{2d}$.

Each point c_i has all components = 0, apart from component i if $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ or i - d if $i \in \{d + 1, \dots, 2d\}$.

We want to find $h = h_{(a_1,b_1,a_2,b_2,...,a_d,b_d)}$ such that $h_{(a_1,b_1,a_2,b_2,...,a_d,b_d)}(c_i) = y_i$. The choice of the interval $[a_i,b_i]$ is influenced by the labels y_i and y_{i+d} of the points c_i and c_{i+d} . As all other points $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{i-1}, c_{i+1}, \ldots, c_{i+d-1}, c_{i+d+1}, \ldots, c_{2d}$ have 0 on the *i*-th component, we have that $[a_i, b_i]$ should contain 0, otherwise each point will be labeled with 0.

So $[a_i, b_i]$ depends on y_i and y_{i+d} , and $[a_i, b_i]$ decides basically the label of points c_i and c_{i+d} :

$$c_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$$
 $c_{i+d} = (0, \dots, 0, -1, 0, \dots, 0)$

Possible cases:

- $y_i = 0, y_{i+d} = 0, \text{ then } [a_i, b_i] \cap \{-1, 1\} = \emptyset$ $[a_i, b_i]$ should not contain points -1 and 1. In this case, take $a_i = -0.5, b_i = 0.5$ (many other choices are possible)
- $y_i = 0, y_{i+d} = 1$, then $[a_i, b_i] \cap \{-1, 1\} = \{-1\}$ $[a_i, b_i]$ should contain only point -1 such that c_{i+d} will get label 1. In this case, take $a_i = -2, b_i = 0.5$ (many other choices are possible)
- $y_i = 1, y_{i+d} = 0$, then $[a_i, b_i] \cap \{-1, 1\} = \{1\}$ $[a_i, b_i]$ should contain only point +1 such that c_i will get label 1. In this case, take $a_i = -0.5, b_i = 2$ (many other choices are possible)
- $y_i = 1, y_{i+d} = 1, \text{ then } [a_i, b_i] \cap \{-1, 1\} = \{-1, 1\}$ $[a_i, b_i]$ should contain both points $\{-1, 1\}$ such that c_i and c_{i+d} will get label 1. In this case, take $a_i = -2, b_i = 2$ (many other choices are possible)

In all cases, we have that $h_{(a_1,b_1,a_2,b_2,...,a_d,b_d)}(c_i) = y_i, \forall i = \overline{1,2d}, \text{ where each interval } [a_i,b_i] \text{ was}$ determined based on y_i and y_{i+d} , $i = \overline{1, d}$.

So, $VC \dim (\mathcal{H}_{rec}^d) \ge 2d$.

2) Let C be a set of size 2d+1 points. We will show that C cannot be shattered by \mathcal{H}_{rec}^d .

Because we have 2d+1 points in C and there are only d dimensions, there will exist a point $x \in C$ such that, for each dimension $i = \overline{1,d}$ there will be 2 points x' and $x'' \in C$ such that $x_i' \leq x_i \leq x_i''$ (the point x_i is "inside" the convex hull determined by all other points in dimension i).

So the label for which x has value 0 and all other 2d points get label 1 cannot be realized by any function $h \in \mathcal{H}^d_{rec}$ (because x is inside the rectangle) that contain all other points.

Exercise 3 \mathcal{H}^d_{con} – class of Boolean conjunctions over the variables $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d, d \geq 2$

$$\mathcal{H}_{con}^{d} = \left\{ h \colon \{0,1\}^{d} \to \{0,1\}, \ h(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{d}) = \bigwedge_{i=\overline{1,d}} l(x_{i}) \right\}$$

$$l(x_{i}) = \text{literal of variable } x_{i}$$

$$l(x_{i}) \in \{x_{i}, \overline{x_{i}}, 1, \dots, x_{d}\}$$

$$missing$$

We also consider that $h^- \in \mathcal{H}^d_{con}$, $h^-(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) = 0$ always.

