

Final Examination

Exercise 1 (theory):

We consider a data set made of M real-valued and L -dimensional vectors, $\mathbf{s}^m = (s_1^m, s_2^m, \dots, s_L^m)$, with $m = 1 \dots M$. We define the first and the second moments of the variables computed over the data

$$\langle s_i \rangle^d = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M s_i^m, \quad \langle s_i s_j \rangle^d = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M s_i^m s_j^m. \quad (1)$$

Hereafter, we assume in **ALL** questions **EXCEPT 1C** that the first moments vanish: $\langle s_i \rangle^d = 0$. The objective of this exercise is to infer a Gaussian multivariate density of probability p from those data, then to characterize the distribution of the log-likelihoods $\log p(\mathbf{s})$ over all possible configurations \mathbf{s} . Question 3 can be done independently of the previous questions.

Question 1. Write down the general expression of a multivariate Gaussian density of probability $p(\mathbf{s})$ over variables having zero means and covariances $C_{ij} = \langle s_i s_j \rangle - \langle s_i \rangle \langle s_j \rangle$.

A. Recall how to infer the values of the C_{ij} 's that maximises the log-likelihood of the data (MLE).

B. What is the class of conjugate prior to the likelihood p ? How would the previous result for C_{ij} be modified if you used maximum a posteriori inference (MAP) with such a conjugate prior instead of MLE?

C. How would you infer p within MLE if the averages values $\langle s_i \rangle^d$ were not equal to zero?

Question 2. We introduce the distribution ρ of the log-likelihoods ℓ of configurations drawn from p inferred with MLE,

$$\rho(\ell) = \int d\mathbf{s} p(\mathbf{s}) \delta(\ell - \log p(\mathbf{s})). \quad (2)$$

The moment of order k of the log-likelihood is defined as

$$\langle \ell^k \rangle = \int d\ell \rho(\ell) \ell^k. \quad (3)$$

Our goal is to determine the smallest value of the log-likelihood for a set of configurations sampled from p as a function of the size of this set.

A. Compute the average log-likelihood $\langle \ell \rangle$.

B. Show that the variance of the log-likelihood is equal to

$$\text{var}(\ell) \equiv \langle \ell^2 \rangle - \langle \ell \rangle^2 = \frac{L}{2}. \quad (4)$$

Hint: consider first the case $L = 1$. We recall that

$$\int dx \frac{e^{-x^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} x^2 = 1, \quad \int dx \frac{e^{-x^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} x^4 = 3. \quad (5)$$

To extend the result to $L \geq 2$ move to the eigenbasis of C for integrating over the L -dimensional configurations \mathbf{s} .

Question 3. We now approximate the distribution of the log-likelihoods by the following Gaussian density of probability:

$$\rho(\ell) \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \text{var}(\ell)}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\ell - \langle \ell \rangle)^2}{2 \text{var}(\ell)}\right). \quad (6)$$

A. Let us draw randomly and independently M samples $\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_M$ from the distribution in eqn. (6), and call ℓ_{\min} their minimum. Show that the probability $P(\lambda)$ that $\ell_{\min} > \lambda$ is given by

$$P(\lambda) = \left(\int_{\lambda}^{\infty} d\ell \rho(\ell) \right)^M. \quad (7)$$

Sketch the representative curve of $P(\lambda)$ as a function of λ when M is large. Briefly justify why one can expect that $\langle \ell \rangle - \ell_{\min}$ is likely to be much larger than $\sqrt{\text{var}(\ell)}$ when M is large.

B. Deduce that we can approximate

$$P\left(\lambda = \langle \ell \rangle - u\sqrt{\text{var}(\ell)}\right) = \left(1 - \int_u^{\infty} dx \frac{e^{-x^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^M \simeq \exp\left(-M \int_u^{\infty} dx \frac{e^{-x^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right). \quad (8)$$

Using the asymptotic expression for the complementary error function for large positive u ,

$$\int_u^{\infty} dx \frac{e^{-x^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \simeq \frac{e^{-u^2/2}}{u\sqrt{2\pi}}, \quad (9)$$

check that the expression for P in eqn. (8) is qualitatively compatible with the sketch in the previous question.

C. Conclude that, with high probability when M is large and to dominant order in M ,

$$\ell_{\min} \simeq \langle \ell \rangle - \sqrt{2 \log M \text{var}(\ell)}. \quad (10)$$

Question 4. Discuss the validity of the Gaussian approximation in eqn. (6).