In [1]: import os import time import random import numpy as np import pandas as pd import seaborn as sns from itertools import product import matplotlib.pyplot as plt from pylab import rcParams from IPython.display import display from statsmodels.tsa.seasonal import seasonal_decompose from statsmodels.graphics.tsaplots import plot_acf from statsmodels.graphics.tsaplots import plot_pacf from statsmodels.tsa.stattools import adfuller from statsmodels.tsa.arima_model import ARIMA from statsmodels.tsa.statespace.sarimax import SARIMAX from sklearn.model_selection import TimeSeriesSplit from scipy import stats from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error, mean_absolute_error import statsmodels.api as sm import warnings warnings.filterwarnings("ignore") plt.style.use('seaborn') Seeding everything first This will allow us to have reproducible experiments In [2]: SEED = 42random.seed(SEED) np.random.seed(SEED) os.environ['PYTHONHASHSEED'] = str(SEED) Loading the Time Series For this project will as time series data the price of Bitcoin The dataset can be found here: https://www.kaggle.com/mczielinski/bitcoin-historical-data In [3]: data = pd.read_csv('data/btc.csv') display(data) Open Volume_(BTC) Volume_(Currency) Weighted_Price **Timestamp** High Low Close **0** 1325317920 4.39 4.39 4.39 4.39 0.455581 2.000000 4.390000 1 1325317980 NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN 2 1325318040 NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN 3 1325318100 NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN **4** 1325318160 NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN **4857372** 1617148560 58714.31 58714.31 58686.00 58686.00 1.384487 81259.372187 58692.753339 **4857373** 1617148620 58683.97 58693.43 58683.97 58685.81 7.294848 428158.146640 58693.226508 58693.43 **4857374** 1617148680 58693.43 58723.84 58723.84 1.705682 100117.070370 58696.198496 0.720415 **4857375** 1617148740 58742.18 58770.38 58742.18 58760.59 42332.958633 58761.866202 4857377 rows × 8 columns **Exploratory Data Analysis** Before we start, we can observe that our data it's collected minute by minute and multivariate · For the first problem we will resample the data by month using the mean value And will use as single feature only the 'Weighted_Price' as the time series Resampling data by month In [4]: data.Timestamp = pd.to_datetime(data.Timestamp, unit='s') data.index = data.Timestamp data = data.resample('M').mean() series = data[['Weighted_Price']] display(data) High Volume_(BTC) Volume_(Currency) Weighted_Price Open Low **Timestamp** 2011-12-31 4.465000 4.482500 4.465000 4.482500 23.829470 106.330084 4.471603 2012-01-31 6.345389 6.348982 6.341218 6.346148 4.031777 25.168238 6.345955 2012-02-29 5.230208 5.231646 5.227036 5.228510 8.313993 42.239422 5.228443 2012-03-31 4.985481 4.986695 4.982580 4.983828 15.197791 76.509751 4.984397 2012-04-30 4.995171 4.996447 4.993763 4.995079 21.683913 108.218094 4.995091 111021.991229 **2020-11-30** 16535.778528 16545.663704 16525.571002 16536.023486 6.695166 16535.990325 **2020-12-31** 21811.751812 21826.119052 21796.889787 5.742400 129237.684380 21811.782847 34554.252479 **2021-01-31** 34554.125793 34594.169353 34512.497779 10.253061 352510.183906 34552.337249 **2021-02-28** 46077.343214 46117.833367 274360.971284 5.965070 46075.783298 **2021-03-31** 54500.773215 54536.467642 54465.302911 54501.997804 3.555766 193360.583713 54499.282182 112 rows × 7 columns In [5]: display(series.head(n = 10))Weighted_Price **Timestamp** 2011-12-31 4.471603 2012-01-31 6.345955 2012-02-29 5.228443 2012-03-31 4.984397 2012-04-30 4.995091 2012-05-31 5.046848 2012-06-30 6.047198 2012-07-31 7.907613 2012-08-31 10.984670 2012-09-30 11.435692 In [6]: display(series.tail(n = 10))Weighted_Price **Timestamp** 2020-06-30 9459.783762 2020-07-31 9558.816690 2020-08-31 11637.963222 2020-09-30 10656.147579 2020-10-31 11844.141987 2020-11-30 16535.990325 2020-12-31 21811.782847 2021-01-31 34552.337249 2021-02-28 46075.783298 54499.282182 2021-03-31 Obviously, we can observe a "slightly" increase in price over time Statistical description of the time series In [7]: series.describe() Weighted_Price Out[7]: 112.000000 count 4572.087125 mean 8189.423023 std 4.471603 min 25% 242.052684 50% 658.975739 7195.809819 **75**% 54499.282182 max Let's plot some things to get a better understanding of the problem