

Adrian Laksana

A17739915

B00

3.1

a.

```
>> A = [1 1; 100 100]
```

A =

```
    1    1
   100   100
```

```
>> inv(A)
```

Warning: Matrix is singular to working precision.

ans =

```
   Inf   Inf
   Inf   Inf
```

This warning indicates that the matrix does not exist, thus A is not invertible.

b.

```
>> A = [5 3; 7 4]
```

A =

```
    5    3
    7    4
```

```
>> B = inv(A)
```

B =

```
  -4.0000   3.0000
   7.0000  -5.0000
```

```
>> A*B
```

ans =

```
    1.0000    0
    0    1.0000
```

```
>> B*A
```

Adrian Laksana

A17739915

B00

ans =

```
1.0000    0
-0.0000    1.0000
```

This proves that A is invertible because $A*B$ and $B*A$ equal the identity matrix.

c.

```
>> x = [2; 3]
```

x =

```
2
3
```

```
>> y = A*x
```

y =

```
19
26
```

d. If I multiply $B*y$ I think it will output the column vector $[2, 3]$ because I am multiplying the inverse by the output, thus I expect to get the original input.

e.

```
>> B*y
```

ans =

```
2
3
```

Adrian Laksana

A17739915

B00

3.2

1. San Diego > Los Angeles > Shanghai > Manila
2. San Diego > Los Angeles > Tokyo > Manila
3. San Diego > Seattle > Los Angeles > Manila
4. San Diego > Seattle > Tokyo > Manila
5. San Diego > Seattle > Shanghai > Manila

3.3

a.

```
>> A = [0 1 0 0 0 1; 1 0 1 1 1 1; 0 1 0 1 1 1; 0 1 1 0 1 1; 0 0 1 1 0 0; 1 1 1 1 0 0]
```

A =

0	1	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	1	1	1
0	1	0	1	1	1
0	1	1	0	1	1
0	0	1	1	0	0
1	1	1	1	0	0

```
>> A^2
```

ans =

2	1	2	2	1	1
1	4	3	3	2	3
2	2	4	3	2	2
2	2	3	4	2	2
0	2	1	1	2	2
1	3	2	2	3	4

```
>> A^3
```

ans =

2	7	5	5	5	7
7	10	12	12	10	11
4	11	9	10	9	11
4	11	10	9	9	11
4	4	7	7	4	4
7	9	12	12	7	8

Adrian Laksana

A17739915

B00

If flying for city i to city j with exactly n stops is $(A^{n+1})_{ij}$, then flying from San Diego(city 1) to Manila(city5) is $(A^3)_{15}$, which is 5. This matches with the explicit answer that I manually found in 3.3.

b.

```
>> A
```

A =

0	1	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	1	1	1
0	1	0	1	1	1
0	1	1	0	1	1
0	0	1	1	0	0
1	1	1	1	0	0

```
>> A + A^2 + A^3 + A^4 + A^5
```

ans =

56	109	110	110	90	109
113	204	219	219	175	205
96	185	192	193	157	185
96	185	193	192	157	185
56	96	109	109	84	96
105	181	199	199	154	180

With Manila being city 5 and Seattle being city 6, if we want to find the total amount of ways to get from Manilla to Seattle with at MOST 4 stops, then it is the sum of all 5th row and 6th column entries of the matrix A being multiplied by itself 5 times. Using MATLAB I calculated that there is 96 possible ways to get from Seattle to Manilla with at MOST 4 stops.

Adrian Laksana

A17739915

B00

3.4

a.

```
>> P = [0.8100 0.0800 0.1600 0.1000;  
0.0900 0.8400 0.0500 0.0800;  
0.0600 0.0400 0.7400 0.0400;  
0.0400 0.0400 0.0500 0.7800]
```

```
x0 = [0.5106; 0.4720; 0.0075; 0.0099]
```

P =

0.8100	0.0800	0.1600	0.1000
0.0900	0.8400	0.0500	0.0800
0.0600	0.0400	0.7400	0.0400
0.0400	0.0400	0.0500	0.7800

x0 =

0.5106
0.4720
0.0075
0.0099

3 elections

```
>> P^3*x0
```

ans =

0.3926
0.4007
0.1099
0.0968

6 elections

```
>> P^6*x0
```

ans =

0.3617
0.3629
0.1418
0.1336

Adrian Laksana

A17739915

B00

10 elections

>> $P^{10} \cdot x_0$

ans =

0.3540

0.3407

0.1534

0.1518

b.

30 elections

>> $P^{30} \cdot x_0$

ans =

0.3546

0.3285

0.1570

0.1599

60 elections

>> $P^{60} \cdot x_0$

ans =

0.3547

0.3285

0.1570

0.1599

100 elections

>> $P^{100} \cdot x_0$

ans =

0.3547

0.3285

0.1570

0.1599

As k gets big, all values of x approach a value and will no longer change as long as x_0 is the same, and the matrix P remains the same. It is evident that as k grows large, Democratic values

Adrian Laksana

A17739915

B00

approach 0.3546, Republicans 0.3285, Independents 0.1570, and Libertarians 0.1599. This is because the demographic reaches values where all parties gain and lose the same number of people, resulting in a net loss and gain of 0 every year with no additional changes.