## The Multivariate Gaussian Distribution

Steffen Lauritzen, University of Oxford

BS2 Statistical Inference, Lecture 6, Hilary Term 2009

February 6, 2009



A d-dimensional random vector  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_d)$  is has a multivariate Gaussian distribution or normal distribution on  $\mathcal{R}^d$  if there is a vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{R}^d$  and a  $d \times d$  matrix  $\Sigma$  such that

$$\lambda^{\top} X \sim \mathcal{N}(\lambda^{\top} \xi, \lambda^{\top} \Sigma \lambda) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$
 (1)

We then write  $X \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\xi, \Sigma)$ .

Taking  $\lambda = e_i$  or  $\lambda = e_i + e_j$  where  $e_i$  is the unit vector with *i*-th coordinate 1 and the remaining equal to zero yields:

$$X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\xi_i, \sigma_{ii}), \quad \mathsf{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = \sigma_{ij}.$$

Hence  $\xi$  is the *mean vector* and  $\Sigma$  the *covariance matrix* of the distribution.



The definition (1) makes sense if and only if  $\lambda^{\top} \Sigma \lambda \geq 0$ , i.e. if  $\Sigma$  is *positive semidefinite*. Note that we have allowed distributions with variance zero.

The multivariate moment generating function of X can be calculated using the relation (1) as

$$m_d(\lambda) = E\{e^{\lambda^\top X}\} = e^{\lambda^\top \xi + \lambda^\top \Sigma \lambda/2}$$

where we have used that the univariate moment generating function for  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  is

$$m_1(t) = e^{t\mu + \sigma^2 t^2/2}$$

and let t = 1,  $\mu = \lambda^{\top} \xi$ , and  $\sigma^2 = \lambda^{\top} \Sigma \lambda$ .

In particular this means that a multivariate Gaussian distribution is determined by its mean vector and covariance matrix.

Assume  $X^{\top} = (X_1, X_2, X_3)$  with  $X_i$  independent and  $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\xi_i, \sigma_i^2)$ . Then

$$\lambda^{\top} X = \lambda_1 X_1 + \lambda_2 X_2 + \lambda_3 X_3 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \tau^2)$$

with

$$\mu = \lambda^{\top} \xi = \lambda_1 \xi_1 + \lambda_2 \xi_2 + \lambda_3 \xi_3, \quad \tau^2 = \lambda_1^2 \sigma_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 \sigma_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 \sigma_3^2.$$

Hence  $X \sim \mathcal{N}_3(\xi, \Sigma)$  with  $\xi^{\top} = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$  and

$$\Sigma = \left( egin{array}{ccc} \sigma_1^2 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & \sigma_2^2 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & \sigma_3^2 \end{array} 
ight).$$

If  $\Sigma$  is *positive definite*, i.e. if  $\lambda^{\top}\Sigma\lambda > 0$  for  $\lambda \neq 0$ , the distribution has density on  $\mathcal{R}^d$ 

$$f(x \mid \xi, \Sigma) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} (\det K)^{1/2} e^{-(x-\xi)^{\top} K(x-\xi)/2},$$
 (2)

where  $K = \Sigma^{-1}$  is the *concentration matrix* of the distribution. We then also say that  $\Sigma$  is *regular*.

If  $X_1, \ldots, X_d$  are independent and  $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\xi_i, \sigma_i^2)$  their joint density has the form (2) with  $\Sigma = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_i^2)$  and  $K = \Sigma^{-1} = \operatorname{diag}(1/\sigma_i^2)$ .

Hence vectors of independent Gaussians are multivariate Gaussian.

In the bivariate case it is traditional to write

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rho \\ \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rho & \sigma_2^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $\rho$  being the *correlation* between  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ . Then

$$\det(\Sigma) = \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 (1 - \rho^2) = \det(K)^{-1}$$

and

$$K = \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 (1 - \rho^2)} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_2^2 & -\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rho \\ -\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rho & \sigma_1^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the density becomes

$$f(x \mid \xi, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2\sqrt{(1-\rho^2)}} \times e^{-\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)} \left\{ \frac{(x_1-\xi_1)^2}{\sigma_1^2} - 2\rho \frac{(x_1-\xi_1)(x_2-\xi_2)}{\sigma_1\sigma_2} + \frac{(x_2-\xi_2)^2}{\sigma_2^2} \right\}}.$$

The contours of this density are ellipses and the corresponding density is bell-shaped with maximum in  $(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ .

The multivariate Gaussian
Simple example
Density of multivariate Gaussian
Bivariate case
A counterexample

The marginal distributions of a vector X can all be Gaussian without the joint being multivariate Gaussian:

For example, let  $X_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ , and define  $X_2$  as

$$X_2 = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} X_1 & ext{if } |X_1| > c \ -X_1 & ext{otherwise.} \end{array} 
ight.$$

Then, using the symmetry of the univariate Gausssian distribution,  $X_2$  is also distributed as  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ .

However, the joint distribution is not Gaussian unless c=0 since, for example,  $Y=X_1+X_2$  satisfies

$$P(Y = 0) = P(X_2 = -X_1) = P(|X_1| \le c) = \Phi(c) - \Phi(-c).$$

Note that for c=0, the correlation  $\rho$  between  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  is -1 whereas for  $c=\infty$ ,  $\rho=1$ .

It follows that there is a value of c so that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are uncorrelated, and still not jointly Gaussian.

## Adding two independent Gaussians yields a Gaussian:

If 
$$X_1 \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\xi_1, \Sigma_1)$$
 and  $X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\xi_2, \Sigma_2)$  and  $X_1 \perp\!\!\!\perp X_2$ 

$$X_1 + X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\xi_1 + \xi_2, \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2).$$

To see this, just note that

$$\lambda^{\top}(X_1 + X_2) = \lambda^{\top}X_1 + \lambda^{\top}X_2$$

and use the univariate addition property.

## Linear transformations preserve multivariate normality:

If A is an  $r \times d$  matrix,  $b \in \mathcal{R}^r$  and  $X \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\xi, \Sigma)$ , then

$$Y = AX + b \sim \mathcal{N}_r(A\xi + b, A\Sigma A^{\top}).$$

Again, just write

$$\gamma^{\top} Y = \gamma^{\top} (AX + b) = (A^{\top} \gamma)^{\top} X + \gamma^{\top} b$$

and use the corresponding univariate result.

Partition X into into  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , where  $X_1 \in \mathbb{R}^r$  and  $X_2 \in \mathbb{R}^s$  with r + s = d.

Partition mean vector, concentration and covariance matrix accordingly as

$$\xi = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{K} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{K}_{11} & \mathcal{K}_{12} \\ \mathcal{K}_{21} & \mathcal{K}_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

so that  $\Sigma_{11}$  is  $r \times r$  and so on. Then, if  $X \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\xi, \Sigma)$ 

$$X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}_s(\xi_2, \Sigma_{22}).$$

This follows simply from the previous fact using the matrix

$$A=\left(0_{sr}\ I_{s}\right).$$

where  $0_{sr}$  is an  $s \times r$  matrix of zeros and  $I_s$  is the  $s \times s$  identity matrix.

If  $\Sigma_{22}$  is regular, it further holds that

$$X_1 | X_2 = x_2 \sim \mathcal{N}_r(\xi_{1|2}, \Sigma_{1|2}),$$

where

$$\xi_{1|2} = \xi_1 + \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} (x_2 - \xi_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_{1|2} = \Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21}.$$

In particular,  $\Sigma_{12} = 0$  if and only if  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent.

To see this, we simply calculate the conditional density.

$$f(x_1 | x_2) \propto f_{\xi,\Sigma}(x_1, x_2)$$
  
  $\propto \exp\left\{-(x_1 - \xi_1)^{\top} K_{11}(x_1 - \xi_1)/2 - (x_1 - \xi_1)^{\top} K_{12}(x_2 - \xi_2)\right\}.$ 

The linear term involving  $x_1$  has coefficient equal to

$$K_{11}\xi_1 - K_{12}(x_2 - \xi_2) = K_{11} \left\{ \xi_1 - K_{11}^{-1} K_{12}(x_2 - \xi_2) \right\}.$$

Using the matrix identities

$$K_{11}^{-1} = \Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21}$$
 (3)

and

$$K_{11}^{-1}K_{12} = -\Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1},\tag{4}$$



we find

$$f(x_1 \mid x_2) \propto \exp\left\{-(x_1 - \xi_{1|2})^{\top} K_{11}(x_1 - \xi_{1|2})/2\right\}$$

and the result follows.

From the identities (3) and (4) it follows in particular that then the conditional expectation and concentrations also can be calculated as

$$\xi_{1|2} = \xi_1 - K_{11}^{-1} K_{12} (x_2 - \xi_2)$$
 and  $K_{1|2} = K_{11}$ .

Note that the marginal covariance is simply expressed in terms of  $\Sigma$  where as the conditional concentration is simply expressed in terms of K.

Consider  $\mathcal{N}_3(0,\Sigma)$  with covariance matrix

$$\Sigma = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{array}\right).$$

The concentration matrix is

$$K = \Sigma^{-1} = \left( egin{array}{ccc} 3 & -1 & -1 \ -1 & 1 & 0 \ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} 
ight).$$

The marginal distribution of  $(X_2, X_3)$  has covariance and concentration matrix

$$\Sigma_{23} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{array} \right), \quad (\Sigma_{23})^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} \left( \begin{array}{cc} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{array} \right).$$

The conditional distribution of  $(X_1, X_2)$  given  $X_3$  has concentration and covariance matrix

$$\label{eq:K12} \textit{K}_{12} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{array} \right), \quad \Sigma_{12|3} = (\textit{K}_{12})^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{array} \right).$$

Similarly,  $V(X_1 | X_2, X_3) = 1/k_{11} = 1/3$ , etc.