In [8]: plt.style.use('seaborn-poster') plt.figure(figsize = (18, 10)) plt.title("Price of Bitcoin over time") series['Weighted_Price'].plot() plt.xlabel("Dates") plt.show() Price of Bitcoin over time 50000 40000 30000 20000 10000 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 Dates It seems that we have big increase in the price of Bitcoin starting by the end of 2020 Removing the time component from the problem, at least for the moment In [9]: plt.style.use('seaborn-poster') plt.figure(figsize = (18, 10)) plt.title("Histograms for the prices of Bitcoin (Not time dependent)") series['Weighted_Price'].hist() plt.xlabel("Prices") plt.show() Histograms for the prices of Bitcoin (Not time dependent) 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 30000 10000 20000 40000 50000 Prices In [10]: plt.style.use('seaborn-poster') plt.figure(figsize = (18, 10)) plt.title("Density Plot for the prices of Bitcoin") series['Weighted_Price'].plot(kind = 'kde') plt.xlabel("Prices") plt.show() Density Plot for the prices of Bitcoin 1e-5 6 Density 4 2 0 -2000020000 60000 80000 40000 Prices A more in depth analysis of the series Seasonal Decomposition In [11]: decomposition = seasonal_decompose(series['Weighted_Price'], model = 'additive') rcParams['figure.figsize'] = 18, 15 decomposition.plot() plt.show() Weighted_Price 50000 40000 30000 20000 10000 0 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 15000 10000 5000 0 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2012 2013 2014 2020 2021 500 250 Seasonal 0 -500 -7502014 2018 2021 2012 2013 2015 2016 2017 2019 2020 5000 2500 -2500-5000-75002012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 We can observe some trend and seasonality After some more observations we'll need to find ways to remove them because series with trend and seasonality are not stationary. Lag Plots and Autocorrelation Analysis In [12]: plt.style.use('seaborn') plt.figure(figsize = (18, 10)) pd.plotting.lag_plot(series['Weighted_Price']) plt.title("Lag Plot with lag = 1") plt.show() Lag Plot with lag = 1 50000 40000 30000 20000 10000 0 30000 40000 10000 20000 y(t) In [13]: plt.style.use('seaborn') plt.figure(figsize = (18, 10)) pd.plotting.lag_plot(series['Weighted_Price'], lag = 2) plt.title("Lag Plot with lag = 2") plt.show() Lag Plot with lag = 2 50000 40000 30000 y(t + 2)20000 10000 5000 10000 15000 20000 25000 30000 35000 In [14]: plt.style.use('seaborn') plt.figure(figsize = (18, 10)) pd.plotting.lag_plot(series['Weighted_Price'], lag = 5) plt.title("Lag Plot with lag = 5") Lag Plot with lag = 5 50000 40000 20000 10000 2000 4000 10000 12000 14000 It seems that our series has some correlation with the close lags Autocorrelation Function (ACF) and Partial Autocorrelation Function (PACF) This represents an important step, because those two functions might help us choose the parameters p, q in the ARIMA model Autocorrelation Function (ACF): Correlation between time series with a lagged version of itself. • Partial Autocorrelation Function (PACF): Additional correlation explained by each successive lagged term To define the AR model, we need the ACF plot to decrease gradually and the PACF plot should have a significant drop after p lags. For the MA process, the ACF should have a sharp drop after a number of q lags and the PACF should have a gradual decreasing trend. In [15]: plt.style.use('seaborn-poster') f, ax = plt.subplots(nrows = 2, ncols = 1, figsize = (16, 12))plot_acf(series['Weighted_Price'], lags = 30, ax = ax[0]) plot_pacf(series['Weighted_Price'], lags = 30, ax = ax[1]) plt.show() Autocorrelation 1.0 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 0.0 -0.2-0.40 5 10 15 20 25 30 Partial Autocorrelation 1.0 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 0.0 -0.20 5 10 15 25 30 From above plots we might think that the series is not stationary But from various research we can see that autocorrelation does not imply stationarity Some examples: • https://stats.stackexchange.com/questions/207834/does-autocorrelation-imply-stationarity https://stats.stackexchange.com/questions/167737/autocorrelation-vs-non-stationary We need to find ways to test our series for stationarity But first let's try to get a better undestanding of this into this topic Stationarity Some statistical time-series models, such as AR, MA, ARIMA, use the assumption that our data is stationary. Stationary