- a) So $|\mathcal{H}_{con}^d| = 3^d + 1$.
- b) $VC\dim(\mathcal{H}_{con}^d) \le |\log_2(3^d+1)|$
- c) We will show that \mathcal{H}_{con}^d shatters the set of unit vectors $\{e_i, i \leq d\}$ $e_i = (0, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{1}{i}, 0, \dots, 0)$

Consider $C = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_d\}$. We want to prove that, for each possible labeling (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d) , there exists an $h \in \mathcal{H}_{con}^d$ such that $h(e_i) = y_i$.

Consider a labeling $(y_1, y_2, ..., y_d)$ and take $\mathcal{J} = \{j \mid y_j = 1\}$.

If $\mathcal{J} = \emptyset \Rightarrow h^-$ realizes the labeling $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$.

If $\mathcal{J} = \{1, \ldots, d\} \Rightarrow h_{empty}$ (all literals are missing) = 1 $\forall x_i$ realizes the labeling $(1, 1, \ldots, 1)$.

In all other cases, define

$$h_{\mathcal{J}} = \bigwedge_{j \notin \mathcal{J}} \overline{x_j} = \bigwedge_{j \in \{1, \dots, d\} \setminus \mathcal{J}} \overline{x_j}$$

If $\mathcal{J} = \{1, 2, 4\}$, define $h_{\mathcal{J}} = \overline{x_3} \wedge \overline{x_5} \wedge \overline{x_6} \quad (d = 6)$.

$$\begin{split} h_{\mathcal{J}}(e_1) &= h_{\mathcal{J}}(1,0,0,0,0,0) = \overline{0} \wedge \overline{0} \wedge \overline{0} = 1 \\ h_{\mathcal{J}}(e_2) &= h_{\mathcal{J}}(0,1,0,0,0,0) = \overline{0} \wedge \overline{0} \wedge \overline{0} = 1 \\ h_{\mathcal{J}}(e_4) &= h_{\mathcal{J}}(0,0,0,1,0,0) = \overline{0} \wedge \overline{0} \wedge \overline{0} = 1 \\ h_{\mathcal{J}}(e_3) &= h_{\mathcal{J}}(0,0,1,0,0,0) = \overline{1} \wedge \overline{0} \wedge \overline{0} = 0 \\ h_{\mathcal{J}}(e_5) &= h_{\mathcal{J}}(0,0,0,0,1,0) = \overline{0} \wedge \overline{1} \wedge \overline{0} = 0 \\ h_{\mathcal{J}}(e_6) &= h_{\mathcal{J}}(0,0,0,0,0,1) = \overline{0} \wedge \overline{0} \wedge \overline{1} = 0 \end{split}$$

So, $h_{\mathcal{J}}(e_j) = 1$ if $j \in \mathcal{J}$

and $h_{\mathcal{J}}(e_j) = 0$ if $j \notin \mathcal{J}$. This proves that \mathcal{H}^d_{con} shatters $C \Rightarrow VC \dim (\mathcal{H}^d_{con}) \geq d$. d) We want to show that $VC \dim (\mathcal{H}_{con}^d) < d+1$.

Assume that there exists a set $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{d+1}\}$ of points from $\{0, 1\}^d$ that is shattered by \mathcal{H}_{con}^d , so $|\mathcal{H}_{con_C}^d| = |\{h \colon C \to \{0,1\}, h \in \mathcal{H}\}| = 2^{d+1}$.

$$c_{1} \in \{0,1\}^{d} \Rightarrow c_{1} = (c_{1}^{1}, c_{1}^{2}, \dots, c_{1}^{d}) \in \{0,1\}^{d}$$

$$c_{2} \in \{0,1\}^{d} \Rightarrow c_{2} = (c_{2}^{1}, c_{2}^{2}, \dots, c_{2}^{d})$$
 Each point c_{i} has
$$... \qquad d \text{ components from } \{0,1\}$$

$$c_{i} \in \{0,1\}^{d} \Rightarrow c_{i} = (c_{i}^{1}, c_{i}^{2}, \dots, c_{i}^{d})$$