behaviour of a series can be given by the following: • constant mean and the mean it's not time-dependent constant variance and the variance it's not time-dependent constant covariance and the covariance it's not time-dependent A more informal way to think about "Why we need data that is stationary?" it's because we don't want that the experiment we are trying to describe to have any time dependency, because this might be misleading. As I said earlier, series with trend and/or seasonality are not stationary because trend indicates that the mean is not constant over time and seasonality indicates that the variance is not constant over time. Visual Representation of Stationarity In [16]: t = np.linspace(0, 19, 20)fig, ax = plt.subplots(ncols=4, nrows=1, figsize=(20,4)) stationary = [5, 4, 5, 6, 5, 4, 5, 6, 5, 4, 5, 6, 5, 4, 5, 6, 5, 4, 5, 6,] sns.lineplot(x=t, y=stationary, ax=ax[0], color='forestgreen') sns.lineplot(x=t, y=5, ax=ax[0], color='grey') sns.lineplot(x=t, y=6, ax=ax[0], color='grey') sns.lineplot(x=t, y=4, ax=ax[0], color='grey') ax[0].lines[2].set_linestyle("--") ax[0].lines[3].set_linestyle("--") ax[0].set_title(f'Stationary \nconstant mean \nconstant variance \nconstant covariance', fontsize=14) nonstationary1 = [9, 0, 1, 10, 8, 1, 2, 9, 7, 2, 3, 8, 6, 3, 4, 7, 5, 4, 5, 6] sns.lineplot(x=t, y=nonstationary1, ax=ax[1], color='indianred') sns.lineplot(x=t, y=5, ax=ax[1], color='grey') sns.lineplot(x=t, y=t*0.25-0.5, ax=ax[1], color='grey') sns.lineplot(x=t, y=t*(-0.25)+11, ax=ax[1], color='grey')ax[1].lines[2].set_linestyle("--") ax[1].lines[3].set_linestyle("--") ax[1].set_title(f'Non Stationary \nconstant mean \n non-constant variance\nnconstant covariance', fontsize=14) nonstationary2 = [0, 2, 1, 3, 2, 4, 3, 5, 4, 6, 5, 7, 6, 8, 7, 9, 8, 10, 9, 11,] sns.lineplot(x=t, y=nonstationary2, ax=ax[2], color='indianred') sns.lineplot(x=t, y=t*0.5+0.7, ax=ax[2], color='grey') sns.lineplot(x=t, y=t*0.5, ax=ax[2], color='grey') sns.lineplot(x=t, y=t*0.5+1.5, ax=ax[2], color='grey')ax[2].lines[2].set_linestyle("--") ax[2].lines[3].set_linestyle("--") ax[2].set_title(f'Non Stationary \n non-constant mean\nconstant variance\nnconstant covariance', fontsize=14) nonstationary3 = [5, 4.5, 4, 4.5, 5, 5.5, 6, 5.5, 5, 4.5, 4, 5, 6, 5, 4, 6, 4, 6, 4, 6,] sns.lineplot(x=t, y=nonstationary3, ax=ax[3], color='indianred') sns.lineplot(x=t, y=5, ax=ax[3], color='grey') sns.lineplot(x=t, y=6, ax=ax[3], color='grey') sns.lineplot(x=t, y=4, ax=ax[3], color='grey') ax[3].lines[2].set_linestyle("--") ax[3].lines[3].set_linestyle("--") ax[3].set_title(f'Stationary \nconstant mean \nconstant variance \nnon-constant covariance', fontsize=14) for i in range(4): ax[i].set_ylim([-1, 12]) ax[i].set_xlabel('Time', fontsize=14) Non Stationary Stationary Non Stationary Stationary constant mean non-constant mean constant mean constant mean non-constant variance constant variance constant variance constant variance constant covariance nconstant covariance nconstant covariance non-constant covariance 10.0 10.0 10.0 7.5 7.5 7.5 2.5 2.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 15 15 15 Time The code for the visual representation can be found here: https://www.kaggle.com/iamleonie/intro-to-time-series-forecasting#Exploratory-**Data-Analysis Testing for Stationarity** Usually, testing for stationarity can be done in three main ways: · visual approach: plot the series and check for any trend or seasonality statistics over rolling windows: iterate through series with a rolling window and compare mean, variance and covariance hypothesis testing: Augmented Dickey Fuller Test In this project we will use for stationarity testing only Augmented Dickey Fuller Test Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) Test Is a statistical test called a unit root test. Unit roots being a possible cause for non-stationarity. -Null Hypothesis (H0): Time series has a unit root. (The series is not stationary) -Alternate Hypothesis (H1): Time series has no unit root. (The series is stationary) If we succeed in rejecting the null hypothesis, we can confirm that our time series is stationary. Ways To Reject the null hypothesis in ADF Test: The null hypothesis can be rejected if the test statistic is less than the critical value. ADF statistic > critical value \implies Fail to reject the null hypothesis, the series is non-stationary. ADF statistic \leftarrow critical value \implies Reject the null hypothesis, the series is stationary. The null hypothesis can be rejected if the p-value is below a set significance level. p-value > significance level (default: 0.05): Fail to reject the null hypothesis, the series is non-stationary. p-value < significance level (default: 0.05): Reject the null hypothesis, the series is stationary. The idea for presenting this section came from: https://www.kaggle.com/iamleonie/intro-to-time-series-forecasting#Exploratory-Data-**Analysis** In [17]: def stationarity_test(series): test = adfuller(series, autolag = 'AIC') results = pd.Series(test[0 : 4], \ index = ['ADF Statistic', 'p-value', '#Lags Used', 'Number of Observations Used']) for key, value in test[4].items(): results['Critical Value (%s)'%key] = value print("ADF Results") display(results) In [18]: stationarity_test(series['Weighted_Price']) ADF Results ADF Statistic 2.143886 0.998833 p-value #Lags Used 1.000000 Number of Observations Used 110.000000 Critical Value (1%) -3.491245 Critical Value (5%) -2.888195 Critical Value (10%) -2.580988 dtype: float64 As we assumed, our time series is not stationary To solve this problem we can: • Differencing: e.g. removing trend, seasonality • Transformations: e.g. box-cox transform, log, square root, from that we can stabilize non-constant variance Box Cox Transformation and Removing Seasonality Why Would We Want to Transform Our Data? The Box-Cox transformation transforms our data so that it closely resembles a normal distribution. "In many statistical techniques, we assume that the errors are normally distributed. This assumption allows us to construct confidence intervals and conduct hypothesis tests. By transforming your target variable, we can (hopefully) normalize our errors (if they are not already normal). Additionally, transforming our variables can improve the predictive power of our models because transformations can cut away white noise." https://towardsdatascience.com/box-cox-transformation-explained-51d745e34203 In [19]: series['Weighted_Price_Box_Transform'], lmbda = stats.boxcox(series['Weighted_Price']) In [20]: stationarity_test(series['Weighted_Price_Box_Transform']) ADF Results ADF Statistic -0.293579 0.926409 p-value #Lags Used 1.000000 Number of Observations Used 110.000000 Critical Value (1%) -3.491245 Critical Value (5%) -2.888195 Critical Value (10%) -2.580988 dtype: float64 Our data it's still not stationary Let's apply a 12 shift differencing to remove possible annual seasonality In [21]: series['Weighted_Price_Box_Seasonal_Diff'] = series.Weighted_Price_Box_Transform - series.Weighted_Price_Box_Tr In [22]: display(series) Weighted_Price Weighted_Price_Box_Transform Weighted_Price_Box_Seasonal_Diff **Timestamp** 2011-12-31 4.471603 1.633738 NaN 2012-01-31 6.345955 2.057681 NaN 2012-02-29 5.228443 1.821004 NaN 2012-03-31 4.984397 1.763401 NaN 2012-04-30 4.995091 1.765977 NaN 2020-11-30 16535.990325 17.816633 2.001607 2020-12-31 21811.782847 18.671514 3.249221 2021-01-31 34552.337249 20.152969 4.341819 2021-02-28 46075.783298 21.120280 4.891492 2021-03-31 54499.282182 21.699465 6.395992 112 rows × 3 columns In [23]: stationarity_test(series['Weighted_Price_Box_Seasonal_Diff'][12:]) ADF Results ADF Statistic -1.678562 0.442190 p-value #Lags Used 12.000000 Number of Observations Used 87.000000 Critical Value (1%) -3.507853 Critical Value (5%) -2.895382 Critical Value (10%) -2.584824 dtype: float64 As we can observe from the p-value the series it's still not stationary Will try a first order differencing to remove the trend of the series In [24]: series['Weighted_Price_Box_Diff'] = series.Weighted_Price_Box_Seasonal_Diff - series.Weighted_Price_Box_Seasonal In [25]: display(series) Weighted_Price Weighted_Price_Box_Transform Weighted_Price_Box_Seasonal_Diff Weighted_Price_Box_Diff **Timestamp** 4.471603 2011-12-31 1.633738 NaN NaN 2012-01-31 6.345955 2.057681 NaN 2012-02-29 5.228443 1.821004 NaN NaN 2012-03-31 4.984397 1.763401 NaN 2012-04-30 4.995091 1.765977 NaN NaN 2020-11-30 16535.990325 17.816633 2.001607 1.005741 2020-12-31 21811.782847 18.671514 3.249221 1.247615 2021-01-31 34552.337249 20.152969 4.341819 1.092598 2021-02-28 46075.783298 21.120280 4.891492 0.549673 2021-03-31 54499.282182 21.699465 6.395992 1.504500 112 rows × 4 columns In [26]: stationarity_test(series['Weighted_Price_Box_Diff'][13:])