We want to find a contradiction and show that \mathcal{H}^d_{con} doesn't shatter any set C of d+1 points. If \mathcal{H}^d_{con} shatters C, then among the 2^{d+1} function $h\colon C\to\{0,1\}$ we will have the following d+1functions (for simplicity we will denote this functions with $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_{d+1}$):

$$h_1 \colon \{0,1\}^d \to \{0,1\} \text{ such that } \qquad \qquad h_1(c_1) = 0, h_1(c_2) = 1, h_1(c_3) = 1, \dots, \ h_1(c_{d+1}) = 1$$

$$h_2 \colon \{0,1\}^d \to \{0,1\} \text{ such that } \qquad \qquad h_2(c_1) = 1, h_2(c_2) = 0, h_2(c_3) = 1, \dots, \ h_2(c_{d+1}) = 1$$

$$h_3 \colon \{0,1\}^d \to \{0,1\} \text{ such that } \qquad h_3(c_1) = 1, h_3(c_2) = 1, h_3(c_3) = 0, \dots, \ h_3(c_{d+1}) = 1$$

$$\vdots$$

$$h_{d+1} \colon \{0,1\}^d \to \{0,1\} \text{ such that } \qquad h_{d+1}(c_1) = 0, h_{d+1}(c_2) = 1, h_{d+1}(c_3) = 1, \dots, \ h_{d+1}(c_{d+1}) = 0$$

So h_i , with $i \in \{1, \ldots, d+1\}$ realizes the labels $(1, 1, \ldots, 1, \underset{i}{0}, 1, 1, \ldots, 1)$.

So we have

$$h_i(c_j) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i = j\\ 1, & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

We will use the functions $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_{d+1}$ to arrive at a contradiction. Each h_i is in \mathcal{H}_{con}^d , so it can be written as a conjunction of literals, where each literal from the writing of h_i can have three values for

a variable
$$x_k$$
: $l_i(x_k) = \begin{cases} x_k, & \text{positive literal} \\ \overline{x_k}, & \text{negaitive literal} \\ 1, & \text{missing literal} \end{cases}$

For example, if we consider d=3, a possible h from \mathcal{H}^3_{con} could be $h=x_1\wedge \overline{x_2}$, in this case $h = l(x_1) \wedge l(x_2) \wedge l(x_3)$ with $l(x_1) = x_1$, $l(x_2) = \overline{x_2}$, $l(x_3) = 1$.

In the general case, we have

$$h_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) = \bigwedge_{k=1}^d l_i(x_k), \qquad l_i(x_k) \in \{x_k, \overline{x_k}, 1\}$$

Now, we go back to our $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_{d+1}$.

$$h_1$$
 realizes the labels $(0,1,1,1,\ldots,1)$ on $\{c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_{d+1}\}=C$ h_2 realizes the labels $(1,0,1,1,\ldots,1)$ on $\{c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_{d+1}\}=C$ \vdots h_{d+1} realizes the labels $(1,1,1,1,\ldots,0)$ on $\{c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_{d+1}\}=C$

We will use the labels 0 to come up with a contradiction.

Because
$$h_1(c_1) = 0 \Leftrightarrow h_1(c_1^1, c_1^2, \dots, c_1^d) = \bigwedge_{k=1}^d l_1(c_1^k) = l_1(c_1^1) \wedge l_1(c_1^2) \wedge \dots \wedge l_1(c_1^d) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists k_1 \in \{1, \dots, d\} \text{ such that } l_1(c_1^{k_1}) = 0$$
Because $h_2(c_2) = 0 \Leftrightarrow h_2(c_2^1, c_2^2, \dots, c_2^d) = \bigwedge_{k=1}^d l_2(c_2^k) = l_2(c_2^1) \wedge l_2(c_2^2) \wedge \dots \wedge l_2(c_2^d) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \exists k_2 \in \{1, \dots, d\} \text{ such that } l_2(c_2^{k_2}) = 0$$
...
Because $h_{d+1}(c_{d+1}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow h_{d+1}(c_{d+1}^1, c_{d+1}^2, \dots, c_{d+1}^d) = \bigwedge_{k=1}^d l_{d+1}(c_{d+1}^k) = 0$

Because
$$h_{d+1}(c_{d+1}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow h_{d+1}(c_{d+1}^1, c_{d+1}^2, \dots, c_{d+1}^d) = \bigwedge_{k=1}^n l_{d+1}(c_{d+1}^k) =$$

$$= l_{d+1}(c_{d+1}^1) \wedge l_{d+1}(c_{d+1}^2) \wedge \dots \wedge l_{d+1}(c_{d+1}^d) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists k_{d+1} \in \{1, \dots, d\} \text{ such that } l_{d+1}(c_{d+1}^{k_{d+1}}) = 0$$

So we have that
$$l_1(x_{k_1})=0$$
 where $x_{k_1}=c_1^{k_1}$ variable on position k_1
$$l_2(x_{k_2})=0$$
 where $x_{k_2}=c_2^{k_2}$ variable on position k_2
$$\vdots$$

$$l_{d+1}(x_{k_{d+1}})=0$$
 where $x_{k_{d+1}}=c_{d+1}^{k_{d+1}}$ variable on position k_{d+1}

We have d+1 literals that use variables x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d . So there are at least two literals using the same variable. Let these literals be l_i and l_j and assume that the variable they use is x_k .

$$h_i = l_i(x_1) \wedge l_i(x_2) \wedge \cdots \wedge \underline{l_i(x_k)} \wedge \cdots$$

$$\vdots$$

$$h_j = l_j(x_1) \wedge l_j(x_2) \wedge \cdots \wedge \underline{l_j(x_k)} \wedge \cdots$$

We will gone use l_i and l_j to arrive at a contradiction.

We know that l_i and l_j satisfy the following conditions:

 $l_i(c_i^k) = 0$ (because $h_i(c_i) = 0$ and the conjunction contains literal $l_i(c_i^k)$ which is 0)

 $l_j(c_i^k) = 0$ (because $h_j(c_j) = 0$ and the conjunction contains literal $l_j(c_i^k)$ which is 0)

In general we have that $l_i(x_k) \in \{x_k, \overline{x_k}, 1\}, \quad l_j(x_k) \in \{x_k, \overline{x_k}, 1\}$

But $l_i(x_k) \neq 1$ because we have that $l_i(c_i^k) = 0$.

Same argument goes for $l_i(x_k) \neq 1$.

So $l_i(x_k)$ can take values in $\{x_k, \overline{x_k}\}$ and $l_i(x_k)$ can take values in $\{x_k, \overline{x_k}\}$.

There are 4 possible cases.

Case 1:
$$l_i(x_k) = x_k$$
, $l_j(x_k) = x_k$

$$h_i(c_i) = l_i(c_i^1) \wedge l_i(c_i^2) \wedge \cdots \wedge l_i(c_i^k) \wedge \cdots = 0$$

We have that $l_i(c_i^k) = c_i^k = 0$.

But we also have that $h_j(c_i) = 1 \Leftrightarrow l_j(c_i^1) \wedge l_j(c_i^2) \wedge \cdots \wedge l_j(c_i^k) \wedge \cdots = 1$

This means that all literals are 1, including $l_i(c_i^k)$.

But $l_j(c_i^k) = c_i^k = 0$. So we have a contradiction.

Case 2:
$$l_i(x_k) = \overline{x_k}, \ l_i(x_k) = \overline{x_k}$$

$$h_i(c_i) = l_i(c_i^1) \wedge l_i(c_i^2) \wedge \cdots \wedge l_i(c_i^k) \wedge \cdots = 0$$

We have that $l_i(c_i^k) = \overline{c_i^k} = 1 - c_i^k = 0 \Rightarrow c_i^k = 1$.

But we have that $h_j(c_i) = 1 \Leftrightarrow l_j(c_i^1) \wedge l_j(c_i^2) \wedge \cdots \wedge l_j(c_i^k) \wedge \cdots = 1 \Rightarrow l_j(c_i^k) = 1$.

But $l_j(c_i^k) = 1 - c_i^k = 0$. Contradiction.

Case 3:
$$l_i(x_k) = x_k, l_j(x_k) = \overline{x_k}$$

Take another point c_m that is different than c_i and c_j , $m \neq i$, $m \neq j$ and $1 \leq m \leq d+1$.

So we have $h_i(c_m) = h_i(c_m) = 1$

$$h_i(c_m) = \cdots \land l_i(c_m^k) \land \cdots = 1 \Rightarrow l_i(c_m^k) = c_m^k = 1$$

 $h_j(c_m) = \cdots \land l_j(c_m^k) \land \cdots = 1 \Rightarrow l_j(c_m^k) = 1 - c_m^k = 1 \Rightarrow c_m^k = 0$. Contradiction.

Case 4:
$$l_i(x_k) = \overline{x_k}, l_i(x_k) = x_k$$

Same as case 3, you will see that

$$l_i(c_m^k) = 1 - c_m^k = 1 \Rightarrow c_m^k = 0$$

 $l_j(c_m^k) = c_m^k = 1$. Contradiction.

Exercise 4

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} h_{a,b,s} \colon a \le b, \ s \in \{-1,1\}, \\ h_{a,b,s}(x) = \begin{cases} s, & x \in [a,b] \\ -s, & x \notin [a,b] \end{cases} \right\}$$

See label 0 as label -1.

 $VC\dim\left(\mathcal{H}\right) = ?$

 \mathcal{H} contains functions parametrized by 3 params (a, b, s). Intuition tells us that $VC\dim(\mathcal{H}) = 3$ (not always, but usually).

Let's consider $C = \{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$ a set of 3 distinct points with $c_1 < c_2 < c_3$ (for example, take $c_1 = 0, c_2 = 1, c_3 = 2$).

To obtain labels (0,0,0)((-1,-1,-1)), take $a=b=c_1-1$, s=1 or $a=c_1$, $b=c_3$, s=-1

To obtain labels (1,1,1), take $a=c_1, b=c_3, s=1$

To obtain labels (1, -1, -1), take $a = c_1$, $b = \frac{c_1 + c_2}{2}$, s = 1

To obtain labels (-1,1,1), take $a=c_1,\,b=\frac{c_1+c_2}{2},\,s=-1$

To obtain labels (-1, 1, -1), take $a = \frac{c_1 + c_2}{2}$, $b = \frac{c_2 + c_3}{2}$, s = 1

To obtain labels (1,-1,1), take $a = \frac{c_1 + c_2}{2}$, $b = \frac{c_2 + c_3}{2}$, s = -1

To obtain labels (-1, -1, 1), take $a = \frac{c_2 + c_3}{2}$, $b = c_3 + 1$, s = 1

To obtain labels (1, 1, -1), take $a = \frac{c_2 + c_3}{2}$, $b = c_3 + 1$, s = -1

So \mathcal{H} shatters C, so $VC \dim (\mathcal{H}) \geq 3$.

Now, take C, a set of 4 points, $C = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\}, c_1 \le c_2 \le c_3 \le c_4$.

Then \mathcal{H} cannot realize the labels (1, -1, 1, -1).

This happens for any C. So $VC \dim (\mathcal{H}) < 4$. So $VC \dim (\mathcal{H}) = 3$